Comparative study of the double-K-shell-vacancy production in single- and double-electron-capture decay

S. S. Ratkevich,^{1,2,*} A. M. Gangapshev,¹ Yu. M. Gavrilyuk,¹ F. F. Karpeshin,³ V. V. Kazalov,¹ V. V. Kuzminov,¹ S. I. Panasenko,^{1,2} M. B. Trzhaskovskaya,⁴ and S. P. Yakimenko¹

¹Baksan Neutrino Observatory INR RAS, Neitrino 361609, Russia

²V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Kharkiv 61022, Ukraine

³D.I. Mendeleev Institute for Metrology, Saint-Petersburg 190005, Russia

⁴Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, NRC "Kurchatov Institute", Gatchina 188300, Russia

(Received 13 September 2017; published 19 December 2017)

Background: A double-K-electron capture is a rare nuclear-atomic process in which two K electrons are captured simultaneously from the atomic shell. A "hollow atom" is created as a result of this process. In single-K-shell electron-capture decays, there is a small probability that the second electron in the K shell is excited to an unoccupied level or can (mostly) be ejected to the continuum. In either case, a double vacancy is created in the K shell. The relaxation of the double-K-shell vacancy, accompanied by the emission of two K-fluorescence photons, makes it possible to perform experimental studies of such rare processes with the large-volume proportional gas chamber.

Purpose: The purpose of the present analysis is to estimate a double-K-shell vacancy creation probability per K-shell electron capture P_{KK} of ⁸¹Kr, as well as to measure the half-life of ⁷⁸Kr relative to $2\nu 2K$ capture.

Method: Time-resolving current pulse from the large low-background proportional counter (LPC), filled with the krypton sample, was applied to detect triple coincidences of "shaked" electrons and two fluorescence photons.

Results: The number of K-shell vacancies per the K-electron capture, produced as a result of the shake-off process, has been measured for the decay of ⁸¹Kr. The probability for this decay was found to be $P_{KK} = (5.7 \pm$ 0.8 × 10⁻⁵ with a systematic error of $(\Delta P_{KK})_{syst} = \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$. For the ⁷⁸Kr(2 ν 2K) decay, the comparative study of single- and double-capture decays allowed us to obtain the signal-to-background ratio up to 15/1. The half-life $T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K}(g.s. \rightarrow g.s.) = [1.9^{+1.3}_{-0.7}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.3(\text{syst})] \times 10^{22}$ y is determined from the analysis of data that have been accumulated over 782 days of live measurements in the experiment that used samples consisted of 170.6 g of ⁷⁸Kr.

Conclusions: The data collected during low background measurements using the LPC were analyzed to search the rare atomic and nuclear processes. We have determined P_{KK}^{exp} for the *EC* decay of ⁸¹Kr, which are in satisfactory agreement with Z^{-2} dependence of P_{KK} predicted by Primakoff and Porter. This made possible to more accurately determine the background contribution in the energy region of our interest for the search for the 2K capture in ⁷⁸Kr. The general procedure of data analysis allowed us to determine the half-life of ⁷⁸Kr relative to $2\nu 2K$ transition with a greater statistical accuracy than in our previous works.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.96.065502

I. INTRODUCTION

A double-electron capture (ECEC) is a process inverse to a double- β decay. This process can occur in atoms on the proton-rich side of the mass parabola of even-even isobars. In this reaction two bound electrons from the atomic shell are captured by two protons, thereby lowering the charge of the final nucleus by two units:

$$e_{\bar{b}} + e_{\bar{b}} + (Z, A) \to (Z - 2, A)^{**}(+2\nu_e).$$
 (1)

Here, the two asterisks denote the possibility of leaving the system in an excited nuclear and/or atomic state, the latter being characterized by two vacancies in the electron shell of the neutral atom. The two-neutrino mode $(2\nu ECEC)$ is allowed in the standard model while the existence of the lepton number violating neutrinoless double-electron capture (0vECEC) would prove the Majorana nature of the neutrino.

looking for the $2\nu 2K$ process. The objective of these searches would be a triple coincidence detection of two K x rays of fluorescence generated by the electron occupation of two formed vacancies, and of low-energy Auger electrons from the residual excitation. The distinct feature of these x rays is that they differ from the regular fluorescence by energy and intensity. Moreover, there is a considerable difference in the nature of the fluorescence when comparing

Unfortunately, the search for ECEC decay is complicated due to small rates and the experimental challenge to observe

only the produced x rays or Auger electrons for transitions

to the ground state. It is less probable than a double- β decay

with the emission of two electrons and two neutrinos $(2\nu\beta\beta)$.

The latter process has been detected in direct experiments

for 11 different nuclei [1,2], whereas there are only a few

indications of the $2\nu ECEC$ process which have been seen in 78 Kr. They were discussed in our previous works [3,4], and a geochemical measurement for 130 Ba [5,6] with the

half-lives of the order of 10^{21} years. However, from the

experimental registration prospective it is beneficial to keep

^{*}ssratkevich@karazin.ua

neutrinoless versus two neutrino double electron capture processes.

In the present work, a two-neutrino double-*K*-electron capture $(2\nu 2K)$ is another process of our interest. The analysis of the investigated nuclei showed that the capture of two electrons from the *K* shell provides the largest contribution to the process of *ECEC*. The data reported in Ref. [7] suggest that the 2*K*-capture events for ⁷⁸Kr and ¹²⁴Xe isotopes account for 78.6% and 76.8% of the total number of *ECEC* processes.

Apparently, a unique state is formed in a daughter atom when two electrons from the *K* shell are captured. This state represents a neutral atom with the inflated shell, exposing two vacancies in the *K* shell. To detect such a process, we have to keep in mind that for Z > 30, where *K* fluorescence yields are large, the dominant decay of double-*K*-vacancy states happens through the sequential emission of two *K* x rays.

Our search for the 2*K* capture in ⁷⁸Kr and ¹²⁴Xe isotopes have been carried out in a deep-underground low-background laboratory of the Baksan Neutrino Observatory (BNO) for several years [8–11]. Large copper proportional counters (LPC) with the full volume of 10.4 1 were employed there at the current stage of research. This choice of counters was dictated by their reliability and simplicity of design, especially when operating in the average x-ray energy field that is smaller than 100 keV. A detailed description of the setup can be found, for example, in Ref. [12]. The technique of searches for the 2*K*-capture process was based on a comparison of pulse-height spectra in the range of total energy relaxation of an excited electron shell of the atom. For this aim, we used the data of measurements from samples with a different isotopic enrichment.

The purpose of the present work is to establish a time correlation (coincidence) between the two K x rays that are emitted after the decay of double K-vacancy states. Comparative analysis of the signals, coming from the creation of double K-shell-vacancy states, was carried out. These signals are produced by the $2\nu 2K$ -capture of the ⁷⁸Kr or when one K electron is captured and the other one is ejected from the atom in the ⁸¹Kr.

In the first approximation, one may consider that an additional vacancy does not affect the fluorescence yield. In our first works, we assumed that the fluorescence yields for $(K^{-2} \rightarrow K^{-1}L^{-1})$ and $(K^{-1}L^{-1} \rightarrow L^{-2})$ transition are equal to the fluorescence yield of normal diagram line $(K^{-1} \rightarrow L^{-1})$. As a result, we were able to approximately calculate the following: the total energy $(B_{2K}$ —energy of the double-*K*-electron), the composition and the energy of radiation (a cascade of two characteristic photons and Auger electrons), the probability to fill the double *K* vacancy with the emission of two photons of given characteristic energies (the second degree coefficient of the fluorescence yield $\omega_{2K} \simeq \omega_{K}^{2}$).

A characteristic x ray can travel a long distance in a gas medium from the point of its origin to the point of its absorption. For example, 10% of K x rays with energies of 11.2 and 12.5 keV are absorbed in krypton at the pressure of 4.4 Bar ($\rho = 0.0164 \text{ g/cm}^3$) on paths of 1.8 and 2.4 mm long, respectively. The paths of electrons with the same energies are 0.37 and 0.44 mm, respectively. They produce almost pointwise charge clusters of a primary ionization in the gas

[13]. In the case when both x rays and Auger electrons are absorbed in the gas of the proportional counter operating volume, the total energy deposition is distributed over three pointwise charge clusters ($X \otimes X \otimes e_A$). These events possess a number of unique features.

For this goal, we can make a comparative analysis of the selected spectra of three-point events. Arranging the point energy deposits in these events in order of magnitude, we can select only those that contain a small component of the several keV in range of energy deposits, the ratio between two others being higher than 0.7. The average and maximum amplitudes are generated by characteristic K photons of tens of keV ($[K_{\alpha 1} \otimes K_{\alpha 1}], [K_{\alpha 1} \otimes K_{\alpha 2}], [K_{\alpha 2} \otimes K_{\alpha 2}], [K_{\alpha 1} \otimes$ $K_{\beta 1}$], $[K_{\alpha 1} \otimes K_{\beta 2}]$, $[K_{\alpha 2} \otimes K_{\beta 1}]$, and $[K_{\alpha 2} \otimes K_{\beta 2}]$ of the daughter atom. The fraction α_k of the listed combinations of two quanta detected within a three-point event is equal to 0.985 out of the total number of possible combinations. Selecting three-point events on the basis of this criterion thus allows us to additionally reduce the background within the energy range of the expected peak and to obtain a general idea of the spectrum's shape over a wide range of energies.

Such an approach enabled us to establish a certain limit on the half-life of the considered decay, even when no essential effects was observed. The situation had changed when in our long-continued measurements appeared a positive effect (~10 events/year) in the range of released energy B_{2K} in data with an exposure of more than a hundred kg × d [4,11]. This had happened as a result of numerous successive improvements of the methods of data analysis and background characteristics of the experimental setup.

To interpret this excess correctly, precise values of the mentioned above parameters $[B_{2K}, \omega_{2K}, E(K_{\alpha,\beta}), I(K_{\alpha,\beta})]$ are essential. These values can only be calculated in an appropriate theoretical model. This model has to describe processes that occur in the excited atomic shell. Below, we suppose, that the fluorescence yields are proportional to the energy in third power. In this approximation, we recalculate the above-listed parameters. The related radiative widths and energies are calculate within the framework of the Dirac-Fock method. The corresponding high-statistics and high-resolution experiment is necessary to test such calculations for the 2K capture. It will be challenging to perform such an experiment, though.

It is known that, besides the 2K capture, other rare physical phenomena that can create a double-K vacancy in atoms exist [14]. For example, a double-K-shell photoionization of the atom can create the "hollow atom" by absorbing a single photon and releasing both K electrons. Another example is studies of double K ionization that follows electron capture (EC) decay of radioactive nuclei. During this process, there is a small probability that the second electron in the K shell is excited to the unoccupied level (shake-up; SU) or ejected to the continuum (shake-off; SO). These processes will appear as a background in 2K-capture experiments, because γ rays are absorbed there within the fiducial volume of the counter. These γ rays are coming from the walls of the detector. In addition, the long-lived cosmogenic radionuclide ⁸¹Kr in the depleted krypton sample is present in residual amounts.⁸¹Kr decays by K electron capture can create two vacancies in the K shell of a daughter atom.



FIG. 1. Schematic of the TEOP (left) and OEOP (right) transitions and the atomic-level-decay diagram for the initial *K*-shell two-hole state ${}^{1}S_{0}$ (middle).

Atoms with an empty *K* shell cannot be metastable. An electron relaxation and rearrangement processes can give us a response to a *K*-shell doubly excited state. The excited atom decays in a cascade of nonradiative Auger and radiative transitions. The most probable *K* hypersatellite transitions are those for which one of the two *K* vacancies is filled by a *L* electron, namely KK - KLX (X = L, M, etc.) hypersatellites in Auger electron spectra and KK - KL (usually noted K^h_{α}) hypersatellites in x-ray fluorescence spectra. For the experimental method used by us, radiative transitions are more preferable.

The radiative decay of double 1s vacancy states may proceed through two electron one-photon (TEOP) or oneelectron one-photon (OEOP) transitions [15,16]. The scheme and the atomic level decay diagram for these transitions with the fluorescence of the K_{α} series are shown in Fig. 1. In the TEOP $(1s^{-2} \rightarrow 2s^{-1}2p^{-1})$ transition, which is denoted by $K^{h}_{\alpha\alpha}$, the two K-shell core holes are filled simultaneously via a correlated two-electron jump. Moreover, there is a single photon emitted. Its energy is approximately twice as large as that of the emitted parent K_{α} diagram line. The OEOP process, which corresponds to the K^{h}_{α} ($1s^{-2} \rightarrow 1s^{-1}2p^{-1}$) hypersatellite transition, represents to a large extent the predominant radiative decay channel of hollow K-shell atoms.

Two electron one photon transitions have been observed in heavy-ion-atom collision experiments since the seventies. The distinct feature of TEOP transitions is that they are characterized by extremely weak intensities as compared to those of hypersatellites. It is because they correspond to correlated multielectron processes. To date, there are several theoretical and experimental works (see Ref. [17] and references therein) whose purpose is to study two electron one photon processes from doubly ionized atoms; the ionization is created by the inner shells.

Recently, the first experimental evidence of TEOP transitions in the single-photon *K*-shell double ionization was reported by Hoszowska *et al.* [18]. The $K_{\alpha\alpha}^h$ to K_{α}^h branching ratios for Mg, Al, and Si were found to be of the order of 10^{-4} . To our best knowledge, there has been only one experimental observation of $K_{\alpha\alpha}^h$ x rays in the electron-capture decay. The Mn $K_{\alpha\alpha}^h$ x rays were observed by Yasuhito Isozumi in a measurement done with a Si(Li) detector [19]. The intensity ratio of $K_{\alpha\alpha}^h$ x rays to K_{α} x ray was found to be $R = (5.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-8}$ for the *EC* decay of ⁵⁵Fe. Thus, the contribution of TEOP transitions in our measurements can be considered negligible. The radiative decay of double-*K*-shell hole states proceeds mainly through the OEOP process. In this case, the second radiative transition $(1s^{-1}2p^{-1} \rightarrow 2p^{-2})$ arising when, in addition to a single-*K* vacancy, there are one or more additional vacancies in the outer orbitals of the initial state will be called a K^s satellite. Thus, double-*K*-shell vacancies give rise to the subsequent emission of hypersatellite *K* x rays and satellite *K* x rays. In our case, a coincident detection of these x rays is the main criterium for the selection of events due to the OEOP process, and it allows us to determine the production probability of the double vacancy in *K* shell.

In this paper, we focus on the analysis of theoretical and experimental data accumulated by the processes of formation of hollow atoms as a result of the single-*K*-electron capture in ⁸¹Kr and double-*K*-electron capture decay in ⁷⁸Kr. The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II we discuss features of the fluorescence generated by a two-neutrino double-*K*-capture for ⁷⁸Kr and ¹²⁴Xe. Section III describes the experimental procedures and analysis of data obtained from the measurements done with the LPC which were filled with two samples of the krypton. The conclusion is given in Sec. IV.

II. CALCULATION

The ECEC process is possible when the mass difference Q between the parent and daughter atoms is positive:

$$Q = M(Z, A) - M(Z - 2, A),$$
 (2)

where M(Z,A) is a mass of the atom with the atomic number Z and the mass number A.

In principle, the total energy of the transition can be carried away by two emitted neutrinos. This mechanism is possible only for the higher orders of perturbation theory since it requires a radiationless rearrangement of the electron shell. To obtain a clear and complete picture, we consider two mechanisms. One mechanism includes the capture of two valence electrons. As a result of this, the daughter atom is left in the ground state. The probability of such a mechanism existing is relatively low, due to the low probability of finding both outer electrons on the nucleus. The other possible mechanism involves the capture of two inner electrons, followed by the simultaneous radiationless transition of two valence electrons to the formed vacancies. This is due to the nonorthogonality of the electron wave functions of (Z, A) and (Z - 2, A) atoms [20,21]. The probability of this to happen is also low due to the low amplitude of the overlap between the wave functions of inner and outer electrons. For example, the overlapping integral of the wave function of the 4s electron of the krypton atom and the 1s electron of the selenium atom is 3×10^{-3} . Hence, the amplitude of the simultaneous transition of two electrons is around $\sim 10^{-5}$. This means that the probability of such a mechanism to happen is as low as $\sim 10^{-10}$.

1. Widths of fluorescent bands upon 2v2K-capture

Let us consider a conventional mechanism of the doubleelectron capture that is observed in the lowest order of perturbation theory. This mechanism involves the nuclear capture of two K electrons. As a result of this capture, there

S. S. RATKEVICH et al.

is an atom, with an excited shell, formed. In addition, if the energy conservation allows, there is a nuclear excitation formed, as well. We shall assume that the excitation energy of the electron shell after the emission of the two neutrinos is ε_A , and that of the nucleus, is ε_n . We denote the mass of the atom with two vacancies available as $M_{Z-2}^{**} \equiv M_{Z-2} + \varepsilon_A$, and that of the atom with one *K* vacancy, which becomes available after the emission of the first fluorescence quantum, as M_{Z-2}^* . Moreover, each atom remains in the excited state after the emission of two fluorescence quanta. We denote the masses of (mentioned above) excited states as M_{Z-2}^f . Consequently, by using Eq. (2) the energy release for the *ECEC* process can be written as

$$Q^* = M_Z - M_{Z-2} - \varepsilon_A - \varepsilon_n \equiv M_Z - M_{Z-2}^{**} - \varepsilon_n.$$
(3)

Let us consider the transition of a nucleus to the ground state, which is characterized by $\varepsilon_n = 0$. This transition is accompanied by the energy release

$$Q_1 = M_Z - M_{Z-1}^*. (4)$$

Ordinarily, $Q_1 \ll 0$. The first intermediate state of the nucleus (Z - 1, A) is virtual, with the descent from the mass shell

(defect of the resonance),

$$\Delta_1 = M_Z - \varepsilon_{\nu}^1 - M_{Z-1}^* = Q_1^* - \varepsilon_{\nu}^1 \ll 0.$$
 (5)

Here and below, ε_{ν}^{i} and ε_{X}^{i} represent the energy of the *i*th neutrino and of the fluorescence quantum, respectively. Similarly, the defect of the resonance for the second intermediate state,

$$\Delta_2 = Q^* - \varepsilon_\nu^1 - \varepsilon_\nu^2. \tag{6}$$

For the third propagator,

$$\Delta_3 = M_Z - M_{Z-2}^* - \varepsilon_v^1 - \varepsilon_v^2 - \varepsilon_X^1,$$
(7)

and for the fourth,

$$\Delta_4 = Q_f - \varepsilon_\nu^1 - \varepsilon_\nu^2 - \varepsilon_X^1 - \varepsilon_X^2, \qquad (8)$$

 $Q_f = M_Z - M_{Z-2}^f$ is the final energy release that happens between the initial and the final state.

The formed vacancies are filled by the emission of two fluorescence quanta so that the portion of the released energy Q^* (3) is carried away by four particles: two neutrinos and two characteristic K photons.

As follows from Ref. [22], the energy distribution of the fluorescence quanta is

$$W_{2\nu 2e} \sim \Gamma_{\nu}^{1} \Gamma_{\nu}^{2} \frac{\Gamma_{X}^{1} \Gamma_{X}^{2}}{\left[\left(\varepsilon_{X}^{1} - \Omega_{1}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{3}}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \left[\left(\varepsilon_{X}^{2} - \Omega_{2}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Gamma_{f} + \Gamma_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right]} \frac{d\varepsilon_{X}^{1}}{2\pi} \frac{d\varepsilon_{X}^{2}}{2\pi}.$$
(9)

Here, Γ_{ν}^{i} and Γ_{X}^{i} are the widths corresponding to the elementary amplitudes of the electron capture and fluorescence, respectively.

Equation (9) describes the shape of the fluorescence spectrum. As expected, the shape of this spectrum has two peaks with resonance energies given by the difference between the full energy of the atom before and after the fluorescence:

$$\Omega_1 = M_{Z-2}^* - M_{Z-2} - \varepsilon_A, \tag{10}$$

$$\Omega_2 = \varepsilon_A - \varepsilon_f. \tag{11}$$

As mentioned above, these resonance energies differ from the regular values that are observed in the case of single-hole decay states. Moreover, the peaks are considerably wider. Γ_2 in Eq. (9) is the full width of the decay of the atomic state with two vacancies in the *K* shell per time unit. Considering that the probability of the fluorescence is proportional to the number of vacancies, we may assume that its estimate would be approximately double the total width of the atom with one vacancy on the *K* shell, Γ_K :

$$\Gamma_2 \approx 2\Gamma_K.$$
 (12)

After the initial fluorescence, the hole is usually transferred to the *L* shell, so that the atom remains in the state with two vacancies. Its total width Γ_3 , defined in Eq. (9) is approximately

$$\Gamma_3 \approx \Gamma_K + \Gamma_L \approx 2\Gamma_K,\tag{13}$$

where Γ_L is the width of the hole state in the L shell. In the first approximation, this width is equal to the width of a vacancy in

the K shell [23]. Finally, Γ_f is the width of the atom in the state following two fluorescence with two vacancies in the L shell. Similar to previous estimations, it can be approximated to

$$\Gamma_f \approx 2\Gamma_K.$$
 (14)

Combining all the estimates, we find from Eq. (9) that the width of the first fluorescence quantum is approximately $\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 \approx 4\Gamma_K$, as well as the width of the second fluorescence quantum is approximately $\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_f \approx 4\Gamma_K$. Therefore, one can assume that widths of the fluorescence lines for $2\nu ECEC$ are quite wide—on the order of four widths of the regular fluorescence.

2. Fluorescence energy upon 2v2K capture

A unique state of a neutral atom with an inflated electron shell and unfilled K shell is formed as a result of the double-K capture. A number of questions arise:

—What energy E_{Z-2}^{**} is needed to inflate the atomic shell, and what energy release is expected upon the 2K capture?

In the first approximation, the energy $E_{Z-2}^{**} = 2K_{ab}$, where K_{ab} is the energy required to transfer the K electron to the valence level. More accurate calculation of E_{Z-2}^{**} can be performed if one remembers that the value of E^{**} is given by the energy difference between "inflated" and ground states of the atom. Such a calculation was performed numerically in Ref. [24] by using the RAINE computer code package [25,26]. This package calculates atomic shell parameters by using multiconfigurational Dirac-Fock (MCDF) method. The finite size of the nucleus, the highest quantum-electrodynamic correction for vacuum polarization, and the electron self energy were

TABLE I. Calculated values of photon energies (in eV), released energy (B_{2K} , in eV), and fluorescence yields in comparison with table values.

Atom	Referenced data			Chain	Present calculation							
	$K^0_{lpha 1}$	ω	$2B_K$		$K_{\alpha 1}$	$K^h_{lpha 1}$	$K^h_{\alpha 2}$	$K^{s}_{\alpha 1}$	$K^s_{\alpha 2}$	B_{2K}	ω^h	ω^s
Se	11 222	0.602	25 304	(18)	11 248	11 606	11 560	11 308	11 262	25 804	0.770	0.610
Br	11 924	0.636	26 948	(19)	11 932							
				(20)		12 294		11 984		27 528	0.648	0.636
Te	27 472	0.875	63 620	(18)	27 471	28 151	27 858	27 636	27 362	64 390	0.883	0.874

considered there. As a result, a value of $E_{Se}^{**} = 25804 \text{ eV}$ for selenium and a value of $E_{Te}^{**} = 64390 \text{ eV}$ for tellurium were obtained. The remaining energy, $E_3 = E_{Z-2}^{**} - \varepsilon_X^1 - \varepsilon_X^2$, is released by the atom in the form of Auger electrons and soft x rays, which are emitted after the emission of two fluorescence photons.

—What are the expected energies of the characteristic *K* photons?

In the first approximation, energies of the characteristic K photons are equal to those of ordinary fluorescence quanta $K^0_{\alpha,\beta}$. More accurate values were calculated using the RAINE package. We calculated the energies of $K^h_{\alpha 1,2}$ hypersatellite and $K^s_{\alpha 1,2}$ satellite transitions for selenium and tellurium. The first fluorescence quantum differs most from the energy of $K^0_{\alpha 1}$, with the energy difference being equal to ~360 and ~680 eV for selenium and tellurium, respectively. The second quantum is larger than the ordinary fluorescence by about 60 and 165 eV for selenium and tellurium, respectively. The results of our calculation are presented in Table I. The corresponding values were calculated based on the energies of the electron separation given in Ref. [27].

—What is the fluorescence yield of two K photons?

Vacancies in the K shell are filled mostly by the fluorescence. The emission of Auger electrons is also possible. The probability of a radiative transfer is doubled upon the availability of two vacancies, in compliance with the number of vacancies in the initial state. The probability of the Auger transfer to happen is also doubled. The probability of radiative transfer over a unit of time diminishes by 1/6 for the second fluorescence quantum. This is proportional to the occupation number of the P shell in its initial state. The probability of the second Auger transfer to happen falls off over time by exactly the same value; hence, the expected probabilities of the fluorescence upon the K capture remain the same as we would expect in the first approximation, for example, 60.2% for selenium and 87.5% for tellurium [27].

One can estimate corrections to the fluorescence yields by taking into account the changes of the electron shell. In particular, we can employ reference values of the normal x rays. This is assured by the fact that the change of the electronic configuration leads to the change of x-ray energies. Corresponding data are presented in Table I. Radiative widths there increase with the energy as $\sim k^3$ [28]. The Auger process can be considered as a conversion process [29], whose energy dependence is much weaker and, thus, it may be neglected in the first approximation. We also neglect the changes induced by changing electronic wave functions [30]. Let us now consider a simple two-channel model, which assumes that the probability of the emission of an x ray reads as the branching ratio

$$\omega_X = \frac{\Gamma_X}{\Gamma_X + \Gamma_A},\tag{15}$$

where Γ_X and Γ_A are radiative and Auger partial widths, respectively. In accordance with our assumption, let the modified radiative widths be

$$\Gamma'_X = (k'/k)^3 \Gamma_X \equiv (1+\xi)\Gamma_X, \tag{16}$$

where k and k' are the table and actual values of the transition energy. By substituting Eq. (16) in Eq. (15) and taking into account the fact that $\xi \ll 1$, one gets the following expression:

$$\omega'_X = \frac{\Gamma'_X}{\Gamma'_X + \Gamma_A} = \omega_X [1 + \xi (1 - \omega_X)].$$
(17)

We present in Table I the modified values of the fluorescence yields, calculated by means of Eq. (17). As one can see, these changes are within one percent of each other, as it was expected. They are in an agreement with the numerical calculation [31], where changes of the electron shell were explicitly taken into account.

III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A. Introduction

For the LPC, the signal from the $2\nu 2K$ capture is similar to the signal from the double-vacancy creation that follows the single-electron capture. In both cases, two simultaneous fluorescence photons are emitted from the daughter atom,

chain associated with the double-K capture,

$$2e_K + (Z, A) \rightarrow (Z - 2, A)^{K^{++}} + 2\nu_e,$$

$$\hookrightarrow (Z - 2, A) + K^h_\alpha + K^s_\alpha + m \times e_A, \quad (18)$$

chain associated with single-K capture,

$$e_{K} + (Z, A) \rightarrow (Z - 1, A)^{K^{+}} + \nu_{e}$$

$$\hookrightarrow (Z - 1, A) + K_{\alpha} + e_{A} \qquad (19)$$

$$e_{K} + (Z, A) \rightarrow (Z - 1, A)^{K^{++}} + \nu_{e} + e_{K}$$

$$\hookrightarrow (Z-1,A) + K^h_{\alpha} + K^s_{\alpha} + e_A.$$
(20)

The total energy release and radiation characteristics of SO processes in the single-*K*-capture decay of ⁸¹Kr are close to the ones of the 2*K* capture in ⁷⁸Kr. This means that they can create a background for the decay under investigation.

The results of our calculations, within the overall theoretical model, can be tested by the analysis of the data collected in the calibration mode of the detector with the sample containing 81 Kr.

The total probability of *K* shell SO and SU processes to occur is on the order of 10^{-4} per a single-*K* capture. Compensatory effects of the sudden reduction of a nuclear charge and sudden disappearance of electron-electron Coulomb interaction originate the mentioned SO and SU processes. The contribution from the SU process to this probability is negligible [32]. The filling of the empty *K* shell is accompanied by the nearly simultaneous ($\sim 10^{-16}$ s) emission of either two *K* x rays and two Auger electrons, or one *K* x ray and one Auger electron.

To find the probability of the double-*K*-vacancy production that follows the *K*-shell electron capture, data accumulated during long-term experiments were used. The purpose of these measurements was to search for the 2K capture of ⁷⁸Kr and resonance absorption of the solar axion emitted in the *M*1 transition of ⁸³Kr nuclei [33].

The measurement of the background was performed using two samples of krypton with different isotope composition: one of enriched in ⁷⁸Kr up to 99.81% and the second one is a depleted krypton, left after the ⁷⁸Kr isotope extraction up to 0.002%. These samples had been deliberately cleared from the radioactive isotope of ⁸⁵Kr ($T_{1/2} = 10.756$ yr), which is present in the atmospheric krypton. The procedure of purification of enriched sample was described in Ref. [34]. Table I in Ref. [3] gives final isotopic compositions of the krypton samples.

The cosmogenic radioactive isotope of ⁸¹Kr with the volume activity of $(0.076 \pm 0.004) \text{ min}^{-1}\text{l}^{-1}$ Kr [35] was contained in the original atmospheric krypton. The significant part of this isotope comes into the sample of depleted krypton during the process of its production. ⁸¹Kr produces ⁸¹Br by decaying via the electron capture.

The residual activity is sufficient enough to study the process of shake-off in the daughter ⁸¹Br atom. The source activity was on the order of 4 *EC* decays/min. The sample of krypton, enriched in ⁷⁸Kr, has been cleaned up from isotope ⁸¹Kr.

B. Experimental runs

Three stages of measurements were performed with the krypton sample containing ⁸¹Kr with the total exposure of 1167 live days distributed evenly throughout the search period. The total collection time of the first and second stages was 7600 and 8400 h, respectively. The LPC operated at the pressure of 4.4 and 4.6 bar during the first and second stages, respectively. The total time of the third stage amounted to 12 000 h, with a pressure of 5.6 bar. The total number of recorded *EC* decays had reached 6.7×10^6 events.

Two stages of long-lived measurements were carried out with krypton enriched in 78 Kr. In this case, the LPC operated at a pressure of 4.4 and 4.6 bar at the first and second stage, respectively, as with the depleted sample. This data set has also been analyzed in Refs. [3,4].

The ultimate sensitivity of the setup to the target effects depends first on the value of the intrinsic detector background and the quality of the method used to isolate the desired signal, with the specified feature set, from this background. Therefore, measurements were carried out in an underground laboratory of the BNO at 4900 m w.e. depth [36]. The flux of muons there was $(2.6 \pm 0.09) \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ [37]. The LPC was surrounded by a passive shield made of copper (20 cm), lead (20 cm), and polyethylene (8 cm).

The signal from the anode of the counter was delivered to the charge-sensitive amplifier (CSA) connected to the high-voltage electrode through the capacitor. Raw pulse shapes from the CSA were digitized with a high sampling rate and analyzed offline by using directly the pulse shape discrimination technique. CSA parameters had been optimized for the transmission of a signal with minimum distortions. In addition, the information about the primary ionization charge spatial distribution, projected onto the radius of the counter, was fully described by the shape of the pulse.

Collected data were processed offline by applying the optimum filtering procedure [12]. Besides this, the following pulse-characterizing parameters were evaluated for every signal that was recorded: the pulse amplitude, the rise time, and several pulse-shape indicators (the afterpulse amplitude, the delay time afterpulse). In addition, the energy resolution of the filtered baseline noise and the amplitude of the signal for a given energy deposit were estimated for each of data sets (100 h of measurements).

Output charge pulses from coincidence of two or more photon events from the CSA look like the pileup signals. There is a mathematical procedure that allows to convert the registered charge pulse into the pulse of the electric current, produced by electrons of primary ionization up to the boundary of the avalanche region. The obtained shape of the current's pulse can be described by a set of Gaussian curves that enable us to determine the charge brought in a certain component of the multipoint event. Calculated area of an individual Gaussian should correspond to the charge (energy) of the corresponding pointlike ionization. The digitized pulses' processing methods used in the experiment were described in the Ref. [38].

Hypersatellite and satellite transitions of a certain energy are the signatures of the presence of double-*K*-vacancy states. As it was noted in the introduction, characteristic x rays and Auger electrons can produce almost pointwise charge clusters of primary ionization in the gas under pressure that was used in the experiment. Depending on the pulse rise times of registered events, these events can be classified as "pointlike" or "lengthy." Pointlike pulses are produced by particles that create primary ionization charges in a region with a characteristic length (along with the radius) that is smaller than the cathode radius. Long-run, complex events can be produced when several pointlike events are registered simultaneously at different distances from the anode (so-called "multipoint" or "multicluster" events). Besides that, extended pulses can be generated by high-speed electrons with a track length comparable to the counter's radius.

The pulse shape of registered charged particles and photons that is taken from the LPC has some specific features. This fact enables us to easily distinguish the useful pulses from the nonionized noise signals and microdischarge events. The pulse taken from the anode wire is formed mainly due to the negative charge (ionic component) induced onto the anode by positive ions. These ions are produced near the anode wire during the gas amplification process, and they move toward the cathode. The drift time of ionic component is ~0.447 s. The contribution from the charge that is induced by avalanches of electrons and that is acting on the anode is small enough (~7%). This happens because electrons have to pass a small distance on their way to the anode (electrons collection time is ~1 ns).

The electric current pulse shape is affected by the density distribution of primary ionization electrons crossing the border of the gas amplification region. Parameters of the distribution depend on the drift time of the originally pointlike charge that drifts toward the anode.

The pointlike ionization is smeared out during the drift time due to the diffusion of the electrons into a cloud with a radial charge density distribution. This distribution appears to be similar to the normal distribution. Besides that, the CSA discharge constant τ_o affects the pulse shape, as well. Therefore, as mentioned above, the output pulses CSA were converted to current pulses.

The time resolution of subpulses of the current LPC signal allows us to implement a technique that studies coincidence events of Auger electrons and x rays in the offline mode. It will be shown below that, despite a poor energy resolution (compared to monolithic germanium or silicon drift detectors), the measurement of weak signals is possible. These signals are characterized by energy signatures that differ slightly from a random background. Examples of current pulses corresponding to events of two types are presented in Fig. 2. The pulse (the differential of the charge pulse) due to the photoabsorption of a 88-keV photon with simultaneous emission of characteristic photon with an energy of 12.6 keV and a photoelectron $E_{\rm p.e.} = \gamma 88 - K_{\alpha}({\rm Kr}) = 75.4 \ {\rm keV}$ (a two-point event of $[K_{\alpha} \oplus p.e.]$) is shown in Fig. 2(a). As it can be seen from Fig. 2(a) the current pulse is not of a symmetric shape. It contains ionic and electronic components with different drift times in the LPC gas. The output current pulse can be transformed to a symmetric shape. For this purpose, the correction on a self-discharge of the CSA has been made and the electronic component of the output pulse is used only.

C. Data analysis

The procedure that we used to determine the electron component of pointlike primary-ionization clusters via the analysis of the entire shape of the LPC pulse was discussed in detail in Ref. [12]. Ibid, wavelet thresholding methods of noise removal from the current pulses have been described too.

The electric current signal candidate for the three-point event from the $(X \otimes X \otimes e_A)$ coincidence is illustrated in Fig. 2(b). The maximum distance between pointwise charge clusters in projection onto the counter's radius is equal to the radius. The cathode-to-anode drift time of electrons (that is the maximum collecting time of electrons) is of the order of ~53 µs for the pure krypton [12]. From Fig. 2, it is apparent that the secondary pulse was produced in the counter ~(53–54) µs after the first pulse. The amplitude of the secondary pulse (afterpulse) is about five to six times smaller.



FIG. 2. Examples of current pulses of two types of events: (a) a two-point event for photoabsorption of an 88-keV photon with simultaneous emission of a 12.6-keV characteristic photon Kr K_{α} and a photoelectron $E_{p.e.} = \gamma 88 - K_{\alpha}$ (Kr) = 75.4 keV; (b) three-point event with simultaneous emission of two characteristic x ray and electrons. τ_{aft} is the duration of the electron drift from the cathode to the anode.

These secondary pulses have nothing to do with the incident radiation but are generated from secondary processes that arise from effects within the primary avalanches. These spurious pulses could lead to the multiple counting, while only one pulse should be recorded. The optical radiation emitted by the excitation of the electron shell of an atom in a Townsend avalanche causes the release of the photoelectrons from the cathode [39]. Since the working gas contains no quenchers, the probability of the photoeffect on the cathode is rather high. Besides the first afterpulse, there are many others. Their amplitudes are damped increasingly. As it can be seen, the initial pulse and the afterpulse are of a similar shape but are different in duration. The afterpulse of a longer duration can be explained by the diffusion expansion of the photoelectron cloud during its drift from the cathode to the anode.

The relative magnitude of the afterpulse depends on the coordinate of the event along the anode wire. Furthermore, the gas amplification factor is reduced significantly near its edges. There was no gas amplification in these segments, and charges were collected in the ionization mode. As was shown in Ref. [9], such events may be excluded from the data by introducing a discrimination in the relative coordinate (λ) of events along the anode wire. The coordinate λ is determined



FIG. 3. The distributions of the events in the LPC filled with depleted krypton with energies 10-16 keV (⁸¹Kr, red curve) and the background events of detector in the energy region 20–80 keV (blue histogram).

as the ratio of the first-afterpulse amplitude to the pulse amplitude. The relative afterpulse amplitude depends on the solid angle at which the cathode surface is seen from the anode wire. The angle in question is maximal at the anode's midpoint in length, and it is minimal at the anode's edge. The value of λ changes accordingly. For real pulses of our counter, this parameter λ has to be in the following range: $0.05 \le \lambda \le 0.40$. Discarding pulses for which $\lambda < \lambda_k$, where λ_k is maximum value for the end events, one can fully eliminate edge events. In this case, there will be a small loss of useful events in the three-point spectrum (the coefficient of selection of useful events is $k_{\lambda} = 0.89 \pm 0.02$).

As an example, Fig. 3 shows the λ distribution of twopoint events with the energy range of 20–80 keV for the background measurements of the LPC that is filled with the depleted krypton during the second stage of measurements (blue histogram). The red curve is the λ distributions of events of energies 10–16 keV, and the main contribution there comes from the *K* capture of ⁸¹Kr. Thus, we can select the event in the counter with the same gas gain and thereby improve energy resolution.

Despite the fact that counters are sealed, their lifetime is limited by a microscopic degasation of inner material. This leads to the gradual contamination of the filling gas. The influence of electronegative impurities is considerably pronounced in large-volume counters and counters with small values of electron drift velocity. The quenching and accelerating gases enter the working gas during the long-term operation of the counter. Presumably, this happens due to the slow sublimation of the polyatomic gas molecule coming from the surfaces of casing and insulators. For example, oxygen gradually diffuses from the sealing ring of the flanges LPC and it acts as a quenching additive. This leads to a gradual change in the detector's performance. Parameters of the detector, such as the duration of the electron drift from the cathode to the anode τ_{aft} , the parameter λ_k , the energy resolution, and the gas gain, varied with the time of event registration. These facts had to be taken into account for the purposes of our experiment.

The timestamp of event occurrence allows us to monitor changes in the energy calibration and the aforementioned operating parameters of the detector during long measurements. This made it possible to improve the energy resolution via an adapted digital signal processing. For this purpose, regular calibration of the detector by the external ¹⁰⁹Cd source was done biweekly [12]. The sample that contained signatures of the radioactive isotope ⁸¹Kr enabled us to study the operating characteristics of the detector in more detail.

Results of a determination of variations of spectrometric parameters of the LPC for samples of pure krypton, krypton with trace contamination of the polyatomic molecules, and krypton with the 0.45% of Xe as a quenching gas are presented in Figs. 4 and 5. The relative change in the gas gain (K_{gain}) and centroid $\lambda^{c}(t)$ and $\tau^{c}_{aft}(t)$ distributions (accumulated over 100 h), recorded over three long stages of accumulation of events in the counter, filled with a depleted sample, are shown in Fig. 4. Time dependence of the energy resolution [full width at the half maximum (FWHM)] of the LPC for the same three stages is shown in Fig. 5. As it can be seen there, operating parameters of the detector for all three stages of measurements behaved differently with time. Therefore, a permanent correction of the response function of the detector was required. As an example, we needed to deal with ethyl alcohol and acetone, which were used to clean the contaminants during assembling the detector. As a result, organic molecules got into the working gas during the long-term operation of the counter due to the slow sublimation from the surfaces of the casing and insulators of the counter. Polyatomic gas molecules can help to retain the counter proportionality by suppressing the avalanche breeding, which would otherwise occur when the excited inert gas atoms emit ultraviolet photons.

Events that have been accumulated over the course of measurements may be split into groups, depending on the number of ionization clusters (pointwise charge clusters) in a single event. In an ideal case of a complete separation, all events that are initiated by charged particles, end up in the group of one-point events (of a single continuous trajectory). Events that are associated with a photon absorption are distributed among the groups of one- and two-point events in accordance with the probabilities of the Compton process, photoabsorption, and the probability for the characteristic radiation yield upon the photoabsorption induced filling of the K vacancy in the target atom.

Two-point events take place if, for example, (1) a photon undergoes the Compton scattering and it is absorbed in the counter's volume, (2) a photon undergoes photoeffect and x ray are emitted in the process of the vacancy filling, (3) an electron creates the bremsstrahlung. In all these cases, secondary photon is absorbed at some distance from its origin and creates second cluster of ionization. If these two clusters are located at a different distance from the anode, the detected signal will be made of two pulses, with the time difference corresponding to the moments of entering the gas amplification region by two groups of primary electrons.

Three-point events can appear if all secondary radiation is absorbed inside the counter, as in, (1) Compton scattering of a photon, followed by the photoeffect and release of the x ray by filling the vacancy in the atomic shell, (2) photoeffect



FIG. 4. Variations of relative coefficient gas gain (K_{gain}) and the centroid $\lambda^{c}(t)$ and $\tau^{c}_{aff}(t)$ distribution versus time in each of the three stages (*I*, *II*, and *III*) of measurement sample depleted krypton.

followed by the K-shell ionization, by the photoelectron in another atom and release of two x rays. Three-point events, which are the main goal of our study, have to be formed as a result of absorption into the fiducial volume of the counter of two characteristic photons and the Auger electrons generated by the double-K-shell vacancy production in the investigated krypton samples.

All more-than-three-point events are considered as multipoint events. They can appear, for example, as a result of Compton scattering of the photon by the K electron, followed by the K-shell photoeffect and release of two K x rays. Events can move around within multipoint groups because of possible overlaps of the pulses of separate components of multipoint events. In addition, it can also be caused by the noise effect.



FIG. 5. Variations of energy resolution FWHM of LPC versus time in (*I*, *II*, and *III*) stage, respectively.

The response function of the LPC was determined using the radioactive point source of ¹⁰⁹Cd, which is characterized by the 88 keV line with 0.036 yields per decay. Photons passed through the collimating hole in the copper shield, which is located below the middle point of the counter. Then, corresponding spectra were compared with the results of Monte Carlo simulations. The effective dimensions of the detection setup were adjusted to obtain a satisfactory agreement between the measured and simulated spectra. This enabled us to calculate the response of the detector to radiation of arbitrary energy within the range of our interest.

The Monte Carlo simulation of the experiment was carried out to determine the detection yields for *K*-shell shake-off electrons after *K* capture and the influence of background events such as an internal bremsstrahlung, K-, L-, and M-shell electron ejection. We simulated the electron-photon transport inside the detector by using the Monte Carlo method implemented in the user code, embedded into a universal package GEANT4 [40] with the model of Low Energy Electromagnetic Package called Penelope 2008 [41]. Penelope specializes in slow energy interactions where it is more accurate than Geant4 with using Standard EM Package. The calculation of the efficiency of the selection of multipoint events uses reference values of fluorescence yields and absorption coefficients.

The low-energy electromagnetic package implements a precise treatment of electromagnetic interactions of photons and electrons with matter for energies of a few hundred eV. This package includes a component that takes into account the process of atomic relaxation. It simulates the processes of de-excitation of the atom, which is left in the excited state by the creation of a vacancy originated by primary particles (photons, electrons), and the emission of x-ray fluorescence and Auger electrons. We obtained a good agreement with the experimental response function.

The comparison of the simulated and experimental peak energies shows an agreement within 3% in the simulation of the energy of 88-keV γ rays and better than 1% for K_{α} (Kr) x rays. Taking into account the composition of events from the ⁸¹Kr and ¹⁰⁹Cd sources and results of the simulation of these processes in the LPC, one can determine the quality of the suppression of the noise component and the efficiency of the procedure for separating events based on the multipoint event criteria. The algorithm used to determine the number of pointlike primary-ionization clusters via an analysis of the entire shape of a LPC pulse was described in detail in Ref. [12].

The relative composition of the three types of events at the peak of total absorption with energy 88 keV (¹⁰⁹Cd) (in fractions of the photoelectric effect), obtained by simulation of processes in the LPC, was 0.424, 0.367, and 0.0026 for one-, two-, and three-point events, respectively. The contribution from Compton scattering of photons by 88 keV to electrons of the *K* shell in fractions of the photoelectric effect was for one- and two-point events of the order 10^{-4} and for three-point events of the order of 10^{-5} . It allowed to neglect this process for determination of efficiency of detecting of the required three-point events.

The relative composition of the types of events in the peak of total absorption with an energy of 13.5 keV (81 Kr) was



FIG. 6. (a) The pulse height spectra of (1) single-, (2) two-, and (3) three-point events that form the total analyzed pulse amplitude spectrum of the counter detecting both background and ⁸¹Kr decays. (b) The pulse height spectrum of the two-point events with one subpulses in the energy range $E = K_{\alpha}(\text{Kr}) \pm \Delta E = 12.6 \pm 1.9 \text{ keV}$ for the two filling samples of LPC: with krypton enriched in ⁷⁸Kr (dark line) and with depleted krypton containing ⁸¹Kr (shaded region).

obtained at the level of 0.662 and 0.338 (normalized to the total area in the peak) for single- and two-point events, respectively. For modeling of the responses from these sources, we took into account the partial fusion of pointwise charge clusters of ionization and a noisiness of the detector response.

D. Double K-shell ionization following K capture in ⁸¹Kr

Figure 6 shows the pulse-height spectra of (1) single-, (2) two-, and (3) three-point events of the background of the LPC filled with depleted sample gas. The spectra were obtained using the procedure for correcting the response function taking into account the time dependence. The spectra are normalized to 1000 h of data that were accumulated during the second stage of the experiment. Low-intensity lines from radioactive contaminants that resided on the walls of the counter can be seen clearly on the top of the histogram.

In Fig. 6, one can see a clear peak that corresponds to the energy of 13.5 keV. The energy resolution of the peak is FWHM $\approx 15.3\%$. This peak occurs when the *K*-electron capture of ⁸¹Kr happens. The decay scheme of ⁸¹Kr is shown in Fig. 7.

The $7/2^+$ ground-state nucleus of ⁸¹Kr decays mainly (99.70%) into the $3/2^-$ ground state of ⁸¹Br via the electron capture with a half-life of $T_{1/2} = 2.3 \times 10^5$ yr [42]. This transition is of the "first-forbidden unique" type. It can only occur during the electron capture process because the energy $Q_{\rm EC}$ of this decay is (280.8 ± 0.5) keV [42]. Alternatively, the mentioned nucleus can decay into the excited $5/2^-$ state



FIG. 7. The decay scheme of ⁸¹Kr.

of ⁸¹Br with a subsequent emission of the 276 keV photon, which occurs with the probability of $3.0(2) \times 10^{-3}$ [43]. This transition is of a first-forbidden nonunique type. The decay energy of this rare process equals only 4.7 keV and it is much smaller than the *K*-electron binding energy in a bromine atom, which amounts to $B_K = 13.47$ keV. Therefore, any transition to the excited state that happens in ⁸¹Br proceeds only by electron captures from higher shells. Consequently, the *K*-electron capture can occur only during a ground-state to ground-state transition. *K*-shell electron capture occurs with a probability of $P_K = 0.875$ [44].

The lines that are shown in Fig. 6 and that correspond to the energy range above 18 keV are identified as emitted by members of uranium-radium and actinium natural radioactivity chains, starting from ²³⁸U and ²³⁵U, respectively. For example, the intense γ line at 46.5 keV is emitted by ²¹⁰Bi after the β decay of ²¹⁰Pb ($T_{1/2} = 22.2$ yr). The ²¹⁰Pb isotope is produced after ²²²Rn ($T_{1/2} = 3.82$ days, α -decay) in the ²³⁸U decay chain. It could either be produced directly in the copper, due to decays of internal radioactive micro impurities (volume source), or, it could have been accumulated on the casing surface (surface source) during the detector's manufacturing stage, due to the deposition of daughter products coming from the decay of atmospheric 222 Rn. γ -radiation comes into the fiducial volume of the counter from both sources. There are mainly single-point events (due to the photoeffect on the atom of krypton with the deexcitation of Auger electrons) and two-point events (due to the photoeffect with an emission of the krypton characteristic radiation) that correspond to the peak line of 46.5 keV. Only a small portion of events can be considered as three-point ones. These are the events for which a primary photon or a characteristic photon scatters off outer-shell electrons with a subsequent absorption of a secondary quantum.

As a result of the β decay of ²³⁴Th, which is being a decay product of ²³⁸U, 63.3, 73.9, 92.4, and 92.8 keV lines are emitted by ²³⁴Pa. The 63.3 keV line coincides with the *E*2 transition line of 29.5 keV, which has a large *L*-shell conversion coefficient in the protactinium. Another *L*-converted transition of the 20.0 keV line (*M*1 + *E*2) in ²³⁴Pa can also be seen in the spectrum of two-point events.

There are peaks in the spectrum at ~ 28 , ~ 31 keV, and ~ 43 keV that could be associated with conversion electrons (c.e.). Conversion electrons can be originated from the surface source, ²¹⁰Pb. The most intense lines are those of 30.1 keV

(52% per decay) and 43.3 keV (13.6% per decay). These peaks should be represented by single-point events. The observed shift (with respect to the expected line) of a maximum energy, with respect to the expected line, could be explained by the deposit from a portion of near-wall primary ionization electrons on the cathode due to their diffusion. With the emission of conversion electrons, the residual excitation of the ²¹⁰Bi daughter shell relaxes by radiation of characteristic photons of L series ($E_{L_{\alpha}} = 10.8$ keV, 9.3% per decay; $E_{L_{\beta}} =$ 13.0 keV, 11.2% per decay, etc.) or/and by Auger electrons. In different combinations, this radiation can enter the fiducial volume simultaneously with β particles and c.e. In the simplest case, when a c.e. of 30.2-kev energy and a characteristic L_{α} photon are registered, a two-point event with the energy deposit of \sim 42.5 keV is produced in the gas. The fraction of such events is small enough in the total spectrum.

The photoelectric effect is the dominant for the energy range of our interest. Interaction cross sections for other competing mechanisms, such as the Compton scattering or incoherent scattering, are about a thousand times smaller. The photoelectric effect involves the interaction of a photon with a tightly bound electron, usually from K or L shell of the atom. Unlike the gradual rate of energy loss of charged particles, the photon's energy transfer to electron happens abruptly. The photoelectron is ejected from the atom with a kinetic energy, $E_{\text{p.e.}}$, which is given by $E_{\text{p.e.}} = E_{\gamma} - E_b$, where E_{γ} is the energy of the incident photon and E_b is the binding energy of the electron in its original shell. Each photoelectric interaction leaves the atom in an ionized state with an inner shell vacancy. The de-excitation may take place by the capture of a free electron from the medium or by a series of internal electronic rearrangements. Internal transitions may cause the emission of one or several fluorescent x rays. These x rays can be reabsorbed in close proximity to the original photoelectric event by interacting with electrons that are bound less tightly. However, gasses of a counter usually have a relatively low absorption cross-section for such fluorescent radiation. This leads to the escape of K-fluorescence x rays from the active volume of the detector. In this case, the energy deposit is equal to the difference between the incident photon energy and the escaping photon energy. Background γ rays produce Kr K_{α} x rays with the energy of 12.6 keV. The cross section of the photoelectric effect on the K shell is sufficiently large. This argument is supported by the peak at the energy of \sim 34 keV, corresponding to the escape peak of 46.5 keV line for krypton $(E_{\gamma 46.5} - E_{K_{\alpha Kr}} = 33.9 \text{ keV})$. As an example, Fig. 6(b) shows the pulse height spectrum of two-point events with one subpulse being in the energy range $E = K_{\alpha}(Kr) \pm \Delta E$ or $E = K_{\alpha}(Br) \pm \Delta E$ for two filling samples of the counter with the krypton enriched in 78 Kr (dark line) and with depleted krypton containing 81 Kr (shaded region). We can see a good agreement of background measurements above 20 keV.

The prominent 13.5 keV peak in Fig. 6(b) is associated with the separation of subpulses from the Br K_{α} x ray and Auger electrons from the daughter atom $[K_{\alpha} \otimes e_A]$. The difference between the Kr K_{α} and Br K_{α} is ~600 eV. The relaxation of the K vacancy in the bromine atom in 63.6% of cases [45] results in the emission of characteristic x rays of the following energies (relative intensities): $K_{\alpha 1} = 11.924$ keV (100%); $K_{\alpha 2} = 11.878 \text{ keV}(52\%)$; $K_{\beta 1} = 13.291 \text{ keV}(14\%)$; $K_{\beta 2} = 13.469$ keV (1%); $K_{\beta 3} = 13.284$ keV (7%) [46] and accompanying Auger electrons of 1.58, 1.62, 0.20, 0.03, and 0.21 keV energies, respectively. In the case of the x-ray emission, the energy of Auger electrons is enough to create a cluster of primary ionization. As a result, a distinguished two-point event is created. In 38.2% of cases, the K vacancy in bromine is filled by the emission of Auger electrons only. This results in the single-point event. Single-point events are also created when $K_{\beta 1}$ and $K_{\beta 2}$ x rays are emitted. This happens because Auger electrons then have the energy that is smaller than the charge-sensitive amplifier's noise. Taking account of the efficiency of the absorption of the characteristic quanta in the operating volume ($\varepsilon_{abs} = 0.83$), one can estimate a composition of the total absorption peak of 13.5 keV (TAP): 44.4% of single-point events and 45.0% of two-point events (or 0.894) with respect to the total number of K captures. As a result of the merge of two subimpulses into one with probability P_m , some of two-point events will be converted to one-point events and, in fact, there will be detected $(44.4 + P_m \times 45.0)\%$ of single-point and $(1 - P_m) \times 45.0\%$ of two-point events in TAP. Thus, there will be $(49.7 + P_m \times 50.3)\%$ of single-point and $(1 - P_m) \times 50.3\%$ of two-point events in the peak of full absorption, normalized to the area.

Experimental studies of the shake-off process allow us to clarify the efficiency of the event selection. A background can be minimized by detecting the ejected electrons in coincidence with at least one Br K x ray. This x ray may indicate that the capture event has happened. Furthermore, decays followed by the double-K Auger-electron emission are thus excluded from the measurements, reducing the energy uncertainty. Ideally,

one might wish to select coincidences with double-K x rays only, which indicates that a completely empty K shell has been filled by two radiative transitions. However, the counting rate would be very much reduced by this requirement. Nevertheless, we can yet specify more precisely the curves of calibration and resolution of energy, after determining the parameters of the response function of the pointwise charge cluster in the compound event.

1. Background processes

Let us consider background processes that could mimic the signal from the coincidence $(K_{\alpha}^{h} \otimes K_{\alpha}^{s} \otimes e_{A})$ in the experiment and analysis of data. We will explain below how these contributions can be eliminated from the calculation of the probability P_{KK} of the double-*K*-shell vacancy production per a *K*-electron capture.

The two-dimensional distribution of two-point events of the total energy of 1–100 keV with amplitudes (the area under a single Gaussian curve in keV) A1 and A2 of the first (in time) and the second component of the current pulses is shown in Fig. 8 (left). A flat spectrum of the background, representing a random coincidence of incoherent scattering and bremsstrahlung, was subtracted from the distributions. The horizontal and vertical loci of Fig. 8 represent the effect of the interaction of background photons with the internal working matter of the detector and its walls. The diagonal locus stands for the Compton scattering in gas and emission of the bremsstrahlung. One can see that among two-point events there are clearly marked loci in the energy regions of ~1.6 and ~11.9 keV, corresponding to Auger electrons and



FIG. 8. Two-dimensional distributions of amplitudes of energy depositions of individual components for two-point (left panel) and threepoint (right) events extracted from the background measured by the LPC at filling the depleted (panels a, b, and c) and enriched sample of krypton (panel d). Panel (b) represents distribution of the amplitudes for two-point events from the internal (⁸¹Kr) source with a total energy of 13.5 ± 2.5 keV (the total measurement time was 7 days). The dash-dot arrows (panel a) indicate to the response from interaction of a 46 keV photons from ²¹⁰Bi with electrons of the inner and outer shell of the krypton atom.



FIG. 9. (a) the spectrum Auger electrons (peak 1.6 keV) and K_{α} after the single-*K*-capture decay of ⁸¹Kr and (b) a fragment of the coincidence spectrum with Br [$K^h \otimes K^s$].

Br K_{α} lines. These loci are clearly visible in the Fig. 8(b). Here are shown amplitude distributions of energy deposits of individual components for two-point events from the internal (⁸¹Kr) source with a total energy from 11 to 16 keV for data accumulated over 7 days. The loci are formed from the coincidence registration $[K_{\alpha 1} \otimes e_A]$ or $[K_{\alpha 2} \otimes e_A]$. As mentioned above, coincidence of $[K_{\beta_{(1,2,3)}} \otimes e_A]$ is not possible in the LPC due to the small signal-to-noise ratio. The threshold for the low-energy component was equivalent to 0.6 keV (see Ref. [12]). The energy spectrum of individual ionization clusters for two-point events with a total amplitude (A1 + A2)up to 18 keV shown in Fig. 9(a) (black line). In this figure, we can clearly see the peaks of the Auger electrons and K_{α} x rays that appear after the single-K-capture decay of 81 Kr (dark line). The blue dotted curve in the same figure is the energy spectrum of two-point events in the LPC, filled with the krypton enriched in ⁷⁸Kr. The peak of krypton's characteristic K photons is well visible at the energy of 12.6 keV.

We can select three-point events with a total energy of 5 to 100 keV. One of the components there corresponds to the energy release being in the range from 0.6 to 8.5 keV. For such events, Fig. 8 (right), the two-dimensional distribution of the energy release of two (out of three) pointwise charge clusters with the amplitude A2 of one pulse and A3 of another one, placed on x and y axis, respectively. This figure clearly shows loci corresponding to the K-shell photoeffect of the krypton atom $[K_{\alpha} \otimes e_A \otimes e_{p.e.}]$. In 86.7% of cases [47] we dealt with the photoeffect of K electrons. The fluorescence yield of the K vacancy in krypton is $\omega_K = 0.660$, and Auger electrons are emitted in 34% of cases [46]. This means that 57.2% of photoelectric absorptions can create two clusters of primary ionization. Another part of photoabsorption (42.8%) will generate a single cluster of an ionization by Auger and photoelectrons (also from L, M, N shells). Loci around ~ 1 and \sim 8 keV are clearly visible in Fig. 8 (right panel). These loci are formed by coincident responses from the krypton Auger electrons and the copper K fluorescence excited by the Kr K x rays on the copper wall of the detector. These events can be considered as a closely spaced Gaussian centroid that is typical for near-wall events. The parameters of such events depend on the time that it takes for the primary charge cluster to drift towards the anode. As it drifts, the charge cluster spreads out into a cloud due to the electron diffusion.

As noted above, a double-*K*-vacancy in the daughter atom can appear after a single-*K*-capture in the ⁸¹Kr, which is present in the depleted sample. This vacancy can be created by a sudden change in the nuclear charge $Z \rightarrow (Z - 1)$. As a result, another *K* electron can be excited to a higher state in the SU process or it can be completely removed from the electron shell in the SO process [14]. The SU process contribution is relatively small. The energy balance of the SO process is as follows:

$$Q_0 = [T_e + 2(B_K - X_K)] + T_v + 2X_K, \qquad (21)$$

where Q_0 is the total transition energy (atomic mass difference); T_e is the kinetic energy of the released electron; B'_K is the binding energy of the *K* electron in the daughter atom; X'_K is the energy of x rays of the daughter atom; T_v is the kinetic energy of the neutrino [48]. In this equation, the shift of B'_K value in the atom with the two *K* vacancies with respect to the value for the atom with the one *K* vacancy is not taken into account. The maximum kinetic energy of the electron is $T_e^{\text{max}} = Q_0 - 2B'_K$.

The probability creation of a double-*K* vacancy as a result of a single-*K* capture was measured for 15 isotopes [32,48– 51]. Table IV in the publication of Hindi and Kozub [52] provides us with an overview of the measured values for P_{KK} in *EC* decays. The dependence of the probability of creation of the double-*K* vacancy per one *K*-electron capture can be described [49] with a moderate accuracy as

$$P_{KK} \approx P_{\rm SO} \approx 0.08 Z^{-2}.$$
 (22)

The double-vacancy production that follows a K-shell electron capture in ⁵⁵Fe is the most successful and thoroughly studied process. The total yield of the KK ionization reached $(1.53 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ for a single-electron-capture of ⁵⁵Fe in Ref. [53]. The theoretical description of electron ejection during EC decays was developed in Refs. [54–56]. The theoretical probability for the electron ejection is small and falls off steeply with the energy increase. The energy spectrum of K electrons ejected from ⁵⁵Fe during the K-capture decay has been measured with a good accuracy in Ref. [48]. The predicted values appear to be in the 5-180 keV range. General characteristics of the spectrum of SO electrons that were ejected from the bromine K shell are similar to those measured for ⁵⁵Fe but with a correction to the transition energy: $Q_0 = 232$ keV for ⁵⁵Fe, $Q_0 = 281$ keV for ⁸¹Kr [57]. About 80% of ejected K electrons have energy in the range of 0-10 keV, including 28% in the 0-2 keV interval.

In our analysis of the accumulated data, the double-*K*-shell ionization of the ⁸¹Br daughter was studied by triple coincidence measurements between two practically simultaneous radiations resulting from the filling of the two holes in the *K* shell: hypersatellite- and satellite-line photons and bromine emitted electrons (sum Auger electrons and *K* electron ejected) [$K^h \otimes K^s \otimes (e_A + e_{SO}^K)$].

Energy and other parameters of the hypersatellite and the satellite x ray, emitted during the filling of either of two vacancies of the daughter bromine atom, were estimated in the framework of the Dirac-Fock method, using the RAINE package. The energy shift of Br K^h_{α} hypersatellite with respect to the diagram lines has approximately accounted for 370 eV. The energy shift of Br K^s_{α} satellite with respect to diagram lines have amounted to several tens of eV. The results of our calculations are presented in Table I. The energy shift of these K^h_{α} hypersatellites with respect to the diagram lines has been calculated by several groups [58-60], including the splitting of the final KL-double-hole state due to the Breit interaction. The average Breit energy of the configuration was calculated in the long-wavelength approximation. Results of our calculations are in good agreement with theoretical predictions of Ref. [61]. Then the total energy is

$$E = 2B_{K} + E_{\Delta} + T_{e} = 27470 \text{eV} + T_{e}$$

As far as we know, no measurements exist for the fluorescence yields of the *K*-shell vacancy filling in the presence of an additional vacancy. In all previous measurements of P_{KK} , it was assumed that the additional vacancy does not affect the fluorescence yield of the *K*-vacancy filling. In Ref. [31], Chen made the calculation of Auger rates, radiative transition rate, and fluorescence yields of the double-*K*-hole state using the MCDF method. According to this work, the fluorescence yield ω_{2K} from the 2*K* vacancy for elements with Z = 36 is, at most, 2.6% larger than the yield from the single-*K* vacancy.

From what is mentioned above, we can assume the following variant of radiation composition (in addition to e_{SO}^K): (a) $e_A^K \otimes e_A^K \otimes e_A^L$; (b) $X \otimes e_A^K \otimes e_A^L$; (c) $X \otimes X \otimes e_A^L$ with the probabilities of being 0.124, 0.464, 0.412, respectively.

This composition will contribute to the TAP at the level of 100% in (*a*), 83% in (*b*), and 68.9% in (*c*) because of the escape of the x ray from the detector. Because of the overlap of subpulses, ~0.255 events of type (*b*) will become the single-point ones, ~0.065 and ~0.38 events of type (*c*) will become single- and two-point ones, respectively. As a result, the TAP will contain 0.26 of the single-point, 0.392 of the two-point, 0.148 of the three-point events in the initial number of 2*K* vacancies.

Groups of subpulses of the hypersatellite $K_{\alpha(\beta)}^{h}(\text{Br})$ [subpulse A_x], satellite $K_{\alpha(\beta)}^{s}(\text{Br})$ [subpulse A_y], and of the bromine emitted electrons $(e_A^L \oplus e_{SO}^K)$ [subpulse A_z] will be among the required "useful" three-point events. We will assume that the filling of outer (L, M, N)-shell vacancies will produce Auger electrons with the total energy of $(B_{2K} - K_{\alpha(\beta)}^{h} - K_{\alpha(\beta)}^{s}) \sim 3.3 \text{ keV}$. The spectrum of $(e_A^L \oplus e_{SO})$ is limited at low energies due to the finite energy of Auger electrons. The background for our search of useful events will be greatly reduced if the magnitude of the subpulse A_z is set to be in the range 3.3 –10.3 keV.

2. Experimental results

The total number of 81 Kr *K* captures can be estimated from the area under the 13.5 keV TAP curve for all types of events

in Fig. 6(a) as

$$N_K = \sum_{i} \frac{N_K^{\exp}(i)}{\epsilon_d(i)} = 7.9 \times 10^6,$$
 (23)

where $N_K^{exp}(i)$ is the number of events that belong to the energy range 10.5–16.5 keV for a single stage, $\epsilon_d(i)$ is the absolute efficiency to detect the respective radiation for i=[I, II, III]-stage number. Such statistics is enough to detect satellite- and hypersatellite-line photons generated during the shake-off process in the LPC. With this in mind, we analyzed pointwise charge clusters of the three-point events data.

Figure 8 (right) displays a clearly visible locus in the $(A_2 \sim 12 \text{ and } A_3 \sim 12 \text{ keV})$ region where the LPC is filled with depleted and enriched (in the inserted panel) krypton gas samples. The events at that locus of depleted krypton (containing ⁸¹Kr) can be generated by the coincidence of K^h and K^s x rays. These rays are formed in the process of filling of the double-*K*-shell vacancy. They are formed in both a single-*K*-electron capture and when a single photon is absorbed with releasing both *K* electrons and creates a "hollow atom."

In the case of the enriched sample, the locus may include the events of the coincidence of K^h and K^s x rays, produced by $2\nu 2K$ decay of ⁷⁸Kr. The value of the subpulse A_z will be 1–4 keV for the enriched sample and 1–10.3 keV for the depleted sample because the *K*-shell shake-off electron, which follows the *K* capture, has a broader energy distribution.

Summing the number of triple $[K^h \otimes K^s \otimes (e_A + e_{SO}^K)]$ coincidences for each stage of the data set, we can obtain a statistically significant value for the double-*K*-shell ionization during the *EC* decay of ⁸¹Kr. Similarly, summations were carried out for the spectra of K_{α} and K_{β} normal diagram lines in bromine to obtain the integrated intensity N_K for the predominant single-*K*-vacancy transitions.

Figure 9(b) shows the magnitude of the coincidence in the K^h - K^s region of the two pointwise charges clusters of three-point events under the condition that the third is in the range from 0.6 to 8.5 keV. As it was expected, the peak in the region of \sim 12 keV is produced by the simultaneous detection of the Br K^h hypersatellite and K^s satellite x rays.

The total number of triple coincidence events $[K \otimes K \otimes e_{SO}]$ in the operating volume of the LPC, which is expected to be recorded over the full time of data collection, can be defined as

$$N_{KK} = N_K P_{KK} \omega^h \omega^s \delta_e \eta = 56 \pm 8, \tag{24}$$

where N_K is the number of K capture during ⁸¹Kr decays, the probability of the double-K-shell-vacancy production per K-electron capture in ⁸¹Kr is $P_{KK} = 6.5 \times 10^{-5}$. It is calculated according to Eq. (22). In addition, $\delta_e = 0.7$ is the fraction of all electrons, that is shaken into the continuum, registered in the coincidence according to the selection criteria and η is the complete coefficient of selection for the K^h and K^s x rays. This coefficient is calculated as $\eta = \varepsilon_p \varepsilon_3 \alpha_k$, with $\varepsilon_p = 0.81 \pm 0.01$ being the probability of two K photons to be absorbed in the operating volume; $\epsilon_3 = 0.54 \pm 0.06$ being the efficiency to select three-point events; $\alpha_k = 0.985 \pm 0.005$ being the fraction of events with two *K* photons that could be registered as distinct three-point events.

At the same time, the total number of events that have formed the locus $(A_2 \sim A_3 \sim 12 \text{ keV})$ amounted to $N_{\text{coinc}}^{\text{dipl}} =$ $42 \pm 7(\text{stat}) \pm 3(\text{syst})$. The systematic error includes the uncertainties in the signal extraction of three-point events and their reconstruction efficiency. The coefficient of the selection of three-point events was defined to range 84–92 keV from an external ¹⁰⁹Cd source in comparison with simulations of Monte Carlo. In the total energy deposit corresponding to range 20–30 keV, where the search for *K* shake-off processes in ⁸¹Kr is carried out, the signal-to-noise ratio for individual components is not so favorable as at an energy deposit of 88 keV [12]. We estimated systematic uncertainty in extraction of a signal of the three-point events and the efficiency of their reconstruction to be $\leq 7\%$.

Events in the locus can include signals from both, K shakeoff process and double-K-shell photoionization of an atom by a background photon. Although we did not distinguish between these processes in our experiment, the contribution from the double-K-shell photoionization of the atom is negligible. It is because the cross section of the photoionization of the K shell tends to be zero near the threshold.

The obtained value $N_{\text{coinc}}^{\text{dipl}}$ is a satisfactory agreement with the estimate Eq. (24). To obtain the probability of double-*K*-shell vacancy production per *K*-electron capture for the shake-off process we rewrite Eq. (24) and find

$$P_{KK}^{SO} = \frac{1}{N_K} \times \left[\frac{N_{\text{coinc}}^{\text{dipl}}}{\omega^h \omega^s \delta_e \eta} \right]$$
$$= [5.4 \pm 0.8(\text{stat}) \pm 0.4(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-5}.$$

This value is in a good agreement with the theoretical predictions of Suzuki and Law [62]. Based on the theoretical calculations of Ref. [62], the probability for the shake-up is much smaller than the probability for shake-off, giving $P_{KK}^{exp} \cong P_{KK}^{SO}$.

As we identified all events in the locus as a result of process of the *K* shake-off process, this allows us to assume zero background under the triple coincidence peak. At the same time, in the neighboring energy interval from 16 to 20 keV there is one event. The Feldman-Cousins procedure [63] gives in this case evidence for the background in the range of 0.00– 1.61 events at 90% confidence level (C.L.).

E. Double K capture of ⁷⁸Kr

Based on the above-mentioned assumptions, we can postulate that the shake-off process is responsible for all events in the locus ($A_2 \sim A_3 \sim 12 \text{ keV}$) of the depleted krypton sample. In addition, the contribution of random coincident background events is less than the statistical error. At the same time, the total number of events in the same locus was estimated to be $N_{\text{coin}}^{\text{enr}} = 16 \pm 4$ after reanalyzing 782 live days of data collected with the enriched sample. In contrast to the previous analysis [3,4], here we took into account the slow change in the spectrometric parameters of the LPC during long-term measurements. A more precise determination of the time variation of the secondary pulse formation made it possible to more accurately determine range fit for determining the energy deposition parameters in individual pointwise charge clusters of a primary ionization in the gas. A comparative analysis of the shape of primary and secondary pulses increased the reliability of identifying three-point events in the range of interest.

In the works mentioned, the effect of 2K capture was determined as the difference of three-point events in the measurement of backgrounds from two samples of krypton with different enrichment of ⁷⁸Kr. In this case, the effect of shaking processes during the ⁸¹Kr decay was not taken into account, which could give an underestimated effect, since these processes were absent in the enriched sample.

Based on the present analysis of the total background with a depleted sample containing traces of ⁸¹Kr, we can determine the intrinsic background of the LPC in the desired energy range by subtracting the response from shaking processes at single-*K*-shell electron capture of ⁸¹Kr.

We estimate the magnitude of the background under the locus as close to one event for measurements with an enriched sample. This magnitude was estimated as with using the surrounding neighborhood of the locus of our interest and taking into account the measurements background with a sample of depleted krypton. We conservatively accept 1.61 events in the energy region of our interest for the 1167 live days of data with the depleted sample krypton. By normalizing this value at the time of measurements with the enriched sample, we obtain one background event.

Following the Feldman-Cousins unified approach [63] with 16 observed events and $N_{bkg} = 1$ mean expected background, we derive the half-life of relatively to the $2\nu 2K$ capture in ⁷⁸Kr at 90% C.L.:

$$T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K} = ln2N_A \times \frac{\omega_{2K}\epsilon_f t}{N_{\text{coin}}}$$

= $\left[1.9^{+1.3}_{-0.7}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.3(\text{syst})\right] \times 10^{22} \text{ yr},$

where $N_A = 1.08 \times 10^{24}$ is the number of ⁷⁸Kr atoms in the fiducial volume of the counter, $\omega_{2K} = \omega^h \times \omega^s = 0.47$ is the fraction of 2*K*-captures accompanied by the emission of two *K* photons, ϵ_f is the detection efficiency, and *t* is the total live time of the experiment. The efficiency ϵ_f was calculated based on the following expression $\epsilon_f = \varepsilon_p \varepsilon_3 \alpha_k k_\lambda$, where ε_p , ε_3 , and α_k as in the Eq. (24). k_λ is a useful event selection coefficient that can defined for a given threshold value of λ . This coefficient takes into account edge effects [see Fig. 3].

Statistical errors are defined according to the recommendations of Feldman-Cousins [63]. The systematic error includes the uncertainties associated with the determination of the parameters of variation of the spectroscopic properties of the detector for long-term measurements. In the present analysis of the background LPC were included data of measurements with nonstationary noise spectrum. Which accounted for up to 30% of all the accumulated data with the enriched sample. In this case, the largest source of uncertainty is associated with the absolute normalization of ε_3 up to 17%. This is due to the fact that in this case, the internal strong signals as a standard for determining the influence of the noise spectrum on the pulse shape did not exist. Splashes of noise and possible electric pickup may mask the low-energy component and create a false one. Also, a substantial noise does not allow to extract close-lying mutually masking components of a complex event with sufficient confidence.

The systematic error associated with the accuracy of taking edge effects into account is not more than 5%. The uncertainty estimate for the model calculation of the fluorescence during the filling of double-*K*-shell-vacancy states do not exceed 3%. For other experimentally determined values, the systematic uncertainty does not exceed 1%. The Gaussian penalty term accounting for all systematic uncertainties condensed into the efficiency gives us 14% of uncertainty in the half-life for $2\nu 2K$ decay of ⁷⁸Kr for the total data array.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we carried out the comparative study of the signal from the decay of double-*K*-shell vacancy production that follows after single-*K*-shell electron capture of ⁸¹Kr and double-*K*-shell electron capture of ⁷⁸Kr.

The radiative decay of a the double-1s vacancy state was identified by detecting the triple coincidence of two $K \ge rays$ and several Auger electrons in the *ECEC*-decay, or by detecting two $K \ge rays$ and (Auger electrons + ejected

K-shell electron) in the *EC* decay. The double-*K*-vacancy creation probability in the electron capture decay of ⁸¹Kr was measured with a reasonable statistical and systematic precision. We have determined P_{KK}^{exp} for the *EC* decay of ⁸¹K, which are in satisfactory agreement with the value of P_{KK} calculated expected with Z^{-2} extrapolation [Eq. (22)], predicted by Primakoff and Porter [49]. This allowed us to more accurately determine the background in the energy region where the search is carried out 2K-capture in ⁷⁸Kr using of LPC. For krypton samples of different enrichment, the general procedure of analysis of LPC data has allowed us to determine the half-live of ⁷⁸K with respect to the $2\nu 2K$ -to-ground-state capture. This determination is more precise than the one of Ref. [4].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Oleksandr Koshchii (The George Washington University) for productive discussions and careful reading of the manuscript and useful suggestions, respectively. The work was made in accordance with INR RAS and V.N. Karazin KhNU plans of the Research and Developments.

- [1] B. Pritychenko, Nucl. Data Sheets 120, 102 (2014).
- [2] A. S. Barabash, Nucl. Phys. A 935, 52 (2015).
- [3] Y. M. Gavrilyuk, A. M. Gangapshev, V. V. Kazalov, V. V. Kuzminov, S. I. Panasenko, and S. S. Ratkevich, Phys. Rev. C 87, 035501 (2013).
- [4] Yu. M. Gavrilyuk et al., Phys. Atom. Nucl. 76, 1063 (2013).
- [5] A. P. Meshik, C. M. Hohenberg, O. V. Pravdivtseva, and Y. S. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. C 64, 035205 (2001).
- [6] M. Pujol et al., Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73, 6834 (2009).
- [7] M. Doi and T. Kotani, Prog. Theor. Phys. 87, 1207 (1992).
- [8] Ju. M. Gavriljuk et al., Phys. Atom. Nucl. 61, 1287 (1998).
- [9] Yu. M. Gavrilyuk *et al.*, Bull. Rus. Acad. Sci. Phys. **75**, 526 (2011).
- [10] Yu. M. Gavrilyuk et al., Phys. Atom. Nucl. 78, 1563 (2015).
- [11] Yu. M. Gavrilyuk et al., Phys. Part. Nuclei 48 1, 38 (2017).
- [12] Yu. M. Gavrilyuk et al., Instrum. Exp. Tech. 53, 57 (2010).
- [13] A. Peisert and F. Sauli, *Drift and Diffusion of Electrons in Gases:* A Compilation, Preprint CERN 84-08, 13 July 1984.
- [14] M. S. Freedman, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 24, 209 (1974); R. J. Walen and C. Brianfon, in *Atomic Inner Shell Processes*, Vol. 1, edited by B. Crasemann (Academic Press, New York, 1975), p. 233.
- [15] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. 32, 841 (1925).
- [16] G. B. Baptista, J. Phys. B 17, 2177 (1984).
- [17] J. K. Saha, T. K. Mukherjee, S. Fritzsche, and P. K. Mukherjee, Phys. Lett. A 373, 252 (2009).
- [18] J. Hoszowska, J.-C. Dousse, J. Szlachetko, Y. Kayser, W. Cao, P. Jagodziński, M. Kavčič, and S. H. Nowak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 053001 (2011).
- [19] Y. Isozumi, Phys. Rev. A 22, 1948 (1980).
- [20] I. N. Bahcal, Nucl. Phys. 71, 267 (1965).
- [21] F. F. Karpeshin and M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys. 68, 1133 (2004).

- [22] F. F. Karpeshin, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, and V. V. Kuzminov, Bull. Rus. Acad. Sci. Phys. 76, 884 (2012).
- [23] F. F. Karpeshin, M. A. Listengarten, and M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys. 68, 1101 (2004).
- [24] I. M. Band, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, C. W. Nestor, Jr., P. O. Tikkanen, and S. Raman, Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables 81, 1 (2002).
- [25] I. M. Band, M. A. Listengarten, and M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, Computer Program Complex RAINE VI. Description of Codes, Leningrad Nuclear Physics Institute Report LNPI-1479 (1989).
- [26] I. M. Band and M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables 55, 431 (1993).
- [27] X-Ray-Data-Booklet, Center for X-ray Optics and Advanced Light Source LBNL, http://xdb.lbl.gov.
- [28] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (John Wiley and Sons, New York/London/Sydney, 1965).
- [29] F. F. Karpeshin, *Prompt Fission in Muonic Atoms and Resonance Conversion* (Nauka, Saint Petersburg, 2006).
- [30] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics. Non-Relativistic Theory*, Vol. III (Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, 1991).
- [31] M. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. A 44, 239 (1991).
- [32] J. L. Campbell, J. A. Maxwell, and W. J. Teesdale, Phys. Rev. C 43, 1656 (1991).
- [33] Yu. M. Gavrilyuk et al., JETP Lett. 101, 664 (2015).
- [34] A. N. Shubin *et al.*, Deep Purification of Krypton Highly Enriched in Kr-78 from Kr-85 with a Gas Centrifuge Cascade, *Proc. of VII Inter. Scien. Conf. Physical and Chemical Processes on Selection of Atoms and Molecules*, Zvenigorod, October 6–10, 2003. Moscow, Atominform; Troitsk, RSC RF TRINITI, 11 (2003).
- [35] V. V. Kuzminov and A. A. Pomansky, Radiocarbon 22, 311 (1980).

- [36] Yu. M. Gavriljuk *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 729, 576 (2013).
- [37] V. N. Gavrin *et al.*, Preprint INR RAS P-698 (Inst. Yad. Issled. RAN, Troitsk, 1991).
- [38] S. S. Ratkevich, Vis. Khar. Nat. Univ. 746, 4 (2006).
- [39] P. J. Campion, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 112, 75 (1973).
- [40] S. Agostinelli, *et al.* (Geant4 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [41] J. Sempau, J. Fernandez-Varea, E. Acosta, and F. Salvat, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 207, 107 (2003).
- [42] C. M. Baglin, Nucl. Data Sheets 109, 2257 (2008).
- [43] H. Axelsson et al., Phys. Lett. B 210, 249 (1988).
- [44] W. M. Chew et al., Nucl. Phys. A 229, 79 (1974).
- [45] I. Han, M. Sahin, L. Demir, and Y. Sahin, Appl. Radiat. Isotopes 65, 669 (2007).
- [46] W. Bambynek et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 444, 716 (1972).
- [47] E. Storm and I. I. Harvey, Atom. Data Nucl. A 7, 565 (1970).
- [48] T. Kitahara and S. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. C 11, 920 (1975).
- [49] Y. Isozumi, Ch. Briançon, and R. J. Walen, Phys. Rev. C 25, 3078 (1982).

- PHYSICAL REVIEW C 96, 065502 (2017)
- [50] H. J. Cho, S. K. Ko, and S. K. Nha, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 31, 247 (1997).
- [51] H. J. Cho, S. K. Ko, and S. K. Nha, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **32**, 123 (1998).
- [52] M. M. Hindi and R. L. Kozub, Phys. Rev. C 45, 1070 (1992).
- [53] T. Michel et al., Phys. Rev. C 89, 014609 (2014).
- [54] H. Primakoff and F. T. Porter, Phys. Rev. 89, 930 (1953).
- [55] J. G. Pengra and B. Crasemann, Phys. Rev. 131, 2642 (1963).
- [56] M. C. Chon and J. Law, Phys. Rev. A 50, 1372 (1994).
- [57] R. B. Firestone, Table of Isotopes, CD-ROM 8th ed., Version 1.0, March, 1996.
- [58] P. Desclaux, Phys. Scr. 21, 436 (1980).
- [59] N. Beatham, I. P. Grant, B. J. McKenzie, and S. J. Rose, Phys. Scr. 21, 423 (1980).
- [60] I. P. Grant and B. J. McKenzie, J. Phys. B 13, 2671 (1980).
- [61] M. H. Chen, B. Crasemann, and H. Mark, Phys. Rev. A 25, 391 (1982).
- [62] A. Suzuki and J. Law, Phys. Rev. C 25, 2722 (1982).
- [63] G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873 (1998).