**Rapid Communications** 

## $\Xi^*$ photoproduction from threshold to W = 3.3 GeV

J. T. Goetz,<sup>1</sup> K. Hicks,<sup>1</sup> M. C. Kunkel,<sup>2</sup> J. W. Price,<sup>3</sup> D. P. Weygand,<sup>4</sup> S. Adhikari,<sup>15</sup> S. Anefalos Pereira,<sup>21</sup> M. Battaglieri,<sup>22</sup> I. Bedlinskiy,<sup>26</sup> A. S. Biselli,<sup>13</sup> S. Boiarinov,<sup>37</sup> C. Bookwalter,<sup>16</sup> W. J. Briscoe,<sup>18</sup> W. K. Brooks,<sup>38</sup> V. D. Burkert,<sup>37</sup> F. Cao,<sup>11</sup> D. S. Carman,<sup>37</sup> A. Celentano,<sup>22</sup> S. Chandavar,<sup>1</sup> P. Chatagnon,<sup>25</sup> T. Chetry,<sup>1</sup> G. Ciullo,<sup>20,14</sup> P. L. Cole,<sup>19</sup> M. Contalbrigo,<sup>20</sup> D. S. Carman, "A. Celentano, "S. Chandavar, P. Chatagnon, "T. Cherty, G. Chuno, "P. L. Cole, "M. Contabligo, "V. Crede,<sup>16</sup> A. D'Angelo,<sup>23,33</sup> N. Dashyan,<sup>43</sup> R. De Vita,<sup>22</sup> M. Defurne,<sup>10</sup> A. Deur,<sup>37</sup> S. Diehl,<sup>11</sup> C. Djalali,<sup>35,1</sup> M. Dugger,<sup>6</sup> R. Dupre,<sup>25</sup> H. Egiyan,<sup>37,30</sup> M. Ehrhart,<sup>25</sup> A. El Alaoui,<sup>38</sup> L. El Fassi,<sup>29,5</sup> L. Elouadrhiri,<sup>37</sup> P. Eugenio,<sup>16</sup> G. Fedotov,<sup>34</sup> A. Filippi,<sup>24</sup> N. Gevorgyan,<sup>43</sup> Y. Ghandilyan,<sup>43</sup> F. X. Girod,<sup>37,10</sup> D. I. Glazier,<sup>40</sup> E. Golovatch,<sup>34</sup> R. W. Gothe,<sup>35</sup> K. A. Griffioen,<sup>42</sup> M. Guidal,<sup>25</sup> L. Guo,<sup>15</sup> K. Hafidi,<sup>5</sup> H. Hakobyan,<sup>38,43</sup> N. Harrison,<sup>37</sup> M. Hattawy,<sup>32</sup> D. Heddle,<sup>4,37</sup> M. Holtrop,<sup>30</sup> D. G. Ireland,<sup>40</sup> B. S. Ishkhanov,<sup>34</sup> E. L. Isupov,<sup>34</sup> H. S. Jo,<sup>28</sup> S. Joosten,<sup>36</sup> M. L. Kabir,<sup>29</sup> D. Keller,<sup>41,1</sup> G. Khachatryan,<sup>43</sup> M. Khachatryan,<sup>32</sup> M. Khandaker,<sup>31</sup> A. Kim,<sup>11</sup> W. Kim,<sup>28</sup> F. J. Klein,<sup>9</sup> V. Kubarovsky,<sup>37</sup> L. Lanza,<sup>23</sup> G. Khachatryan,<sup>15</sup> M. Khachatryan,<sup>26</sup> M. Khandaker,<sup>37</sup> A. Kim,<sup>47</sup> W. Kim,<sup>47</sup> F. J. Kiein,<sup>7</sup> V. Kubarovsky,<sup>47</sup> L. Lanza, K. Livingston,<sup>40</sup> I. J. D. MacGregor,<sup>40</sup> B. McKinnon,<sup>40</sup> C. A. Meyer,<sup>8</sup> M. Mirazita,<sup>21</sup> V. Mokeev,<sup>34</sup> A. Movsisyan,<sup>20</sup> C. Munoz Camacho,<sup>25</sup> P. Nadel-Turonski,<sup>37,9</sup> S. Niccolai,<sup>25</sup> G. Niculescu,<sup>27</sup> M. Osipenko,<sup>22</sup> A. I. Ostrovidov,<sup>16</sup> M. Paolone,<sup>36</sup> R. Paremuzyan,<sup>30</sup> K. Park,<sup>35</sup> E. Pasyuk,<sup>37,6</sup> O. Pogorelko,<sup>26</sup> Y. Prok,<sup>32,41</sup> D. Protopopescu,<sup>40</sup> B. A. Raue,<sup>15,37</sup> M. Ripani,<sup>22</sup> D. Riser,<sup>11</sup> A. Rizzo,<sup>23,33</sup> G. Rosner,<sup>40</sup> F. Sabatié,<sup>10</sup> M. S. Saini,<sup>16</sup> C. Salgado,<sup>31</sup> D. Schott,<sup>15</sup> R. A. Schumacher,<sup>8</sup> Y. G. Sharabian,<sup>37</sup> Iu. Skorodumina,<sup>35,34</sup> D. I. Sober,<sup>9</sup> D. Sokhan,<sup>40</sup> N. Sparveris,<sup>36</sup> I. I. Strakovsky,<sup>18</sup> S. Strauch,<sup>35</sup> M. Taiuti,<sup>17</sup> J. A. Tan,<sup>28</sup> M. Ungaro,<sup>37,11</sup> H. Voskanyan,<sup>43</sup> E. Voutier,<sup>25</sup> R. Wang,<sup>25</sup> X. Wei,<sup>37</sup> M. H. Wood,<sup>7</sup> N. Zachariou,<sup>39</sup> J. Zhang,<sup>41,32</sup> and Z. W. Zhao<sup>12,35</sup> (CLAS Collaboration) <sup>1</sup>Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701, USA <sup>2</sup>Institute fur Kernphysik (Juelich), Juelich, Germany <sup>3</sup>California State University, Dominguez Hills, Carson, California 90747, USA <sup>4</sup>Christopher Newport University, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA <sup>5</sup>Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA <sup>6</sup>Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-1504, USA <sup>7</sup>Canisius College, Buffalo, New York 14208-1517, USA <sup>8</sup>Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA <sup>9</sup>Catholic University of America, Washington, DC 20064, USA <sup>10</sup>IRFU, CEA, Universit'e Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France <sup>11</sup>University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269, USA <sup>12</sup>Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708-0305, USA <sup>13</sup>Fairfield University, Fairfield Connecticut 06824, USA <sup>14</sup>Universita' di Ferrara, 44121 Ferrara, Italy <sup>15</sup>Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199, USA <sup>16</sup>Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA <sup>17</sup>Università di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy <sup>18</sup>The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA <sup>19</sup>Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho 83209, USA <sup>20</sup>INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, 44100 Ferrara, Italy <sup>21</sup>INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, 00044 Frascati, Italy <sup>22</sup>INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy <sup>23</sup>INFN, Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, 00133 Rome, Italy <sup>24</sup>INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy <sup>25</sup>Institut de Physique Nucléaire, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris Sud, Orsay, France <sup>26</sup>Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, 117259, Russia <sup>27</sup> James Madison University, Harrisonburg, Virginia 22807, USA <sup>28</sup>Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea <sup>29</sup>Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762-5167, USA <sup>30</sup>University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire 03824-3568, USA <sup>31</sup>Norfolk State University, Norfolk, Virginia 23504, USA <sup>32</sup>Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529 <sup>33</sup>Universita' di Roma Tor Vergata, 00133 Rome Italy <sup>34</sup>Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119234 Moscow, Russia <sup>35</sup>University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA <sup>36</sup>Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122, USA

<sup>37</sup>Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

<sup>38</sup>Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V Valparaíso, Chile
<sup>39</sup>Edinburgh University, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom
<sup>40</sup>University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom
<sup>41</sup>University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA
<sup>42</sup>College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23187-8795, USA
<sup>43</sup>Yerevan Physics Institute, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia

(Received 5 September 2018; published 27 December 2018)

The doubly strange  $\Xi$  baryons provide an effective way to study a puzzle called the missing-baryons problem where both quark models and lattice gauge theory predict more baryon excited states than are seen experimentally. However, few of these excited states have been observed with any certainty. Here, high-mass  $\Xi^*$  states have been searched for in photoproduction with the detector of the CLAS Collaboration, and upper limits for the total cross sections have been established from threshold to W = 3.3 GeV. In addition, the total cross sections of the ground-state  $\Xi^-(1320)$  and first excited state  $\Xi^-(1530)$  are presented, extending significantly the center-of-mass energy range of previous data.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.98.062201

Cascade baryons, also called  $\Xi$  states, hold an important place in the development of the quark model and continue to be useful to the field of baryon spectroscopy [1]. Made from two strange quarks and one light (up or down) quark, the cascade baryons come in only two charge states  $\Xi^-$  and  $\Xi^0$ . The  $\Xi$  ground state with J = 1/2 completes the octet of ground-state baryons. The first excited state with J = 3/2 is part of the baryon decuplet, which famously led Gell-Mann and Ne'eman to predict the mass of  $\Omega^-$  [2].

Quark models, both relativistic and nonrelativistic [3], along with the chiral-symmetric [4] and algebraic [5] models, all predict many more baryon states than have been observed to date. This so-called "missing-baryons problem" has persisted in light of recent lattice quantum chromodynamics (QCD) calculations [1], making the measurement of the baryon spectrum a high priority for the understanding of QCD theory. Looking for  $\Xi^*$  states experimentally and taking advantage of the known  $N^*$  correspondence is the primary motivation for the present Rapid Communication. If the number of  $\Xi^*$  states found experimentally is also small, it begs the question why.

Today, there are better calculations than the quark model for the spectrum of excited states of the cascade baryons, which are performed directly using the theory of QCD. Although these lattice calculations [1] are still using a light quark mass greater than the physical mass, the mass spectrum of cascade baryons can still be extracted and normalized to the  $\Omega^-$  ground state. The resulting pattern is remarkably similar to that for quark-model calculations where states with higher spin have systematically higher mass. From lattice methods, seven  $\Xi^*$  states have been identified in the first resonance region with negative parity corresponding to L = 1 as well as over a dozen excited states at higher masses in the second resonance region with positive parity.

Experimentally, it is appealing to look for the excited cascade states because they are expected to have narrow widths [6]. For example, the ground-state  $\Delta$  resonance has a width of about 120 MeV, whereas the  $\Xi(1530)$  and the  $\Xi(1690)$  have widths of about 10 MeV [7], which are more

easily seen above the background. Furthermore, there should be one  $\Xi^*$  for each  $N^*$  state, and although the  $N^*$  states are broad and overlapping, the  $\Xi^*$  states are expected to be narrow and easily isolated as a peak in the experimental mass spectrum. However, the cross section for producing cascade baryons is small especially for photoproduction [8,9], but this situation is well suited to today's high-rate photon beams and large-acceptance spectrometers.

A new experiment with the detector of the CLAS Collaboration with sufficient photon energy and flux to carry out a statistically significant search for  $\Xi^*$  states above the  $\Xi(1530)$  was carried out. In addition, this is the first time cross sections for the  $\Xi(1320)$  and  $\Xi(1530)$  have been measured in photoproduction at photon energy  $E_{\gamma} > 4$  GeV with good statistics where the total cross section is predicted to level off [10]. We report here total cross sections from fits to angular distributions from threshold up to  $E_{\gamma} = 5.4$  GeV.

Theoretical calculations for cascade photoproduction have been carried out by Nakayama *et al.* [10]. The production mechanism they propose, shown in Fig. 1 for both groundstate and excited cascades, is a two-step process. A highmass hyperon is made via  $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+Y^*$  followed by a decay branch of the  $Y^*$  to  $K^+\Xi$ . Direct production of  $\Xi$  seems unlikely because two  $s\bar{s}$  quark pairs would need to be created at the production vertex (a violation of the Okubo, Zweig, and Iizuka rule [7]). The hadronic coupling constants in this two-step process are unknown, and so the theoretical calculations have been normalized to data from previous experiments of the CLAS Collaboration [9]. No calculations have been published for the photoproduction of excited  $\Xi^*$ states.

The  $\Xi(1690)$  was seen by the WA89 Collaboration [11] with high statistics and more recently by the Belle Collaboration [12] in  $\Lambda_c^+$  decays. The  $\Xi(1820)$  was seen decaying to  $\Lambda \overline{K}^0$  and  $\Sigma \overline{K}^0$  [13] and from decays to  $\Lambda K^-$  with  $8\sigma$ significance [14,15]. Both states have widths of about 25 MeV [7] [or even less for the  $\Xi(1690)$ ] and should be seen in the present Rapid Communication if the photoproduction cross section is sufficiently high.



FIG. 1. Diagrams used by Ref. [10] for photoproduction of the  $\Xi^-$  ground state (left) and excited states (right) through decay of an intermediate hyperon resonance  $Y^*$ .

Electrons from the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility at Jefferson Laboratory with beam energy 5.715 GeV were directed onto a thin gold radiator foil to produce bremsstrahlung photons. These were collimated onto a 40-cm-long liquid-hydrogen target. The detector of the CLAS Collaboration [16] was used for this experiment, known as g12, which ran in the second quarter of 2008. The target center was 90-cm upstream from the center of the detector of the CLAS Collaboration to provide better acceptance for particles produced at small angles. To allow for high luminosity, with a beam current of 60-65 nA, a 24-segment scintillator start counter [17] (ST) around the target was used to form a coincidence trigger with the time-of-flight (TOF) [18] scintillators that surrounded the outside of the detector of the CLAS Collaboration. Two ST-TOF pairs of hits in separate sectors of the detector of the CLAS Collaboration in coincidence with a scattered electron in the bremsstrahlung tagger [19] were required to satisfy the trigger. These conditions, along with several ancillary trigger conditions, resulted in a live time of the data-acquisition system of  $\sim 87\%$ . A trigger coincidence window of approximately 100 ns resulted in about 20-30 recorded photons per event.

In this analysis, events were defined as two  $K^+$  particles detected in the detector of the CLAS Collaboration within 1.0 ns of the photon's vertex time and the two-particle vertex within the target volume. The  $K^+K^+$  vertex time was calculated using the time at the TOF along with its momentum and path length measured in the drift chambers. In addition, each track was required to have a valid hit in the ST within



FIG. 2. Missing mass off of  $(K^+K^+)$  showing the  $\Xi$  spectrum above a smooth background, summed over all angles and all  $E_{\gamma}$ . The two lowest-lying states are shown along with their approximate yields. The missing-mass resolution of the detector of the CLAS Collaboration is about 0.01 GeV.

a  $\pm 1.6$ -ns time window. These timing cuts were rigorously calibrated and studied for their overall efficiency. With these cuts, clean identification of the two charged kaons became possible.

One additional event selection criterion was applied to the data. The mass of each charged particle can be independently calculated from the momentum along with the velocity from the TOF. At high momenta, the above timing cuts alone become less effective at separating kaons from pions. An additional cut on the calculated mass within 20 MeV of the known kaon mass was applied to further reduce background from misidentified pions.

In the missing mass off of  $K^+K^+$  (Fig. 2), the strong peak at 1.32 GeV corresponds to the  $\Xi$  ground state  $(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-)$ , and the smaller peak at 1.53 GeV is the  $\Xi^*$  first excited state  $(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-)$ . No other statistically significant structures are seen in this mass spectrum.

It may be somewhat surprising that no statistically significant peaks are seen corresponding to the known  $\Xi^*$  states above the  $\Xi(1530)$ . The most likely explanation is that the same reaction mechanism that leads to the  $\Xi$  and  $\Xi(1530)$  does not, for photoproduction, extend to these higher-mass  $\Xi$  states, which have different spins and parities. However, theoretical calculations and more precise measurements are needed to test this hypothesis.

The total cross sections are shown in Fig. 3 together with previous results of the CLAS Collaboration [9] for the  $\Xi(1320)$  ground state and the  $\Xi(1535)$  first excited state. This result was obtained by integrating fits to the angular distributions of differential cross sections, which will be shown in a forthcoming paper. These new data, for the first time, show that the total cross section levels off above  $W \simeq 2.8$  GeV, which is only evident now with the new data from the CLAS Collaboration. This suggests that the production mechanism is not from an intermediate *s*-channel resonance, in part, because



FIG. 3. Total cross section of the  $\Xi^{-}(1320)$  ground state and  $\Xi^{-}(1535)$  first excited state from photoproduction threshold to W = 3.3 GeV. The previous data from the CLAS Collaboration (from the g11 dataset) with large uncertainties above 2.9 GeV are shown by the triangle markers.

it is consistent with the predictions of a two-step reaction mechanism through intermediate  $N^*$  and  $Y^*$  resonances of Nakayama *et al.* [10], which becomes flat for W > 2.9 GeV.

If the total cross sections maintain reasonably constant values up to higher photon energies, then further studies of the  $\Xi$  and  $\Xi^*$  states could be performed with the future CLAS12 detector [20]. Preliminary estimates [21] show that more than a factor of 10 times the statistics on  $\Xi$  production could be obtained at CLAS12. In addition, the GlueX experiment at Jefferson Laboratory is expected to soon have similar good statistics for  $\Xi$  production at higher photon energies [22].

The systematic uncertainties, which are not included in Fig. 3, include 6% due to the normalization (such as photon flux), 5% due to integration of fits to the angular distributions, 3% due to variations of cuts and detector acceptance, and 3% due to other effects, such as target length and electronics live time, giving 8.8% overall.

No evidence is found for higher-mass  $\Xi$  states in the missing mass off of  $K^+K^+$  of this experiment shown in Fig. 2. Upper limits were calculated on the production total cross sections of the three best-known excited states: the  $\Xi(1690)$ , the  $\Xi(1820)$ , and the  $\Xi(1950)$  [7] at 0.75, 1.01, and 1.58 nb, respectively, at the 90% confidence limit. Figure 4 shows an expansion of the missing-mass spectrum of Fig. 2. The spectrum is fit to a third-order polynomial along with three Voigtians with fixed means, Lorentzian widths, and Gaussian widths for the  $\Xi(1690)$ ,  $\Xi(1820)$ , and  $\Xi(1950)$ , using their measured widths [7] shown by the filled curve for a 90% confidence level upper limit.

The ratio of the  $\Xi(1690)$  to  $\Xi(1530)$  cross sections in  $\Sigma^$ production was measured by the WA89 Collaboration [11] to be approximately 2.2%. Of course, the photoproduction mechanism of the experiment of the CLAS Collaboration is different from the largely hadronic process of the WA89 Collaboration, but the upper limit for the  $\Xi(1690)$  from the data of the CLAS Collabooration is consistent with this hadronic ratio.



FIG. 4. (a) Missing mass off of  $K^+K^+$  with a fitted third-order polynomial background. (b) The filled curves correspond to the 90% confidence (Feldman-Cousins prescription) yield upper limits of  $\Xi^{*-}$  states at 1690, 1820, and 1950 MeV.

In conclusion, we report the first total cross sections for photoproduction of the  $\Xi(1320)$  and  $\Xi(1530)$  ground states over center-of-mass energies above W = 2.8 GeV where the cross section is found to level off. One of the goals of the present measurements was to explore the spectrum of excited  $\Xi^*$  states, but surprisingly these states are very suppressed in photoproduction, and only upper limits could be determined for the total cross sections for three known  $\Xi^*$  states (at masses 1690, 1820, and 1950 MeV). The production mechanism that explains such small photoproduction cross sections is not yet known and begs for an explanation from future theoretical calculations. More measurements at higher photon energies using the upgraded Jefferson Laboratory accelerator will soon be available to test such calculations.

As mentioned earlier, the spectrum of the  $\Xi$  baryons is incomplete since we expect one  $\Xi^*$  resonance for each known  $N^*$  resonance. This Rapid Communication shows that the photoproduction mechanism for W < 3.3 GeV does not strongly populate higher-mass  $\Xi^*$  resonances and so one must look to other methods to complete the spectrum of  $\Xi^*$ resonances.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the work of the Jefferson Laboratory staff in the Accelerator and Physics Divisions. This work was supported by the U.K. Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), the Chilean Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnològica (CONI-CYT), the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the French Commissariat à lEnergie Atomique, the U.S. National Science Foundation, and the National Research Foundation of Korea. Jefferson Science Associates, LLC, operates the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility for the the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177.

- R. G. Edwards, N. Mathur, D. G. Richards, and S. J. Wallace (Hadron Spectrum Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 87, 054506 (2013).
- [2] M. Gell-Mann and Y. Ne'eman, *The Eightfold Way* (Benjamin, New York, 1964).
- [3] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 45, S241 (2000).
- [4] L. Ya. Glozman and D. O. Riska, Phys. Rep. 268, 263 (1996).
- [5] R. Bijker, F. Iachello, and A. Leviatan, Ann. Phys. (NY) 284, 89 (1996).
- [6] D.-O. Riska, Eur. Phys. J. A 17, 297 (2003).
- [7] M. Tanabashi *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 98, 030001 (2018).
- [8] J. W. Price et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 058201 (2005).
- [9] L. Guo *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 76, 025208 (2007).
- [10] K. Nakayama, Y. Oh, and H. Haberzetti, Phys. Rev. C 74, 035205 (2006).

- [11] M. I. Adamovich et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 5, 621 (1998).
- [12] B. Aubert *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ex/0607043.
- [13] S. F. Biagi et al., Z. Phys. C 34, 15 (1987).
- [14] S. F. Biagi et al., Z. Phys. C 9, 305 (1981).
- [15] S. F. Biagi et al., Z. Phys. C 34, 175 (1987).
- [16] B. A. Mecking *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 503, 513 (2003).
- [17] Y. G. Sharabian *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 556, 246 (2006).
- [18] E. S. Smith *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 432, 265 (1999).
- [19] D. I. Sober *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 440, 263 (2000).
- [20] S. Stepanyan, in HADRON 2009: Proceedings of the XIII International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, edited by V. Crede, P. Eugenio, and A. Ostrovidov, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 1257 (AIP, New York, 2010), p. 121.
- [21] The CLAS Collaboration, CLAS-Note (2012).
- [22] A. Ernst, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 62, JK.00005 (2017).