Constituent quark scaling violation due to baryon number transport

J. C. Dunlop, 1 M. A. Lisa, 2 and P. Sorensen¹

¹*Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA* ²*Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA* (Received 29 July 2011; revised manuscript received 11 October 2011; published 31 October 2011)

In ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 200$ GeV, the azimuthal emission anisotropy of hadrons with low and intermediate transverse momentum ($p_T \lesssim 4$ GeV/c) displays an intriguing scaling. In particular, the baryon (meson) emission patterns are consistent with a scenario in which a bulk medium of flowing quarks coalesces into three-quark (two-quark) "bags." While a full understanding of this number-of-constituent-quark (NCQ) scaling remains elusive, it is suggestive of a thermalized bulk system characterized by colored dynamical degrees of freedom—a quark-gluon plasma (QGP). In this scenario, one expects the scaling to break down as the central energy density is reduced below the QGP formation threshold; for this reason, NCQ-scaling violation searches are of interest in the energy scan program at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider. However, as $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ is reduced, it is not only the initial energy density that changes; there is also an increase in the net baryon number at midrapidity, as stopping transports entrance-channel partons to midrapidity. This phenomenon can result in violations of simple NCQ scaling. Still in the context of the quark coalescence model, we describe a specific pattern for the breakdown of the scaling that includes different flow strengths for particles and their antipartners. Related complications in the search for recently suggested exotic phenomena are also discussed.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.84.044914](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.044914) PACS number(s): 25*.*75*.*Gz, 25*.*70*.*Pq

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Quark coalescence in the highest-energy heavy-ion collisions

Hadronization—the process through which a state characterized by colored dynamical partons is resolved into a state of colorless hadrons—is central to the theory of the strong interaction, but remains only incompletely understood. An important aspect of this process has become clear in studies of the forward region in hadron-hadron collisions [\[1\]](#page-5-0) and in high-multiplicity collisions of ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions $[2,3]$: the hadronization of a parton is strongly affected by the presence of other partons close in phase space. Whereas the vacuum hadronization of a single parton liberated in a high-momentum-transfer (Q^2) interaction is described in terms of string-breaking scenarios or parameterized in fragmentation functions, there is mounting evidence that in a dense phase-space scenario, colored partons essentially "coalesce" into colorless bound states, much like the formation of light nuclei (e.g., deuteron or triton) from free nucleons emitted from a hot zone [\[4–6\]](#page-5-0). Models based on this remarkably simple mechanism, not understood at a fundamental level, have enjoyed considerable success at describing the "leading hadron effect" [\[7\]](#page-5-0) as well as the multiplicity dependence of yields, spectra, and momentum anisotropies from heavy-ion collisions at the highest energies at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) [\[8–12\]](#page-5-0).

Even more remarkably, the objects that coalesce appear to be valence quarks. At first this is surprising, since the dynamical quantities in QCD (i.e., the ones that carry momentum) are *partons* which are overwhelmingly gluons and nonvalence quarks. Indeed, the valence *quarks*, ¹ three for baryons and

two for mesons, were originally invented to explain the flavored quantum numbers, i.e., isospin, strangeness, etc., of the hadrons. Only later was the connection established between the valence quarks and the high-momentum-fraction (*x*) fermionic partons. Nevertheless, the two or three valence quarks represent the lowest Fock states of the partonic wave function of a hadron, and these appear as the relevant degrees of freedom; it is argued that the inclusion of higher-order Fock states does not significantly modify the description of the coalescence process and the related phenomenology [\[13\]](#page-5-0).

The data at RHIC is consistent with a partially thermalized system of deconfined quarks undergoing collective expansion with an azimuthal anisotropy in momentum space proportional to the initial spatial anisotropy [\[3,14,15\]](#page-5-0). As the system cools, pairs and triplets of neighboring quarks coalesce to become the valence quarks (or "constituent quarks") of mesons and baryons (where the gluons presumably contribute to dressing the valence quarks $[16,17]$). The original flow pattern of the deconfined quarks leaves a simple and characteristic fingerprint on the momentum distribution of the observed hadrons, since a hadron's momentum is simply the (vector) sum of the momenta of its valence quarks,

$$
\vec{p}_h = \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{p}_{q,i},
$$
 (1)

where $n = 2$ (3) for mesons (baryons). In the simplest instantaneous $2 \rightarrow 1$ or $3 \rightarrow 1$ coalescence process, only three of the four momentum components are conserved; either energy or momentum conservation is violated [\[12\]](#page-5-0). The most important features of quark coalescence that we discuss in this paper are not substantially altered in a more complete treatment of the phenomenon, accounting for energy and entropy conservation effects [\[12,18,19\]](#page-5-0).

¹Antiquarks are treated on equal footing with quarks in coalescence models. Dynamically, we will treat antiquarks as simply another variety of quark.

In particular, the quarks' radial flow—the enhancement toward higher transverse momentum (p_T) due to pressuredriven expansion of the bulk source—is reflected most strongly in the three-quark baryons. Thus, coalescence provides a natural explanation for the "anomalous baryon enhancement" at intermediate p_T observed in the highest-energy heavy-ion collisions at RHIC [\[2\]](#page-5-0). Similarly, the nuclear modification factor, i.e., the scaled ratio $R_{AA}(p_T)$ of transverse momentum distributions from heavy ion and $p + p$ collisions, shows a clear separation into mesons and baryons [\[3,15\]](#page-5-0).

In heavy-ion collisions, the azimuthal anisotropy of the momentum distribution is characterized by Fourier components,

$$
\frac{dN}{d\phi} \propto 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1} v_n \left(p \right) \cos n\phi, \tag{2}
$$

where ϕ is measured relative to the direction of the impact parameter (c.f. [\[20\]](#page-5-0) for a full discussion). Of particular interest is the elliptic flow parameter $v_2(p_T)$, which is strongly sensitive to the equation of state of QCD matter (e.g., the speed of sound) as well as transport coefficients such as viscosity. For small values of v_2 and narrow hadronic wave functions, the elliptic flow parameters of a bulk system of quarks (*a* and *b*) and the mesons into which they coalesce are related by [\[12\]](#page-5-0)

$$
v_2^M(p_T) = v_2^a(x_a p_T) + v_2^b(x_b p_T)
$$
 (3)

for fixed momentum fractions x_a and x_b ($x_a + x_b = 1$), with an analogous equation for baryons.

In the event that the constituent quarks (*a* and *b*) have the same elliptic flow before hadronization, Eq. (3) leads to the number-of-constituent-quark (NCQ) scaling pattern observed at RHIC,

$$
v_2^h(p_T^h) = n v_2^q (p_T^h/n), \qquad (4)
$$

where $n = 2$ (3) for mesons (baryons). In this scenario, all mesons should follow one common $v_2(p_T)$ curve, and all baryons another. The two should be related via

$$
\frac{v_2^B(p_T/3)}{3} = \frac{v_2^M(p_T/2)}{2} \quad \left[= v_2^q(p_T) \right]. \tag{5}
$$

B. Violation of NCQ scaling

The observed satisfaction of Eq. (5) in Au + Au collisions at RHIC was one of the most compelling indications that deconfined partonic degrees of freedom were playing a dynamic role in the bulk medium of the early phase. Consequently, the *breakdown* of this scaling as the beam energy is reduced is an important signal in the RHIC energy scan program [\[21\]](#page-5-0). It may indicate that the initial energy density of the system is below the threshold for QGP creation, pinpointing the phase transition between confined and deconfined QCD matter.

Additionally, it has been recently proposed [\[22\]](#page-5-0) that a deconfined bulk system at finite baryon density may acquire an electric quadrupole moment due to chiral magnetic waves in the plasma. This interesting phenomenon would break the degeneracy between v_2 for positive and negative pions, also clearly breaking NCQ scaling.

It is important to consider other less exotic mechanisms that may also cause violation of the scaling represented by Eq. (5). In particular, we recall that this scaling should hold if all quarks (and antiquarks) have the same underlying flow distribution $[v_2^q$ in Eq. (5)]. This would be a natural consequence of thermalization. We call the scenario where all constituent quarks have the same v_2^q , NCQ₁.

In this paper, we examine whether the breakdown of Eq. (5) would necessarily signal that the hadrons are not resulting from the coalescence of flowing constituent quarks. In particular, we discuss a minimal extension of the $NCO₁$ model that retains constituent quarks as the dynamical degrees of freedom, and coalescence as the hadronization mechanism. However, the assumption that all quarks have the same v_2^q is discarded due to the well-recognized phenomenon of baryon stopping, which is increasingly important at lower energies. In particular, we recall that the transport of baryon number from the entrance channel to midrapidity ("stopping") is increasingly important at lower energies. Since we continue to work in the dynamical constituent quark paradigm, the *u* and *d* quarks transported from $y = y_{\text{beam}}$ to $y = 0$ surely suffer multiple collisions with each other. Meanwhile, at the lower energies in question, the quark-antiquark pairs created from the vacuum may experience relatively fewer collisions. In a picture where v_2^q is developed through collisions, it is not unreasonable to expect that quarks transported from $y = y_{\text{beam}}$ to $y = 0$ will develop a larger v_2 .

Such considerations will lead to a specific pattern for the breakdown of Eq. (5). Without invoking exotic phenomena, this simple scenario also implies that the degeneracy of v_2 for particles and their antipartners will be broken in a specific way. Both its prediction for $v_2[\pi^+] - v_2[\pi^-]$ and for $v_2[K^+]$ $v_2[K^-]$ can be compared to data and predictions from more complicated models.

In the following section, we briefly review the energy dependence of stopping in heavy-ion collisions—the transport of baryon number from the high-rapidity region of the entrance channel to midrapidity in the exit channel. We also use measured particle yields to estimate the fraction of *u* and *d* quarks at midrapidity that would arise from baryon stopping at two collision energies. In Sec. [III,](#page-3-0) we consider quantitatively a two-component model for quark number scaling, $NCQ₂$, in which the phenomenon of stopping leads to at least two samples of quarks with different v_2^q values, which then coalesce into hadrons. For tractability, we idealize this nonthermal distribution in a two-component formalism: transported quarks follow one flow profile and produced quarks another. We briefly summarize in Sec. [IV.](#page-4-0)

II. TRANSPORT OF ENTRANCE-CHANNEL QUARKS TO MIDRAPIDITY

In this section, we briefly review the phenomenon of baryon stopping in heavy-ion collisions. We then use hadron yields measured by the NA49/SPS Collaboration [\[26–33\]](#page-5-0) to estimate the fraction of *u* and *d* quarks at midrapidity that would arise from stopping. These fractions will be used in Sec. [III](#page-3-0) as input to a simple model to predict the breakdown of NCQ scaling, given by Eq. (5) .

A. Stopping in heavy-ion collisions

In the RHIC energy scan program, the beam energy is varied to modify the initial conditions of the hot QCD system created. In addition to changing the energy density of the initial state, it is well accepted that due to baryon stopping, the baryochemical potential μ_B of the system is larger at lower $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$.

Baryon stopping, i.e., the transport of baryon number from its initial location at beam rapidity toward the initially baryon-free region at midrapidity, is most directly measured via the rapidity distribution of net protons (the number of protons minus antiprotons). At $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 5$ GeV, the rapidity distribution is peaked at midrapidity. As the collision energy is increased, the distribution peaks at increasingly forward rapidity. This behavior has been parametrized as an average rapidity loss, which increases from approximately 1 unit at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ≈ 5 GeV to 1.7 units at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ≈ 17 GeV, with a smaller rise toward 2 units by the highest RHIC, $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ of 200 GeV [\[23\]](#page-5-0). This rise in rapidity loss is less rapid than the rise in the beam rapidity with increasing $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$, leading to a decreasing population of net baryon number at midrapidity.

More detailed statistical model calculations, based on measurements of the yields of a range of particles, agree with this general conclusion. Within these models, the net baryon density first rises with increasing $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$, achieves a maximum at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 8$ GeV, and then falls at higher $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ [\[24\]](#page-5-0). The system transitions from one with entropy density dominated by baryons at low energy to one dominated by mesons at high energy, with equal fractions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 8$ GeV [\[25\]](#page-5-0). The *fractional* importance of transported quarks to the system's evolution grows rapidly with decreasing $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ in the lower end of the region probed by the RHIC Beam Energy Scan, from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 15$ to 7.7 GeV.

B. Estimates for the stopping contribution to light quark yields at midrapidity

In Sec. [III,](#page-3-0) we develop a simple model in which transported *u* and *d* quarks have stronger flow than do produced quarks (including produced *u* and *d* quarks). An important ingredient of this model is the fraction of *u* (*d*) quarks present at midrapidity that arise from baryon number transport. In particular, we want the fraction

$$
X_{u^T} \equiv \frac{N_{u^T}}{N_{u^T} + N_{u^P}},\tag{6}
$$

where N_{μ^T} is the number of μ quarks from the incoming heavy ions transported to midrapidity, and N_{u} ^p is the number of *u* quarks produced from u - \bar{u} pair production at midrapidity. The fraction X_d ^{*T*} is defined similarly.

To estimate X_{u^T} and X_{d^T} , we use measured midrapidity yields of common particles from central $Pb + Pb$ collisions by the NA49/SPS Collaboration [\[26–33\]](#page-5-0) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.41$ and 8.86 GeV. Tables I and II list the measured yields of hadrons and their constituent quarks.

Since produced quarks and antiquarks are formed in pairs, the number of transported *u* quarks is given by the imbalance between the total number of *u* and \bar{u} quarks: $X_{u} = (N_u N_{\bar{u}}$ *)*/ N_u . Table [III](#page-3-0) lists the fractions for the two energies.

TABLE I. Left two columns: Midrapidity yields of common particles from central $Pb + Pb$ collisions measured by the NA49/SPS Collaboration [\[26–33\]](#page-5-0) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.41$ GeV. Starred hadrons are not measured, but are estimated from other hadrons. In particular, $dN[\pi^0]/dy = 0.5(dN[\pi^+]/dy + dN[\pi^-]/dy)$, $dN[K^0]/dy = dN[K^0]/dy = 0.5(dN[K^+]/dy + dN[K^-]/dy)$, $dN[n] = 1.54dN[p]$, and $dN[\bar{n}] = 1.54^{-1}dN[\bar{p}]$. The factor 1.54 is the neutron-to-proton ratio of Pb. Right six columns: Midrapidity

yield of constituent quarks for each hadron.

Several comments are in order. First, at these energies, roughly half of the light constituent quarks at midrapidity originate from the colliding nuclei; clearly, stopping cannot be ignored. Second, the fraction of *d* quarks transported from the $y = y_{\text{beam}}$ is greater than the fraction of *u* quarks, simply as a consequence of the isospin of the entrance channel. Third,

TABLE II. The same as for Table I, but for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.86$ GeV collisions.

Hadron	Yield	\boldsymbol{u}	d	\boldsymbol{S}	ū	\bar{d}	\overline{S}
π^+	96.6	96.6				96.6	
π^-	106.1		106.1		106.1		
	π^{0} (*) 101.35	50.68	50.68		50.68	50.68	
ϕ	1.16			1.16			1.16
K^+	20.1	20.1					20.1
K^-	7.58			7.58	7.58		
K^0 (*)	13.84		13.84				13.84
\bar{K}^0 (*)	13.84			13.84		13.84	
\boldsymbol{p}	41.3	82.6	41.3				
$n(*)$	63.5	63.5	127				
\boldsymbol{d}	1.02	3.06	3.06	3.06			
Λ	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6			
Ξ^-	1.15		1.15	2.3			
\bar{p}	0.32				0.64	0.32	
\bar{n} (*)	0.21				0.21	0.42	
$\bar{\Lambda}$	0.33				0.33	0.33	0.33
$\bar{\Xi}^+$	0.07					0.07	0.14
Sum		331.14	357.73 39.48 165.54			162.26	35.57

J. C. DUNLOP, M. A. LISA, AND P. SORENSEN PHYSICAL REVIEW C **84**, 044914 (2011)

TABLE III. Based on the data in Tables [I](#page-2-0) and [II,](#page-2-0) the fraction of *u* and *d* quarks at midrapidity that originate from stopping of quarks in the colliding Pb nuclei. See text for details.

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	X_{μ}	X_d
6.41 GeV	0.57	0.63
8.86 GeV	0.50	0.55

the imbalance in s and \bar{s} quarks in Tables [I](#page-2-0) and [II](#page-2-0) reminds us that our estimates are just that.

III. VIOLATIONS OF SIMPLE NCQ SCALING IN A TWO-COMPONENT SCENARIO

As discussed in Sec. [I,](#page-0-0) the violation of NCQ scaling, Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-0), and the breakdown of the degeneracy of v_2 between particles and antiparticles implies a lack of kinetic thermalization of the dynamic system. This may arise if the energy of the collision falls below the threshold to produce a flowing system of deconfined partons, so that particle-specific hadronic cross sections determine each hadron's flow strength. Alternatively, it may arise from more interesting phenomena such as chiral magnetic waves. Our approach in this paper is a minimalist one, asking whether such effects may be expected without invoking exotic phenomena or abandoning a scenario of flowing quarks which coalesce into flowing hadrons.

The absence of complete kinetic thermalization at low energies ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} \lesssim 30$ GeV) has long been recognized, based on the severe failure of hydrodynamics to reproduce hadronic $v₂$ [\[34\]](#page-5-0). In short, at these energies, the constituents of the system do not rescatter sufficiently to achieve thermalization. As we have discussed, at these energies, baryon transport from the entrance channel plays a huge role. We wish to test the robustness of the constituent quark paradigm, so the transported baryon number is represented by transported *u* and *d* quarks. The very fact that they have been transported over a significant rapidity range attests to the likelihood that these quarks, in any event, have suffered many scatterings. We make the plausible postulate that transported quarks experience more scatterings than produced ones at these energies, hence approaching the thermal limit more closely and developing a larger v_2 .

Clearly, the resulting nonthermal quark momentum distribution reflects a continuum of quarks rescattering more or less before coalescence. In order to render the problem tractable, we model the situation in a simple limit of two populations, transported quarks and produced quarks, with the former population characterized by a stronger flow than the latter. We emphasize that this is a simplification in order to make a point: we do not propose that there are really two distinct thermalized fluids created in a heavy-ion collision.

Hence, we have two populations of constituent quarks with distinct flow fields, $v_2^{q^P}$ and $v_2^{q^T}$, for produced $(u^P, \bar{u}^P, d^P,$ d^P , s^P , \bar{s}^P) and transported (\bar{u}^T, d^T) quarks, respectively. In this simplest two-component model, a hadron's elliptic flow

FIG. 1. (Color online) $NCO₂$, the simplest generalization of the $NCO₁$ model, in which the transported up and down quarks have a 40% stronger intrinsic v_2 than do the produced quarks, which themselves all have the same v_2 . The fraction of u and d quarks that are transported is 50% and 55%, respectively. See text for details. (a) Intrinsic v_2 of all quarks. (b) Hadron v_2 based on coalescence of quarks shown to the left. (c) NCQ-scaled hadron flow, $v_2(p_T/n)/n$. (d) Deviation from simple NCQ scaling—the curves from panel (c) divided by their average. In panels (b)–(d), K^+ data points lie beneath those for π^{+} , K⁻ data points lie beneath those of ϕ , and the data points for all antibaryons are coincident.

parameter is given by

$$
v_2^h(p_T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[X_{q_i^T} v_2^{q_i^T} (p_T/n) + \left(1 - X_{q_i^T} \right) v_2^{q_i^P} (p_T/n) \right],\tag{7}
$$

where $X_{q_i^T}$ is the fraction of quark species q_i that originates from baryon stopping, as discussed in Sec. [II.](#page-1-0) As per the discussion in that section, reasonable estimates are $X_u = 0.50$ and *X_d* = 0.55. Naturally, *X*_{\bar{u}^T = *X*_{\bar{d}^T = *X*_{*s*}^{*T*} = *X*_{*s*}^{*T*} = 0.}}

Figure 1 shows a example of the resulting v_2 from our simple NCQ2 scenario. For the purpose of illustration, for these calculations, we had to assume some functional form for the quark elliptic flow. We chose the same functional form for both produced and transported quarks:

$$
v_2(p_T) = M \tanh[p_T/(0.5 \text{GeV/c})]. \tag{8}
$$

For the example in Fig. 1, $M = 0.07$ for transported quarks and $M = 0.05$ for produced quarks. The choice of this particular functional form is rather arbitrary and does not affect the points we make below.

Clearly, simple NCQ scaling [Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-0)] is violated, with an unavoidable species-dependent signature. In particular, one

FIG. 2. (Color online) The same as in Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) but the produced strange quarks have 10% less intrinsic v_2 than do the produced light quarks. See text for details.

finds

$$
v_2[\pi^- = d\bar{u}] > v_2[\pi^+ = u\bar{d}],
$$

\n
$$
v_2[K^+ = u\bar{s}] > v_2[K^- = \bar{u}s],
$$

\n
$$
v_2[p = uud] > v_2[\bar{p} = \bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d}],
$$

\n
$$
v_2[\Lambda = uds] > v_2[\bar{\Lambda} = \bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{s}],
$$

\n
$$
v_2[p = uud] > v_2[\Lambda = uds],
$$

\n
$$
(v_2[p = uud] - v_2[\bar{p} = \bar{u}\bar{u}\bar{d}])
$$

\n
$$
> (v_2[\Lambda = uds] - v_2[\bar{\Lambda} = \bar{u}\bar{d}\bar{s}]).
$$

It is interesting that the ordering of v_2 for positive and negative pions is the same as that predicted due to chiralmagnetic-wave effects [\[22\]](#page-5-0). We also find that the charge ordering for kaons $(v_2[K^+] > v_2[K^-])$ is opposite to that for pions. The chiral-magnetic-wave effect would generate the *same* charge ordering for pions as for kaons, thus providing a testable distinction between the chiral-magnetic model and our stopping-based model. However, hadronic effects (e.g., the smaller cross section for K[−]) may complicate the interpretation of such a test $\lceil 35 \rceil$.

A comparison of the anisotropies of particles and their antipartners, as listed in Eq. (9), is straightforward and relatively unambiguous. The details of cross-species comparisons can depend more on the particular functional forms used for the quark flow profiles and the weighting factors $X_{q_i^T}$, and whether the latter depend on p_T . For the simple case that we have considered, $\frac{v_2[p]}{3} > \frac{v_2[\pi^{\pm}]}{2}$, the entire species dependences can be seen in Figs. $1(d)$ and $2(d)$.

Our primary points have been made already in this simple model, but we mention an additional complication. If there is insufficient rescattering to fully thermalize the light produced quarks, then the heavier strange produced quarks are likely to be even less thermalized. In this case, $v_2^{s^P} < v_2^{u^P, d^P}$; similar considerations have been discussed by Lin and Ko [\[36\]](#page-5-0). Figure 2 shows the situation when the functional form of Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-0) describes the flow of all quarks, as before, but now $M = 0.045$ for the strange quarks. In this case, the degeneracies (e.g., $v_2[K^-] = v_2[\phi]$) seen in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) and listed in its caption are broken; all hadrons have distinct elliptic flow curves.

Additional reasonable complications can be considered. Clearly, the functional forms used for the quark flow can be varied from the simple form [Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-0)] used here. Furthermore, one may reasonably argue that the fraction of light quarks arising from transport $(X_{q_i^T})$ should depend on p_T ; we have treated it as a constant for simplicity. The exploration of such considerations amounts to tuning the model. We leave such explorations for later comparison and fitting when data become available.

IV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The success of NCQ scaling of elliptic flow at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV has been one of the most striking observations at RHIC, strongly suggesting the creation of a flowing, thermalized bulk system of quarks that coalesce into hadrons. Hence, observing the violation of this scaling as $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ is decreased could be of crucial importance, both for validating the simple dynamical constituent quark model and for pinpointing the conditions required to undergo the deconfinement phase transition. Furthermore, recent theoretical predictions suggest that a chiral-magnetic-wave effect may reveal itself by inducing a different flow for positive and negative pions [\[22\]](#page-5-0). The observation of NCQ scaling violations would thus be potentially far reaching.

It is important, therefore, to explore less exotic reasons for any scaling violations. We have discussed one simple scenario here, which requires neither a fundamental difference in the phase of QCD matter in the measured energy range nor a new exotic effect.

The model predicts an unavoidable species-dependent pattern for the breakdown of NCQ scaling and depends on only two assumptions. First, it assumes that, just as at top RHIC energies, the system can be described in terms of constituent quarks that coalesce into hadrons as the system cools. Second, it assumes that quarks transported from beam rapidity to midrapidity suffer more violent scatterings than do quarks produced at midrapidity at low $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$. We *simplified* the situation by treating the system as two distinct quark populations, but our main points do not depend on this simplification.

[Baryon transport from the entrance channel is another important ingredient of the model, but its relevance is far from an assumption; the phenomenon of stopping is well known and the isospin effect $(X_{d^T} > X_{u^T})$ is obvious and based on data, as discussed in Sec. [II.](#page-1-0)]

J. C. DUNLOP, M. A. LISA, AND P. SORENSEN PHYSICAL REVIEW C **84**, 044914 (2011)

The second of our two assumptions seems at least very plausible. It is clear that at low energies, the system does not have sufficient density or energy to fully thermalize the dynamical constituents do not scatter enough. Unlike the produced particles born at midrapidity, however, the transported quarks had to undergo several collisions just to reach midrapidity, after which they could rescatter further.

It is the first assumption—that even at low energies where scaling violations might be found, the system is well described by a flowing system of constituent quarks—that seems most questionable. Nevertheless, our task has been to explore the implications of its validity even at low $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$. We have found an unambiguous species dependence of v_2 listed in Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-4-0). Quantitative details depend on tuning, which we do not consider in this first study. Detailed comparisons with experimental data should be performed, but we have shown that violation of NCQ scaling or particleantiparticle v_2 degeneracy themselves is insufficient to claim either the crossing of the deconfinement threshold or exotic phenomena.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Michael Mitrovski and Dr. Rosi Reed for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the US National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0970048 and by the Offices of NP and HEP within the US Department of Energy Office of Science under Contracts No. DE-FG02-88ER40412 and No. DE-AC02- 98CH10886.

- [1] F. E. Taylor *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **14**[, 1217 \(1976\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.1217)
- [2] PHENIX Collaboration, S. S. Adler *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.172301) **91**, [172301 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.172301)
- [3] STAR Collaboration, J. Adams *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.052302) **92**, 052302 [\(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.052302)
- [4] J. I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. C **21**[, 1301 \(1980\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.21.1301)
- [5] H. Sato and K. Yazaki, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(81)90976-X) **98**, 153 (1981).
- [6] J. L. Nagle, B. S. Kumar, D. Kusnezov, H. Sorge, and R. Mattiello, Phys. Rev. C **53**[, 367 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.53.367)
- [7] K. P. Das and R. C. Hwa, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(77)90469-5) **68**, 459 (1977).
- [8] S. A. Voloshin, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(02)01450-1) **715**, 379 (2003).
- [9] R. C. Hwa and C. B. Yang, Phys. Rev. C **67**[, 064902 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.064902)
- [10] R. J. Fries, B. Muller, C. Nonaka, and S. A. Bass, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.202303)* Lett. **90**[, 202303 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.202303)
- [11] V. Greco, C. M. Ko, and P. Levai, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.202302) **90**, 202302 [\(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.202302)
- [12] R. J. Fries, V. Greco, and P. Sorensen, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.58.110707.171134) Sci. **58**[, 177 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.58.110707.171134)
- [13] B. Muller, R. J. Fries, and S. A. Bass, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.05.025) **618**, 77 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.05.025)
- [14] STAR Collaboration, P. Sorensen *et al.*, [J. Phys. G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/30/1/024) **30**, S217 [\(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/30/1/024)
- [15] P. Sorensen, e-print [arXiv:0905.0174.](http://arXiv.org/abs/arXiv:0905.0174)
- [16] M. S. Bhagwat, I. C. Cloet, and C. D. Roberts, Proceedings of the Workshop on Exclusive Reactions at High Momentum Transfer (unpublished).
- [17] L. Chang and C. D. Roberts, [AIP Conf. Proc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3622689) **1361**, 91 (2011).
- [18] L. Ravagli and R. Rapp, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.07.043) **655**, 126 (2007).
- [19] D. Krieg and M. Bleicher, Phys. Rev. C **78**[, 054903 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.054903)
- [20] S. A. Voloshin, A. M. Poskanzer, and R. Snellings, e-print [arXiv:0809.2949.](http://arXiv.org/abs/arXiv:0809.2949)
- [21] STAR Collaboration, M. M. Aggarwal *et al.*, e-print [arXiv:1007.2613.](http://arXiv.org/abs/arXiv:1007.2613)
- [22] Y. Burnier, D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, and H.-U. Yee, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.052303)* Lett. **107**[, 052303 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.052303)
- [23] BRAHMS Collaboration, I. C. Arsene *et al.*, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.05.049) **677**, [267 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.05.049)
- [24] J. Randrup and J. Cleymans, Phys. Rev. C **74**[, 047901 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.047901)
- [25] H. Oeschler, J. Cleymans, K. Redlich, and S. Wheaton, PoS **CPOD2009**, 032 (2009).
- [26] NA49 Collaboration, T. Anticic *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.014901) **83**, 014901 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.014901)
- [27] The full data compilation of these measurements may be found on the web at [\[https://edms.cern.ch/file/1075059/1/na49_compil](https://edms.cern.ch/file/1075059/1/na49_compil.pdf) [.pdf\]](https://edms.cern.ch/file/1075059/1/na49_compil.pdf).
- [28] NA49 Collaboration, S. Afanasiev *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.66.054902) **66**, [054902 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.66.054902)
- [29] NA49 Collaboration, T. Anticic *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.024902) **69**, 024902 [\(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.024902)
- [30] NA49 Collaboration, C. Alt *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.044910) **73**, 044910 [\(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.044910)
- [31] NA49 Collaboration, C. Alt *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.024903) **77**, 024903 [\(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.77.024903)
- [32] NA49 Collaboration, C. Alt *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.034918) **78**, 034918 [\(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.034918)
- [33] NA49 Collaboration, C. Alt *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.044907) **78**, 044907 [\(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.044907)
- [34] NA49 Collaboration, C. Alt *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.034903) **68**, 034903 [\(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.034903)
- [35] D. Kharzeev (private communication).
- [36] Z. W. Lin and C. M. Ko, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.202302) **89**, 202302 [\(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.202302)