Theory of deuteron stripping: From surface integrals to a generalized *R*-matrix approach

A. M. Mukhamedzhanov
Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA
(Received 23 August 2011; published 21 October 2011)

There are two main reasons for the absence of a practical theory of stripping to resonance states that could be used by experimental groups: The numerical problem of the convergence of the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA) matrix element when the full transition operator is included and the ambiguity over what spectroscopic information can be extracted from the analysis of transfer reactions populating the resonance states. The purpose of this paper is to address both questions. The theory of the deuteron stripping is developed, which is based on the post continuum discretized coupled channels (CDCCs) formalism going beyond of the DWBA and surface integral formulation of the reaction theory [A. S. Kadyrov *et al*., Ann. Phys. **324**, 1516 (2009)]. First, the formalism is developed for the DWBA and then it is extended to the CDCC formalism, which is the ultimate goal of this work. The CDCC wave function takes into account not only the initial elastic $d + A$ channel but also its coupling to the deuteron breakup channel $p + n + A$ missing in the DWBA. Stripping to both bound states and resonances is included. The convergence problem for stripping to resonance states is solved in the post CDCC formalism. The reaction amplitude is parametrized in terms of the reduced width amplitudes (asymptotic normalization coefficients), inverse level matrix, boundary condition, and channel radius, which are the same parameters used in the conventional R-matrix method. For stripping to resonance states, many-level and one- and two-channel cases are considered. The theory provides a consistent tool to analyze both binary resonant reactions and deuteron stripping in terms of the same parameters.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.84.044616 PACS number(s): 24.30.−v, 25.45.Hi, 24.10.−i

I. INTRODUCTION

Production of unstable nuclei close to proton and neutron drip lines has become possible in recent years, making deuteron stripping reactions (d, p) and (d, n) on these nuclei (in inverse kinematics) not only more and more feasible as beam intensity increases but also a unique tool to study unstable nuclei and astrophysical (n, γ), (p, γ), and (p, α) processes. The deuteron stripping reactions populating resonance states of final nuclei are important and the most challenging part of reactions on unstable nuclei. If for nucleon transfer reactions populating bound states for about 50 years experimentalists used the standard distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA), an adequate theory for transfer reactions to resonance states has yet to be developed. By standard DWBA I mean the approach in which the one-step transfer matrix element is evaluated with incoming and outgoing distorted waves calculated by fitting the deuteron and proton elastic scattering with local optical potentials. The transition operator contains finite range effects as well as the full complex remnant term. The main idea of the DWBA is that the transition matrix element is so small that one can use the first-order perturbation theory. Because the nuclear potential is quite large by itself (∼100 MeV), the smallness of the transition operator can be fulfilled only if the reaction is peripheral enough that the nondiagonal matrix element, representing the transfer reaction amplitude, becomes small. However, because the resonance wave function is large in the nuclear interior and different channels are coupled in the nuclear interior, the character of the stripping to resonances can be quite different from the stripping to bound states. Nowadays the standard DWBA is gradually being replaced by more advanced approaches such as continuum discretized coupled channels (CDCCs) [1–3], adiabatic distorted wave (ADWA) [4], coupled reaction channels (CRCs), and the coupled channels in Born approximation (CCBA) available in FRESCO code [5]. There are two main reasons for the absence of the practical theory of stripping to resonance states that could be used by experimental groups. The first one is the numerical problem of the convergence of the DWBA matrix element when the full transition operator is included. However, it is only a technical problem. The second pure scientific unsolved problem is what spectroscopic information can be extracted from the analysis of transfer reactions populating the resonance states. Besides, because the standard DWBA is deficient than more advanced methods such as CDCC or ADWA, a new approach should go beyond DWBA.

The majority of theoretical works devoted to the development of the theory of single-nucleon stripping into unbound states of the residual nucleus were published in the 1970s [6–21]. Great interest in these reactions at that time stemmed primarily from the fact that they allow one to extract reliable information on the properties of nuclear resonant states by means of the combined analysis of the data on stripping and elastic resonant scattering of nucleons from the target nucleus [8,13,15,16]. In most of the cited works the theory of stripping into resonant states was developed within the standard DWBA by analogy with usual stripping to bound states. In this case the expression for the reaction amplitude obtained instead of the bound-state wave function for the captured nucleon (form factor) contained a continuum wave function that leads to slow convergence of the radial integrals or even to their divergence depending on the choice of this wave function. In Refs. [6,9,11] the form factor was taken to be a scattering wave function, which described the resonant scattering of the nucleon from

the target nucleus. This wave function was calculated using a single-particle potential whose parameters were adjusted to give a resonance with the corresponding properties. The Gamov decaying-state wave function and the Weinberg wave function, which are regular at the origin and purely outgoing at infinity, were used in Refs. [10] and [14], respectively. Various methods were suggested to calculate radial integrals practically with the above-mentioned form factors: (i) the introduction of the convergence factor $\exp(-\alpha r)$ into the integrand [6] (the integral obtained was calculated for various $\alpha > 0$ and then its values were extrapolated numerically to the limit of $\alpha = 0$); (ii) the method of contour integration in the complex r plane (complex scaling) [9]; (iii) the method based on the correct account of the boundary conditions in the three-body scattering problem [11]; (iv) the Zeldowich-Berggren method [20] of the regularization of integrals containing the Gamov function in which the convergence factor $\exp(-\alpha r^2)$ was introduced [10]; (v) the pseudo-bound-states method [14]. Methods (ii) and (iii) were most convenient for numerical calculations. Although the above methods allow one to avoid formal difficulties, all the methods are rather complicated because of cumbersome numerical calculations and carry on the shortcomings of the standard DWBA for stripping to bound states.

Even if we put aside the technical problem of convergence of the matrix element for stripping to resonance states, a more important aspect remains: the spectroscopic information that can be extracted from analysis of deuteron stripping reactions (and other transfer reactions) into resonant states. This is really a crucial question because the answer determines the reason why we measure nuclear reactions. For more than 50 years transfer reactions to bound states, and deuteron stripping in particular, have been used to determine the spectroscopic factors, which measure the weight of the single-particle state in the overlap function of the initial and final nuclei. That is why there was always a temptation to develop a theory of stripping into resonant states that is fully similar to stripping to bound states. For example, in Ref. [13] it was assumed that the spectroscopic factor could be extracted from deuteron stripping into resonance states. In this case the spectroscopic factor is the ratio of the observable and single-particle resonance widths. However, the spectroscopic factor is not observable and depends on the single-particle potential used to calculate the single-particle width. In Ref. [22] it has been shown that spectroscopic factors are not invariant under finite-range unitary transformations and, hence, in an exact approach nuclear reactions cannot be a tool to determine spectroscopic factors. In Ref. [22] it was called separation of nuclear reactions and spectroscopic factors. However, there is a model-independent information, which can be extracted from deuteron-stripping reactions. I mean the asymptotic normalization coefficients (ANCs), which are the amplitudes of the tails of the overlap functions [23] and are invariant under finite-range unitary transformations. The most model-independent definition of the ANC is that it determines the residue of the elastic scattering S matrix in the pole corresponding to bound, virtual, or resonance states. For the resonance state the ANC and partial resonance widths are related [24,25]:

$$\left[C^F_{Ajl}\right]^2 = (-1)^l e^{i2\phi_{jl}(k_{xA(0)jl})} \frac{\mu_{xA}}{k_{xA(0)jl}} \Gamma_{xAjl}. \qquad (1)$$

Here l and j are the orbital and total angular momentum of particle x in the resonance state $F = (Ax)$, μ_{xA} is the reduced mass of x and A, $k_{xA(0)jl}$ is the real part of the resonance relative momentum of x and A, $\phi_{jl}(k_{xA})$ is the nonresonant scattering phase shift, and C^F_{Ajl} and Γ_{xAjl} are the ANC and partial resonance width in the channel $x + A$ with the quantum numbers l and j. Equation (1) stands for narrow resonance, that is, for $k_{xA(I)jl} \ll k_{xA(0)jl}$, where $k_{xA(I)jl}$ is the imaginary part of the resonance momentum $k_{xA(R)jl} = k_{xA(0)jl} - ik_{xA(I)jl}$, which determines the location of the resonance pole in the momentum plane. Owing to relation (1), the resonance width is also invariant under finite-range unitary transformations and can be determined from the experiment.

Nowadays, it is quite well understood that the ANCs can be determined from peripheral transfer reactions (see Refs. [26–31] and references therein). However, the ANC method has been applied only for transfer reactions populating bound states. It is well known that from binary resonance scattering and reactions using the conventional R-matrix approach one can determine the resonance partial widths, which, as we have underscored, are related to the ANCs. The R-matrix method is one of the most popular tools among the experimental groups worldwide because the approach is comparatively simple even for many-body, many-channel cases and deals with the formal partial resonance widths determined from the fit to the experimental data. These formal widths can be easily related with the observable partial widths. Using the R-matrix approach one can fit simultaneously data for all available channels. It allows one to control the consistency of the obtained physical parameters. The question is whether the theory of stripping to resonance states can be formulated in terms of the same parameters that are used in the R-matrix analysis of the binary resonance reactions.

It is the purpose of this paper to deliver a theory of the deuteron stripping that will solve all the above-mentioned problems for the deuteron stripping into resonant states. This theory is based on the post CDCC formalism going beyond of the DWBA and surface integral formulation of the reaction theory [32]. The CDCC wave function takes into account not only the initial elastic $d + A$ channel but also its coupling to the deuteron breakup channel $p + n + A$ missing in the DWBA. The convergence problem is also resolved in this formalism. The reaction amplitude is parametrized in terms of the reduced width amplitudes (ANCs), inverse level matrix, boundary condition, and channel radius, that is, the same parameters that are used in the R-matrix method. Thus, the theory provides a consistent tool to analyze both binary resonant reactions and deuteron stripping in terms of the same parameters.

The theory is based on the surface-integral formulation of nuclear reactions and valid for stripping to both bound and resonance states. First, just for demonstration of the formalism, the transformation of the DWBA amplitude for stripping to the bound state is presented. The reaction matrix element is split into two parts: internal (over the relative coordinate between the transferred nucleon and target) and

external. The idea of such separation is based on the fact that in the post formalism the main contribution to the stripping amplitude comes from the nuclear exterior while the prior form amplitude is dominated by the internal region. It is shown that the dominant external post (internal prior) amplitude using Green's theorem can be written as the dominant surface integral encircling the internal volume plus a small addition from the prior external (post internal) part. Thus, both post and prior forms lead to the same reaction amplitude given by the sum of small internal post form, small external prior form, and the dominant surface integral. The contribution of the post internal part can be minimized by a proper choice of the final-state optical potential, and the other two amplitudes are parameterized in terms of the reduced width amplitudes (ANCs). After that the theory is extended to the CDCC formalism. Then the theory is applied for stripping to resonance states. First it is developed for the standard DWBA and then the post CDCC formalism based on the surface integrals is developed. One of the most important results of this paper is that the post CDCC form for stripping into resonant states can be written as the sum of the small internal (over the coordinate r_{nA}) post form and the dominant surface part. The absence of the diverging (or poor converging) external part solves the problem of convergence of the matrix element for stripping to resonance state.

In the developed approach the information about the resonance subprocess is contained in the scattering wave function of the fragments formed by resonance decay. This wave function is written in a standard R-matrix form using its separation into the internal and external parts. It allows us to generalize the R-matrix method for binary reactions to stripping reactions. Because the deuteron stripping into resonant states is a two- to three-particle reaction, the excitation of the resonance occurs in the subsystem, while the third particle causes the distortion. The extracted partial resonance widths can be used for calculation of the (n,γ) processes. If the cross section for (n,γ) resonant capture is available, the simultaneous fit to the deuteron stripping and (n,γ) resonance capture can be done. The method can be also applied for analysis of the Trojan Horse reactions [33]. Concrete calculations and the application of the theory for deuteron stripping and Trojan Horse reactions will be presented in follow-up papers. In what follows we use the system of units in which $\hbar = c = 1$. We also neglect the spins of the particles if not specified otherwise.

II. SURFACE INTEGRAL FORMULATION FOR DEUTERON STRIPPING TO BOUND STATE

Before the theory of the deuteron stripping to resonant states is outlined I present a surface integral formulation of the theory for stripping populating bound states. First, just for demonstration, I consider the DWBA and then extend it by including the CDCC wave functions. As has been explained in Introduction, the transfer reaction matrix element will be split into two parts in the subspace determining the relative motion of the transferred nucleon and target: internal and external parts. After that, replacing the potentials in the transition operators with the kinetic energy operators and using Green's theorem the matrix element in terms of the surface integral is obtained.

A. Stripping to bound state: Post form of DWBA

In this section we consider the post form DWBA amplitude, which we split into the internal and external part in the subspace over the relative coordinate between the transferred n and A. Owing to the choice of the transition operator in the post form, the internal part turns out to be small. The external part, which is parameterized in terms of the ANC, will be transformed into the dominant surface integral encircling the internal volume and small external prior DWBA amplitude.

We start consideration from the exact reaction amplitude for the deuteron stripping to bound states

$$d + A \to p + F, \tag{2}$$

where $F = (An)$ is the bound state. The post form of the exact reaction amplitude

$$M^{(\mathrm{post})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Phi_f^{(-)} \middle| \Delta V_{pF} \middle| \Psi_i^{(+)} \right\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where $\Psi_i^{(+)}$ is the exact scattering wave function in the initial state with the two-body incident wave $d + A$, $\Phi_f^{(-)} = \chi_{pF}^{(-)}\varphi_F$ is the channel wave function in the exit state $p + F$, φ_i is the bound-state wave function of nucleus i, $\chi_{ij}^{(+)} \equiv \chi_{\mathbf{k}_{ij}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{ij})$ is the distorted wave describing the relative motion of particles i and j with the relative momentum $\mathbf{k}_{ij}$; $\Delta V_{pF} = V_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$ is the transition operator in the post form, V_{ij} is the microscopic interaction potential between nuclei i and j, U_{ij} is the optical potential between nuclei i and j; $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ is the radius vector connecting the center of mass of particles i and j. I remind the reader that the exact wave function $\Psi_i^{(+)}$ is fully antisymmetrized but the channel wave function $\Phi_f^{(-)}$ is not antisymmetrized with respect to exchange of the exiting proton and nucleons in F. However, the internal wave function of F, φ_F, in $\Phi_f^{(-)}$ is fully antisymmetrized. The reason why we can drop the antisymmetrization in the channel wave function is the presence of the fully antisymmetrized exact wave function in the initial state and fully symmetric transition operator, which can be seen below when the transition operator is expressed in terms of the kinetic energy operators.

To obtain the post form of the DWBA from Eq. (3) we replace $\Psi_i^{(+)}$ with the channel wave function $\Phi_i^{(+)} = \varphi_d\varphi_A\chi_{dA}^{(+)}$ in the initial $d + A$ state:

$$\tilde{M}^{(\mathrm{post})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Phi_f^{(-)} \middle| \Delta V_{pF} \middle| \Phi_i^{(+)} \right\rangle. \tag{4}$$

Then we use approximation

$$\varphi_F \approx I_A^F \varphi_A, \tag{5}$$

where $I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA})$ is the overlap function of the bound-state wave functions of nuclei F and A:

$$I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) = \langle \varphi_A | \varphi_F \rangle. \tag{6}$$

The antisymmetrization factor has been absorbed in the overlap function. Note that the integration in Eq. (6) is taken over all the internal coordinates of nucleus A. Then the transition operator in Eq. (4) takes the form $\langle \varphi_A | \Delta V_{pF} | \varphi_A \rangle = \langle \varphi_A | V_{pA} | \varphi_A \rangle + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$. The potential $\langle \varphi_A | V_{pA} | \varphi_A \rangle$ is replaced with the

optical potential U_{pA} and we obtain a standard post form of the DWBA amplitude:

$$M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Phi_f^{(-)} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \Phi_i^{(+)} \right\rangle, \tag{7}$$

where $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF} = U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$. Now we transform this volume integral into the surface one. First, we adopt $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ as Jacobian variables and split the configuration space over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ into the internal and external regions, while the integral over the second Jacobian variable, $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$, is taken over all the coordinate space. Splitting the reaction amplitude into internal and external amplitudes we get

$$M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}), \tag{8}$$

where the internal amplitude $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$ is given by

$$M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}. \tag{9}$$

Correspondingly, the external amplitude is given by

$$M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \tag{10}$$

Here, R_{nA} is the channel radius similar to the one introduced in the R-matrix approach, which separates the internal and external regions.

The splitting of the amplitude into the internal and external parts in the subspace over the Jacobian variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is natural and evident. The overlap function $I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA})$ is the only object in the reaction amplitude that provides spectroscopic and structure information. In the external region the overlap function has a standard radial shape given by the spherical Hankel function (for neutrons) with the amplitude called the ANC (see below). To determine the behavior of the overlap function in the nuclear interior, which brings one of the main uncertainties in the analysis of the deuteron stripping, microscopic calculations are required [34]. In a standard approach the internal part of the overlap function is approximated by the single-particle bound-state wave function calculated in the adopted mean field. The proportionality coefficient is the square root of the spectroscopic factor. Owing to the structure of the transition operator the external matrix element $M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$ in the post form is dominant compared to a small contribution coming from the internal part $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$. This simple observation stems from the following.

In the internal matrix element, $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$, owing to absorption of the protons inside nucleus F, effective $r_{pn} \sim r_{pA} \approx r_{pF} > R_F$, where R_F is the radius of nucleus F. For the protons outside of F and neutrons inside or on the surface of A each nuclear interaction in the operator $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF} = U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$ is small. Potential U_{pF} is arbitrary and often U_{pF} is chosen to compensate for U_{pA} so that the transition operator reduces to V_{pn}. Because the DWBA is the first-order perturbation theory, the minimization of the whole transition operator $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF}$ provides smaller higher-order terms and, hence, better serves the theory. This choice is more preferable in the formalism presented here and we adopt U_{pF}, which minimizes $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF} = U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$ at $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$, making the contribution from the internal matrix element small compared to the external one.

In the external matrix element ($r_{nA} > R_{nA}$), which is dominant, the overlap function I_A^F can be replaced by its asymptotic tail. Although $M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$ can be easily calculated for stripping to the bound state, here we transform this matrix element into an alternative form, which has a clear advantage in the case of stripping to resonance states discussed below, where convergence becomes a main impediment.

Now we proceed to the transformation of the volume integral defining the external matrix element in terms of the dominant surface integral encircling the sphere at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$ and a small, owing to the structure of the transition operator in the prior form [see Eq. (17)], external volume integral in the prior form. Note that the transformation is exact within the DWBA formalism.

To transform the external volume integral to the surface one, we rewrite the transition operator as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\overline{V}_{pF} &= U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF} \\ &= [V_{pn} + U_{dA}] - [U_{pF}] + (U_{pA} - U_{dA}).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The bracketed operators are the right-hand-side operators in the Schrödinger equations for the initial and final channel wave functions in the external region:

$$(E - T)\varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} = (V_{pn} + U_{dA})\varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \tag{12}$$

and

$$(E - T) I_A^{F*} \chi_{pF}^{(-)*} = U_{pF} I_A^{F*} \chi_{pF}^{(-)*}. \tag{13}$$

To derive Eq. (13) we took into account that at $r_{nA} > R_{nA}$ I_A^F satisfies the asymptotic Schrödinger equation $(\varepsilon_{nA} - T_{nA})I_A^F = 0$, where ε_{ij} is the binding energy of the bound state (ij) and T_{ij} is the kinetic energy operator of the relative motion of i and j. These equations imply the following connection between the external post form DWBA amplitude and the matrix element M_S^{DW} containing the surface integral

$$M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_S^{\mathrm{DW}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}), \tag{14}$$

where

$$M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} \tag{15}$$

and

$$M_S^{DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \tag{16}$$

Here the transition operator in the prior form $\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}$ in the external region, where the nuclear n-A interaction disappears, takes the form

$$\Delta\overline{V}_{dA} = U_{pA} - U_{dA}. \tag{17}$$

The overlap function is given by

$$I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) = \sum_{j_{nA}m_{j_{nA}}m_{l_{nA}}} \langle J_A M_A j_{nA} m_{j_{nA}} | J_F M_F \rangle \times \langle J_n M_n l_{nA} m_{l_{nA}} | j_{nA} m_{j_{nA}} \rangle \times Y_{l_{nA}m_{l_{nA}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) I_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}}(r_{nA}). \quad (18)$$

Here $\langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | j_3 m_3 \rangle$ is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient, l_{nA} ($m_{l_{nA}}$) is the orbital angular momentum (its projection) of the relative motion of n and A, j_{nA} ($m_{j_{nA}}$) is the total angular momentum (its projection) of n in the bound state $F = (nA)$, $J_i(M_i)$ is the spin (its projection) of nucleus i, $I^F_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}}(r_{nA})$ is the radial overlap function, which is a real function [23], $Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ is the spherical harmonics, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/r$ is the unit vector. We assume that only one value of l_{nA} contributes to expansion (18). If the channel radius is taken larger than the range of the nuclear interaction, the radial overlap function can be replaced by its asymptotic term,

$$I^F_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}}(R_{nA}) \overset{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}{\approx} C^F_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}} i^{l_{nA}+1} \kappa_{nA} h^{(1)}_{l_{nA}}(i\kappa_{nA} r_{nA}), \quad (19)$$

where $h^{(1)}_{l_{nA}}(i\kappa_{nA} r_{nA})$ is the spherical Hankel function of the first order, $C^F_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}}$ is the ANC of the overlap function, and $\kappa_{nA} = \sqrt{2\mu_{nA}\varepsilon_{nA}}$ is the bound-state wave number.

It is also useful to introduce the reduced-width amplitude used in the R-matrix approach, which can be expressed in terms of the ANC [25]:

$$\gamma_{nAj_{nA}l_{nA}} = \sqrt{\frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}}} I^F_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}}(R_{nA}) = \sqrt{\frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}}} i^{l_{nA}+1} \kappa_{nA} C^F_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}} h^{(1)}_{l_{nA}}(i\kappa_{nA} R_{nA}). \quad (20)$$

Correspondingly, the reduced width is

$$\gamma^2_{nAj_{nA}l_{nA}} = \frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}} \left[I^F_{Aj_{nA}l_{nA}}(R_{nA}) \right]^2 = \frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}} (-1)^{l_{nA}+1} \kappa^2_{nA} \left[C^F_{A\, j_{nA}l_{nA}} h^{(1)}_{l_{nA}}(i\kappa_{nA} R_{nA}) \right]^2. \quad (21)$$

It is worth mentioning that, owing to the presence of the channel radius R_{nA}, the reduced width, in contrast to the ANC, is model-dependent. The dependence on the channel radius becomes crucial with increasing binding energy. We use also the boundary condition, which is the logarithmic derivative of the overlap function at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$:

$$B_{nA} = \frac{1}{h^{(1)}_{l_{nA}}(i\kappa_{nA} R_{nA})} \frac{d\left[r_{nA} h^{(1)}_{l_{nA}}(i\kappa_{nA} r_{nA}) \right]}{dr} \Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (22)$$

Owing to Eq. (19), the amplitude $M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ can be parametrized in terms of the ANC. We note that this amplitude is also small. In the external region, $r_{nA} > R_{nA}$, the nuclear n-A interaction can be neglected. Besides, in this region the overlap function exponentially fades away. Also, if the proton absorption is strong in the internal region of A, the dominant contribution comes from $r_{pA} > R_A$, where R_A is the radius of nucleus A. If the adopted radius channel R_{nA} is larger than the n-A nuclear interaction radius we can neglect n-A nuclear interaction in the external region. In this region each nuclear potential U^N_{pA} and U^N_{dA} and their difference $U_{pA} - U_{dA}$ are small. The Coulomb part $U^C_{pA} - U^C_{dA} \approx Z_A e^2 R_d/(2R^2_{dA})$, where R_d is the deuteron size and $Z_A e$ is the charge of nucleus A, is also too small compared to the nuclear potential. Thus, the dominant contribution to the post DWBA amplitude $M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ [Eq. (14)] and, hence, to the total post form DWBA amplitude $M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$, comes from the surface integral M^{DW}_S. Here and in what follows all the amplitudes with the transition operator $\overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T}$ are assigned the subscript S, which is abbreviation of "surface," because the volume matrix elements of these amplitudes can be transformed into the surface ones in the subspace over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$, while over the second Jacobian variable $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ we always keep the volume integral.

Now we express M^{DW}_S in terms of the surface integral over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ and the same technique is used throughout the paper. The kinetic energy operator can be written as $T = T_{pF} + T_{nA}$. T_{pF} is a Hermitian operator in the subspace spanned by the bra and ket states in Eq. (16). It can be proved if we take into account that at $r_{pF} \to \infty$ the integrand in this equation vanishes exponentially owing to the presence of the bound state wave function $\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})$ and the overlap function $I^F_A(\mathbf{r}_{nA})$. Hence, integrating by parts twice the integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ we obtain

$$\left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} I^F_A \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} I^F_A \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} = 0. \quad (23)$$

Then M^{DW}_S reduces to

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_S(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} I^F_A \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \quad (24)$$

We apply now Green's theorem to transform the volume integral into the surface one, which encircles the inner volume over the coordinate $\mathbf{r}$:

$$\int_{r\leqslant R} d\mathbf{r}\, f(\mathbf{r}) [\overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T}] g(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2\mu} \oint_{r=R} d\mathbf{S} [g(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f(\mathbf{r}) - f(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} g(\mathbf{r})] = -\frac{1}{2\mu} R^2 \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}} \left[g(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r} - f(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial g(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r} \right]_{r=R}. \quad (25)$$

Here $d\mathbf{S} = R^2 d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathbf{r}}$, where $\Omega_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the solid angle. Note that the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the normal vector to the sphere directed outside of the restricted by the surface volume. The integration in Eq. (24) over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is taken over the external volume restricted by two spherical surfaces: the inner surface with the radius R_{nA} and the external surface with the radius $R'_{nA} \to \infty$; that is,

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_S(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_\infty}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (26)$$

The first term in this equation is the surface integral encircling the inner surface of the external volume at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$, while the second term is the surface integral taken at $r_{nA} = R'_{nA} \to \infty$. A negative sign in front of the first term

appears because the normal to the surface is directed inward to the center of the volume, that is, opposite to the normal to the external surface (at infinitely large radius). The second term vanishes because of the presence of the overlap function I_A^F, which decreases exponentially at $r_{nA} \to \infty$. Then for $M_S^{\rm DW}$ we get

$$M_S^{\rm DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}} R_{nA}^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} \times \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \frac{\partial\left[I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\right]^*}{\partial r_{nA}} - \left[I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\right]^* \frac{\partial\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (27)$$

Here we took into account that $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(-)*}(\mathbf{r}) = \chi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r})$. Invoking Eqs. (18) and (19) we can rewrite $M_S^{\rm DW}$ in the form explicitly showing parametrization in terms of the reduced width amplitude (ANC) and boundary condition, the quantities used in the R-matrix approach:

$$M_S^{DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}} i^{l_{nA}+1}\kappa_{nA}R_{nA}h_{l_{nA}}^{(1)}(i\kappa_{nA}R_{nA}) \sum_{j_{nA}m_{j_{nA}}m_{l_{nA}}M_n} \langle J_A M_A j_{nA} m_{j_{nA}}|J_F M_F\rangle\langle J_n M_n l_{nA} m_{l_{nA}}|j_{nA}m_{j_{nA}}\rangle$$
$$\times \langle J_p M_p J_n M_n|J_d M_d\rangle C_{A_{j_{nA}l_{nA}}}^F \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l_{nA}m_{l_{nA}}}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \Bigg[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA}-1)$$
$$- R_{nA}\frac{\partial\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\Bigg]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}} \quad (28)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}}} \sum_{j_{nA}m_{j_{nA}}m_{l_{nA}}M_n} \langle J_A M_A j_{nA} m_{j_{nA}}|J_F M_F\rangle\langle J_n M_n l_{nA} m_{l_{nA}}|j_{nA}m_{j_{nA}}\rangle\langle J_p M_p J_n M_n|J_d M_d\rangle\gamma_{nAj_{nA}l_{nA}}$$
$$\times \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l_{nA}m_{l_{nA}}}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA}\frac{\partial\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (29)$$

Finally, the total post form DWBA amplitude is given by

$$M^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_S^{\rm DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (30)$$

Taking into account that $M_S^{\rm DW} = M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(post)} - M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}$ we can rewrite Eq. (30) in a different form:

$$M^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + \left[M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA})\right]. \quad (31)$$

Thus, the main result of this section is that the post form of the DWBA amplitude can be written as the sum of the peripheral parts, $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)} + M_S^{\rm DW}$, and small internal term $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}$. The peripheral part itself consists of the dominant surface amplitude $M_S^{\rm DW}$ and small external prior form $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}$. The peripheral part is parametrized in terms of the ANC (reduced width amplitude), channel radius R_{nA} and the logarithmic boundary condition, that is, in terms of the parameters used in the R-matrix fitting. The model dependence of these two peripheral amplitudes is caused by the ambiguity of the optical potentials and channel radius R_{nA}. The strongest model dependence comes from $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}$, because, in addition to the ambiguity of the optical potentials, to calculate it one needs to know the behavior of the overlap function in the internal region. For peripheral reactions contribution of $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}$ can be neglected.

B. Prior form of DWBA: Stripping to bound state

In Sec. II A the post form of the DWBA amplitude has been considered. However, all the results hold also for the prior form

$$M^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle\chi_{pF}^{(-)}I_A^F\middle|\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}\middle|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle = M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}), \quad (32)$$

where

$$M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle\chi_{pF}^{(-)}I_A^F\middle|\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}\middle|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}} \quad (33)$$

and

$$M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \left| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \right| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}, \tag{34}$$

with the transition operator

$$\Delta\overline{V}_{dA} = U_{pA} + \overline{V}_{nA} - U_{dA}. \tag{35}$$

The n-A interaction potential $\overline{V}_{nA} = \langle\varphi_A|V_{nA}|\varphi_A\rangle$ is the mean-field real potential supporting the bound state (nA). The splitting of the amplitude into the internal and external terms in the subspace over the coordinate $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ helps us to further transform the prior DWBA amplitude. Owing to the structure of the transition operator the external matrix element $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}$ in the prior form is small (see the discussion in Sec. II A) and the main contribution in the prior form comes from the internal part $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}$. Because the internal part is given by the volume integral, its calculation requires the knowledge of the overlap function in the internal region. The model dependence of the overlap function in the nuclear interior ($r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$) brings one of the main problems and main uncertainty in the calculation of the internal matrix element. However, using the surface integral we can redistribute the internal contribution in terms of the dominant surface term (over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$) plus small internal part written in terms of the volume integral in the post form. With reasonable choice of the channel radius R_{nA} the contribution from the internal volume integral in the post form can be significantly decreased compared the surface matrix element. The latter can be expressed in terms of the R-matrix parameters: the observable reduced width amplitude (ANC), boundary condition, and channel radius. To transform $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}$ into the surface integral in the subspace over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ we rewrite the transition operator in the internal region as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\overline{V}_{dA} &= U_{pA} + \overline{V}_{nA} - U_{dA} = [\overline{V}_{nA} + U_{pF}] \\ &\quad + (U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}) - [V_{pn} + U_{dA}].\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The bracketed transition operators are the potential operators in the Schrödinger equations for the initial and final channel wave functions. Hence, for the internal prior form of the DWBA we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &\quad = M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_S^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}),\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}M_S^{\rm DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= -\left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \left| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T} \right| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle \\ &= -\left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \left| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \right| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle \\ &= -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}).\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Note that here $M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}$ is the surface integral encircling the border of the internal volume at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$ with the normal directed outward. Thus we have demonstrated, what should be expected from the very beginning, that $M^{\rm DW(prior)} = M^{\rm DW(post)}$. Hence, all the equations obtained in the previous Sec. II A are also valid in the prior formalism.

It is worth mentioning that in the post formalism, in contrast to the prior one, we have obtained two surface integrals (in the subspace over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$) with the radii $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$ and $r_{nA} = R'_{nA} \to \infty$ and then proved that the second integral is zero. From the equality of the post and prior DWBA amplitudes we could conclude that the surface matrix element over infinitely large sphere $r_{nA} = R'_{nA} \to \infty$, which appears only in the post formalism, vanishes.

There is another interesting point to discuss that explains the advantage of the above outlined formulation of the stripping. As we have discussed, owing to different structure of the transition operators in the post and prior forms, the main contribution to the post (prior) form comes from the external (internal) part (in the subspace over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$). Because both forms give identical amplitudes, that is, describe the same reaction mechanism and the same physics, such redistribution of the main contribution is possible only if the main contribution to each form comes from the border between external and internal parts. In the post (prior) form this border attributed to the external (internal) form and can be expressed in term of the surface integral. Let us rewrite equality $M^{\rm DW(prior)} = M^{\rm DW(post)}$ in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}&M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &\quad = M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}).\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

In this form the dominant terms are $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}$ and $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(post)}$ while the remaining two terms, $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}$ and $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}$ are smaller. From Eq. (39) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &\quad = M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &\quad = M_S^{\rm DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}).\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Thus, the difference between the post and prior external amplitudes (or the prior and post internal ones) is the surface integral in the subspace over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$.

There is one more point left to discuss. When deriving the post form of the DWBA amplitude from Eq. (4) we used approximation $\varphi_F \approx I_A^F \varphi_A$ neglecting the contribution from the channels $n + A_n$, $n > 0$, where A_n is the excited state of A. However, I show now that the surface integral formulation does not require this approximation. To this end let us split $\tilde{M}^{\rm (post)}$ into the internal and external parts in the subspace over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$. In the internal part we use a standard DWBA approximation $\varphi_F \approx I_A^F \varphi_A$ to arrive to the standard internal post DWBA amplitude. In the external part we rewrite the transition operator as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta V_{pF} &= V_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF} = -[V_A + U_{pF}] \\ &\quad + [V_{pn} + V_A + U_{dA}] + (V_{pA} - U_{dA}).\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The bracketed operators are the right-hand-side operators of the Schrödinger equations

$$(E - T)\Phi_i^{(+)} = (V_{pn} + V_A + U_{dA})\Phi_i^{(+)} \tag{42}$$

and

$$(E - T)\Phi_f^{(-)*} = (V_A + U_{pF})\Phi_f^{(-)*}. \tag{43}$$

Hence, the external part of $\tilde{M}^{(\mathrm{post})}$ reduces to

$$\tilde{M}^{(\mathrm{post})}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \tilde{M}_{S(\mathrm{ext})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + \tilde{M}^{(\mathrm{prior})}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}), \tag{44}$$

where

$$\tilde{M}^{(\mathrm{prior})}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Phi^{(-)}_f \middle| V_{pA} - U_{dA} \middle| \Phi^{(+)}_i \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} \tag{45}$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_{S(\mathrm{ext})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Phi^{(-)}_f \middle| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T} \middle| \Phi^{(+)}_i \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \tag{46}$$

In the matrix element $\tilde{M}^{(\mathrm{prior})}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ we can use a standard DWBA approximation $\varphi_F \approx I^F_A \varphi_A$, which leads to the standard external prior DWBA amplitude. The matrix element $\tilde{M}_{S(\mathrm{ext})}$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_{S(\mathrm{ext})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= \left\langle \Phi^{(-)}_f \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \middle| \Phi^{(+)}_i \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} \\
&= \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF}\varphi_F \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \middle| \varphi_d\varphi_A\chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} \\
&= \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} I^F_A \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \middle| \varphi_d\chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} \\
&= -M^{DW}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

We took into account that $\langle \Phi^{(-)}_f | \overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} + \overleftarrow{T}_A - \overrightarrow{T}_A | \Phi^{(+)}_i \rangle = 0$, where T_A is the internal motion kinetic energy operator of nucleus A, and $T_{nA}\varphi_A = \varphi_A T_{nA}$. Thus, $\tilde{M}_{S(\mathrm{ext})}$ can be transformed to the surface integral over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ encircling the inner volume with the radius $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$ without invoking approximation $\varphi_F \approx I^F_A\varphi_A$. It means that, when deriving the post form of the DWBA amplitude, the approximation $\varphi_F \approx I^F_A\varphi_A$ is required only to obtain two small terms, $M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}$ and $M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}$, but not the dominant surface term $-M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}$. In this sense the surface integral formalism is an improvement of the DWBA.

C. Deuteron stripping to bound states: Post CDCC formalism

In the previous sections we succeeded in parametrizing the DWBA amplitude in terms of the ANC except for a small term, $M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}$. The most serious shortcoming of the DWBA is that it neglects the coupling to open reaction and breakup channels. This coupling can be taken into account if an exact wave function in the initial or final states is used. However, the exact wave functions are not yet available (if they would be available in the whole configuration space, we do not need to calculate the matrix element because the asymptotic terms of the exact wave functions provide the reaction amplitudes in all the open channels). Here we use the CDCC formalism, which takes into account the elastic $d + A$ and the deuteron breakup channel $p + n + A$ in the initial state.

In this section the surface integral formulation of the reaction theory is applied to the post form of the CDCC amplitude for deuteron stripping to bound states. It allows us to parametrize the stripping amplitude in the CDCC approach in terms of the R-matrix parameters: the reduced width amplitude, boundary condition, and channel radius. To obtain the CDCC wave function describing the initial state of the stripping reaction, first the exact initial scattering wave function $\Psi^{(+)}_i$ is replaced by the three-body wave function $\Psi^{3B(+)}_i$, which takes into account the coupling of the initial channel $d + A$ and the deuteron breakup channel $p + n + A$ [1–3] and satisfies the Schrödinger equation (in the three-body $p + n + A$ model space),

$$(E - T - U_{pA} - U_{nA} - V_{pn})\Psi^{3B(+)}_i = 0, \tag{48}$$

with the outgoing waves in the elastic channel $d + A$ and the breakup channel $p + n + A$. A general solution of this equation with the $d + A$ incident wave has outgoing waves in the elastic, breakup, and two rearrangement channels, $n + (pA)$ and $p + (nA)$. To damp rearrangement channels in the asymptotic behavior of the wave function $\Psi^{3B(+)}_i$ the optical potentials U_{pA} and U_{nA} with strong imaginary terms can be used [35]. $\Psi^{3B(+)}_i$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{3B(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{dA},\mathbf{r}_{pn}) &= \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \\
&\quad + \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}_{pn}\psi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{p}_{pn}}(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{p}_{pn})}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Here $\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})$ is the deuteron bound-state wave function, $\psi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{p}_{pn}}(\mathbf{r}_{pn})$ the p-n scattering wave function with the relative momentum $\mathbf{p}_{pn}$, $\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$ and $\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{p}_{pn})}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$ are the expansion coefficients, and $E_{dA} - \varepsilon_{pn} = P^2/(2\mu_{dA}) + p^2_{pn}/(2\mu_{pn})$.

In practical application the wave function $\Psi^{3B(+)}_i$ is replaced by the CDCC wave function, which is a solution of the projected Schrödinger equation:

$$\left(E - T - U^{(P_{pn})}_{pA} - U^{(P_{pn})}_{nA} - V_{pn}\right)\Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i = 0. \tag{50}$$

Here $U^{(P_{pn})}_{iA} = \hat{P}_{pn}U_{iA}\hat{P}_{pn}$, and

$$\hat{P}_{pn} = \sum_{l_{pn}=0}^{l^{\max}_{pn}} \sum_{m_{l_{pn}}=-l_{pn}}^{l_{pn}} \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{pn}} Y_{l_{pn}m_{l_{pn}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pn}) Y^*_{l_{pn}m_{l_{pn}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}'_{pn}) \tag{51}$$

is the projection operator, which truncates the number of the spherical harmonics $Y_{l_{pn}m_{l_{pn}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pn})$ in the coordinate $\mathbf{r}_{pn}$. Application of this operator to the three-body wave function suppresses the rearrangement channels in the asymptotic wave function. The CDCC wave function is taken in the form

$$\Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pn},\mathbf{r}_{dA}) = \hat{P}_{pn}\sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} \psi^{(n)}_{pn}(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(n)(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{dA}), \tag{52}$$

where $\psi^{(0)}_{pn}(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) = \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})$ is the deuteron bound-state wave function, $\psi^{(n)}_{pn}(\mathbf{r}_{pn})$, $n \geqslant 1$, is the nth discretized continuum state of the p-n pair obtained by averaging continuous breakup states in the nth bin, and $\chi^{(n)(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$ are the functions that describe the relative motion of the center of mass of the p-n pair in the nth state and A. Note that $\chi^{(0)(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$ asymptotically behaves as the incident Coulomb distorted d-A plane wave plus outgoing scattered wave, while $\chi^{(n)(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$ for $n > 0$ asymptotically do not contain any plane wave having only the outgoing scattered wave.

To derive the post form of the CDCC amplitude from the exact one, first we replace the initial exact scattering wave function $\Psi^{(+)}_i$ with $\varphi_A\Psi^{3B(+)}_i$. Note that $\Psi^{3B(+)}_i$ is the three-body model $(p + n + A)$ wave function which treats

nucleus A as a constituent particle leaving its internal degrees of freedom intact. That is why the wave function $\Psi_i^{(+)}$ is approximated by the product of the bound-state wave function φ_A and $\Psi_i^{3B(+)}$. Correspondingly, the transition operator $\Delta V_{pF} = V_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$ is replaced with $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF} = U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$. This replacement of the microscopic potential V_{pA} in the exact post form amplitude by U_{pA} is evident because the p-A interaction potential in the transition operator should be the same as the one in the Schrödinger equation for the initial scattering wave function $\Psi_i^{3B(+)}$. Potential V_{pn} remains the same when we approximate the initial exact scattering wave function with the three-body one. The final-state optical potential U_{pF} is arbitrary and we discuss the optimal choice of this potential later. These approximations lead to the expression for the post form stripping amplitude in the three-body model in the initial state:

$$M^{3B(\text{post})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} \varphi_F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_A \Psi_i^{3B(+)} \right\rangle = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \Psi_i^{3B(+)} \right\rangle. \quad (53)$$

Thus, even if we treat the $d + A$ collision in the initial channel in the three-body approach, the final state contains the overlap function, which is essentially a many-body object. Equation (53) is impractical to use because it requires the knowledge of the three-body wave function $\Psi_i^{3B(+)}$ [Eq. (49)], which contains unknown expansion coefficients $\chi_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$ and $\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{p}_{pn})}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$. In practical applications the $\Psi_i^{3B(+)}$ is approximated by the CDCC wave function $\Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)}$, which requires the knowledge of the finite number of the expansion coefficients. They can be found from the coupled equations. Correspondingly, the transition operator $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF} = U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$ in Eq. (53) is replaced with $\Delta\overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} = U^{P_{pn}}_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$. Note that only the potential $U_{pA}(\mathbf{r}_{pA})$, where $\mathbf{r}_{pA} = \mathbf{r}_{dA} + 1/2\mathbf{r}_{pn}$ is affected by the projector $\hat{P}_{pn}$. Then the expression for the post form of the CDCC amplitude takes the form

$$M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} \middle| \Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle. \quad (54)$$

Now we split M^{CDCC} into the internal and external parts in the subspace $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$:

$$M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (55)$$

The internal amplitude $M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}_{\text{int}}$ is given by

$$M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} \middle| \Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}}. \quad (56)$$

Correspondingly, the external amplitude is

$$M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} \middle| \Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}. \quad (57)$$

I remind the reader that the integral over the second Jacobian variable, $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$, is taken over all the coordinate space. Similarly to the DWBA case, the internal part is small if the channel radius R_{nA} is not too large. Owing to the strong absorption of the proton inside A, which is controlled by the imaginary part of the optical potential $U^{P_{pn}}_{pA}$, the effective distances are $r_{pA} > R_A$. Besides, in the internal region, $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$, and large r_{pA}, where $r_{pA} \sim r_{pn} = |\mathbf{r}_{pA} - \mathbf{r}_{nA}|$, $U^{P_{pn}}_{pA} + V_{pn}$ can be well approximated by a properly chosen optical potential U_{pF} minimizing $\Delta\overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF}$ and the internal matrix element. The next step is to transform the external matrix element to the surface one. To this end we rewrite the transition operator in the form

$$\Delta\overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} = U^{P_{pn}}_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF} = [-U_{pF}] + \left[U^{P_{pn}}_{pA} + V_{pn}\right]. \quad (58)$$

The bracketed operators in Eq. (58) are the right-hand-side potential operators in the Schrödinger equations in the external region $r_{nA} > R_{nA}$, where the nuclear n-A interaction vanishes:

$$(E - T)\Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} = \left(U^{P_{pn}}_{pA} + V_{pn}\right)\Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} \quad (59)$$

and

$$(E - T)\chi_{pF}^{(-)*} I_A^{F*} = U_{pF}\chi_{pF}^{(-)*} I_A^{F*}. \quad (60)$$

Note that the second equation follows from

$$(-\varepsilon_{nA} - T_{nA})I_A^F = \langle \varphi_A | V_{nA} | \varphi_F \rangle. \quad (61)$$

In the external region, $r_{nA} > R_{nA}$, the source term on the right-hand side disappears and Eq. (60) becomes evident. Taking into account Eqs. (59) and (60) we get

$$M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \equiv M^{\text{CDCC}(\text{post})}_{S}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T} \middle| \Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}, \quad (62)$$

where $T = T_{pF} + T_{nA}$. Here as in the previous section, for the surface integral we use the subscript "S". Because the CDCC wave function does not propagate into the final state (its asymptotic terms have only elastic and breakup terms) the operator T_{pF} is Hermitian; that is,

$$\left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} \middle| \Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}} = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} \middle| \Psi_i^{\text{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}} = 0. \quad (63)$$

It can be also shown explicitly taking into account that the volume integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ can be transformed into the surface integral over the sphere with the radius $r_{pF} = R_{pF} \to \infty$. Because the overlap function decays exponentially at $r_{nA} \to \infty$, the integration over r_{nA} is limited. Hence, at $r_{pF} \to \infty$ using Eqs. (B5) and (B6) we get that $r_{dA} \sim r_{pF} \to \infty$ and $r_{pn} \sim r_{pF} \to \infty$. The first term of the CDCC wave function decays exponentially at $r_{pF} \to \infty$ because of the presence of the deuteron bound-state wave function. The terms with $n \geqslant 1$ decay as $1/r^3_{pF}$ [36]. The distorted wave $\chi_{pF}^{(-)*}(\mathbf{p}_{pF})$ decays as $1/r_{pF}$ [see Eq. (B14)]. Hence, the surface integral vanishes at $R_{pF} \to \infty$ as $R^2_{pF}/R^4_{pF} \to 0$.

Then $M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ takes the form

$$M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{p_F} I_A^F \middle| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \middle| \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{S_\infty}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (64)$$

Thus, the volume integral at $r_{nA} > R_{nA}$ in the matrix element $M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ can be written as the sum of two surface integrals encircling the external volume, the sphere with the radius $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$ and the sphere with $r_{nA} = R'_{nA} \to \infty$. Note that the integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ is taken over all the coordinate space. Evidently, the integral over the infinitely large sphere vanishes because the overlap function I_A^F exponentially decreases. Hence,

$$M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (65)$$

The negative sign in front of the inner surface integral appears because the normal vector to the inner surface is directed to the center, that is, opposite to the direction of the normal to the external surface at $r_{nA} = R'_{nA} \to \infty$. Now we can use equations from Sec. II A replacing the initial channel wave function with the CDCC one. For $M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{R_{nA}^2}{2\mu_{nA}} \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA} \left[\left[I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \right]^* \left(\overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} - \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} \right) \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}} \\ &= \frac{R_{nA}^2}{2\mu_{nA}} \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} \left[\Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial \left[I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \right]^*}{\partial r_{nA}} - \left[I_A^F(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \right]^* \frac{\partial \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Natural Jacobian variables for $\Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}$ are $\mathbf{r}_{dA}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{pn}$, but here we use another set of Jacobian variables, $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$. Taking into account Eqs. (18) and (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= \sqrt{\frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}}} \sum_{j_{nA} m_{j_{nA}} m_{l_{nA}} M_n} \langle J_A M_A j_{nA} m_{j_{nA}} | J_F M_F \rangle \\ &\times \langle J_n M_n l_{nA} m_{l_{nA}} | j_{nA} m_{j_{nA}} \rangle \gamma_{n A j_{nA} l_{nA}} \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y^*_{l_{nA} m_{l_{nA}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \\ &\times \left[\Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA} \frac{\partial \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Note that the CDCC wave function itself also depends on quantum numbers of p-n and d-A subsystems, which we do not specify here. It will be done in the follow-up paper, where concrete calculations will be presented.

Thus, we have obtained a remarkable result: The post form of the CDCC amplitude, in contrast to the DWBA one, is given by the sum of only two terms:

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}), \quad (68)$$

where the first term, which is the internal post form of the CDCC amplitude, can be minimized by a proper choice of U_{pF} and the channel radius R_{nA}, while the second term, which is dominant, represents the surface integral with the radius R_{nA}, which encircles the internal volume in the subspace over the coordinate $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$. If the channel radius is larger than the n-A nuclear interaction radius the second term is parametrized in terms of the reduced width amplitude (ANC of the projection of the bound-state wave function of F on the two-body state $n + A$) and the boundary condition at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$. If $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ is small enough,

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \approx -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (69)$$

Thus, we succeeded in parametrizing the post form of the CDCC amplitude in terms of the R-matrix parameters. Equation (68) and the parametrization of the surface term of the post CDCC amplitude in terms of the R-matrix parameters [Eq. (67)] are among of the main results of this paper.

Although it is assumed that $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ can be minimized so that the second term in Eq. (68) becomes dominant, I would like to present a different form for $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA})$, which leads to a different form for the whole amplitude $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA})$. To this end, let us rewrite the transition operator $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF}^{P_{pn}}$ in $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ as

$$\Delta\overline{V}_{pF}^{P_{pn}} = U_{pA}^{P_{pn}} + V_{pn} - U_{pF} = \left[U_{pA}^{P_{pn}} + U_{nA}^{P_{pn}} + V_{pn} \right] - \left[\overline{V}_{nA} + U_{pF} \right] + \overline{V}_{nA} - U_{nA}^{P_{pn}}. \quad (70)$$

Here $\overline{V}_{nA}$ is the mean-field potential supporting the bound state (nA) while $U_{nA}^{P_{pn}}$ is the projected optical potential describing

the n-A interaction in the initial state of the reaction and entering the Schrödinger equation for the projected CDCC wave function in the initial state. The bracketed potential operators are the right-hand-side operators of the Schrödinger equations in the internal region, $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$,

$$(E-T)\Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)} = \left(U_{pA}^{P_{pn}} + U_{nA}^{P_{pn}} + V_{pn}\right)\Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)} \tag{71}$$

and

$$(E-T)\chi_{pF}^{(-)*} I_A^{F*} = (\overline{V}_{nA} + U_{pF})\chi_{pF}^{(-)*} I_A^{F*}. \tag{72}$$

Replacing the bracketed potential operators $[U_{pA}^{P_{pn}} + U_{nA}^{P_{pn}} + V_{pn}]$ and $[\overline{V}_{nA} + U_{pF}]$ with $E - \overrightarrow{T}$ and $E - \overleftarrow{T}$, correspondingly, we get for $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ a new form:

$$M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\mathrm{aux}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}), \tag{73}$$

$$M_{\mathrm{aux}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{nA}^{P_{pn}} \middle| \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}}, \tag{74}$$

$$\Delta\overline{V}_{nA}^{P_{pn}} = \overline{V}_{nA} - U_{nA}^{P_{pn}}. \tag{75}$$

Then the total post form of the CDCC amplitude can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &\quad + M_{\mathrm{aux}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{\mathrm{aux}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_A^F \middle| \overline{V}_{nA} - U_{nA}^{P_{pn}} \middle| \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Thus, we obtained another important result. The CDCC amplitude in the post form is equal to the inner volume integral over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ with the transition operator $\overline{V}_{nA} - U_{nA}^{P_{pn}}$. This transition operator is the difference between the bound-state potential $\overline{V}_{nA}$ supporting the final bound state (nA) and the projected optical potential describing the n-A interaction in the initial state. It is worth mentioning that Eqs. (68) and (76) are exact within the CDCC approach. If $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ is small enough, then

$$M_{\mathrm{aux}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \approx -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}). \tag{77}$$

However, I prefer Eq. (68) rather than Eq. (76). To calculate $M_{\mathrm{aux}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ one needs to know the overlap function in the internal region, where the overlap function is model dependent and requires microscopic calculations. In contrast, in Eq. (68) the dominant part is the surface integral, which is parametrized in terms of the reduced width amplitude (ANC). The model dependence of the surface part is related to the ambiguity of the optical potentials and the value of the cutoff orbital angular momentum in the p-n subsystem in the CDCC approach. Comparison with experiment allows one to extract the reduced width amplitude. The model-dependent internal part in Eq. (68) is small. Equations (68) and (76) are a prelude to the theory of the stripping to resonance, where the convergence problem of the external part is one of the main issues. As we have demonstrated in the post CDCC formalism the external part does not appear at all. It resolves the convergence problem related to the external part.

D. Deuteron stripping to bound states: Prior CDCC formalism

A priori, the amplitudes in the post and prior forms of the CDCC formalism are not equal. That is why the obtained equations using the surface integrals are expected to be different in both formalisms. The prior form of the CDCC stripping amplitude is

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Psi_f^{\mathrm{CDCC}(-)} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA}^{P_{nA}} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle, \tag{78}$$

where

$$\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}^{P_{nA}} = U_{pA}^{P_{nA}} + V_{nA} - U_{dA}. \tag{79}$$

The projected CDCC wave function in the final state is a solution of the three-body Schrödinger equation

$$\left(E - T - U_{pA}^{P_{nA}} - V_{nA} - V_{pn}^{P_{nA}}\right)\Psi_f^{\mathrm{CDCC}(-)*} = 0. \tag{80}$$

Here

$$\hat{P}_{nA} = \sum_{l_{nA}=0}^{l_{nA}^{\max}} \sum_{m_{l_{nA}}=-l_{nA}}^{l_{nA}} \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l_{nA}m_{l_{nA}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) Y_{l_{nA}m_{l_{nA}}}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}') \tag{81}$$

is the projection operator, which truncates the number of the spherical harmonics $Y_{l_{nA}m_{l_{nA}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA})$ in the coordinate $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$.

Now, as usually, we split the amplitude $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(prior)}}$ into the internal and external parts in the subspace over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$:

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}), \tag{82}$$

where

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Psi_f^{\mathrm{CDCC}(-)} \middle| U_{pA}^{P_{nA}} + V_{nA} - U_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}} \tag{83}$$

and

$$M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{CDCC(prior)}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \Psi_f^{\mathrm{CDCC}(-)} \middle| U_{pA}^{P_{nA}} - U_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}. \tag{84}$$

The external part of the prior amplitude (see discussion in Sec. II B), owing to the structure of the transition operator, is small and the dominant contribution comes from the internal amplitude. We rewrite this amplitude singling out the surface integral over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$. To do it we rewrite the transition operator:

$$\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}^{P_{nA}} = U_{pA}^{P_{nA}} + V_{nA} - U_{dA} = \left[U_{pA}^{P_{nA}} + V_{nA} + V_{pn}^{P_{nA}}\right] - [V_{pn} + U_{dA}]. + \left(V_{pn} - V_{pn}^{P_{nA}}\right). \tag{85}$$

The bracketed operators are the right-hand-side operators of the Schrödinger equations

$$(E-T)\Psi_f^{\mathrm{CDCC}(-)*} = \left(U_{pA}^{P_{nA}} + V_{nA} + V_{pn}^{P_{nA}}\right)\Psi_f^{\mathrm{CDCC}(-)*} \tag{86}$$

and

$$(E-T)\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)} = (V_{pn}+U_{dA})\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}. \quad (87)$$

Taking into account these equations we can rewrite $M_{\rm int}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ in the form

$$M_{\rm int}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{S}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\rm aux}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}), \quad (88)$$

where

$$M_{\rm aux}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle\Psi_f^{\rm CDCC(-)}\right| V_{pn}-V_{pn}^{P_{nA}}\left|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}} \quad (89)$$

and

$$M_{S}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -\left\langle\Psi_f^{\rm CDCC(-)}\right|\overleftarrow{T}-\overrightarrow{T}\left|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}. \quad (90)$$

Here the kinetic energy operator $T = T_{pF}+T_{nA}$. In $M_S^{\rm CDCC(prior)}$ the volume integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ can be transformed into the surface one taken over the sphere with the infinitely large radius: $r_{pF}=R_{pF}\to\infty$. For $r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}$, owing to the presence of the deuteron bound-state wave function, the integrand goes to zero exponentially; that is, this surface integral vanishes. Hence, only the surface integral encircling the inner volume with the radius $r_{nA}=R_{nA}$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{S}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= -\left\langle\Psi_f^{\rm CDCC(-)}\right|\overleftarrow{T}_{nA}-\overrightarrow{T}_{nA}\left|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}} \\ &= -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm CDCC(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

$M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm CDCC(post)}$ is given by Eq. (67). $M_{\rm aux}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ is an auxiliary internal part, which is small because at $r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}$ and $r_{pF}>R_F$ owing to the proton absorption in the nuclear interior, p-n nuclear interaction is significantly depleted, and so the difference $V_{pn}-V_{pn}^{P_{nA}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= M_{\rm aux}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm CDCC(post)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &\quad + M_{\rm ext}^{\rm CDCC(prior)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Thus, the total prior form CDCC amplitude consists of three terms: the small auxiliary internal part, the small external prior form, and the dominant surface term. We can see that post and prior CDCC formalisms are not equivalent. In the approach used in the paper the configuration space over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ was split into the internal and external parts. As it was discussed in Introduction, such a splitting is natural because the main object of interest in the analysis of deuteron stripping is the overlap function I_A^F of the bound-state wave functions of the target A and final nucleus F. Its external part ($r_{nA}>R_{nA}$) is parametrized in terms of the observable ANC while the internal part is model-dependent.

In the post formalism the external part is dominant. Invoking the post CDCC formalism allows us to rewrite the external CDCC matrix element in the form of the surface integral over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$, which can be parametrized in terms of the parameters used in the R-matrix method for binary reactions, while the model-dependent internal part gives small contribution. Thus, the volume part of the matrix element over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is transformed to the surface integral. For transfer to bound states such a transformation does not bring any significant advantages because the volume matrix element converges. However, for stripping to resonance states (see Sec. III C) this transformation provides a decisive benefit because it solves the convergence problem of the matrix element. Here the transformation of the post CDCC matrix element has been presented mostly for demonstration but the results will be used below in Sec. III C for stripping to resonance states.

The prior CDCC formalism would be preferable if we split the matrix element into the internal and external parts over variable $\mathbf{r}_{pn}$ to separate the internal and peripheral parts of the deuteron bound-state wave function. However, this wave function is well known and is not an object of study. That is why below, when considering the stripping to resonance states, we use only the post CDCC formalism.

III. DEUTERON STRIPPING INTO RESONANCE STATES

Now we proceed to the main goal of this paper, the formulation of the deuteron stripping into resonance states using the surface integrals that will lead us to the generalized R-matrix approach for the stripping into resonance states. Let us consider the deuteron stripping

$$d+A\to p+b+B. \quad (93)$$

We assume that the resonance formed in the system $F=A+n$ can decay into channel $B+b$, which can be different from the entry channel $A+n$. We start from the post form and transform it to the surface integral following the method applied for the stripping to bound states. Now the application of the R-matrix approach looks natural. Although we consider the deuteron stripping leading to a specific final channel $d+A\to p+b+B$, there can be a few open channels coupled to the channel $n+A$, which is formed after a neutron is transferred to the target A. As in the previous sections, we follow the R-matrix approach; we split the integration region over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ into two regions: internal and external. The internal region is determined as the one where all open channels are coupled with each other, so that the transition from one channel to another can occur only in the internal region. The external region is the one where all the channels are decoupled. We obtain new forms for the DWBA and then for the post form of the CDCC amplitude. For the DWBA both the post and the prior approach will lead to the same final expression. In the standard approach the post form of the DWBA amplitude is mainly contributed by the external part in the subspace $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$, where the convergence question of the DWBA matrix element, which contains the integration over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$, becomes a main issue. In the prior form the main contribution to the DWBA matrix element mainly comes from the internal region in the subspace $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$, where a strong coupling between different open channels becomes an issue. In a new approach formulated below the DWBA amplitude (in the post and prior forms) is

written as the sum of three amplitudes: small internal post and external prior forms and the dominant surface integral in the subspace over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$. This surface term is parametrized in terms of the reduced width amplitudes, resonance energies, and boundary condition, that is, the quantities used in a standard R-matrix approach. In the post CDCC approach the amplitude is given by the sum of the small internal post form and the dominant surface term; that is, in contrast to the DWBA, no external prior form appears in the CDCC method. This resolves the issue of the convergence for stripping into resonant states.

A. Stripping to resonance states: Post form of DWBA

The post form of the DWBA amplitude can be obtained by generalizing the corresponding equation for the deuteron stripping to the bound state. As a starting point, we use Eq. (7) in which, to get the amplitude for the deuteron stripping to resonance states, we should replace the overlap function I_A^F with the exact scattering wave function $\Psi_{bB}^{(-)}$ with the incident wave in the channel $b + B$:

$$M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} \Psi_{bB}^{(\mathrm{int})(-)} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \varphi_A \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle, \quad (94)$$

where $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF} = U_{pA} + V_{pn} - U_{pF}$ and

$$\Psi_{bB}^{(-)} \equiv \Psi_{\mathbf{k}_{bB}}^{(-)} = \Psi_{-\mathbf{k}_{bB}}^{(+)*}. \quad (95)$$

Because we consider the stripping to the resonance state, which decays into two fragments b and B, there are three particles, p, b, and B, in the final state. Hence, the kinematics of the final state of the reaction depends on two Jacobian momenta, for which we adopt the relative momentum of two fragments b and B and by the momentum corresponding to the relative motion of the exiting proton and the center of mass of the system $b + B$. Thus, the deuteron stripping reaction amplitude depends on the momentum $P = \{\mathbf{k}_{pF}, \mathbf{k}_{bB}\}$, which is the six-dimensional momentum conjugated to the Jacobian coordinates of the system $p + b + B$ $Y = \{\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{bB}\}$.

Then repeating the steps used in derivation of the expression for the post form of the DWBA amplitude for deuteron stripping to the bound state we get

$$M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M_S^{\mathrm{DW}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (96)$$

Here internal post amplitude $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA})$ and external prior amplitude $M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA})$ are given by

$$M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} \Upsilon_{nA}^{(\mathrm{int})(-)} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}} \quad (97)$$

and

$$M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} \Upsilon_{nA}^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}. \quad (98)$$

Here $\Upsilon_{nA}^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) = \langle \varphi_A | \Psi_{bB}^{(\mathrm{int})(-)} \rangle$ and $\Upsilon_{nA}^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) = \langle \varphi_A | \Psi_{bB}^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)} \rangle$.

The last term of Eq. (96), which will be transformed to the surface integral, is

$$M_S^{\mathrm{DW}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} \Upsilon_{nA}^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)} \middle| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T} \middle| \varphi_d \chi_{dA}^{(+)} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}. \quad (99)$$

Let us discuss the advantage of this new form of the DWBA amplitude for the deuteron stripping to resonance state(s). Because the internal part $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$ is given by the volume integral, its calculation requires the knowledge of $\Psi_{bB}^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}$ in the internal region. The model dependence of this function in the nuclear interior ($r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$), where different coupled channels do contribute, brings one of the main problems and main uncertainty in the calculation of the internal matrix element. However, as discussed in Sec. II A, this matrix element gives a small contribution to the total post form amplitude $M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$ owing to the structure of the transition operator $\Delta\overline{V}_{pF}$ and constraint $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$. These arguments are also valid when considering the stripping into resonance states. A proper choice of the optical potential U_{pF} and the channel radius R_{nA} may significantly reduce the contribution from the internal post form DWBA amplitude. Owing to the structure of the transition operator $\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}$, also discussed in Sec. II A, the external matrix element $M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}$ in the prior form is also small and in some cases, with reasonable choice of the channel radius R_{nA}, even can be neglected. Note that, to keep $M_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}$ small, the channel radius R_{nA} cannot be too large and, to keep $M_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}$ small, cannot be too small.

Thus, with an optimal choice of the channel radius the dominant part is the surface part M_S^{DW}, which contains only one volume integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$. Equation (96), which presents a new form of the DWBA amplitude for stripping to resonance states, is quite important for analysis of the stripping to resonance.

Using the R-matrix representation of the scattering wave function $\Psi_{bB}^{(-)*}$ we are able to express the total DWBA amplitude in terms of the reduced width amplitudes, level matrix, boundary condition, and the channel radius, that is, parameters used in a standard R-matrix method to analyze binary resonant reactions $n + A \to b + B$. Because the reaction under consideration is the deuteron stripping, the presence of the deuteron in the initial state and exiting proton causes the distortions. That is why the reaction amplitude, in addition to the R-matrix parameters describing the binary subprocess, contains additional factors: distorted waves in the initial and the final states. That is why we can call the obtained expression for the DWBA amplitude a generalized R-matrix for deuteron stripping to resonance states.

Now we proceed to the derivation of the expressions for each amplitude in the right-hand side of Eq. (96) and the total post form DWBA amplitude. Because the stripping into resonance states can lead to rearrangement, the exit channel $b + B$ may differ from the entry channel $n + A$. To proceed further, we now use the equations for $\Psi_{bB}^{(+)}$ obtained in Appendix A. Taking into account Eqs. (95) and (A1) we

get

$$M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{2\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{k_{bB}}{\mu_{bB}}}\sum_{J_F M_F, l m_l M_n}\langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F\rangle\langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle e^{-i\delta_{bBl}^{hs}} i^l Y_{lm_l}^*(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB})$$
$$\times \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu bBslJ_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}\left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)}\Xi_{\tau nA}^{J_F M_F}\middle|\Delta\overline{V}_{pF}\middle|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}. \quad (100)$$

In this equation we assume that the channel spin s and its projection m_s in the exit channel $c = b + B$ are fixed.[1] J_F is the resonance spin (M_F its projection) in the subsystem $F = n + A = b + B$ and l is the $b + B$ orbital angular momentum in the resonance state. The sum over J_F and l assumes that a few resonances with different spins may contribute to the reaction. The subscript c used in Appendix A for the channel $b + B$ is replaced here with bB. Also $\Xi_{\tau nA}^{J_F M_F} = \langle\varphi_A | X_\tau^{J_F M_F}\rangle$ is a projection of $X_\tau^{J_F M_F}$ introduced in Appendix A on the bound-state φ_A. The bound-state-like wave function $X_\tau^{J_F M_F}$ describes the system $F = n + A = b + B$ in the internal region. *A priori*, it can be calculated using, for example, the shell model approach [37]. In Appendix A $X_\tau^{J_F M_F}$ is written as a nonorthogonal sum of coupled channels [see Eq. (A4)]. If we neglect the contribution from the channel c, then $\Xi_{\tau nA}^{J_F M_F}$ can be approximated by the internal part of the overlap function [see Eq. (18)]. Taking into account this equation (rewritten in LS-coupling scheme) we get

$$M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{2\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{k_{bB}}{\mu_{bB}}}\sum_{J_F M_F s' l l' m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l\langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F\rangle\langle s' m_{s'} l' m_{l'} | J_F M_F\rangle\langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle\langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle$$
$$\times e^{-i\delta_{bBl}^{hs}} Y_{lm_l}^*(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB})\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu bBslJ_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}\left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} Y_{l'm_{l'}}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) I_{As'l'J_F}^F(r_{nA})\middle|\Delta\overline{V}_{pF}\middle|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}. \quad (101)$$

Here we added the sum over the channel spin s' (its projection $m_{s'}$) in the entry channel $c' = n + A$ of the resonant subreaction $n + A \to F \to b + B$ and over the $n + A$ orbital angular momentum l'. The sum over M_n and s' ($m_{s'}$) appears because the transferred neutron is intermediate (virtual). It is important that with a proper choice of the optical potential U_{pF} the matrix element $M_{\rm int}^{\rm DW(post)}$ can be minimized so that its model dependence would not have impact on the total matrix element $M^{\rm DW(post)}$.

To obtain the expression for $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}$ we use for the external part $\Psi_{bB}^{(\rm ext)(-)}$, which can be obtained from Eq. (A31), assuming that the resonance contribution to this wave function is dominant. In the sum over J_F in Eq. (A31) we keep only those total angular momenta at which resonances contributing to the reaction occur. Let us consider two possible cases.

(i) The exit channel $c = b + B$ in the resonant subprocess $n + A \to b + B$ is different from channel $c' = n + A$. In this case the external resonant wave function is given by Eq. (A36) and its projection on the bound state $\xi_{c'} = \varphi_A$ is determined by Eq. (A37). Then $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}$ reduces to

$$M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -i\frac{2\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{v_{bB}}{v_{nA}}}\sum_{J_F M_F s' l l' m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l\langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle\langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle\langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle$$
$$\times\langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle Y_{lm_l}^*(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) S_{bBsl;nAs'l'}^{J_F}\left\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)}\frac{O_{l'}^*(k_{nA},r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} Y_{l'm_{l'}}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA})\middle|\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}\middle|\varphi_d\chi_{dA}^{(+)}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \quad (102)$$

Here $\overline{V}_{dA}$ is given by Eq. (35). In the external region $\overline{V}_{nA} = 0$ and $\overline{V}_{dA} = U_{pA} - U_{dA}$. Also has been added the sum over the orbital angular momentum l and its projection m_l (l' and $m_{l'}$) in the exit (entry) channel $c = b + B$ ($c' = n + A$) of the resonant subreaction $n + A \to b + B$, the sum over the channel spin s' and its projection $m_{s'}$ in the entry channel $c' = n + A$ of the resonance subprocess $n + A \to b + B$ and the sum over M_n because the neutron is the transferred particle. The projections of the spins of the incident deuteron M_d, the exiting proton M_p, the channel spin s and its projection m_s of the exiting particles b and B are fixed. We also use the symmetry of the S matrix: $S_{c's'l';csl}^{J_F} = S_{csl;c's'l'}^{J_F}$. The matrix element $S_{bBsl;nAs'l'}^{J_F}$ is given by

[1]Note that when considering the wave function in Appendix A the channel $c = b + B$ was the entry channel. However, when considering the deuteron stripping reaction amplitude, this channel is the exit channel while the channel $c' = n + A$ becomes the entry channel in the resonant subreaction $n + A \to b + B$.

Eq. (A45). Substituting it into Eq. (102) gives

$$M_{\rm ext}^{DW(\rm prior)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{2\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{v_{bB}}{v_{nA}}} \sum_{J_F M_F s' l l' m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle$$
$$\times Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{bBl}} e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{nAl'}} \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} [\Gamma_{\tau nAs'l' J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} \frac{O_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})}{R_{nA}}$$
$$\times \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \frac{O^*_{l'}(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{R_{nA}}{O^*_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} Y^*_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \tag{103}$$

Now we take into account that

$$O_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, R_{\tilde{c}}) = \sqrt{F^2_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, R_{\tilde{c}}) + G^2_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, R_{\tilde{c}})}\, e^{-i\omega_{\tilde{c}\tilde{l}}} e^{i \arctan \frac{F_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, R_{\tilde{c}})}{G_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, R_{\tilde{c}})}} = \sqrt{F^2_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, R_{\tilde{c}}) + G^2_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, R_{\tilde{c}})}\, e^{i\delta^{hs}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{l}}}, \tag{104}$$

which for the channel $\tilde{c} = c' = n + A$ and $\tilde{l} = l'$ takes the form

$$O_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) = \sqrt{F^2_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) + G^2_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})}\, e^{i \arctan \frac{F_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})}{G_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})}} = \sqrt{F^2_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) + G^2_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})}\, e^{i\delta^{hs}_{nAl'}}, \tag{105}$$

where in the absence of the Coulomb interaction $F_l(\rho) = (\pi\rho/2)^{1/2} J_{l+1/2}(\rho)$ and $G_l(\rho) = (-1)^l (\pi\rho/2)^{1/2} J_{-(l+1/2)}(\rho)$, $J_{\pm(l+1/2)}(\rho)$ are Bessel functions.

Then using Eqs. (A41) and (105) we get

$$M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{2\mu_{nA}}{\mu_{bB} k_{bB} R_{nA}}} \sum_{J_F M_F s' l l' m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle$$
$$\times \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{bBl}} \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \gamma_{\tau nAs'l' J_F}$$
$$\times \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \frac{O^*_{l'}(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{R_{nA}}{O^*_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} Y^*_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \tag{106}$$

(ii) If $c = c'$, that is $b = n$ and $B = A$. Here two cases are possible: nondiagonal transition, for which $s \neq s'$ or/and $l \neq l'$, and diagonal transition, with $l = l'$ and $s = s'$. The amplitude for the nondiagonal transition can be obtained from Eq. (102). Here we present the expression for the diagonal transition (elastic scattering) amplitude, which can be obtained taking into account [Eq. (A33)]:

$$M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = i\frac{2\pi}{k_{nA} R_{nA}} \sum_{J_F M_F l m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l m_{l'} s m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s m_{s'}\rangle$$
$$\times Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{nA}) \left[1 - S^{J_F}_{nAsl;nAsl}\right] O_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \frac{O^*_l(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{R_{nA}}{O^*_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} Y^*_{lm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \right|$$
$$\times \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \left| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \tag{107}$$

Substituting the expression for the elastic scattering S-matrix element $S^{J_F}_{nAsl;nAsl}$ given by Eq. (A43) we obtain

$$M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = i\frac{2\pi}{k_{nA} R_{nA}} \sum_{J_F M_F l m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l m_{l'} s m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s m_{s'}\rangle$$
$$\times Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{nA}) \left[1 - e^{-2i\delta^{hs}_{nAl}} \left(1 + i \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} [\Gamma_{\tau nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2}\right)\right] O_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA})$$
$$\times \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \frac{O^*_l(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{R_{nA}}{O^*_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} Y^*_{lm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}. \tag{108}$$

The one-level, one-channel case is the simplest one for which $M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ boils down to

$$M_{\rm ext}^{\rm DW(prior)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = i\frac{2\pi}{k_{nA}R_{nA}}\sum_{J_F M_F l m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l m_{l'} s m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s m_{s'}\rangle$$
$$\times Y^*_{l m_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{nA})\left[1 - e^{-2i\delta^{hs}_{nAslJ_F}} e^{2i\delta_{nAslJ_F}}\right] O_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \frac{O^*_l(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{R_{nA}}{O^*_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} Y^*_{l' m_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \right|$$
$$\times \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \left| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}, \tag{109}$$

where

$$\delta_{nAslJ_F} = \arctan \frac{\Gamma_{nAslJ_F}(E_{nA})}{2(E_{nA(0)slJ_F} - E_{nA})}, \quad E_{nA(0)slJ_F} > E_{nA}, \tag{110}$$

is the resonant phase shift and $E_{nA(0)slJ_F}$ is the real part of the complex resonance energy of the resonance with the quantum numbers slJ_F in the channel $n + A$. Now we derive the equation for $M_S^{\rm DW}$ by transforming it into the surface integrals over variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$. We can repeat the discussion in Sec. II A. The integration in Eq. (99) over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is taken over the external volume restricted by two spherical surfaces: the inner surface with the radius R_{nA} and the external surface with the radius $R'_{nA} \to \infty$. As has been shown in Appendix B after regularization the integral over the infinitely large sphere vanishes [see Eq. (B20)] and

$$M_S^{\rm DW}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = R_{nA}^2 \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \frac{\partial \left[\Upsilon^{({\rm ext})(-)}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\right]^*}{\partial r_{nA}} \right.$$
$$\left. - \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \left[\Upsilon^{({\rm ext})(-)}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\right]^* \frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA} = R_{nA}}. \tag{111}$$

Here $-M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}$ is the surface integral encircling the inner surface of the external volume at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$. A negative sign appears because the normal vector to the surface is directed to the center of the volume, that is, opposite to the normal vector to the external surface (at infinitely large radius). For simplicity, we dropped the quantum numbers in Eq. (111) but they are recovered below. Note that Eq. (111) can be obtained from Eq. (27) by substituting $\Upsilon^{({\rm ext})(-)}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})$ for the overlap function $I^F_A(\mathbf{r}_{nA})$.

For the exit channel $c = b + B$ in the resonant subprocess $n + A \to b + B$ different from channel $c' = n + A$ using Eq. (A37) we get

$$M_S^{\rm DW}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -i\frac{2\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{v_{bB}}{v_{nA}}} R_{nA}^2 \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}} \sum_{J_F M_F l l' m_l m_{l'} s' m_{s'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle$$
$$\times \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle Y^*_{l m_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) S^{J_F}_{nAs'l';bBsl} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})$$
$$\times \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l' m_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \frac{\partial \frac{O_{l'}(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}}}{\partial r_{nA}} - \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \frac{O_{l'}(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA} = R_{nA}}$$
$$= -i\frac{2\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{v_{bB}}{v_{nA}}} \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}} \sum_{J_F M_F l l' m_l m_{l'} s' m_{s'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M\rangle \langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle$$
$$\times \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle Y^*_{l m_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) S^{J_F}_{bBsl;nAs'l'} O_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l' m_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA})$$
$$\times \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA} - 1) - R_{nA} \frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA} = R_{nA}}. \tag{112}$$

Here

$$B_{nA} = R_{nA} \frac{\frac{\partial O_{l'}(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\Big|_{r_{nA} = R_{nA}}}{O_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} \tag{113}$$

is the boundary condition. The sum over M_n is a formal because M_d and M_p are fixed. The coefficient $\langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle$ appears from the vertex $d \to p + n$ and the product $\langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle$ from the vertex $n + A \to F$. The

matrix element $S^{J_F}_{bBsl;nAs'l'}$ is given by Eq. (A45). Substituting it into Eq. (112) gives

$$M^{\rm DW}_S(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\rm DW}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{v_{bB}}{v_{nA}}}\frac{1}{\mu_{nA}} \sum_{J_F M_F l l' s' m_l m_{l'} m_{s'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle$$
$$\times \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{bBl}} e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{nAl'}}$$
$$\times \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} [\Gamma_{\tau nAs'l' J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} O_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA})$$
$$\times \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA}\frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (114)$$

Taking into account Eqs. (A41) and (104) we arrive at the final form for $M^{\rm DW}_S(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA})$:

$$M^{\rm DW}_S(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\rm DW}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \pi\sqrt{\frac{2R_{nA}}{\mu_{bB}\mu_{nA}k_{bB}}} \sum_{J_F M_F l l' s' m_l m_{l'} m_{s'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle$$
$$\times \langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{bBl}}$$
$$\times \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \gamma_{\tau nAs'l' J_F} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA})$$
$$\times \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA}\frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (115)$$

Now let us consider the diagonal transition $csl \to csl$, where $c = c' = n + A$. To get $M^{\rm DW}_S$ once again we start from Eq. (111). Now in this equation $\Upsilon^{({\rm ext})(-)}_{nA}$ should be replaced with $\Upsilon^{J_F({\rm ext})(0)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}} + \Upsilon^{J_F({\rm ext})(-)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}$ given by Eqs. (A30) and (A33). Then the equation for the surface matrix element for the diagonal transition takes the form

$$M^{\rm DW}_S(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = i\frac{\pi}{\mu_{nA}k_{nA}} \sum_{J_F M_F l m_l m_{l''} m_{s''} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l m_{l''} s m_{s''} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s m_{s''}\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle$$
$$\times Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{nA}) \left[1 - e^{-i2\delta^{hs}_{nAl}}\left(1 + i\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \Gamma_{\tau nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2}\right)\right] O_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA})$$
$$\times \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{lm_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA}\frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (116)$$

Summing up all three amplitudes $M^{\rm DW(post)}_{\rm int}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$, $M^{\rm DW(prior)}_{\rm ext}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$, and $M^{\rm DW}_S(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\rm DW}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ we get the total post DWBA for the (d, p) stripping.

(i) *Resonant reaction* $n + A \to b + B$, *that is*, $c = b + B \neq c' = n + A$. Then the total post form of the DWBA deuteron stripping amplitude is

$$M^{\rm DW(post)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu_{bB}k_{bB}}} \sum_{J_F M_F s' l l' m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F\rangle \langle s' m_{s'} l' m_{l'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d\rangle$$
$$\times e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{bBl}} Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \Bigg\{ \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} I^F_{As'l'J_F} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}$$
$$+ \sqrt{\frac{2\mu_{nA}}{R_{nA}}} \gamma_{\tau nAs'l' J_F} \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \frac{O^*_{l'}(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{R_{nA}}{O^*_{l'}(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} Y^*_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} + \sqrt{\frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}}} \gamma_{\tau nAs'l' J_F}$$
$$\times \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA}\frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}} \Bigg\}. \quad (117)$$

Assuming in this equation $b = n$ and $B = A$, that is, $c = c'$ but $l \neq l'$ and/or $s \neq s'$ we get the expression for the DWBA deuteron stripping for the nondiagonal transition in the resonant subprocess $(n + A)_{ls} \to F \to (n + A)_{l's'}$.

Equation (117) is very instructive for understanding the difference between the stripping to resonance states and on-shell binary resonant reactions. As we can see, the transfer reaction amplitude contains the resonance factors determining the resonant subprocess $n + A \to b + B$, the partial width amplitude $[\Gamma_{\tau nAs'l'J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2}$ of the level ν for the decay to the exit channel $b + B$, the matrix elements of the inverse R-matrix level matrix $[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}$ and the reduced width amplitude $\gamma_{\tau nAs'l'J_F}$ of the level τ for the entry channel $n + A$ rather than the corresponding partial width amplitude which would present if we consider the corresponding on-shell binary resonant reaction $n + A \to b + B$. The difference is crucial because the partial width amplitude $[\Gamma_{\tau nAs'l'J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2}$ contains the penetrability factor [see Eq. (A41)], which does not present in the reduced width amplitude $\gamma_{\tau nAs'l'J_F}$ and, hence, in Eq. (117). The lower the energy of the resonance, the stronger is its suppression owing to the barrier penetrability in the entrance channel in the on-shell binary resonant reaction $n + A \to b + B$. Besides, if a few resonances do contribute with the different l', then the higher the l', the stronger its suppression. However, it is not the case if one tries to populate low-energy resonances with different l' using transfer reaction. The missing penetrability factor in the entry channel of the subresonance reaction $n + A \to b + B$ in the transfer amplitude makes it possible to populate low-lying resonances. Moreover, for the same reason, the resonances with higher l' are not suppressed in the stripping. Hence, when a few resonances are populated in the transfer reaction, the measured experimental spectrum of the fragments b and B can be quite different from the one measured using the on-shell binary resonant reaction. The missing penetrability factor in the entry channel $n + A$ of the resonant subreaction $n + A \to b + B$ in the transfer reaction explains the power of the Trojan Horse method as an indirect technique in nuclear astrophysics (see Refs. [33,38] and references therein).

(ii) *Diagonal transition in the resonant subprocess* $(n + A)_{ls} \to F \to (n + A)_{ls}$, *that is*, $c = c', l = l', s = s'$. The total post form of the deuteron stripping DWBA amplitude is

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = 2\pi \sum_{J_F M_F l m_{s''} m_l m_{l''} M_n} & i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle \langle s m_{s''} l m_{l''} | J_F M_F \rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s m_{s''} \rangle \langle J_n M_n J_p M_p | J_d M_d \rangle \\
& \times e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{nAl}} Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{nA}) \Bigg\{ \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu_{nA} k_{nA}}} \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} I^F_{Asl J_F}(r_{nA}) \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}} \\
& + i \left[1 - e^{-i2\delta^{hs}_{nAl}} \left(1 + i \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \Gamma_{\tau nAsl J_F}(E_{nA}) \right)^{1/2} \right] O_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) \\
& \times \left(\frac{1}{k_{nA} R_{nA}} \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \frac{O^*_l(k_{nA}, r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \frac{R_{nA}}{O^*_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA})} Y^*_{lm_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA} k_{nA}} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{lm_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \\
& \times \left. \left[\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})(B_{nA} - 1) - R_{nA} \frac{\partial \varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn}) \chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA} = R_{nA}} \right) \Bigg\}. \qquad (118)
\end{aligned}
$$

B. Stripping to resonance states: Prior form of DWBA

Here we show that starting from the prior form we are able to obtain the generalized DWBA R-matrix amplitude for the deuteron stripping to resonance states [Eq. (96)] much easier than from the post form. The prior of the DWBA amplitude for deuteron stripping to resonance states is

$$
M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(-)}_{nA} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle, \qquad (119)
$$

where $\Delta\overline{V}_{dA}$ is defined by Eq. (35) and $\Upsilon^{(-)}_{nA} = \langle \varphi_A | \Psi^{(-)}_{bB} \rangle$. As usual, we split the amplitude into internal and external parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = & M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\
& + M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}), \qquad (120)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{nA} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}} \qquad (121)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\
& \quad = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}_{nA} \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{dA} \middle| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}. \qquad (122)
\end{aligned}
$$

The splitting of the amplitude into the internal and external parts in the subspace over the coordinate $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is necessary to rewrite the prior DWBA amplitude in the generalized R-matrix approach for stripping to resonance states. As we have discussed in Secs. II A and III A, the external matrix element $M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ in the prior form is small and in some cases, with reasonable choice of the channel radius R_{nA}, even

can be neglected. It is important for analysis of the stripping to resonance states because the external part in the post form does not converge. In this sense the usage of the prior form in the external part has clear benefit. The main contribution to the prior form amplitude $M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}$ comes from the internal part $M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{int}}$. Because the internal part is given by the volume integral, its calculation requires the knowledge of $\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{nA}$ in the internal region. The model dependence of this function in the nuclear interior ($r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$), where different coupled channels do contribute, brings one of the main problems and main uncertainty in the calculation of the internal matrix element. Using the surface integral we can rewrite the volume integral of the internal matrix element in terms of the volume integral in the post form and dominant surface integral taken over the sphere at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$. With reasonable choice of the channel radius R_{nA} the contribution from the internal volume integral in the post form can be minimized to make it significantly smaller than the surface matrix element. The latter can be expressed in terms of the R-matrix parameters: the observable reduced width amplitude (ANC), boundary condition, and channel radius. Repeating the steps outlined in Sec. II B we get

$$M^{\mathrm{DW(prior)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}). \tag{123}$$

Here, $M^{\mathrm{DW(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}$ has been previously considered and is given by Eqs. (100) and (101) while M^{DW}_{S} takes the form

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -\left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{nA} \right| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T} \left| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}}, \tag{124}$$

where $\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)*}_{nA} = \langle \Psi^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{bB} | \varphi_A \rangle$. The fact that the volume integral in this equation is the internal one makes transformation of this volume matrix element to the surface one much easier than for the post form. The transition operator $T = T_{pF} + T_{nA}$. Because $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$ at $r_{pF} \to \infty$ the integrand in Eq. (99) vanishes exponentially owing to the presence of φ_d. Hence, the operator T_{pF} is Hermitian; that is, applying the integration by parts over r_{pF} twice we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{nA} \right| \overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} \left| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}} \\ &= \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{nA} \right| \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF} \left| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

Thus, M^{DW}_{S} reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= -\left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{nA} \right| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \left| \varphi_d \chi^{(+)}_{dA} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Using Green's theorem we can transform this volume integral into the surface one. Note that the volume integral over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is constrained by the sphere with the radius $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$. Hence, only one surface integral appears with $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$. Here we see an important advantage of using the prior form versus the post one. In the post form transformation of the external volume integral to the surface one led to two surface integrals at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$ and $r_{nA} = R'_{nA} \to \infty$. It required an elaborate proof, which included regularization, to demonstrate that the surface integral at $r_{nA} = R'_{nA} \to \infty$ vanishes. After transformation to the surface integral we get

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}). \tag{127}$$

Equations (111), (112), and (115) determine this surface integral.

C. Stripping to resonance states: Post CDCC formalism

The CDCC approach for stripping to resonance states, which takes into account the deuteron breakup in the initial channel, definitely has an advantage compared to a standard DWBA. The application of the surface formulation of the reaction theory for the DWBA has been done mainly for demonstration, but our main goal is the CDCC.

Here we present the derivation of the post form CDCC amplitude using the surface integral formulation. This amplitude is

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(-)}_{nA} \right| \Delta \overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} \left| \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_{i} \right\rangle. \tag{128}$$

This equation is an extension of the post CDCC amplitude for stripping to bound states [see Eq. (54)] obtained using replacement $I^{F}_{A} \to \Upsilon^{(-)}_{nA}$. $\Delta \overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF}$ is defined by Eq. (70). Now, as usual, we split $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ into the internal and external parts in the subspace $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}). \end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

The internal amplitude $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = &\left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)}_{nA} \right| \Delta \overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} \\ &\times \left| \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_{i} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

Correspondingly, the external amplitude is

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}_{nA} \right| \Delta \overline{V}^{P_{pn}}_{pF} \left| \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_{i} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

Now we repeat the steps outlined in Sec. II C. Taking into account Eqs. (58)–(60) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \equiv M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}_{nA} \right| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T} \left| \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_{i} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}, \end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

where $T = T_{pF} + T_{nA}$. It is shown in Appendix C that $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S}$ can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF} \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}_{nA} \right| \overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA} \left| \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_{i} \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA} > R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

This integral can be directly transformed into the surface integral with $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$ encircling the internal volume, while the integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ is taken over all the coordinate space. Thus, we have shown that the post CDCC amplitude for stripping to resonance states is given by the difference of two

terms, internal post CDCC amplitude and the surface integral:

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad (134)$$

The internal amplitude $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}$ can be minimized by a proper choice of U_{pF} and the channel radius R_{nA}, while the surface integral is dominant. If the channel radius is larger than the n-A nuclear interaction radius the second term is parametrized in terms of the reduced width amplitude and the boundary condition at $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$. Thus, we succeeded to parametrize the post form of the CDCC amplitude in terms of the R-matrix parameters. It is one of the main results of this paper. Equation (134) is the most important result of this paper. Owing to the absence of the external term, which is present in the DWBA and which causes the convergence issue, the convergence problem in the post CDCC approach is resolved: The integration in the surface matrix element is performed over the full coordinate space only over one coordinate $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ rather than over two coordinates, $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$.

Expression for $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}$ for different cases can be obtained from Eq. (101) by replacing the initial channel wave function $\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{k}_{dA}}(\mathbf{r}_{dA})$ with $\Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})$:

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{\mathrm{int}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{2\pi}{k_{bB}}\sqrt{\frac{k_{bB}}{\mu_{bB}}} \sum_{J_F M_F s' l l' m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F\rangle \langle s' m_{s'} l' m_{l'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{bBl}} Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) \times \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) Y^*_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) I^F_{As'l'J_F}(r_{nA}) \middle| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \middle| \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \right\rangle \Big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}. \quad (135)$$

Note that the CDCC wave function itself also depends on quantum numbers of p-n and d-A subsystems, which we do not specify here. It will be done in the follow-up paper where concrete calculations will be presented. Natural Jacobian variables for $\Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i$ are $\mathbf{r}_{dA}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{pn}$, but we use here another set of Jacobian variables, $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$.

To write explicitly $M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ in terms of the surface integral we can use Eq. (111) replacing the initial channel wave function by the CDCC one:

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{R^2_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}} \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} \times \left[\Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} - \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (136)$$

We can extend corresponding equations from Sec. III A by replacing the initial channel wave function by the CDCC one. In particular, for the nodiagonal transition in the resonant subreaction $c's'l' \to csl$, where $c = b + B$ and $c' = n + A$, we get from Eq. (115)

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \pi\sqrt{\frac{2R_{nA}}{\mu_{bB}\mu_{nA}k_{bB}}} \sum_{J_F M_F l l' s' m_l m_{l'} m_{s'} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l' m_{l'} s' m_{s'} | J_F M_F\rangle \times \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{bBl}} \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \gamma_{\tau nAs'l' J_F} \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \times \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \left[\Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA} \frac{\partial \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (137)$$

Correspondingly, the surface integral for the diagonal transition $csl \to csl$ can be obtained from Eq. (116):

$$M^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}_{S}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = i\frac{\pi}{\mu_{nA}k_{nA}} \sum_{J_F M_F l m_l l'' m_{s''} M_n} i^l \langle l m_l s m_s | J_F M_F\rangle \langle l m_{l''} s m_{s''} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s m_{s''}\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{nA}) \times \left[1 - e^{-i2\delta^{hs}_{nAl}} \left(1 + i \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \Gamma_{\tau nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} \right) \right] O_l(k_{nA}, R_{nA}) \times \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{lm_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \left[\Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA} \frac{\partial \Psi^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \quad (138)$$

Summing up two amplitudes $M_{\rm int}^{\rm CDCC(post)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ and $M_S^{\rm DW}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -M_{S_{R_{nA}}}^{\rm DW}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ we get the total post CDCC amplitude for the (d,p) stripping.

(i) *Resonant reaction* $n+A \to b+B$, *that is*, $c = b+B \neq c' = n+A$. The total post form of the CDCC deuteron stripping amplitude can be obtained from Eq. (117):

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\rm CDCC(post)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu_{bB}k_{bB}}} \sum_{J_F M_F s' l' m_{s'} m_l m_{l'} M_n} & i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F\rangle \langle s' m_{s'} l' m_{l'} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s' m_{s'}\rangle \\
& \times e^{-i\delta_{bBl}^{hs}} Y_{lm_l}^*(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{bB}) \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu bBsl J_F}(E_{bB})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \Big\{ \big\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_{As'l'J_F}^F(r_{nA}) \big| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \\
& \times \big| \Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \big\rangle \big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}} + \sqrt{\frac{R_{nA}}{2\mu_{nA}}} \gamma_{\tau nAs'l'J_F} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \\
& \times \left[\Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA} \frac{\partial \Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}} \Big\}. \qquad (139)
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming in this equation $b = n$ and $B = A$, that is $c = c'$ but $l \neq l'$ and/or $s \neq s'$, we get the expression for the post CDCC deuteron stripping for the nondiagonal transition in the resonant subprocess $(n+A)_{ls} \to F \to (n+A)_{l's'}$.

(ii) *Diagonal transition*, $c = c', l = l', s = s'$. The total post form of the CDCC amplitude is

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\rm CDCC(post)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = 2\pi \sum_{J_F M_F l m_{s''} m_l m_{l''} M_n} & i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F\rangle \langle s m_{s''} l m_{l''} | J_F M_F\rangle \langle J_n M_n J_A M_A | s m_{s''}\rangle e^{-i\delta_{nAl}^{hs}} Y_{lm_l}^*(-\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{nA}) \\
& \times \Big\{ \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu_{nA}k_{nA}}} \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \big\langle \chi_{pF}^{(-)} I_{Asl J_F}^F(r_{nA}) \big| \Delta\overline{V}_{pF} \big| \Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \big\rangle \big|_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}} \\
& + i \left[1 - e^{-i2\delta_{nAl}^{hs}} \left(1 + i \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \Gamma_{\tau nAsl J_F}(E_{nA})]^{1/2} \right) \right] \\
& \times \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}k_{nA}} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} Y_{lm_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}) \\
& \times \left[\Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})(B_{nA}-1) - R_{nA} \frac{\partial \Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right] \Big|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}} \Big\}. \qquad (140)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations (139) and (140) are the final main results of this paper. Both matrix elements consist of only two terms, the internal post CDCC and the surface term. The internal term contains the integration over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ in the internal volume $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$. Hence, at $r_{pF} \to \infty$ variables $r_{dA} \sim r_{pF} \to \infty$ and $r_{pn} \sim r_{pF} \to \infty$. However, then $\Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \sim r_{pF}^{-3}$ [36] and the integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ does converge. The same conclusion is true for the surface integral in which $r_{nA} = R_{nA}$. Hence, in this matrix element also $\Psi_i^{\rm CDCC(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \sim r_{pF}^{-3}$ and integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ converges. Both amplitudes are parametrized in terms of the parameters used in the conventional R-matrix approach and allows us to analyze the stripping into resonance states using generalized R-matrix approach. Finally, both amplitudes [Eqs. (139) and (140)] do not have penetration factor in the entry channel $n+A$ of the resonance formation in the resonant subreactions $n+A \to b+B$ and $n+A \to n+A$. That is why stripping to resonant states provides a powerful tool to measure resonances in the subsystem $n+A$ very close to the threshold, which can be suppressed in the on-shell binary resonance reactions but not in the stripping to resonance states.

IV. SUMMARY

The theory of the deuteron stripping populating bound and resonance states based on the surface integral formalism is presented. To demonstrate the theory I first develop it for the DWBA. Because the DWBA is outdated and, definitely, deficient compared to the CDCC, the theory is extended to the CDCC formalism. The theory is applied for stripping to bound and resonance states. The eventual goal of this paper is to deliver the theory of the deuteron stripping to resonance states within the CDCC formalism using the surface integral formulation of the reaction theory [32]. Transformation of the volume matrix element to the surface one (in the subspace over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$) and R-matrix representation of the scattering wave function of the fragments formed by the resonance decay allows one to parametrize the reaction amplitude in terms of

the R-matrix parameters used in the analysis of the binary resonant reactions. Because the reaction under consideration is the deuteron stripping, the presence of the deuteron in the initial state and exiting proton causes the distortions. That is why the reaction amplitude, in addition to the R-matrix parameters describing the binary subprocess, contains additional factors: CDCC wave function describing the d-A scattering in the initial channel (coupled to the deuteron breakup channel) and the proton distorted wave in the final state. Hence, the approach can be called a generalized R matrix for the stripping to resonance states. The advantage of the approach is that the reaction amplitude for stripping to resonance states in the post CDCC formalism does not have a convergence problem and is parametrized in terms of the same observables as binary resonant reactions. Hence, the formalism provides experimentalists a consistent tool to analyze binary resonant reactions and stripping reactions populating resonant states extracting the same observable parameters, namely, reduced widths (ANCs). The power of the method has been demonstrated in the analysis of the Trojan Horse reaction $^{19}\mathrm{F}(d,n\alpha)^{16}\mathrm{O}$ [33]. The numerical application of the method will be demonstrated in the follow-up papers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by the US Department of Energy under Grant Nos. DE-FG02-93ER40773, DE-FG52-09NA29467, and DE-SC0004958 (topical collaboration TORUS) and NSF under Grant No. PHY-0852653. The author expresses his thanks to A. S. Kadyrov and the members of the TORUS collaboration, I. Thompson, F. M. Nunes, J. Escher, C. Elster, and G. Arbanas.

APPENDIX A: b + B SCATTERING WAVE FUNCTION $\Psi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{bB}}$

In this appendix we consider the representation of the scattering $\Psi^{(+)}_{bB}$ wave function used in the R-matrix approach for binary resonance processes [39,40].

1. Internal scattering wave function $\Psi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{bB}}$

A general equation for the internal wave function contains the sum over total angular momentum J_F and its projection M_F. Because we are interested in a wave function $\Psi^{(+)}_{bB}$ describing a resonance in the system $F=b+B$, we consider only the internal wave function at given J_F, at which resonance occurs. In the internal region in the state with the total momentum J_F, channel spin s (its projection m_s) in the initial channel $c=b+B$ the wave function $\Psi^{(+)}_{bB}$ can be written as [39]

$$\Psi^{J_F(\mathrm{int})(+)}_{csm_s} = \frac{2\pi}{k_c}\sqrt{\frac{k_c}{\mu_c}}\sum_{Mlm_l} e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}} i^l \langle sm_s lm_l | J_F M_F\rangle \times Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu csl J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau}. \tag{A1}$$

Here $E_c = E_{bB}$ and $\mathbf{k}_c = \mathbf{k}_{bB}$ are the relative energy and momentum of particles b and B, $\mu_c = \mu_{bB}$, $\Gamma_{\nu csl J_F}(E_c)$ is the formal (R-matrix) partial resonance width of the level ν in the channel $csl J_F$, $c=b+B$, $\mathbf{A}$ is the R-matrix level matrix, N is the number of the levels included, δ^{hs}_{cl} is the hard-sphere scattering phase shift in the channel c given by

$$\delta^{hs}_{cl} = -\omega_{cl} + \arctan\frac{F_l(k_c,R_c)}{G_l(k_c,R_c)}, \tag{A2}$$

where $F_l(k_c,r_c)$ and $G_l(k_c,r_c)$ are regular and singular Coulomb solutions of the radial Schrödinger equation,

$$\omega_{cl} = \sigma_{cl} - \sigma_{c0} = \sum_{n=1}^{l}\arctan\frac{\eta_c}{n}, \tag{A3}$$

σ_{cl} is the Coulomb scattering phase shift in the channel c and in the partial wave l, and η_c is the Coulomb parameter for the scattering of the fragments in the channel c.

We consider only two coupled channels: $c=b+B$ and $c'=n+A$. Also $X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau}$ is an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian describing the compound system $F=n+A=b+B$ in the internal region excited to the discrete level τ with the total angular momentum J_F and its projection M_F.[2] A separable form for $\Psi^{J_F(\mathrm{int})(+)}_{csm_s}$ reflects the fact that we consider the $b+B$ interaction proceeding through resonance states. The entry channel of this scattering is the channel $c=b+B$. The inverse level matrix contains contribution from all N resonance levels. In a simple one-level case it reduces to the well-known Breit-Wigner resonance propagator. All the open channels coupled to c contribute to $X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau}$ and determine possible exit channel contributions into resonance scattering. Hence, in the internal region, where different open channels are coupled, $X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau}$ can be written as a nonorthogonal sum of these channels [39]:

$$X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau} = \sum_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l}m_{\tilde{s}}j}\frac{1}{r_{\tilde{c}}} w_{\tau\tilde{c}j}\hat{A}\left\{\xi_{\tilde{c}}\phi^{J_F M_F}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l}m_{\tilde{s}}} u_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l}J_F j}\right\}, \tag{A4}$$

where $\xi_{\tilde{c}}$ is the product of the internal bound-state wave functions of the fragments in the channel $\tilde{c}$, $\tilde{c}=c,c'$; $u_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l}J_F j}(r_{\tilde{c}})$ is a set of the radial wave functions of the relative motion of the fragments in the channel $\tilde{c}$ with the channel spin $\tilde{s}$, orbital angular momentum $\tilde{l}$ and total angular momentum J_F in some adopted potential; $\phi^{J_F M_F}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l}m_{\tilde{s}}}$ is the channel spin-angular wave function (in LS-coupling); $m_{\tilde{s}}$ is the projection of $\tilde{s}$. Also $\hat{A}$ is the antisymmetrization operator between the nucleons of the fragments in the channel $\tilde{c}$. We consider only two coupled channels, $c=b+B$ and $c'=n+A$. Thus, the initial channel c can propagate into two final channels c and c' via the intermediate resonances. Although Eq. (A4) contains the sum over all channel spins $\tilde{s}$ and its projections in each open channel, in what follows I consider the contribution to $X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau}$ only from the channel with fixed channel spin and its projection.

First, let us consider the contribution of the channel $cs''m_{s''}$ into $X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau}$. In this channel $\xi_c = \varphi_b\varphi_B$ and

$$\phi^{J_F M_F}_{cs''l''m_{s''}} = \sum_{m_{l''}}\langle s''m_{s''}l''m_{l''}|J_F M_F\rangle Y_{l''m_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{cs''m_{s''}}, \tag{A5}$$

[2] It is shown in Ref. [37] how to calculate $X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau}$ in the shell-model approach.

$$\phi_{cs''m_{s''}} = \sum_{M_bM_B} \langle J_bM_bJ_BM_B|s''m_{s''}\rangle \psi_{J_bM_b}\psi_{J_BM_B}. \quad \text{(A6)}$$

Here $\phi_{cs''m_{s''}}$ is the channel spin-wave function in the channel $cs''m_{s''}$, $\psi_{J_iM_i}$ is the spin-wave function of particle i, l'' ($m_{l''}$) is the relative orbital angular momentum (its projection) of the fragments in the channel c, and $\mathbf{r}_c = \mathbf{r}_{bB}$ is the radius-vector connecting b and the center-of-mass of B. We adopt the channel radius R_c large enough to neglect antisymmetrization between nucleons of b and B at $r_c = R_c$; that is,

$$\hat{A}\left\{\xi_c\phi^{J_FM_F}_{cs''l''m_{s''}}u_{cs''l''J_Fj}\right\}\Big|_{r_c=R_c} \approx N_c\xi_c\phi^{J_FM_F}_{cs''l''}u_{cs''l''J_Fj}\Big|_{r_c=R_c}, \quad \text{(A7)}$$

where $N_c = (\frac{(b+B)!}{b!B!})^{-1/2}$.

Assuming that the overlap of the channel c at the channel radius R_c with the channel c' is negligible we get for the component of $X^{J_FM_F}_{\tau cs''m_{s''}}$ projected on $\xi_c = \varphi_b\varphi_B$ at $r_c = R_c$ [39]

$$\Xi^{J_FM_F}_{\tau cs''m_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) = \left\langle\xi_c\middle|X^{J_FM_F}_{\tau s''m_{s''}}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_c=R_c} = \frac{1}{R_c}\sum_{l''}\phi^{J_FM_F}_{cs''l''m_{s''}}u_{\tau cs''l''J_F}(R_c), \quad \text{(A8)}$$

where

$$u_{\tau cs''l''J_F}(r_c) = N_c\sum_j w_{\tau cj}u_{cs''l''J_Fj}(r_c). \quad \text{(A9)}$$

At $r_c = R_c$ by definition [39]

$$u_{\tau cs''l''J_F}(R_c) = \sqrt{2\mu_cR_c}\gamma_{\tau cs''l''J_F}, \quad \text{(A10)}$$

where $\gamma_{\tau cs''l''J_F}$ is the reduced width amplitude of the level τ in the channel $cs''l''J_F$. I remind the reader that the system of units $\hbar = c = 1$ is being used throughout the paper if not specified otherwise. Then

$$\Xi^{J_FM_F}_{\tau cs''m_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) = \frac{1}{R_c}\sum_{l''}\sqrt{2\mu_cR_c}\gamma_{\tau cs''l''J_F}\phi^{J_FM_F}_{cs''l''m_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A11)}$$

Thus, we can express the component $\Xi^{J_FM_F}_{\tau cs''m_{s''}}(\mathbf{r}_c)$ taken at the channel radius $r_c = R_C$ in terms of the sum of the reduced width amplitudes, where the sum is taken over all allowed in the channel c partial waves l'' at given J_F and s''. Then the component of $\Psi^{J_F(\mathrm{int})(+)}_{csm_s}$ in the exit channel $cs''m_{s''}$ projected onto $\xi_c = \varphi_b\varphi_B$ at $r_c = R_c$ takes the form

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\mathrm{int})(+)}_{csm_s;cs''m_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) = \left\langle\xi_c\middle|\Psi^{J_F(\mathrm{int})(+)}_{csm_s;cs''m_{s''}}\right\rangle = \frac{2\pi}{k_cR_c}\sqrt{\frac{k_c}{\mu_c}}\sum_{M_F,lm_l}e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}}i^l\langle sm_slm_l|J_FM_F\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu csJ_F}(E_c)]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}$$
$$\times\,\Xi^{J_FM_F}_{\tau cs''m_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{k_cR_c}}\sum_{M_F,ll''m_lm_{l''}}e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}}i^l\,\langle sm_slm_l|J_FM_F\rangle\left\langle s''m_{s''}l''m_{l''}\middle|J_FM_F\right\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)$$
$$\times\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu csJ_F}(E_c)]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}\gamma_{\tau cs''l''J_F}Y_{l''m_{l''}}(\widehat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{cs''m_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A12)}$$

Here s'' is any channel spin value in the channel $c = b + B$ allowed by the spin and angular momentum conservation law. In particular, s'' may coincide with s; that is, $s'' = s$.

The diagonal component, $l'' = l$ and $s'' = s$, which is needed to determine the elastic scattering amplitude (see below) is

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\mathrm{int})(+)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{k_cR_c}}e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}}i^l\sum_{M_Fm_lm_{l''}}\langle sm_slm_l|J_FM_F\rangle\langle sm_{s''}lm_{l''}|J_FM_F\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)$$
$$\times\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu csJ_F}(E_c)]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}\gamma_{\tau csJ_F}Y_{lm_{l''}}(\widehat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{csm_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A13)}$$

A similar consideration can be applied when we consider the contribution of the channel $c's'm_{s'}$, where $c' = n + A$, into $X^{J_FM_F}_{\tau}$. In this channel $\xi_{c'} = \varphi_A$ and

$$\phi^{J_FM_F}_{c's'l'm_{s'}} = \sum_{m_{l'}}\langle s'm_{s'}l'm_{l'}|J_FM_F\rangle Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\widehat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'})\phi_{c's'm_{s'}}, \quad \text{(A14)}$$

$$\phi_{c's'm_{s'}} = \sum_{M_nM_A}\langle J_nM_nJ_AM_A|s'm_{s'}\rangle\psi_{J_nM_n}\psi_{J_AM_A}. \quad \text{(A15)}$$

Here $\phi_{c's'm_{s'}}$ is the channel spin-wave function in the channel c' with the channel spin s' and its projection $m_{s'}$, l' ($m_{l'}$) is the relative orbital angular momentum (its projection) of the fragments in the channel c', $\mathbf{r}_{c'} = \mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is the radius-vector connecting n and the center-of-mass of A. We adopt the channel radius $R_{c'}$ large enough to neglect antisymmetrization between n and nucleons of A at $r_{c'} = R_{c'}$; that is,

$$\hat{A}\left\{\xi_{c'}\phi^{J_FM_F}_{c's'l'm_{s'}}u_{c's'l'J_Fj}\right\}\Big|_{r_{c'}=R_{c'}} \approx N_{c'}\xi_{c'}\phi^{J_FM_F}_{c's'l'}u_{c's'l'J_Fj}\Big|_{r_{c'}=R_{c'}}, \quad \text{(A16)}$$

where $N_{c'} = (\frac{(A+1)!}{A!})^{-1/2} = (A+1)^{-1/2}$.

Assuming that the overlap of the channel c' at the channel radius $R_{c'}$ with the channel c is negligible, we get for the

component of $X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau c' s' m_{s'}}$ projected onto $\xi_{c'} = \varphi_A$ at $r_{c'} = R_{c'}$

$$\Xi^{J_F M_F}_{\tau c' s' m_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) = \left\langle \varphi_A \middle| X^{J_F M_F}_{\tau c' s' m_{s'}} \right\rangle\Big|_{r_{c'}=R_{c'}} = \frac{1}{R_{c'}} \sum_{l'} \phi^{J_F M_F}_{c' s' l' m_{s'}} u_{\tau c' s' l' J_F}(R_{c'}), \quad \text{(A17)}$$

where

$$u_{\tau c' s' l' J_F}(r_{c'}) = N_{c'} \sum_j w_{\tau c' j} u_{c' s' l' J_F j}(r_{c'}). \quad \text{(A18)}$$

At $r_{c'} = R_{c'}$

$$u_{\tau c' s' l' J_F}(R_{c'}) = \sqrt{2\mu_{c'} R_{c'}}\, \gamma_{\tau c' s' l' J_F}, \quad \text{(A19)}$$

where $\mu_{c'} = \mu_{nA}$, $\gamma_{\tau c' s' l' J_F}$ is the reduced width amplitude of the level τ in the channel $c' s' l' J_F$. Then

$$\Xi^{J_F M_F}_{\tau c' s' m_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) = \frac{1}{R_{c'}} \sum_{l'} \sqrt{2\mu_{c'} R_{c'}}\, \gamma_{\tau c' s' l' J_F} \phi^{J_F M_F}_{c' s' l' m_{s'}}; \quad \text{(A20)}$$

that is, it can be expressed in terms of the sum of the reduced widths amplitudes in all allowed partial waves l' in the channel c' at given J_F and s'. Then the component $\Psi^{J_F(\text{int})(+)}_{csm_s;c' s' m_{s'}}$ projected on $\xi_{c'} = \varphi_A$ at $r_{c'} = R_{c'}$ takes the form

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\text{int})(+)}_{csm_s;c' s' m_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) = \frac{2\pi}{k_c R_{c'}} \sqrt{\frac{k_c}{\mu_c}} \sum_{M_F, l m_l} e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}} i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle Y^*_{l m_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c) \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu c s l J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}\, \Xi^{J_F M_F}_{\tau c' s' m_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'})$$

$$= 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\mu_{c'}}{\mu_c k_c R_{c'}}} \sum_{M_F l l' m_l m_{l'}} e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}} i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle \langle s' m_{s'} l' m_{l'} | J_F M_F \rangle \times Y^*_{l m_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c) \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu c s l J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \gamma_{\tau c' s' l' J_F} Y_{l' m_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) \phi_{c' s' m_{s'}}. \quad \text{(A21)}$$

The component $\Upsilon^{J_F(\text{int})(+)}_{cslm_s;c' s' l' m_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'})$ is given by

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\text{int})(+)}_{cslm_s;c' s' l' m_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\mu_{c'}}{\mu_c k_c R_{c'}}} e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}} i^l \sum_{M_F m_l m_{l'}} \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle \langle s' m_{s'} l' m_{l'} | J_F M_F \rangle Y^*_{l m_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c) \times \sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N} [\Gamma_{\nu c s l J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \gamma_{\tau c' s' l' J_F} Y_{l' m_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) \phi_{c' s' m_{s'}}. \quad \text{(A22)}$$

2. External scattering wave function $\Psi^{(+)}_{\mathbf{bB}}$

Now we proceed to the expression for the $\Psi^{(+)}_c$ in the external region, where $r_c > R_c$ or $r_{c'} > R_{c'}$. In the external region the wave function $\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{csm_s}$ with fixed channel spin and its projection in the incident channel c can be written as

$$\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{csm_s} = \Psi^{(\text{ext})(0)}_{csm_s} + \Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{csm_s;r}, \quad \text{(A23)}$$

where the first term is the incident wave and the second term is the sum of the outgoing waves in all the open channels. The incident term is

$$\Psi^{(\text{ext})(0)}_{csm_s} = 4\pi\xi_c \sum_{J_F M_F} \sum_{l m_l m_{s''}} i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle \langle s m_{s''} l m_l | \times J_F M_F \rangle Y^*_{l m_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c) e^{i\omega_{cl}} \frac{F_l(k_c, r_c)}{k_c r_c} Y_{l m_l}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) \phi_{c s m_{s''}}, \quad \text{(A24)}$$

where the subscript c means that the incident wave is in the channel c. The sum over $m_{s''}$ is a formal because

$$\sum_{J_F M_F} \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle \langle s m_{s''} l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle = \delta_{m_s m_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A25)}$$

Note that here we use the incident wave with the unit amplitude rather than with the unit flux density. The component $\Psi^{J_F(\text{ext})(0)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}$, which corresponds to the exit channel $cslm_{s''}$ and fixed J_F, projected on ξ_c reduces to

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\text{ext})(0)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}(\mathbf{r}_c) = 4\pi \sum_{M_F m_l} i^l \langle s m_s l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle \langle s m_{s''} l m_l | J_F M_F \rangle \times Y^*_{l m_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c) e^{i\omega_{cl}} \frac{F_l(k_c, r_c)}{k_c r_c} Y_{l m_l}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) \phi_{c s m_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A26)}$$

Now we take into account that

$$F_l(k_c, r_c) = \frac{e^{i\omega_{cl}} O_l(k_c, r_c) - e^{-i\omega_{cl}} I_l(k_c, r_c)}{2i}. \quad \text{(A27)}$$

Here $O_l(k_c, r_c)$ and $I_l(k_c, r_c)$ are the Coulomb Jost singular solution of the Schrödinger equation with outgoing and ingoing asymptotic behavior (we follow the definitions used in Eq. [39]):

$$O_l(k_c, r_c) \overset{r_c \to \infty}{\approx} e^{i[k_c r_c - \eta_c \ln(2k_c r_c) - l\pi/2 + \sigma_{c0}]}, \quad \text{(A28)}$$

and

$$I_l(k_c, r_c) \overset{r_c\to\infty}{\approx} e^{-i[k_c r_c - \eta_c \ln(2k_c r_c), -l\pi/2+\sigma_{c0}]}. \quad \text{(A29)}$$

Then we can rewrite $\Upsilon^{J_F(\text{ext})(0)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}$ in the form

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\text{ext})(0)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}(\mathbf{r}_c) = i\frac{2\pi}{k_c r_c} i^l \sum_{M_F m_l} \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \langle sm_{s''} lm_l| \times J_F M_F\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)[I_l(k_c, r_c) - e^{i2\omega_{cl}} \times O_l(k_c, r_c)]Y_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{csm_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A30)}$$

Thus, the incident wave is the pure Coulomb scattering wave function in the incident channel c. The second term in Eq. (A23) is given by the sum of the outgoing waves in the open channels [40]:

$$\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{csm_s;r} = i\frac{2\pi}{k_c}\sum_{\tilde{c}}\sqrt{\frac{v_c}{v_{\tilde{c}}}}\frac{1}{r_{\tilde{c}}}\xi_{\tilde{c}} \sum_{J_F M_F l\tilde{l}m_l m_{\tilde{l}}} i^l \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \times Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)\left[e^{i2\omega_{cl}}\delta_{\tilde{c}c}\delta_{\tilde{s}s}\delta_{\tilde{l}l} - S^{J_F}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l};csl}\right]O_{\tilde{l}}(k_{\tilde{c}}, r_{\tilde{c}}) \times \langle \tilde{s}m_{\tilde{s}}\tilde{l}m_{\tilde{l}}|J_F M_F\rangle Y_{\tilde{l}m_{\tilde{l}}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\tilde{c}})\phi_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}m_{\tilde{s}}}. \quad \text{(A31)}$$

Here $\xi_{\tilde{c}}$ is the product of the bound-state wave functions in the channel $\tilde{c} = c, c'$ and $S^{J_F}_{\tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l};csl}$ is the S-matrix element for transition $csl \to \tilde{c}\tilde{s}\tilde{l}$. Note that we consider the outgoing waves in the channel with given total angular momentum J_F, initial channel spin s (its projection m_s), and final channel spin $\tilde{s}$ (its projection $m_{\tilde{s}}$). Because only two open channels, c and c', are taken into account here, we write explicitly the outgoing waves in both channels. First consider the elastic scattering, that is, the outgoing channel $\tilde{c} = c = b + B$ and the channel spin and orbital angular momentum coincide with the incident channel values; that is, $\tilde{s} = s$ and $\tilde{l} = l$. The component of the outgoing elastic scattered wave ($csl \to csl$) is

$$\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}} = i\frac{2\pi}{k_c r_c}\xi_c \sum_{J_F M_F m_l m_{l''}} \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \times \langle sm_{s''} lm_{l''}|J_F M_F\rangle i^l Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)\left[e^{i2\omega_{cl}} - S^{J_F}_{csl;csl}\right] \times O_l(k_c, r_c)Y_{lm_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{csm_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A32)}$$

Hence, the projection of $\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}$ on ξ_c leads to

$$\Upsilon^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}(\mathbf{r}_c) = i\frac{2\pi}{k_c r_c} \sum_{J_F M_F m_l m_{l''}} \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \times \langle sm_{s''} lm_{l''}|J_F M_F\rangle i^l Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)\left[e^{i2\omega_{cl}} - S^{J_F}_{csl;csl}\right]O_l(k_c, r_c)Y_{lm_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{csm_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A33)}$$

Correspondingly, for the inelastic scattering, $\tilde{c} = c$ but either $\tilde{s} \neq s$ or $\tilde{l} \neq l$ or both differ from the entry values, we get

$$\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;cs''l''m_{s''}} = -i\frac{2\pi}{k_c r_c}\xi_c \sum_{J_F M_F m_l m_{l''}} \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \times \langle s''m_{s''} l''m_{l''}|J_F M_F\rangle i^l Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)S^{J_F}_{cs''l'';csl} \times O_{l''}(k_c, r_c)Y_{l''m_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{cs''m_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A34)}$$

Then the projection of $\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;cs''l''m_{s''}}$ on ξ_c is

$$\Upsilon^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;cs''l''m_{s''}}(\mathbf{r}_c) = -i\frac{2\pi}{k_c r_c} \sum_{J_F M_F m_l m_{l''}} \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \times \langle s''m_{s''} l''m_{l''}|J_F M_F\rangle i^l Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)S^{J_F}_{cs''l'';csl} \times O_{l''}(k_c, r_c)Y_{l''m_{l''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c)\phi_{cs''m_{s''}}. \quad \text{(A35)}$$

Finally, for the outgoing scattered wave in the reaction channel $\tilde{c} = c' = n + A$ we have

$$\Psi^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;c's'l'm_{s'}} = -i\frac{2\pi}{k_{c'}r_{c'}}\sqrt{\frac{v_c}{v_{c'}}}\xi_{c'}i^l \sum_{J_F M_F m_l m_{l'}} \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \times \langle s'm_{s'} l'm_{l'}|J_F M_F\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)S^{J_F}_{c's'l';csl} \times O_{l'}(k_{c'}, r_{c'})Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'})\phi_{c's'm_{s'}}. \quad \text{(A36)}$$

It leads to its projection on $\xi_{c'}$:

$$\Upsilon^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;c's'l'm_{s'}}(\mathbf{r}_{c'}) = -i\frac{2\pi}{k_{c'}r_{c'}}\sqrt{\frac{v_c}{v_{c'}}}i^l \sum_{J_F M_F m_l m_{l'}} \langle sm_s lm_l|J_F M_F\rangle \times \langle s'm_{s'} l'm_{l'}|J_F M_F\rangle Y^*_{lm_l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_c)S^{J_F}_{c's'l';csl} \times O_{l'}(k_{c'}, r_{c'})Y_{l'm_{l'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'})\phi_{c's'm_{s'}}. \quad \text{(A37)}$$

Now we can derive the expression for the matrix elements of the S matrix. Because the wave function $\Psi^{(+)}_c$ is continuous using Eqs. (A13), (A30), and (A33) we get the equality

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\text{int})}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) = \Upsilon^{J_F(\text{ext})(0)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) + \Upsilon^{(\text{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;cslm_{s''}}(R_c\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c), \quad \text{(A38)}$$

which boils down to

$$e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}}\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu csl J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}\sqrt{2k_c R_c}\gamma_{\tau csl J_F} = i\left[I_l(k_c, R_c) - S^{J_F}_{csl;csl}O_l(k_c, R_c)\right]. \quad \text{(A39)}$$

Taking into account that [39]

$$\frac{I_l(k_c, R_c)}{O_l(k_c, R_c)} = \frac{G_l(k_c, R_c) - iF_l(k_c, R_c)}{G_l(k_c, R_c) + iF_l(k_c, R_c)}e^{i2\omega_{cl}} = e^{-2i\delta^{hs}_{cl}} \quad \text{(A40)}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\tau csl J_F}(E_c) = 2P_{cl}(E_c, R_c)\gamma^2_{\tau csl J_F}, \quad \text{(A41)}$$

where

$$P_{cl}(E_c, R_c) = \frac{k_c R_c}{F_l^2(k_c, R_c) + G_l^2(k_c, R_c)} \quad \text{(A42)}$$

is the Coulomb-centrifugal barrier penetrability, we get the elastic scattering S-matrix element:

$$S^{J_F}_{csl;csl} = e^{-2i\delta^{hs}_{cl}}\left(1 + i\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu csl J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2}[\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau} \times [\Gamma_{\tau csl J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2}\right). \quad \text{(A43)}$$

From equality of Eqs. (A22) and (A37) at given J_F and $r_{c'} = R_{c'}$

$$\Upsilon^{J_F(\mathrm{int})}_{cslm_s;c's'l'm_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) = \Upsilon^{J_F(\mathrm{ext})(+)}_{cslm_s;c's'l'm_{s'}}(R_{c'}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{c'}) \quad \text{(A44)}$$

we obtain the reaction matrix element

$$S^{J_F}_{csl;c's'l'} = ie^{-i\delta^{hs}_{cl}}e^{-i\delta^{hs}_{c'l'}}\sum_{\nu,\tau=1}^{N}[\Gamma_{\nu csl J_F}(E_c)]^{1/2} \times [\mathbf{A}^{-1}]_{\nu\tau}[\Gamma_{\tau c's'l'J_F}(E_{c'})]^{1/2}. \quad \text{(A45)}$$

Both obtained matrix elements coincide with the corresponding matrix elements from Ref. [40]. The only difference is in the definition of the solid scattering phase shifts. The obtained matrix elements of the S matrix confirm that the relative normalization of the internal and external wave parts of $\Psi^{(+)}_{bB}$ are correct and we can use them to calculate the reaction amplitude of the deuteron stripping proceeding through resonance states.

APPENDIX B: MATRIX ELEMENT M^{DW}_S

Let us consider the DWBA surface (in the subspace over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$) matrix element, which appears in the post form (see Sec. III A):

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_S(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left\langle \chi^{(-)}_{pF}\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}_{nA}\right| \overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T}\left|\varphi_d\chi^{(+)}_{dA}\right\rangle\Big|_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} = M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) + M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(nA)}(\mathbf{k}_{pF},\mathbf{k}_{dA}), \quad \text{(B1)}$$

where $\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}_{nA} = \langle\varphi_A|\Psi^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)}_{bB}\rangle$,

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \int_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})[\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}]\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{dA}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \quad \text{(B2)}$$

and

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(nA)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF}\int_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{nA}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})[\overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA}]\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{dA}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}). \quad \text{(B3)}$$

$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= \int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})[\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}]\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{dA}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \\ &\quad - \int_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})[\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}]\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{dA}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \\ &= \int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})[\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}]\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(+)}_{dA}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}). \end{aligned} \quad \text{(B4)}$$

We took into account that for any finite volume $r_{nA} \leqslant R_{nA}$ the matrix element containing $\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}$ vanishes, as has been discussed in Sec. II A for deuteron stripping to bound states. To estimate $M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}$ we need equations connecting different variables:

$$\mathbf{r}_{dA} = 1/2\mathbf{r}_{pn} + \mathbf{r}_{nA}, \quad \text{(B5)}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{pF} = A/(A+1)\mathbf{r}_{nA} + \mathbf{r}_{pn}. \quad \text{(B6)}$$

Replacing the variable $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ with $\mathbf{r}_{pn}$ and transforming the integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ to the surface integral we get for for the matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \left(\frac{A+1}{A}\right)^3 \lim_{R_{pF}\to\infty} R^2_{pF}\frac{1}{2\mu_{pF}}\Bigg[\int\mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{pF}}\frac{\partial\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})}{\partial r_{pF}}\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pn}\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}\left(\frac{A+1}{A}[\mathbf{r}_{pF}-\mathbf{r}_{pn}]\right) \\ \times\chi^{(+)}_{dA}\left(\frac{A+1}{A}\mathbf{r}_{pF} - \frac{A+2}{2A}\mathbf{r}_{pn}\right) - \int\mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{pF}}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{pF}}\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{pn}\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}\left(\frac{A+1}{A}[\mathbf{r}_{pF}-\mathbf{r}_{pn}]\right) \\ \times\chi^{(+)}_{dA}\left(\frac{A+1}{A}\mathbf{r}_{pF} - \frac{A+2}{2A}\mathbf{r}_{pn}\right)\Bigg]\Bigg|_{r_{pF}=R_{pF}\to\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(B7)}$$

Owing to the presence of the deuteron bound-state wave function the integration over r_{pn} is limited. At $r_{pF}\to\infty$ and $r_{pn} < \infty$ we can replace the distorted waves in the initial and final channels with their leading asymptotic terms:

$$\chi^{(+)}_{dA}(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \overset{r_{dA}\to\infty}{\sim} e^{i\mathbf{k}_{dA}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{dA}+i\eta_{dA}\ln(k_{dA}r_{dA}-\mathbf{k}_{dA}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{dA})} \quad \text{(B8)}$$

and

$$\chi^{(-)*}_{pF} \overset{r_{pF}\to\infty}{\to} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF}+i\eta_{pF}\ln(k_{pF}r_{pF}+\mathbf{k}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF})}. \quad \text{(B9)}$$

Here η_{ij} is the Coulomb parameter of particles i and j in the continuum. Note that $\mathbf{r}_{dA} = \frac{A+1}{A}\mathbf{r}_{pF} - \frac{A+2}{2A}\mathbf{r}_{pn}$, and at $r_{pF}\to\infty$ and $r_{pn} < \infty$ $r_{dA}\to\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial e^{i\mathbf{k}_{dA}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{dA}+i\eta_{dA}\ln(k_{dA}r_{dA}-\mathbf{k}_{dA}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{dA})}}{\partial r_{pF}} \\ &\overset{r_{pF}\to\infty}{\to} i\frac{A+1}{A}\mathbf{k}_{dA}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF} \\ &\quad\times e^{i\mathbf{k}_{dA}\cdot(\frac{A+1}{A}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF}-\frac{A+2}{2A}\mathbf{r}_{pn})+i\eta_{dA}\ln(k_{dA}r_{dA}-\mathbf{k}_{dA}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{dA})} \end{aligned} \quad \text{(B10)}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF}+i\eta_{pF}\ln(k_{pF}r_{pF}+\mathbf{k}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF})}}{\partial r_{pF}} \overset{r_{pF}\to\infty}{\approx} -i\mathbf{k}_{pF}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF}e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF}+i\eta_{pF}\ln(k_{pF}r_{pF}+\mathbf{k}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF})}. \quad \text{(B11)}$$

For $\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\frac{A+1}{A}[\mathbf{r}_{pF}-\mathbf{r}_{pn}])$ we can take only the external part, which contains the resonant S matrix element [see Eq. (A37)]. Neglecting all the spin-dependent and angular parts and leaving only its radial part, which is $O_{nA}(r_{nA})/r_{nA}$, we get for its leading asymptotic term

$$\frac{O_{nA}(k_{nA},r_{nA})}{r_{nA}} \overset{r_{pF}\to\infty}{\to} \frac{A}{A+1}\frac{1}{r_{pF}}e^{i\frac{A+1}{A}(k_{nA}r_{pF}-k_{nA}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pn})} \times e^{-i[\eta_{nA}\ln(2k_{nA}r_{nA})+l_{nA}\pi/2-\sigma_{nA0}]}. \quad \text{(B12)}$$

The leading term of its derivative at $r_{pF}\to\infty$ is

$$\frac{\partial O_{nA}(k_{nA},r_{nA})/r_{nA}}{\partial r_{pF}} \overset{r_{pF}\to\infty}{\to} ik_{nA}\frac{1}{r_{pF}}e^{i\frac{A+1}{A}(k_{nA}r_{pF}-k_{nA}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pn})} \times e^{-i[\eta_{nA}\ln(2\frac{A+1}{A}k_{nA}r_{pF})+l_{nA}\pi/2-\sigma_{nA0}]}. \quad \text{(B13)}$$

We also need the asymptotic behavior of the plane wave,

$$e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{pF}} \overset{r_{pF}\to\infty}{\to} \frac{2\pi}{iqr_{pF}}[e^{iqr_{pF}}\delta(\hat{\mathbf{q}}-\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF})-e^{-iqr_{pF}}\delta(\hat{\mathbf{q}}+\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF})], \quad \text{(B14)}$$

where $\mathbf{q}=\frac{A+1}{A}\mathbf{k}_{dA}-\mathbf{k}_{pF}$. Then the matrix element reduces to

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \sim \lim_{R_{pF}\to\infty}[f_1(R_{pF})e^{iqR_{pF}}+f_2(R_{pF})e^{-iqR_{pF}}]. \quad \text{(B15)}$$

Thus, $M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}$ has no limit at $R_{pF}\to\infty$ but regularization of this matrix element by integrating the matrix element over an infinitesimal bin in the momentum plane leads to disappearance of $M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}$:

$$\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\int_{q-\epsilon}^{q+\epsilon}dq\,M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \to \lim_{R_{pF}\to\infty}\frac{\sin(\epsilon R_{pF})}{\epsilon R_{pF}}\left[e^{iqR_{pF}}f_1(R_{pF})-e^{-iqR_{pF}}f_2(R_{pF})\right]=0, \quad \text{(B16)}$$

where $\epsilon\ll q$.

Similarly, we can estimate $M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(nA)}$ given by Eq. (B3). Because the integral over r_{nA} is taken over external volume with $r_{nA}>R_{nA}$ the transformation of the volume integral into the surface one leads to two surface integrals:

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(nA)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})=-M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})+M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_\infty}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad \text{(B17)}$$

The first term is the surface integral encircling the inner surface of the external volume at $r_{nA}=R_{nA}$, while the second term is the surface integral taken at $r_{nA}=R'_{nA}\to\infty$. A negative sign in front of the first term appears because the normal to the surface is directed inward to the center of the volume, which is opposite to the normal to the external surface (at infinitely large radius). The surface integral over the infinitely large sphere in the subspace over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_\infty}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})=-\lim_{R'_{nA}\to\infty}R'^{\,2}_{nA}\frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}}\left[\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}}\frac{\partial\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}}\int d\mathbf{r}_{pn}\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}\left(\frac{A}{A+1}\mathbf{r}_{nA}+\mathbf{r}_{pn}\right)\chi^{(+)}_{dA}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{pn}+\mathbf{r}_{nA}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}}\Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{nA}}\int d\mathbf{r}_{pn}\varphi_d(\mathbf{r}_{pn})\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}\left(\frac{A}{A+1}\mathbf{r}_{nA}+\mathbf{r}_{pn}\right)\chi^{(+)}_{dA}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{pn}+\mathbf{r}_{nA}\right)\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R'_{nA}\to\infty}. \quad \text{(B18)}$$

Here the Jacobian variable $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ is replaced with $\mathbf{r}_{pn}$. Owing to the presence of the deuteron bound-state wave function the integration over r_{pn} is limited. Hence, $r_{pF}\to\infty$ and $r_{dA}\to\infty$ at $r_{nA}\to\infty$. At $r_{nA}\to\infty$ and $r_{pn}<\infty$ we can replace the distorted waves in the initial and final channels by their leading asymptotic terms. The disappearance of the matrix element (B18) can be proved similarly to the proof of the disappearance of $M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(pF)}$. Replacing the distorted waves with their leading asymptotic terms (B8) and (B9), singling out the plane wave containing $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ and using the asymptotic representation of this plane wave [see Eq. (B14)], integrating over $\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}}$ we eventually arrive at

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_\infty}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \sim \lim_{R'_{nA}\to\infty}[e^{iq'R'_{nA}}g_1(R'_{nA})+e^{-iq'R'_{nA}}g_2(R'_{nA})]. \quad \text{(B19)}$$

Regularization of this matrix element by integrating it over an infinitesimal bin in the momentum plane q' leads to disappearance of $M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_\infty}$, that is

$$M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S(nA)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})=-M^{\mathrm{DW}}_{S_{R_{nA}}}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}). \quad \text{(B20)}$$

APPENDIX C: MATRIX ELEMENT $M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(\boldsymbol{P}, \mathbf{k}_{dA})$

Here we show how to transform $M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ into the surface integral over the coordinate $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$. $M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}$ can be written as

$$M_S^{\mathrm{CDCC(post)}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \int_{r_{nA}>R_{nA}} d\mathbf{r}_{nA} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{ext})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) [\overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T}] \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA})$$
$$= M_{\mathrm{Stot}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) - M_{\mathrm{Sint}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}), \tag{C1}$$

where

$$M_{\mathrm{Stot}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \int d\mathbf{r}_{nA} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) [\overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T}] \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) \tag{C2}$$

and

$$M_{\mathrm{Sint}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \int_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}} d\mathbf{r}_{nA} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(\mathrm{int})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) [\overleftarrow{T} - \overrightarrow{T}] \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}). \tag{C3}$$

Note that in the matrix element $M_{\mathrm{Stot}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}$ the integration is carried over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ in all the coordinate space while in $M_{\mathrm{Sint}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}$ the external region in the subspace over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ is excluded. Let us first consider $M_{\mathrm{Stot}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}$. The CDCC wave function is given by Eq. (52). If we substitute the first term, $n = 0$, which contains the deuteron bound-state wave function, the transformation leads to the surface integrals with $r_{pF} = R_{pF} \to \infty$ and $r_{nA} = R_{nA} \to \infty$. Both surface integrals vanish and the proof is similar to the one presented in the previous section. For the rest of the CDCC wave function corresponding to the sum with $n > 0$, which we call $\Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}$, transformation to the surface integrals gives

$$M_{\mathrm{Stot}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(c)}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \int d\mathbf{r}_{nA} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) [\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}] \Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) + \int d\mathbf{r}_{nA} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})$$
$$\times \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) [\overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA}] \Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) = -\lim_{R_{pF}\to\infty} \frac{R_{pF}^2}{2\mu_{pF}} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{pF}} \int d\mathbf{r}_{nA}$$
$$\times \left[\Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})}{\partial r_{pF}} - \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial \Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{pF}} \right]$$
$$- \lim_{R_{nA}\to\infty} \frac{R_{nA}^2}{2\mu_{nA}} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{nA}} \int d\mathbf{r}_{pF} \left[\chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right.$$
$$\left. - \chi^{(+)}_{-\mathbf{k}_{pF}}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial \Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{nA}} \right]. \tag{C4}$$

Let us first consider the first term, in which $R_{pF} \to \infty$. Let us divide the integration region over $\mathbf{r}_{nA}$ into the region $r_{nA}/R_{pF} \to 0$ and the region where $r_{nA} \gtrsim R_{pF} \to \infty$. In the first region we get that $r_{dA} \sim R_{pF} \to \infty$ and $r_{pn} \sim R_{pF} \to \infty$. Taking into account the asymptotic behavior of $\Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) \sim r_{pF}^{-3}$ and Eq. (B14) we get that the first term goes to zero as $R_{pF}^{-2} \to 0$. In the remaining region $r_{nA} \sim R_{pF} \to \infty$ and we consider it later. The second term of Eq. (C4), in which $R_{nA} \to \infty$, we also separate into two regions: $r_{pF}/R_{nA} \to 0$ and $r_{pF} \gtrsim R_{nA} \to \infty$. In the first region $r_{pn} \sim R_{nA} \to \infty$ and $r_{dA} \sim R_{nA} \to \infty$ and $\Psi_{ic}^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) \sim r_{nA}^{-3}$. Hence, the matrix element goes to zero as $R_{nA}^{-2} \to 0$. To consider the behavior of the first and second terms of Eq. (C4) in the second regions, where $r_{nA}, r_{pF} \to \infty$, it is more convenient to introduce the hyperspherical coordinates in the six-dimensional hyperspace:

$$\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{nA}}{m} r_{nA}^2 + \frac{\mu_{pF}}{m} r_{pF}^2}, \quad r_{nA} = \rho \sqrt{\frac{m}{\mu_{nA}}} \sin\alpha, \quad r_{pF} = \rho \sqrt{\frac{m}{\mu_{pF}}} \cos\alpha, \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \pi/2. \tag{C5}$$

Here m is the scaling mass parameter, for example, the nucleon mass. Then $M_{\mathrm{Stot}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}$ in the region where $r_{nA}, r_{pF} \to \infty$ can be written as the integral over the hypersphere encircling the volume integral with the radius of the hypersphere $\rho \to \infty$ [32]:

$$M_{\mathrm{Stot}}^{\mathrm{CDCC}}(P, \mathbf{k}_{dA}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{(\mu_{nA}\mu_{pF})^{3/2}} \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \rho^5 \int d\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF} \int d\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\alpha \sin^2\alpha \cos^2\alpha$$
$$\times \left[\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) - \Psi_i^{\mathrm{CDCC}(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}, \mathbf{r}_{nA}) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF}) \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \right]. \tag{C6}$$

Here hyper-radius ρ is the parameter going to infinity. The integrand contains highly oscillating (actually infinitely oscillating) functions. The behavior of the integral at $\rho \to \infty$ depends on the asymptotic behavior of the integrand. The integration over

$d\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF}$ can be performed directly using the asymptotic form of $\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})$. It is given by the Coulomb distorted plane wave, but for simplicity, what does not affect the final result, we neglect, as in the previous section, the Coulomb effects. Then the asymptotic form of the plane wave is given by Eq. (B14) and, hence, integration over $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF}$ using δ functions is trivial, leading to $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF} = \pm\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{pF}$. After performing the integration over $d\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF}$ only two integrals are left. From Eqs. (B5), (B6), and (C5) we get for

$$r_{pn} = \sqrt{r_{pF}^2 - 2\frac{A}{A+1}\mathbf{r}_{pF}\mathbf{r}_{nA} + \frac{A^2}{(A+1)^2}r_{nA}^2} = \rho\sqrt{\frac{m}{\mu_{pF}}\cos^2\alpha \mp \frac{A}{A+1}\sqrt{\frac{m}{\mu_{pF}}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{\mu_{nA}}}z\sin 2\alpha + \frac{A^2}{(A+1)^2}\frac{m}{\mu_{nA}}\sin^2\alpha} \tag{C7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{dA} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}r_{pF}^2 + \frac{A+2}{2(A+1)}\mathbf{r}_{pF}\mathbf{r}_{nA} + \frac{(A+2)^2}{4(A+1)^2}r_{nA}^2} \\ &= \rho\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\frac{m}{\mu_{pF}}\cos^2\alpha \pm \frac{A+2}{4(A+1)}\sqrt{\frac{m}{\mu_{pF}}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{\mu_{nA}}}z\sin 2\alpha + \frac{(A+2)^2}{4(A+1)^2}\frac{m}{\mu_{nA}}\sin^2\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{C8}$$

Here $z = \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{nA}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{pF}$. We recall also that in Eq. (52) at $n > 0$ $\psi^{(n)}_{pn}(\mathbf{r}_{pn})$ at $r_{pn}\to\infty$ contains the asymptotic terms $\frac{e^{\pm ik_{pn}r_{pn}}}{r_{pn}^2}$, while $\chi^{(n)(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{dA}) \sim \frac{e^{ik_{dA}r_{dA}}}{r_{dA}}$, where we, for simplicity, neglected the Coulomb distortion. Then after integration over $d\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{pF}$ the leading asymptotic form of the integrand with omitted Coulomb effects is a product of highly oscillating at $\rho\to\infty$ exponents:

$$\frac{e^{\pm ik_{pF}r_{pF}}}{r_{pF}}\frac{e^{ik_{nA}r_{nA}}}{r_{nA}}\frac{e^{\pm ik_{pn}r_{pn}}}{r_{pn}^2}\frac{e^{ik_{dA}r_{dA}}}{r_{dA}} \overset{\rho\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\rho^5}e^{i\rho g(\alpha,z)}. \tag{C9}$$

Thus, we need to estimate a highly oscillatory integral:

$$J_1 \sim \lim_{\rho\to\infty}\int_{-1}^{1}dz\int_0^{\pi/2}d\alpha\sin^2\alpha\cos^2\alpha e^{i\rho g(z,\alpha)}. \tag{C10}$$

Evidently, this integral and, hence, $M^{\rm CDCC}_{\rm Stot}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$ vanish at $\rho\to\infty$, whether a stationary phase point does exist or not, because the integration brings ρ to the denominator.

Now we proceed to $M^{\rm CDCC}_{\rm Sint}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA})$. We rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\rm CDCC}_{\rm Sint}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) &= \int_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}d\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{({\rm int})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\big[\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}\big]\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \\ &\quad + \int_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}d\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{({\rm int})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\big[\overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA}\big]\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}). \end{aligned} \tag{C11}$$

Let us first consider the first matrix element containing T_{pF}. It is easy to show that this matrix element vanishes. After transforming it into the surface integral over $\mathbf{r}_{pF}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}d\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{({\rm int})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\big[\overleftarrow{T}_{pF} - \overrightarrow{T}_{pF}\big]\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\mu_{pF}}\lim_{R_{pF}\to\infty}R_{pF}^2\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}_{pF}}\int_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}d\mathbf{r}_{nA}\Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\left[\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})\frac{\partial\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})}{\partial r_{pF}}\right. \\ &\quad \left.-\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\frac{\partial\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})}{\partial r_{pF}}\right]\Bigg|_{r_{pF}=R_{pF}}. \end{aligned} \tag{C12}$$

The matrix element containing $n = 0$ term of the CDCC wave function vanishes because in the subspace $r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}$ at $r_{pF}\to\infty$ the deuteron bound-state wave function exponentially fades away. The terms of the CDCC wave function with $n\geqslant 1$ also produce a vanishing matrix element because the CDCC wave function corresponding to these terms in the subspace $r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}$ at $r_{pF}\to\infty$ decays as $1/r_{pF}^3$; that is, the matrix element (C12) vanishes as $\lim_{R_{pF}\to\infty}R_{pF}^2/R_{pF}^3\to 0$. Thus, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &M_S^{\rm CDCC(post)}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) \\ &= -M^{\rm CDCC}_{\rm Sint}(P,\mathbf{k}_{dA}) = -\int_{r_{nA}\leqslant R_{nA}}d\mathbf{r}_{nA}\int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\Upsilon^{({\rm int})(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\big[\overleftarrow{T}_{nA} - \overrightarrow{T}_{nA}\big]\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mu_{nA}}R_{nA}^2\int d\mathbf{r}_{pF}\chi^{(-)*}_{pF}(\mathbf{r}_{pF})\left[\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{nA}}\Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA}) - \Upsilon^{(-)*}_{nA}(\mathbf{r}_{nA})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{nA}}\Psi^{\rm CDCC(+)}_i(\mathbf{r}_{pF},\mathbf{r}_{nA})\right]\Bigg|_{r_{nA}=R_{nA}}. \end{aligned} \tag{C13}$$

[1] G. H. Rawitscher, Phys. Rev. C **9**, 2010 (1974).
[2] M. Kamimura, M. Yahiro, Y. Iseri, H. Kameyama, Y. Sakuragi, and M. Kawai, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **89**, 1 (1986).
[3] N. Austern, Y. Iseri, M. Kamimura, M. Kawai, G. Rawitscher, and M. Yahiro, Phys. Rep. **154**, 125 (1987).
[4] R. C. Johnson and P. J. R. Soper, Phys. Rev. C **1**, 976 (1970).
[5] I. J. Thompson, Comput. Phys. Rep. **7**, 167 (1988).
[6] R. Huby and J. R. Mines, Rev. Mod. Phys. **37**, 406 (1965).
[7] F. S. Levin, Ann. Phys. **46**, 41 (1968); Nucl. Phys. A **115**, 449 (1968).
[8] H. T. Fortune and C. M. Vincent, Phys. Rev. **185**, 1401 (1969).
[9] C. M. Vincent and H. T. Fortune, Phys. Rev. C **2**, 782 (1970).
[10] J. Bang and J. Zim nyi, Nucl. Phys. A **139**, 534 (1969).
[11] V. E. Bunakov, Nucl. Phys. A **I40**, 241 (1970).
[12] V. E. Bunakov, K. A. Gridnev, and L. V. Krasnov, Phys. Lett. B **32**, 587 (1970).
[13] V. E. Bunakov, K. A. Gridnev, and L. V. Krasnov, Phys. Lett. B **34**, 27 (1971).
[14] R. Huby, Phys. Lett. B **33**, 323 (1970).
[15] B. J. Cole, R. Huby, and J. R. Mines, Phys. Lett. B **33**, 320 (1970).
[16] B. J. Cole, R. Huby, and J. R. Mines, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 264 (1971).
[17] R. Lipperheide, Phys. Lett. B **32**, 555 (1970).
[18] J. V. Noble, Phys. Rev. C **1**, 1742 (1970).
[19] E. I. Dolinsky, P. O. Dzhamalov, and A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, Nucl. Phys. A **202**, 97 (1973).
[20] Ya. B. Zeldovich, ZhETF (USSR) **39**, 776 (1960).
[21] T. Berggren, Nucl. Phys. A **109**, 265 (1968).
[22] A. M. Mukhamedzhanov and A. S. Kadyrov, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 051601(R) (2010).
[23] L. D. Blokhintsev, I. Borbely, and E. I. Dolinskii, Fiz. Elem. Chastits At. Yadra **8**, 1189 (1977) [Sov. J. Part. Nuclei **8**, 485 (1977)].
[24] E. I. Dolinsky and A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, Izv. AN. SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **41**, 2055 (1977) [Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Ser. **41**, 55 (1977)].
[25] A. M. Mukhamedzhanov and R. E. Tribble, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 3418 (1999).
[26] S. A. Goncharov, J. Dobesh, E. I. Dolinskii, A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, and J. Cejpek, Yad. Fiz. **35**, 662 (1982) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **35**, 383 (1982)].
[27] S. A. Goncharov *et al*., Czech. J. Phys. **37**, 168 (1987).
[28] I. R. Gulamov, A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, and G. K. Nie, Yad. Fiz. **58**, 1789 (1995) [Phys. At. Nucl. **58**, 1689 (1995)].
[29] C. A. Gagliardi *et al*., Phys. Rev. C **59**, 1149 (1999).
[30] A. M. Mukhamedzhanov *et al*., Phys. Rev. C **67**, 065804 (2003).
[31] A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, F. M. Nunes, and P. Mohr, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 051601(R) (2008).
[32] A. S. Kadyrov, I. Bray, A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, and A. T. Stelbovics, Ann. Phys. **324**, 1516 (2009).
[33] M. La Cognata *et al*., Astrophys. J. Lett. **739**, L54 (2011).
[34] I. Brida, Steven C. Pieper, and R. B. Wiringa, arXiv:1106.3121 [nucl-th].
[35] N. Austern and M. Kawai, Prog. Theor. Phys. **80**, 694 (1988).
[36] T. Sawada and K. Thushima, Prog. Theor. Phys. **76**, 440 (1986).
[37] C. Mahaux and H. A. Weidenmüller, *Shell-Model Approach to Nuclear Reactions* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969).
[38] C. Spitaleri *et al*., Phys. Rev. C **63**, 055801 (2001).
[39] A. M. Lane and R. G. Thomas, Rev. Mod. Phys. **30**, 257 (1958).
[40] J. M. Blatt and L. C. Biedenharn, Rev. Mod. Phys. **24**, 258 (1952).