Discovery of a 10 μ s isomeric state in ¹³⁹₆₃Eu

D. M. Cullen,¹ P. J. R Mason,^{1,*} C. Scholey,² S. Eeckhaudt,² T. Grahn,² P. T. Greenlees,² U. Jakobsson,² P. M. Jones,² R. Julin,² S. Juutinen,² S. Ketelhut,² A. M. Kishada,¹ M. Leino,² A.-P. Leppänen,² K. Mäntyniemi,² P. Nieminen,² M. Nyman,² J. Pakarinen,^{2,†} P. Peura,² M. G. Procter,¹ P. Rahkila,² S. V. Rigby,^{1,†} J. Sarén,² J. Sorri,² J. Uusitalo,² B. J. Varley,¹ and M. Venhart²

¹School of Physics and Astronomy, Schuster Laboratory, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

²Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, FIN-40014 Finland

(Received 22 September 2010; published 28 January 2011)

Recoil-isomer tagging with the ⁵⁴Fe + 92 Mo reaction was used to establish a 10(2)- μ s isomeric state in ¹³⁹Eu. Prompt versus delayed γ -ray coincidence data have revealed the presence of a prompt rotational band built upon the isomer. The alignment properties of the states in this band show that the isomer is based upon a proton $g_{7/2}$ configuration. The decay of the isomer takes place through a single 26-keV E1 transition. The γ -ray transition strength for this decay is consistent with those established in the neighboring isomeric gamma-soft nuclei. In these nuclei, isomers are expected to form as a consequence of differences in nuclear shapes or configurations, and the natural hindrance associated with configuration-changing E1 transitions. The isomeric nature of the state in ¹³⁹Eu is reasoned to be because of difference in shape of the proton $g_{7/2}$ state and the proton $h_{11/2}$ ground state to which it decays.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.83.014316

PACS number(s): 23.35.+g, 21.10.Re, 23.20.Lv, 27.60.+j

I. INTRODUCTION

Long-lived or isomeric nuclear states are known to exist in many regions throughout the nuclear chart [1]. These isomers can generally be classified into groups depending on their nature. Three particularly common classifications are based on shape isomers, spin-trap isomers, and K isomers [1]. The mass $A \sim 120-140$ region of the nuclear chart, where ¹³⁹Eu lies, is of particular interest because nuclear behavior associated with several types of isomers have been observed there. For the lower-mass nuclei around $A \sim 120$, the protons populate the lower levels of the Z = 50 nuclear shell, whereas the neutrons populate the upper levels of the equivalent shell with $N \sim 74-82$. As a result of the reduced valance space near both of these closed shells, several isomers are found to exist (e.g., in the ${}^{124-130}_{50}$ Sn nuclei [2]). With increasing mass, around $A \sim 140$, the proton Fermi surface lies amongst the lower- Ω prolate driving $h_{11/2}$ orbits and the neutron Fermi level lies around the higher- Ω oblate driving $h_{11/2}$ orbits. This prolate-oblate shape-driving competition for particular configurations can create the possibility for shape isomers to exist where the transitions linking these different nuclear shapes may be hindered (e.g., ¹⁴⁴Ho₇₇ [3,4], ¹⁴²Tb₇₇ [3,5,6], ¹⁴⁰Eu₇₇ [7], ¹³⁴Pm₇₃ [8], and ¹³⁶Pm₇₅ [9]). Finally, K isomers are also found in this mass region based on neutron number N = 74. K isomers occur where axially symmetric shapes are formed in the well-deformed midshell nuclei near the proton drip line (e.g., ${}^{136}_{62}$ Sm, ${}^{138}_{64}$ Gd, and ${}^{140}_{66}$ Dy [10–13]). These K isomers are based on similar underlying Nilsson

configurations to those K isomers established in the mass $A \sim 170-180$ region, with the N = 74 neutrons replaced by Z = 74 protons [1].

In some cases, the interpretation of the underlying configuration and classification of the isomers in the mass 120-140 region have been complicated. This is because a large fraction of these isomers decay by E1 transitions. Systematic studies of E1, M1, E2, and M2 transition probabilities have shown that E1 transitions between intrinsic states are often highly hindered compared with their single-particle Weisskopf or Nilsson estimates [14,15]. For example, the systematic studies of Lobner and Malmskog have revealed that E1 hindrance factors can span a range of 5 orders of magnitude from 10^{-7} to 0.01. These studies also found that the specific delay for any particular transition was not found to be a smooth function of nuclear mass, making interpretations based on systematic studies difficult [14,15].

The observation of an isomer in ¹³⁹Eu provides another example where the type of isomerism can be tested in the mass $A \sim 130-140$ region. At first sight, because ¹³⁹Eu has neutron number N = 76 and proton number Z = 63 it might be speculated that it is a well-deformed nucleus and perhaps that K isomerism may play a role. However, unlike in the $A \sim 170-180$ region [1], K isomers have rarely been established outside N = 74 in the $A \sim 130-140$ region [16]. Additionally, although ¹³⁹Eu has a β_2 deformation of 0.20, it has a gamma-soft configuration with nonaxiality parameter, $\gamma = +24^{\circ}$ [17]. Such γ softness would destroy the K quantum number and K-selection rule and would leave open the possibility that particular orbits could significantly influence the soft-nuclear shape. Transitions linking such differing nuclear shapes are often isomeric and for ¹³⁹Eu this, and the natural hindrance associated with configuration-changing E1 transitions, offers a likely explanation for the presence of the newly established 10(2)- μ s isomer.

^{*}Present Address: Department of Physics, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK.

[†]Present Address: Department of Physics, Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZE, UK.

II. EXPERIMENT AND DATA ANALYSIS

High-spin states were populated in ¹³⁹Eu using a heavyion fusion-evaporation reaction. The K130 cyclotron at the Accelerator Laboratory of the University of Jyväskylä, Finland was employed to accelerate ⁵⁴Fe ions onto a \sim 500- μ g/cm² ⁹²Mo target at beam energies of 305 and 315 MeV. An average beam current of \sim 6 pnA was used for 19 h at 305 MeV and 66 hours at 315 MeV. ¹³⁹Eu was populated weakly through the seven-particle (5*p*2*n*) exit channel. The ability to select such exotic reaction channels was possible by employing the high sensitivity and selectivity of recoil-isomer tagging [3–9,11,18–20] where prompt and delayed γ rays were correlated across isomeric states.

Prompt γ -ray decays were detected with 40 Comptonsuppressed HPGe detectors of the JUROGAM target detector array with a total photopeak efficiency of $\sim 4\%$ at 1.3 MeV [21]. Nuclear recoils were transported to the focal plane of the gas-filled recoil ion transport unit (RITU) [22] and implanted into a \sim 500- μ m thick aluminum foil. In the present experiment, recoil-isomer tagging was performed using a dual multiwire proportional counter (MWPC) setup which is described more fully in Ref. [5]. The use of a dual-MWPC setup allows larger recoil implantation rates to be accommodated in recoil-isomer tagging than with the standard setup where recoils are implanted into the GREAT doublesided silicon-strip detector [23]. Delayed γ -ray transitions from isomeric states were measured in the GREAT planar- and clover-germanium detectors close to the aluminum foil. Each decay was time stamped with a 100-MHz clock and recorded using the triggerless total data readout (TDR) system [24]. Data were sorted into two-dimensional matrices with GRAIN [25] and analysed with the UPAK [26] software packages.

III. RESULTS

The most intense delayed transitions observed with this reaction were from the known decay of an \sim 300-ns isomeric state in ¹⁴⁰Eu through a 5p1n exit channel [7]. However, as a consequence of the short half-life of this isomer, most of the associated delayed radiation had decayed by $\sim 1 \ \mu s$ after each recoil implant at the focal plane. Analysis of the delayed focal plane spectrum, with a half-life condition that a delayed γ ray was detected more than 1 μ s after a recoil implant, revealed the presence of a different series of low-intensity delayed γ -ray transitions of energies, 26, 117, and 122 keV and x rays of energy 40.9(2) and 47.2(2) keV from the electron conversion of the delayed γ rays. These x-ray energies are consistent with those expected for the 41.5- and 47.0-keV K_{α} and K_{β} x rays of Eu, respectively. Application of recoil-isomer tagging, revealed that the new delayed transitions were in delayed coincidence with a series of prompt transitions of energies 198, 471, 489, 582, 594, and 655 keV. A literature search revealed that the 26-, 117-, 122-, 198- and 489-keV transitions were established transitions in ¹³⁹Eu from the β -decay studies of the ground and isomeric states of ¹³⁹Gd [27]. The experimental method used in Ref. [27], detecting β -delayed transitions with a moving-tape system, would not have been sensitive to any short-lived μ s isomers in the decays of the daughter nucleus,



FIG. 1. Recoil-isomer-tagged spectra from a prompt-delayed matrix where the delayed γ rays were detected 1–33 μ s after a recoil passed through the first MWPC. (a) Prompt JUROGAM spectrum showing the established 198- and 489-keV transitions in ¹³⁹Eu [27] along with the newly observed 471-, 582-, 594-, and 655-keV prompt transitions in ¹³⁹Eu from a single gate on the delayed 122-keV γ ray in ¹³⁹Eu. All labeled peaks have been assigned to ¹³⁹Eu. (b) Delayed planar spectrum showing the 26-, 117-, and 122-keV delayed transitions from a sum of gates on the prompt 198-, 489-, 582-, and 594-keV transitions in ¹³⁹Eu. The inset spectrum shows the low-energy region of this spectrum expanded for clarity. The 41- and 47-keV peaks are the K_{α} and K_{β} x rays of Eu, respectively.

 139 Eu. In contrast, recoil-isomer tagging in the present work, reveals that some of the transitions established in Ref. [27] are in fact *delayed* as a result of a newly identified isomeric state in 139 Eu.

Figure 1(a) shows the prompt spectrum obtained with recoil-isomer tagging on one of the delayed transitions, 122 keV. This spectrum reveals the presence of a series of prompt transitions of energy 198, 471, 489, 582, 594, and 655 keV. The 198- and 489-keV transitions were first established in Ref. [27]. Figure 1(b) shows the corresponding delayed spectrum obtained by setting gates on the prompt transitions (198, 489, 582, and 594 keV). These spectra were taken from a prompt versus delayed matrix which was constructed with the condition that the delayed decays were detected 1–33 μ s after a recoil passed through the first MWPC. The first $0-1-\mu s$ range was avoided to suppress the strong contamination from the known \sim 300-ns isomeric state in ¹⁴⁰Eu [7] and the matrix was background subtracted in time using delayed decays from the time interval 1–33 μ s before a recoil was detected.

A. Measurement of the ¹³⁹Eu half-life

The half-life of the isomeric state in ¹³⁹Eu was determined from a series of focal-plane time spectra gated on the delayed 117- and 122-keV γ -ray transitions at both beam energies (see Fig. 2). The time parameter in this spectrum was defined as the time difference between a recoil passing through the first



FIG. 2. (Color online) Time spectrum for the new 122-keV delayed γ -ray transition in ¹³⁹Eu. The "Time" axis label refers to the time difference between a recoil passing through the first MWPC and the detection of the delayed γ ray.

MWPC and a γ ray detected in the planar-Ge detector using the 100-MHz TDR clock. Using the TDR data, background was subtracted from these spectra using the 33- μ s period prior to the arrival of a recoil at the focal plane. For each transition, half-life data were individually fitted with an exponential plus constant background term at each beam energy. (The individual half-life values and their statistical errors were 8.4(4), 10.7(2), and 11.9(5) for the 122-keV transition at 305 and 315 MeV and the 117-keV transition at 315 MeV,



FIG. 3. Partial level scheme for ¹³⁹Eu showing the newly assigned 10(2)- μ s isomeric state and the new 582-, 594-, 471-, and 655-keV prompt transitions. The 26-, 117-, 122-, 198-, and 489-keV transitions were first established in ¹³⁹Eu from the β -decay studies of the ground and isomeric states of ¹³⁹Gd [27]. The spins and parities of the states are discussed in Sec. IV A. The 117-keV transition was observed in the delayed spectrum from the isomer decay although the decay path to this state was not established in the present work (see text for details).

TABLE I. γ -ray energies, intensities, and initial and final spins for ¹³⁹Eu from the recoil-isomer tagged data in this work. The prompt and delayed intensities are normalized separately.

E_{γ} (keV)	I_{γ}	$J^{\pi}_i ightarrow J^{\pi}_f$	
	Delayed γ ray	7S	
26.4(2)	34(6)	$(7/2^+) \to (9/2^-)$	
40.9(2)	87(11)	K_{lpha}	
47.2(2)	20(4)	K_{β}	
117.2(1)	21(3)	$(13/2^{-}) \rightarrow (11/2^{-})$	
121.8(1)	100(11)	$(9/2^{-}) \rightarrow (11/2^{-})$	
	Prompt γ ray	S	
198.2(2)	86(13)	$(11/2^+) \to (7/2^+)$	
470.7(4)	39(9)	$(27/2^+) \rightarrow (23/2^+)$	
488.9(2)	100(10)	$(15/2^+) \to (11/2^+)$	
582.3(7)	86(15)	$(19/2^+) \to (15/2^-)$	
593.9(3)	66(13)	$(23/2^+) \rightarrow (19/2^+)$	
655.2(4)	17(6)	$(31/2^+) \to (27/2^+)$	

respectively.) The half-life of the isomeric state in 139 Eu was deduced from the mean and standard deviation of these individual half-life values to be 10(2) μ s. The relatively large standard deviation with this limited sample size may suggest the presence of some unknown systematic error.

Figure 3 shows the partial-level scheme of ¹³⁹Eu deduced from this recoil isomer tagging study. The γ -ray energies and intensities deduced from the recoil isomer tagged spectra, shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), are given in Table I. The ordering of the transitions above the isomer are based on their intensities as no γ - γ coincident information was available because of the limited statistics obtained in this experiment. The spin and parity assignments and the ordering of these prompt transitions will be discussed further in Secs. IV A and IV B, respectively. This level scheme is largely in agreement with that proposed in Ref. [27]. However, the state at excitation energy 148 keV was established in this work to have a half-life of 10(2) μ s. In addition, the fact that the 117-keV transition is also observed in the delayed spectrum, Fig. 1(b), reveals that either there must be a link from this 148-keV isomeric state to the 117-keV state through a 30-keV transition, or that there must be a link from the state of excitation energy 122 keV to the state of excitation energy 117 keV through a 5-keV transition. Figure 1(b) does not show any evidence for a 30-keV transition which should have been observed with the level of statistics in this spectrum. However, the present setup would not have been sensitive to a 5-keV transition. Such a low-energy transition would need to penetrate through the stopper foil and thin Be window of the planar detector before it was detected. The efficiency for this process would be very small, and this may be the most-likely explanation why the delayed transition, which links into the 117-keV state, was not directly established in the present work [Fig. 1(b)].

IV. DISCUSSION

¹³⁹Eu has previously been the subject of several experiments [17,27–29]. The lowest members of the ground-state rotational

band were first established from heavy-ion fusion-evaporation reactions [28]. Later, with improvements in detector efficiency, fusion-evaporation studies extended the ¹³⁹Eu level scheme by mostly populating yrast and near-yrast states up to high spins of around $47/2\hbar$ [17,29]. The nonyrast low-spin states of ¹³⁹Eu were identified from β -delayed studies of the 5.9(9)-s ground and 4.8(9)-s isomeric states of ¹³⁹Gd [27]. The present work has started to bridge the gap in knowledge between these yrast and nonyrast parts of the nuclear level scheme. Such studies are possible where (nonyrast) isomeric states can be used as a recoil-isomer tag with fusion-evaporation reactions. In the present work, a nonvrast rotational band was established built upon a 10(2)- μ s isomeric state in ¹³⁹Eu. The properties of this band, and the γ -ray transition strength for the isomer decay, give information of the underlying single-particle configuration and the reasons for the isomerism of the level.

A. Spins and parities of the states

The spin and parity of the lowest state established in ¹³⁹Eu was assigned as $I^{\pi} = (11/2^{-})$ in Refs. [17,27] and the 117-keV excited state was assigned as $I^{\pi} = (13/2^{-})$ in Ref. [17]. In the present work, the spin and parities of the states fed from the isomer decay were deduced by consideration of the electron-conversion coefficients, total intensities, and Weisskopf single-particle half-life estimates for the transitions involved.

In this reaction, all of the K_{α} x-ray intensity shown in Fig. 1(b) is associated with the electron conversion of the 117- and 122-keV transitions. This is because the 26-keV transition energy is below the binding energy of the Kshell. This information was used in conjunction with the γ -ray intensities from Table I to deduce the multipolarity of the delayed transitions. Electron-conversion coefficients have been calculated for each transition from the total intensity flow through each level and compared with the theoretical values tabulated in Ref. [30]. Assuming that the 117-keV transition is an M1 transition from Ref. [17], the experimentally calculated K_{α} electron-conversion coefficient for the 122-keV transition is $\alpha_K = 0.66(14)$. In comparison, the theoretical values for a 122-keV transition in ¹³⁹Eu from BRICC [30] are 0.88 for M1, 0.14 for E1, 6.7 for M2, and 0.68 for E2. From this comparison, the 122-keV transition is consistent with an E2 assignment within $\pm 1\sigma$ or with an M1 assignment within $\pm 2\sigma$. The possibility of any mixed M1 component to the 122-keV E2 transition would effectively be ruled out because the theoretical electron-conversion value for the E2transition, 0.68, is already larger than the experimental value, 0.66(14). However, because of the relatively large uncertainty ± 0.14 on the experimental electron-conversion coefficient, some M1 mixing, up to 60% M1 and 40% E2, would still remain consistent with the current experimental value plus one standard deviation, 0.80. This cannot be ruled out in the present work and an experiment collecting higher statistics would be required to fully establish the extent of this potential mixing. The Weisskopf half-life estimates for a 122-keV transition are 12 ps for M1 and 0.48 μ s for E2 multipolarity assignments,

respectively. Both of these lifetimes would have been difficult to distinguish if they were convoluted with the decay of the 10(2)- μ s isomer (Fig. 2). With the lack of any further information, the multipolarity of the 122-keV transition is assigned as an *E*2 transition in the present work.

Using similar methods, consideration of the total intensity flow for the 26-keV transition, relative to the newly assigned 122-keV E2 transition, reveals that the total electronconversion coefficient for the 26-keV transition is 5.5(1.4). In comparison, the theoretical total electron-conversion coefficients for a 26-keV transition in ¹³⁹Eu from BRICC [30] are 13.7 for M1, 1.9 for E1, 725 for E2, and 1420 for M2. The experimental value is only consistent with a theoretical E1 assignment within $\pm 2.6\sigma$. The Weisskopf half-life for a 26-keV E1 transition is 15 ps, 0.6 ns for M1, and 972 μ s for E2. The large half-life associated with an E2 transition would have been readily detected if it were convoluted with the decay of the 10(2)- μ s isomer in Fig. 2 and this leaves the only possible assignments from the Weisskopf estimates to be M1 or E1. Combining this information with the electron-conversion analysis reveals that the most-likely transition multipolarity for the 26-keV transition is E1. The γ -ray transition strength for this 26-keV transition will be discussed in comparison with other E1 transitions in the neighboring nuclei in Sec. IV C.

The spin and parity of the isomeric state cannot be determined solely using the multipole assignments from the electron-conversion analysis. The electron-conversion analysis does not give information on whether the γ -ray transition carries away the full available angular momentum (stretched) or not (unstretched). This information is usually obtained from a directional correlation from the orientated states method. However, because the delayed transitions are observed at the RITU focal plane where the nuclear recoils have lost their initial alignment over several microseconds before the γ ray is emitted, this approach cannot be used. It can be argued that both the 122- and 26-keV γ rays cannot both be stretched transitions. This is because a stretched 122-keV E2 and a stretched 26-keV E1 transition assignment would lead to an isomer spin and parity of $(17/2^+)$ and the band built upon the isomer would form the yrast states in ¹³⁹Eu [17]. In this case, the new isomer band should have been readily observed in previous studies [17]. Because this was not the case, the new isomeric state was assigned a tentative $(7/2^+)$ configuration in the present work involving an unstretched 122-keV $E2(\Delta I = 1)$ transition and a stretched 26-keV E1 transition. This tentative assignment was guided by the properties of the band built upon the isomer (see Sec. IV B) and also upon the lowest available orbits around the proton Fermi surface in the theoretical calculations [17].

B. Aligned-angular momentum

The experimental aligned-angular momentum (or alignment), i_x , [31] for the band built on top of the new 10(2)- μ s isomeric state in ¹³⁹Eu (solid squares) is shown in Fig. 4. A reference band with Harris parameters $\Im_o = 11 \ \hbar^2/\text{MeV}$ and $\Im_1 = 10\hbar^4/\text{MeV}^3$ was subtracted [32]. These parameters were selected to give a flat aligned-angular momentum for



FIG. 4. Aligned-angular momentum for the ground-state bands in (a) ¹⁴⁰Gd [33], and (b) ¹³⁹Eu [17,28,29], and the new prompt band built upon the 10(2)- μ s isomer in ¹³⁹Eu. Harris parameters $\Im_o =$ 11 \hbar^2 /MeV and $\Im_1 = 10 \hbar^4$ /MeV³ have been subtracted from each band in the figure.

the ground-state and isomer bands in ¹³⁹Eu at both low- and high-rotational frequencies. Also shown in this figure are the aligned-angular momentum for the ground-state band in ¹³⁹Eu (open squares) [17,28,29] and the ground-state band in $^{140}_{63}$ Gd (diamonds) [33].

In this mass region, large gains in alignment observed near $\hbar \omega \approx 0.3$ MeV are understood to be from the lowest $h_{11/2}$ proton crossing, $\pi(ef)$. The lowest neutron $h_{11/2}$ configurations, $\nu(AB)$, are expected to align at a higher rotational frequency, $\hbar \omega \approx 0.5$ MeV [17,34]. For example, Fig. 4(a) shows that the ground-state band in even-even nucleus ¹⁴⁰Gd (diamonds) undergoes the first $\pi(ef)$ proton crossing at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.3$ MeV and the first, $\nu(AB)$, neutron crossing begins to occur at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.5$ –0.6 MeV, although more data at higher rotational frequencies would be required to fully assign this crossing.

In contrast the ground-state band in the odd-proton nucleus ¹³⁹Eu [open squares in Fig. 4(b)] [17,28,29] does not show any gain in aligned-angular momentum at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.3$ MeV which is consistent with this first proton $h_{11/2}$ crossing being blocked in this band. This means that the configuration of the ground-state band in ¹³⁹Eu must involve at least one $h_{11/2}$ proton, in the *e* or *f* orbital. Because this is the ground-state band in ¹³⁹Eu, it must be the band built upon the lowest proton *e* orbital. The first band crossing observed in the ground-state band of ¹³⁹Eu occurs around $\hbar \omega \approx 0.37$ MeV and is associated with the first allowed proton crossing based on the $h_{11/2}$, $\pi(fg)$ crossing [17]. These observed crossings in ¹³⁹Eu are in good agreement with the theoretical cranked-shell-model calculations performed in Ref. [17].

For the newly established band built on top of the 10(2)- μ s isomer in ¹³⁹Eu (solid squares) a gain in alignment is observed to occur at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.27$ MeV. This alignment gain and rotational frequency is quite similar to that observed in the ground-state band in ¹⁴⁰Gd [Fig. 4(a)] and is consistent with a crossing involving the lowest pair of $h_{11/2}$, $\pi(ef)$ proton orbitals in

¹³⁹Eu. The observation of this alignment in ¹³⁹Eu implies that the configuration of the newly established band on top of the isomer must not involve either of the lowest proton $h_{11/2}$ orbitals *e* or *f*. According to the theoretical cranked-shellmodel calculations performed in Ref. [17], the next lowest orbits around the proton Fermi surface are based on the proton $g_{7/2}$ orbits. This and the estimated spins and parities of the bandhead isomeric state from the electron conversion analysis and the nonyrastness of the isomer, reveals that the most likely configuration for this new isomeric state in ¹³⁹Eu is based on a $\pi g_{7/2}$ orbit. The initial aligned-angular momentum for the isomer band at low rotational frequencies is about ~3 \hbar . This is consistent with the proposed $g_{7/2}$ configuration of the isomer and the larger ~5 \hbar initial aligned-angular momentum of the $h_{11/2}$ ground-state band configuration in ¹³⁹Eu [see Fig. 4(b)].

C. γ-ray transition strengths and the lifetime of the isomeric state

The decay of the newly established isomer in ¹³⁹Eu takes place via a 26-keV *E*1 transition. The expected single-particle Weisskopf half-life, $t_{1/2}$ (Weisskopf), for this 26-keV transition is 15 ps, which is much shorter than the 10(2)- μ s experimental value, $t_{1/2}$ (experiment). The large additional hindrance for the 26-keV γ -ray decay corresponds to a γ -ray transition strength [35] of

$$S = \frac{t_{1/2}(\text{Weisskopf})}{t_{1/2}(\text{experiment})} = 4.1(8) \times 10^{-6} \text{ W.u.},$$

using the Weisskopf single-particle estimate corrected for internal conversion. However, this small γ -ray transition strength actually lies well within the range expected from the systematic studies of Lobner and Malmskog for *E*1 transitions (10⁻⁷ to 0.01 W.u.) [14,15]. This may already suggest that the reason for the 10(2)- μ s isomeric state in ¹³⁹Eu may just be a consequence of the natural hindrance associated with configuration-changing *E*1 decays.

To test these ideas further, the γ -ray transition strength for a series of *E* 1 transitions in the neighboring odd-mass and evenmass nuclei in this region, those for the more neutron-rich Te isotopes near the closed shell [35] and those for the N = 74 Kisomers in this region ¹³⁶Sm [36],¹³⁸Gd [11],¹⁴⁰Dy [12,13] are compared in Table II. In the table, the γ -ray transition strengths are grouped by the spin and parity of the initial state.

From Table II, it can be seen that the γ -ray transition strength for the new delayed 26-keV, $(7/2^+) \rightarrow (9/2^-)$, E1 transition in ¹³⁹Eu, 4.1(8) × 10⁻⁶ W.u., is slightly larger than those of the other hindered-E1 decays in the neighboring oddmass nuclei, ¹³¹I, ¹⁴⁷Pm, and ¹⁴⁹Pm, (1.4 – 2.6) × 10⁻⁶ W.u. This larger transition strength reveals that the 26-keV, E1 transition in ¹³⁹Eu is less hindered than similar E1 decays in the neighboring nuclei. It is also evident from Table II that the γ -ray transition strength for the 26-keV decay in ¹³⁹Eu is also larger and less hindered than those of the 8⁺ \rightarrow 7⁻ isomeric E1 decays in the neighboring even-even nuclei, ¹³⁸Pm to ¹⁴⁸Dy, (0.1 – 2.2) × 10⁻⁶ W.u. This may be understood because some of the isomeric states in ¹³⁸Pm to ¹⁴⁸Dy have been discussed in terms of shape isomers

TABLE II. γ -ray transition strengths for a series of *E*1 transitions grouped by the spin of the initial state, in the neighboring odd-mass and even-mass nuclei in this region from Refs. [35] and ¹⁴²Tb [5,6], ¹⁴⁰Eu [7], ¹⁴⁴Ho [3,4], ¹³⁴Pm [8], ¹³⁶Pm [9], ¹³⁶Sm [36], ¹³⁸Gd [11,37], and ¹⁴⁰Dy [12,13].

Nucleus	E_{γ} (keV)	Assigned multipolarity	$J^{\pi}_{f} ightarrow J^{\pi}_{i}$	$t_{1/2}$ (ns)	γ -ray transition strength, S (×10 ⁻⁶ W.u.)
¹³⁹ Eu	26	E1	$(7/2^+) \to (9/2^-)$	$10(2) \times 10^{3}$	4.1(8)
¹⁴⁹ Pm	270	E1	$7/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^+$	3.8(1)	1.4(1)
^{131}I	241	E1	$(15/2^{-}) \rightarrow (15/2^{+})$	8.5(3)	1.6(1)
^{131}I	201	E1	$(15/2^{-}) \rightarrow (13/2^{+})$	8.5(3)	2.6(1)
¹⁴⁷ Pm	241	E1	$(11/2^{-}) \rightarrow (9/2^{+})$	17(2)	1.4(2)
¹³⁶ Sm	43	E1	$8^+ \rightarrow 7^-$	1500(100)	1.6(1)
¹³⁸ Pm	174	E1	$8^+ ightarrow 7^-$	21(5)	2.2(5)
¹⁴⁰ Eu	98	E1	$8^+ ightarrow 7^-$	299(3)	0.14(1)
¹⁴² Tb	37	E1	$8^+ ightarrow 7^-$	$26(1) \times 10^3$	0.14(1)
¹⁴⁴ Ho	57	E1	$8^+ ightarrow 7^-$	519(5)	1.1(1)
¹⁴⁸ Dy	95	E1	$8^+ ightarrow 7^-$	95(30)	1.7(6)
¹²⁸ Te	527	E1	$7^- ightarrow 6^+$	3.47(4)	0.97(1)
¹³⁰ Te	331	E1	$7^- ightarrow 6^+$	166(12)	0.063(5)
¹³² Te	151	E1	$7^- ightarrow 6^+$	$13(3) \times 10^{3}$	0.008(2)
¹³⁴ Pm	71	E1	$(7^-) \rightarrow (6^+)$	$20(1) \times 10^3$	0.090(4)
¹³⁶ Sm	466	E1	$8^- ightarrow 8^+$	$15(1) \times 10^{3}$	0.00017(1)
¹³⁸ Gd	583	E1	$8^- ightarrow 8^+$	$6.2(2) \times 10^3$	0.00030(3)
¹⁴⁰ Dy	574	<i>E</i> 1	$8^- ightarrow 8^+$	$6(1) \times 10^{3}$	0.00018(4)

(e.g., ¹⁴⁴Ho₇₇ [3,4], ¹⁴²Tb₇₇ [3,5,6], ¹⁴⁰Eu₇₇ [7], ¹³⁴Pm₇₃ [8], and ¹³⁶Pm₇₅ [9]). In these nuclei, isomers exist because particular nuclear configurations can influence and polarize the nuclear shape. This is particularly true for the prolate-drivingproton and oblate-driving-neutron $h_{11/2}$ orbitals involved in the isomeric- and ground-state configurations in these nuclei. However, in the $A \sim 130$ –140 region, the nuclear shapes are expected to be fairly soft with respect to nonaxial γ distortions [38]. This gamma softness and associated mixing of shapes may be the reason why the γ -ray transition strengths for the shape isomer decays of ¹⁴⁴Ho₇₇, ¹⁴²Tb₇₇, ¹⁴⁰Eu₇₇, ¹³⁴Pm₇₃, and ¹³⁶Pm₇₅ in Table II are not quite as small as might be expected if the isomer and ground states were based on more rigid-nuclear shapes.

In comparison, Table II shows that the γ -ray transition strengths for the $7^- \rightarrow 6^+$ transitions in the even-mass Te isotopes, 132 Te to 128 Te range from (0.008–0.97)×10⁻⁶ W.u. As these tellurium isotopes have proton numbers close to the Z = 50 closed shell they may be expected to show single-particle nuclear behavior. As a consequence, these vibrational-like nuclei should have fairly rigid nuclear shapes and small γ -ray transition strengths. A similar γ -ray transition strength comparison can also be made with the K isomers in this region, ¹³⁶Sm [36], ¹³⁸Gd [11], ¹⁴⁰Dy [12,13] (see Table II). These well-deformed K isomers have fairly rigid prolate isomeric- and ground-state nuclear shapes with little nonaxial deformation ($\gamma \sim 0^{\circ}$). The γ -ray transition strengths for these K-isomer decays are very small on account of the large difference in K between the isomeric state (K = 8) and the ground-state band to which they decay $(K \sim 0)$ [16] and the K selection rule governing the changes in K [1,14]. In stark contrast, the γ -ray transition strength for the 26-keV E1

transition in 139 Eu is four orders of magnitude larger or less hindered than these *K*-isomer decays.

In summary, the γ -ray transition strength for the 26-keV E1 transition in ¹³⁹Eu appears to be consistent with those of other shape-isomer decays in this region. This may be understood by the fact that the nuclear shape in ¹³⁹Eu is predicted to be gamma soft [17]. As a consequence of this softness, the isomeric nuclear shape based on the proton $g_{7/2}$ orbital may be expected to have a different nuclear shape to the ground state based on a proton $h_{11/2}$ orbit. It is this shape or configuration difference that is reasoned to result in the long-lived nature of the new $(7/2^+) 10(2)$ - μ s isomeric state in ¹³⁹Eu.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A known state in ¹³⁹Eu was established to be isomeric from a recoil-isomer tagging experiment at the University of Jyväskylä. The properties of the new rotational band built upon this isomer reveal that is most likely based upon a $g_{7/2}$ configuration. The isomeric state decays via a 26-keV transition with a 10(2)- μ s half-life whose internal-conversion coefficient is consistent with that of an electric-dipole transition. The γ -ray transition strength for the 26-keV E1 decay in ¹³⁹Eu was found to be similar to those of other isomeric-E1 decays in the neighboring nuclei. This comparison indicates that the hindered nature of the state in ¹³⁹Eu is most likely because of a difference in the nuclear shape between the isomeric $g_{7/2}$ state and the $h_{11/2}$ ground state to which it decays. A higher-statistics recoil-isomer tagging experiment focused on ¹³⁹Eu as the main exit channel would be beneficial to more fully establish configurations and alignments at higher rotational frequencies. In addition, the use of a differential plunger with

recoil-isomer tagging would allow the lifetimes of the in-band transitions to be measured to define the deformation of the states in the isomeric band to compare with those of the ground-state rotational band.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the European Union 6th Framework program, "Integrating Infrastructure Initiative-Transnational Access," Contract No. 506065 (EURONS), and

- [1] P. Walker and G. Dracoulis, Nature (London) **399**, 35 (1999).
- [2] R. L. Lozeva et al., Phys. Rev. C 77, 064313 (2008).
- [3] C. Scholey et al., Phys. Rev. C 63, 034321 (2001).
- [4] P. J. R. Mason et al., Phys. Rev. C 81, 024302 (2010).
- [5] P. J. R. Mason et al., Phys. Rev. C 79, 024318 (2009).
- [6] M. N. Tantawy et al., Phys. Rev. C 73, 024316 (2006).
- [7] D. M. Cullen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **66**, 034308 (2002).
- [8] D. M. Cullen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 80, 024303 (2009).
- [9] S. V. Rigby et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, 034304 (2008).
- [10] A. M. Bruce, P. M. Walker, P. H. Regan, G. D. Dracoulis, A. P. Byrne, T. Kibèdi, G. J. Lane, and K. C. Yeung, Phys. Rev. C 50, 480 (1994).
- [11] D. M. Cullen et al., Phys. Rev. C 58, 846 (1998).
- [12] D. M. Cullen et al., Phys. Lett. B 529, 42 (2002).
- [13] W. Królas et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 031303 (2002).
- [14] K. E. G. Lobner, Phys. Lett. B 26, 369 (1968).
- [15] K. E. G. Lobner and S. G. Malmskog, Nucl. Phys. 80, 505 (1966).
- [16] A. M. Bruce, A. P. Byrne, G. D. Dracoulis, W. Gelletly, T. Kibèdi, F. G. Kondev, C. S. Purry, P. H. Regan, C. Thwaites, and P. M. Walker, Phys. Rev. C 55, 620 (1997).
- [17] P. Vaska et al., Phys. Rev. C 52, 1270 (1995).
- [18] P. Mason et al., Phys. Lett. B 683, 17 (2010).
- [19] D. M. Cullen et al., in International Conference on Frontiers in Nuclear Structure, Astrophysics, and Reactions—FINUSTAR 2, Crete, Greece. AIP Conf. Proc. 1012 (2008), p. 220.
- [20] D. M. Cullen, in AIP Conference Proceedings "Nuclear Physics and Astrophysics: From Stable Beams to Exotic Nuclei," Cappadocia, Turkey. AIP Conf. Proc. 1072 (2008), p. 185.
- [21] P. T. Greenlees et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 25, 599 (2005).
- [22] M. Leino *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 99, 653 (1995).

by the Academy of Finland under the Finnish Centre of Excellence Programme 2006–2011 (Nuclear and Accelerator Based Physics Programme at JYFL). The authors acknowledge the EPSRC/IN2P3 loan pool and GAMMAPOOL for the use of the JUROGAM detectors. P.J.R.M. and S.V.R. acknowledge receipt of EPSRC studentships. D.M.C. acknowledges the support of the STFC through Contract No. PP/F000855/1. C.S. (Contract No. 209430), P.T.G. (Contract No. 119290), and P.N. (Contract No. 121110) acknowledge the support of the Academy of Finland.

- [23] R. D. Page *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect.
 B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms **204**, 634 (2003).
- [24] I. Lazarus et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 48, 567 (2001).
- [25] P. Rahkila, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 595, 637 (2008).
- [26] W. T. Milner, UPAK, The Oak Ridge Analysis Package, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN (private communication).
- [27] X. Yuanxiang, X. Shuwei, L. Zhankui, Y. Yong, P. Qiangyan, W. Chunfang, and Z. Tianmei, Eur. Phys. J. A 6, 239 (1999).
- [28] S. Lunardi, F. Scarlassara, F. Soramel, S. Beghini, M. Morando, C. Signorini, W. Meczynski, W. Starzecki, G. Fortuna, and A. M. Stefanini, Z. Phys. A **321**, 177 (1985).
- [29] P. J. Bishop, M. J. Godfrey, A. J. Kirwan, P. J. Nolan, D. J. Thornley, J. M. O'Donnel, R. Wadsworth, D. J. G. Love, and L. Goettig, J. Phys. G 14, 995 (1988).
- [30] T. Kibédi, T. W. Burrows, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, P. M. Davidson, and C. W. Nestor Jr., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 589, 202 (2008).
- [31] R. Bengtsson and S. Frauendorf, Nucl. Phys. A **327**, 139 (1979).
- [32] S. M. Harris, Phys. Rev. 138, B509 (1965).
- [33] E. S. Paul, K. Ahn, D. B. Fossan, Y. Liang, R. Ma, and N. Xu, Phys. Rev. C 39, 153 (1989).
- [34] E. S. Paul et al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 19, 861 (1993).
- [35] P. M. Endt, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 26, 41 (1981).
- [36] P. H. Regan, G. D. Dracoulis, A. P. Byrne, G. J. Lane, T. Kibédi, P. M. Walker, and A. M. Bruce, Phys. Rev. C 51, 1745 (1995).
- [37] M. G. Procter et al., Phys. Rev. C (2010) (to be submitted).
- [38] P. Moller, R. Bengtsson, B. Carlsson, P. Olivius, T. Ichikawa, H. Sagawa, and A. Iwamoto, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 94, 758 (2008).