In-beam γ -ray spectroscopy of the N = 85 isotones ¹⁵⁹W and ¹⁶⁰Re

P. J. Sapple, R. D. Page, D. T. Joss, L. Bianco,^{*} T. Grahn,[†] J. Pakarinen,[‡] and J. Thomson *Department of Physics, Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom*

J. Simpson and D. O'Donnell§

STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington WA4 4AD, United Kingdom

S. Ertürk

Niğde Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Fizik Bölümü, TR-51100 Nigde, Turkey

P. T. Greenlees, U. Jakobsson, P. M. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, S. Ketelhut, M. Leino, M. Nyman, P. Peura, A. Puurunen,

P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, and J. Uusitalo

Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland

(Received 25 July 2011; published 3 November 2011)

Excited states have been identified in the isotones $^{159}_{74}$ W and $^{160}_{75}$ Re, which were produced in fusion-evaporation reactions of a beam of 310-MeV 58 Ni ions with an isotopically enriched 106 Cd target. The strongest γ -ray transitions in 159 W and 160 Re are interpreted as continuing the regular sequence of states identified in lighter N = 85 isotones that are built upon $9/2^-$ and 10^+ states, respectively. The half-life of 155 Hf, the β -decaying daughter of the α decay of 159 W, was measured with improved precision to be 840 ± 30 ms.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.84.054303

PACS number(s): 23.20.Lv, 23.40.-s, 23.60.+e, 27.70.+q

I. INTRODUCTION

The properties of proton-rich N = 85 isotones have received considerable attention recently with the discoveries of the α decay of ¹⁶¹Os [1] and a γ -decaying isomer in ¹⁶⁰Re [2]. The structure of low-lying states in these nuclei is governed by protons in the $s_{1/2}$, $d_{3/2}$, and $h_{11/2}$ orbitals, which are nearly degenerate in energy [3], and neutrons in the $f_{7/2}$ and $h_{9/2}$ orbitals. Much of the focus of these studies has concentrated on the decreasing energy of states involving the $\nu h_{9/2}$ orbital relative to those from the $\nu f_{7/2}$ orbital as the occupancy of the $\pi h_{11/2}$ orbital increases.

Excited states in the N = 85 isotones ¹⁵⁵Yb and ¹⁵⁶Lu were studied by Ding *et al.* [4], who discussed the regular sequence of excited states built upon the $(vf_{7/2})^2(vh_{9/2})_{9/2^-}$ states (even-Z isotones) or $(\pi h_{11/2})(vf_{7/2})^2(vh_{9/2})_{10^+}$ states (odd-Z isotones). The excitation energy of these states above the lowest-lying $(vf_{7/2})^3_{7/2^-}$ or $(\pi h_{11/2})(vf_{7/2})^3_{9^+}$ states was found to decrease with increasing Z. In the present work, excited states have been identified in ¹⁵⁹W and ¹⁶⁰Re through in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The experiment was performed at the Accelerator Laboratory of the University of Jyväskylä. The ¹⁵⁹W and ¹⁶⁰Re nuclei were produced in fusion-evaporation reactions induced by ⁵⁸Ni ions impinging on a 1.1-mg/cm²-thick, self-supporting ¹⁰⁶Cd target foil of 96.5% isotopic enrichment. An average beam current of 3 pnA was delivered for 100 hours at a beam energy of 310 MeV. The average cross sections for producing ¹⁵⁹W and ¹⁶⁰Re nuclei under these conditions were estimated from the measured yields of their radioactive decays to be ~10 and ~1 μ b, respectively.

Prompt γ rays were detected at the target position using the JUROGAM γ -ray spectrometer [5] consisting of 43 EUROGAM Phase I escape-suppressed germanium spectrometers [6]. Fusion reaction products were separated in flight from other reaction products and scattered beam particles by the recoil ion transport unit (RITU) gas-filled separator [7], then implanted into the double-sided silicon strip detectors (DSSDs) of the Gamma Recoil Electron Alpha Tagging (GREAT) spectrometer [8]. Each of the DSSDs had an active area of 60 mm \times 40 mm, a thickness of 300 μ m, and a strip pitch of 1 mm on both faces, giving a total of 4800 independent pixels. A multiwire proportional counter provided discrimination between evaporation residues, scattered beam, and decay particles. An array of twenty-eight 500- μ m-thick Si PIN diodes was mounted in a box arrangement around the perimeter of the DSSDs in order to measure the residual energies of α particles escaping from the DSSDs in the backward direction. These allowed the full energy of the α particles to be reconstructed, thereby increasing the prompt γ -ray tagging efficiency. A planar double-sided germaniumstrip detector was mounted inside the vacuum enclosure a few millimeters behind the DSSDs to measure the energies of low-energy γ rays.

Detector signals were passed to the GREAT triggerless Total Data Readout data acquisition system [9], where they were time stamped with a precision of 10 ns to allow flexible offline

^{*}Present address: Department of Physics, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1.

[†]Present address: Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland.

[‡]Present address: ISOLDE, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

[§]Present address: Department of Physics, Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom.

data analysis using the GRAIN software package [10]. Sixteen of the JUROGAM detectors were read out using TNT2 digital electronics modules [11] and incorporated into the data stream.

III. RESULTS

Gamma-ray transitions in ¹⁵⁹W were identified using the recoil-decay tagging technique [12,13]. The α -particle emitter ¹⁵⁹W has a short half-life and an α -decay branching ratio close to 100% and would therefore appear to be ideally suited for this method; see Fig. 1. However, the α -decay energy and half-life are similar to those of the $11/2^{-}$ state in ¹⁶¹Re and the corresponding state in its daughter ¹⁵⁷Ta that were also produced in this reaction; see Fig. 2(a). Correlations were therefore also required with the α decays of its daughter ¹⁵⁵Lu in order to identify γ rays from ¹⁵⁹W unambiguously. The resulting γ -ray energy spectrum is shown in Fig. 3(a), in which a number of peaks can be identified that are assigned to ¹⁵⁹W. Although this spectrum does not appear to have significant levels of background from ¹⁶¹Re or ¹⁵⁷Ta γ rays, the level of statistics is rather low. This is a consequence of the α -decay branching ratios of the states in ¹⁵⁵Lu [14], the comparatively long half-life of the intervening β decay of ¹⁵⁵Hf [15], and the average ion implantation rate of 840 Hz in the DSSDs.

In order to increase the level of statistics in the ¹⁵⁹W γ -ray energy spectrum while suppressing the background from ¹⁶¹Re, ¹⁵⁹W α decays were selected with the requirement that no subsequent decay was observed in the following 20 ms. This is equivalent to 4.6 ¹⁵⁷Ta half-lives. The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig. 3(b), in which it can be seen that the peaks assigned to ¹⁵⁹W are significantly more intense. The energies and intensities of these γ rays are summarized in Table I. The γ -ray transitions previously assigned to feed the $11/2^{-}$ state in ¹⁶¹Re [16] have been suppressed by this procedure, although some peaks are still visible. As can be seen in Fig. 2(a), this procedure also affects the intensity



FIG. 1. Schematic decay chains of (a) ¹⁵⁹W and (b) the $\pi h_{11/2}$ isomer in ¹⁶¹Re. Note that the β decays of ¹⁵⁵Hf may populate the $1/2^+$ and $11/2^- \alpha$ -decaying isomers in ¹⁵⁵Lu via intermediate states. Half-lives (in ms) and α -decay energies (in keV) are taken from [14] except for the β -decay half-life of ¹⁵⁵Hf, which is from the present work.



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Energy spectrum of α decays observed within 40 ms of the implantation of an ion into the same DSSD pixel. The peak between 6.2 and 6.3 MeV is an unresolved mixture of ¹⁵⁹W and ¹⁶¹Re α decays, with a contribution from the high-energy tail of the ¹⁵⁷Ta α -decay peak. The energy spectrum of these decays that were not followed within a further 20 ms by any other signal in the same DSSD pixel is shown in red (gray). This requirement suppresses ¹⁶¹Re α decays. (b) Energy spectrum of α decays that follow the ¹⁵⁹W α decays in the same DSSD pixel between 20 ms and 4 s later. Both of the low-energy α -decay lines of ¹⁵⁵Lu [14] are visible, as well as ¹⁵⁷Ta α decays that occur more than 20 ms after a ¹⁶¹Re α decay.

of the ¹⁶⁰W α -decay peak, which is followed by the α decay of its daughter ¹⁵⁶Hf ($t_{1/2} = 23$ ms [14]). However, α decays of ¹⁵⁷Ta nuclei that are produced directly in the reaction cannot be eliminated in this way, and the γ -ray transitions previously assigned to this nuclide [17] are also visible in Fig. 3(b).

A γ - γ coincidence matrix was constructed for ¹⁵⁹W. In order to increase the statistics still further in this matrix, α particles that escaped from the DSSDs and were detected in the PIN diodes were included in the analysis. Figure 3(c) shows the energy spectrum of γ rays observed in prompt coincidence with the 885-keV γ -ray transition in ¹⁵⁹W. From this spectrum it is clear that this transition is in coincidence with many of the γ rays that are assigned to ¹⁵⁹W. Analysis of the γ - γ coincidence matrix established that the 196-, 662-, and 885-keV γ rays were mutually coincident. As will be discussed in Sec. IV, these γ rays are interpreted as the cascade of stretched *E*2 transitions built on the lowest 9/2⁻ state in ¹⁵⁹W.

From the γ -ray spectra it is not possible to establish whether the 9/2⁻ state is the ground state or whether ¹⁵⁹W has a 7/2⁻ ground state like its lighter even-Z N = 85 isotones. However, the radioactive decay properties of ¹⁵⁹W and its daughter ¹⁵⁵Hf can shed light on this question. Figure 2(b) shows the energy spectrum of α decays that follow the ¹⁵⁹W α



FIG. 3. (a) Energy spectrum of γ rays obtained by tagging on ¹⁵⁹W α decays occurring within 40 ms of an ion implantation and followed within 4 s by an α decay from either decay peak of ¹⁵⁵Lu shown in Fig. 2(b). (b) Energy spectrum of γ rays obtained by tagging on ¹⁵⁹W α decays that are not followed within 20 ms by any other decay in the same DSSD pixel. Transitions previously assigned to ¹⁶¹Re and ¹⁵⁷Ta are indicated by the solid triangles and diamonds, respectively. (c) Energy spectrum of prompt γ rays observed in coincidence with the 885-keV γ -ray transition in ¹⁵⁹W. (d) Energy spectrum of prompt γ rays observed in coincidence of ¹⁶⁰Re. The ¹⁶⁰Re decays were required to occur within 4 ms of an ion being implanted into the same DSSD pixel, while the proton decays were required to be followed within a further 40 ms by a ¹⁵⁹W α decay. The strongest γ -ray transitions assigned to ¹⁵⁹W and ¹⁶⁰Re are labeled with their energies in keV.

decays between 20 ms and 4 s later. A peak arising from ¹⁵⁷Ta α decays that occur beyond 20 ms is visible. The daughter of ¹⁵⁹W α decays is ¹⁵⁵Hf, which β decays to ¹⁵⁵Lu; see Fig. 1. The observation of the α decays from both low-lying states in ¹⁵⁵Lu in Fig. 2(c) confirms that ¹⁵⁵Hf has a 7/2⁻ ground state: if the ¹⁵⁵Hf ground state were instead 9/2⁻, then the β decay would preferentially populate the 11/2⁻ state in ¹⁵⁵Lu through a favored Gamow-Teller decay [18], and only the 5655 keV α -decay width of ¹⁵⁹W is compatible with an *s*-wave decay [1], indicating that the ground state of ¹⁵⁹W is also a 7/2⁻ state. The time differences between the α decays of ¹⁵⁹W and those of the 5655-keV line in ¹⁵⁵Lu were used to deduce the half-life of the unobserved β decay of ¹⁵⁵Hf. The resulting value of

TABLE I. Properties of γ -ray transitions measured using JUROGAM and assigned to ¹⁵⁹W. Intensities have been corrected for the JUROGAM detection efficiency and normalized to the intensity of the 885-keV transition.

γ -ray energy (keV)	Intensity (%)
77.0(3)	9(3)
81.1(2)	19(3)
98.0(4)	9(2)
196.2(1)	44(4)
201.0(2)	13(2)
205.8(4)	8(2)
212.6(7)	3(2)
273.2(1)	22(2)
293.9(3) ^a	18(2)
411.1(3)	26(3)
416.6(1)	6(2)
424.4(4)	12(3)
509.6(6)	11(3)
517.5(4)	19(3)
567.2(4)	16(3)
573.5(5)	12(3)
620.1(7)	10(3)
661.8(2) ^a	86(6)
718.1(3) ^a	48(6)
783.6(6)	28(4)
824.5(6)	29(3)
885.2(2)	100(5)

^aThese peaks are very close in energy to published ¹⁵⁷Ta and ¹⁶¹Re transitions. The corresponding intensity measurements in this table are corrected for contamination.

 840 ± 30 ms is consistent with the value of 890 ± 120 ms from Ref. [15] but is more precise.

The matrix was searched for evidence of a γ -ray transition from the $9/2^-$ state to the ground state, but no statistically significant peak could be identified. This is consistent with the systematics [4,19] that indicate that this M1 transition is likely to be of low energy and therefore highly converted. Figure 4(a) shows the low-energy part of the γ -ray energy spectrum obtained by selecting only α decays with full energy deposition in the DSSDs and the veto to suppress 161 Re γ rays applied. In addition to the K x-ray peaks, there are possible peaks at energies of 77, 81, and 98 keV. Since these transitions are observed at the target position, their short lifetimes are only compatible with an E1 or M1 multipolarity assignment. After allowing for the γ -ray detection efficiency and internal conversion assuming an M1 transition [20], only the 77- and 81-keV transitions have sufficient intensity to be consistent with that of the 885-keV γ ray that would feed it. The 98-keV transition is therefore excluded as a candidate for the lowest transition in the prompt level scheme. However, the possibility that the $9/2^{-}$ to $7/2^{-}$ transition is of even lower energy and that the 77- and 81-keV transitions should be placed higher in the level scheme cannot be excluded on the basis of the present data.

The isotone ¹⁶⁰Re is known to decay by both proton- and α -particle emission from its $\pi d_{3/2}$ ground state [2,14,21,22]. The energy spectrum of prompt γ rays obtained by tagging on both of these decay branches is shown in Fig. 3(d). The



FIG. 4. (a) The low-energy part of the energy spectrum of ¹⁵⁹W γ rays measured using JUROGAM and shown in Fig. 3(a). (b) Energy spectrum of γ rays emitted in the decay of the microsecond isomer in ¹⁶⁰Re [2] measured in the planar Ge strip detector of GREAT. (c) Energy spectrum of γ rays from (b) observed in coincidence with the 177-, 626-, or 837-keV prompt γ -ray transitions measured in JUROGAM.

same γ -ray transitions are seen when gating on each of the decay branches individually, supporting their assignment as decays from the same state in ¹⁶⁰Re. The energies and relative intensities of the strongest transitions in ¹⁶⁰Re are presented in Table II. The level of statistics was insufficient to allow a prompt γ - γ coincidence analysis to be performed.

Recently, Darby *et al.* reported the discovery of a γ -decaying microsecond isomer in ¹⁶⁰Re [2]. Figure 4(b) shows the energy spectrum of delayed γ rays measured in the planar Ge detector within 15 μ s of an ion being implanted into one of the GREAT DSSDs, with the requirement that the ion is followed by either the proton or α decay of ¹⁶⁰Re. The observation of the γ -ray peaks at 38 and 96 keV, as well as Re *K* x rays, confirms the findings of Ref. [2]. Figure 4(c) shows the energy spectrum of delayed γ rays that were observed also to be in delayed coincidence with the 177-, 626-, or 837-keV prompt γ -ray transitions. Coincidences with the 38- and 96-keV peaks can clearly be seen, indicating that the 837-keV transition populates the isomeric state in ¹⁶⁰Re.

IV. DISCUSSION

Even-Z N = 85 isotones above Z = 64 have been found to exhibit a regular sequence of $9/2^-$, $13/2^-$, $17/2^-$, and

TABLE II. Properties of γ -ray transitions measured using JUROGAM and assigned to ¹⁶⁰Re. Intensities have been corrected for the JUROGAM detection efficiency and normalized to the intensity of the 837-keV transition.

γ -ray energy (keV)	Intensity (%)
135.1(4)	17(6)
177.2(2)	37(7)
325.9(8)	16(5)
334.1(5)	20(6)
381.9(7)	18(5)
437.7(4)	10(4)
444.6(5)	17(7)
448.7(8)	9(5)
510.5(4)	39(8)
519.8(6)	20(6)
557.1(2)	9(1)
560.6(2)	8(1)
626.1(5)	62(11)
837.4(3)	100(11)

 $21/2^{-}$ excited states interpreted as having $(vf_{7/2})^2(vh_{9/2})$ configurations, while in odd-*Z* isotones the corresponding 10⁺, 12⁺, 14⁺, and 16⁺ states are formed by coupling an $h_{11/2}$ proton to these states. These systematics are presented in Fig. 5, together with the three strongest transitions observed in this work for ¹⁵⁹W (196, 662, and 885 keV) and for ¹⁶⁰Re (177, 626, and 837 keV). The data from this study fit with the established trends and are therefore tentatively assigned as the corresponding structures in these heavier isotones.

The energies of the $7/2^-$ states and 9^+ states relative to the $9/2^-$ and 10^+ states are also plotted in Fig. 5. This suggests that the corresponding transition in ¹⁶⁰Re is too low in energy to have been observed in this work. However, the assignment of



FIG. 5. Systematics of energy levels built upon $9/2^-$ and 10^+ states in N = 85 isotones. The energies of the $7/2^-$ and 9^+ states below these states, respectively, are also shown. The $7/2^-$ level in ¹⁵⁹W is shown by the dashed line at 81 keV, but this should be regarded as a lower limit; see text for details. Data are taken from Refs. [4,19,23–27] and the present work.

transitions to the sequence of states built upon the 10^+ state in 160 Re is very interesting, especially given that the γ -ray energy spectrum was obtained by tagging on the decays of the $\pi d_{3/2}$ ground state. This interpretation is consistent with the scenario proposed in Ref. [2] in which the isomer decays represent part of a γ -decay path from $\pi h_{11/2}$ states to the ground state.

Whether the 77- or 81-keV γ rays in ¹⁵⁹W represent the transition between the 9/2⁻ and 7/2⁻ states or a transition of even lower energy is responsible, the systematics suggest that the transition energy is lower than the value of 111 keV in ¹⁵⁷Hf [19]. Determining the energy of the lowest transition in the intermediate isotone ¹⁵⁸Ta would clearly be of interest. In the recent discovery of the heaviest known N = 85 isotone, ¹⁶¹Os, it was argued that the ground state was also a 7/2⁻ state [1], but these systematics suggest that the 9/2⁻ state in this exotic isotone is probably located very close in excitation energy. One possible way to establish this could be to measure fine structure in the α decay of the as yet unknown nuclide ¹⁶⁵Pt.

- [1] L. Bianco et al., Phys. Lett. B 690, 15 (2010).
- [2] I. G. Darby *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **695**, 78 (2011).
- [3] C. N. Davids et al., Phys. Rev. C 55, 2255 (1997).
- [4] K. Y. Ding et al., Phys. Rev. C 64, 034315 (2001).
- [5] C. W. Beausang and J. Simpson, J. Phys. G 22, 527 (1996).
- [6] C. W. Beausang *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **313**, 37 (1992).
- [7] M. Leino, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 126, 320 (1997).
- [8] R. D. Page, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 204, 634 (2003).
- [9] I. H. Lazarus *et al.*, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 48, 567 (2001).
- [10] P. Rahkila, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 595, 637 (2008).
- [11] L. Arnold et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53, 723 (2006).
- [12] R. S. Simon, K.-H. Schmidt, F. P. Heßberger, S. Hlavac, M. Honusek, G. Münzenberg, H.-G. Clerc, U. Gollerthan, and W. Schwab, Z. Phys. A 325, 197 (1986).
- [13] E. S. Paul et al., Phys. Rev. C 51, 78 (1995).
- [14] R. D. Page, P. J. Woods, R. A. Cunningham, T. Davinson, N. J. Davis, A. N. James, K. Livingston, P. J. Sellin, and A. C. Shotter, Phys. Rev. C 53, 660 (1996).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the technical staff at the University of Jyväskylä for their excellent support and Paul Morrall for making the targets. This work has been supported by the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council; by the EU 6th Framework Programme "Integrating Infrastructure Initiative Transnational Access," Contract No. RII3-CT-2004-506065 (EURONS); and by the Academy of Finland under the Finnish Centre of Excellence Programme 2006-2011 (Nuclear and Accelerator Based Physics Programme at JYFL), Contract No. 213503. C.S. (Contract No. 209430) and P.T.G. (Contract No. 111965) acknowledge the support of the Academy of Finland. We thank the GAMMAPOOL European Spectroscopy Resource for the loan of germanium detectors for JUROGAM, and we thank the French CNRS/IN2P3 for the use of the TNT2 digital electronics.

- [15] S. Hofmann, G. Münzenberg, F. Heßburger, W. Reisdorf, P. Armbruster, and B. Thuma, Z. Phys. A 299, 281 (1981).
- [16] K. Lagergren et al., Phys. Rev. C 74, 024316 (2006).
- [17] D. Seweryniak et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 054319 (2005).
- [18] E. Nolte, G. Korschinek, and Ch. Setzensack, Z. Phys. A 309, 33 (1982).
- [19] A. F. Saad et al., Z. Phys. A 351, 247 (1995).
- [20] T. Kibédi, T. W. Burrows, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, P. M. Davidson, and C. W. Nestor Jr., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 589, 202 (2008).
- [21] R. D. Page, P. J. Woods, R. A. Cunningham, T. Davinson, N. J. Davis, S. Hofmann, A. N. James, K. Livingston, P. J. Sellin, and A. C. Shotter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1287 (1992).
- [22] I. G. Darby et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 064320 (2011).
- [23] M. Piiperinen et al., Z. Phys. A 300, 133 (1981).
- [24] G. Duchêne et al., Z. Phys. A 350, 39 (1994).
- [25] M. Piiperinen, S. Lunardi, P. Kleinheinz, H. Backe, and J. Blomqvist, Z. Phys. A 290, 337 (1979).
- [26] S. André, C. Foin, D. Santos, D. Barnéoud, J. Genevey, Ch. Vieu, J. S. Dionisio, M. Pautrat, C. Schück, and Z. Méliani, Nucl. Phys. A 575, 155 (1994).
- [27] C. Foin, S. André, D. Barnéoud, G. Bastin, A. Peghaire, and J. P. Thibaud, Z. Phys. A 305, 81 (1982).