# <span id="page-0-0"></span>**Pairing properties and specific heat of the inner crust of a neutron star**

A. Pastore

*Institut d'Astronomie et d'Astrophysique, Code Postal 226, Universite Libre de Bruxelles, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium ´* (Received 13 September 2014; revised manuscript received 14 November 2014; published 28 January 2015)

I investigate the pairing properties at finite temperature of the Wigner–Seitz cells in the inner crust of a neutron star obtained with the recent Brussels–Montreal Skyrme functional BSk21. In particular I analyze the phenomena of persistence and reentrance of pairing correlations and their impact on the specific heat in the low-density region of the inner crust.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.91.015809](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.015809) PACS number(s): 26*.*60*.*Gj*,* 21*.*60*.*Jz*,* 21*.*30*.*−x

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

The inner crust of a neutron star (NS), although it represents a very small fraction of the total mass of the star, plays a crucial role in a variety of phenomena [\[1,2\]](#page-6-0) and in particular on its thermalization process. It is thus very important to study the thermal properties of the different constituents of the crust  $[3-5]$ . According to standard models, the inner crust of a NS is formed by a lattice of neutron-rich nuclei immersed in a sea of free neutrons and ultrarelativistic electrons [\[2\]](#page-6-0) and characterized by baryonic densities ranging from  $\rho_b \approx$  $5 \times 10^{11}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> to  $\rho_b \approx 10^{14}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>. A very convenient model used to describe this region of the NS is based on the Wigner– Seitz (WS) approximation  $[6]$ . Following the pioneering article of Negele and Vautherin [\[7\]](#page-6-0), I consider spherical cells of radius *R*<sub>WS</sub> centered on each cluster in such a way as to cover the entire volume of the crust. The WS cells are noninteracting and electrically neutral. In Ref. [\[8\]](#page-6-0) Chamel *et al.* investigated the validity of this approximation, showing that it can be considered as a reliable model up to baryonic densities of  $\rho_b \approx 8 \times 10^{13}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

Comparing cooling calculations with available estimates for the surface temperatures of a NS, several groups estimated the presence of a superfluid phase  $[9-11]$ . The presence of pairing correlations within the inner crust directly affects its thermal properties. It is thus very important to perform microscopic calculations which could then be used for successive astrophysical studies. In a recent article, Fortin *et al.* [\[4\]](#page-6-0), performing finite-temperature Hartree–Fock–Bogoliubov (FT-HFB) calculations in WS cells, showed that the thermal evolution of pairing correlations is quite different for lowand high-density WS cells. By inspecting the neutron specific heat of the low-density WS cells given in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-6-0), they observed the presence of two discontinuities corresponding to the disappearance of superfluidity in two regions of the cell: the gas and the cluster. The result was later confirmed in Refs. [\[12,13\]](#page-6-0). Within the standard BCS theory [\[14,15\]](#page-6-0), one can see that the superfluid phase disappears beyond a certain value of the temperature of the system,  $T_c$ , since Cooper pairs are broken due to thermal fluctuations. For an homogenous system, the critical temperature can be related to the pairing gap at zero temperature,  $\Delta_{T=0}$ , as [\[16\]](#page-6-0)

$$
k_B T_c \approx 0.57 \Delta_{T=0},\tag{1}
$$

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant. This result was also validated to be a good approximation in inhomogeneous systems such as finite nuclei and high-density WS cells [\[17–19\]](#page-6-0). In the region between the outer and the inner crust, the evolution of the pairing gap with temperature is much richer then predicted by the simple BCS theory. In Ref. [\[20\]](#page-6-0), Margueron and Khan showed how the coupling between bound and continuum states plays an important role in the suppression and persistence of pairing correlations. In the present article, I continue the analysis I started in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-6-0) by studying the effect of the coupling with the continuum states on the specific heat of the WS cell.

The article is organized as follows: in Sec. II, I study the pairing correlations at zero temperature for some selected nuclei and WS cells, while in Sec. [III](#page-3-0) I consider the thermal properties of the inner crust at low density. Finally, I give my conclusions in Sec. [IV.](#page-5-0)

### **II. PAIRING PROPERTIES AT**  $T = 0$

To investigate the thermal properties of the inner crust, I solved the FT-HFB equations [\[22\]](#page-6-0) in a spherical box of radius  $R_{\text{WS}}$  and using the Dirichlet–Neumann mixed boundary conditions [\[7\]](#page-6-0). All the relevant numerical methods on the solution of these equations as well on their accuracy was already presented in Refs. [\[12,21,23\]](#page-6-0) and I thus omit them here. To have a simpler notation, I adopt a system of natural units where  $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$ .

The FT-HFB equations are solved by using the recent Brussels–Montreal Skyrme functional BSk21 [\[24\]](#page-6-0). This functional is well suited for astrophysical calculations since it has been built to reproduce with high accuracy all experimentally known masses of atomic nuclei ( $\approx$ 2000) with a root-meansquare deviation of  $\sigma = 0.58$  MeV. Moreover, the functional was also fit to reproduce the equation of state (EoS) of Li and Schulze [\[25\]](#page-6-0) in pure neutron matter (PNM). This is a very important feature for calculations of systems with strong isospin asymmetry. For the pairing channel, the BSk21 was equipped by a zero-range interaction whose parameters are constrained to reproduce the  ${}^{1}S_{0}$  gap in PNM and in symmetric nuclear matter (SNM) obtained by realistic calculations [\[26\]](#page-6-0). See also Ref. [\[27\]](#page-6-0) for more details.

Thanks to all these features, BSk21 can be considered a good functional to be used for astrophysical calculations.

#### **A. Inner crust**

The chemical composition of the inner crust of the NS was studied by Pearson *et al.* [\[28,29\]](#page-6-0) by using the the BSk21

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (Color online) Neutron (solid line) and proton (dashed line) densities obtained with the WS configurations given in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-6-0).

Skyrme-functional. They minimized the total energy of the WS cell at *β* equilibrium and at zero temperature by using the extended Thomas–Fermi plus Strutinsky integral method (ETFSI) [\[30\]](#page-6-0). They found that the most favorable configuration all along the inner crust is made by Zr isotopes.

The chemical composition of the inner crust was also investigated by other groups by using different methods and different functionals [\[7,31–33\]](#page-6-0), showing a certain preference for nuclei close to  $Z = 40$  and to  $Z = 50$ . Since the energy minima are relatively close to one another, the different approximations adopted during the calculations could play a non-negligible role, such as, for example, the treatment of states in the continuum [\[34\]](#page-6-0) or the effect of superfluidity [\[29\]](#page-6-0). A systematic comparison among the different models would be thus important for a better insight into the physics of this system. This analysis goes beyond the scope of the present article. For consistency, I adopt the chemical composition obtained with ETFSI for BSk21.

In Fig. 1, I show the densities of the WS cell at different average baryonic densities,  $\bar{\rho}_b$ , as obtained from Ref. [\[28\]](#page-6-0) and calculated by using the complete BSk21 functional. This result can be directly compared with Fig. 6 of Ref. [\[28\]](#page-6-0). My results are in good agreement with the ETFSI results up to  $\bar{\rho}_b = 0.04$  fm<sup>-3</sup>; beyond this value I notice that my results are affected by spurious shell effects in the external neutron gas. These effects are related to the discretization of the continuum in the box [\[8,31,34\]](#page-6-0). Compared to the EFTSI method, I also observe the presence of small ripples in the cluster region. To some extent these are artifacts of the mean-field approach used here, and they are expected to be washed out by the inclusions of correlations [\[35\]](#page-6-0). In Ref. [\[28\]](#page-6-0), there is an additional WS cell at  $\bar{\rho}_b = 0.08$  fm<sup>-3</sup> which I omit here. For this case, my calculations converge toward a solution where a large fraction of protons sits at the edge of the cell. This result is very sensitive to the choice of the boundary conditions and on the initial guess on the mean-field potential used to solve the FT-HFB equations. I refer to Ref. [\[23\]](#page-6-0) for a more detailed discussion. For such a reason I discarded this cell



FIG. 2. (Color online) Neutron pairing field for different WS cells calculated at zero temperature.

from my analysis. In Fig. 2, I show the neutron pairing field,  $\Delta^{n}(R)$ , for the WS cells shown in Fig. 1. In the high-density region,  $\bar{\rho}_b \geqslant 0.02 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ , the main contribution to pairing correlations comes form the external gas, and the cluster acts like an impurity which decreases the gap compared with the homogeneous case [\[36\]](#page-6-0). This is a general behavior which does not depend on the composition of the cluster [\[23\]](#page-6-0). For the low-density region,  $158Zr$ , the situation is the opposite: the neutron pairing field is rather weak in the external neutron gas, while it is mainly concentrated in the cluster region. In this case  $\Delta^n(R)$  strongly depends on the properties of the cluster and, in particular, on its shell structure. For the WS  $686$ Zr, I observe an intermediate behavior: it is still possible to observe a small peak at the surface of the cluster, similarly to  $158$ Zr. The pairing field for  $1234$ Zr is quite different from that for  $1150Zr$ , although they differ by only 84 neutrons. To clarify this very anomalous behavior, I repeated the calculations by changing the choice of the boundary conditions at the edge of the box, as discussed in Ref. [\[31\]](#page-6-0). The Dirichlet–Neumann mixed boundary conditions [\[7\]](#page-6-0) can be obtained in two ways: (i) even-parity wave functions and first derivative of odd-parity wave functions vanish at  $R = R_{\text{WS}}$  (BC1), (ii) the other way around (BC2). Since the choice of the boundary conditions is arbitrary, the result should not depend on it. In Fig. [3,](#page-2-0) I compare the neutron pairing field obtained with the sets BC1 and BC2. I notice that the pairing field strongly depends on this choice, thus showing that the method used to solve HFB calculations is no more adapted in this case. This result is consistent with previous findings of Ref. [\[8\]](#page-6-0) concerning the validity of the WS approximation. I thus retire this WS cell from my successive analysis.

#### **B. Neutron drip line**

From the ETFSI calculations done in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-6-0), the most energetically favorable configuration for the WS cells in the crust is that with clusters made by zirconium isotopes. Anyhow, it is worth noticing that, within a difference of few keV of energy per particle, one can find other proton numbers,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Neutron pairing field for  $1234$ Zr using two different sets of boundary conditions BC1 and BC2 as defined in Ref. [\[31\]](#page-6-0). In the inset, I show the neutron density  $\rho_n(R)$ .

such as, for example,  $Z = 50$ . The inclusion of thermal effects would probably lead to a mixed configuration. For such a reason, I consider in this study the interface between outer and inner crust two isotopic chains: zirconium and tin.

According to the general result shown in Refs. [\[37,38\]](#page-6-0), when a quantal system drips out from a very small to a very large potential (container), pairing correlations at the Fermi surface are suppressed at the drip point. In this case, due to the very large number of atoms, shell effects are washed away. By reducing the number of particles to several hundreds, as in the nuclear case, the result can be affected by the specific underlying single-particle structure and, in particular, by the position of low-energy resonant states [\[39\]](#page-6-0) in the single-particle spectrum [\[20,21\]](#page-6-0). It is possible to build pairing correlations between bound and resonant states unless there is a large shell gap between them (compared to the strength of the pairing gap). Zr and Sn have been identified as good examples for these two different behaviors. I thus performed systematic HFB calculations at zero temperature for these two isotopic chains by placing each nucleus at the center of a spherical box of  $R_{\text{WS}} = 50$  fm radius.

To identify the position of the two-neutron drip line, I analyzed the two-neutron separation energy  $S_{2n}$ . The result is represented in Fig. 4. The two drip-line nuclei for BSk21 are  $130$ Zr and  $176$ Sn; on the same figure, the symbols represent the experimental data point taken from Ref. [\[40\]](#page-6-0). The position of the two-neutron drip line depends clearly on the choice of the interaction and I refer to Ref.  $[41]$  for a more systematic analysis.

To quantify the presence of pairing correlations, I define the average pairing gap as [\[42\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\Delta_{\rm UV}^q = \frac{\int d^3r \kappa^q \left( r \right) \Delta^q \left( r \right)}{\int d^3r \kappa^q \left( r \right)},\tag{2}
$$

where  $\kappa^q(r)$  is the pairing tensor for neutrons  $(q = n)$  and protons  $(q = p)$  [\[14\]](#page-6-0). This definition is well adapted to describe pairing properties of overflowing systems, since it averages pairing correlations on several states around the



FIG. 4. (Color online) Two neutron separation energies  $S_{2n}$  for Zr and Sn chains. The full symbols represent the HFB calculations, while the open symbols are the experimental values taken from Ref. [\[40\]](#page-6-0).

Fermi energy  $\varepsilon_F^q$  which belong to the gas and to the cluster. In Fig. 5, I show the evolution of the averaged neutron gap  $\Delta^n_{UV}$ as a function of the neutron number. To put in evidence the behavior at the drip line, I rescaled the *x* axis by subtracting the number of neutrons at the drip line,  $N_{\text{drip}}$ , as done in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-6-0).

I observe that, for Sn isotopes, once one passes the drip line there is a strong reduction of the pairing gap. Beyond the drip line the pairing correlations are essentially formed in the free-neutron gas, while for Zr isotopes the gap  $\Delta_{UV}^n$  does not go to zero beyond the drip line and stays at a value which is bigger than that obtained by considering only the contribution of the free-neutron gas (see also Fig. 5 of Ref. [\[21\]](#page-6-0)). This difference can be understood by looking at the single-particle structure: in Table [I,](#page-3-0) I show the most relevant single-particle states,  $\varepsilon_{lj}$ , for <sup>130</sup>Zr, which are obtained as the eigensolutions of Hartree–Fock (HF) Hamiltonian after the final convergence of the HFB calculation  $[43]$ .  $(l, j)$  stand for the orbital and total angular momentum of the particle. One can observe the



FIG. 5. (Color online) Average neutron pairing gaps as defined in Eq. (2) for Zr and Sn isotopic chains. See text for details.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>TABLE I. Single-neutron energies for  $130Zr$  obtained with the BSk21 functional.  $\Gamma_{lj}$  is the width of the resonant states. The neutron chemical potential is  $\mu_F^n = -0.06$  MeV. See text for details.

$\varepsilon_{lj}$ [MeV]	$\Gamma_{li}$ [MeV]		
3.20	0.05	5	9/2
1.78	0.5	3	5/2
0.48	3.5		1/2
$-0.03$			3/2
$-0.27$		3	7/2
$-4.39$		5	11/2

presence of two resonant states  $p_{1/2}$ ,  $f_{5/2}$  close to threshold and one very loosely bound state  $p_{3/2}$ . Due to the specific spatial extension of their wave function, they have a quite strong overlap with the wave functions of bound states in the last open shell, thus leading to nonzero matrix elements of the gap. The situation for Sn isotopes is quite different since <sup>176</sup>Sn represents the neutron shell closure at  $N = 126$ , thus the last bound single-particle level is  $\varepsilon_{i_{13/2}} = -1.67$  MeV, and it represents quite a strong shell gap that prevents the possibility of coupling with continuum states. These results are in good agreement with previous calculations done with different pairing functionals and different Skyrme functionals [\[21\]](#page-6-0). The inclusion of thermal fluctuations can change this picture since they modify both the occupation of the levels and the shell structure. I discuss this effect in Sec. III.

#### **III. PAIRING PROPERTIES AT FINITE TEMPERATURE**

# **A. Pairing field**

In this section, I analyze the impact of thermal effects on pairing correlations. For the following discussion, it is interesting to consider two WS cells; namely,  $158$ Zr, which is the result of a complete minimization with the ETFSI method and <sup>204</sup>Sn. The latter has been constructed by taking  $Z = 50$ protons and adjusting the number of neutrons to have the same value of the density of the external neutron gas as in  $158$ Zr, i.e.,  $\rho_{\rm gas} \approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$  fm<sup>-3</sup>. In Fig. 6, I show the density profile  $\rho_{q=n,p}$  of neutrons and protons for these two WS cells.

In Fig. 7, I show the evolution with the temperature of the average neutron pairing gap  $\Delta_{UV}^n$  as defined in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) for these two WS cells. At  $T = 0$ , the cell <sup>204</sup>Sn is superfluid, although the neutron pairing gap is relatively small  $\Delta_{UV}^n = 0.1$  MeV. By increasing the temperature, I observe a phase transition at  $T = 60$  keV, where the neutron pairing gap drops to zero. By increasing the temperature up to  $T = 0.24$  MeV, I observe that the neutron pairing gap starts to increase again. When the temperature is larger than the critical value  $T_{c,n}^2 = 1.04$  MeV, pairing correlations are completely suppressed.

For <sup>158</sup>Zr, the situation is quite different: at  $T = 0$  the neutron pairing gap is  $\Delta_{UV}^n = 0.28$  MeV; by increasing the temperature, the pairing gap increases and reaches its maximum at  $T = 0.30$  MeV and  $\Delta_{UV}^n = 0.96$  MeV, and then it decreases again until it disappears beyond a critical value  $T_{c,2}^n = 0.55$  MeV. Similar results have been also presented in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-6-0) for other Skyrme functionals.



FIG. 6. (Color online) Neutron and proton density for <sup>158</sup>Zr (solid lines) and 204Sn (dashed lines) at zero temperature. In the inset, I show the densities, but on a semilogarithmic scale.

In Fig. 7, I also show the evolution with the temperature of the neutron pairing gap for the two nuclei at the drip line. It is important to underline that the FT-HFB theory is not adapted to describe the thermal properties of isolated nuclei [\[44,45\]](#page-6-0).

For <sup>130</sup>Zr, the critical temperature is  $T_c^n = 0.40$  MeV, while for <sup>158</sup>Zr the critical temperature is  $T_{c,2}^{n} = 0.55$  MeV. By adding 28 neutrons which form a very dilute gas, one observes an increase of  $\approx$ 30% in the critical temperature, meaning that pairing correlations are stronger in this case. The difference between the critical temperatures between  $176$ Sn and  $204$ Sn is much smaller; in fact,  $T_c^n = 1.0$  MeV for <sup>176</sup>Sn. I thus observe a difference of only  $\approx$ 4% between the two critical temperatures. The number of neutrons forming the gas is the same in the two cases.



FIG. 7. (Color online) Average neutron pairing gap  $\Delta_{UV}^n$  as a function of temperature for different systems. In panel (a), I show the evolution of the neutron pairing gap for 130Zr (dashed line) and <sup>158</sup>Zr (solid line). In panel (b), I show the same quantity, but for  $176$ Sn (dashed line) and 204Sn (solid line). See text for details.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 8. (Color online) Neutron pairing field for <sup>158</sup>Zr (upper panel) and 204Sn (lower panel) calculated at different values of the temperature of the system.

In Fig. 8, I show the neutron pairing field for the two WS cells ( $158Zr$ ,  $204Sn$ ) at different values of temperature. Contrary to  $\Delta_{UV}^n$  which is an average quantity,  $\Delta^n(R)$  gives more information about the different components of the system; namely, the cluster and the gas. For  $T = 0$ , I observe that the pairing field of the cell  $^{204}$ Sn is rather uniform in both the gas and cluster region. At  $T = 0.2$  MeV it drops to zero, then it starts to increase again in the temperature interval  $T \in [0.23, 1.04]$  MeV, but only in the cluster region.

The presence of the temperature modifies the occupation probabilities of the last major shell creating *holes*, thus allowing the formation of pairing correlations between these states. In Fig. 9, I show the occupation probabilities of the canonical neutron states,  $v_{ij}^2$ , of the last major shell for <sup>204</sup>Sn. At  $T = 0$  all the states are fully occupied and thus they do not contribute to superfluidity; as seen in Fig. 8, pairing correlations arise essentially from gas states. At



FIG. 9. (Color online) Evolution of canonical neutron states (upper panel) and their occupation probability (lower panel) as a function of temperature for  $204$  Sn. The dotted line represents the evolution of the neutron chemical potential  $\mu_F^n$ .

 $T = 0.23$  MeV the levels start to be unoccupied and thus can be used to build pairing correlations, consistently with the reappearance of the pairing field in Fig. 8. I also notice that not only the occupations probabilities are modified by the temperature, but also the canonical energies  $e_{li}^{\text{cano}}$  which are close to threshold. Although the change in occupation and energy shift are strongly correlated, I conclude that the main effect on pairing reentrance comes from the formation of *holes* in this shell.

In the case of  $158Zr$ , I observe from Fig. 8 that the pairing field is suppressed in the gas at  $T_{c,1}^n = 60$  keV, while it persists in the cluster, until at  $T_{c,2}^n = 0.55$  MeV the entire system is no more superfluid. As previously discussed for the <sup>130</sup>Zr case, the superfluidity mainly arises from scattering of pairs between loosely bound states and low-energy resonant states. These states are not fully occupied as in  $204$ Sn and thus there is no reentrance phenomena. Adding temperature effects, the occupation probabilities of these states changes. This can be easily observed by looking at the canonical basis representation. Within the interval  $T \in [0, 0.2]$  MeV, the number of neutrons occupying these states is not modified, at higher temperatures the neutrons occupy other scattering states at higher energy and one suddenly observes a reduction of the pairing field; see Fig. 8. At  $T_{c,2}^{n} = 0.55$ , pairing correlations are suppressed and the occupation probability of the low-lying resonant states is strongly reduced compared to the  $T = 0$ case. The different behavior of these two systems at finite temperature indicates the necessity of performing an analysis of the chemical composition of the inner crust at different values of the temperature. In fact, the energy differences between the different minima found in Refs. [\[28,29\]](#page-6-0) could be strongly modified by temperature effects.

# **B. Specific heat**

I now discuss the main features of the specific heat for the different components of the WS cells. The electrons in the WS cell can be treated as a uniform ultrarelativistic gas and their specific heat obtained trough the standard linear approximation [\[4\]](#page-6-0), while for protons and neutrons I use the equation

$$
C_V^q = T \frac{dS^q}{dT},\tag{3}
$$

where I define the entropy of each species  $S<sup>q</sup>$  as

$$
S^{q} = \sum_{\alpha} (2j_{\alpha} + 1) \left[ f_{\alpha}^{q} \ln f_{\alpha}^{q} + \left( 1 - f_{\alpha}^{q} \right) \ln \left( 1 - f_{\alpha}^{q} \right) \right], \tag{4}
$$

where  $f_\alpha^q = (1 + \exp{\frac{E_\alpha^q}{T}})^{-1}$  is the Fermi distribution,  $E_\alpha^q$  is the quasiparticle energy, and  $\alpha = \{nlj\}$  is a shorthand notation for the quantum number of the system. In Fig. [10,](#page-5-0) I show the neutron specific heat  $C_V^n$  as a function of temperature for the different WS cells discussed in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) For  $686Zr$ ,  $1020Zr$ , and 1150Zr, I observe the presence of only one phase transition. The position of the critical temperature can be identified with Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0). Beyond this value, the behavior of the specific heat can be described within the linear approximation for  $C_V^n$ . In fact, for these WS cells the condition  $T \ll \varepsilon_F$  is satisfied. See the discussion in Ref. [\[18\]](#page-6-0) for more details.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 10. (Color online) Neutron specific heat of various WS cells as presented in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

For the WS cell <sup>158</sup>Zr, which is at the interface between the outer and inner crust, one clearly identifies two discontinuities in  $C_V^n$  corresponding to two critical densities  $T_{c,n}^{1,2}$  The first one  $T_{c,1}^n = 0.06$  MeV corresponds to the disappearance of pairing correlation in the external gas, as can also be seen from the behavior of the neutron pairing field  $\Delta^n(R)$  in Fig. [8.](#page-4-0) At the second phase transition,  $T_{c,2}^n = 0.55$  MeV, the entire WS cell becomes nonsuperfluid. Beyond the critical density  $T_{c,2}^n$ ,  $C_V^n$ is not linear since in this case  $T \approx \varepsilon_F$  and the behavior of the specific heat is more complex. For this particular configuration, the temperature at which the cluster evaporates is rather small ( $\approx$ 3 MeV) [\[46\]](#page-6-0) and, already at  $T \approx 1$  MeV, I observe important modifications of the underlying shell structure. In Fig. 11, I compare the neutron specific heat of  $158$ Zr with the specific heat of an homogenous gas of neutrons with density  $\rho_{\rm gas}$  = 5 × 10<sup>-5</sup> fm<sup>-3</sup> [Fig. 11(a)] and also the specific heat for  $204$ Sn [Fig. 11(b)]. I notice that both WS cells have a first critical temperature at  $T_{c,1}^n = 60$  keV. This temperature also coincides with the critical temperature of the uniform neutron gas. For temperatures up to  $T \approx 0.2$  MeV, the properties of  $C_V^n$  are essentially dominated by the external gas and thus

show a behavior which is roughly independent of the detailed composition of the crust. For higher values of the temperature the role of the cluster becomes more and more important. For this purpose, I show on the same figure the specific heat calculated for the corresponding drip-line nucleus. For the Sn case, I observe that the  $C_V^n$  of <sup>204</sup>Sn follows very closely that of <sup>176</sup>Sn up to  $T \approx 0.6$  MeV. Moreover, the critical temperature for which the system becomes completely nonsuperfluid in both cases is rather close. This is not the case for Zr isotopes, where none of these features is observed.

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

I studied the pairing properties of Wigner–Seitz cells at finite temperature by solving the FT-HFB equations using the BSk21 functional. This functional was developed to reproduce with high accuracy both the ground-state properties, such as masses and radii of all know nuclei, and also some important properties of infinite nuclear matter [\[24,27–29\]](#page-6-0).

I devoted particular attention to the description of thermal properties of low-density WS cells showing that the thermal effects change their superfluid properties. Since the minimization procedure used to describe the chemical composition of the crust predicts several local minima which differer by a few keV per particle  $[28]$ , one could expect that the appearance (disappearance) of pairing correlations at finite temperature could play a non-negligible role. Taking two representative WS cells; namely,  $158$ Zr and  $204$ Sn, I also analyzed the properties of their neutron specific heat, showing that, in the lowtemperature regime, its behavior is almost independent of the nuclear cluster being mainly dominated by the thermal properties of the external neutron gas. At higher densities, the role of the cluster becomes more important. An interesting analysis of the correlations between cluster and external neutron gas in WS cells was performed in Ref. [\[47\]](#page-6-0). In that case, the authors limited themselves to the zero-temperature case. It would be thus interesting to perform such a kind of study for the finite-temperature case. Recently several groups demonstrated a lot of interest in the study of thermal properties of the inner crust in the low-density region at the interface between outer and inner crust and at low temperature. The



FIG. 11. (Color online) Neutron specific heat for different WS cells. With dashed lines, I represent the drip-line nuclei (a) <sup>130</sup>Zr and (b) <sup>176</sup>Sn. The dashed-dotted line represents the specific heat for an external neutron gas with density  $\rho_{\rm gas} = 5 \times 10^{-5}$  fm<sup>-3</sup>.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>presence of pairing correlations strongly suppress the specific heat compared with the nonsuperfluid case. In this scenario, the heat capacity induced by the exchange of low-lying vibrational states [48–53] would play an important role. The coupling of lattice phonons with single-particle degrees of freedom also alters the pairing correlations of the WS cell [54,55]. In the future it will be thus mandatory to treat all these different aspects on equal level to have a realistic description of the

- [1] P. Haensel, A. Y. Potekhin, and D. G. Yakovlev (eds.), *Neutron Stars 1 : Equation of State and Structure*, Vol. 326 of Astrophysics and Space Science Library (Springer, New York, 2007).
- [2] N. Chamel and P. Haensel, Living Rev. Rel. **11**, 10 (2008).
- [3] [O. Y. Gnedin, D. G. Yakovlev, and A. Y. Potekhin,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04359.x) Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **[324](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04359.x)**, [725](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04359.x) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04359.x).
- [4] M. Fortin, F. Grill, J. Margueron, D. Page, and N. Sandulescu, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.065804) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.065804)**, [065804](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.065804) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.065804).
- [5] D. Page, J. M. Lattimer, M. Prakash, and A. W. Steiner, [Astrophys. J., Suppl. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/424844) **[155](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/424844)**, [623](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/424844) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/424844).
- [6] E. Wigner and F. Seitz, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.43.804) **[43](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.43.804)**, [804](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.43.804) [\(1933\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.43.804).
- [7] J. W. Negele and D. Vautherin, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(73)90349-7) **[207](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(73)90349-7)**, [298](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(73)90349-7) [\(1973\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(73)90349-7).
- [8] N. Chamel, S. Naimi, E. Khan, and J. Margueron, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.055806) **[75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.055806)**, [055806](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.055806) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.055806).
- [9] D. Page and J. H. Applegate, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/186462) **[394](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/186462)**, [L17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/186462) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/186462).
- [10] P. M. Pizzochero, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.2425) **[66](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.2425)**, [2425](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.2425) [\(1991\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.2425).
- [11] J. M. Lattimer, K. A. van Riper, M. Prakash, and M. Prakash, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/174025) **[425](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/174025)**, [802](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/174025) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/174025).
- [12] A. Pastore, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065802) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065802)**, [065802](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065802) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065802).
- [13] A. Pastore, [EPJ Web Conf.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/20146607019) **[66](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/20146607019)**, [07019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/20146607019) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/20146607019).
- [14] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
- [15] P. G. De Gennes, *Superconductivity Of Metals And Alloys*, Advanced Book Classics (Advanced Book Program, Perseus Books, New York, 1999).
- [16] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, I. E. Dzyaloshinski, and I. E. Dzialoshinski, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Courier Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 1975).
- [17] E. Khan, N. Van Giai, and N. Sandulescu, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2007.03.005) **[789](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2007.03.005)**, [94](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2007.03.005) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2007.03.005).
- [18] [N. Chamel, S. Goriely, J. M. Pearson, and M. Onsi,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.045804) *Phys. Rev.* C **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.045804)**, [045804](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.045804) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.045804).
- [19] Y. F. Niu, Z. M. Niu, N. Paar, D. Vretenar, G. H. Wang, J. S. Bai, and J. Meng, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034308) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034308)**, [034308](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034308) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034308).
- [20] J. Margueron and E. Khan, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065801) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065801)**, [065801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065801) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.065801).
- [21] A. Pastore, J. Margueron, P. Schuck, and X. Viñas, *Phys. Rev.* C **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034314)**, [034314](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034314) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034314).
- [22] A. L. Goodman, J. I. Kapusta, and A. Z. Mekjian, *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.30.851)* **[30](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.30.851)**, [851](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.30.851) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.30.851).
- [23] A. Pastore, S. Baroni, and C. Losa, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065807) **[84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065807)**, [065807](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065807) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065807).
- [24] S. Goriely, N. Chamel, and J. M. Pearson, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.152503) **[102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.152503)**, [152503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.152503) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.152503).
- [25] Z. H. Li and H.-J. Schulze, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.028801) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.028801)**, [028801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.028801) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.028801).
- [26] L. G. Cao, U. Lombardo, and P. Schuck, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.064301)**[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.064301)**, [064301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.064301) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.064301).
- [27] N. Chamel, S. Goriely, and J. Pearson, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.08.015) **[812](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.08.015)**, [72](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.08.015) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.08.015).
- [28] [J. M. Pearson, N. Chamel, S. Goriely, and C. Ducoin,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.065803) *Phys. Rev.* C **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.065803)**, [065803](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.065803) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.065803).

behavior of the low-density region of the inner crust of a neutron star.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Partial support comes from NewCompStar, COST Action MP1304. I also thank N. Chamel, S. Goriely, and J. M. Pearson for useful and fruitful discussions which motivated the present article.

- [29] [J. M. Pearson, N. Chamel, A. Pastore, and S. Goriely,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.018801) *Phys. Rev.* C **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.018801)**, [018801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.018801) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.018801).
- [30] M. Onsi, H. Przysiezniak, and J. M. Pearson, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.55.3139) **[55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.55.3139)**, [3139](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.55.3139) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.55.3139).
- [31] M. Baldo, E. Saperstein, and S. Tolokonnikov, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.07.003) **[775](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.07.003)**, [235](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.07.003) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2006.07.003).
- [32] M. Onsi, A. K. Dutta, H. Chatri, S. Goriely, N. Chamel, and J. M. Pearson, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.065805) **[77](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.065805)**, [065805](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.065805) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.065805).
- [33] F. Grill, J. Margueron, and N. Sandulescu, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065801) **[84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065801)**, [065801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065801) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.065801).
- [34] J. Margueron, N. van Giai, and N. Sandulescu, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium EXOCT07*, edited by U. Lombardo, M. Baldo, F. Burgio, and H.-J. Schulze (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008), p. 362.
- [35] [J.-M. Yao, S. Baroni, M. Bender, and P.-H. Heenen,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014310) *Phys. Rev.* C **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014310)**, [014310](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014310) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014310).
- [36] P. Pizzochero, F. Barranco, E. Vigezzi, and R. Broglia, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/339284) **[569](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/339284)**, [381](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/339284) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/339284).
- [37] P. Schuck and X. Viñas, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.205301)* **[107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.205301)**, [205301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.205301) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.205301).
- [38] A. Pastore, P. Schuck, M. Urban, X. Viñas, and J. Margueron, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043634) **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043634)**, [043634](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043634) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043634).
- [39] A. T. Kruppa, P. H. Heenen, and R. J. Liotta, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.044324) **[63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.044324)**, [044324](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.044324) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.044324).
- [40] G. Audi, F. Kondev, M. Wang, B. Pfeiffer, X. Sun, J. Blachot, and M. MacCormick, [Chin. Phys. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/12/001) **[36](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/12/001)**, [1157](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/12/001) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/36/12/001).
- [41] J. Erler, N. Birge, M. Kortelainen, W. Nazarewicz, E. Olsen, A. M. Perhac, and M. Stoitsov, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11188) **[486](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11188)**, [509](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11188) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11188).
- [42] [M. Bender, K. Rutz, P.-G. Reinhard, and J. Maruhn,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10050-000-4504-z) Eur. Phys. J. A **[8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10050-000-4504-z)**, [59](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10050-000-4504-z) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10050-000-4504-z).
- [43] Y. Zhang, M. Matsuo, and J. Meng, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.054318) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.054318)**, [054318](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.054318) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.054318).
- [44] D. Gambacurta and D. Lacroix, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044321) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044321)**, [044321](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044321) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044321).
- [45] D. Gambacurta, D. Lacroix, and N. Sandulescu, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034324) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034324)**, [034324](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034324) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034324).
- [46] J. Margueron and P. Chomaz, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.041602) **[67](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.041602)**, [041602](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.041602) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.041602).
- [47] P. Papakonstantinou, J. Margueron, F. Gulminelli, and A. R. Raduta, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.045805) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.045805)**, [045805](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.045805) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.045805).
- [48] E. Khan, N. Sandulescu, and N. Van Giai, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.71.042801) **[71](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.71.042801)**, [042801\(R\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.71.042801) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.71.042801).
- [49] J. Keller and A. Sedrakian, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.045804) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.045804)**, [045804](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.045804) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.045804).
- [50] S. Baroni, A. Pastore, F. Raimondi, F. Barranco, R. A. Broglia, and E. Vigezzi, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.015807) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.015807)**, [015807](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.015807) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.015807).
- [51] N. Chamel, D. Page, and S. Reddy, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.035803) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.035803)**, [035803](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.035803) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.035803).
- [52] A. Pastore, D. Davesne, and J. Navarro, *[Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2014.11.002)* [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2014.11.002).
- [53] N. Martin and M. Urban, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.065805) **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.065805)**, [065805](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.065805) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.065805).
- [54] E. Vigezzi, F. Barranco, R. Broglia, G. Colo, G. Gori, and F. Ramponi, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.062) **[752](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.062)**, [600](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.062) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.062).
- [55] R. Broglia *et al.*, Acta Phys. Pol., B **38**, 1129 (2007).