

Mass of ^{11}O

H. T. Fortune

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

(Received 2 June 2013; published 21 June 2013)

A recent parametrization of two-proton separation energies in O and Ne nuclei allows a prediction of the energy of $^{11}\text{O}(\text{g.s.})$: $S_{2p} = -5.41(11)$ MeV, which is considerably more unbound than another recent estimate.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.87.067306](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.067306)

PACS number(s): 21.10.Dr, 21.10.Sf, 21.60.Cs, 27.20.+n

I recently considered a series of $N = 8$ and 10 neutron-excess nuclei and their $Z = 8$ and 10 proton-excess mirrors [1]. The $N = 8$ and 10 nuclei included were those whose ground states (g.s.) consisted of a two-neutron configuration-mixed 0^+ pair coupled to a predominantly p -shell core. The mirror energy difference (MED) was defined as the difference between the two-neutron separation energy in the neutron-excess nucleus and the two-proton separation energy in the proton excess mirror:

$$\text{MED} = S_{2n}(\text{neutron-excess nucleus}) - S_{2p}(\text{proton-excess mirror}).$$

I noted that these MED's could be well described by a simple parametrization,

$$\text{MED} = [a + bS_{2n} - cP(s^2)]Z_{<}/A^{1/3},$$

where $P(s^2)$ is the fractional parentage in the $2s_{1/2}$ orbital, normalized such that $P(s^2) + P(d^2) + P(p \text{ shell}) = 1$, and $Z_{<}$ is 6 and 8 for O and Ne nuclei, respectively. Values of S_{2p} computed [1] from the fit agreed remarkably well with experimental S_{2p} 's [2]. I used the fit to estimate $P(s^2)$ for ^{13}B and to predict the mass excess of $^{15}\text{Ne}(\text{g.s.})$. Here, I apply the procedure to the $^{11}\text{Li}/^{11}\text{O}$ mirror pair.

The nucleus ^{11}Li [3] is by far the most studied of the so-called halo nuclei. Most treatments consider it as a loosely bound $2n$ pair ($S_{2n} = 0.369$ MeV [2]) coupled to a p -shell ^9Li core. Calculations and opinions vary as to the amount of s^2 in the $2n$ wave function and as to whether a d^2 component should be included. A recent summary [4] included the relevant references. Estimates of $P(s^2)$ vary from about 0.23 to about 0.50, although values of 0 and 1 have also been considered. Examination of the matter radius [5–7] led us to suggest $P(s^2) = 0.33(6)$ [4]. With this value of P and the fit from Ref. [1], the expected S_{2p} is $-5.41(11)$ MeV. If $P(s^2)$ were 1, the value would be -4.12 MeV. These results are listed in Table I.

Charity *et al.* [8] recently observed the double-isobaric-analog state (DIAS) of ^{11}Li in ^{11}B at an excitation energy of

TABLE I. Two-nucleon separation energies (MeV) of $^{11}\text{Li}/^{11}\text{O}$ ground states.

Nucleus	Experimental		Nucleus	Calculated		Reference
	S_{2n}^a	$P(s^2)$		S_{2p}	S_{2p}	
^{11}Li	0.369	0.33(6) ^b	^{11}O	$-5.41(11)^d$	Present	
		1.0 ^c		-4.12^d	Present	
				$-3.21(84)^e$	Charity <i>et al.</i> [8]	

^aReference [2].

^bReference [4].

^cLimiting value.

^dFrom fit in Ref. [1].

^eUsing IMME and energies of lowest $T = 5/2$ states in ^{11}Li , ^{11}Be , and ^{11}B [8].

$33.57(8)$ MeV. They fitted the masses of the three known $A = 11$, $T = 5/2$ states (^{11}Li , $^{11}\text{Be}^*$, and $^{11}\text{B}^{**}$) to the quadratic form of the isobaric multiplet mass equation (IMME) and then used the resulting parameters to compute the mass excess of ^{11}O . Their result was a mass excess of $46.70(84)$ MeV, which corresponds to $S_{2p} = -3.21(84)$ MeV (Table I). Their value and mine differ by about 2.6σ . This large difference is unsettling. Their result is 1.1σ away from my result at the extreme of $P(s^2) = 1$. Their use of the quadratic IMME may be somewhat suspect because the masses of the $A = 9$, $T = 3/2$ cores require a small nonzero cubic term ($d \neq 0$). This fact might affect the ^{11}O calculation.

Because the ^{11}O energy is so large, and because some of the relevant ^{10}N states are at relatively lower energy [9], the decay of ^{11}O will primarily be sequential $2p$ decay through one or more of the ^{10}N resonances. The decay width will depend sensitively on the ^{11}O mass and on the energies and widths of the ^{10}N resonances—at least the lowest two s -wave (1^- and 2^-) and perhaps the two lowest p -wave (1^+ and 2^+) resonances. As pointed out by the compilers [9], the 1^+ is probably the state known [10] at $E_p = 2.64(40)$ MeV. The lowest s -wave state should be at about $E_p = 1.8$ MeV [9,11]. Nothing is known about the other two ^{10}N resonances.

[1] H. T. Fortune, *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 1342 (2013).

[2] G. Audi and W. Meng (private communication).

[3] J. H. Kelley, E. Kwan, J. E. Purcell, C. G. Sheu, and H. R. Weller, *Nucl. Phys. A* **880**, 88 (2012).

[4] H. T. Fortune and R. Sherr, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **48**, 103 (2012).

[5] I. Tanihata *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 2676 (1985).

[6] A. Ozawa, T. Suzuki, and I. Tanihata, *Nucl. Phys. A* **693**, 32 (2001).

[7] J. S. Al-Khalili and J. A. Tostevin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3903 (1996).

[8] R. J. Charity *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **86**, 041307(R) (2012).

[9] D. R. Tilley *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **745**, 155 (2004).

[10] A. Lépine-Szily *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 054318 (2002).

[11] R. Sherr and H. T. Fortune, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 054333 (2013).