## Erratum: Two- and three-charged-particle nuclear scattering in momentum space: A two-potential theory and a boundary condition model [Phys. Rev. C 73, 054001 (2006)]

Shinsho Oryu (Received 22 October 2007; published 4 December 2007)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.76.069901 PACS number(s): 21.45.+v, 24.10.-i, 25.10.+s, 34.50.-s, 99.10.Cd

- (i) A typographical error was found in the phrase above Eq. (26): "The relation between *t* matrix and *K* matrix is given by, for p = p' = k," should read "The relation between *t* matrix and *K* matrix is given by, for  $p, p' \neq k$ ,".
- (ii) Typographical errors occurred in Eqs. (126), (127), (128), (149), (150), and (151): The vector sign " $\rightarrow$ " is missing over the bra- and the ket-vectors; i.e.,  $\langle \alpha | \rightarrow \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha} |$  and  $|\alpha \rangle \rightarrow |\overrightarrow{\alpha} \rangle$ , etc. And also, in Eq. (A2),  $\overline{\omega}_{\gamma\delta}^C$  should read  $\overline{\omega}_{\alpha\gamma}^C$ .
- (iii) Superfluous suffixes occurred in some operators, a consequence of which is that a conceptual mistake could be inferred. Although, the products of LS operators were correctly presented without suffixes in Ref.[38], we wish to make clearer, in this article, the difference between the product of the three-body LS operators and that of the Faddeev operators by using suffixes (or the Jacobi channels:  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ). For this purpose, we introduce "a matrix  $\mathring{\delta}_{\alpha\beta}$ " as the unit matrix element:  $1 = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \check{\delta}_{\alpha\beta}$ .
  - a. Following Eq. (83), "where  $\overline{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} = 1 \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  is defined." Should be replaced by "where  $\overline{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} = 1 - \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $1 = 1 - \delta_{\alpha\beta}$

 $\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \overline{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \widetilde{\delta}_{\alpha\beta}$  are defined."

b. The significance of this new notation is apparent in the following where we redefine  $\omega$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}$ , and  $\tilde{T}$ :

$$(77) \rightarrow T^{\phi}_{\alpha\beta} = V^{\phi}_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\gamma} V^{\phi}_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}G_{0}T^{\phi}_{\gamma\beta}$$

$$(79) \rightarrow \equiv V^{\phi}_{\alpha}\omega^{\phi}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \overline{\omega}^{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}V^{\phi}_{\beta}$$

$$(88) \rightarrow G^{\phi}_{\alpha\beta} = G_{0}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\gamma,\delta} G_{0}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}T^{\phi}_{\gamma\delta}\delta_{\delta\beta}G_{0}$$

$$\equiv G_{0}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + G_{0}\tilde{T}^{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}G_{0}$$

$$(89) \rightarrow G^{C}_{\alpha\beta} = G_{0}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\gamma,\delta} G_{0}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}T^{C}_{\gamma\delta}\delta_{\delta\beta}G_{0}$$

$$\equiv G_{0}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + G_{0}\tilde{T}^{C}_{\alpha\beta}G_{0}.$$

The last line of Eq. (91) should be replaced by

$$= T_{\alpha}^{R} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\eta,\sigma,\gamma} T_{\alpha}^{R} G_{0} \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{\alpha\eta} U_{\eta\sigma}^{\phi} \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{\sigma\gamma} G_{0} T_{\gamma\beta}^{R} \\ + \sum_{\gamma} T_{\alpha}^{R} G_{0} (G_{0}^{-1} \overline{\delta}_{\alpha\gamma} - T_{\alpha}^{\phi} \delta_{\alpha\gamma}) G_{0} T_{\gamma\beta}^{R} \\ + \sum_{\gamma,\eta} T_{\alpha}^{R} G_{0} \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{\alpha\eta} T_{\eta}^{\phi} \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{\eta\gamma} T_{\gamma\beta}^{R}.$$

Also, the following replacements should be made:

$$(92) \rightarrow \equiv V_{\alpha}^{R} \omega_{\alpha\beta}^{R} \equiv \overline{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}^{R} V_{\beta}^{R}$$

$$(112) \rightarrow G_{\alpha\beta}^{C} = \sum_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha\gamma}^{C} \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{\gamma\beta} G_{0} \equiv \widetilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}^{C} G_{0}$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma} G_{0} \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{\alpha\gamma} \overline{\omega}_{\gamma\beta}^{C} \equiv G_{0} \overline{\widetilde{\omega}}_{\alpha\beta}^{C}$$

$$(113) \rightarrow \overline{G}_{\alpha}^{H} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + G_{\alpha\beta}^{H} \overline{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\gamma}^{C} T_{\gamma\delta}^{0} G_{\delta\beta}^{C}$$

$$+ (G_{\alpha\beta}^{C} - G_{\alpha}^{C}) \delta_{\alpha\beta} + G_{\alpha\beta}^{C} \overline{\delta}_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= G_{0} \{ G_{0}^{-1} \overline{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{C} - T_{\alpha}^{C} \delta_{\alpha\beta}) + \overline{\widetilde{\omega}}_{\alpha\gamma}^{C} T_{\gamma\delta}^{0} \widetilde{\omega}_{\delta\beta}^{C} \} G_{0},$$

$$(116) \rightarrow u_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= \left[ G_{0}^{-1} \overline{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{C} - T_{\alpha}^{C} \delta_{\alpha\beta}) + \overline{\widetilde{\omega}}_{\alpha\eta}^{C} T_{\eta\delta}^{0} \widetilde{\omega}_{\delta\gamma}^{C} \right] + \left[ G_{0}^{-1} \overline{\delta}_{\alpha\gamma} + (\tilde{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{C} - T_{\alpha}^{C} \delta_{\alpha\gamma}) + \overline{\widetilde{\omega}}_{\alpha\eta}^{C} T_{\eta\delta}^{0} \widetilde{\omega}_{\delta\gamma}^{C} \right] \times G_{0} T_{\gamma} G_{0} u_{\gamma\beta}.$$

- c. In the same manner, in Eqs. (125), (126), (127), (128), (A2), and (A14), the operators  $\omega_{\alpha\beta}^{C}$ , and  $T_{\alpha\beta}^{C}$  should carry a tilde sign, that is,  $T_{\alpha\beta}^{C} \rightarrow \tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{C}$  and  $\overline{\omega}_{\alpha\eta}^{C} T_{\eta\delta}^{0} \omega_{\delta\beta}^{C} \rightarrow \overline{\tilde{\omega}}_{\alpha\eta}^{C} T_{\eta\delta}^{0} \tilde{\omega}_{\delta\beta}^{C}$ , using the new definitions (89) and (112).
- (iv) In Eqs. (37) and (154), the second formula should be omitted, because the off-shell behavior of the Møller functions  $\overline{\omega}_l^{\phi}, \omega_l^{\phi}, \overline{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{\Phi}$ , and  $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\Phi}$  cannot be replaced by the  $\delta$  function in the integral, although Eq. (38) is correct outside of the integral.