

# Precise measurements of the $^{249}\text{Bk}$ ground state half-life and the $\beta^-$ -decay end-point energy

J. Chen, I. Ahmad, J. P. Greene, and F. G. Kondev\*  
 Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA  
 (Received 19 August 2014; published 3 October 2014)

The half-life of the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  ground state was determined by means of  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy, following for 728 days the growth of its  $\alpha$ -decaying daughter nuclide  $^{249}\text{Cf}$ . Using a chemically purified source containing  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  nuclides,  $\gamma$ -ray singles measurements were carried out using a 25% coaxial Ge detector. The areas of the strongest 333.37- and 388.17-keV  $\gamma$ -ray peaks, produced in the  $\alpha$  decay of  $^{249}\text{Cf}$ , and the 661.66-keV peak, produced in the  $\beta^-$  decay of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , were determined. The measured activity of the latter was used to account for geometrical and dead-time corrections to the efficiency of the spectrometer, thus minimizing the systematic uncertainties associated with long-time,  $\gamma$ -ray counting measurements. Using the growth with time of the ratio of the 388.17- and 661.66-keV  $\gamma$ -ray peaks, a value of  $T_{1/2} = 327.2 \pm 0.3$  d (the uncertainty quoted is one standard deviation,  $1\sigma$ ) for the half-life of the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  ground state was determined. The  $\beta^-$ -decay end-point energy of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  was measured with a passivated implanted planar silicon detector to be  $123.6 \pm 0.4$  keV.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.90.044302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.044302)

PACS number(s): 21.10.Tg, 23.40.-s, 23.60.+e, 27.90.+b

## I. INTRODUCTION

The precise knowledge of the half-life of actinide nuclides is of importance in forensic applications, where one needs to determine the quantity of a particular isotope from nuclear spectroscopic data. The need for a more precise value for the half-life of the long-lived  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  isotope is also motivated by recent fabrications of targets of this isotope that were used in experiments aimed at searching for new superheavy elements [1,2].

The half-life of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  was measured in the past by several investigators [3–8], as summarized in Table I. The most recent nuclear data evaluation [9] adopts a single value of  $330 \pm 4$  d [6–8], while the latest edition of the Table of Isotopes recommends  $320 \pm 6$  d [10], based on the earlier evaluation by Schmorak [11], where only the results of Refs. [4,5] were considered, but those of Refs. [3,6,7] were ignored.

The partial decay scheme of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  is presented in Fig. 1. This radionuclide decays mainly by  $\beta^-$ -particle emission directly to the ground state of  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  with no emission of  $\gamma$  rays [9]. The  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  daughter disintegrates by  $\alpha$ -particle emission, with the favored  $\alpha$ -decay branch populating the 388-keV level of the  $^{245}\text{Cm}$  granddaughter, which deexcites by intense 333.37- and 388.17-keV  $\gamma$  rays to the first-excited level and the ground state, respectively [12]. The  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  isotope has also a very small  $\alpha$ -decay branch of  $(1.37 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$  % per  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  decay [13], which produces the 280.36-, 308.26-, and 327.45-keV  $\gamma$  rays in the daughter  $^{245}\text{Am}$  nuclide with intensities of  $0.10 \pm 0.01$ %,  $0.24 \pm 0.02$ %, and  $1.06 \pm 0.06$ % per  $^{249}\text{Bk}$   $\alpha$  decay, respectively [13]. These weak  $\gamma$  rays are usually not observed in  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy measurements because of the presence of strong  $\gamma$  rays produced in the  $\alpha$  decay of  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  and, therefore, they cannot be used in direct measurements of the half-life of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ . However, the growth of the  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  daughter nuclide following the  $\beta^-$  decay of the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  parent can be measured by counting the strongest 333.37- and 388.17-keV  $\gamma$  rays and this can in turn be used to determine the half-life of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ . In the present study, we used this

approach to perform a new measurement of the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  half-life. To reproduce the exact geometry during a series of  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy measurements, where one needs to account for identical conditions during the operation of the spectrometer over a long time period, the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  ( $T_{1/2} = 30.08 \pm 0.09$  y [14]) nuclide was mixed with the chemically purified  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  sample and their activities were measured simultaneously, a technique previously used in the case of  $^{252}\text{Es}$  [15].

The  $\beta^-$ -decay end-point energy of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  was measured in the past by several investigators [3–5,16,17], as indicated in Table I. In the recent atomic mass evaluation (Ame2012) [18] a value of  $124.6 \pm 1.4$  keV is recommended. It is based on the most-precisely known experimental results of  $125 \pm 2$  keV, measured by Vandenbosh *et al.* [17] using a magnetic spectrometer, and  $123 \pm 3$  keV, reported by Glazov *et al.* [5] using a scintillation detector. We measured the  $\beta^-$ -particle spectrum of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  with a 300- $\mu\text{m}$ -thick, high resolution passivated implanted planar silicon (PIPS) detector and determined the end-point energy with a higher precision.

## II. SOURCE PREPARATION

The  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  material was produced at the High Flux Isotope Reactor of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and a chemically purified sample was shipped to Argonne National Laboratory. Part of the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  material ( $\sim 1.0$   $\mu\text{g}$ ) was mixed with 0.10  $\mu\text{Ci}$  of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and a small drop of this solution was placed in the center of a plastic disk, and dried. The resulting mixed source was then sealed with a piece of scotch tape and attached to an aluminum plate. It was used in  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy measurements to determine the half-life of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ . An open, thin source was also prepared by placing  $\sim 0.2$   $\mu\text{g}$   $^{249}\text{Bk}$  in the center of a 1-mm-thick glass disk, where it was dried. This sample was used in electron counting in order to measure the  $\beta^-$ -decay end-point energy of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ .

## III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

### A. $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy measurements

Singles  $\gamma$ -ray spectra of the mixed  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ - $^{137}\text{Cs}$  source were measured with a 25% coaxial Ge detector [full width

\*Corresponding author: [kondev@anl.gov](mailto:kondev@anl.gov)

TABLE I. Summary of previous measurements of the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  half-life and the  $\beta^-$ -decay end-point energy.

Half-life (d)	Method	Decay followed	$E_{\beta, \text{max}}$ (keV)	Method	Reference
$290 \pm 20$	$\beta^-$ activity	$\sim 140$ d	$80 \pm 20$	Absorption	[3]
$\sim 365$	$\beta^-$ activity		$100 \pm 20$	Absorption	[16]
$314 \pm 8$	$\beta^-$ activity	$\sim 1$ y	$114 \pm 15$	Absorption	[4]
			$125 \pm 2$	Fermi-Kurie	[17]
$325 \pm 7$	$\beta^-$ activity	$\sim 1$ y	$123 \pm 3$	Fermi-Kurie	[5]
$329 \pm 4^a$	$\beta^-$ activity	$\sim 600$ d			[6]
$330 \pm 4^a$	$\beta^-$ activity	$\sim 1181$ d			[7,8]

<sup>a</sup>The quoted uncertainty is two standard deviations ( $2\sigma$ ).

at half maximum (FWHM) of 1.8 keV at 1332.5 keV] that was shielded from room background in a cask assembled from thick lead bricks. The source was positioned in a plastic holder approximately 10 cm in front of the detector. A set of 83 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Ta, 0.44 g/cm<sup>2</sup> Cd, 0.23 g/cm<sup>2</sup> Cu, and 0.22 g/cm<sup>2</sup> Al plates was interposed between the source and the detector in order to absorb the electrons and low-energy  $\gamma$  rays. Such an arrangement reduced possible  $\gamma$ -ray coincidence summing effects to an upper limit of approximately 0.1%. The  $\gamma$ -ray energy and efficiency calibrations were determined




FIG. 1. Partial decay scheme of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  and its daughters from Refs. [9,12,13]. Only the main decays discussed in the present work are included.




FIG. 2.  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum of the mixed  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ - $^{137}\text{Cs}$  source measured with a 25% coaxial Ge detector 182 d after the source was prepared. Only strong  $\gamma$  rays associated with the  $\alpha$  decay of  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  are labeled with their energies in keV, as well as the 661.66-keV  $\gamma$  ray produced in the  $\beta^-$  decay of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ; weak peaks are identified to belong to the  $^{249}\text{Cf}$   $\alpha$  decay.

using a multi-nuclide source that contained  $^{57,60}\text{Co}$ ,  $^{85}\text{Sr}$ ,  $^{88}\text{Y}$ ,  $^{109}\text{Cd}$ ,  $^{113}\text{Sn}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{139}\text{Ce}$ ,  $^{203}\text{Hg}$ , and  $^{241}\text{Am}$  (produced and calibrated by the Eckert and Ziegler Co.), as well as with monoisotopic sources of  $^{152}\text{Eu}$  and  $^{182}\text{Ta}$ . A sample spectrum of the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ - $^{249}\text{Cf}$  source, measured 182 days after the sample preparation, is shown in Fig. 2.

### B. Electron spectroscopy

The singles electron spectrum of the open  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  source was measured in a small chamber that was kept under a vacuum of  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$  Torr with a 25 mm<sup>2</sup> area PIPS detector, whose thickness of 300  $\mu\text{m}$  stopped all  $\beta^-$  particles emitted in the decay of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  ( $E_{\beta} = 124.6 \pm 1.4$  keV [18]). The measurement was carried out only a few days after the source was prepared, so that a minuscule amount of the  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  daughter nuclide was accumulated. The typical leakage current of the detector was approximately 1 nA and the energy resolution (FWHM) was 3.0 keV. The energy calibration was performed with an open, mass-separated  $^{243}\text{Cm}$  source that has a number of well-known discrete conversion electron lines [19,20]. The spectra were measured at a relatively small solid angle of 0.025%, thus minimizing the random summing between the  $\beta^-$  particles and conversion electrons.

## IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. $^{249}\text{Bk}$ half-life

The  $\gamma$ -ray spectra from the mixed  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ - $^{137}\text{Cs}$  source were measured once a week during a time period of 728 d. The duration of each individual measurement was set to be the same and was equal to 62.5 h. Background  $\gamma$ -ray spectra were also measured and no  $\gamma$  rays were found to interfere with the main peaks identified to belong to  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  decays. The data analysis was carried out using the *gf3* program of the RADWARE spectroscopy package [21]. For each spectrum the areas of the 107.19-keV ( $\text{Cm K}\alpha_2$ ) and 112.12-keV

(Cm  $K\alpha_1$ ) x rays, and 252.84-, 333.37-, and 388.17-keV ( $^{249}\text{Cf}$ ) and 661.66-keV ( $^{137}\text{Cs}$ )  $\gamma$ -ray peaks, were determined in exactly the same way. The spectra were also found to contain a minuscule amount of Am K x rays, produced in the  $\alpha$  decay of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ . It is worth noting that while the 252.84-keV  $\gamma$  ray is produced in the decays of both  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  and  $^{245}\text{Am}$ , the 333.37- and 388.17-keV  $\gamma$  rays are not produced in  $\beta^-$  decay of  $^{245}\text{Am}$ , as indicated in Fig. 1. Two approaches were used in the analysis of the spectra. In the first one, a fit to a particular  $\gamma$ -ray peak was carried out using a peak model comprising a Gaussian, a skewed Gaussian, a smoothed step function component that accounts for the increase of the background on the low-energy side of the peak, and a linear background [21]. In the second one, the peak areas were determined by means of the *sb* command of the *gf3* program, where the counts between the left- and right-side area points for a given peak were summed, after subtraction of a linear background. We found that the areas of the 333.37-, 388.17-, and 661.66-keV  $\gamma$ -ray peaks that were determined by the two methods gave essentially the same value for the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  half-life within the statistical uncertainties.

The ratios of the 333.37- and 388.17-keV photopeak areas,  $S_D$ , to that of the 661.66-keV area,  $S_{Cs}$ , were fitted as a function of time with the well-known growth equation [22]:

$$R(t) = \frac{S_D(t)}{S_{Cs}(t)} = \frac{\epsilon_D \lambda_D N_D(t)}{\epsilon_{Cs} \lambda_{Cs} N_{Cs}(t)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_D}{\lambda_{Cs}} \left[ A \frac{\lambda_P}{(\lambda_P - \lambda_D)} (e^{-\lambda_D t} + e^{-\lambda_P t}) + B \right] e^{\lambda_{Cs} t}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{T_{1/2}}$  is the decay constant [subscripts P, D, and Cs indicate the parent ( $^{249}\text{Bk}$ ), daughter ( $^{249}\text{Cf}$ ), and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  nuclei, respectively],  $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{intr}} \epsilon_{\text{geom}} \epsilon_{\text{dT}}$  is the  $\gamma$ -ray efficiency that includes intrinsic ( $\epsilon_{\text{intr}}$ ), geometrical ( $\epsilon_{\text{geom}}$ ), and dead-time ( $\epsilon_{\text{dT}}$ ) contributions and  $A$  and  $B$  are fitted constants. Values of  $T_{1/2}(\text{D}) = 351 \pm 2$  y [9] and  $T_{1/2}(\text{Cs}) = 30.08 \pm 0.09$  y [14] were used for the  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  half-lives, respectively, in Eq. (1). The growth curve and fit for the 388.17/661.66 pair is displayed in Fig. 3, and the analysis gave a value of  $T_{1/2} = 327.2 \pm 0.3$  d for the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  half-life. The uncertainty given is one standard deviation ( $1\sigma$ ). The lower part of the figure, showing the differences between the data points and the values of the fit relative to the uncertainties of the data, illustrates that the consistency between the fit and the data is within  $2\sigma$ , which corresponds to a deviation of about 0.2%. The fit to the 333.37/661.66 ratios gave a similar value (within the deduced uncertainties) for the half-life of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ .

The main advantage of the method employed here is that it allowed to account for several systematic uncertainties associated with long-counting-time  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometry measurements. For example, the ratios of the  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  peaks to that of the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  one automatically cancels any uncertainties due to small changes in the geometry of the measurements, as well as those associated with dead times of the data acquisition system, owing to the growth of the  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  atoms. Changes in the intrinsic efficiency of the spectrometer were also found to be negligible. These were monitored internally by following the ratios of the 92.51- and 388.17-keV  $\gamma$  rays, produced in the  $\alpha$  decay of  $^{249}\text{Cf}$ , which were found to be constant over the entire 728-d counting period. One should also note that there




FIG. 3. (Color online) Growth curve for the ratio of counts in the 388.17-keV peak of  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  to the counts in the 661.66-keV peak of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ . The solid line is the best fit, from which the half-life of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  is derived to be  $T_{1/2} = 327.2 \pm 0.3$  d. The lower part in the figure shows the differences between the data points and the values of the fit relative to the uncertainties of the data with  $\sigma = \Delta R_i$ .

are no  $\gamma$  rays in coincidence with the 388.17-keV  $\gamma$  ray and, hence, there is no loss due to coincidence summing.

The present value for the  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  half-life in this work is somewhat shorter compared to that determined in the  $\beta^-$  activity measurements by Polyukhov *et al.* [6–8]. One should note, however, that the growth of the  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  with time could affect the observed  $\beta^-$ -decay counts, since a number of conversion electron lines associated with the decay of




FIG. 4. (Color online) A  $\beta^-$ -particle spectrum of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  collected with the 300- $\mu\text{m}$ -thick PIPS detector. The inset shows the Fermi-Kurie (FK) plot, with the dashed line representing the result from a linear least-squares fit which gave an end-point energy of  $123.6 \pm 0.4$  keV.

the longer-lived  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  isotope ( $T_{1/2} = 351 \pm 2$  y [9]) would contribute to the observed activity. No such corrections were applied in the long-time measurements reported in Refs. [6–8].

### B. $^{249}\text{Bk}$ $\beta^-$ -decay end-point energy

A  $\beta^-$ -particle spectrum of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  collected with the PIPS detector is shown in Fig. 4. A least-squares linear fit to the Fermi-Kurie spectrum [23], shown in the inset of Fig. 4, gave an end-point energy of  $123.6 \pm 0.4$  keV. This value is in good agreement with that of  $123 \pm 3$  keV measured by Glazov *et al.* [5] and  $125 \pm 2$  keV measured by Vandenbosch *et al.* [17], but our uncertainty is much smaller. It is worth pointing out that Ame2012 [18] recommends  $124.6 \pm 1.4$  keV, an average of values in Refs. [5,17]. Given the recently measured mass of  $^{249}\text{Cf}$ ,  $ME(^{249}\text{Cf}) = 69718.1 \pm 1.3$  keV [24] and the presently determined value of  $Q_\beta(^{249}\text{Bk}) = 123.6 \pm 0.4$  keV,

the mass of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$  can be obtained as  $ME(^{249}\text{Bk}) = 69841.7 \pm 1.4$  keV, which is 8.9 keV lower than the recommended value in Ame2012 [18]. Such a discrepancy can be attributed to inconsistencies in the available decay data, as discussed in Refs. [13,24].

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. The authors are indebted to the Office of Nuclear Physics, U.S. Department of Energy, through the transplutonium element production facility at Oak Ridge National Laboratory for the use of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ . The authors also wish to thank R. A. Boll, J. G. Ezold, and S. M. Van Cleve from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory for the chemical purification of  $^{249}\text{Bk}$ .

- 
- [1] Yu. Ts. Oganessian *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 142502 (2010).  
 [2] J. Khuyagbaatar *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 172501 (2014).  
 [3] L. B. Magnusson, M. H. Studier, P. R. Fields, C. M. Stevens, J. F. Mech, A. M. Friedman, H. Diamond, and J. R. Huizenga, *Phys. Rev.* **96**, 1576 (1954).  
 [4] T. A. Eastwood, J. P. Butler, M. J. Cabell, H. G. Jackson, R. P. Schuman, F. M. Rourke, and T. L. Collins, *Phys. Rev.* **107**, 1635 (1957).  
 [5] V. M. Glazov, R. I. Borisova, and A. I. Shafiev, *At. Energ.* **37**, 78 (1974) [*Sov. At. Energ.* **37**, 764 (1974)].  
 [6] V. G. Polyukhov, G. A. Timofeev, and B. I. Levakov, *Radiokhim.* **23**, 884 (1981) [*Sov. Radiochem.* **23**, 712 (1981)].  
 [7] V. G. Polyukhov, G. A. Timofeev, and B. I. Levakov, *Radiokhim.* **27**, 238 (1985) [*Sov. Radiochem.* **27**, 218 (1985)].  
 [8] Yu. Popov and G. A. Timofeev, *Radiokhim.* **41**, 27 (1999) [*Sov. Radiochem.* **41**, 26 (1999)].  
 [9] K. AbuSaleem, *Nucl. Data Sheets* **112**, 2129 (2011).  
 [10] R. B. Firestone, S. Y. F. Chu, and C. M. Baglin, *1998 Update to the 8th Edition of the Table of Isotopes* [CD-ROM] (Wiley, New York, 1998).  
 [11] M. R. Schmorak, *Nucl. Data Sheets* **59**, 507 (1990).  
 [12] E. Browne and J. K. Tuli, *Nucl. Data Sheets* **112**, 447 (2011).  
 [13] I. Ahmad, J. P. Greene, F. G. Kondev, S. Zhu, M. P. Carpenter, R. V. F. Janssens, R. A. Boll, J. G. Ezold, S. M. Van Cleve, and E. Browne, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 054328 (2013).  
 [14] E. Browne and J. K. Tuli, *Nucl. Data Sheets* **108**, 2173 (2007).  
 [15] I. Ahmad and F. Wagner, Jr., *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **39**, 1509 (1977).  
 [16] H. Diamond, L. B. Magnusson, J. F. Mech, C. M. Stevens, A. M. Friedman, M. H. Studier, P. R. Fields, and J. R. Huizenga, *Phys. Rev.* **94**, 1083 (1954).  
 [17] S. E. Vandenbosch, H. Diamond, R. K. Sjoblom, and P. R. Fields, *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 115 (1959).  
 [18] M. Wang, G. Audi, A. H. Wapstra, F. G. Kondev, M. McCormick, X. Xu, and B. Pfeiffer, *Chin. Phys. C* **36**, 1603 (2012).  
 [19] E. Browne, *Nucl. Data Sheets* **98**, 665 (2003).  
 [20] T. Kibédi, T. W. Burrows, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, P. M. Davidson, and C. W. Nestor Jr., *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **589**, 202 (2008).  
 [21] D. C. Radford, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **361**, 297 (1995).  
 [22] K. S. Krane, in *Introductory Nuclear Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1987), p. 171.  
 [23] H. F. Schopper, in *Weak Interactions and Nuclear Beta Decay* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1966), p. 45.  
 [24] M. Eibach, T. Beyer, K. Blaum, M. Block, Ch. E. Düllmann, K. Eberhardt, J. Grund, Sz. Nagy, H. Nitsche, W. Nörtershäuser, D. Renisch, K. P. Rykaczewski, F. Schneider, C. Smorra, J. Vieten, M. Wang, and K. Wendt, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 064318 (2014).