0^+ states and collective bands in ²²⁸Th studied by the (p,t) reaction

A. I. Levon,^{1,*} G. Graw,² R. Hertenberger,² S. Pascu,^{3,†} P. G. Thirolf,² H.-F. Wirth,² and P. Alexa⁴

¹*Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Science, Kiev, Ukraine*

²*Fakultat f ¨ ur Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universit ¨ at M¨ unchen, Garching, Germany ¨*

³*Institut fur Kernphysik, Universit ¨ at zu K ¨ oln, K ¨ oln, Germany ¨*

⁴*Institute of Physics and Institute of Clean Technologies, Technical University of Ostrava, Czech Republic*

(Received 21 December 2012; revised manuscript received 30 April 2013; published 15 July 2013)

The excitation spectra in the deformed nucleus ²²⁸Th have been studied by means of the (p, t) reaction, using the Q3D spectrograph facility at the Munich Tandem accelerator. The angular distributions of tritons were measured for about 110 excitations seen in the triton spectra up to 2.5 MeV. Firm 0^+ assignments are made for 17 excited states by comparison of experimental angular distributions with the calculated ones using the CHUCK3 code. Assignments up to spin 6^+ are made for other states. Sequences of states are selected which can be treated as rotational bands and as multiplets of excitations. Moments of inertia have been derived from these sequences, whose values may be considered as evidence of the two-phonon nature of most 0^+ excitations. Experimental data are compared with interacting boson model and quasiparticle-phonon model calculations and with experimental data for ²²⁹Pa.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.88.014310](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.014310) PACS number(s): 21.10.Re, 21.60.−n, 25.40.Hs, 27.90.+b

I. INTRODUCTION

The nucleus 228 Th is located in a region where strong octupole correlations are important in the properties of the low-lying excitations. Besides the interplay of collective and single-particle excitations, which takes place in deformed rareearth nuclei, the reflection asymmetry additionally complicates the picture of excitations. In an earlier publication [\[1\]](#page-19-0), a conclusion was made that the nature of the first excited 0^+ states in the actinide nuclei is different from that in the rare earth-region, where they are attributable to the quadrupole vibration. The strong excitations in the (p,t) reaction suggest that these states represent a collective excitation different from the β vibration. Decay modes of the levels of the band on the first excited 0^+ state in ²²⁸Th have led to the suggestion that this band might predominantly have an octupole two-phonon structure [\[2\]](#page-19-0). One has to expect a complicated picture at higher excitations: Residual interactions could mix the one-phonon and multiphonon vibrations of quadrupole and octupole character with each other and with quasiparticle excitations. Detailed experimental information on the properties of such excitations is needed. On the experimental side, two-neutron transfer reactions are very useful. On the theoretical side, a test of the advanced interacting boson model (IBM) and a microscopic approach, such as the quasiparticle-phonon model (QPM), would be very interesting.

After the first observation of a large number of excitations with the $L = 0^+$ transfer in the (p,t) reaction seen in the odd nucleus 229 Pa [\[3\]](#page-19-0), it was logical to investigate such excitations in the even-even nucleus 228Th , because 229Pa corresponds to $^{228}Th + p$, as well as in other actinide nuclei. Such measurements were carried out for the nuclei ²²⁸Th, 230 Th, and 232 U, and the results of a limited analysis have been

published in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-19-0) (besides the earlier preliminary study of 228 Th and 232,234,236 U in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-19-0)). The paper [\[4\]](#page-19-0) concentrated only on the energies of the excited 0^+ states in these actinide nuclei and the (p,t) transfer strengths to these states. The (p,t) reaction, however, gives much more extensive information on specific excitations in these nuclei, which was not analyzed previously. Such information was obtained for 230Th in our paper [\[6\]](#page-19-0) after detailed analysis of the experimental data from the (p,t) reaction. For the 0^+ excitation, we were able to derive additional information on the moments of inertia, which can be useful in clarifying the structure of these excitations. In this paper we present the results of a careful and detailed analysis of the experimental data from the high-resolution study of the 230 Th(p,t)²²⁸Th reaction carried out to obtain deeper insight into all excitations in 2^{28} Th. The total picture for 2^{28} Th has to differ from the one for 230 Th, because the first one is considered as an octupole soft and the latter as a vibrationlike nucleus. It would be interesting to compare the 0^+ excitations in the even nucleus 228Th and the odd nucleus 229Pa, the data for which in the low-energy part of excitations are known from the publication $[3]$.

Information on excited states of 228Th prior to this study was obtained mainly from the α decay of ²³²U, the β and EC decay of ²²⁸Ac and ²²⁸Pa, and the $(\alpha, xn\gamma)$ reaction. The most complete information was obtained from the β decay of ²²⁸Ac reported by Dalmasso *et al.* [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and from the EC-decay study of 228Pa by Weber *et al.* [\[7\]](#page-19-0). The lowest collective bands in ²²⁸Th were studied in the $(\alpha, xn\gamma)$ reaction [\[8\]](#page-19-0). A total of 58 levels were reported in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and 80 levels were observed in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) below 2.1 MeV, connected by more than 240 γ rays that were established in these studies.

The present results, derived from the ²³⁰Th (p, t) ²²⁸Th reaction, lead to about 163 levels in the energy range up to 3.25 MeV. Unfortunately, during the experiment the radioactive target was destroyed and assignments were made only for 106 levels in the range of up to 2.5 MeV. Energies and cross sections for one angle were obtained additionally for 57 levels. Besides 0^+ excitations, where the number of reliable assignments

^{*}levon@kinr.kiev.ua

[†] Permanent address: H. Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania.

could be increased for five states in comparison with the preliminary analysis in publication [\[4\]](#page-19-0), information on the spins for many other states was obtained. This information was essentially complementary to what was known from publications [\[2,7\]](#page-19-0). Some levels are grouped into rotational bands, thus making it possible to derive the moment of inertia for some 0^+ , 2^+ and $0^-, 1^-, 2^-, 3^-$ bands. One of the results of Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) was the establishment of the one-phonon octupole quadruplet with $K^{\pi} = 0^{-}$, 1⁻, 2⁻, 3⁻ states. In this paper we suggest the twophonon octupole quadruplet with $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$, 2^{+} , 4^{+} , 6^{+} states.

II. EXPERIMENT, ANALYSIS, AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Details of the experiment

A radioactive target of 100 μ g/cm² ²³⁰Th with half life $T_{1/2} = 8 \times 10^4$ yr, evaporated on a 22 μ g/cm² thick carbon backing, was bombarded with 25-MeV protons at an intensity of $1-2$ μ A from the Tandem accelerator of the Maier-Leibnitz-Labor of the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitat¨ and Technische Universität München. The isotopic purity of the target was about 99%. The tritons were analyzed with the Q3D magnetic spectrograph and then detected in a focal-plane detector. The focal-plane detector is a multiwire proportional chamber with readout of a cathode foil structure for position determination and dE/E particle identification [\[9,10\]](#page-19-0). The acceptance of the spectrograph was 11 msr, except for the most forward angle of 5◦ with an acceptance of 6 msr. The resulting triton spectra have a resolution of 4–7 keV (full width at half maximum) and are background-free. The angular distributions of the cross sections were obtained from the triton spectra at ten laboratory angles from $5°$ to $40°$ for energies up to 1800 keV, but only at five angles from 7.5◦ to 30◦ for energies from 1800 to 2500 keV. The energies and cross sections

FIG. 1. Triton energy spectrum from the ²³⁰Th(p,t)²²⁸Th reaction ($E_p = 25$ MeV) in logarithmic scale for a detection angle of 10°. Some strong lines are labeled with their corresponding level energies in keV.

 \equiv

Level energy (keV)			I^{π}	Cross-section ratios		$\sigma_{\text{integ.}}$	Ratio	Way of
This work	[2,7]	[2,7]	This work	$(7.5^{\circ}/16^{\circ})$	$(26^{\circ}/16^{\circ})$	(μb)	$\sigma_{\rm expt.}/\sigma_{\rm calc.}$	fitting
	1581.0	(2^{-})						
1586.94			2^+	0.98	0.71	0.31	1.00	sw.jj
	1588.33	$4-$						
1613.05			4^+	1.06	1.26	0.54	12.0	sw.ii
1618.35	1617.74	$(3, 4)^+$	4^+	0.88	0.76	1.22	0.16	m _{2a.ii}
1627.93			$0+$	7.44	5.21	9.66	$10.0\,$	sw.ig
1638.44	1638.25	2^+	2^+	0.59	0.37	1.45	23.5	sw.ii
	1643.18	$(2, 3)^{-}$						
1643.83	1643.8	$(2, 3, 4)^+$	4^+	1.58	1.08	8.54	160	sw.ii
	1645.89	3^+						
1651.43			(3^{-})	0.08	0.79	0.86	1.20	sw.gg
1667.35	1667.3		2^+	0.71	0.61	3.17	46.0	sw.ii
1672.35			2^+	0.91	0.53	1.85	3.80	sw.jj
1678.45	1678.4	$2, 3, 4^+$	2^+	0.88	0.75	1.48	19.5	sw.ii
	1682.70	$(3, 4)^+$						
	1683.74	(4^{-})						
	1688.39	3^+						
1691.34			0^+	2.66	2.06	1.26	0.75	sw.ig
	1707.2	$2, 3^-$						
1710.76			0^+	1.38	1.86	0.54	0.02	sw.gg
1724.64	1724.29	2^+	2^+	1.06	0.66	2.73	5.50	sw.ii
1733.84	1735.62	4^+	4^+			2.28	3.50	
			4^+	1.13	0.86			sw.ij
1742.84	1743.86	$3,4^+$		0.81	0.56	1.36	0.16	m2a.gg
1750.73			0^+	1.27	1.84	1.75	$0.70\,$	sw.jj
1758.13	1757.9	$1^-, 2, 3^-$	2^+	0.85	0.75	4.35	26.0	sw.ig
	1758.24	$(3, 4)^+$						
	1760.25	$(2, 3, 4)^+$						
	1795.9	3^{-} , 4^{+}						
1796.83	1796.4	3^+ , 4, 5^+	4^+	1.40	0.83	6.47	89.0	sw.ig
	1797.65	$(2^+, 1^-)$						
1803.04	1802.9	1^{-} , 2, 3 ⁻	2^+	0.65	0.49	15.34	90.0	sw.ig
	1804.60	(4^{+})						
	1811.5	$1^-, 2, 3^-$						
1812.74			(6^{+})	1.35	1.63	0.62	0.04	sw.ig
	1817.43	$4-$						
	1823.4	$3^-, 4, 5$						
1826.24			(4^{+})	1.16	0.83	1.91	7.50	sw.ij
1840.38	1842.2	$2^+, 3^-$		1.41	0.33	0.21		
1858.65			(6^{+})	0.65	1.19	1.28	0.06	sw.ig
1863.95	1864.8	$1^-, 2, 3^-$	(2^{+})	0.75	0.79	1.47	8.10	sw.ig
	1876.5	3^{-} , 4, 5^{-}						
1878.95	1879.0	3^{-} , 4, 5^{-}	(3^{-})	1.05	0.91	1.93	110	sw.ii
	1892.98	3^+						
1898.24	1899.98	2^+	(2^{+})	0.84	$0.81\,$	2.55	140	sw.ii
		4^+						
1903.94	1901.90		(6^{+})	0.69			$0.07\,$	
					1.58	1.54		sw.gg
	1906.78	$(2^+, 1^-)$						
	1908.4	$3-$						
1908.97			0^+	2.17	1.91	4.56	1.30	sw.jj
	1924.1	$2^-, 3, 4$						
	1924.6	$(4, 5^+)$						
1925.44	1925.20	4^+	4^+ , 5^-	0.61	1.73	0.54	21.0	sw.ii
	1928.54	3^+						
	1937.16	$(3, 4)^+$						
1938.34	1938.9	4^+	(4^{+})	1.06	0.76	1.99	0.67	m2a.gg
	1944.85	3^+						

TABLE I. (*Continued.*)

0⁺ STATES AND COLLECTIVE BANDS IN 228Th ... PHYSICAL REVIEW C **88**, 014310 (2013)

Level energy (keV)			I^{π}	Cross-section ratios		$\sigma_{\text{integ.}}$	Ratio	Way of
This work	[2,7]	[2,7]	This work	$(7.5^{\circ}/16^{\circ})$	$(26^{\circ}/16^{\circ})$	(μb)	$\sigma_{\rm expt.}/\sigma_{\rm calc.}$	fitting
	1945.8	4^+ , 5^-						
1947.87			(2^{+})	1.02	0.75	0.77	3.50	sw.ig
	1949.7	$1^+, 2, 3^+$						
1959.76	1958.5	2^+	(2^{+})	0.10	1.69	0.43	1.50	sw.ig
	1964.90	(2^{+})						
1971.74			$(2^+, 3^-)$	0.66	0.81	0.79	3.10	sw.ig
	1974.20	4^+						
1981.94	1981.97	$3,4^+$ 4^+	(3^{-})	1.68	0.77	1.70	2.60	sw.gg
	1987.46							
1993.95 2010.46	2010.15	$2^+, 3, 4^+$	(3^-) (2^{+})	0.97 0.46	0.72 0.43	1.80 0.76	2.80 13.0	sw.gg
	2013.6	$(3, 4)^+$						sw.ig
	2016.75	4^+ , 5^-						
	2022.73	2^+						
2030.34	2029.6	(2^{+})	2^+	0.54	0.23	0.84	16.0	sw.ig
	2037.0	$(3, 4)^+$						
2044.75			0^+	9.22	4.56	0.57	3.10	sw.ii
2052.14			(6^{+})	0.72	1.30	3.70	180	sw.ii
2069.65			2^+	0.76	0.56	1.38	6.10	sw.ig
2079.95			0^+	17.08	13.13	4.62	25.9	sw.ii
2091.27			(6^{+})	0.62	0.82	1.20	35.0	sw.ii
2111.65			(2^{+})	0.70	0.71	2.57	11.0	sw.ig
	2123.1	$(2^+, 3^-)$						
2131.36			0^+	6.84	4.53	24.80	120	sw.ii
2152.84			(4^{+})	1.30	0.90	4.13	98.0	sw.ii
2159.46			0^+	3.78	1.54	1.18	8.10	sw.ii
2170.34			(2^{+})	1.00	0.85	5.61	26.0	sw.ig
2198,24			2^+	0.59	0.62	3.81	19.5	sw.ig
2215.94			(4^{+})	1.40	1.15	6.00	130	sw.ii
2235.27 2290.07			(4^{+}) 0^+	0.98 9.96	0.86 5.75	2.82	61.0 61.0	sw.ii
2302.95			(4^{+})	1.09	0.84	11.00 2.75	62.0	sw.ii sw.ii
2323.25			2^+	0.41	0.62	2.24	16.0	sw.ig
2335.95			(4^{+})	2.13	1.65	17.10	370	sw.ig
			(0^{+})			4.50 ^a	25.0	$sw.gg+14$
2344.25			(3^{-})	0.77	0.58	6.65	10.0	sw.gg
2356.25			(2^{+})	0.63	0.61	4.61	21.5	sw.ig
2375.58			(2^{+})	0.78	0.60	4.87	22.0	sw.ig
2398.39			(3^{-})	0.76	0.75	7.36	11.0	sw.gg
2408.89			(4^{+})	1.87	1.28	2.34	60.0	sw.ii
2441.75			(2^{+})	0.71	0.51	10.32	47.0	sw.ig
2456.85			0^+	16.18	1.27	0.53	5.20	sw.ii
2476.75			(2^{+})	0.62	0.52	10.38	48.0	sw.ig
2494.15			(2^{+})	0.65	0.47	12.74	63.5	sw.ig

TABLE I. (*Continued.*)

^aThe value after subtracting a constant of 14 μ b (see Sec. [II B](#page-5-0) for explanation).

for the states from 2500 to 3250 keV were measured only for 10° .

A triton energy spectrum measured at a detection angle of $10[°]$ is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The analysis of the triton spectra was performed with the program GASPAN [\[11\]](#page-19-0). Measurements were carried out with two magnetic settings: one for excitations up to 1.75 MeV, and another one for the energy region from 1.6 to 3.3 MeV, respectively. For the calibration of the energy scale, the triton spectra from the reactions 184 W(p,t)¹⁸²W,

¹⁸⁶W(p, t)¹⁸⁴W, and ²³⁴U(p, t)²³²U were measured at the same magnetic settings. The levels in 230 Th known from the study [\[6\]](#page-19-0) were also included in the calibration.

From 106 levels identified in the spectra, 60 levels were identified for all ten angles and 46 levels only for five angles. They are listed in Table [I.](#page-2-0) The energies and spins of the levels as derived from this study are compared to known energies and spins, mainly from the published data [\[2,7\]](#page-19-0). They are given in the first four columns. The ratios of cross

TABLE II. Energies and cross sections of the ²³⁰Th(p,t)²²⁸Th reaction for the states for which measurements were carried out only at 10◦.

E (keV)	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	E (keV)	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	E (keV)	$d\sigma/d\Omega$
2513.57	2.00	2742.34	5.50	3035.69	0.95
2531.57	6.60	2763.74	8.60	3046.46	2.10
2536.89	3.20	2781.45	1.75	3059.2.5	2.15
2542.49	1.85	2798.68	1.55	3075.2.5	2.20
2554.5 5	6.00	2805.67	2.00	3085.28	1.25
2566.36	2.20	2821.05	2.90	3097.06	3.10
2595.45	5.40	2839.36	1.30	3104.76	3.40
2606.15	23.5	2853.75	2.75	3112.7 11	1.70
2615.19	0.15	2868.15	3.20	3119.99	2.30
2634.85	1.60	2877.58	1.80	3128.210	1.25
2644.03	9.20	2883.79	1.60	3158.88	1.50
2657.14	5.20	2918.86	1.85	3165.76	2.00
2660.15	6.00	2927.45	3.25	3186.06	2.00
2667.15	3.30	2936.89	1.40	3195.26	2.60
2676.06	67.2	2945.39	1.35	3209.612	1.40
2688.44	2.10	2955.18	1.25	3214.89	2.20
2695.67	1.10	2993.112	1.00	3225.020	0.50
2705.55	1.35	2999.5 10	1.50	3232.913	1.20
2718.45	2.10	3014.3 11	0.80	3239.98	3.40

sections at angles 7.5° and 26° to the one at 16° , given in the next two columns, help to highlight the 0^+ excitations (large values). The column labeled $\sigma_{\text{integ.}}$ gives the cross section integrated in the region from $7.5°$ to $30°$, where the cross sections are measured for all level energies. The column titled $\sigma_{\text{exp}}/\sigma_{\text{calc}}$ gives the ratio of the integrated cross sections from experimental values and calculations in the DWBA approximation (see Sec. \overline{I} IB). The last column lists the notations of the schemes used in the DWBA calculations: sw.jj means one-step direct transfer of the $(j)^2$ neutrons in the (p,t) reaction; notations of the multistep transfers used in the DWBA calculations are displayed in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) Additionally, energies of 57 levels seen only in the spectrum measured at 10◦ and corresponding cross sections are listed in Table II.

B. DWBA analysis

The spins of the excited states in the final nucleus ²²⁸Th were assigned via an analysis of the angular distributions of tritons from the (p,t) reaction. The angular distributions for $0⁺$ excitations have a steeply rising cross section at very small reaction angles and a sharp minimum at a detection angle of about 14◦. This pronounced feature helped to identify most of these states in complicated and dense spectra, even without fitting experimental angular distributions. No complication of the angular distributions was expected, because the excitation of 0^+ states predominantly proceeds via a one-step process. This is not the case for the excitation of states with other spins, where multistep processes could play a very important role.

The identification of these states is possible by fitting the experimental angular distributions with those calculated in the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA). The potential parameters suggested by Becchetti and Greenlees [\[12\]](#page-19-0) for

protons and by Flynn *et al.* [\[13\]](#page-19-0) for tritons were used in the calculations. These parameters have been tested via their description of angular distributions for the ground states of 228 Th, 230 Th, and 232 U [\[4\]](#page-19-0). Minor changes of the parameters for tritons were needed only for some 3[−] states, particularly for the states at 396.9 and 1016.4 keV. For these states, the triton potential parameters suggested by Becchetti and Greenlees [\[14\]](#page-19-0) were used. For each state the binding energies of the two neutrons are calculated to match the outgoing triton energies. The corrections to the reaction energy are introduced depending on the excitation energy. For more details, see Ref. [\[6\]](#page-19-0).

A problem arising in such calculations is the lack of prior knowledge of the microscopic structure of these states. We can assume, however, that the overall shape of the angular distribution of the cross section is rather independent of the specific structure of the individual states because the wave function of the outgoing tritons is restricted to the nuclear exterior and therefore to the tails of the triton form factors. To verify this assumption, DWBA calculations of angular distributions for different $(j)^2$ transfer configurations to states with different spins were carried out in our previous paper [\[6\]](#page-19-0). The coupled-channel approximation (CHUCK3 code of Kunz [\[15\]](#page-19-0)) was used in these calculations. Indeed, the calculated angular distributions are very similar. Nevertheless, they depend to some degree on the transfer configuration, the most pronounced being found for the 0^+ states, which is confirmed by the experimental angular distributions. The best reproduction of the angular distribution for the ground state was obtained for the transfer of the $(2g_{9/2})^2$ configuration in the one-step process. This orbital is close to the Fermi surface and was considered as the most probable one in the transfer process. Other transfer configurations that might be of importance are $(1i_{11/2})^2$ and $(1j_{15/2})^2$, also near the Fermi surface. Better reproduction of the angular distribution for some 0^+ states is obtained just for these configurations. The main features of the angular distribution shapes for 2^+ and 4^+ states are even more weakly dependent on the transfer configurations. Nevertheless, the $(2g_{9/2})^2$, $(1i_{11/2})^2$, and $(1j_{15/2})^2$ configurations, alone or in combination, were used in the calculations for these states too.

Results of fitting the angular distributions for the states assigned as 0^+ excitations are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-6-0) The agreement between the fit and the data is excellent for most of the levels. Remarks are needed only for the levels at 1531.7 and 2335.9 keV. The spin 3^+ was assigned to the level at 1531.47 keV in Refs. [\[2,7\]](#page-19-0). A level at the close-lying energy of 1531.7 keV has been observed also in the (p,t) reaction, but the angular distribution of tritons cannot be fitted by calculations for transition to the 3^+ state. The maximum cross section for forward angles suggests the presence of a 0^+ excitation, though the angular distribution fitted by a calculation for transfer of the $(1i_{11/2})^2$ configuration to the 0^+ state is not perfect. A satisfactory fit of the experimental angular distribution was obtained assuming overlapping states with spins 0^+ and 3^+ (Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0), thus confirming the assignment for both states. An ambiguous picture is observed for the 2335.9-keV state, where the angular distribution is measured for a limited range of angles. The fitting agreement is perfect for a transition to the

FIG. 2. Angular distributions of assigned 0^+ states in ²²⁸Th and their fit with CHUCK3 one-step calculations. The (ij) transfer configurations used in the calculations for the best fit are given in Table [I.](#page-2-0) See text for further information.

 4^+ state, but the cross section is surprisingly large for a 4^+ state, twice larger than for the 4^+ member of the ground-state (g.s.) band (see Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). Therefore, the possibility of a 0^+ excitation cannot be excluded, but the experimental angular distribution is fitted for a 0^+ state only with adding a constant of 14 μ b. This ambiguity can be resolved by measurements in wider angular regions.

Thus, we can make firm 0^+ assignments for 17 states for energies excitations below 2.5 MeV, in comparison with 12 states found in the preliminary analysis of the experimental data [\[4\]](#page-19-0). Of course, some higher lying 0^+ levels are lost because of the cutoff of the investigated energy region. However, as follows from a similar study for ²³⁰Th, only a few 0^+ states are observed above 2500 keV, where the density of 0^+ excitations decreases for higher energies (or else that the cross section of such excitations is very low and they are hidden in very dense and complicated spectra). Therefore, we can compare 24.0^+ states in 230 Th with only 17 0⁺ states in 228 Th in the same energy region.

Similar to 0^+ excitations, the one-step transfer calculations give a satisfactory fit of angular distributions for about 80% of the states with spins different from 0^+ , but about 20% of these states need the inclusion of multistep excitations.

Multistep excitations have to be included to fit the angular distributions already for the 2^+ , 4^+ , and 6^+ states of the g.s. band. Figure [4](#page-7-0) shows the schemes of the multistep excitations, tested for every state in those cases, where one-step transfer did not provide a successful fit. Figure [5](#page-8-0) demonstrates the quality of the fit of some different-shaped angular distributions for the excitation of states with spin 2^+ by calculations assuming one-step and one-step plus two-step excitations, respectively. Results of similar fits for the states assigned as $4^+, 6^+$ and $1^-,$ 3−, 5[−] excitations are shown in Fig. [6.](#page-9-0)

The assignments of the spins resulting from such fits are presented in Table [I,](#page-2-0) together with other experimental data. Special comments are needed for the column displaying the ratio $\sigma_{\text{expt.}}/\sigma_{\text{calc.}}$. Calculated cross sections for the specific transfer configurations differ very strongly. Because we have no *a priori* knowledge of the microscopic structure of the excited states, and thus do not know the relative contributions of the specific $(j)^2$ transfer configurations to each of these states, these ratios cannot be considered as spectroscopic factors. Nevertheless, a very large ratio, such as in the case of the $(1i_{11/2})^2$ transfer configurations used in the calculation for some 0^+ and even 2^+ and 4^+ states, is unexpected (see Table [I\)](#page-2-0). Surprisingly, the shape just for this

FIG. 3. Experimental distribution of the (p,t) strength integrated in the angle region 7.5° –30° for 0^{+} , 2^{+} , and 4^{+} states in ²²⁸Th.

neutron configuration gives the best agreement with experiment.

Some additional comments on Table [I](#page-2-0) are needed. In all cases, where the firm assignments were known from the previous studies $[2,7]$, they are confirmed by the (p,t) angular distribution analysis. In those cases, where two or three possible spin assignments were proposed earlier, the (p,t) angular distribution analysis makes it possible to select only one assignment in almost all cases. For the energies above 2030 keV only the assignments from the (p,t) reaction are possible at present. The following remarks are needed in those cases, where the assignments from different publications are in contradiction, which can be removed using the data from the (p,t) reaction.

FIG. 4. Schemes of the CHUCK3 multistep calculations tested with spin assignments of excited states in ²³⁰Th (see Table [I\)](#page-2-0).

938.7 keV. Spin 2[−] was assigned for this level in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0). Our fit of the angular distribution gives reliably spin 0^+ in agreement with Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0).

943.8 keV. Spin 2^+ was assigned for this level in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0). The angular distribution rejects this value and agrees with the assignment of spin 1[−] accepted in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0).

968-969 keV. Three levels around 968 keV with spins $2^+, 4^+, 2^-$ were identified in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) and two levels with spins 2^+ , 3^- in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0). There is a discrepancy in assignment for the 968.33 keV level as 3^- in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and as 2^- in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). This line is masked in the (p,t) spectrum by a strong line from the transition to the 969-keV level. However, because the angular distribution is very well described by a calculation leading to a 2^+ state and is not disturbed by a transition to spin 3^- , the assignment 2^- is preferable (transition is weak).

1016.4 keV. The discrepancy in assignment for the 1016.4 keV level as 2^+ in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and as 3^- in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) cannot be removed by the (p,t) angular distribution, because it can be fitted by a transition sw.ig to 2^+ and m3a.gg to 3^- , respectively. However, transitions seen in the decay of 228 Pa [\[7\]](#page-19-0) from this state to the $5⁻$ and $4⁺$ states leads to the assignment of $3⁻$. We accepted this spin also owing to strong arguments in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0), including the assignment of spins 2[−] and 1[−] to the levels at 968.3 and 943.8 keV as members of the $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ band.

1059.9 keV. From the tentative assignments $(4^+, 3^-)$ in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and the firm assignment 4[−] in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) for this level, the latter has to be additionally supported by the fact that the corresponding line in the (p,t) spectrum was not seen (transition to the state of unnatural parity).

1225.7 and 1226.56 keV. Spin 4[−] was assigned for the level 1226.56 keV in both Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). The level with the close energy 1225.7 keV is seen in the (p,t) reaction, but the angular distribution agrees with the assignment of spin 4^+ . Therefore, both levels are present in Table [I.](#page-2-0)

1393.4 keV. This level was observed in the decay of ²²⁸Pa with restriction of the spin parity to 1^+ , 2, and 3^- by its population and depopulation [\[7\]](#page-19-0). Additional restriction from the $W(90°)/W(180°)$ angular distribution ratio indicates that this level has most likely $I^{\pi} = 1^+$ and that the 2^+ and 3⁻ assignments are nearly excluded. This level was not observed in the (p,t) reaction, thus supporting such conclusion.

1415.8 keV. Spin 2^+ was assigned to this level in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and spins 2^+ or 3^- were allowed in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). The angular distribution of tritons gives preference to spin 3−.

1432.1 keV. The discrepancy between 3^+ in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and 4^+ in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) for the 1432.1-keV level is removed already by the fact of the excitation of this state in the (p,t) reaction and additionally by the angular distribution leading to the 4^+ assignment. Also additional lines observed in the decay of ²²⁸Pa [\[7\]](#page-19-0), leading to the 6^+ level, confirm this assignment.

1450.29 keV. Spin 3[−] was assigned to this level in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and spin 4[−] in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). The fact that this level is not observed in the (p,t) reaction gives preference for an assignment of spin 4[−] not excluding spin 3−.

1531.7 keV. Spin 3^+ was assigned for the level at 1531.47 keV in both Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). However, the angular distribution of tritons for the level with the close-lying energy 1531.7 keV indicates another spin value. It has a steeply rising

FIG. 5. Angular distributions of assigned 2^+ states in ²²⁸Th and their fit with CHUCK3 calculations. The (ij) transfer configurations and schemes used in the calculations for the best fit are given in Table [I.](#page-2-0)

cross section at small angles as for a 0^+ excitation; however, the minimum at a detection angle about 14◦ is not sharp. Therefore, we assumed overlapping of peaks of two levels, one of which is a 0^+ level.

1643.8 keV. There are two close-lying levels at 1643.18 keV with spin 2[−] or 3[−] identified in both Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) and 1643.8 keV, respectively, as identified in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) with an assignment of possible spins $(2,3,4)^+$. Only the level at 1643.8 keV is observed in the (p,t) reaction with clear assignment of spin 4+.

1733.8 keV. We assumed that the level at 1735.6 keV, identified as a 4^+ state in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and as 2^+ , 3, 4^+ state in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0), and the level at 1733.8 keV seen in the (p,t) reaction with an assignment of spin 4^+ are identical, though the energy difference is larger than the energy error.

1742.8 keV. Spin 3 was assigned to the level at 1743.86 keV in Ref. $[2]$ and spin 4⁺ in Ref. $[7]$. The angular distribution from the (p,t) reaction prefers the assignment of spin 4⁺.

1758–1760 keV. Several close-lying levels were identified at 1757.9 keV with spin 1−, 2, 3[−] [\[7\]](#page-19-0), at 1758.24 keV with spin $(3,4)^{+}$ [\[2\]](#page-19-0), and at 1760.25 keV with spin 4⁺ [2] and with spin $(2, 3)^+$ [\[7\]](#page-19-0). Different γ lines were used in the identification of the levels at 1757.9 and 1758.24 keV: 741.9, 1361.4, 1430.0 keV for the first one and 1571.52 and 1700.59 keV for the latter. At the same time, in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) the line at 1430.0 keV was used for the identification of another level at 1617.74 keV, and the important line at 1758.24 keV was used for the identification of the level at 1944.85 keV. The line at 1758.11 keV can be attributed to the decay of the level at 1758.24 keV; then the spin of this state distinctly has to be 2^+ . The ambiguity cannot be solved with the (p,t) data. Therefore, we put the

FIG. 6. Angular distributions of some assigned states in 228Th and their fit with CHUCK3 calculations: 4⁺ and 6⁺ with positive parity and 1⁻, 2⁻, and 3⁻ with negative parity. The (ij) transfer configurations and schemes used in the calculations for the best fit are given in Table [I.](#page-2-0)

level at 1758.1 keV with an assignment of spin 2^+ from the (p,t) study in correspondence with the level at 1757.9 keV in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0), but for the level at 1758.24 keV in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) we do not exclude the spin 2^+ , too. As far as the level at 1760.25 keV is concerned, a spin 2^+ can be nearly excluded, because this line is not observed in the (p,t) reaction.

1796.8 keV. Two close-lying levels were identified: 1795.9 keV with an assignment $(4^+, 3^-)$ in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and 1796.4 keV with an assignment as 3^+ , 4, 5^+ in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). The level at 1796.8 keV with spin 4^+ is observed in the (p,t) reaction. It is problematic to put this level in correspondence with one of the observed ones in decay, considering the assignments. Therefore, only energetic proximity was taken into account.

1908.9 keV. The level at 1908.4 keV (3[−]) was identified in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0); however, a level with almost the same energy of

1908.9 keV as observed in the (p,t) reaction was clearly identified as a 0^+ state. They must be considered as different levels.

2010.4 keV. There is discrepancy in the assignment of spin to the level at 2010.15 keV: 2^+ , 3 in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) and 4^+ in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0). The angular distribution from the (p,t) reaction prefers spin (2^+) .

III. DISCUSSION

A. Collective bands in 228Th

After the assignment of spins to all excited states, those sequences of states can be identified, which show the characteristics of a rotational band structure. An identification of the states attributed to rotational bands was made on the basis of the following conditions:

FIG. 7. Collective bands based on the 0^+ , 2^+ , 1^- , 2^- , and $3^$ excited states in ²²⁸Th as assigned from the DWBA fit of the angular distributions from the (p,t) reaction.

- (a) the angular distribution for a band member candidate state is fitted by DWBA calculations for the spin necessary to put this state in the band;
- (b) the transfer cross section in the (p,t) reaction to states in the potential band has to decrease with increasing spin;
- (c) the energies of the states in the band can be fitted approximately by the expression for a rotational band $E = E_0 + AI(I + 1)$ with a small and smooth variation of the inertial parameter A.

Collective bands identified in such a way are shown in Fig. 7 and are listed in Table [III](#page-12-0) (for a calculation of the moments of inertia). The procedure can be justified in that some sequences meeting the above criteria are already known from γ -ray spectroscopy to be rotational bands $[2,7]$, so similar sequences are rotational bands, too. The straight lines in Fig. 7 strengthen the argument for these assignments. It is worth mentioning that the assignments of 0^+ even for the states at 1531.7 and 2335.9 keV are supported by one 2^+ state on top of them. The bands built on states of one-phonon octupole-quadruplet (the band $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ was not correctly identified in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-0)), the band

FIG. 8. Moments of inertia for the bands in 228Th as assigned from the angular distributions from the ²³⁰Th(p,t)²²⁸Th reaction. Values of J/\hbar^2 are given.

with $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$, 831.9 keV [\[2,7\]](#page-19-0), $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$, 938.6, 1120.1 keV [\[7\]](#page-19-0), and $K^{\pi} = 2^{+}$, 968.8 and 1153.5 keV [\[2,7\]](#page-19-0) were identified earlier. Additional levels are added to these bands from the (p,t) study (Table [III\)](#page-12-0). Two bands with $K^{\pi} = 2^{+}$ are added in Table [III,](#page-12-0) based only on the analysis of the decay of 228 Ac [\[2\]](#page-19-0) at 1638.23 keV and at 1899.98 keV. There is only contradiction in the spin assignment for the 2010.17-keV level. The (p,t) data do not support spin 4^+ and prefer a 2^+ assignment instead.

In Fig. 8 we present moments of inertia (MoI) obtained by fitting the level energies of the bands displayed in Fig. 7 by the expression $E = E_0 + AI(I + 1)$ for close-lying levels; i.e., they were determined for band members using the ratio of ΔE and $\Delta[I(I + 1)]$. Thus, saving the spin dependence of the MoI. This procedure is valid for all bands except the 943.8-keV, 1[−] band. The usual procedure leads to strongly staggering values. In the case of the $K^{\pi} = 0^{-}$ and $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ bands, the Coriolis interaction mixes the band members only for I odd. The I even members of the $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ band remain unperturbed. In a simple two-level model ($K^{\pi} = 0^{-}$ and $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ bands) the following expression can be obtained for the band

energies:

$$
E(I, K^{\pi} = 1^{-}) \sim E_1 + (A_1 + B)I(I + 1) \text{ for } I \text{ odd,}
$$

$$
E(I, K^{\pi} = 1^{-}) \sim E_1 + A_1I(I + 1) \text{ for } I \text{ even,}
$$
 (1)

where $E_1 = E_1^{'} - A_1$ and $B = C^2/(E_1^{'} - A_1 - E_0^{'})$, $E_0^{'}$ and E'_1 are the intrinsic bandhead energies, A_1 is the inertial parameter, and C is the strength of the Coriolis interaction, which is believed to be small. An effective parameters of inertia behaves then as

$$
A_1(\text{eff}) = A_1 + \frac{1}{2}B(I+1) \quad \text{for } I \text{ odd},
$$

\n
$$
A_1(\text{eff}) = A_1 - \frac{1}{2}B(I-1) \quad \text{for } I \text{ even}.
$$
 (2)

Fitting these expressions to the experimental data gives the smoothly changing values of the moment of inertia between 76.9 and 7[8](#page-10-0).6, as shown in Fig. 8 with parameter $B =$ 0.75–0.68 (thus, staggering is removed).

In most cases MoI slightly increase with the increasing spin. There are few cases of 0^+ bands where MoI are sloping down. This can be explained as an effect of the Coriolis interaction of a 2qp $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ band with a nearby lying $2qp K^{\pi} = 1^{+}$ band [not seen in the (p,t) reaction]. A similar effect was observed, e.g., in 168 Er for the 3⁻ and 4⁻¹ $2qp$ bands [\[16\]](#page-19-0). In ²²⁸Th an additional MoI even-odd spin staggering is expected for the $K^{\pi} = 1^{+}$ band similar to that for the octupole $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ band because the odd spin states of the $K^{\pi} = 1^{+}$ band have no counterparts in the $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ band.

The obtained MoI cover a broad range, from ∼50 to \sim 100 MeV⁻¹. The negative parity bands based on the states with spin 1−, interpreted as the octupole-vibrational bands [\[2,7\]](#page-19-0), have high MoI. The 0^+ band at 1120.1 keV, considered as β -vibrational band, has the smallest MoI, close to the one of the g.s. band. At this stage, it is difficult to state a complete correlation between the intrinsic structure of the bands and the magnitude of their MoI. Nevertheless, one can assume for the 0^+ bands that some of the larger MoI could be related to the two-phonon octupole structure and the smallest MoI could be related to the one-phonon quadrupole structure. The bands with intermediate values of the MoI could be based on the two-phonon quadrupole excitations. If the MoI do indeed carry information on the inner structure of the bands, then the number of excitations with a structure as in the g.s. or $β$ -vibrational states in ²²⁸Th is small.

B. Quadruplets of octupole excitations

The lowest negative-parity excitations with K^{π} = 0−, 1−, 2−, 3[−] are generally interpreted as octupole vibrational. They are one-phonon octupole excitations. The corresponding energies in 228Th as 328.0, 944.2, 1123.0, and 1344.0 keV were established already in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). Here we confirmed these assignments after the removal of some ambiguities. They are the bandheads of the rotational bands which are displayed in Fig. 9 together with the (p,t) cross sections and their parameters A.

In the case of 230 Th, the assumption was made that the strongly excited first 0^+ state, together with also strongly

FIG. 9. Suggested multiplets of states of the octupole one-phonon (bottom) and the octupole two-phonon (top) excitations and the corresponding collective bands.

excited states with spins 2^+ and 4^+ , accompanied by somewhat weaker excited state with spin 6^+ , belong to the two-phonon octupole quadruplet [\[6\]](#page-19-0). Strong excitations and close rotational parameters were the arguments for assigning the same structure to these states. As one can see in Fig. [3,](#page-7-0) the first 0^+ state in ²²⁸Th at 831.9 keV is also strongly excited. Taking into account the decay properties of the band on this 0^+ state, the suggestion was made that this band has an octupole two-phonon structure [\[2\]](#page-19-0). The picture for other states is not so transparent. There is no prominent excitation strength of the 2^+ and 4^+ states just above this 0^+ state. The first excited 2^+ state, which is not a member of the 0^+ band, is the state at 968.8 keV. However, the deexcitation of the band built on this state demonstrates the properties expected for a γ -vibrational band. Moreover, its moment of inertia is much smaller than the one derived for the 0^+ band at 831.9 keV. For the band built on the 2^+ state at 1153.3 keV, the moment of inertia is close to the one of the band built on the 0^+ state at 831.9 keV and the state at 1153.3 keV is relatively strongly excited in the (p,t) reaction. The 4^+ and 6^+ states, which do not belong to rotational bands, which are strongly excited in the (p,t) reaction and could be members of the two-phonon octupole quadruplet, are the states at 1643.8 and 1905.8 keV. The level at 1812.7 keV, tentatively assigned as a 6^+ state, can be attributed to the band based on the 4^+ state, the corresponding inertial parameter again is very close to the one for 0^+ and 2^+ bands. No members of a

TABLE III. The sequences of states qualifying as candidates for rotational bands [from the CHUCK fit, the (p,t) cross sections and the inertial parameters]. More accurate values of energies are taken from the first two columns of Table [I.](#page-2-0) The energies taken in brackets correspond to the tentatively assigned sequences.

0^+	1^+	2^+	3^+	4^+	5^+	$6+$	7^+	8^+	
$0.0\,$		57.8		186.8		378.2		622.5	
831.9		874.4		968.4		1105.5		1281	
		968.8	1022.5	1091.0	1174.5	1270.2	1380	1497	
		1153.5	1200.5	1261.5					
938.6		979.5		1074.8					
1120.1		1175.4		1290.2		1470.0			
		1319.2		1432.0					
1511.2		1544.4		1618.3					
		1638.3	1688.4	1760.2					
				1643.8		(1812.7)			
(1531.7)		1586.9							
1627.9		1667.3		1742.8		1858.6			
				1796.8		1903.9			
		1724.6	1760.3	1826.2					
		1803.0		1938.3					
		1898.2	1937.2	1987.5					
		1899.98	1944.85	(2010.17)					
1908.9		1947.8		2037.0					
2079.9		2111.6							
2131.3		2170.3							
2290.0		2323.2							
(2335.9)		2375.5							
K^{π}	$1-$	2^{-}	$3-$	$4-$	$5-$	$6-$	$7-$	$8-$	$9-$
0^-	328.0		396.9		519.2		695.6		920.6
1^-	943.8	968.3	1016.4	1059.2	1143.2				
2^-		1122.9	1168.4	1226.6	1297.3				
$3-$			1344.0		1497.7				

rotational band can be related to the 6^+ bandhead at 1905.8 keV.

C. IBM calculations

In the IBM, the positive-parity states are described by introducing s and d bosons, while for the negative parity states one has to introduce additional bosons with odd values of angular momentum (at least one f boson). In the region of transitional actinides, where octupole deformation might develop, the IBM-spdf (which uses p and f bosons) was applied with success in Refs. [\[17–19\]](#page-19-0).

In the present paper, we adopt the IBM-spdf framework for calculating the low-lying positive and negative parity states in 228 Th. In Ref. [\[18\]](#page-19-0), the IBM calculations for this nucleus have been already performed. However, these calculations used only a simplified Hamiltonian to describe the existing (up to that date) electromagnetic data. More recent calculations (which also used a simplified Hamiltonian) [\[4\]](#page-19-0) indicated that IBM fails completely to reproduce the (p,t) spectroscopic factors. The calculated first excited states were found with a transfer strength of \approx 1% of that of the g.s. and the higher states were even weaker, whereas experimentally the first excited state is seen with $\approx 30\%$ of the g.s. intensity. To treat these spectroscopic observables in a reasonable approach, we used

the method suggested in Ref. $[20]$, where it was shown that the addition of the second-order O(5) Casimir operator in the Hamiltonian can account for the observed (p,t) spectroscopic factors.

The Hamiltonian employed in the present paper is similar to the one used in Refs. $[18,19]$ and is able to describe simultaneously the positive and negative parity states,

$$
\hat{H}_{spdf} = \epsilon_d \hat{n}_d + \epsilon_p \hat{n}_p + \epsilon_f \hat{n}_f + \kappa (\hat{Q}_{spdf} \cdot \hat{Q}_{spdf})^{(0)} \n+ a_3 [(\hat{d}^\dagger \tilde{d})^{(3)} \times (\hat{d}^\dagger \tilde{d})^{(3)}]^{(0)},
$$
\n(3)

where ϵ_d , ϵ_p , and ϵ_f are the boson energies and \hat{n}_p , \hat{n}_d , and \hat{n}_f are the boson number operators, κ is the quadrupolequadrupole interaction strength, and a_3 is the strength of the $O(5)$ second-order Casimir operator. In the *spdf* model, the quadrupole operator is considered as being [\[21\]](#page-19-0)

$$
\hat{Q}_{spdf} = \hat{Q}_{sd} + \hat{Q}_{pf} = (\hat{s}^{\dagger}\tilde{d} + \hat{d}^{\dagger}\hat{s})^{(2)} + \chi_{sd}^{(2)}(\hat{d}^{\dagger}\tilde{d})^{(2)} \n+ \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{5}[(p^{\dagger}\tilde{f} + f^{\dagger}\tilde{p})]^{(2)} \n+ \chi_{pf}^{(2)} \left\{ \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{10}(p^{\dagger}\tilde{p})^{(2)} + \frac{3\sqrt{42}}{10}(f^{\dagger}\tilde{f})^{(2)} \right\}.
$$
\n(4)

The quadrupole electromagnetic transition operator is

$$
\hat{T}(E2) = e_2 \hat{Q}_{spdf},\tag{5}
$$

where e_2 represents the boson effective charge. To ensure no-vanishing $E2$ transitions between the states containing no pf bosons and those having $(pt)^2$ components we follow the approach described in Refs. [\[18,19\]](#page-19-0), where the mixing of different positive parity states with different pf components is achieved by introducing in the Hamiltonian a dipole-dipole interaction term of the form

$$
\hat{H}_{int} = \alpha \hat{D}_{spdf}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{D}_{spdf} + \text{H.c.},\tag{6}
$$

where

$$
\hat{D}_{spdf} = -2\sqrt{2}[p^{\dagger}\tilde{d} + d^{\dagger}\tilde{p}]^{(1)} + \sqrt{5}[s^{\dagger}\tilde{p} + p^{\dagger}\tilde{s}]^{(1)} + \sqrt{7}[d^{\dagger}\tilde{f} + f^{\dagger}\tilde{d}]^{(1)} \tag{7}
$$

is the dipole operator arising from the $O(4)$ dynamical symmetry limit, which does not conserve separately the number of positive and negative parity bosons [\[21,22\]](#page-19-0). This term is important later in the calculations of the two-neutron transfer intensities. The interaction strength is given by the α parameter and is chosen to have a very small value, $\alpha = 0.0005$ MeV, similar to Refs. [\[18,19\]](#page-19-0).

For the $E1$ transitions, a linear combination of the three allowed one-body interactions was taken,

$$
\hat{T}(E1) = e_1 \Big[\chi_{sp}^{(1)} (s^\dagger \tilde{p} + p^\dagger \tilde{s})^{(1)} + (p^\dagger \tilde{d} + d^\dagger \tilde{p})^{(1)} + \chi_{df}^{(1)} (d^\dagger \tilde{f} + f^\dagger \tilde{d})^{(1)} \Big],
$$
\n(8)

where e_1 is the effective charge for the E1 transitions and $\chi_{sp}^{(1)}$ and $\chi_{df}^{(1)}$ are model parameters.

The goal of the present paper is to describe simultaneously both the existing electromagnetic and the hadronic (transfer strength) data. To achieve this goal, two-neutron transfer intensities between the g.s. of the target nucleus and the excited states of the residual nucleus were also calculated. The $L = 0$ transfer operator may contain various terms, but we restrict our operator to the following form:

$$
\hat{P}_{\nu}^{(0)} = (\alpha_p \hat{n}_p + \alpha_f \hat{n}_f) \hat{s}
$$
\n
$$
+ \alpha_{\nu} \left(\Omega_{\nu} - N_{\nu} - \frac{N_{\nu}}{N} \hat{n}_d \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{N_{\nu} + 1}{N + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{s}, \quad (9)
$$

where Ω_{ν} is the pair degeneracy of neutron shell, N_{ν} is the number of neutron pairs, N is the total number of bosons, and α_p , α_f , and α_v are constant parameters. The $L = 0$ transfer operator contains two additional terms besides the leading order term, proportional to the bosonic \hat{s} operator [\[23\]](#page-19-0). Details about the contributions of different terms in calculating the (p,t) spectroscopic factors will be given in a forthcoming paper [\[24\]](#page-19-0).

The calculations were performed using the computer code OCTUPOLE [\[25\]](#page-19-0). The Hamiltonian is diagonalized in a Hilbert space with a total number of bosons $N_B = n_s + n_d + n_p + n_f$. For the present calculations we used an extended basis

FIG. 10. Energies of all experimentally assigned excited 0^+ , 2^+ , and 4^+ states in ²²⁸Th in comparison to IBM-spdf calculations. For the 0^+ excitations, the states containing 2 pf bosons in their structure and assumed to have a double dipole/octupole character are marked with asterisks.

allowing up to three negative-parity bosons $(n_p + n_f = 3)$. The vibrational strengths used in the calculations are $\epsilon_d =$ 0.2 MeV, $\epsilon_p = 1.0$ MeV, and $\epsilon_f = 1.1$ MeV, while the quadrupole-quadrupole interaction strength has a value of $\kappa = -21$ keV. The strength of the O(5) second-order Casimir operator is set to $a_3 = 0.053$ MeV, while the quadrupole operator parameters are $(\chi_{sd}^{(2)} = -1.09, \chi_{pf}^{(2)} = -1)$.

The full spectrum of excited 0^+ states obtained in the present experiment is displayed in Fig. [10](#page-13-0) in comparison to the corresponding calculated values. In the energy range covered experimentally (up to 2.5 MeV), the IBM-spdf calculations predict 10 excited 0^+ states in comparison to the 17 experimentally observed 0^+ excitations (firm spin assignment). Given that there was no attempt to fine tune the calculations to the empirical 0^+ states, there is no point in invoking a precise energy cutoff for the IBM calculations. Therefore, it is appropriate to look also above 2.5 MeV, where there is a continuous spectrum of 0^+ states consisting of 20 states up to 3.3 MeV and as many as 30 up to 4 MeV. The IBM predicts that some of these states are having $2pf$ bosons in their structure and are related (according to Ref. [\[18\]](#page-19-0)) to the presence of double dipole/octupole excitations. For example, the boson admixtures for the first excited 0^+ state are $n_d = 4.2$, $n_p = 1.4$, $n_f = 0.6$ in comparison with those for the β -vibrational state as $n_d = 4.5$, $n_p = 0.006$, $n_f = 0.001$. However, the present data cannot allow for a final decision on the nature of the 0^+ states. Additional experimental information is needed to measure the branching ratios and the absolute transition probabilities stemming from these states. In Fig. 10 , the 2^+ and 4^+ levels revealed in the present experiment are also compared to the predictions of the IBM. The experiment revealed 33 excited 2^+ states and 25 excited 4^+ states up to 2.5 MeV. In the same energy range, the calculations produced only 16 excited 2^+ states and $15.4⁺$ excitations. If one looks above this limit, the IBM predicts 32 excited 2^+ states and 33 excited 4^+ states up to 3.3 MeV.

In ²²⁸Th there are no lifetimes measured for the negativeparity states; hence, no absolute transition probabilities could be extracted. Therefore, we would restrict the present discussion to reproducing the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratios. A detailed comparison between the experimental data and the present calculations is presented in Table IV. The agreement is obtained by using $e_1 = 0.005$ efm and $e_2 = 0.19$ eb as the effective charges in Eqs. (8) and (5) , respectively. The remaining E1 parameters are $\chi_{sp} = 0.4$ and $\chi_{df} = -1.4$.

The $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratios discussed in Table IV belong to the $K^{\pi} = 0^{\pm}$ (the predicted double-octupole phonon band) and $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ (β -vibrational) bands. The comparison between them is important because it can be used as a tool for providing additional information about the nature of the $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}_{1}$ band. All the states belonging to this band are having 2 pf bosons in their structure in the IBM calculations and are supposed to have a double-octupole phonon character. Further information confirming this hypothesis comes from the analysis of the $E1$ and $E2$ branching ratios. If the picture proposed by the IBM is correct, the states belonging to the $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}_{1}$ band will show strong transitions into the negative-parity states (if they have

TABLE IV. Experimental and calculated $B(E1)/B(E2)$ transition ratios in ²²⁸Th. The parameters of the $E1$ operator are fitted to the experimental data available.

K^{π}	E_i (keV)	J_i	J_{f1}	J_{f2}	Expt. $(10^{-4} b^{-1})$ IBM $(10^{-4} b^{-1})$	
0^{+}_{1}	832	0^+	1^{-}_{1}	2^{+}_{1}	5.1(4)	6.1
	874	2^+	3^{-}_{1}	4^{+}_{1}	7.1(15)	7.6
		2^+	3^{-}_{1}	2^{+}_{1}	24.5(31)	15.2
		2^+	3^{-}_{1}	0^{+}_{1}	14.7(24)	23.6
		2^+	1^{-}_{1}	4^{+}_{1}	4.2(9)	4.4
		2^+	1^{-}_{1}	2^{+}_{1}	14.5(19)	8.9
		2^+	1^{-}_{1}	0^{+}_{1}	8.7(14)	13.7
	968	4^+	5^{-}_{1}	6^{+}_{1}	22.8(80)	9.2
		4^+	5^{-}_{1}	4^{+}_{1}	10.8(27)	18.2
		4^+	5^{-}_{1}	2^{+}_{1}	6.7(13)	20.7
		4^+	3^{-}_{1}	6^{+}_{1}	19.1(67)	5.9
		4^+	3^{-}_{1}	4^{+}_{1}	9.0(23)	11.8
		4^+	3^{-}_{1}	2^{+}_{1}	5.6(11)	13.4
0^+_3	1176	2^+	1^{-}_{1}	4^{+}_{1}	0.060(25)	0.08
		2^+	1^{-}_{1}	2^{+}_{1}	0.25(10)	0.27
		2^+	1^{-}_{1}	0^{+}_{1}	0.62(28)	0.38
		2^+	3^{-}_{1}	4^{+}_{1}	0.09(5)	0.16
		2^+	3^{-}_{1}	2^{+}_{1}	0.39(20)	0.52
		2^+	3^{-}_{1}	0^{+}_{1}	0.95(51)	0.72

a double-octupole character), while the levels stemming from the $K^{\pi} = 0^+_{3}(\beta \text{ band})$ will show very weak E1 transitions to these states. The experimental values in Table IV fully confirm this hypothesis, showing that the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratios are at least one order of magnitude larger for the $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}_{1}$ band.

In Fig. [11,](#page-15-0) we display the calculated two-neutron intensities for 228Th in comparison to the integrated experimental cross sections normalized to that of the g.s. The calculations reproduce the strong excitation of the first 0^+ state at 832 keV in good agreement with the experiment. The experimental spectrum of 0^+ states is dominated also by a single state located at an energy of 2.1 MeV, showing a high cross section of about 15% of that of the g.s. In the IBM, there is predicted a state located at 2.1 MeV, which has the transfer intensities of about 18%. This state has a double-octupole phonon structure. Another state at 2.29 MeV with a relative cross section of about 7% can be put in correspondence to that predicted in the IBM state at 2.2 MeV also with a double-octupole phonon structure. The running sum in Fig. [11](#page-15-0) is taken up to 3.25 MeV, where another group of states with significant transfer strength is predicted by the IBM. The parameters from Eq. [\(9\)](#page-13-0) were estimated from the fit of the known two-neutron transfer intensities (integrated cross sections) in Table [I.](#page-2-0) The values employed in the present paper are $\alpha_p = 1.3$ mb/sr, $\alpha_f = -0.4$ mb/sr, and $\alpha_{\nu} = 0.03$ mb/sr. The location and transfer intensity of the strongest states are very well reproduced by the calculations. Because the calculated energy distribution of the 0^+ states is underestimating the experimental data, this also affects the fragmentation of the transferred strength. However, the main characteristics are well reproduced by the present calculations.

FIG. 11. (Color online) Comparison between the experimental two-neutron transfer intensities (a) for the 0^+ states and the IBM predictions (b). In panel (c) the experimental versus computed running sum of the (p,t) strengths is given.

D. QPM calculations

The IBM is a phenomenological approach. To gain detailed information on the properties of the states excited in the (p,t) reaction, a microscopic approach is necessary. The ability of the QPM to describe multiple 0^+ states (energies, $E2$ and E0 strengths, two-nucleon spectroscopic factors) was demonstrated for 158Gd [\[26\]](#page-19-0). An extension of the QPM to describe the 0^+ states in the actinides [\[27\]](#page-20-0) was made after our publication on the results of a preliminary analysis of the experimental data [\[4\]](#page-19-0). These calculations are used to compare to the present detailed analysis of the experimental data for 228Th. As for the theoretical basis of the calculations, we refer to the publications [\[27,28\]](#page-20-0).

The experimental spectrum of the 0^+ relative level reaction strength for the (p,t) transfer [the ratios of the (p,t) strength for every state to those for the g.s.] is compared to the results of the QPM calculations in Fig. 12. The (p,t) normalized transfer spectroscopic strengths in the QPM are expressed also as ratios

$$
S_n(p, t) = \left[\frac{\Gamma_n(p, t)}{\Gamma_0(p, t)}\right]^2.
$$
 (10)

The amplitude $\Gamma_0(p, t)$ refers to the transitions to the I members of the g.s. rotational band, i.e., to the g.s. at an analysis of the 0^+ excitations. The amplitude $\Gamma_n(p, t)$ includes the transitions between the g.s. and the one- and two-phonon

FIG. 12. (Color online) Comparison of experimental and calculated (QPM) 0^+ relative level reaction strengths for the (p,t) reaction (two top panels). The values for the $0^{+}_{\text{g.s.}}$ are normalized to 1. The experimental increments of the (p,t) strength (absolute values) in comparison to the QPM calculations are shown in bottom panel.

components of the wave function. The numerical results of the calculations obtained according to the QPM investigation in the publication [\[27\]](#page-20-0) are provided to us by Sushkov [\[29\]](#page-20-0). The QPM generates 150^+ states below 2.5 MeV, in fair agreement with the 17 firmly assigned states. The calculations reproduce the strong excitation of the first 0^+ state in accordance with the experiment. In Fig. 12, we present also the increments of the (p,t) strength ratios in comparison to those of the QPM calculated normalized spectroscopic strengths. As one can see, the calculations are in fair agreement with the experiment. The (p,t) strength for the questionable 0^+ state at 2335.9 keV does not influence considerably the results of comparison (hence, it is not included in the comparison).

Visible deviation of calculated strength from experiment is seen above 2 MeV. Theory predicts many 0^+ excitations at higher energies (more than 80 states in the energy range below 4 MeV), but with small strength. At the same time, two strong excitations are observed in the experiment at 2.13 and 2.29 MeV (see Table V), respectively. It is interesting to note that both the IBM and the QPM predict two strongly excited states and therefore a jump in the increments of the (p,t) strength in the vicinity of 2 MeV, thus reproducing partly the sharp increase in the experimental increment.

0⁺ STATES AND COLLECTIVE BANDS IN 228Th ... PHYSICAL REVIEW C **88**, 014310 (2013)

In these QPM calculations, the dominant phonon structure of the 0^+ states in the low part of energies is the one-phonon quadrupole nature. For higher energies admixtures of two quadrupole and two octupole phonons are present in the structure of these states, and for some of the states they became dominant. The relatively modest role of the octupole phonons in the structure of the low-energy 0^+ states is explained in Ref. [\[27\]](#page-20-0) by the enforcement of the Pauli principle, leading to a spreading of the lowest two octupole phonon components among several QPM 0^+ states and pushing them to higher energies.

Besides the publication [\[27\]](#page-20-0), other calculations of the same Dubna group were carried out for a microscopic description of the level structure, and transition rates between excited states in ²²⁸Th, observed in the decay of ²²⁸Pa [\[7\]](#page-19-0). The wave functions and the level energies from two publications in correspondence to the experimental ones and to the transfer factors are given in Table [V.](#page-16-0) The transfer factors and also the MoI are taken into account to put in correspondence the experimental and calculated levels. The large difference in the transfer factors is seen only for two levels at 2131 and 2290 keV. There is an essential difference in the energies of the lowest 0^+ states in two publications, as they are closer to the experimental ones in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). This is caused by the choice of the isoscalar quadrupole-quadrupole interaction strength stronger than the critical value in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0). As a consequence, the energy of the lowest collective 0^+ state becomes imaginary and its properties such as the structure, E2 reduced transition probabilities, and the transfer factor are partially transferred to the next 0^+ collective state. Among the transition properties, the calculated $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratios at the decay of some 0⁺ states are of special interest in this publication. We present here some data on the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratios, to note the difference in the explanation of the experimental data by the QPM and the IBM. The calculated ratios for transitions from the 0^+_1 , 0_2^+ , and 0_3^+ states to the octupole 0_1^- state and the g.s. band are compared to experimental ratios in Table [VI.](#page-18-0) As one can see from Tables [V](#page-16-0) and [VI,](#page-18-0) the small admixtures of the octupole two-phonon components to the wave functions of the $0₁⁺$ and $0₂⁺$ states are responsible for the fast E1 transitions. In the IBM, similar results are obtained for the 0^+_1 state, having 2 pf bosons in their structure and which are supposed to have mainly a double-octupole phonon character (see Table *and corresponding discussion). At the same time, the* $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratio is considerably smaller for the decay of the 0^+_3 state, which is a β-vibrational state, again in agreement with the experiment. The same result is obtained in the IBM.

Generally, the QPM is quite accurate in nuclei with small g.s. correlations. These correlations increase with the collectivity of the first one-phonon states, which is exactly the case of the $K = 0^-$ octupole phonon state in ²²⁸Th. To decrease the correlations the value of the octupole-octupole isoscalar interaction strength was diminished so that the calculated energy of the $K = 0^-$ state was almost 200 keV higher than the experimental value [\[7\]](#page-19-0). In addition, the effect of multiphonon admixtures (three and more phonons) that pushes two-octupole phonon poles and consequently two-octupole phonon energies to lower values was then underestimated. In summary, the accuracy of the calculations of 228 Th as stated in Ref. [\[7\]](#page-19-0) is

worse owing to the increased g.s. correlations and the shift of two-phonon poles towards smaller energies. In future QPM studies one also has to take into account the spin-quadrupole interaction that is known to increase the density of low-lying 0^+ states [\[30,31\]](#page-20-0).

E. To the nature of 0⁺ excitations

At a microscopic approach there can be a few situations of structure of the 0^+ states. A β -vibrational mode can be characterized by the relatively small two-nucleon transfer strength and a relatively large $B(E2)$ value with a moment of inertia close to the one of the g.s. The large ratio $B(E1)/B(E2)$ and the increase of the moment of inertia indicate the presence of the octupole two-phonon component. If a state has a relatively weak $B(E2)$ value and also a weak two-nucleon transfer strength, but exhibits an increase of the moment of inertia, it should be a state with one dominant $2qp$ configuration. The pairing vibrational excitations can be characterized by their large two-nucleon transfer strengths and relatively small $B(E2)$ values.

It is clear that the first and second 0^+ excited states cannot be the β-vibrational states as usually is observed in deformed rare-earth nuclei because their MoI are much larger than those of the g.s. and also their (p,t) strengths are large. The actual β vibrational state is observed at 1120 keV and it is excited very weakly in the (p,t) reaction. As we have seen, both the IBM and the QPM reproduce the 0^+ relative level reaction strength for the (p,t) reaction and the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratios of the decay of the lowest 0^+ states reasonably well. At the same time, the nature of 0^+ excitations in the QPM differs significantly from the one in the *spdf*-IBM. In all low-lying states of the QPM calculations, quadrupole phonons are dominant and the octupole phonons are predicted to play a relatively modest role, whereas the IBM predicts the lowest 0^+ state as having mainly $2pf$ bosons in their structure [\[18\]](#page-19-0). The analysis of the lowest quadrupole phonon wave function in the QPM reveals that the backward RPA amplitudes φ contribute considerably to the relative (p,t) reaction strength, thus indicating that the lowest excited 0^+ state describes pairing vibrations arising from g.s. fluctuations [\[27\]](#page-20-0).

For an additional hint to the nature of the lowest 0^+ states, we include Fig. [13](#page-18-0) with the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratio stemming from the lowest excited states in 228 Th and 230 Th. Intuitively, one would expect that a large $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratio might be characteristic for a two-octupole-phonon excitation, whereas a small ratio might indicate a shape oscillation. Such a picture is observed for ²²⁸Th: large $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratios for the $0₁⁺$ and $0₂⁺$ states and vanishing values for *β*- and *γ*-vibrational states. The assumption in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-20-0) that just the two-phonon structure is a reason for the very strong excitation of the $0₁⁺$ state in the (p,t) reaction has to be rejected, because the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratio for the 0^+_1 state in ²³⁰Th is small at strong excitation in the (p,t) reaction [or the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ ratio is no reliable manifestation of the two-phonon nature of a state].

In this aspect a comparison of the relative transfer strengths in the (p,t) reaction leading to the 0^+ states in ²²⁸Th and the $3/2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ states in ²²⁹Pa (data are taken from [\[3\]](#page-19-0)) has to be

TABLE VI. Experimental and calculated $B(E1)/B(E2)$ transition ratios in ²²⁸Th. The Weisskopf estimate of this ratio is $B(E1)/B(E2)$ = 2.9 b⁻¹ to be compared to listed values.

K_i^{π}	E_i		E_{f1}		E_{f2}	I_{f2}	Expt. $(10^{-4} b^{-1})$	$QPM (10^{-4} b^{-1})$
0^+	831.8	0^+	328.0	$\hspace{0.1mm}-\hspace{0.1mm}$	57.8	γ +	5.1(4)	2.25
0^+	938.6	0^+	328.0	$\overline{}$	57.8	γ +	6.7(6)	54.8
0^+	1175.5	2^+	328.0	$\overline{}$	186.8	4^+	0.06(3)	1.45

considered additionally (see Fig. 14). Because the g.s. spin in the target nucleus ²³¹Pa is $3/2^-$, just these spins are excited in a two-neutron $L = 0$ transfer. ²²⁹Pa can be regarded as ²²⁸Th plus a strongly coupled proton. The rotational band built on the first 3/2[−] excited state in 229Pa at 11.6 keV [\[33\]](#page-20-0) corresponds to the g.s. band in 228Th and is excited very strongly. The main component in the structure of the g.s. in the target nucleus ²³¹Pa is 1/2[530] [\[3\]](#page-19-0). Therefore, the levels excited in the $L = 0$ transfer are I^{π} , $K = 3/2^-$, 1/2 states and they are members of collective bands based on the state originating from coupling the $K^{\pi} = 1/2^{-}$ state to the ²²⁸Th core-excited states. Such bands, as identified in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-19-0), can be used to derive the MoI for at least three 3/2⁻ states. Values of J/\hbar^2 in MeV⁻¹ are given below (energies in ²²⁹Pa are relative to the lowest $3/2^$ state):

229Pa : **79***.***2**(0.0 keV), **78***.***4**(703 keV), **127***.***5**(830 keV), **89***.***3**(1524 keV); 228Th : **51***.***9**(0.0 keV), **70***.***3**(832 keV), **73***.***2**(939 keV).

The MoI in 229Pa are larger than those in 228Th, which is a manifestation of the contribution of the odd proton. The large moment of inertia for the 830.5-keV state can probably be explained (at least partly) by neglecting the Coriolis

coupling when fitting the energies of the corresponding band. Nevertheless, the increment of the moment of inertia for the state at 830.5 keV relative to other states in 229 Pa can be put in correspondence to similar increments for the states at 831.8 and 938.6 keV relative to the g.s. in 228 Th. However, this state, as well as other low-lying states in 229 Pa, are only weakly excited in contrast to the strong excitation of the state 831.8 keV in 228Th. At the same time, the 3/2[−] state at 1523.7 keV is excited strongly, but it cannot be put in correspondence to the first excited state in 228Th: There is practically no increment of its moment of inertia relative to the lowest 3/2[−] state. Besides that, its energy is almost twice larger than the first excited 0^+ state in ²²⁸Th.

From the insufficient information we can only conclude that the 831.8-keV state in ²²⁸Th has the largest pairing vibrational component and in 229Pa the additional proton has the effect that the largest pairing vibrational component is moved to the 1523.7-keV state. No theoretical explanation was undertaken since the publication of the experimental results on ^{229}Pa [\[3\]](#page-19-0). It would be interesting to undertake the theoretical analysis of the excitations with the $L = 0^+$ transfer in odd nuclei and first of all in the 229Pa nucleus. Experimental study of excitations

FIG. 13. Comparison of the $B(E1)/B(E2)$ values for the lowest excited 0^+ levels in ²²⁸Th and ²³⁰Th.

FIG. 14. Comparison of the (p,t) cross sections for the 0^+ states in ²²⁸Th and $3/2^-$ states in ²²⁹Pa relative to the cross sections for the g.s. in ²²⁸Th and for the lowest $3/2^-$ state in ²²⁹Pa (in percent). Energies in 229Pa are given relative to the lowest 3/2[−] state.

in other odd nuclei, as we have seen, may promise unexpected phenomena.

As for the experimental evidence of the nature of other $0⁺$ states, we have only the MoI derived from the sequences of states treated as rotational bands and thus only tentative conclusions can be drawn about their structure. In contrast to 230 Th [3], for which they are distributed almost uniformly over the region from 47 to 98 MeV⁻¹, the MoI in ²²⁸Th have values close to 50 MeV⁻¹ only for the g.s., β -vibrational 0⁺ states, and the state at 1531.7 keV; all other 0^+ states have larger values from 70 to 95 MeV−1. This fact can indicate that corresponding states are possibly of two-phonon nature too, or two-quasiparticle states with an admixture of the pairing vibrations.

IV. CONCLUSION

Excited states in ²²⁸Th have been studied in (p,t) transfer reactions. One hundred and six levels were assigned, using a DWBA fit procedure; additionally only the energies are determined for 57 states. Among them, 17 excited 0^+ states have been found in this nucleus up to an energy 2.5 MeV; most of them have not been experimentally observed before. Their accumulated strength makes up for more than 70 % of the g.s. strength. Firm assignments have been made for most of the 2^+ and 4^+ states and for some of the 6^+ states. These assignments made it possible to suggest multiplets of states, which can be treated as one- and two-phonon octupole quadruplets and to identify the sequences of states, which have the features of

- [1] J. V. Maher, J. R. Erskine, A. M. Friedman, R. H. Siemssen, and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. C **5**[, 1380 \(1972\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.5.1380)
- [2] J. Dalmasso, H. Maria, and G. Ardisson, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.36.2510) **36**, 2510 [\(1987\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.36.2510)
- [3] A. I. Levon, J. de Boer, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, D. Hofer, J. Kvasil, A. Lösch, E. Müller-Zanotti, M. Würkner, H. Baltzer, V. Grafen, and C. Günther, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(94)90260-7) **576**, 267 (1994).
- [4] H.-F. Wirth, G. Graw, S. Christen, D. Cutoiu, Y. Eisermann, C. Günther, R. Hertenberger, J. Jolie, A. I. Levon, O. Möller, G. Thiamova, P. Thirolf, D. Tonev, and N. V. Zamfir, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.044310) C **69**[, 044310 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.044310)
- [5] H. Baltzer, J. de Boer, A. Gollwitzer, G. Graw, C. Gunther, A. I. Levon, M. Loewe, H. J. Maier, J. Manns, U. Muller, B. D. ¨ Valnion, T. Weber, and M. Würkner, Z. Phys. A 356[, 13 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002180050142)
- [6] A. I. Levon, G. Graw, Y. Eisermann, R. Hertenberger, J. Jolie, N. Yu. Shirikova, A. E. Stuchbery, A. V. Sushkov, P. G. Thirolf, H.-F. Wirth, and N. V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. C **79**[, 014318 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.014318)
- [7] T. Weber, J. de Boer, K. Freitag, J. Gröger, C. Günther, P. Herzog, V. G. Soloviev, A. V. Sushkov, and N. Yu. Shirikova, [Eur. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050146) J. A **3**[, 25 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050146)
- [8] P. Schuler, Ch. Lauterbach, Y. K. Agarwal, J. De Boer, K. P. Blume, P. A. Butler, K. Euler, Ch. Fleischmann, C. Günther, E. Hauber, H. J. Maier, M. Marten-Tolle, Ch. Schandera, R. S. Simon, R. Tolle, and P. Zeyen, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(86)91091-9) **174**, 241 (1986).
- [9] E. Zanotti, M. Bisenberger, R. Hertenberger, H. Kader, and G. Graw, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(91)91123-D) **310**, 706 (1991).
- [10] H.-F. Wirth, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität München, 2001.

rotational bands with definite inertial parameters. Moments of inertia are derived from these sequences. Only for the g.s. and β -vibrational states and additionally for the state at 1531.7 keV (for which the shape of the angular distribution is different from most other ones), the MoI are about 50 MeV⁻¹. For all other states they are larger than 70 MeV−1, i.e., the value for the first excited 0^+ state. This information, together with the spectroscopic information on some γ transitions, were used for conclusions on the nature of the 0^+ states. The experimental data have been compared to *spdf*-IBM and QPM calculations. Spectroscopic factors from the (p,t) reaction, and the trend in their change with excitation energy, are approximately reproduced by both the IBM and the QPM for the 0^+ states. A remarkable feature of the IBM and QPM is the prediction of strong first vibrational excitations, close in magnitude and position to the experimental ones. Giving also an approximately correct number of 0^+ states, these models provide different predictions for the structure of these states. The lack of additional information does not allow for final conclusions on the validity of the theoretical approaches. Challenging experiments on γ spectroscopy following (p,t) reactions would give much needed information.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by the DFG (Grants No. C4- Gr894/2-3, No. Gu179/3, No. Jo391/2-3, and No. Zl510/4-2), MLL, and US-DOE, Contract No. DE-FG02-91ER-40609, and Project Reg. No. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0082.

- [11] F. Riess, Beschleunigerlaboratorium München, Annual Report, 1991, p. 168.
- [12] F. D. Becchetti and G. W. Greenlees, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.182.1190) **182**, 1190 [\(1969\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.182.1190)
- [13] E. R. Flynn, D. D. Amstrong, J. G. Beery, and A. G. Blair, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.182.1113)* Rev. **182**[, 1113 \(1969\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.182.1113)
- [14] F. D. Becchetti and G. W. Greenlees, in *Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Polarization Phenomena in Nuclear Reactions*, *Madison, 1970*, edited by H. H. Barshall and W. Haeberli (University of Wisconsin Press, Medison, 1971), p. 68.
- [15] P. D. Kunz, computer code CHUCK3, University of Colorado (unpublished).
- [16] D. G. Burke, B. L. W. Maddaock, and W. F. Davidson, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(85)80025-7) Phys. A **442**[, 424 \(1985\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(85)80025-7)
- [17] J. Engel and F. Iachello, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(87)90220-X) **472**, 61 (1987).
- [18] N. V. Zamfir and D. Kusnezov, Phys. Rev. C **63**[, 054306 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.054306)
- [19] N. V. Zamfir and D. Kusnezov, Phys. Rev. C **67**[, 014305 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.014305)
- [20] S. Pascu, N. V. Zamfir, Gh. Căta-Danil, and N. Mărginean, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.054321) Rev. C **81**[, 054321 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.054321)
- [21] D. Kusnezov, J. Phys. A **23**[, 5673 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/23/24/010)
- [22] D. Kusnezov, J. Phys. A **22**[, 4271 \(1989\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/22/20/008)
- [23] F. Iachello and A. Arima, *The Interacting Boson Model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1987).
- [24] S. Pascu *et al.* (unpublished).
- [25] D. Kusnezov, computer code OCTUPOLE (unpublished).
- [26] N. Lo Iudice, A. V. Sushkov, and N. Yu. Shirikova, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.064316) C **70**[, 064316 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.064316)
- [27] N. Lo Iudice, A. V. Sushkov, and N. Yu. Shirikova, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.034303) C **72**[, 034303 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.034303)
- [28] V. G. Soloviev, *Theory of Atomic Nuclei: Quasiparticles and Phonons* (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 1992).
- [29] A. V. Sushkov (private communication).
- [30] N. I. Pyatov, Ark. Fys. **36**, 667 (1967).
- [31] V. G. Soloviev, *Theory of Complex Nuclei* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1976).
- [32] G. Graw, Y. Eisermann, R. Hertenberger, H.-F. Wirth, S. Christen, O. Moeller, D. Tonev, J. Jolie, C. Guenther, A. I. Levon, and N. V. Zamfir, in *International Conference on Nuclear Reaction Mechanisms*, *Universita Milano, Varenna, Italy, June 9–13, 2003*, edited by E. Gadioly, p. 267.
- [33] A. I. Levon, J. de Boer, M. Loewe, M. Wŕkner, T. Czosnyka, J. Iwanicki, and P. J. Napiorkowski, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050083) **2**, 9 [\(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100500050083)