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We present the details of calculations we previously performed for the large $j$ behavior of certain $3 j$ and $9 j$ symbols.
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In this Brief Report we focus on Eqs. (11) and (13) and Eqs. (23) and (24) of the work of Kleszyk and Zamick [1]. In particular we consider the case when the total angular momentum $I$ is equal to $I_{\max }-2 n$ where $I_{\max } \equiv 4 j-2$ and $n=0,1,2, \ldots$. We take the limit of large $j$ where $n$ becomes much smaller than $j$. For convenience, we also define $J=2 j$, where $j$ is the total angular momentum of a single particle.

We first address the $3 j$ coefficient, using the formula Eq. (13) of [1], a derivation of which is contained in the work of Racah [2]. The $3 j$ in question is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 j & 2 j-2 & I  \tag{1}\\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We express the total angular momentum $I$ using a new variable $m$ such that $I=4 j-2 m$, where this time $m=1,2,3, \ldots$ We can separate parts of the $3 j$, which now becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 j=\frac{\sqrt{(2 m-1)!}}{(m-1)!}(-1)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{N_{1}!N_{2}!}{N_{3}!}} \frac{N_{4}!}{N_{5}!N_{6}!} \tag{2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the six factors $N_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}=2 J-2-2 m \quad N_{2}=2 J+2-2 m \\
& N_{3}=4 J-1-2 m, \\
& N_{4}=2 J-1-m \quad N_{5}=J-1-m  \tag{2b}\\
& N_{6}=J+1-m .
\end{align*}
$$

We use the Stirling approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln x!\approx x \ln x-x+\ln \sqrt{2 \pi x} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it should be noted that the approximation approaches the true value asymptotically. We present the results in Table I.

We can write $N_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} m+\gamma_{i} J\right)$ with differing constant coefficients. In Eq. (2b) we give the contribuition of $-N, \ln \sqrt{2 \pi N}, \alpha \ln N, m \beta \ln N$, and $\gamma J \ln N$. For the last of these we break things up into (a) "extreme" and (b) "next order." This is necessary because "next order" has contributions comparable to those in " $-N$."

First notice that the " $\gamma J \ln N$ " result is $1 / 2$, which cancels the $+1 / 2$ from " $-N$." Adding up all the totals we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -m \ln (2)+\ln \left(\frac{2}{\pi J}\right)^{1 / 4}+\ln \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& =-m \ln (2)+\ln \left(\frac{2}{\pi J^{3}}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the antilog we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 j \approx e^{-m \ln (2)}\left(\frac{2}{\pi J^{3}}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that $e^{-m \ln 2}=\frac{1}{2^{m}}$.
Putting everything together and putting things in terms of $j$ and $n$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 j \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{(2 n)!}}{n!2^{n}}(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{1}{64 \pi j^{3}}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that in the limit $n \ll j, 3 j$ goes as $\frac{1}{j^{3 / 4}}$. Alternatively, the Clebsch-Gordan (CG) has an asymptotic value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CG} \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{(2 n)!}}{n!2^{n}}(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\pi j}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next consider the unitary $9 j$ coefficient $\left\langle(j j)^{2 j}(j j)^{2 j} \mid(j j)^{2 j}(j j)^{2 j-2}\right\rangle^{I}$. This time we write $I=4 j-2 m$, where $m=1,2,3, \ldots$ In Eq. (11) from [1], we have a factor $(2 J+I+1)$ ! which becomes $(4 J+1-2 m)!$. This can be written as $(4 J+1)!\times$ PROD where $\operatorname{PROD}=(4 J+1)(4 J), \ldots,(4 J+2-2 m)$. For convenience we break this equation into several parts as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(9 j)=\frac{\mathrm{FAC}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{PROD}}} \sqrt{\frac{(2 J+1)(2 J-3)}{2}} \times 3 j \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { FAC }=\frac{\left(C_{1}!\right)^{2}}{C_{2}!} \sqrt{\frac{C_{3}!}{C_{4}!C_{5}!}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=J \quad C_{2}=2 J \quad C_{3}=4 J+1 \\
& C_{4}=2 J+1 \quad C_{5}=2 J-1
\end{aligned}
$$

There are $2 m$ terms in PROD. We use the fact that ( $4 J+1-$ $2 m)!=(4 J+1)!\times$ PROD, and asymptotically we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\frac{(2 J+1)(2 J-3)}{2}} & \rightarrow J \sqrt{2}  \tag{11}\\
\mathrm{PROD} & \rightarrow(4 J)^{2 m}=(8 j)^{2 m} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\text { PROD }}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(8 j)^{m}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE I. Asymptotic contributions to the $3 j$ coefficients.

|  | $-N_{i}$ | $\ln \sqrt{2 \pi N_{i}}$ | $\alpha_{i} \ln N_{i}$ | $\beta_{i} m \ln N_{i}$ | $\gamma_{i} J \ln N_{i}$ | $\gamma_{i} J \ln N_{i}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | $-\frac{1}{2}(2 J-2-2 m)$ | $\frac{1}{2} \ln \sqrt{4 \pi J}$ | $-\ln (2 J)$ | $-m \ln (2 J)$ | $J \ln (2 J)$ | $-1-m$ |
| $(2)$ | $-\frac{1}{2}(2 J+2-2 m)$ | $-\frac{1}{2} \ln \sqrt{8 \pi J}$ | $\ln (2 J)$ | $-m \ln (2 J)$ | $J \ln (2 J)$ | $1-m$ |
| $(3)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(4 J-1-2 m)$ | $\frac{1}{2} \ln \sqrt{4 \pi J}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \ln (4 J)$ | $m \ln (4 J)$ | $-2 J \ln (4 J)$ | $\frac{1}{2}+m$ |
| $(4)$ | $-(2 J-1-m)$ | $\frac{1}{2} \ln \sqrt{4 \pi J}$ | $-\ln (2 J)$ | $-m \ln (2 J)$ | $2 J \ln (2 J)$ | $-1-m$ |
| $(5)$ | $(J-1-m)$ | $-\frac{1}{2} \ln \sqrt{2 \pi J}$ | $\ln (J)$ | $m \ln J$ | $-J \ln (J)$ | $1+m$ |
| $(6)$ | $(J+1-m)$ | $-\frac{1}{2} \ln \sqrt{2 \pi J}$ | $-\ln (J)$ | $m \ln J$ | $-J \ln (J)$ | $-1+m$ |
| Total | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\ln \left(\frac{2}{\pi J}\right)^{1 / 4}$ | $\ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}$ | $-m \ln 2$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |

We use the Stirling approximation to calculate FAC. The detailed results are given in Table II.

We next combine Tables I and II. There are many cancellations when we add the totals of $\ln$ FAC and $\ln 3 j$ in Table I and Table II . The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mathrm{FAC}+\ln 3 j=-(m-1) \ln 2=-n \ln 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The antilog is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-n \ln (2)}=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $j$ dependence comes from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{(2 J+1)(2 J-3)}{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and PROD

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\mathrm{PROD}} \rightarrow(8 j)^{m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

putting everything together we obtain the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
U 9 j \rightarrow \frac{(-1)^{n}}{2 \sqrt{2} 16^{n}} \frac{\sqrt{[(2 n+2)!(2 n)!]}}{(n!) j^{n}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the different limit of fixed $I$ and $j \gg I$, we get the behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
U 9 j \rightarrow \sqrt{6 \pi} j^{3 / 2} e^{-4 \ln (2) j} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best way to demonstrate the power-law behavior of the $U 9 j$ symbol is to plot the logarithm of $U 9 j$ versus the logarithm of $j$. We plot this in Fig. 1. Note the independence of the slopes of the curves for different values of $n$.

We present results of the percent deviation of our approximate values of $3 j$ and $U 9 j$ from the exact values in Tables III and IV.

We note other work on asymptotics of CG coefficients by Reinsch and Morehead [3]. In their work they defined

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta= & {\left[\left(j_{1}+j_{2}-j\right)\left(j+j_{2}-j_{1}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\times\left(j+j_{1}-j_{2}\right)\left(j_{1}+j_{2}+j\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

They found an approximate expression for the CG coeffecients in their Eq. (B9).

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{CG}= & \left\langle j_{1} j_{2} 00 \mid j 0\right\rangle \approx 2(-1)^{\frac{j_{1}+j_{2}-j}{2}} \\
& \times \sqrt{\frac{2 j+1}{2 \pi \beta}} \sqrt{\frac{j+j_{1}+j_{2}}{j+j_{1}+j_{2}+1}}\left(1+\delta_{4}+\delta_{6}\right) \\
& \times\left[1+\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{2}{j}+\frac{2}{j_{1}}+\frac{1}{j_{2}}\right.\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{j+j_{1}+j_{2}}-\frac{1}{-j+j_{1}+j_{2}} \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{1}{j-j_{1}+j_{2}}-\frac{1}{j+j_{1}-j_{2}}\right)\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

We quickly run into trouble in making a comparison to our results, especially for $n=0$. In their Eq. (B12) they have in the leading term CG proportional to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}$. However, for the case $j=j_{1}+j_{2}$, that is to say $I=I_{\max }$, with our $n=0$, we see that $\beta$ vanishes and hence their expression for CG is disproved.


FIG. 1. (Color online) $\ln |U 9 j|$ vs. $\ln j$ for many values of $n$.

TABLE III. Comparison of the exact and asymptotic values of the $3 j$ symbols.

|  | $j$ | Accepted 3j | Approximate 3j | Percent error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=0$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9/2 | 0.0917186951 | 0.0859524287 | 6.28690401 |
|  | 99/2 | 0.0143074760 | 0.0142302863 | 0.539505856 |
|  | 999/2 | 0.00251476295 | 0.00251342493 | 0.0532063347 |
|  | 9999/2 | 0.000446679154 | 0.000446655420 | 0.00531331215 |
| $n=1$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9/2 | -0.0703281160 | -0.0607775452 | 13.5800180 |
|  | 99/2 | -0.0101817625 | -0.0100623320 | 1.17298491 |
|  | 999/2 | -0.00177932008 | -0.00177725981 | 0.115789693 |
|  | 9999/2 | -0.000315869604 | -0.000315833077 | 0.0115641443 |
| $n=2$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9/2 | 0.0667864681 | 0.0526348981 | 21.1892774 |
|  | 99/2 | 0.00887471327 | 0.00871423511 | 1.80826305 |
|  | 999/2 | 0.00154190275 | 0.00153915215 | 0.178390316 |
|  | 9999/2 | 0.000273568204 | 0.000273519468 | 0.0178151485 |
| $n=10$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 99/2 | 0.00642003383 | 0.00597328117 | 6.95872744 |
|  | 999/2 | 0.00106225244 | 0.00105503104 | 0.679819882 |
|  | 9999/2 | 0.000187614589 | 0.000187487331 | 0.0678293749 |
| $n=100$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 999/2 | 0.000637437519 | 0.000596632653 | 6.40139073 |
|  | 9999/2 | 0.000106699870 | 0.000106026325 | 0.631251795 |

Evidently their formula is not valid in this region. On the other hand, our expression Eq. (13) from [1] works just fine.

In this work we have used an explicit expressions for the $9 j$ symbol in question by Varshalovitch et al. [4]. We have given
the details of how the asymptotic behaviors of selected $3 j$ and $9 j$ coefficients and their unitary counterparts are obtained. There are some subtleties, e.g., in the second column of Table I, although term-by-term we get nonzero results, the entire

TABLE IV. Comparison of the exact and asymptotic values of the $U 9 j$ symbols.

|  | $j$ | Accepted $U 9$ j | Approximate $U 9 j$ | Percent error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=0$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9/2 | 0.492152957 | 0.500000000 | 1.59443179 |
|  | 99/2 | 0.499361854 | 0.500000000 | 0.127792280 |
|  | 999/2 | 0.499937371 | 0.500000000 | 0.0125274006 |
|  | 9999/2 | 0.499993749 | 0.500000000 | 0.00125027349 |
| $n=1$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9/2 | -0.0378955625 | -0.0340206909 | 10.2251328 |
|  | 99/2 | -0.00312046463 | -0.00309279008 | 0.886872805 |
|  | 999/2 | -0.000306761485 | -0.000306492711 | 0.0876166329 |
|  | 9999/2 | -0.0000306243639 | -0.0000306216840 | 0.00875116429 |
| $n=2$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9/2 | 0.00606563844 | 0.00448261961 | 26.0981402 |
|  | 99/2 | 0.0000379552583 | 0.0000370464431 | 2.39443810 |
|  | 999/2 | $3.64686293 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.63819464 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.237691695 |
|  | 9999/2 | $3.63251097 \times 10^{-9}$ | $3.63164818 \times 10^{-9}$ | 0.0237519144 |
| $n=10$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 99/2 | $7.33668833 \times 10^{-17}$ | $5.24669432 \times 10^{-17}$ | 28.4868855 |
|  | 999/2 | $4.95097802 \times 10^{-27}$ | $4.79272848 \times 10^{-27}$ | 3.19632873 |
|  | 9999/2 | $4.76517144 \times 10^{-37}$ | $4.74976392 \times 10^{-37}$ | 0.323335927 |
| $n=20$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 99/2 | $1.75313503 \times 10^{-27}$ | $5.21781167 \times 10^{-28}$ | 70.2372517 |
|  | 999/2 | $4.88682624 \times 10^{-48}$ | $4.35394087 * 10^{-48}$ | 10.9045287 |
|  | 9999/2 | $4.32566 * 10^{-68}$ | $4.27622870 * 10^{-68}$ | 1.143 |

sum is zero and so we must expand further as in the following column. There are similar points for Table II. We further note that one can take asymptotic limits in more than one way. Here the emphasis is on when the total angular momentum $I$ is large ( $I=I_{\max }-2 n, n \ll j$ ), and one obtains a power-law behavior $1 / j^{n}$. This is most easily seen by plotting $\ln |U 9 j|$ versus $\ln j$. On the other hand, if one keeps $I$ fixed and increases $j$ one gets a dominantly exponential behavior, as shown in Eq. (19). This is most easily seen by plotting $U 9 j$ versus $j$. Last, we
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