# **Centrality dependence of subthreshold** *φ* **meson production in Ni + Ni collisions at 1***.***9***A* **GeV**

K. Piasecki,<sup>1,\*</sup> Z. Tymiński,<sup>1,2</sup> N. Herrmann,<sup>3</sup> R. Averbeck,<sup>4</sup> A. Andronic,<sup>4</sup> V. Barret,<sup>5</sup> Z. Basrak,<sup>6</sup> N. Bastid,<sup>5</sup> M. L. Benabderrahmane,<sup>3</sup> M. Berger,<sup>7,8</sup> P. Buehler,<sup>9</sup> M. Cargnelli,<sup>9</sup> R. Čaplar,<sup>6</sup> E. Cordier,<sup>3</sup> P. Crochet,<sup>5</sup> O. Czerwiakowa,<sup>1</sup> I. Deppner,<sup>3</sup> P. Dupieux,<sup>5</sup> M. Dželalija,<sup>10</sup> L. Fabbietti,<sup>7,8</sup> Z. Fodor,<sup>11</sup> P. Gasik,<sup>1,7,8</sup> I. Gašparić,<sup>6</sup> Y. Grishkin,<sup>12</sup> O. N. Hartmann,<sup>4</sup> K. D. Hildenbrand,<sup>4</sup> B. Hong,<sup>13</sup> T. I. Kang,<sup>13</sup> J. Kecskemeti,<sup>11</sup> Y. J. Kim,<sup>4</sup> M. Kirejczyk,<sup>1,2</sup> M. Kiš,<sup>4,6</sup> P. Koczon,<sup>4</sup> M. Korolija,<sup>6</sup> R. Kotte,<sup>14</sup> A. Lebedev,<sup>12</sup> Y. Leifels,<sup>4</sup> A. Le Fèvre,<sup>4</sup> J. L. Liu,<sup>3,15</sup> X. Lopez,<sup>5</sup> A. Mangiarotti,<sup>3</sup> V. Manko,<sup>16</sup> J. Marton,<sup>9</sup> T. Matulewicz,<sup>1</sup> M. Merschmeyer,<sup>3</sup> R. Münzer,<sup>7,8</sup> D. Pelte,<sup>3</sup> M. Petrovici,<sup>17</sup> F. Rami,<sup>18</sup> A. Reischl,<sup>3</sup> W. Reisdorf,<sup>4</sup> M. S. Ryu,<sup>13</sup> P. Schmidt,<sup>9</sup> A. Schüttauf,<sup>4</sup> Z. Seres,<sup>11</sup> B. Sikora,<sup>1</sup> K. S. Sim,<sup>13</sup> V. Simion,<sup>17</sup> K. Siwek-Wilczyńska,<sup>1</sup> V. Smolyankin,<sup>12</sup> G. Stoicea,<sup>17</sup> K. Suzuki,<sup>9</sup> P. Wagner,<sup>18</sup> I. Weber,<sup>10</sup> E. Widmann,<sup>9</sup> K. Wiśniewski,<sup>1,3</sup> Z. G. Xiao,<sup>19</sup> H. S. Xu,<sup>20</sup> I. Yushmanov,<sup>16</sup> Y. Zhang,  $^{20}$  A. Zhilin,  $^{12}$  V. Zinyuk,  $^3$  and J. Zmeskal<sup>9</sup> (FOPI Collaboration) <sup>1</sup>*Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland* <sup>2</sup>*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Otwock, Poland* <sup>3</sup>*Physikalisches Institut der Universitat Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany ¨* <sup>4</sup>*GSI Helmholtzzentrum fur Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany ¨* <sup>5</sup>*Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, IN2P3/CNRS, and Universite Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France ´* <sup>6</sup>*Ruder Boskovi ˇ c Institute, Zagreb, Croatia ´* <sup>7</sup>*Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universitat M¨ unchen, Garching, Germany ¨* <sup>8</sup>*E12, Physik Department, Technische Universitat M¨ unchen, Garching, Germany ¨* <sup>9</sup>*Stefan-Meyer-Institut fur subatomare Physik, ¨ Osterreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, Austria ¨*

<sup>10</sup>*University of Split, Split, Croatia*

<sup>11</sup>*Wigner RCP, RMKI, Budapest, Hungary*

<sup>12</sup>*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia*

<sup>13</sup>*Korea University, Seoul, Korea*

<sup>14</sup>*Institut fur Strahlenphysik, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany ¨*

<sup>15</sup>*Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China*

<sup>16</sup>*National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow, Russia*

<sup>17</sup>*Institute for Nuclear Physics and Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*

<sup>18</sup>*Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien and Universite de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France ´*

<sup>19</sup>*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

<sup>20</sup>*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China*

(Received 13 February 2016; published 5 July 2016)

We analyzed the *φ* meson production in central Ni + Ni collisions at a beam kinetic energy of 1.93A GeV with the FOPI spectrometer and found a production probability per event of  $[8.6 \pm 1.6$ (stat)  $\pm 1.5$ (syst)] × 10<sup>-4</sup>. This new data point allows us for the first time to inspect the centrality dependence of subthreshold *φ* meson production in heavy-ion collisions. The rise of  $\phi$  meson multiplicity per event with mean number of participants can be parametrized by a power function with exponent  $\alpha = 1.8 \pm 0.6$ . The ratio of  $\phi$  to  $K^-$  production yields seems not to depend, within the experimental uncertainties, on the collision centrality, and the average of measured values was found to be  $0.36 \pm 0.05$ .

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.94.014901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.94.014901)

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The *φ* meson is a particularly interesting hadron for a variety of reasons. It is a nonstrange particle composed of a *ss*¯ pair of quarks, and is characterized by the narrow mass distribution centered at  $m_{\phi} = 1.0195 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  and decay width  $\Gamma = 4.27$  MeV [\[1\]](#page-7-0). Its suppressed decay modes have accompanied the discovery of the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule [\[2\]](#page-7-0). An enhancement of its production in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion (*AA*) collisions has been interpreted as the result of fragmentation of gluons into  $q\bar{q}$  pairs in the quark-gluon

plasma [\[3\]](#page-7-0). Calculations in the frame of the transport BUU code suggest that  $\phi$  mesons from heavy-ion collisions at energies below threshold in a free nucleon-nucleon (*NN*) collision may be produced on average even earlier than kaons, and, due to relatively weak absorption and rescattering cross sections, a majority of *φ*'s may survive the collision. Therefore, *φ* mesons may be a good probe of earlier stages of a heavy-ion collision [\[4\]](#page-7-0).

In the proton-proton (*pp*) collisions, the ratio of  $\phi$  to  $\omega$ cross sections obtained near the *φ* meson threshold was found to violate the OZI rule by about an order of magnitude [\[5,6\]](#page-7-0). Studies of near-threshold *φ* meson production in *pA* collisions revealed a target mass dependence of  $A^{0.56(3)}$  [\[7\]](#page-7-0), and pointed to the momentum-dependent widening of the *φ* width in the

<sup>\*</sup>krzysztof.piasecki@fuw.edu.pl

<span id="page-1-0"></span>nuclear medium, from which a  $\phi N$  absorption cross section of about 15–20 mb was estimated [\[8\]](#page-7-0).

In the domain of heavy-ion collisions data on the subthreshold  $\phi$  meson production is quite scarce. In the first measurement only 23  $\pm$  7 events were found in the  $K^+K^$ channel and the reconstructed yield per event varied by the factor 4 depending on the value of the temperature parameter of the  $\phi$  meson source assumed for the efficiency evaluation [\[9\]](#page-7-0). Recently, three samples of about 110–170 *φ* mesons were measured at quite similar values of the average number of participant nucleons ( $\langle A_{part} \rangle_b = 35-50$ ) and beam kinetic energies in the range of 1.75–1.9 *A* GeV [\[10–13\]](#page-7-0). Production yields per triggered event were found to be  $2.5-4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ . Taking into account that the branching ratio is  $BR(\phi \rightarrow$  $K^+K^-$ ) = 48.9% [\[1\]](#page-7-0), and comparing to the corresponding production yields of  $K^-$ , it was inferred that  $\phi$  mesons were the source of about 15–20% of negatively charged kaons. A determination of the size of this contribution, and tracing its dependency on the beam energy and collision centrality, is important in the context of the investigation of in-medium modifications of *K*<sup>−</sup> mesons from their emission patterns [\[14–18\]](#page-7-0). As the mean decay path of  $\phi$  meson is 46 fm, it decays mostly outside the collision zone, and therefore the majority of daughter kaons are not produced in the medium. The phase space distribution of negative kaons is thus composed of two contributions, and the extraction procedure of the kaon potential inside the medium should take into account the side feeding from *φ* meson decays.

In recent years there has been a considerable development of transport models. Earlier calculations in the frame of the BUU code performed for central collisions of Ni <sup>+</sup> Ni at 1*.*93*<sup>A</sup>* GeV and Ru+Ru at 1*.*69*A* GeV favored the dominance of meson-baryon production channels ( $MB \to \phi B$ ,  $M = [\rho, \pi]$ ,  $B = [N, \Delta]$ ) [\[19\]](#page-7-0). However, further BUU calculations employing the new effective parametrization of the  $pN \rightarrow pN\phi$ channels  $(N = [p,n])$ , performed for central Ni (1.93*A* GeV) <sup>+</sup> Ni and semicentral Ar (1*.*756*<sup>A</sup>* GeV) <sup>+</sup> KCl collisions suggested the *BB* production channels to be of strength equal to *MB*. An interesting study of  $\phi$  meson production in Ca  $(1.76A \text{ GeV}) + Ca$  collisions in the frame of the URQMD model was recently published [\[20\]](#page-7-0). Within this approach the decays of massive resonances [*N*∗(1990)*, . . . ,N*∗(2250)] have been pointed out as a dominant source of *φ* mesons (cf. Fig. 6 therein). In both types of calculations the  $\phi/K^-$  ratio was predicted to be similar to the experimental findings in the  $Ar + KCl$  and  $Ni + Ni$  systems. The BUU model gave detailed predictions on the composition of production channels as a function of impact parameter, distribution of production times, and kinematic variables, while the URQMD calculations predicted a specific profile of the excitation function of the  $\phi/K^-$  ratio with a maximum around the threshold energy, evolving around the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS) beam energy region into a constant, lower value. Thermal models also deliver predictions of the  $\phi$  meson production yields in an event. In Ref. [\[21\]](#page-7-0) the  $\phi/\pi$ <sup>+</sup> ratio is predicted to be centrality independent, and correlated only with the temperature of the system. Calculations of the  $\phi/K^-$  ratio shown in Fig. 13 of Ref. [\[10\]](#page-7-0) predict a strong enhancement around the threshold, sensitive to the suppression of the

volume in which the open strangeness is produced  $(R_C)$ parameter).

However, there seems to be a strong disparity between the variety of predictions of subthreshold *φ* meson production and the scarcity of available experimental data.

In our work we address this gap by analyzing  $\phi$  mesons produced in the central  $Ni + Ni$  collisions at a beam kinetic energy of 1*.*93*A* GeV and decaying in the dominant  $K^+K^-$  channel. While, as mentioned above, these mesons were already investigated within a similar centrality class, a relatively low number of  $4.7 \times 10^6$  acquired events in the experiment reported in [\[9\]](#page-7-0) resulted in only  $23 \pm 7$  events attributed to  $\phi$  mesons. In the experiment described in this paper, about 17 times more events were acquired for the central trigger (defined in Sec. II), giving a good chance to measure *φ* mesons at considerably better significance level.

As data on *φ* meson production at 1*.*9*A* GeV were also published for the less central  $Ni + Ni$  collisions, as well as central  $Al + Al$  collisions, our investigation allows us for the first time to gain insight into the centrality dependence of subthreshold  $\phi$  meson production in heavy-ion collisions.

#### **II. EXPERIMENT**

The S261 experiment was performed at the SIS-18 synchrotron at GSI, Darmstadt. <sup>58</sup>Ni ions were accelerated to a kinetic energy of 1*.*93*A* GeV, and were incident on the 58Ni target of the FOPI modular spectrometer with the average intensity of  $4-5 \times 10^5$  ions per spill. The target had a thickness of 360 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> corresponding to 1.0% interaction probability.

The innermost detector of the FOPI setup is the Central Drift Chamber (CDC) covering a wide range of polar angles (27◦ *<*  $\theta_{\text{lab}}$  < 113<sup>°</sup>),<sup>1</sup> and subdivided azimuthally into 16 identical sectors. The CDC was surrounded by the time-of-flight (ToF) detector named the Plastic Scintillation Barrel (PSB), which covered the polar angles of  $26.5° < \theta_{lab} < 56°$ . Both detectors were mounted inside the magnet solenoid, which generated a field of 0.6 T. The Plastic Wall (PlaWa) scintillation detector was placed downstream of the CDC. More information on the geometry and performance of the FOPI apparatus can be found in [\[22\]](#page-7-0).

The online "central" trigger was based on the large number of hits in the PlaWa. After an additional offline removal of events with vertex position outside the target, about  $79 \times 10^6$ events were selected, amounting to  $(22 \pm 1)\%$  of the total reaction cross section. Assuming a sharp cutoff approximation between the total reaction cross section and maximum impact parameter, and using a geometrical model of interpenetrating spheres, the number of participant nucleons averaged over the impact parameter was estimated to be  $\langle A_{\text{part}} \rangle_b = 74 \pm 2$ .

### **III. DATA ANALYSIS**

Charged particles passing through the CDC detector activate the nearest sense wires, represented within the data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The angles are given with respect to the target position in the  $S261$ experiment.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>acquisition scheme as "hits." An offline tracking routine collects them into "tracks" and reconstructs the emission angles and specific energy loss of particles. For those particles which additionally generate hits in the PSB detector, the ToF information is also obtained. A reconstruction of the collision vertex allows for the elimination of events not originating from the target.

To preselect tracks with good reconstruction quality, those composed of few hits were rejected. The tracks with too large distance of closest approach to the vertex were also eliminated. The candidates for *K*<sup>+</sup> and *K*<sup>−</sup> mesons were sought from the tracks matched with hits in the ToF barrel. For a good quality of matching, the extrapolation of the CDC track was required to pass close to the hit candidate in the PSB detector. To minimize the edge effects, the range of accepted polar angles was trimmed down to 30<sup>°</sup> <  $\theta_{lab}$  < 53<sup>°</sup>, and  $K^{+}(K^{-})$ candidates were required to have a transverse momentum  $p_T$ of at least 0.18 (0.14) GeV*/c*. The motivation for these cuts will be discussed also in Sec. [IV.](#page-3-0)

Within the basic identification technique of charged particles in FOPI, the information from a drift chamber (here, the CDC) is used. A sign of electric charge is inferred from the direction of the track curvature on the transverse plane. Subsequently, the momentum and specific energy loss inside the chamber are substituted in the Bethe-Bloch formula, and the mass parameter is extracted (dubbed here  $m_{\text{CDC}}$ ). However, the resolution of the specific energy loss is too weak for the identification of kaons in a reasonable momentum range. Therefore, an additional identification technique is applied: the mass is reconstructed by substituting the momentum and velocity in the relativistic formula  $p = m\gamma v$ . The mass parameter obtained in this method is dubbed  $m_{PSB}$ . For the present analysis both methods were applied together. In order to reject most of the pion and baryon events, the gates were imposed on the above mentioned mass parameters, shown as dashed rectangles in Fig. 1.

The  $\phi$  mesons in the  $K^+K^-$  decay channel were investigated in the invariant mass  $(M_{\text{inv}})$  distribution of kaon pairs. As the resolutions of  $m_{\text{CDC}}$  and  $m_{\text{PSB}}$  distributions in FOPI are well known to deteriorate with momentum, to limit the influence of particles with neighboring masses (pions and protons), the maximum momentum was set to 0.65  $GeV/c$ . In the FOPI analyses aiming at the selection of *K*<sup>+</sup> and/or *K*<sup>−</sup> using PSB, a reasonable level of signal to background (S/B) ratio was usually kept if particles were limited to lower maximum momenta: about 0.55 GeV*/c* for *K*<sup>+</sup> and 0.38 GeV*/c* for *K*<sup>−</sup> [\[12,13,17,23\]](#page-7-0). However, for the *φ* meson reconstruction analysis an unambiguous selection of kaons is not so critical, as some admixture of misidentified particles is not expected to create a correlation around *mφ*. To minimize possible distortions arising for the kaon pair candidates with small relative angles, pairs were required to not intersect in the active region of the CDC. The background of uncorrelated pairs was reconstructed using the mixed events method, where  $K^+$  and  $K^-$  tracks from different events were matched. The events from where two kaons were paired had to be attributed to the same centrality class, determined by the multiplicity of tracks in the CDC. To prevent the smearing out of possible flow pattern of kaons by matching events



FIG. 1. Identification of charged kaons on the two-dimensional map of FOPI mass parameters:  $m_{\text{CDC}}$  (obtained from the CDC) versus  $m_{PSB}$  (from the combination of CDC-PSB data). Rectangles marked by dashed lines delineate the cuts imposed for the selection of *K*<sup>+</sup> and *K*<sup>−</sup> candidates.

with randomly oriented reaction planes, the parent events were rotated azimuthally to align these planes. Finally, the background was normalized to the true pair distribution in the region  $1.05 < M_{\text{inv}} < 1.18 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ .

The distribution of true and mixed pairs is shown in Fig.  $2(a)$ . The  $\phi$  meson signal obtained by the subtraction of background from the spectrum of true pairs is presented in panel (b) and exhibits some excess of counts in the region  $M_{\text{inv}} < 1.01 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ . To check if this excess is generated by true  $\phi$  meson events or if it is a side effect, the experimental signal was compared to the profile obtained in the simulation of *φ* meson production and detection, shown by the solid line in Fig.  $2(c)$ . Here we only report the final result of this simulation, as it will be described in further detail in Sec. [IV.](#page-3-0) As both distributions exhibit the above mentioned excess, the *φ* meson signal was interpreted as the total number of signal counts in the range  $0.98 < M_{\text{inv}} < 1.05 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ . Within the set of cuts described above, the number of identified  $\phi$  mesons was found to be  $110 \pm 19$ . In addition, a Gaussian distribution was fitted to the signal in the range of  $1.00 < M_{\text{inv}} < 1.04 \,\text{GeV}/c^2$ , yielding a  $\sigma$  parameter of 6 MeV/ $c^2$  [see Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-3-0). The peak maximum was found to be 1*.*0211(13) GeV*/c*2, about 1 standard deviation away from the nominal value in vacuum. Within the range of  $\pm 2\sigma$  the signal to background ratio was found to be 0.4, and the significance 5.0. The number of reconstructed  $\phi$  mesons and the other characteristics of  $M_{\text{inv}}$ distribution depend on the choice of cuts applied to the track quality parameters, mass parameters, maximum momenta, binning, and windows for integration and normalization. This issue is further discussed in Appendix [A 1.](#page-6-0)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (a) Invariant mass plot of true (solid line) and mixed (scattered points) *K*+*K*<sup>−</sup> pairs. The normalization window for the spectrum of mixed pairs is indicated with the arrow. (b)  $\phi$ meson signal obtained after the background subtraction. The solid curve shows the Gaussian distribution fitted within  $1.00 < M_{\text{inv}} <$ 1*.*04 GeV*/c*2. (c) A comparison of profiles of experimental (points) and simulated (solid line) *φ* meson signals.

### **IV. EFFICIENCY DETERMINATION**

The calculation of efficiency was performed using the GEANT3-based environment [\[24\]](#page-7-0), where the modeled detectors were positioned as in the S261 experiment.  $\phi$  mesons were sampled from the relativistic Breit-Wigner mass profile with nominal mass and decay constant [\[1\]](#page-7-0), and the phase space was populated according to the Boltzmann function multiplied by the simple anisotropy term,

$$
\frac{d^2N}{dE\,d\theta} \sim pE\exp(-E/T_s)(1 + a_2\cos^2\theta),\tag{1}
$$

where  $T_s$  is the temperature of the source and  $a_2$  is the anisotropy parameter. Little is known about the characteristics of the  $\phi$  meson distribution in phase space. The inverse slopes for the collisions at 1.9*A* GeV, and lower  $\langle A_{part} \rangle_b$ , were found to be between 70 and 130 MeV [\[12,13\]](#page-7-0). Because for baryons and  $K^{\pm}$  mesons more central collisions translate into somewhat higher inverse slopes [\[18,25\]](#page-7-0), one may cautiously apply this trend to  $\phi$  mesons. Thus, for the determination of the efficiency in the present analysis, *T*<sup>s</sup> was varied between 80 and 140 MeV. Regarding the polar anisotropy of *φ* meson emission, no data are available so far. Therefore, as roughly expected from the systematics for other strange particles produced in the studied beam energy range and collision centrality [\[18\]](#page-7-0),  $a_2$  was varied between 0 and 1. The generated  $\phi$  mesons were boosted to the laboratory frame, and all of them were allowed to decay into  $K^+K^-$  pairs (the BR factor was accounted for in the calculation of the efficiency). Particles were subsequently added to the events of  $Ni + Ni$  collisions generated by the IQMD transport code [\[26\]](#page-7-0), which aims at providing a realistic set of

particles emitted from heavy-ion collisions. However, kaons emitted directly from the collision zone were not produced by this code.

After the propagation and digitization stages performed by the GEANT routines, events were processed using the same tracking, matching, and correlation routines as for the experimental data. Distributions of the quality parameters for the experimental and simulated tracks were found to mostly overlap. To account for minor discrepancies, the cut values for the simulated tracks were tuned such that a given cut filtered out the same fraction of tracks in the generated sample and in the true (measured) one. However, the distributions of mass parameters for the tracks in the simulation were found to be narrower than those from the experiment. To tackle this problem, in the first step for the experimental tracks of *K*<sup>+</sup> and *K*<sup>−</sup> candidates the two-dimensional distributions of  $m_{\text{CDC}}$  versus  $m<sub>PSB</sub>$  were inspected for consecutive slices of momentum, as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) In the next step, two-dimensional Gaussian functions were fitted to these distributions within regions not influenced by other particles (pions and/or protons). A comparison of the extracted Gaussian distribution to the rectangular cuts applied on the  $m_{\text{CDC}}$  -  $m_{\text{PSB}}$  plane (see dashed rectangles in the above mentioned figure) allowed us to find the fraction  $f(p)$  of kaons rejected by these cuts. Depending on momentum and particle sign, *f* (*p*) ranged from 0.01 to 0.15. In favor of the simulation, the narrower mass spectra allowed us to select the sample of kaons nearly unambiguously. However, to reproduce the above mentioned loss of kaons occurring for the experimental data, a fraction  $f(p)$  of simulated kaons was removed from the sample.

The obtained profile of the invariant mass spectrum of  $K^+K^-$  pairs is shown as a solid line in Fig. 2(c). The  $\phi$ meson reconstruction efficiency in the  $K^+K^-$  decay channel, calculated for the set of cuts described above, and for the source parameters  $T_s = 120$  MeV and  $a_2 = 0$ , was found to be  $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ . It was also found that the efficiency obtained with  $a_2 = 1.0$  was only 2% lower, while variations due to different assumed values of  $T_s$  remained within a  $\pm 10\%$  range.

In the next step we checked whether the simulation reproduced the efficiency of matching of tracks in the CDC with hits in the PSB. As the statistics on kaons was too low for a reliable study of this issue, the effect for negatively charged particles was tested on  $\pi^-$  tracks, and that for positively charged ones on the sum of  $\pi^+$  and protons. In the first step the ratio of CDC tracks with an associated hit in the PSB to all the reconstructed CDC tracks was inspected as a function of laboratory polar angle and transverse momentum. This ratio was obtained independently for the experimental and simulated data. Subsequently, the ratio obtained for the experimental data was divided by that for the simulated data, to yield the correction factor for the matching efficiency,

$$
\varepsilon^{\text{CDC-PSB}}(\theta_{\text{lab}}, p_{\text{t}}) = \frac{N_{\text{exp}}^{\text{PSB}}}{N_{\text{exp}}^{\text{CDC}}} / \frac{N_{\text{sim}}^{\text{PSB}}}{N_{\text{sim}}^{\text{CDC}}}.
$$
 (2)

The meaning of this factor is as follows: if the matching efficiency for a particle is worse (better) in the experiment than in the simulation, the factor is lower (higher) than 1. The  $\theta_{\text{lab}}$ -  $p_{\text{T}}$  distribution of  $\varepsilon^{\text{CDC-PSB}}$ , presented in Fig. [3,](#page-4-0) shows that

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 3. Maps of correction factors for possible unequal efficiencies of matching of CDC tracks with PSB hits between the experimental and simulated data, obtained for (a)  $\pi^-$  tracks, and (b) sum of  $\pi^+$  and proton tracks. Regions in between dashed lines correspond to cuts applied in the  $K^+K^-$  analysis.

discrepancies between the simulated and experimental matching efficiencies remain mostly within a  $\pm 8\%$  range. However, they arise at the detector edges and at low transverse momenta. This finding was a motivation to limit the range of accepted polar angles to 30<sup>°</sup>  $< \theta_{lab} < 53$ <sup>°</sup>, and set the minimum  $p_T$ at 0.18 (0.14) GeV/c for  $K^+$  ( $K^-$ ), as mentioned above in Sec. [III.](#page-1-0) Still, to correct for the remaining discrepancies, the kaon candidates selected to build the experimental  $M_{\text{inv}}$ spectrum were weighted with the factor  $1/\varepsilon^{\text{CDC-PSB}}(\theta_{\text{lab}}, p_t)$ .

More details on the estimation of systematic errors, conventions applied, and correction of the  $\langle A_{part} \rangle$ <sub>b</sub> value for the experiment presented in [\[12\]](#page-7-0) are reported in Appendix [A.](#page-6-0)

### **V. RESULTS**

The production yield of *φ* mesons per triggered collision of  $Ni + Ni$  ions was found to be

$$
P(\phi) = [8.6 \pm 1.6(\text{stat}) \pm 1.5(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4}.
$$
 (3)

where the systematic error is given within the 68.3% confidence level (see Appendix  $A_1$  for details). For a consistency check we verified that if the same centrality cut is imposed on the events of Ni + Ni collisions reported in [\[12\]](#page-7-0), the  $\phi$ meson yield extracted from that data agrees within 1 standard deviation with the above mentioned result.

A compilation of the yields per event obtained by FOPI at 1*.*9*A* GeV is shown in Table I and in Fig. 4 (full circles). The multiplicity of  $\phi$  mesons from Ar + KCl collisions at 1*.*756*A* GeV, obtained by the HADES Collaboration, cannot

TABLE I. Available data on the centrality dependence of the *φ* meson production yield per triggered event at 1*.*9*A* GeV. Note that some of published results were slightly adjusted according to the conventions defined in Appendix [A.](#page-6-0)

Reaction	$\langle A_{part} \rangle_b$	Yield $\times 10^{-4}$	Ref.
$Al + Al$	42.5	$3.1 \pm 0.5$ (stat) $\pm 0.3$ (syst)	$\lceil 13 \rceil$
$Ni + Ni$	46.5	$4.4 \pm 0.7$ (stat) $\pm 1.3$ (syst)	[12]
$Ni + Ni$	74	$8.6 \pm 1.6$ (stat) $\pm 1.5$ (syst)	This work
$Ni + Ni$ (BUU)	86	25.	14 I



FIG. 4. *φ* meson yield per event at a beam energy of 1*.*9*A* GeV as a function of number of participant nucleons averaged over the impact parameters. Full circles: data measured at 1*.*9*A* GeV; open circle: data obtained at 1*.*756*A* GeV [\[10\]](#page-7-0) and scaled according to the systematics in [\[27\]](#page-7-0); dotted curve: power function fit to the points marked by full circles. See text for details.

be compared directly to this sample, because due to the scarcity of data on subthreshold  $\phi$  meson production the excitation function is not known. However, a common systematics of the excitation function of  $\pi^{0,-}$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\rho^0$  meson yields per participant nucleon has been found, as shown in Fig. 6 of Ref. [\[27\]](#page-7-0). Interestingly, if the  $\phi$  meson yield from Ar + KCl collisions is scaled using this systematics, the "adjusted" value shown as an open circle in Fig. 4 falls well in line with the FOPI data points obtained at 1*.*9*A* GeV.

We have fitted the FOPI data with the power function,  $P(\phi) \sim A_{\text{part}}^{\alpha}$ , and obtained  $\alpha = 1.8 \pm 0.6$ . For the purpose of fitting, the statistical and systematic errors were added quadratically. Within the thermal model approach without the strangeness undersaturation effects, the volume dependence of the  $\phi$  meson multiplicity in an event is predicted to be linear [\[21\]](#page-7-0). The measured  $\alpha$  parameter is in agreement with this prediction within 1.4 standard deviations. According to the calculations in the frame of the BUU transport code the *φ* meson yield in an event should be equal to  $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  for the class of 12% most central  $Ni + Ni$  collisions (corresponding to  $\langle A_{\text{part}} \rangle_b = 86$  within the sharp cutoff approximation) [\[4\]](#page-7-0). The experimental data for this centrality class (see [\[9\]](#page-7-0)) are too scarce for a precise comparison with this prediction. However, the BUU result appears to overpredict the above mentioned power function, if the latter is slightly extrapolated beyond the region of experimental data points.

The obtained centrality dependence of the *φ* meson production yield can be compared to the *A*part scaling of the other mesons produced at similar beam energies. The pattern for  $\pi^{+,-}$  mesons exhibits somewhat sublinear dependence [\[28,29\]](#page-7-0). For  $K_s^0$ ,  $\alpha = 1.20 \pm 0.25$  was reported [\[30\]](#page-7-0). Turning to the charged kaons, the centrality dependence of their multiplicity was not measured at 1.9A GeV, but at 1.8A GeV the exponent was found to be  $\alpha = 1.65 \pm 0.15$  for  $K^+$ , and  $\alpha = 1.8 \pm 0.3$  for  $K^-$  [\[31\]](#page-7-0).

These observations can be interpreted by a mixture of different mechanisms. At beam energies around 1*.*9*A* GeV charged pions are produced abundantly far above the threshold in the free *NN* collision, with considerable feeding from  $\Delta$ resonance decays [\[25\]](#page-7-0). Their sublinear centrality dependence was interpreted as the result of interplay between the surface (∼*A*2*/*3) and volume (∼*A*) contributions [\[29\]](#page-7-0). On the other hand the analysis of  $\pi^+$  mesons emitted from the collisions around 0.8–1.0 *A* GeV has shown that whereas for pions with energies allowed in the free  $NN$  channel the  $\alpha$  exponent is consistent with 1, for high energy pions it exceeds unity. In the latter domain the exponent rises with the pion energy, as more and more additional energy is necessary to pass the threshold in the *NN* channel (see Fig. 11 in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-7-0)).

The rise of  $\alpha$  coefficient with probing more subthreshold energies was also observed for the  $K^+$  production [\[18,31\]](#page-7-0). At beam energies of 1–2 *A* GeV these particles are produced around the threshold in the  $NN \rightarrow NKY$  channel, which is energetically the lowest-lying channel for the  $K^+$  production in the free *NN* collision. Therefore the multistep channels involving the intermediate  $\Delta$  baryons or pions, which usually facilitate the subthreshold production of particles, are the important competitors, and—according to the BUU and IQMD transport codes—these channels are responsible for over half of the kaon production cross section [\[4,16\]](#page-7-0). Interestingly, within the thermal model approach the strong rising trend of  $K^+$  yield in an event with a number of participants is explained by the associated production of a hyperon in the  $NN \rightarrow NKY$ channel, required to conserve the strangeness [\[21\]](#page-7-0). Regarding *K*<sup>−</sup> production, at beam energies between 1*A* and 2*A* GeV they are produced quite deeply below the threshold in the free *NN* collision, and the centrality dependence of *K*<sup>−</sup> multiplicity in an event was found to be stronger than linear, repeating the case of  $K^+$  and pions. In addition, for a fixed energy the centrality dependence of *K*<sup>−</sup> yield appeared to follow the pattern for  $K^+$  [\[18,31\]](#page-7-0). The latter observation can be explained by noticing that the strangeness-exchange channels ( $\pi Y \rightarrow$  $BK^-$  and  $BY \rightarrow BBK^-$ ), which are expected to dominate the *K*<sup>−</sup> production (cf. [\[4,14,16\]](#page-7-0)), require the presence of a hyperon, while the energetically lowest-lying channel leading to the hyperon production,  $\ddot{N}N \rightarrow NYK^{+,0}$ , generates also the kaon.

Turning back to the  $\phi$  meson production, the extracted value of the exponent is characterized by a large uncertainty. Therefore, at the present level of statistics, the production mechanism cannot be judged from the comparison of *α* parameters between  $\phi$  and other mesons. Interestingly, stateof-the-art calculations in the frame of the BUU and URQMD models advocate entirely different mechanisms of the *φ* meson production [\[4,20\]](#page-7-0).

Considering the ratio of  $\phi/K^-$  yields, in the case of central  $Ni + Ni$  collisions, we notice that the sample of negative kaons reported in [\[34\]](#page-7-0) was measured within nearly the same centrality class as  $\phi$  mesons from the S261 experiment. To obtain the production yield per event of these kaons, we integrated the rapidity distribution shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. [\[34\]](#page-7-0). It allowed us to find the ratio of yields,

$$
\frac{P(\phi)}{P(K^-)} = 0.41 \pm 0.08 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.08 \text{(syst)},\tag{4}
$$

where the systematic error is given within the 68.3% confidence level and includes the relevant uncertainties associated



FIG. 5. (a) Centrality dependence of the  $\phi/K^-$  ratio at a beam energy of 1*.*9*A* GeV. The horizontal line and the arrow mark the average value. (b) Centrality dependence of the  $\phi/\pi^+$  ratio. The dotted line shows the fit of the constant function, and the dashed line shows the power function fit. The value of exponent  $\alpha$  is the result of the latter fit. See text for details.

with both the experiments.With this information we plotted the centrality dependence of the  $\phi/K^-$  ratio, as shown in Fig. 5(a). No clear trend is observed. The average value of this ratio is  $0.36 \pm 0.05$ . Taking into account the branching ratio of the  $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$  decay we conclude that, at a beam energy of 1.9A GeV,  $(18 \pm 3)\%$  of negative kaons originate from the decays of  $\phi$  mesons, in agreement with the previous analyses [\[12,13\]](#page-7-0). Interestingly, these results are the same as for the  $Ar + KCl$ case, measured at the more subthreshold beam energy of 1*.*756*A* GeV [\[10\]](#page-7-0). Within the version of statistical model in which the canonical ensemble is applied to particles with open strangeness, the studied ratio is sensitive to the correlation length  $R<sub>C</sub>$ , which corresponds to the reduced volume where strangeness can be produced. As shown in Fig. 13 of Ref. [\[10\]](#page-7-0), this sensitivity becomes particularly strong around the *φ* and *K*<sup>−</sup> threshold energies. The value of the *φ/K*<sup>−</sup> ratio obtained in the present analysis, as well as from the above mentioned Ar + KCl collisions, fall within the range of  $R_C \in (2.2, 3.2)$ fm. Most recent calculations within the URQMD model appear to reproduce the found  $\phi/K^-$  ratio as well (cf. Fig. 4(a) in [\[33\]](#page-7-0)).

Figure 5(b) shows the centrality dependence of the  $\phi/\pi$ <sup>+</sup> ratio (see Appendix  $\overline{A}$  3 for more details). We fitted the data with the constant function (shown as a dotted line) and obtained the value (8.3  $\pm$  1.1) × 10<sup>-5</sup> at  $\chi^2/NDF = 1.3$ . An alternative fit of the power function,  $P(\phi)/P(\pi^+) \sim A_{part}^{\alpha}$ , yields  $\alpha =$  $1.0 \pm 0.6^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$  at  $\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 0.3$ . A minor systematic error accounts for some uncertainty of the  $\pi^+$  yield at  $\langle A_{part} \rangle_b =$ 42*.*5, which is discussed in Appendix [A 3.](#page-6-0)

The statistical model predicts the  $\phi/\pi$ <sup>+</sup> ratio to be independent of the number of participant nucleons (see Fig. [2](#page-3-0) in [\[21\]](#page-7-0)). On the other hand, according to the most recent

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Parameter	Meaning	Values
$T$ (MeV)	Temperature of $\phi$ meson source	$80, 100, \ldots, 140$
a <sub>2</sub>	Anisotropy parameter of $\phi$ meson source	0.0, 1.0
	Lower endpoint of range of normalization of background to true pairs	1.05, 1.10
$M_{\text{inv,norm}}^{\text{min}}(\text{GeV}/c^2)$ $M_{\text{inv,int}}^{\text{[min-max]}}(\text{GeV}/c^2)$	Integration window of $\phi$ meson signal in the $M_{\text{inv}}$ spectrum	$[0.98 - 1.05]$ , $[1.00 - 1.04]$ , $[1.01 - 1.04]$
$N_{\text{bins}}$	No. of bins for $M_{\text{inv}}$ spectrum	$25, 35, \ldots, 65$
$hmul_{[K^+, K^-]}^{\min}$	Minimum multiplicity of hits in the CDC for a kaon candidate	$[27,27]$ , $[30,31]$
$p_{K^-}^{\text{max}}$ (GeV/c)	Maximum momentum of $K^-$ candidate	0.60, 0.65

TABLE II. Variations of the cut parameters used in the investigation of  $\phi$  mesons in central Ni (1.9*A* GeV) + Ni collisions.

calculations within the URQMD model, the predicted trend of the above mentioned ratio, shown in Fig.  $4(b)$  in Ref. [\[33\]](#page-7-0), is strongly rising with *A*part. Judging by the obtained values of *χ*2*/*NDF, both scenarios are consistent with our data. Within the latter model the  $\phi/\pi$ <sup>+</sup> ratio is predicted to be sensitive to the temperature of the collision zone, which by comparison to the above mentioned figure in  $[21]$ , would be estimated to be around 70 MeV.

#### **VI. SUMMARY**

We have investigated the  $\phi$  meson production in the  $K^+K^-$  decay channel in the 22% most central collisions of Ni <sup>+</sup> Ni at a beam kinetic energy of 1*.*93*<sup>A</sup>* GeV and found a sample of  $110 \pm 19$  mesons. After the efficiency corrections the yield per triggered event was found to be  $[8.6 \pm 1.6 \text{(stat)} \pm 1.5 \text{(syst)}] \times 10^{-4}$ . This allowed us for the first time to gain insight into the centrality dependence of the multiplicity of  $\phi$  mesons produced in heavy-ion collisions below the *NN* threshold. Its dependence can be described by a power law with an exponent *α* of  $1.8 \pm 0.6$ . The current BUU calculations seem to overpredict this trend. The  $\phi/K^$ ratio as a function of  $A_{part}$  does not exhibit any trend within the available accuracy, and the average value was found to be 0.36  $\pm$  0.05. We have also found that the  $\phi/\pi$ <sup>+</sup> ratio has an average value of  $(8.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$ . A power function parametrization of the A<sub>part</sub> dependence of this ratio yielded an exponent of  $\alpha = 1.0 \pm 0.6^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ . According to the values of *χ*2*/*NDF for these fits, both of them are consistent with the data.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported in part by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under Grant No. 06HD154, by the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI) under Grant No. HD-HER, by the Korea Research Foundation under Grant No. KRF-2005-041-C00120, by the European Commision under the 6th Framework Programme of the "Integrated Infrastructure Initiative on Strongly Interacting Matter (Hadron Physics)" (Contract No. RII3-CT-2004- 506078), and by an agreement between GSI and IN2P3/CEA under Contract No. 07-54.

## **APPENDIX A: MORE DETAILS ON THE ANALYSIS**

### **1. Systematic errors**

Table II shows the different ranges of parameters used in the present  $\phi$  meson analysis. Since none of the parameter combinations resulted in a significantly different value of the *φ* meson yield, the latter has been determined by averaging the contributions from these combinations, as detailed in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-4-0). This approach also allowed to choose the confidence level (CL) at which the systematic errors were to be extracted. In this work we took the range containing 68.3% of the above mentioned contributions, summed up around the mean value of the yield.

#### **2. Centrality dependence of** *φ* **yield per event**

For the analysis of the centrality dependence of the multiplicity of *φ* mesons at 1*.*9*A* GeV, we include the data from semicentral  $Ni + Ni$  collisions presented in [\[12\]](#page-7-0) and from central collisions of  $Al + Al$  [\[13\]](#page-7-0). We have reanalysed the centrality determination procedure for the data published in [\[12\]](#page-7-0), and got a slightly corrected value of the cross section obtained with the used trigger:  $(56 \pm 3)\%$  of the total reaction cross section. Within the geometrical model of interpenetrating spheres this translates into the average number of participants of  $\langle A_{\text{part}} \rangle_b = 46.5 \pm 2.0.$ 

In order to stay consistent with the method used to determine the yield value and systematic errors described in Appendix  $\overline{A}$  1, the data from [\[12\]](#page-7-0) and [\[13\]](#page-7-0) had to be adjusted. For the Ni + Ni analysis reported in [\[12\]](#page-7-0), the "CL =  $68.3\%$ " systematic error was obtained by reanalyzing the distribution of possible values of the  $\phi$  meson multiplicity per event resulting from various combinations of the applied cuts. In the case of the  $Al + Al$  analysis presented in [\[13\]](#page-7-0), we have shifted the value of yield slightly to remove the asymmetry of systematic errors. In addition, as the systematic errors for the latter analysis corresponded to CL *>* 95% range, we took the published value of this error divided by 2 as an upper estimation of the  $CL = 68.3\%$  value.

### **3.** Centrality dependence of  $\phi/K$ <sup>-</sup> and  $\phi/\pi$ <sup>+</sup> ratios

To study the centrality dependence of the  $\phi/K^-$  ratio we used the published values from  $[12]$  and  $[13]$  and applied slight corrections according to the prescriptions specified above in Appendix  $A_2$ . To reconstruct the centrality dependence of the  $\phi/\pi$ <sup>+</sup> ratio, for two samples of Ni + Ni data we interpolated <span id="page-7-0"></span>the data points from the  $A_{part}$  dependence of the  $\pi^{+}$  yield per event, reported in Fig. 1 of Ref.  $[28]$ . In the case of Al + Al collisions, the  $\pi^+$  yield is not yet known. As an estimation of this yield we took the yield of positive pions from  $Ni + Ni$  at the same number of participant nucleons as for the  $Al + Al$ experiment. We motivate this substitution by three arguments:

- (1) At beam energies of 1 and 1.5*A* GeV the pion yield *per number of participant nucleons* as a function of  $A_{part}$ was found to be parametrized by one (universal) curve for a wide range of system sizes, as shown in the upper panels of Fig. 18 of Ref. [29].
- [1] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), [Chin. Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001) **[38](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001)**, [090001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001).
- [2] S. Okubo, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(63)92548-9) **[5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(63)92548-9)**, [165](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(63)92548-9) [\(1963\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(63)92548-9); G. Zweig, CERN Report [No. TH-412, 1964 \(unpublished\); J. Iizuka,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.37.21) Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **[37](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.37.21)**, [21](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.37.21) [\(1966\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.37.21).
- [3] P. Koch, U. Heinz, and J. Pišút, [Z. Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01565869) [47](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01565869), [477](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01565869) [\(1990\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01565869).
- [4] H. Schade, Gy. Wolf, and B. Kämpfer, *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.034902)* [81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.034902), [034902](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.034902) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.034902).
- [5] F. Balestra *et al.* (DISTO Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.024004) **[63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.024004)**, [024004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.024004) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.024004).
- [6] M. Hartmann *et al.* (ANKE Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.242301) **[96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.242301)**, [242301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.242301) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.242301).
- [7] T. Tabaru *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.025201) **[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.025201)**, [025201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.025201) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.025201).
- [8] M. Hartmann *et al.* (ANKE Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.035206) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.035206)**, [035206](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.035206) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.035206).
- [9] A. Mangiarotti *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)01366-0) **[714](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)01366-0)**, [89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)01366-0) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)01366-0).
- [10] G. Agakishiev *et al.* (HADES Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.80.025209) **[80](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.80.025209)**, [025209](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.80.025209) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.80.025209).
- [11] M. Lorenz (HADES Collaboration), PoS (**BORMIO2010**), 038 (2010).
- [12] K. Piasecki *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.054904) **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.054904)**, [054904](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.054904) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.054904).
- [13] P. Gasik *et al.*, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16177-y) **[52](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16177-y)**, [177](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16177-y) [\(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16177-y).
- [14] C. Fuchs, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.07.004) **[56](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.07.004)**, [1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.07.004) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.07.004).
- [15] M. F. M. Lutz, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2004.02.008) **[53](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2004.02.008)**, [125](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2004.02.008) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2004.02.008).
- [16] C. Hartnack *et al.*, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2011.08.004) **[510](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2011.08.004)**, [119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2011.08.004) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2011.08.004).
- [17] K. Wiśniewski et al. (FOPI Collaboration), [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500070008) [9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500070008), [515](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500070008) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500070008).
- (2) The  $N/Z$  ratios for Al + Al and Ni + Ni systems are the same
- (3) The pion yield per *A*part for the collisions of the moderate-size  $Ni + Ni$  system at 1A GeV was found to be 15% higher than that for the collisions of the large Au+Au system (see p. 480 in Ref. [29]). If we assume that the further drop of the system size from  $Ni + Ni$  to  $Al + Al$  generates the same rise of the pion yield per  $A<sub>part</sub>$ , it introduces a change of the value of the fitted  $\alpha$ exponent from 1.0 to 1.1, which is minor compared to the fit error associated to this parameter. Therefore we introduce an additional systematic error of <sup>+</sup>0*.*1 due to this uncertainty.
- [18] A. Förster et al. (KaoS Collaboration), *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.024906)* [75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.024906), [024906](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.024906) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.024906).
- [19] H. W. Barz, M. Zétényi, Gy. Wolf, and B. Kämpfer, Nucl. Phys. A **[705](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)00593-6)**, [223](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)00593-6) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)00593-6).
- [20] J. Steinheimer and M. Bleicher, [J. Phys. G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/1/015104) **[43](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/1/015104)**, [015104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/1/015104) [\(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/1/015104).
- [21] J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, and K. Redlich, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.59.1663) **[59](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.59.1663)**, [1663](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.59.1663) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.59.1663).
- [22] J. Ritman, [Nucl. Phys. \(Proc. Suppl.\) B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(95)80107-3) **[44](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(95)80107-3)**, [708](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(95)80107-3) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(95)80107-3); B. Sikora, Acta Phys. Pol. B **31**, 135 (2000).
- [23] V. Zinyuk *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.025210) **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.025210)**, [025210](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.025210) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.025210).
- [24] [wwwasdoc.web.cern.ch/wwwasdoc/geant\\_html3/geantall.html](http://wwwasdoc.web.cern.ch/wwwasdoc/geant_html3/geantall.html)
- [25] B. Hong *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00707-7) **[407](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00707-7)**, [115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00707-7) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00707-7).
- [26] C. Hartnack *et al.*, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050045) **[1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050045)**, [151](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050045) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050045).
- [27] V. Metag, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0146-6410(93)90007-3) **[30](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0146-6410(93)90007-3)**, [75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0146-6410(93)90007-3) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0146-6410(93)90007-3).
- [28] D. Pelte *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), [Z. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050367) **[359](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050367)**, [55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050367) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050367).
- [29] W. Reisdorf *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.10.085) **[781](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.10.085)**, [459](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.10.085) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.10.085).
- [30] M. Merschmeyer *et al.* (FOPI Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.024906) **[76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.024906)**, [024906](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.024906) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.024906).
- [31] R. Barth *et al.* (KaoS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4007) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4007)**, [4007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4007) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4007).
- [32] C. Müntz et al. (KaoS Collaboration), [Z. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050259) [357](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050259), [399](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050259) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050259).
- [33] J. Steinheimer, M. Lorenz, F. Becattini, R. Stock, and M. Bleicher, [arXiv:1603.02051.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1603.02051)
- [34] M. Menzel *et al.* (KaoS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01232-6) **[495](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01232-6)**, [26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01232-6) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01232-6).