*M*3 and *E*4 *K*-forbidden decays of the $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-1}$ isomer in ¹⁷⁷Lu

F. G. Kondev,¹ S. Zhu,¹ M. P. Carpenter,¹ R. V. F. Janssens,¹ I. Ahmad,¹ C. J. Chiara,^{1,2} J. P. Greene,¹ T. Lauritsen,¹

D. Seweryniak,¹ S. Lalkovski,³ and P. Chowdhury⁴

¹Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

³Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia, BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

⁴Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, Massachusetts 01854, USA

(Received 20 December 2011; published 14 February 2012)

Decay of the long-lived ($T_{1/2} = 160.44$ d) $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-}$ isomer in ¹⁷⁷Lu was investigated using a chemically purified source and the Gammasphere array. New, high-multipolarity *M3* and *E4* deexcitation branches to the known $I^{\pi} = 17/2^{-}$ and $15/2^{-}$ members of the $\pi 9/2^{-}[514]$ band were discovered. The reduced hindrance factors per degree of *K* forbiddenness deduced for these two transitions are found to be relatively large when compared to similar decays from the $K^{\pi} = 37/2^{-}$ ($T_{1/2} = 51.4$ min) and $K^{\pi} = 16^{+}$ ($T_{1/2} = 31$ yr) isomers in ¹⁷⁷Hf and ¹⁷⁸Hf, respectively. This is attributed to significant configuration changes that occur in the decay of this ¹⁷⁷Lu isomer.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.85.027304

PACS number(s): 21.10.-k, 23.20.-g, 23.35.+g, 27.70.+q

The properties of K isomers play an important role in understanding the structure and collective modes of deformed, axially symmetric nuclei. While considerable progress has been made in the past 30 years in identifying and characterizing many K isomers in different areas of the nuclear chart, predictions of their lifetimes and associated transition strengths still remain a challenge for theory. The lifetime can be particularly long, especially when the isomer is being classified as both a "K trap" (with a large difference in the Kquantum numbers of the isomer and the final state to which it decays) and a "spin-trap" (with a large change in nuclear spin enabling the decay to proceed only via high-multipolarity transitions) [1]. In the rare-earth region, high-multipolarity M4 and E5 transitions were established in the decay of the $K^{\pi} = 16^+$ isomer $(T_{1/2} = 31 \text{ y})$ in ¹⁷⁸Hf [2–5]. Searches for such exotic decay modes have also been performed in other regions of the nuclear chart. The most notable example is the *E6* decay of the high-spin isomer in 53 Fe [6], the highest multipolarity transition known in any nucleus [7], whose strength was recently calculated in a shell-model approach [8].

High-multipolarity transitions can also be expected to occur in the decay of the $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-1}$ isomer ($T_{1/2} = 160.44$ d) in ¹⁷⁷Lu, denoted here as ^{177m}Lu. This isomer was discovered by Jørgensen *et al.* [9] and assigned the three-quasiparticle $\pi 7/2^{+}[404] \otimes \nu(7/2^{-}[514], 9/2^{+}[624])$ configuration. The latter was confirmed by the measured magnetic moment of the isomer (see Refs. [10,11] and references therein) and by the properties of the rotational band built on this state [12]. Previous studies (see for example Ref. [13] and references therein) found that the isomer partially decays by β^{-} emission to a similar three-quasiparticle, $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{+}$ $\pi(7/2^{+}[404], 9/2^{-}[514]) \otimes \nu 7/2^{-}[514]$ isomer in ¹⁷⁷Hf and by means of an *E3*, *K*-forbidden γ -ray transition to the $I^{\pi} =$ $17/2^{+}$ member of the $\pi 7/2^{+}[404]$ ground-state band of ¹⁷⁷Lu.

Here, we report on the discovery of high-multipolarity M3 and $E4 \gamma$ -ray decay branches from the isomer to members of the previously known one-quasiparticle $\pi 9/2^{-}[514]$ band in

¹⁷⁷Lu. These transitions are found to be unusually hindered, and this is attributed to the significant configuration changes that occur in the decay between the isomer and the final levels.

The ^{177m}Lu isomer was produced in a neutron-capture reaction on ¹⁷⁶Lu at the University of Massachusetts Lowell research reactor facility. A natural lutetium metallic sample was irradiated for about three years. This was followed by an approximately 3-yr radiation cooling period that allowed all short-lived nuclides to decay away. The ^{177m}Lu source (~0.5 μ Ci) was prepared at Argonne National Laboratory following radiochemical separation of lutetium and ¹⁸²Ta radionuclides. The latter was produced from natural tantalum impurities in the target material via the 181 Ta (n, γ) reaction. The ¹⁸²Ta radionuclide has a half-life of $T_{1/2} = 114.74$ d [14], comparable to that of ^{177m}Lu, and its decay generates substantial Compton-scattered background in the γ -ray spectra owing to the presence of several high-energy transitions of relatively high intensity. Since the tantalum material was insoluble in most dilute acids, the irradiated lutetium sample was dissolved in a 0.2-mol HNO₃ solution, leaving behind the tantalum metal pieces. The solution was separated from the insoluble solid, placed on a quartz plate, and dried.

Several γ -ray measurements were carried out with the lutetium source including: (i) γ -ray singles measurements using 2-cm² × 1-cm planar Low Energy Photon Spectrometer (LEPS) and 25% coaxial Ge detectors; (ii) γ -ray coincidence measurements using two LaBr₃(Ce) scintillation detectors and the Gammasphere array of 100 Compton-suppressed, large-volume Ge detectors [15]; and (iii) γ -ray coincidence measurements using the Gammasphere alone. The results from the first two series of measurements have been presented elsewhere [16,17].

In the Gammasphere standalone experiment, the source was placed at the center of the array and data were collected with the requirement that at least one γ ray was detected. In the subsequent analysis, the collected data were sorted offline into γ - γ and γ - γ - γ histograms with required coincidence



FIG. 1. ^{177m}Lu decay level scheme deduced in the present work. The quoted lifetimes are from Ref. [13].

windows of ± 25 ns and ± 170 ns. The latter condition was used to minimize the distortion of γ -ray intensities due to time walk by the timing discriminators for transitions with energies below 200 keV. Since single- and double-gated spectra were used to deduce the isomer decay branches, the Gammasphere γ -ray efficiency was carefully determined using a calibrated, multinuclide source containing ^{57,60}Co, ⁸⁵Sr, ⁸⁸Y, ¹⁰⁹Cd, ¹¹³Sn, ¹³⁷Cs, ¹³⁹Ce, ²⁰³Hg, and ²⁴¹Am and monoisotopic sources of ¹⁵²Eu, ¹⁸²Ta, and ²⁴³Am. These measurements were carried out in the same geometry as adopted for the ^{177m}Lu source. The existence of a number of cascade and crossover transitions in the ^{177m}Lu decay scheme itself allowed the corresponding γ -ray efficiencies to be checked internally using intensity balances, and these were found to be in good agreement with values deduced using the source measurements.

The decay scheme deduced in the present work for the $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-}$ isomer is presented in Fig. 1. The main decay paths via the three-quasiparticle $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{+}$ isomer in ¹⁷⁷Hf and the $I^{\pi} = 17/2^{+}$ member of the $\pi 7/2^{+}$ [404] ground-state band of ¹⁷⁷Lu, established previously [13], were confirmed in the present studies. Figure 2(a) provides a γ -ray coincidence spectrum that isolates the decay via the $\nu 9/2^{+}$ [624] band

in ¹⁷⁷Hf. The 14-keV transition that feeds the $I^{\pi} = 21/2^+$ level was not observed directly, since it is highly converted. However, its existence is confirmed by the observation of the 214- and 418-keV transitions depopulating this $I^{\pi} = 21/2^+$ level. The coincidence spectrum of Fig. 3, produced by gating on γ rays in the $\nu 7/2^{-514}$ band, shows many of the connecting E1 transitions between the $\nu 9/2^+$ [624] and $\nu 7/2^{-}$ [514] bands in ¹⁷⁷Hf. The 55-keV transition that feeds directly the $21/2^{-}$ level was not observed, because of the overlap with the Hf $K\alpha_1$ x ray. Figure 2(b) provides a coincidence spectrum where the decay via the $\pi 7/2^+$ [404] ground-state band of ¹⁷⁷Lu is isolated. A thorough search for the 334-keV M4 transition that would connect the isomer with the $I^{\pi} = 15/2^+$ level was carried out, but this γ ray was not observed and only a limit for this branch was deduced, as indicated in Table I.

The $K^{\pi} = 9/2^{-}$ band head at 150 keV, associated with the $\pi 9/2^{-}[514]$ orbital, and the corresponding rotational band were known from previous studies [13]. The sum of coincidence spectra in Fig. 4 was produced with double gates on the 150-, 139- and 163-keV transitions with the 186-keV γ ray. It is apparent that the $I^{\pi} = 15/2^{-}$ and $17/2^{-}$ members



FIG. 2. (a) Background-subtracted γ -ray coincidence spectrum produced by summing $\gamma_1\{105, 113\}\gamma_2\{208\}$ double gates, i.e., $\gamma_1\{105\}\gamma_2\{208\} + \gamma_1\{113\}\gamma_2\{208\}$, showing the isomer decay path via the $\nu 9/2^+[624]$ band in ¹⁷⁷Hf; (b) Gamma-ray coincidence spectrum produced by summing $\gamma_1\{122, 147, 172, 196, 218\}\gamma_2\{122, 147, 172, 196, 218\}$ double gates (the diagonal contributions were excluded) associated with the $\pi 7/2^+[404]$ ground-state band in ¹⁷⁷Lu.

of the $\pi 9/2^{-}[514]$ band are fed by the $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-}$ isomer via the 333- (*E*4) and 125-keV (*M*3) transitions. While the former γ ray is clearly seen in the coincidence spectrum of Fig. 4, the latter was not directly observed, owing to the large conversion



FIG. 3. Background-subtracted γ -ray coincidence spectrum produced by summing γ_1 {113} γ_2 {296, 385, 466}, γ_1 {137} γ_2 {341, 427}, and γ_1 {113} γ_2 {137} double gates associated with the ν 7/2⁻[514] band in ¹⁷⁷Hf.

coefficient for such an *M3* transition ($\alpha_T(M3) = 94.3$ [18]). With the relative γ -ray intensities deduced from the spectrum of Fig. 4 and the intensity balance at the $I^{\pi} = 17/2^{-}$ level, the relative intensity of the 125-keV γ ray is expected to be $\sim 1.5\%$ of the 208-keV yield. Hence, the former is not observable

TABLE I. Reduced hindrances per degree of K forbiddenness for high-multipolarity transitions in 177 Lu, 177 Hf, and 178 Hf. The transitions shown in parenthesis were not observed directly.

E_{γ}	Mult.	I_{γ}	α_T [18]	F_W	ν	f_{ν}
(keV)		(rel)		(W.u.)		
		177 Lu; $K^{\pi} = 23$	$B/2^{-}; T_{1/2} = 160.44 \ (6)$) d; $IT = 22.8 (7)\%$		
115.9	E3	100 (2)	30.7	$8.3(4) \times 10^8$	5	60.8 (5)
(334)	M4	$\leqslant 0.28$	5.58	$\geq 5.3 \times 10^6$	4	≥ 48
125.3	М3	0.032 (8)	94.3	$4.4(12) \times 10^{10}$	4	458 (30)
333.1	<i>E4</i>	0.26 (6)	1.007	$5.7(14) \times 10^8$	3	829 (70)
		177 Hf; $K^{\pi} = 1$	$37/2^-; T_{1/2} = 51.4(5)$	min; $IT = 100\%$		
(185.3)	M3	0.16 (3) ^a	18.6	$5.5(11) \times 10^5$	3	82 (6)
214.0	E3	100.00 (3)	1.512	$2.47(6) \times 10^5$	4	22.29 (13)
		178 Hf; K^{π}	$= 16^+; T_{1/2} = 31 (1) y$	Vr; IT = 100%		
(12.76)	E3	0.050 (8) ^b	1.43×10^{7}	$1.2(3) \times 10^9$	5	65 (4)
309.5	M4	100 (7) ^c	8.44	$2.7(5) \times 10^7$	4	72 (4)
587.0	<i>E5</i>	41 (4) ^c	0.284	$5.3(11) \times 10^{6}$	3	175 (11)

^aThe existence of this branch was not known previously. It is inferred from the observation of the 120.5-keV γ ray in the decay of the $K^{\pi} = 37/2^{-}$ isomer in ¹⁷⁷Hf [22], which was subsequently assigned to depopulate the $K^{\pi} = 25/2^{-}$ bandhead in ¹⁷⁷Hf [13]. ^bFrom the intensity balance at the $I^{\pi} = 13^{-}$ level and the relative intensities quoted in Ref. [3].

^cFrom the relative intensities given in Ref. [3].



FIG. 4. Background-subtracted γ -ray coincidence spectrum produced by summing γ_1 {150, 139, 163} γ_2 {186} double gates associated with the $\pi 9/2^{-}$ [514] band in ¹⁷⁷Lu.

as it is buried under the background in the spectrum of Fig. 4.

The very long half-life of the $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-}$ isomer arises because of its low excitation energy, which results in decay paths associated with high-multipolarity and low-energy γ ray transitions, which are in addition K forbidden. For a transition of multipole order λ , the reduced hindrance factor per degree of K forbiddenness, f_{ν} ($\nu = \Delta K - \lambda$), is defined as $f_{\nu} = F_W^{1/\nu}$, where $F_W = T_{1/2}^{\gamma}/T_{1/2}^W$ is the Weisskopf hindrance factor with $T_{1/2}^{\gamma}$ and $T_{1/2}^W$ being the partial γ -ray and the Weisskopf estimate half-lives, respectively. The deduced hindrances are presented in Table I, together with values for other high-multipolarity, *K*-forbidden transitions (with $\nu \ge 3$) measured in neighboring ¹⁷⁷Hf and ¹⁷⁸Hf nuclei. In general, the magnitude of f_{ν} depends on the degree of K mixing in the wave functions of the isomer itself and the final states to which the decay proceeds. A common feature of the isomers selected in Table I is that all of them are yrast and, therefore, no significant K mixing would be expected to affect their decay.

Reduced hindrances per degree of *K* forbiddenness are also known to be sensitive to associated changes in the configuration of the states involved [19–21]. Considering the differences between the configurations of the $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-1}$ isomer and the $I^{\pi} = 17/2^{+}$ member of the $\pi 7/2^{+}$ [404] ground-state band, the *E3* decay involves the

$$\pi 7/2^{+}[404] \otimes \nu(7/2^{-}[514], 9/2^{+}[624]) \rightarrow \pi 7/2^{+}[404]$$

change, which is equivalent to a $\nu^2(7/2^{-1514}]$, 9/2⁺[624])_[8⁻] $\rightarrow 0^+$ transition. It is worth noting that the *E3* decay from the $K^{\pi} = 16^+$ isomer in ¹⁷⁸Hf to the 13⁻ member of the $K^{\pi} = 8^-$ band (a mixture between $\nu[8^-]$ and $\pi[8^-]$ configurations) involves a similar change:

$$\pi(7/2^{+}[404], 9/2^{-}[514]) \otimes \nu(7/2^{-}[514], 9/2^{+}[624])$$

$$\rightarrow \nu(7/2^{-}[514], 9/2^{+}[624])$$

or

$$\pi(7/2^{+}[404], 9/2^{-}[514]) \otimes \nu(7/2^{-}[514], 9/2^{+}[624]) \\ \rightarrow \pi(7/2^{+}[404], 9/2^{-}[514]).$$

Hence, the f_{ν} hindrances for these two E3 decays should be expected to be nearly identical. This is verified experimentally, as seen in Table I ($f_{\nu} \sim 60$). In contrast, the E3 decay from the $K^{\pi} = 37/2^{-1}$ isomer $(\pi(7/2^{+}[404], 9/2^{-}[514]) \otimes$ $\nu(5/2^{-}[512], 7/2^{-}[514], 9/2^{+}[624]))$ $^{177}\mathrm{Hf}$ in to $I^{\pi} = 31/2^+$ member $K^{\pi} = 23/2^+$ the the of $(\pi(7/2^+[404], 9/2^-[514]) \otimes \nu 7/2^-[514])$ band has a relatively low value of $f_{\nu} = 22.29$. In this case, the change in configurations between the isomer and the final level corresponds to a $\nu^2(5/2^{-}[512], 9/2^{+}[624])_{[7^{-}]} \rightarrow 0^+$ transition, i.e., an operation involving the $f_{7/2}$ and $i_{13/2}$ orbitals which differ by $\Delta l = 3$ and $\Delta j = 3$, a change known to lead to enhanced E3 transitions.

A notable feature of the 177m Lu decay is the significantly larger hindrances of $f_{\nu} = 458$ and 829 measured for the *M3* and *E4* decays to the $I^{\pi} = 17/2^{-}$ and $15/2^{-}$ members of the $\pi 9/2^{-}$ [514] band, respectively. As both transitions involve rearrangements of all the orbitals between the corresponding configurations,

$$\pi 7/2^{+}[404] \otimes \nu(7/2^{-}[514], 9/2^{+}[624]) \rightarrow \pi 9/2^{-}[514]$$

one should expect larger retardation, as observed. In contrast, the *M3* transition in the decay of the $K^{\pi} = 37/2^{-1}$ isomer in ¹⁷⁷Hf to the $31/2^{-1}$ member of the $K^{\pi} = 25/2^{-1}$ band $(\pi(7/2^{+}[404], 9/2^{-}[514]) \otimes \nu 9/2^{+}[624])$ has $f_{\nu} = 82$, and involves a simple configuration change equivalent to a $\nu^{2}(5/2^{-}[512], 7/2^{-}[514])_{[6^{+}]} \rightarrow 0^{+}$ transition.

In summary, the decay of the long-lived, $K^{\pi} = 23/2^{-1}$ isomer in ¹⁷⁷Lu was studied using a chemically-purified source in conjunction with the Gammasphere and other detection systems. High-multipolarity *M3* and *E4* decay branches were observed for the first time. These transitions were found to be more hindered when compared to similar exotic decays known in neighboring nuclei. This feature is proposed to arise from the significant rearrangement in configurations between the isomer and the final levels.

The authors are indebted to the staff of the research reactor facility at the University of Massachusetts Lowell for irradiating the lutetium sample. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357 and Grant No. DE-FG02-94ER40848.

- [1] P. M. Walker and G. D. Dracoulis, Nature (London) **399**, 35 (1999).
- [2] J. Van Klinken, W. Z. Venema, R. V. F. Janssens, and G. T. Emery, Nucl. Phys. A 339, 189 (1980).
- [3] M. B. Smith, P. M. Walker, G. C. Ball, J. J. Carroll, P. E. Garrett, G. Hackman, R. Propri, F. Sarazin, and H. C. Scraggs, Phys. Rev. C 68, 031302(R) (2003).
- [4] R. G. Helmer and C. W. Reich, Nucl. Phys. A 114, 649 (1968).

- [5] R. G. Helmer and C. W. Reich, Nucl. Phys. A 211, 1 (1973).
- [6] J. N. Black, Wm. C. McHarris, W. H. Kelly, and B. H. Wildenthal, Phys. Rev. C 11, 939 (1975).
- [7] ENSDF database [www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf].
- [8] B. Alex Brown and W. D. M. Rae, AIP Conf. Proc. 1355, 145 (2011).
- [9] M. Jørgensen, O. B. Nielsen, and G. Sidenius, Phys. Lett. 1, 321 (1962).
- [10] C. König, B. Hinfurtner, E. Hagn, E. Zech, and R. Eder, Phys. Rev. C 54, 1027 (1996).
- [11] U. Georg et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 3, 225 (1998).
- [12] G. D. Dracoulis, F. G. Kondev, G. J. Lane, A. P. Byrne, T. Kibédi, I. Ahmad, M. P. Carpenter, S. J. Freeman, R. V. F. Janssens, N. J. Hammond, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, G. Mukherjee, D. Seweryniak, P. Chowdhury, S. K. Tandel, and R. Gramer, Phys. Lett. B 584, 22 (2004).
- [13] F. G. Kondev, Nucl. Data Sheets 98, 801 (2003).
- [14] B. Singh and J. C. Roediger, Nucl. Data Sheets 111, 2081 (2010).

- [15] R. V. F. Janssens and F. S. Stephens, Nucl. Phys. News 6, 9 (1996).
- [16] S. Zhu, F. G. Kondev, M. P. Carpenter, I. Ahmad, C. J. Chiara, J. P. Greene, G. Gurdal, R. V. F. Janssens, S. Lalkovski, T. Lauritsen, and D. Seweryniak, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 652, 231 (2011).
- [17] F. G. Kondev et al., Appl. Radiat. Isot. (to be published).
- [18] T. Kibédi, T. W. Burrows, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, P. M. Davidson, and C. W. Nestor Jr., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 589, 202 (2008).
- [19] G. D. Dracoulis, F. G. Kondev, A. P. Byrne, T. Kibédi, S. Bayer, P. M. Davidson, P. M. Walker, C. Purry, and C. J. Pearson, Phys. Rev. C 53, 1205 (1996).
- [20] F. G. Kondev, G. D. Dracoulis, A. P. Byrne, T. Kibédi, S. Bayer, and G. J. Lane, Phys. Rev. C 54, R459 (1996).
- [21] F. G. Kondev, G. D. Dracoulis, A. P. Byrne, and T. Kibédi, Nucl. Phys. A 632, 473 (1998).
- [22] Y. Y. Chu, P. E. Haustein, and T. E. Ward, Phys. Rev. C 6, 2259 (1972).