Resonances of ⁷He using the complex scaling method

Takayuki Myo,^{1,*} Kiyoshi Katō,^{2,†} and Kiyomi Ikeda^{3,‡}

¹Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan ²Division of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan ³RIKEN Nishina Center, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan (Received 21 August 2007; published 8 November 2007)

We study the resonance spectroscopy of ⁷He in the ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$ cluster model, where the motion of valence neutrons is described in the cluster orbital shell model. Many-body resonances are treated on the correct boundary condition as the Gamow states in the complex scaling method. We obtain five resonances and investigate their properties from the configurations. In particular, the $1/2^{-}$ state is found in a low excitation energy of 1.1 MeV with a width of 2.2 MeV, whereas the experimental determination of the position of this state is not so clear. We also evaluate the spectroscopic factors of the ⁶He-n components in the obtained ⁷He resonances. The importance of the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+})$ state is shown in several states of ${}^{7}\text{He}$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.76.054309

PACS number(s): 21.60.Gx, 21.10.Pc, 27.20.+n

I. INTRODUCTION

Development of the radioactive beam experiments provides us with much information of the unstable nuclei far from the stability. In particular, the light nuclei near the neutron drip-line exhibit the new phenomena of the nuclear structures, such as the neutron halo structure in ⁶He, ¹¹Li, and so on. The disappearance of the 0p-1s shell gap is also found in ¹¹Li and neighboring nuclei [1,2].

Recently, many experiments of ⁷He, the unbound nuclei, have been reported [3-10]. The ground state is commonly assigned to be the $3/2^-$ resonant state at 0.3–0.5 MeV above the ${}^{6}\text{He}+n$ threshold energy. However, there are still found contradictions in the observed energy levels and the excited states are not settled for their spins and energies. The excited state at $E_x \sim 3$ MeV is reported in several experiments [3,4, 6,9] and a possibility of the $5/2^{-}$ state is proposed in Refs. [8,9]. The existence of $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-_2$ states is also expected [5-9] but still unclear and their positions and decay widths are not fixed. In particular, the $1/2^{-}$ state is interested with the possibility of the LS partner of the ground $3/2^{-}$ state, because the LS splitting in this nucleus may give important information on the LS interaction in neutron drip-line nuclei. For this state, the recent experiments [5,8,9] report it with the low excitation energy at around the 1-MeV region. However, other observations [6,7] exclude the low excitation energy of $1/2^{-}$ reported in Ref. [5] and suggest a little higher excitation energy [6].

On the theoretical side, *ab initio* calculations of the no-core shell model [11] and the Green's function Monte Carlo [12] were performed, and the calculated energy positions of the ground state and the $5/2^{-}$ state show a good correspondence with those of the experiments [8]. The $1/2^{-}$ state is predicted at around 3 MeV, although the theoretical results somewhat depend on the choice of the three-nucleon forces [12]. Those

054309-1

calculations are based on the bound-state approximation and the continuum effect from many-body open channels is not taken into account correctly, though all states of ⁷He are unbound. The excited states with a few-MeV excitation energy can decay not only to the two-body ${}^{6}\text{He}+n$ channel but also to many-body channels of ${}^{5}\text{He}+2n$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$.

Several promising methods have been proposed to take into account the continuum effects explicitly. Starting from the traditional shell model, the particle decay into the open channels was recently considered based on the continuum shell model [13] and application to the He isotopes was done [14]. Another approach, the so-called Gamow shell model [15–17], was presented to describe single-particle decaying states. As for the model space, both the continuum shell-model and the Gamow shell-model calculations for the resonant spectroscopy of He isotopes have been carried out within *p*-shell configurations. It is known, however, that for the description of the weakly bound system, in addition to the *p*-shell configurations, the contributions from the higher partial waves cannot be ignored such as due to the pairing correlation. In particular, the sd shell plays an important role and is found to give an approximately 1-MeV energy contribution on the binding energy of ⁶He with the appropriate interactions [18,19]. For the spectroscopy of ⁷He, its ground state may be a single-particle resonance with a ${}^{6}\text{He}+n$ configuration, but all other excited states are experimentally suggested to appear as two- or three-particle resonances above the ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$ threshold energy, because ${}^{6}\text{He}$ is a Borromean nucleus and breaks up easily into ${}^{4}\text{He}+n+n$. Furthermore, when we discuss the properties of the ⁷He resonances, it is important to reproduce the threshold energies of the particle decays, in which the subsystems also have their particular decay widths such as ${}^{5}\text{He}+2n$ channels. This condition was not emphasized so far in the previous theoretical studies of ⁷He. Therefore, the ⁷He resonant spectroscopy is desired to be investigated with the appropriate treatments of the decay properties concerned with the subsystem of ^{5,6}He, simultaneously.

The purpose of this article is to carry out the resonance spectroscopy of ⁷He with the simultaneous descriptions of ^{5,6}He

^{*}myo@rcnp.osaka-u.ac.jp

[†]kato@nucl.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

^tk-ikeda@postman.riken.go.jp

imposing the accurate boundary conditions of many-body decays. To do this, we employ the cluster orbital shell model (COSM) of ⁴He+n+n+n [20–22], in which the open channel effects for the ${}^{6}\text{He}+n$, ${}^{5}\text{He}+2n$, and ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$ decays are taken into account explicitly. We describe the many-body resonances under the correct boundary conditions for these decay channels using the complex scaling method (CSM) [23]. As the details of this method are given in Ref. [24], the resonant energies and decay widths of many-body resonances are directly obtained by diagonalization of the complex-scaled Hamiltonian with L^2 basis functions [25,26]. It has been also shown that CSM is a very successful method to investigate the resonances and the Coulomb breakups of He and Li isotopes [18,19,27]. In this article, we find out the resonance structure of ⁷He with CSM and also determine the spectroscopic factors (S factor) of ⁶He-n components for every ⁷He resonance. The results of the S factor are shown to help for understanding the coupling between ⁶He and the additional neutron in ⁷He.

II. COMPLEX-SCALED ⁴He+*Xn* COSM FOR He ISOTOPES

A. Cluster orbital shell model (COSM) for ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ systems

We explain COSM for the ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ systems, where X = 1 for ${}^{5}\text{He}$, X = 2 for ${}^{6}\text{He}$ and X = 3 for ${}^{7}\text{He}$. The Hamiltonian is the same as that used in Refs. [19,22];

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{X+1} t_i - T_G + \sum_{i=1}^{X} V_i^{\alpha n} + \sum_{i< j}^{X} V_{ij}^{nn}, \qquad (1)$$

where t_i and T_G are kinetic energies of each particle (*Xn* and ⁴He) and the center-of-mass (c.m.) of the total system, respectively. The interactions $V^{\alpha n}$ and V^{nn} are given by the so-called modified KKNN potential [18] for ⁴He-*n* and the Minnesota potential [28] with 0.95 of the *u*-parameter for *n*-*n*, respectively. They reproduce the low-energy scattering data of the ⁴He-*n* and the *n*-*n* systems, respectively, which have no bound states.

For the wave function, ⁴He is assumed as the $(0s)^4$ configuration of a harmonic oscillator wave function, whose length parameter b_c is taken to be 1.4 fm to fit the charge radius of ⁴He. The motion of valence neutrons surrounding ⁴He is solved accurately using the few-body technique. We employ a variational approach in which the relative wave functions of the ⁴He+Xn system are expanded on the COSM basis states [20,21]. The total wave function Ψ of the ⁴He+Xn system is given by the superposition of the configuration Ψ_{β} as

$$\Psi(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn) = \sum_{\beta} C_{\beta} \Psi_{\beta}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn), \qquad (2)$$

$$\Psi_{\beta}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn) = \prod_{i=1}^{X} a_{\alpha_{i}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle, \qquad (3)$$

where the ⁴He core is treated as a vacuum. $a_{\alpha_i}^{\dagger}$ is the creation operator of the valence neutron above the ⁴He core, with the quantum number α_i in a *jj* coupling scheme. Here *i* = 1, 2, 3 for three valence neutrons. β indicates the set of α_i . C_{β} is the



FIG. 1. Sets of the spatial coordinates in COSM for the ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ system.

variational coefficient for each configuration Ψ_{β} distinguished by β . We take a summation over the available configurations. The coordinate representation of the single-particle state corresponding to $a_{\alpha_i}^{\dagger}$ is given as ψ_{α_i} with the relative coordinate \mathbf{r}_i between the center-of-mass position of ⁴He and a valence neutron shown in Fig. 1. Including the angular momentum coupling, the total wave function Ψ^J with the spin *J* is also expressed as

$$\Psi^{J}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn) = \sum_{\beta} C_{\beta} \Psi^{J}_{\beta}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn), \qquad (4)$$

$$\Psi_{\beta}^{J}(^{4}\mathrm{He} + Xn) = \mathcal{A}'\big\{\big[\Phi(^{4}\mathrm{He}), \chi_{\beta}^{J}(Xn)\big]^{J}\big\},\tag{5}$$

$$\chi^J_\beta(n) = \psi^J_{\alpha_1},\tag{6}$$

$$\chi_{\beta}^{J}(2n) = \mathcal{A}\left\{ \left[\psi_{\alpha_{1}}, \psi_{\alpha_{2}} \right]_{J} \right\}, \tag{7}$$

$$\chi_{\beta}^{J}(3n) = \mathcal{A}\left\{\left[\left[\psi_{\alpha_{1}}, \psi_{\alpha_{2}}\right]_{j_{12}}, \psi_{\alpha_{3}}\right]_{J}\right\}.$$
 (8)

Here, as shown in Fig. 1, $\chi_{\beta}^{J}(Xn)$ expresses the COSM wave functions for the valence neutrons. j_{12} is the coupled angular momentum of the first and second valence neutrons, which is included in the index β . The antisymmetrizers between valence neutrons and between a valence neutron and neutrons in ⁴He are expressed as A and A', respectively. The latter effect of A'is treated in the orthogonality condition model [19,22,24], in which ψ_{α} is imposed to be orthogonal to the 0*s* state occupied by neutrons in ⁴He. The radial part of ψ_{α} is expanded with a finite number of Gaussian basis functions [22] as

$$\psi_{\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\alpha}} C_{\alpha,k} \, \phi_{\alpha}^{k}(\mathbf{r}, b_{\alpha,k}), \tag{9}$$

$$\phi_{\alpha}^{k}(\mathbf{r}, b_{\alpha,k}) = \mathcal{N}r^{\ell_{\alpha}}e^{-(r/b_{\alpha,k})^{2}/2}[Y_{\ell_{\alpha}}(\mathbf{\hat{r}}), \chi_{1/2}^{\sigma}]_{j_{\alpha}}.$$
 (10)

Here k is an index for the Gaussian basis with the length parameter $b_{\alpha,k}$. A basis number for the state α and the normalization factor for the basis are given by N_{α} and \mathcal{N} , respectively. The expansion coefficients $\{C_{\beta}\}$ and $\{C_{\alpha,k}\}$ are determined variationally for the total wave function Ψ^{J} . The length parameters $b_{\alpha,k}$ are chosen as geometric progression [29]. We use at most 17 Gaussian basis functions with the max length parameter corresponding to 40 fm.

For the single-particle states $\alpha = \ell_j$ $(j = \ell \otimes \frac{1}{2})$, we take angular momenta $\ell \leq 2$ (up to *d* waves) to keep the converged energy accuracy within 0.3 MeV. Namely, when we employ angular momentum states higher than $\ell = 2$, we obtain a little energy gain less than 0.3 MeV for the ground state of ⁶He [18]. In calculation of ⁷He, we can easily adjust the calculational energies of ⁶He by taking the 178.8 MeV of the repulsive strength of the Minnesota force [28] and the three-cluster interaction $V^{\alpha nn}$ for the ⁴He-*n*-*n* system [19]. The former adjustment of the *NN* interaction can be understood from the pairing correlation between valence neutrons with higher angular momenta $\ell > 2$ [18]. The latter is considered to come from dominantly the tensor correlation in the ⁴He core. Recently, we showed that the binding energy and excited states of ⁶He can be well explained without the three-body cluster interaction by taking into account the tensor correlation of ⁴He explicitly [30,31]. Here, following the previous study [19], we use the three-cluster potential:

$$V^{\alpha nn} = \sum_{i < j} v_3 \, e^{-(\mathbf{r}_i^2 + \mathbf{r}_j^2)/b_c^2} \quad \text{with} \quad v_3 = -25 \, \text{MeV}.$$
(11)

Adding this three-cluster potential to the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), we obtain the observed energies of ⁶He as -0.974 MeV for 0⁺ and $(E_r, \Gamma) = (0.840, 0.107)$ for 2⁺ in MeV, respectively, measured from the ⁴He+*n*+*n* threshold. The present model reproduces the observed energies and decay widths of ^{5.6}He, simultaneously [32], as shown in Fig. 2, namely, the threshold energies of the particle emissions for ⁷He.

B. Complex scaling method

We explain CSM to obtain resonances. In CSM, we transform the coordinates for the relative motions of the ${}^{4}\text{He}+Xn$ model shown in Fig. 1, as

$$\mathbf{r}_i \to \mathbf{r}_i e^{i\theta}$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, X$, (12)

where θ is the so-called scaling angle. Using this transformation, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is transformed into the complex-scaled Hamiltonian H_{θ} , and the corresponding complex-scaled Schrödinger equation is given as

$$H_{\theta}\Psi_{\theta}^{J} = E\Psi_{\theta}^{J},\tag{13}$$

$$\Psi^J_{\theta} = e^{(3/2)i\theta \cdot X} \Psi^J(\{\mathbf{r}_i e^{i\theta}\}), \tag{14}$$

where X = 1, 2, 3, representing the number of degrees of freedom of the system. The eigenstates are obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem of H_{θ} in Eq. (13). In CSM, we obtain all the energy eigenvalues *E* of bound and unbound states on



FIG. 2. (Color online) Energy eigenvalues of the obtained 5,6,7 He resonances measured from the 4 He+Xn threshold.

a complex energy plane, governed by the ABC theorem [23]. In this theorem, it is proved that the boundary condition of the Gamow resonances is transformed to the damping behavior at the asymptotic region. This condition enables us to use the same theoretical method to obtain the many-body resonances as that for the bound states. For a finite value of θ , the Riemann branch cuts are rotated down by 2θ , and continuum states such as of the ${}^{6}\text{He}+n$, ${}^{5}\text{He}+2n$, and ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$ channels are obtained on these cuts with the 2θ dependence (see Fig. 3). On the contrary, bound states and resonances are discrete and obtained independently of θ . Hence they are located separately from the many-body continuum spectra on the complex energy plane. We can identify the resonances with complex eigenvalues of $E = E_r - i\Gamma/2$, where E_r and Γ are resonance energies measured from the threshold and decay widths, respectively. We take the value of θ as 29° in the present calculation.

III. RESULTS

A. Energy spectra of ⁶He and ⁷He

We first discuss the calculational results for the dominant configurations and structures of the ⁶He states, shown in Fig. 2, which are useful to understand the ⁷He structures. For the ⁶He ground state, the matter radii of 2.36 fm reproduces the experiment $(2.33\pm0.04 \text{ fm})$ [1] and the proton and neutron radius are obtained as 1.81 and 2.59 fm, respectively. The dominant configurations are $(p_{3/2})^2$ and $(p_{1/2})^2$ with their squared amplitudes of 0.920 and 0.040, respectively. The contribution of sd shell is 0.039, which is the same order as the $(p_{1/2})^2$ component. The dominant configurations of 2_1^+ , 0_2^+ , 2_2^+ , and 1^+ excited resonant states in ⁶He are $(p_{3/2})_{2^+}^2, (p_{1/2})_{0^+}^2, (p_{3/2}p_{1/2})_{2^+}^2, \text{ and } (p_{3/2}p_{1/2})_{1^+} \text{ with } 0.900 +$ i0.010, 0.967 + i0.007, 0.903 + i0.024, and 0.989 - i0.001, respectively. Here, it should be noted that an amplitude of a resonance becomes a complex number and its real part has a physical meaning while an imaginary part has a small value. These ⁶He states together with a neutron compose the thresholds of ⁷He, and their positions in the complex energy plane are located at the starting points of the 2θ -rotated cuts in the complex scaling method, as shown in Fig. 3.

Next, for the ⁷He resonances, we obtain five states which are all located above the ⁶He(ground state)+n threshold. We list their energies and decay widths in Table I measured from the



FIG. 3. (Color online) Energy eigenvalues for the ⁷He resonances (solid circles) in the complex energy plane. The continuum states rotated down by 2θ are schematically displayed with the cut lines.

TABLE I. Energy eigenvalues of the ⁷He resonances measured from the ⁴He+3*n* threshold. The values with parentheses are the ones fitted to the position of the observed resonance energy of the ground state.

	Energy (MeV)	Width (MeV)	
$3/2_1^-$	-0.790(-0.54)	0.014(0.14)	
$3/2_2^-$	2.58	1.95	
$3/2_{3}^{-}$	4.53	5.77	
$1/2^{-}$	0.26	2.19	
$5/2^{-}$	2.46	1.50	

⁴He+3*n* threshold energy. All excited resonant states except for the ground state are obtained above the ⁴He+3*n* threshold. In Fig. 2, we summarize the energy spectra for ⁷He with those of ^{5,6}He. In Fig. 3, we display the energy eigenvalues of the ⁷He resonances together with the many-body continuum cuts on the complex energy plane. The energy of the ground state is reproduced as $E_r = 0.184$ MeV measured from the ⁶He+*n* threshold. The result is slightly overbound with respect to the experiments ($E_r = 0.44(2)$ MeV [3] and 0.36(5) MeV [8]). Due to this overbinding, the decay width is smaller than experimental values of $\Gamma \sim 0.16$ MeV. When we fit the above energy of $E_r = 0.44$ MeV by reducing the strength of $V^{\alpha nn}$, the decay width Γ becomes 0.14 MeV and nicely agrees with experimental values. The overbinding problem is discussed later.

In Fig. 4, we display the excitation energies in comparison with the various results of the experiments. We found the $5/2^-$ state, whose position agrees with the several experiments [3,4,8], and the obtained decay width of 1.50 MeV is a little smaller than experimental values. As seen from Fig. 4, the obtained $3/2_2^-$ state is degenerated with the $5/2^-$ state and their decay widths do not differ so much (see Table I). This result suggests the superposed observation of the two states in this energy region. We found one broad $1/2^-$ resonance with a low excitation energy at around 1 MeV [5,8,9], whereas the experimental uncertainty is large.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Excitation spectra of ⁷He in comparison with the experiments (a) Ref. [3], (b) Ref. [4], (c) Ref. [5], (d) Ref. [6], (e) Ref. [8], (f) Ref. [9]).

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 76, 054309 (2007)

Other experiments [6,7] exclude the possibility of the low excitation energy of this state and instead suggest the higher excitation energy of $E_x = 2.6 \text{ MeV}$ [6]. It is desired that further consistent experimental data are coming.

We discuss the structures of each resonance in detail. In CSM, resonances are precisely described as eigenstates solved using an L^2 basis functions and thus have finite amplitudes normalized as unity totally. We list the main configurations [squared amplitudes C_{β}^2 in Eq. (4)] for the ⁷He resonances in Table II. In general, the square amplitude of each configuration of the resonant states can be a complex number, whereas the total amplitude of the state is normalized to be unity. The physical interpretation of the imaginary parts in physical quantity of the resonances is still an open problem [33,34]. However, the amplitudes of the dominant components are almost real values for every resonance, because their imaginary parts are very small. Hence, it is expected that we can discuss the physical meaning of the dominant components of the resonances in the same way as the case of bound states. Furthermore, it was found that the imaginary parts of the dominant configurations cancel each other for every resonance and their summations have much smaller imaginary parts. When we consider all the available configurations, the summations conserve unity due to the normalization of the states.

For the $3/2^{-}$ ground state, our results indicate that the $(p_{3/2})^3$ configuration is dominant with a small mixing of the $p_{1/2}$ component. For the excited $3/2_2^-$ state, we obtained the interesting result; one neutron occupies the $p_{1/2}$ orbit and the residual two neutrons in $p_{3/2}$ form the spin of 2^+ , which corresponds to ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$, because the first excited 2^{+} state of ⁶He has been shown to have the dominant $(p_{3/2})^2$ configuration [18]. The importance of the ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})+n$ configuration in the $3/2_2^-$ state of ⁷He is also discussed later using S factors. Two-particle excitation of the $(p_{1/2})^2$ component is mixed by about 9%. The other excited $3/2_3^-$ state is dominated by the $(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2$ configuration, in which the $(p_{1/2})^2$ part is the same configuration of ${}^{6}\text{He}(0^{+}_{2})$. From the configurations, the several excited states of ⁷He can be described by the ⁶He+nconfiguration. The ⁶He component in ⁷He is shown via Sfactors in detail later.

The $1/2^{-}$ state corresponds to the one-particle excitation from the ground state. Its decay width (2.19 MeV) is twice larger than the resonance energy (1.05 MeV). This property is similar to the $1/2^{-}$ case of ⁵He in the ⁴He+*n* system. In comparison with the ⁵He case, whose resonance energy is 2.13 MeV with the decay width of 5.84 MeV, the $1/2^{-}$ state of ⁷He has a smaller excitation energy and is closer to the threshold of ⁶He+*n*. The difference comes from the residual two neutrons occupying the $p_{3/2}$ orbit in ⁷He. The attraction between the $p_{1/2}$ neutron and other two neutrons makes the energy of the $1/2^{-}$ state lower.

In the $5/2^-$ state, the 2^+ component of $(p_{3/2})^2$ plus $p_{1/2}$ is a dominant configuration. This coupling scheme is similar to the $3/2^-_2$ case. Furthermore, in every resonance, 1*s* and 0*d* wave configurations are mixed slightly being coupled with the *p* orbits.

We return to the overbinding problem of the ground state. Our model reproduces the energies of 5,6 He, and in this sense

3/2_1		3/2_		3/23	
$ \frac{(p_{3/2})^3}{(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2} \\ (p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2}) \\ (d_{5/2})^2(p_{3/2}) \\ Sum $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.920+i0.0004\\ 0.026+i0.004\\ 0.016-i0.004\\ 0.015+i0.002\\ 0.978+i0.002 \end{array}$	$ \frac{(p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})}{(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2} \\ (d_{5/2})(d_{3/2})(p_{3/2})} \\ (d_{5/2})^2(p_{1/2}) \\ \\ Sum $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.883 + i0.044 \\ 0.093 - i0.029 \\ 0.012 - i0.013 \\ 0.003 + i0.001 \\ 0.991 + i0.002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})^2 \\ (p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2}) \\ (d_{3/2})^2(p_{3/2}) \\ (p_{3/2})^3 \\ \\ \text{Sum} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.926+i0.161\\ 0.117-i0.154\\ -0.031-i0.012\\ 0.007-i0.008\\ 1.018-i0.013 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} (p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2})\\ (d_{5/2})^2(p_{1/2})\\ (p_{1/2})^2(\bar{p}_{1/2})\\ (1s_{1/2})^2(p_{1/2})\\ \text{Sum} \end{array}$	1/2-	$\begin{array}{c} 0.968-i0.097\\ 0.022+i0.002\\ 0.012+i0.021\\ -0.010+i0.073\\ 0.991-i0.002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (p_{3/2})^2(p_{1/2}) \\ (p_{3/2})^2(\bar{p}_{3/2}) \\ (1s_{1/2})(d_{5/2})(p_{3/2}) \\ (1s_{1/2})(d_{3/2})(p_{3/2}) \\ \end{array}$	5/2-	$\begin{array}{c} 0.983 - i0.004 \\ -0.012 + i0.004 \\ 0.008 - i0.0004 \\ 0.006 + i0.003 \\ 0.984 + i0.002 \end{array}$

TABLE II. Configurations of valence neutrons with their squared amplitudes C_{β}^2 in the ⁷He resonances. $\bar{\ell}_j$ is the orthogonal state of ℓ_j .

the slight overbinding of ⁷He with respect to the ⁴He+3*n* threshold suggests the problem of the employed interactions. It is interesting to see the contributions of the higher partial waves beyond $\ell = 2$ for the valence neutrons while tuning the energies of ^{5,6}He again, although the essential results of the energy spectra and the configuration mixing would not change. However, the rearrangement of ⁴He inside ⁷He is expected [30, 35,36], which is not included explicitly in the present model. The tensor correlation produces the strong 2*p*-2*h* excitations in ⁴He, which are coupled with the motions of valence neutrons outside ⁴He [30,37–39]. It would be interesting to see these two kinds of effects on the structures not only of the ground state but also of the excited states in He isotopes [40].

B. Spectroscopic factors of ⁷He

Finally we investigate *S* factors of the ⁶He-*n* components for the ⁷He resonances. Before proceeding to the results, we would like to briefly explain *S* factors for Gamow states. It should be noted that *S* factors are not necessarily positive definite for Gamow states. Because Gamow states belong to the eigenstates having complex energies, their matrix elements of the physical quantities have complex numbers generally. *S* factors for the Gamow states are defined by the squared matrix elements, but not Hermitian products, due to the biorthogonal properties of the states [17,19,33,41] as

$$S_{J',\nu'}^{J,\nu} = \sum_{\alpha} S_{J',\nu',\alpha}^{J,\nu},$$
(15)

$$S_{J',\nu',\alpha}^{J,\nu} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}^{J}({}^{7}\text{He}) \big| |a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}| \big| \Psi_{\nu'}^{J'}({}^{6}\text{He}) \big\rangle^{2}, \quad (16)$$

where a_{α}^{\dagger} is defined in Eq. (3). *J* and *J'* are the spins of ⁷He and ⁶He, respectively. ν (ν') is an index to distinguish the obtained eigenstates of ⁷He with *J* (⁶He with *J'*) expressed in Eq. (4). We take a summation over the possible configurations α of a valence neutron. { $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}^{J}$ } are biorthogonal states of { Ψ_{ν}^{J} }. In this expression, $S_{J',\nu'}^{J,\nu}$ are allowed to be complex values and include the physical information of the resonant wave functions. In general, an imaginary part in *S* factors frequently

becomes large relative to the real part for a broad resonance, which has a large decay width. When an imaginary part of the matrix element is smaller than the real part, physical interpretation is allowable for the matrix element as a usual *S* factor, similar to the amplitudes of the configurations for the Gamow states as discussed in Table II. For the obtained resonances, we checked that the real parts of the calculated results are consistent with those obtained in the bound-state approximation for resonances. It is considered that the matrix elements of the Gamow states could be connected to those of the bound states in the analytical continuation between them by adjusting the strength of the interaction in the Hamiltonian.

The sum rule value for the *S* factors of Gamow states could be considered, which corresponds to the associated particle number [24,34]. When we count all the obtained complex *S* factors for not only Gamow states but also the nonresonant continuum states of the subsystems, the summed value of the *S* factors becomes real and satisfies the particular sum-rule value derived from the completeness relation of the obtained eigenstates. In that case, the imaginary part of the summed *S* factors is automatically canceled out, as similar to the amplitudes of the configurations shown in Table II and also to the transition strength functions [24,27]. In the case of ⁷He with the ⁶He-*n* decompositions, the summed value of the *S* factor $S_{J',v'}^{J,v}$ in Eq. (16) via taking all the ⁶He states is given as

$$\sum_{J',\nu'} S_{J',\nu'}^{J,\nu} = \sum_{\alpha,m} \left\langle \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu}^{JM}(^{7}\text{He}) \middle| a_{\alpha,m}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha,m} \middle| \Psi_{\nu}^{JM}(^{7}\text{He}) \right\rangle$$

= 3, (17)

where we use the completeness relation of ⁶He (1 = $\oint_{J',M',\nu'} |\Psi_{\nu'}^{J'M'}(^{6}\text{He})\rangle \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_{\nu'}^{J'M'}(^{6}\text{He})|$). Here M(M') and m are the *z* components of the wave functions of ⁷He (⁶He) and of the creation operator of the valence neurons, respectively. It is found that the summed value of the *S* factor satisfies the number of valence neutrons of ⁷He for every ⁷He resonance because the state is normalized.

In Table III, we list the results of the *S* factors for the 7 He resonances, which are calculated using the complex-scaled

TABLE III. Spectroscopic factors of the 6 He-*n* components in 7 He. Details are described in the text.

	⁶ He(0 ⁺ ₁)- <i>n</i>			${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ -n		
	Present	СК	VMC	Present	СК	VMC
$3/2_{1}^{-}$	0.75 + i0.10	0.59	0.53	1.51 - i0.40	1.21	1.76
$3/2^{-}_{2}$	0.03 + i0.03	0.06	0.06	1.78 + i0.06	1.38	1.11
$3/2_{3}^{-}$	0.01 + i0.03	_	_	0.02 + i0.05	_	_
$1/2^{-}$	0.25 - i0.47	0.69	0.87	0.13 - i0.08	0.60	0.34
5/2-	0.00 + i0.00	0.00	0.00	1.37 - i0.15	1.36	1.20

wave functions and independent of the scaling angle θ . In our calculation, we also describe ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ as a Gamow state. For reference, the results of the conventional Cohen-Kurath shell model (CK) and of the variational Monte Carlo (VMC) calculations [6,12] are also shown with real values due to the bound-state approximation for the description of resonances. The trend seen in our results is roughly similar to the CK and VMC results. For the $3/2_1^-$ state, the mixing of ${}^{6}\text{He}(2_1^+)$ component is almost twice that of the ${}^{6}\text{He}(0^{+}_{1})$ case. For the $3/2_2^-$ state, ${}^{6}\text{He}(2_1^+)$ is strongly mixed from the dominant amplitude of $(p_{3/2})_{2^+}^2 \otimes (p_{1/2})$. For the $3/2_3^-$ state, the 0_1^+ and 2_1^+ states of ⁶He are hardly included because of the $(p_{3/2}) \otimes (p_{1/2})^2$ configuration. Instead of the above two ⁶He states, the 0^+_2 [$(p_{1/2})^2$] and 2^+_2 [$(p_{3/2})(p_{1/2})$] states of ⁶He may give large contributions for this state [19]. For the $1/2^{-}$ state, even if this state is dominated by a $(p_{3/2})^2 \otimes (p_{1/2})$ component, the *S* factor for ⁶He(0⁺₁) is not large. This indicates that the spatial property of the $(p_{3/2})^2$ component is changed in the $1/2^{-}$ state of ⁷He from the halo structure of the neutrons in ⁶He(0_1^+). This is because the $1/2^-$ state is located above the ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$ threshold and can decay to four particles. In fact, when we locate this state just below 0.5 MeV from the ${}^{4}\text{He}+3n$ threshold energy by adjusting the interaction, the S factor becomes 0.79 - i0.35 and its real part gets close to unity. The ${}^{6}\text{He}(2^{+}_{1})$ component is small in this state. The $1/2^{-}$ state also shows the large imaginary part of the S factor,

which comes from the large decay width of this state. The present *S* factors correspond to the components of ⁶He in the ⁷He resonances, similar to the results shown in Table II. However, it is still difficult to derive the definite conclusion of the interpretation of this imaginary part at this stage. The further theoretical development and analysis would be desired to solve this problem. For the $5/2^-$ state, the ⁶He(2⁺₁) component is included. For the summary of the results of the *S* factors, the obtained ⁷He states are not considered to be purely single-particle states coupled with the ⁶He ground state. The excitation of ⁶He into 2⁺₁ is important in several states.

IV. SUMMARY

We have investigated the resonance structures of ⁷He with the cluster orbital shell model. The boundary condition for many-body resonances is accurately treated in the complex scaling method. The decay thresholds concerned with subsystems are described consistently. As a result, we found five resonances that are dominantly described by the *p*-shell configurations and the small contributions come from the *sd* shell. The $1/2^-$ state is predicted in a low-excitation-energy region with a large decay width. We further investigate the spectroscopic factor of the ⁶He-*n* component. It is found that the ⁶He(2⁺₁) state contributes largely in the ground and the several excited states of ⁷He.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. H. Masui for valuable discussions. This work was performed as a part of the "Research Project for Study of Unstable Nuclei from Nuclear Cluster Aspects (SUNNCA)" at RIKEN and supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS, No.18-8665). Numerical calculations were performed on the computer system at the Research Center for Nuclear Physics.

- [1] I. Tanihata et al., Phys. Lett. B289, 261 (1992).
- [2] I. Tanihata, J. Phys. G 22, 157 (1996).
- [3] A. A. Korsheninnikov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3581 (1999).
- [4] G. Bohlen et al., Phys. Rev. C 64, 024312 (2001).
- [5] M. Meister et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 102501 (2002).
- [6] A. H. Wuosmaa et al., Phys. Rev. C 72, 061301 (2005).
- [7] P. Boutachkov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 132502 (2005).
- [8] F. Skaza et al., Phys. Rev. C 73, 044301 (2006).
- [9] N. Ryezayeva et al., Phys. Lett. B639, 623 (2006).
- [10] F. Beck et al., Phys. Lett. B645, 128 (2007).
- [11] P. Navrátil and B. R. Barrett, Phys. Rev. C 57, 3119 (1998).
- [12] S. C. Pieper, R. B. Wiringa, and J. Carlson, Phys. Rev. C 70, 054325 (2004).
- [13] A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Rev. C 67, 054322 (2003), and references therein.
- [14] A. Volya and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 052501 (2005).
- [15] G. Hagen, M. Hjorth-Jensen, and J. S. Vaagen, Phys. Rev. C 71, 044314 (2005).

- [16] J. Rotureau, N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, M. Ploszajczak, and J. Dukelsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 110603 (2006).
- [17] N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, and M. Ploszajczak, Phys. Rev. C 75, 031301 (2007).
- [18] S. Aoyama, S. Mukai, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 93, 99 (1995); 94, 343 (1995).
- [19] T. Myo, S. Aoyama, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 63, 054313 (2001).
- [20] Y. Suzuki and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 38, 410 (1988).
- [21] H. Masui, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034318 (2006).
- [22] H. Masui, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 75, 034316 (2007).
- [23] J. Aguilar and J. M. Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. 22, 269 (1971); E. Balslev and J. M. Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. 22, 280 (1971).
- [24] S. Aoyama, T. Myo, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 116, 1 (2006).

- [25] Y. K. Ho, Phys. Rep. 99, 1 (1983).
- [26] N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rep. 302, 211 (1998).
- [27] T. Myo, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Lett. B576, 281 (2003).
- [28] Y. C. Tang, M. LeMere, and D. R. Thompson, Phys. Rep. 47, 167 (1978).
- [29] E. Hiyama, Y. Kino, and M. Kamimura, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51, 223 (2003).
- [30] T. Myo, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **113**, 763 (2005).
- [31] T. Myo, K. Katō, H. Toki, and K. Ikeda, J. Phys. G 31, S1681 (2005).
- [32] F. Ajzenberg-selove, Nucl. Phys. A506, 1 (1989).
- [33] T. Berggren, Phys. Lett. B373, 1 (1996).

- [34] M. Homma, T. Myo, and K. Katō, Prog. Theor. Phys. 97, 561 (1997).
- [35] A. Csótó, Phys. Rev. C 48, 165 (1993).
- [36] K. Arai, Y. Suzuki, and R. G. Lovas, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 1432 (1999).
- [37] T. Myo, S. Sugimoto, K. Katō, H. Toki, and K. Ikeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 117, 257 (2007).
- [38] T. Myo, K. Katō, H. Toki, and K. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. C 76, 024305 (2007).
- [39] T. Terasawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **23**, 87 (1960); Arima and T. Terasawa, *ibid*. **23**, 115 (1960).
- [40] K. Ikeda, T. Myo, S. Sugimoto, K. Katō, and H. Toki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A21, 2483 (2006).
- [41] T. Berggren, Nucl. Phys. A109, 265 (1968).