Isospin transport in ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{112,124}\text{Sn}$ collisions at Fermi energies

S. Barlini,^{1,2} S. Piantelli,¹ G. Casini,¹ P. R. Maurenzig,^{1,2} A. Olmi,^{1,*} M. Bini,^{1,2} S. Carboni,^{1,2} G. Pasquali,^{1,2} G. Poggi,^{1,2}

A. A. Stefanini,^{1,2} R. Bougault,³ E. Bonnet,⁴ B. Borderie,⁵ A. Chbihi,⁴ J. D. Frankland,⁴ D. Gruyer,⁴ O. Lopez,³

N. Le Neindre,³ M. Pârlog,^{3,6} M. F. Rivet,⁵ E. Vient,³ E. Rosato,⁷ G. Spadaccini,⁷ M. Vigilante,⁷ M. Bruno,⁸

T. Marchi,⁹ L. Morelli,⁸ M. Cinausero,⁹ M. Degerlier,⁹ F. Gramegna,⁹ T. Kozik,¹⁰ T. Twaróg,¹⁰ R. Alba,¹¹

C. Maiolino, 11 and D. Santonocito 11

(FAZIA Collaboration)

¹*Sezione INFN di Firenze, Via G. Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy*

²*Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita di Firenze, Via G. Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy `*

³*LPC, IN2P3-CNRS, ENSICAEN et Universite de Caen, F-14050 Caen Cedex, France ´*

⁴*GANIL, CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3, Boˆıte Postale 5027, F-14076 Caen Cedex, France*

⁵*Institut de Physique Nucleaire, CNRS/IN2P3, Universit ´ e Paris-Sud 11, F-91406 Orsay Cedex, France ´*

⁶*Horia Hulubei, National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, RO-077125 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania ˘*

⁷*Sezione INFN di Napoli and Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita di Napoli "Federico II," I 80126 Napoli, Italy `*

⁸*Sezione INFN di Bologna and Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy `*

⁹*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Viale dell'Universita 2, 35020 Legnaro (Padova), Italy `*

¹⁰*Jagiellonian University, Institute of Nuclear Physics IFJ-PAN, PL-31342 Krakow, Poland ´*

¹¹*INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Via S. Sofia 62, 95125 Catania, Italy*

(Received 21 January 2013; published 13 May 2013)

Isotopically resolved fragments with $Z\lesssim20$ have been studied with a high-resolution telescope in a test run for the FAZIA Collaboration. The fragments were produced by the collision of a ⁸⁴Kr beam at 35 MeV/nucleon with a neutron-rich (124 Sn) and a neutron-poor (112 Sn) target. The fragments, detected close to the grazing angle, are mainly emitted from the phase-space region of the projectile. The fragment isotopic content clearly depends on the neutron richness of the target and this is direct evidence of isospin diffusion between projectile and target. The observed enhanced neutron richness of light fragments emitted from the phase-space region close to the center of mass of the system can be interpreted as an effect of isospin drift in the diluted neck region.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.87.054607](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.054607) PACS number(s): 25.70.Lm, 25.70.Mn

I. INTRODUCTION

The production of many fragments with different sizes is one of the main features of heavy-ion reactions at bombarding energies higher than 15–20 MeV/nucleon. The mechanisms governing their production have been extensively investigated in the past. When the primary fragments produced in the interaction are sufficiently excited, their detection occurs after a deexcitation phase that may strongly alter their original identity. Various deexcitation processes are indeed possible and they depend both on the initial conditions and on the internal structure of the nuclei involved in the deexcitation path.

In recent years many experimental and theoretical (see [\[1–5\]](#page-5-0) and references therein) efforts have been devoted to the investigation of the neutron-to-proton ratio N/Z (often called isospin) degree of freedom and to unraveling its influence on the reaction dynamics and on the subsequent decay processes. This was obtained either by using reaction partners with different isospin content or by comparing data from reactions involving different isotopic combinations of the projectile and/or of the target $[6–14]$. From an experimental point of view, this kind of investigation requires detectors capable of

good isotopic identification of the reaction products over an extended Z range.

The study of the isospin content of the emitted fragments and light particles, possibly complemented by a characterization of their emitting source $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$, gives clues on different processes of isospin transport. One, called isospin "diffusion," is related to the isospin asymmetry of a system in which projectile and target have different N/Z values $[4-6, 10-12, 17-19]$; the other, called isospin "drift" (or "migration"), is related to the density gradient which is expected to exist in the "neck" region, even between two identical nuclei [\[3](#page-5-0)[,16,17,20–22\]](#page-6-0). In both cases the experimental observables associated with the isospin content of the reaction products can be used to extract information on the symmetry energy term of the nuclear equation of state, via comparison with theoretical models [\[1,3–5,9–11,14,](#page-5-0)[17–19,23–27\]](#page-6-0).

Many experiments have provided evidence of isospin transport in dissipative collisions both at low energies (see for instance [\[28,29\]](#page-6-0)) and at Fermi energies [\[2,10–15](#page-5-0)[,19,20,30\]](#page-6-0). In this paper we show some results obtained by bombarding with a 84Kr beam at 35 MeV/nucleon two targets with different isospin: 112 Sn and 124 Sn. In the following we will often use "*n*-poor" and "*n*-rich" system to refer to the collision of the Kr beam with these two different targets. Although the light complex fragments detected in our experiment originate mainly from the quasiprojectile source, their isospin content shows a clear dependence on the N/Z of the target.

^{*}Corresponding author: olmi@fi.infn.it

II. EXPERIMENT

The data presented in this paper have been collected by the FAZIA Collaboration [\[31\]](#page-6-0) at the Superconducting Cyclotron of the Laboratori Nazionali del Sud (LNS) of INFN, in Catania, during a recent test experiment [\[32\]](#page-6-0). A pulsed beam $[\delta t \approx 1$ ns full width at half maximum (FWHM)] of ⁸⁴Kr at 35 MeV/nucleon impinged on isotopically enriched targets of 112 Sn (415 μ g/cm²) and 124 Sn (600 μ g/cm²). The N/Z of the beam was 1.33, intermediate between that of the two targets of 112 Sn ($N/Z = 1.24$) and 124 Sn ($N/Z = 1.48$). In the past these systems (and other similar Kr- or Sn-induced reactions, in direct or reverse kinematics) have been the subject of extensive investigation at comparable bombarding energies by other groups [\[9–15,](#page-5-0)[19,24\]](#page-6-0), so that they represent a good benchmark for a test experiment.

Here we analyze the data from a three-element telescope [Si1-Si2-CsI(Tl)] located at an angle of 5.4◦ and at 100 cm distance from the target. The silicon detectors (manufactured by FBK [\[33\]](#page-6-0)) were of the ion-implanted neutron-transmutationdoped (n-TD) type, with bulk resistivity values in the range 3000–4000 Ω cm and good doping uniformity (of the order of 3% FWHM [\[34\]](#page-6-0)). The silicon layers were obtained from "random" cut wafers (about 7° off the $\langle 100 \rangle$ axis) to minimize channeling effects [\[35\]](#page-6-0). They were in transmission mounting, with dead layers on both sides of ∼500–800 nm, and had an active area of 20×20 mm². The thickness of Si1 and Si2 was 305 and 510 μ m, respectively, with a measured nonuniformity of the order of 1 μ m. The CsI(Tl) crystal (manufactured by Amcrys [\[36\]](#page-6-0)) was 10 cm thick, with an excellent doping uniformity (of the order of 5%, with the nominal Tl concentration being about 1500 ppm), and it was read out by a photodiode. The telescope was equipped with custom-built high-quality electronics. More details on the characteristics of the setup and on the obtained performances are given elsewhere [\[32,34,35,37–40\]](#page-6-0). Here we briefly reiterate that the charge and current signals produced in low-noise preamplifiers [\[41\]](#page-6-0), mounted in vacuum next to the detectors, are sampled by fast digital boards purposely built by the FAZIA group. For each detector, the sampled signals are then stored for off-line analysis. Energy information from the two silicon detectors was obtained by means of trapezoidal shaping of the digitized signals (see [\[38\]](#page-6-0) for details). For energy calibration, the "punch-through energies" [\[42\]](#page-6-0) of light identified ions were used, as described also in [\[38\]](#page-6-0).

In this work we concentrate on identified fragments ($Z \ge 3$) that are stopped in the second silicon layer or in the CsI(Tl). The kinetic energy of fragments stopped in Si2 is the sum of the two silicon energies, $E_{\text{sum}} = E_1 + E_2$. When the fragment reaches the CsI(Tl) crystal, its full kinetic energy E is estimated from E_{sum} (which is now the energy loss over the known total thickness of the two silicon detectors) with the help of range-energy tables [\[43–45\]](#page-6-0), whose proper use requires knowledge of Z and A of the ion.

The particle identification is given by the ridges in the correlations E_1-E_2 between the energies of the two silicon layers or E_{sum} -LO between the silicon energy and the light output of the CsI(Tl). The linearization of the ridges gives the particle identification (PI). The high quality of the detectors

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) PI spectrum for fragments passing the first silicon detector and stopped in the second one or in the CsI(Tl) crystal, for the reaction ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$; the inset is an expansion of the region $Z = 11-15$. (b) PI spectra for carbon isotopes in the reactions ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ (black histogram) and ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ (dashed red histogram); the spectra are normalized to the same total number of counts of C. (c) Same as (b), but for Mg isotopes.

and of the dedicated electronics allows isotopic resolution up to $Z \approx 20$ (close to the limit reported in [\[38\]](#page-6-0)), as shown in Fig. $1(a)$ by the PI spectrum for the *n*-rich target. Figures $1(b)$ and $1(c)$ are the PI spectra for C and Mg isotopes, respectively. The black solid histograms correspond to the n -rich target and the red dashed ones to the n-poor target. For each element, the two histograms are normalized to the same number of counts. One sees at first glance that the isotopic composition is different in the two reactions. For each element, mass values are assigned to the PI peaks by comparing the isotopic ridges in the already mentioned correlations with the theoretical lines calculated from energy-loss tables.

III. RESULTS

The telescope spanned the angular range from about 4.8[°] to 6◦, just beyond the grazing angles of the two reactions (estimated to be about 4.1 \degree and 4.0 \degree for the *n*-poor and n -rich systems, respectively); therefore its position was well suited for a good sampling of a large variety of fragments, mainly originating from the quasiprojectile (QP) phase space. We want to stress that the beam and the setup are the same, the kinematics is very similar, and the only relevant difference between the two systems is the neutron number of the target nucleus. Since we are mainly dealing with fragments originating from the QP phase space, any substantial difference between the two sets of data has to be attributed to a transport of isospin between projectile and target.

From the large number of experiments performed during many years of investigation of heavy-ion collisions in the Fermi energy regime, we now know that (a) in peripheral and mid-central collisions we mainly deal with binary dissipative

FIG. 2. (Color online) Charge vs laboratory velocity for $Z \ge 3$ fragments passing the first silicon detector in the reaction 84 Kr + 124 Sn. Arrows indicate the center-of-mass and beam velocities. The red dashed line is the expected threshold due to the first silicon detector.

collisions producing excited quasiprojectiles and quasitargets (QTs); (b) their decay is dominated by evaporation, in competition with fissionlike processes, especially for massive nuclei or large excitations; (c) the most central collisions involve fusionlike phenomena, with the formation of a big transient system, which may then undergo a multifragmentation decay; and (d) nonequilibrium phenomena are present, consisting in the rapid emission of light reaction products usually interpreted as neck emissions $[46,47]$ or in the occurrence of fissionlike processes retaining some memory of the preceding dynamics (fast oriented fission $[15,48-50]$ $[15,48-50]$), with a possible continuous evolution into equilibrated fission [\[30\]](#page-6-0).

The origin of the detected reaction products is often deduced from the correlation charge versus laboratory velocity (see, e.g., $[2,15,51,52]$ $[2,15,51,52]$). An example is shown in Fig. 2 for the reaction ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ (and a similar plot is obtained also for ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$). The laboratory velocity is deduced from the measured energy, using the identified mass (up to $Z \sim 20$) or the mass estimated from the Evaporation Attractor Line (EAL) [\[53\]](#page-6-0) for heavier elements.

The dashed line indicates the estimated Z-dependent threshold due to the requirement of passing through the first silicon detector. The arrows, corresponding to the velocities of the center of mass and of the beam (33.2 and 82.2 mm/ns, respectively), indicate that practically all measured fragments are forward-emitted in the center-of-mass system and that the velocities of the heavier ones are not too different from that of the projectile. Therefore one can infer that the fragments originate indeed from the QPs, with almost no contamination from the QTs (since in the analysis we reject very light fragments with $v_{\rm lab}$ < 40 mm/ns), and that there could be—at most—some contribution from the "neck region" (i.e., the phase-space region corresponding to the contact zone of the colliding nuclei).

From the quasielastic peak (near $Z = 36$ and $v = 82.2$ mm/ns), an evident ridge (only marginally affected by the threshold) develops toward lower velocities and lighter fragments. This is a characteristic feature of binary dissipative collisions [\[15,](#page-5-0)[54\]](#page-6-0): with decreasing velocity, the QP excitation increases, so that it is detected as a lighter QP remnant [\[20,55\]](#page-6-0) after a long decay chain. Indeed statistical calculations with the code GEMINI $[56]$ show that an excited 84 Kr nucleus, with a typical excitation energy of 300 MeV and spin 30^h , ends up

in a bell-shaped distribution centered at $Z \sim 28$, with a tail extending down to $Z \sim 20$. Of course, because of possibly early dynamical emissions, the evaporating primary QP can be somewhat lighter than the projectile; as an example, in ${}^{64}Zn + {}^{64}Zn$ at 45 MeV/nucleon [\[20\]](#page-6-0) the reconstructed primary QP charges were \sim 20% smaller than $Z = 30$, already for moderate dissipations. In Fig. 2 the most probable velocity of the ridge saturates at ∼75 mm/ns in correspondence with the broad charge distribution visible around $Z = 15-25$. Assuming a binary kinematics, as was done in [\[9\]](#page-5-0), one would estimate a dissipation of about 500 MeV and an average excitation energy per nucleon of about 2.4 MeV. Here one can expect a sizable contribution from excited QPs undergoing a fissionlike breakup, with a wide range of charge asymmetries. Finally, in the region of intermediate mass fragments (IMFs, with $3 \leqslant Z \leqslant 16$), the velocity distribution spreads out, spanning a wider range (especially toward lower velocities, where it is more influenced by the detection threshold), while the most probable velocity value shows a weak increasing trend. This region is likely populated by the already mentioned neck emissions or by very asymmetric, possibly nonequilibrated, fissionlike processes [\[15](#page-5-0)[,48–50\]](#page-6-0)).

Further insight into the reaction processes can be gained by looking at the inclusive charge distributions of Fig. 3, which have been normalized in the range $18 \le Z \le 28$, where a fragment is either a QP remnant or the heavier fragment of a binary split of the QP. (Quasielastic fragments with $Z \ge 29$ are not used because their yield is too sensitive to the small difference in grazing angle between the two reactions or to an exact alignment of the beam.) This normalization roughly corresponds to considering the same number of inelastic binary or quasibinary events. The comparison of the two distributions clearly shows that the *n*-poor system 84 Kr + 112 Sn produces appreciably more IMFs. This is possibly due to the fact that in the *n*-poor system the breakup into lighter fragments with $Z < 15$, either by fission or fragmentation, is favored with respect to the *n*-rich system $[57]$.

The good isotopic resolution of the telescope allows us to investigate the isotopic composition of the fragments. For each element from $Z = 3$ to $Z = 20$, Fig. [4](#page-3-0) shows the relative probability of observing the various isotopes in the collision of 84 Kr with 112 Sn (red full dots) and 124 Sn (black open dots). As

FIG. 3. (Color online) Inclusive charge distribution of fragments $(Z \ge 3)$ produced in the reactions of ⁸⁴Kr on ¹²⁴Sn (black open dots) and 112Sn (red solid dots) at 35 MeV/nucleon. The distributions are normalized in the region $18 \le Z \le 28$ (see text).

FIG. 4. (Color online) Relative probability of isotopic population for elements with $Z = 3-20$, obtained by normalizing each isotopic distribution to unity. The data are from the reactions ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ (open black dots) and ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ (solid red dots) at 35 MeV/nucleon and from $136Xe + H$ (blue triangles) at 1 GeV/nucleon [\[58\]](#page-6-0). Bars represent statistical errors.

observed in the case of the C and Mg isotopes of Figs. $1(b)$ and $1(c)$, one finds—for all fragments and not only for the lighter ones—that the *n*-rich side is more populated for 124 Sn than for 112 Sn and, vice versa, the *n*-poor side is more populated for 112 Sn than for 124 Sn.

A more quantitative estimate of the different contributions of the two reactions to the *n*-rich and *n*-poor sides of the isotope distributions of Fig. 4 is given by the average number of neutrons per charge unit, $\langle N \rangle/Z$. This is an isospin-sensitive variable which has been often used in the literature. Values of $\langle N \rangle / Z$ are shown in Fig. 5(a) as a function of Z for the two reactions studied in this paper. In the n -rich system this ratio is systematically higher than in the n -poor one, by an amount of about 0.03–0.05. Since the largest part of the observed fragments belongs to the QP region of the phase space (see Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0), the observed difference clearly demonstrates the action of an isospin diffusion mechanism: the different isospin of the detected fragments depends on the n -richness of the target with which the projectile has interacted.

We can compare our results with published isotope-resolved cross sections (from mass spectrometer measurements at high bombarding energies), although some caution is required due to our thresholds (see Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). The blue triangles of Fig. 4 refer to published data [\[58\]](#page-6-0) for the spallation of 1 GeV/nucleon

FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) $\langle N \rangle / Z$ as a function of Z for the reaction ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ (black open dots) and ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ (red full dots) at 35 MeV/nucleon. Statistical errors are smaller than dot size. (b) Comparison of $\langle N \rangle/Z$ for 35 MeV/nucleon ⁸⁴Kr + ¹²⁴Sn (black open dots), 1 GeV/nucleon $^{136}Xe + H$ (blue triangles [\[58\]](#page-6-0)), and 1 GeV/nucleon $^{136}Xe + Pb$ (open squares [\[59\]](#page-6-0)), computed in the same common range of isotopes.

 136 Xe nuclei impinging on a hydrogen target. The data of [\[58\]](#page-6-0) are not very different from the results of this paper: the main difference is that the abundance of isotopes on the n-rich side of the distribution is further increased with respect to our 124 Sn (by an amount of the order of the difference between our two systems), and the abundance of isotopes on the n-poor side is further depressed with respect to our ¹¹²Sn (by about the same quantity). The similarity with our results is quite surprising if one considers two facts: first, spallation is a reaction mechanism completely different from that of our collisions and, second, the isospin of ¹³⁶Xe ($N/Z = 1.52$) is considerably larger than not only the 84 Kr beam (1.33) but also the equilibrium value (1.42) of our system. These observations suggest that the final fragment isospin content bears little dependence on the preceding dynamics, but it retains memory of the original neutron richness.

In Fig. $5(b)$, the isospin-sensitive variable $\langle N \rangle/Z$ deduced from our *n*-rich system ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ (black open dots) is compared with that from the $136Xe$ spallation (blue triangles, (58)) and the ¹³⁶Xe + Pb collision (open squares, [\[59\]](#page-6-0); data available only for $Z \ge 10$) at 1 GeV/nucleon. Because of incomplete isotopic distributions in some set of data (see, e.g., the lack of ⁷Be and of *n*-rich isotopes with $Z = 7-12$ for the data of [\[58\]](#page-6-0) in Fig. 4), a more meaningful comparison is obtained in Fig. 5(b) by computing $\langle N \rangle/Z$ only from the isotopes that are common to the various sets of data. Remarkably, the lightest fragments of [\[58\]](#page-6-0) display a behavior very similar to that of our data. Above $Z \approx 8$, the only significant difference is that the fragments from the high-energy $136Xe$ reactions present just slightly higher values of $\langle N \rangle/Z$ with respect

FIG. 6. (Color online) $\langle N \rangle/Z$ as a function of the laboratory velocity for the reaction ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ (black points) and ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ (red points). Each panel refers to a different element from $Z = 3$ to $Z = 20$. Error bars combine statistical errors and uncertainties in the isotope identification.

to the ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ reaction. Similar differences with target isospin have been observed in the reactions ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{92,98}\text{Mo}$ at 22 MeV/nucleon [\[60\]](#page-6-0). However, those data are not included in Fig. $5(b)$, because it is not specified which isotopes were detected. In contrast, in the reactions ${}^{86}Kr + {}^{27}Al$, ${}^{86}Kr + {}^{103}Rh$, and ${}^{86}\text{Kr} + {}^{197}\text{Au}$ at 44 MeV/nucleon, apparently no clear target dependence was observed [\[61\]](#page-6-0).

One may wonder whether there is a difference in the isospin content of the fragments produced in the ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{124}\text{Sn}$ and 84 Kr + 112 Sn reactions, depending on the phase-space region they belong to. For this purpose, Fig. 6 shows the evolution of $\langle N \rangle$ /Z for each element (from $Z = 3$ up to $Z = 20$) as a function of the laboratory velocity of the fragments. The most evident effect is that, again, the black open dots $(n$ -rich system) are always above the red full dots (*n*-poor system). This can be interpreted as an effect of the isospin diffusion, due to the interaction of the projectile with targets of different isospin content. The second clear observation is that for light ions $\langle N \rangle$ /Z rapidly decreases with increasing velocity, while it displays a rather flat behavior for heavier ions. The third point worth noting is that the highest values of $\langle N \rangle/Z$ of fragments with $Z = 3-4$ are reached at the smallest laboratory velocities (close to that of the center of mass).

Given the experiment geometry $(4.8° \le \theta_{lab} \le 6°)$, the fragments with large velocities (of the order of that of the beam) are likely to be emitted in the forward direction from an excited QP, while those with lower velocities are expected to be emitted by the same QP in the backward direction, with possible contributions from mid-velocity (or neck) emissions [\[46,62,63\]](#page-6-0). In fact, at Fermi energies, fragments may be produced not only by a fissionlike equilibrated decay of the QP (or QT) but also by the breakup of an elongated necklike structure [\[64\]](#page-6-0) formed between the QP and the QT. It has been shown [\[65,66\]](#page-6-0) that these fragments present a kind of "hierarchy effect": lighter fragments originating from the thinner central part of the rupturing neck have small velocities in the centerof-mass frame, while heavier fragments produced in thicker zones of the neck possess larger velocities, closer to (but still smaller than) that of the QP (or QT). Therefore, in this picture the low-velocity lightest fragments ($Z = 3, 4$, and partially 5) of Fig. 6 are probing the most central part of the neck and thus their higher values of $\langle N \rangle / Z$ could be an indication of isospin drift, namely, a neutron enrichment of the more diluted central region of the neck [\[17\]](#page-6-0). In contrast, heavier fragments with $Z \gtrsim 12$ have a low $\langle N \rangle/Z$ (around 1.15) with practically no dependence on the emission velocities, which however span a rather narrow range of about 20–30 mm/ns around $v_{\text{lab}} \approx 70 \text{ mm/ns}.$

It is interesting to compare the data of Fig. 6 with the results [\[14\]](#page-5-0) of the similar system $124\text{Sn} + {}^{64}\text{Ni}$ at 35 MeV/nucleon. In [\[14\]](#page-5-0) the assumed neck emissions and the more equilibrated decays of the QP have been selected on the basis of an angular correlation of the observed fragments. In our case, since we have only a single detected fragment, the selection is made on the basis of the laboratory velocity. In Fig. 7, the ratio

FIG. 7. (Color online) $\langle N \rangle / Z$ as a function of Z in the *n*-rich system (open black dots) and n -poor system (full red dots), for (a) $v_{\text{lab}} < 70$ mm/ns and (b) $v_{\text{lab}} \ge 70$ mm/ns. The blue triangles are the results of Ref. [\[14\]](#page-5-0). (c) Differences between backward and forward values of $\langle N \rangle$ /Z for the two reactions of this paper.

 $\langle N \rangle/Z$ as a function of Z is presented for $v_{\text{lab}} < 70$ mm/ns (a) and $v_{\text{lab}} \ge 70$ mm/ns (b) for the two systems measured in this work (open black and full red dots for the n -rich and n -poor systems, respectively). These two selections on v_{lab} roughly correspond to light fragments emitted in backward and forward directions in the frame of a QP. The blue triangles (available only for $3 \leq Z \leq 8$) are the data of [14], ascribed (a) to neck emissions or (b) to QP emissions. Although the selections are not exactly the same, the remarkable agreement with our data supports the interpretation that low-velocity light fragments are emitted from the neck.

One may further note that, in both systems, our data show no appreciable forward-backward effect for fragments above $Z \approx 12$. This is better seen from Fig. [7\(c\),](#page-4-0) which shows the difference $(\langle N \rangle / Z)_{\text{backw}} - (\langle N \rangle / Z)_{\text{forw}}$ for the *n*-rich and n-poor systems. Here the effects of the isospin diffusion mechanism, which in each system affects in the same way the forward- and backward-emitted isotopes, cancel out. Thus the positive signal that is apparent for the light fragments has to be considered a signature of isospin drift.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented data collected by the FAZIA Collaboration during a test experiment with a setup of small solid angle, but of high-quality performances in terms of isotopic resolution (up to $Z = 20$) for the systems ${}^{84}\text{Kr} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$ and 84 Kr + 124 Sn at 35 MeV/nucleon.

The angular geometry of the setup (located close to the grazing angles for both reactions) allows us to detect products originating from the quasiprojectile decay (including the quasiprojectile residue itself) and also from a phase-space region (close to the center of mass of the system) where a sizable contribution of light ions produced in the neck zone is expected.

Even with this simple setup, one can obtain significant information on isospin transport processes. For each element, the relative yields show an enhancement of n -rich isotopes for the interaction of ⁸⁴Kr with a ¹²⁴Sn target and, vice versa, an enhancement of n -poor isotopes for the interaction with a ^{112}Sn target. The fact that fragments emitted from the QP display a different neutron enrichment depending on the different isospin content of the targets is evidence of an isospin diffusion effect, i.e., the transport of nucleons between projectile and target with different N/Z during the interaction phase. The relative yields are quite similar to those obtained in [\[58\]](#page-6-0) for the spallation of 136Xe, i.e., in a completely different scenario from the point of view of the reaction mechanism.

- [1] *Dynamics and Thermodynamics with Nuclear Degrees of Freedom*, edited by P. Chomaz, F. Gulminelli, W. Trautmann, and S. Yennello, in Eur. Phys. J. A **30**, thematic issue No. 1 pp. 1–251 (2006).
- [2] E. Galichet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **79**[, 064614 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.064614)
- [3] D. V. Shetty, S. J. Yennello, and G. A. Souliotis, *[Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.024606)* **76**[, 024606 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.024606)
- [4] B.-A. Li, L.-W. Chen, and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rep. **464**[, 113 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2008.04.005)
- [5] M. Di Toro *et al.*, J. Phys. G **37**[, 083101 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/37/8/083101)
- [6] F. Rami *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.1120) **84**, 1120 (2000).

The data show no appreciable dependence on the dynamics of the reaction. A signature of the previous history remains only in the differences in neutron richness associated with the different targets and the same 84Kr beam.

According to theoretical studies, the neck region should be diluted with respect to the normal nuclear density. On these grounds, an isospin drift is expected, which tends to increase the neutron richness of the neck region. This prediction appears to be confirmed by the present data. Light fragments, emitted in a possibly diluted phase-space region close to the center of mass of the system, display indeed a higher $\langle N \rangle / Z$, which strongly decreases when moving away from the neck region, toward the larger velocities typical of the decays of an excited QP. The obtained results confirm previous observations of similar effects; for example, in $[14,20]$ $[14,20]$ the estimated N/Z of the QP results are found to be lower than that of the "midrapidity material."

In contrast, heavier fragments (with $Z \gtrsim 12$) do not show any dependence of their $\langle N \rangle$ Z on the velocity bin; this fact can be understood by assuming that heavier fragments originate from the quasiprojectile fission; i.e., they have all a common origin, independently of their laboratory velocity (which spans a considerably smaller range with respect to light fragments). The $\langle N \rangle$ Z associated with the *n*-poor system is always smaller than that associated with the n -rich system for all velocity bins.

The investigation of isospin transport needs further experiments and it will certainly benefit from the new facilities for radioactive beams now under construction and from large-area multidetectors with A and Z identification, such as FAZIA. In fact these phenomena will be strongly enhanced if the difference of isospin content between the interacting nuclei can be further increased.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the crew of the Superconducting Cyclotron, in particular D. Rifuggiato, for providing a very good quality beam and the staff of LNS for continuous support. The support of the detector and mechanical workshops of the Physics Department of Florence is also gratefully acknowledged. Many thanks are due to E. Scarlini for the careful assembly of the silicon telescopes. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme FP7 (2007–2013) under Grant Agreement No. 262010-ENSAR. We acknowledge support by the Foundation for Polish Science–MPD program, cofinanced by the European Union within the European Regional Development Fund.

- [7] I. Lombardo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **82**[, 014608 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.014608)
- [8] E. Geraci *et al.*, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2003.11.055) **732**, 173 (2004).
- [9] G. A. Souliotis *et al.*, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.03.027) **588**, 35 (2004).
- [10] M. B. Tsang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**[, 062701 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.062701)
- [11] M. B. Tsang, Y. Zhang, P. Danielewicz, M. Famiano, Z. Li, W. G. Lynch, and A. W. Steiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**[, 122701 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.122701)
- [12] Z. Y. Sun *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **82**[, 051603\(R\) \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.051603)
- [13] M. Veselsky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **62**[, 041605\(R\) \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.62.041605)
- [14] E. De Filippo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **86**[, 014610 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014610)
- [15] A. B. McIntosh *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **81**[, 034603 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.034603)

ISOSPIN TRANSPORT IN 84Kr + ¹¹²,124Sn ... PHYSICAL REVIEW C **87**, 054607 (2013)

- [16] S. Piantelli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **74**[, 034609 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.034609)
- [17] V. Baran *et al.*, Phys. Rep. **410**[, 335 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.12.004)
- [18] L.-W. Chen, C. M. Ko, and B.-A. Li, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.032701) **94**, 032701 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.032701)
- [19] T. X. Liu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **76**[, 034603 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.034603)
- [20] D. Theriault *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **74**[, 051602 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.051602)
- [21] R. Lionti *et al.*, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.08.044) **625**, 33 (2005).
- [22] P. Napolitani, M. Colonna, F. Gulminelli, E. Galichet, S. Piantelli, G. Verde, and E. Vient, Phys. Rev. C**81**[, 044619 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.044619)
- [23] *Isospin Physics in Heavy-Ion Collisions at Intermediate Energies*, edited by B. A. Li and W. U. Schröder (Nova Science, New York, 2001).
- [24] G. Souliotis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **68**[, 024605 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.024605)
- [25] M. Colonna *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **805**[, 454c \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.02.266)
- [26] L.-W. Chen, B.-J. Cai, C. M. Ko, B.-A. Li, C. Shen, and J. Xu, Phys. Rev. C **80**[, 014322 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.80.014322)
- [27] E. Galichet, M. Colonna, B. Borderie, and M. F. Rivet, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.064615)* Rev. C **79**[, 064615 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.064615)
- [28] R. T. deSouza, W. U. Schröder, J. R. Huizenga, R. Planeta, K. Kwiatkowski, V. E. Viola, and H. Breuer, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.37.1783) **37**, [1783\(R\) \(1988\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.37.1783)
- [29] R. Planeta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **38**[, 195 \(1988\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.38.195)
- [30] S. Hudan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **86**[, 021603\(R\) \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.021603)
- [31] See <http://fazia2.in2p3.fr/spip>
- [32] N. Le Neindre *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.11.005) **701**, 145 (2013).
- [33] Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Trento, Italy.
- [34] L. Bardelli *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.01.033) **602**, 501 (2009).
- [35] L. Bardelli *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.03.247) **605**, 353 (2009).
- [36] Amcrys-H, Kharkov, Ukraine.
- [37] L. Bardelli *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2011.06.063) **654**, 272 (2011).
- [38] S. Carboni *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2011.10.061) **664**, 251 (2012).
- [39] G. Pasquali *et al.*, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2012-12158-6) **48**, 158 (2012).
- [40] S. Barlini *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.12.104) **707**, 89 (2013).
- [41] H. Hamrita *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2004.05.112) **531**, 607 (2004).
- [42] B. Braunn *et al.*, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2011.08.010) **269**, 2676 (2011).
- [43] L. C. Northcliffe and R. F. Schilling, [Nucl. Data Tables A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-640X(70)80016-X) **7**, 233 [\(1970\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-640X(70)80016-X)
- [44] F. Hubert, R. Bimbot, and H. Gauvin, [At. Data Nucl. Data Tables](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-640X(90)90001-Z) **46**[, 1 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-640X(90)90001-Z)
- [45] E. De Filippo, Dapnia-SPhN Report No. 95-60, 1995 (unpublished).
- [46] S. Piantelli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**[, 052701 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.052701)
- [47] V. Baran *et al.*, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2003.10.022) **730**, 329 (2004).
- [48] G. Casini *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2567) **71**, 2567 (1993).
- [49] A. A. Stefanini *et al.*, Z. Phys. A **351**[, 167 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01289527)
- [50] J. Wilczynski *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **81**[, 024605 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.81.024605)
- [51] A. Pagano *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **681**[, 331c \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(00)00536-4)
- [52] E. De Filippo *et al.*, Acta Phys. Pol. B **40**, 1199 (2009).
- [53] R. J. Charity, Phys. Rev. C **58**[, 1073 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.58.1073)
- [54] J. R. Huizenga and W. U. Schroder, in *Treatise on Heavy Ion Science*, edited by D. A. Bromley, Vol. 2 (Plenum, New York, 1984), p. 115.
- [55] S. Piantelli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **78**[, 064605 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.064605)
- [56] R. J. Charity *et al.*, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(88)90542-8) **483**, 371 (1988).
- [57] J. Brzychczyk, D. S. Bracken, K. Kwiatkowski, K. B. Morley, E. Renshaw, and V. E. Viola, Phys. Rev. C **47**[, 1553 \(1993\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.47.1553)
- [58] P. Napolitani *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **76**[, 064609 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.064609)
- [59] D. Henzlova *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **78**[, 044616 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.044616)
- [60] R. Lucas *et al.*, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(87)90429-5) **464**, 172 (1987).
- [61] D. Bazin *et al.*, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(90)90372-S) **515**, 349 (1990).
- [62] S. Hudan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **70**[, 031601\(R\) \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.031601)
- [63] E. De Filippo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **71**[, 064604 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.71.064604)
- [64] M. Di Toro, A. Olmi, and R. Roy, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2006-10106-9) **30**, 65 [\(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2006-10106-9)
- [65] C. P. Montoya *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.3070) **73**, 3070 (1994).
- [66] J. Colin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **67**[, 064603 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.064603)