Superdeformation and clustering in 40Ca studied with antisymmetrized molecular dynamics

Y. Kanada-En'yo and M. Kimura

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan (Received 30 August 2005; published 28 December 2005)

Deformed states in 40Ca are investigated with a method of antisymmetrized molecular dynamics. Above the spherical ground state, rotational bands arise from a normal deformation and a superdeformation as well as an oblate deformation. The calculated energy spectra and *E*2 transition strengths in the superdeformed band reasonably agree to the experimental data of the superdeformed band starting from the 0^+_3 state at 5.213 MeV. By the analysis of single-particle orbits, it is found that the superdeformed state has particle-hole nature of an $8p-8h$ configuration. One of new findings is parity asymmetric structure with $12C+28S$ i-like clustering in the superdeformed band. We predict that ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ molecular bands may be built above the superdeformed band due to the excitation of intercluster motion. They are considered to be higher nodal states of the superdeformed state. We also suggest negative-parity bands caused by the parity asymmetric deformation.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.72.064322](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.064322) PACS number(s): 21.60.−n, 23.20.Lv

I. INTRODUCTION

In the spectra of $40Ca$, the existence of low-lying deformed states has long been known since 1960s [1]. The coexistence of the spherical and various deformed states in the doubly magic nuclei 40Ca has attracted great interest. In the positive parity states below 7 MeV, besides the spherical ground state, the existence of two deformed rotational bands built on the 0_2^+ state at 3.35 MeV and the 0_3^+ state at 5.21 MeV is known experimentally. The structure of these bands has often been discussed in relation to many-particle many-hole states of nuclei in this mass region for a long time. Gerace and Green [2,3] firstly suggested that the former band is dominantly a 4*p*-4*h* state, while the latter band with a large deformation is understood as an 8*p*-8*h* state.

The member states of the first $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ rotational band built on the 0^+_2 state are known to be strongly populated by α transfer reactions and to have the large α spectroscopic factors [4–7]. The $\alpha + {}^{36}Ar$ cluster structure of this band has been studied theoretically based on semimicroscopic cluster model calculations [8–10].

The states in the second $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ band have been observed in the experimental work searching for the 8*p*-8*h* states with ${}^{32}S(^{12}C,\alpha)^{40}Ca$ reactions, which were performed by Middleton *et al.* in 1972 [11]. Due to the strong population in the multinucleon transfer data and the strong *E*2 transitions [1], the $0^+(5.21 \text{ MeV})$, $2^+(5.63 \text{ MeV})$, and $4^+(6.54 \text{ MeV})$ have been thought to belong to the superdeformed band with a dominant $8p-8h$ configuration. Recently, by using the GAMMASPHERE array detectors, the level structure of the deformed bands in 40Ca has been explored and many excited states up to very high spins have been discovered [12,13]. Consequently, the existence of the superdeformed band of ⁴⁰Ca built on the $0_3^+(5.21 \text{ MeV})$ has been experimentally well established.

In contrast to the experimental situation, a theoretical interpretation of the superdeformed band is not well established and is still complicated. With the symmetry restricted Skyrme-Hartree-Fock (HF) calculations, Zheng *et al.* studied the energy systematics of *n*-particle–*n*-hole (*np*-*nh*) states in

40Ca [14,15]. They suggested an 8*p*-8*h* deformed state as a local minimum of the energy as a function of *n*. They also predicted highly deformed 12*p*-12*h* states in a high excitation energy region. However, the recent mean-field calculations suggest that the 8*p*-8*h* configuration becomes unstable, especially when the model space is extended. Based on the three-dimensional (3d) HF calculations without any assumption of the spatial symmetry, Inakura *et al.* [16] studied the energy surface of 40 Ca as the function of the quadrupole deformation. They have shown that the local minimum of the superdeformed 8*p*-8*h* configuration on the energy curve becomes quite shallow or even disappears due to the *γ* and octupole deformations depending on the effective interaction, though the 12*p*-12*h* state and an oblate state remain to be local minima in any of used effective interactions. They have pointed out that the extreme softness of the superdeformed $8p-8h$ configuration against the octupole deformation (Y_{30}) and *Y*31). The instability of the 8*p*-8*h* configuration and the stability of the $12p-12h$ and oblate solutions in $40Ca$ had been also reported by Leander and Larsson [17] based on the macroscopic-microscopic model calculations. Further calculations beyond mean-field theory were performed by Bender *et al.* with GCM calculations in HF+BCS approach [18]. In their results, the superdeformed band is not dominated by a certain *np*-*nh* configuration but it contains various configurations such as 4*p*-4*h,* 6*p*-6*h*, and 8*p*-8*h* states due to the strong mixture between these configurations. Moreover, shell model calculations were performed for description of the superdeformed bands [19]. Although the level structure and quadrupole moments of the superdeformed band are described by the spherical shell model with the fixed 8*p*-8*h* configurations, however, when the model space is extended to include other particle-hole configurations, the quadrupole moments are much underestimated due to the configuration mixing.

These facts may imply that a stable solution for the intrinsic state of the superdeformation is hardly obtained in the modern calculations with mean-field approaches. It seems to be somehow inconsistent with the experimental energy

spectra of the superdeformation in which no large deviation from the rigid rotor model is seen [12,13]. Therefore, it is natural to expect that there might be some mechanism beyond mean-field approaches to stabilize the superdeformation. The cluster aspect is one of the essential features for nuclear deformation even in *sd*-*pf* shell nuclei together with the mean-field aspect. Indeed, it has been already shown that two kinds of nature, i.e., cluster and mean-field, coexist in the deformed states in *sd*-shell nuclei [20–22]. We expect that the clustering can play an important role also in the formation of the superdeformed state of ${}^{40}Ca$. In the case of ${}^{40}Ca$, we consider that the softness against octupole deformations in the superdeformed state suggested by Inakura *et al.* [16] can be associated with asymmetric dicluster structure, though, to our knowledge, there has been no studies to investigate its cluster aspect.

We also note that some *sd*-shell nuclei have the remarkable cluster aspect, molecular resonances, whose properties have been investigated by cluster models. Recently, theoretical efforts to link the molecular resonances with low-lying deformed states with full microscopic calculations were made. It is suggested that the molecular resonances and the low-lying deformed states in *sd*-shell are regarded to be a series of molecular bands. For example, in the 32S system, it has been suggested that ${}^{16}O-{}^{16}O$ molecular resonances are built on the superdeformed band of $32S$ [22] owing to the excitation of the intercluster motion. Therefore, we consider that molecular resonances can be good probes to understand the cluster aspect of the low-lying deformed states. In the ⁴⁰Ca system, there are experimental implications of $28Si+12C$ molecular resonances in the low-energy fusion cross section [23] and also elastic scattering data [24], where distinct structures have been found in the energy region $E_{\text{c.m.}} = 20{\text -}30 \text{ MeV}$ above the $^{28}Si+^{12}C$ threshold. It is interesting to look into the possible appearance of molecular resonances and its relation to the superdeformation in ${}^{40}Ca$.

In this paper, we study deformed states in $40Ca$ with antisymmetrized molecular dynamics (AMD). The method of AMD has proved to be useful in the description of shape coexistence as well as cluster structure in *sd*-shell nuclei [20–22,25–29]. One of the advantages of this method is that both the cluster aspect and mean-field aspect can be described within the AMD framework. In order to study the coexistence of deformations in 40 Ca and to investigate the corresponding rotational bands, we apply a constraint AMD method. Parity projection, which is essentially important to describe the parity asymmetric shape of nuclei, is performed before energy variation, while total-angular-momentum projection is done after the energy variation. We also perform superposition of the wave functions with different configurations obtained by the constraint AMD to obtain better wave functions and to describe possible configuration mixing. Level scheme and *E*2 transition strengths are calculated from these superposed wave functions. In the present work, we adopt the effective nuclear interaction which contains finite-range two-body and three-body forces proposed in Ref. [30]. This interaction is suitable for describing the nuclear structure properties such as binding energies and radii over a wide mass number region from 4He to 40Ca. Moreover, it is also useful to

describe the nucleus-nucleus potential. These features cannot be described by zero-range forces such as the Skyrme forces, and are superior points of the effective forces with finiterange three-body terms. We believe that they are essential in representing the cluster aspect and investigating properties of many-particle-many-hole states with large deformations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the formulation of AMD is briefly explained. In Sec. III, we show the calculated results of the energies, quadrupole transitions, and moments, and also the intrinsic structure. We give discussions of molecular aspect and single-particle orbits in Sec. IV. Finally, a summary is given in Sec. V.

II. FORMULATION

We here briefly explain the formulation of the present calculations. For the details of the AMD formulation for nuclear structure studies, the reader is referred to Refs. [20,31,32].

A. Intrinsic wave function

We start from the intrinsic wave function for a *A*-nucleon system which is expressed by a Slater determinant of singleparticle wave packets,

$$
|\Phi_{\text{AMD}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A!}} \mathcal{A}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_A\},\tag{1}
$$

$$
\varphi_i(\mathbf{r}_j) = \phi_i(\mathbf{r}_j) \chi_i \eta_i, \tag{2}
$$

where $\mathcal A$ is the antisymmetrizer, and φ_i is the *i*th single-particle wave packet consisting of the spatial part ϕ_i , the spin part χ_i , and the isospin part η_i . The spatial part of the *i*th single-particle wave packet is written by a Gaussian wave packet located at \mathbf{X}_i :

$$
\phi_i(\mathbf{r}_j) = \exp\{-\nu(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{X}_i)^2\},\tag{3}
$$

$$
\chi_i = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \xi_i\right)\chi_{\uparrow} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \xi_i\right)\chi_{\downarrow},\tag{4}
$$

$$
\eta_i = \text{proton or neutron.} \tag{5}
$$

Here, the center X_i of Gaussian is a complex number and treated as a independent free parameter for each nucleon. The width parameter *ν* takes a common value for all nucleons, and is chosen to be an optimum value for each nucleus. The orientation of the intrinsic spin is expressed by a variational complex parameter ξ_i , and the isospin function is fixed to be up (proton) or down (neutron). Thus, an AMD wave function is specified by a set of variation parameters, $\mathbf{Z} \equiv$ ${\bf \{X}_1, X_2, \ldots, X_A, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_A\}$, which are optimized by the energy variation. As the variational wave function, we adopt the parity projected AMD wave function,

$$
|\Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1 \pm P_x}{2} |\Phi_{\text{AMD}}\rangle. \tag{6}
$$

Thus the parity projection is performed before the variation. This is essentially important to describe the parity asymmetric intrinsic structure such as the asymmetric cluster structure as discussed in Refs. [20,33].

B. Hamiltonian and constraint

The Hamiltonian consists of the kinetic energy, nuclear, and Coulomb forces. In the present work, we use the effective nuclear force consisting of two-body and three-body forces. Then the Hamiltonian is written as

$$
\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \hat{t}_{i} + \sum_{i < j} \hat{v}_{ij}^{(2)} + \sum_{i < j < k} \hat{v}_{ijk}^{(3)} - \hat{T}_{\text{c.m.}},\tag{7}
$$

where \hat{t}_i is a kinetic operator, $\hat{v}^{(2)}$ and $\hat{v}^{(3)}$ are two-body and three-body forces, respectively. $\hat{T}_{c.m.}$ is the kinetic term for the center-of-mass motion. In the AMD wave function, the energy of center-of-mass motion is subtracted exactly.

We apply a constraint AMD method, where we find the minimum energy solution in the AMD model space under certain constraints. Since the particle-hole structure of the lowlying state is one of the main interests in the present work, we adopt the constraint of a total number of harmonic oscillator (H.O.) quanta

$$
\hat{N}_{\text{os}} \equiv \sum_{i} \left[\frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{4\hbar^2 \nu} + \nu \mathbf{r}_i^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right],\tag{8}
$$

where the width parameter ν is taken to be the same value as that of the single-particle wave packets in Eq. (3). This is the principal quantum number of the spherical harmonic oscillator with the oscillation number $\omega = 2\hbar v/m$ (*m* is the nucleon mass). In order to obtain the minimum energy state with the constraint $\langle N_{\text{os}} \rangle = N_{\text{os}}$, where N_{os} is a given number, we perform energy variation for the expectation value $\mathcal E$ of the Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{E} \equiv \frac{\langle \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm} | \hat{H} | \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm} \rangle}{\langle \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm} | \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm} \rangle},\tag{9}
$$

with respect to the variational parameters **Z** by the method of frictional cooling [20] under the constraint. We note the AMD wave function obtained with the energy variation for positive or negative parity state under the constraint $\langle \hat{N}_{\text{os}} \rangle = N_{\text{os}}$ as $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^+)$ or $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^-)$, respectively.

C. Superposition

In the obtained wave function $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(\pm)})$, there exist local minimum solutions in the energy curve as a function of *N*os. As shown later, those are usually the local minima also with respect to the deformation parameter *β* and considered to be approximate intrinsic wave functions for the corresponding deformed bands. In the calculation of level scheme and physical observables, we choose several intrinsic wave functions $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(k)$ and superpose the spinparity projected wave functions, $P_{MK}^{J} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm}(\vec{k})$, in order to satisfy the orthogonality among the calculated states and to obtain better wave functions. Here, *k* indicates $N_{os}^{(\pm)}$ and stands for the label for the obtained intrinsic wave function $[\Phi_{\rm AMD}(k = N_{\rm os}^{(\pm)})]$. The wave function of the *n*th J^{\pm} state is written as

$$
\Psi_n^{J \pm} = \sum_{k,K} c_K^{J^\pm_n}(k) P_{MK}^J \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm}(k), \tag{10}
$$

(11)

where coefficients $c_K^{J^{\pm}_{n}}$ are determined by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian and norm matrices:

 $\left\langle P^J_{MK'}\Phi^\pm_{\rm AMD}(k')\right|\hat{H}\left|P^J_{MK''}\Phi^\pm_{\rm AMD}(k'')\right.$

and

$$
\left\langle P_{MK'}^J \Phi_{\rm AMD}^{\pm}(k') \right| P_{MK''}^J \Phi_{\rm AMD}^{\pm}(k'') \right\rangle. \tag{12}
$$

Physical quantity for an operator \hat{O} for the state is calculated as

$$
\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \left\langle \Psi_n^{J \pm} \right| \hat{O} \left| \Psi_n^{J \pm} \right\rangle. \tag{13}
$$

If we use an enough number of base wave functions, this procedure of the superposition is equivalent to the generator coordinate method with respect to the generator coordinate *N*os. However, the number of the basis in the superposition is 10 at most because of huge computational time in the numerical calculations of the total angular momentum projection in the present work. It means that \sum_{k} stands for the summation of discrete basis, and hence, the present calculations do not correspond to the complete GCM calculations.

III. RESULTS

A. Effective nuclear force

We use an effective nuclear force which consists of central force, spin-orbit, and Coulomb forces. As the central force, we use the F3B force [30], which contains a finite-range threebody term. The parameter set (2) of the F3B force is adopted. As for the spin-orbit force, we use the same interaction as that adopted in Ref. [30], the two-range Gaussian spin-orbit term in G3RS force [34]. In the present calculations, the strength parameter of the spin-orbit force is chosen to be (i) $u_{ls} \equiv u_1 =$ $-u_2 = 2500$ MeV or (ii) $u_{ls} = 1800$ MeV. The Coulomb force is approximated by the sum of seven Gaussians.

In Fig. 1, we show the binding energies of $Z = N$ nuclei from 4 He to 40 Ca obtained by using the present interaction parameters. The calculations are the simple AMD calculations without constraints. The binding energies calculated by variation after parity projection are shown in Fig. 1(a), and the energies with total-angular-momentum projection after the variation with parity projection are plotted in Fig. 1(b). We also show the results of Ref. [30] for interaction (ii), where AMD calculations with constraint and superposition were performed. The energies for such deformed nuclei as ${}^{8}Be$, ${}^{12}C$, ${}^{20}Ne$, and 24 Mg are gained by the total-angular-momentum projection. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the binding energies of medium *sd* shell nuclei are overestimated by interaction (i) due to the stronger spin-orbit force than that of case (ii).

B. Shape coexistence

We apply the constraint AMD method to ${}^{40}Ca$. The width parameter *ν* for the single-particle wave packets is chosen to be $v = 0.14$ fm⁻² which optimizes the energy of the ground state. We impose the constraint of the total number of harmonic oscillator quanta as $\langle \hat{N}_{\text{os}} \rangle = N_{\text{os}}$ on the parity projected AMD wave function, and obtain energy curves as a function of *N*os.

FIG. 1. The binding energies of $Z = N$ nuclei from ⁴He to 40Ca obtained by the simple AMD calculations without constraints. The binding energies calculated by variation after parity projection but no total-angular momentum projection are shown in the upper panel (a), and the energies obtained by total-angular-momentum projection after the variation with parity projection are plotted in the lower panel (b). Squares are the experimental binding energies. Filled circles and crosses indicate the results by using interactions (i) and (ii), respectively. The results for interaction (ii) in Ref. [30] of AMD calculations with constraint and superposition are shown by triangles with dotted line.

With a given constraint value N_{os} , we obtain a few states with different shapes as local minima. A prolate shape and an oblate shape are obtained by randomly choosing initial states in the variational procedure. In order to find possible cluster states, we also start the variation from initial states with various dinuclear structure and decrease the N_{os} . Then we find that a $\alpha +$ ³⁶Ar-like clustering appears as a local minimum solution.

The energy curves as a function of the oscillator quanta (*N*os) are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. In principle, the minimum value of N_{os} for the positive-parity state is 60, which is given by the *sd*-shell-closed configuration, and it is 61 for negative-parity states. The results shown in the figures are calculated with the total-angular-momentum projected wave functions $P_{MK}^{J} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm}(N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)})$, where $K = 0$ is chosen to obtain the lowest energy. The energies are also plotted as a function of quadrupole deformation *β*2. Here the deformation parameter β_2 is defined by using the sharp edge liquid drop relation between Q_2 and β_2 as follows:

$$
\beta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} \frac{4\pi Q_2}{3R^2 A},\tag{14}
$$

$$
Q_2 = 2\langle z^2 \rangle - \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle y^2 \rangle, \tag{15}
$$

where the expectation values are calculated for the intrinsic states Φ_{AMD}^{\pm} ($N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)}$), and *z* is chosen to be an approximately symmetric axis. Here we use the fixed radius parameter, *R*, which is related to the nuclear mass $A = 40$ according to the formula $R = 1.2A^{1/3}$ fm.

We first mention the results of positive-parity states with interaction (i) [Figs. 2(a) and (b)]. We find three states with different shapes as the local minima on the energy surface. The lowest state in the $J^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ curve is an almost spherical state at $N_{\text{os}} = 62$. There are two local minimum solutions in the energy curves, which almost degenerate to each other, at 7 ∼ 8 MeV higher energy than the lowest solution. One is an oblate state at *N*os ∼ 65 and the other is a large prolate deformation at $N_{\text{os}} \sim 70$ with $\beta_2 \sim 0.52$. As will be shown later, the former contains a dominant 4*p*-4*h* configuration, and the latter is dominated by a "8*p*-8*h*" configuration and corresponds to the superdeformed state. The appearance of the oblate state is consistent with the macroscopic-microscopic calculation [17] and also the 3d-HF calculations [16]. On the other hand, the calculated energy curve for the prolate states differs from the macroscopic-microscopic calculation by Leander and Larsson [17] where there is no local minimum for the 8*p*-8*h* configuration but is a highly deformed 12*p*-12*h* state. The $\alpha + {}^{36}Ar$ -like cluster state appears in $N_{\text{os}} \ge 69$ region, but it is much higher than the superdeformed state in the case of interaction (i). With a smaller *N*os value of the constraint, the α -cluster is absorbed into the ³⁶Ar core and the $\alpha + {}^{36}Ar$ -like state changes into the normal prolate state during the energy variation. In the 2^+ states, the energy curve for the prolate states is more gentle than the 0^+ curve at the small deformation region, $0 < \beta_2 < 0.2$.

Next we look into the results obtained with interaction (ii) [Figs. 2(c) and (d)], which has the weaker spin-orbit force than interaction (i). Although the oblate state and the superdeformed state exist as local minima, the same as in results (i), the excitation energies of these states are much higher than those in the case of interaction (i). The excitation energy of the superdeformed state is about 20 MeV, which largely overestimates the experimental energy, 5.21 MeV, of the bandhead of the superdeformed band. On the other hand, the energy of $α$ -cluster state does not change so much from (i) to (ii) because the effect of the spin-orbit force is smaller than other excited states. As a result, the α -cluster state almost degenerates with the superdeformed state and does not vanish even in the smaller N_{os} region (as $N_{\text{os}} \ge 66$) in results (ii) than case (i). Comparing Figs. $2(c)$ and (d), we find that the total number of H.O. quanta has a good correspondence with the quadrupole deformation β_2 in the $N_{\text{os}} \geq 64$ region for the prolate deformation. On the other hand, in the small $N_{\rm os}$ region, all the solutions for $N_{\rm os} \le 63$ have almost spherical shapes with $\beta_2 \sim 0$. It means that different configurations with the same β_2 value are obtained as optimum solutions for the given *N*os.

We illustrate the density distribution of the intrinsic state $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(\pm)})$ in Figs. 4 and 5. A remarkable point is that parity asymmetric structure appears in the prolate states. Especially, one striking feature is the prominent cluster structure-like $12C+28Si$ in the large prolate deformation. Comparing the results of (i) and (ii), we remark that the intrinsic structure for a given *N*os is almost the same as each other. It means that the structure of coexisting shapes is not sensitive to the choice of either interaction (i) or (ii) except for the *α*-cluster state.

FIG. 2. The energy curves for the positive-parity states obtained by the constrained AMD calculations. The constraint of the total number of harmonic oscillator quanta, $\langle \hat{N}_{os} \rangle = N_{os}$, is imposed on the parity projected AMD wave function. The energies of the spin-parity projected states, $P_{M0}^{J=0(2)}\Phi_{\rm AMD}^+(\mathcal{N}_{\rm os}^{(+)})$, are plotted (a), (c) as a function of $N_{\rm os}$ and (b), (d) as a function of deformation β_2 of the states: $\Phi_{\rm AMD}^+(\mathcal{N}_{\rm os}^{(+)})$. The left panels [(a) and (b)] show the results with interaction (i), and the right panels [(c) and (d)] are for the results with interaction (ii).

In contrast, the excitation energies strongly depend on the strength of the spin-orbit force as shown in the comparison between the results with a stronger spin-orbit force (i) and with a weaker one (ii). Particularly, the oblate state and the superdeformed state appear at the low excitation energies in

FIG. 3. The energy curves for the negative-parity states obtained by the constrained AMD calculations. The constraint of the total number of harmonic oscillator quanta, $\langle \hat{N}_{\text{os}} \rangle = N_{\text{os}}$, is imposed on the parity projected AMD wave function. The energies of the spin-parity projected states, $P_{M0}^{J=1,3} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{-}(N_{\text{os}}^{(-)})$, are plotted as a function of N_{os} in the upper panel (a) and as a function of the deformation β_2 of $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{-}(N_{\text{os}}^{(-)})$ in the lower panel (b). The results are obtained with interaction (i).

the results with interaction (i), but they exist at much higher excitation energies with interaction (ii). Considering the 4*p*-4*h* configuration in the oblate shape and the 12C-28Si clustering in the superdeformed state, it is easily understood that the excitation energies of these states decrease with the stronger spin-orbit force (i) than with the weaker one (ii). Although the

FIG. 4. Density distributions of the intrinsic states, $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)})$ for (a) the spherical state with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 62^{(+)}$, and the prolate solutions with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} =$ (b) 65⁽⁺⁾, (d) 68⁽⁺⁾, (e) 70⁽⁺⁾, (f) 76⁽⁺⁾, (g) 62⁽⁻⁾, (h) $65^{(-)}$, (i) $68^{(-)}$, and (c) the oblate solution with $N_{os}^{(\pm)} = 65^{(+)}$, which are obtained by using interaction (i). The intrinsic system is projected onto the *Y* -*Z* plane, and the density is integrated along the *X* axis, where *X*, *Y*, and *z* axes are chosen as $\langle X^2 \rangle \leq \langle Y^2 \rangle \leq \langle Z^2 \rangle$ and $\langle XY \rangle = \langle YZ \rangle = \langle ZX \rangle = 0$. The deformation parameters β_2 are written at the bottom of the figures. The size of the frame box is 10 fm \times 10 fm.

2

FIG. 5. Density distribution of the intrinsic states, $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(\pm)})$ for (a) the the spherical state with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 62^{(+)}$, the prolate solutions with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = (c) 65^{(+)}$ and (d) 70(+), (b) the oblate solution with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 65^{(+)}$, and (e) the *α*-cluster state with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 68^{(+)}$, which are obtained by using interaction (ii). The intrinsic system is projected onto the *Y* -*Z* plane, and the density is integrated along the *X* axis, where *X*, *Y*, and *z* axes are chosen as $\langle X^2 \rangle \leqslant \langle Y^2 \rangle \leqslant \langle Z^2 \rangle$ and $\langle XY \rangle =$ $\langle YZ \rangle = \langle ZX \rangle = 0$. The deformation parameters β_2 are written at the bottom of the figures. The size of the frame box is 10 fm \times 10 fm.

systematics of the binding energy in the *sd*-shell region seems to be reproduced better by force (ii) than force (i), however, the excitation energy of the superdeformed state is reasonably reproduced with force (i) while they are largely overestimated by force (ii). Force (i) also reproduces the excitation energy of the lowest negative-parity state ($J^{\pi} = 3^{-}$) rather well than the force(ii) as shown later. Therefore, we examine mainly results (i), because our interest is in excited states of ${}^{40}Ca$, especially in the properties of the superdeformed state. We should note again that the structure of the superdeformed state is stable in both cases (i) and (ii), and most of the intraband properties of the superdeformed band do not so much depend on the choice of interaction (i) or (ii) except for the relative energy to the ground state and other rotational bands.

Now we turn to negative-parity states. In Fig. 3, we show the energies of $J^{\pi} = 1 - [P_{MK}^{J=1} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{-1}(N_{\text{os}}^{(-)})]$ and $3^{-}[P_{MK}^{J=3}\Phi_{\rm AMD}^{-}(N_{\rm os}^{(-)})]$, obtained with interaction (i), as functions of (a) N_{os} and (b) β_2 . It is found that the constraint on $\langle \hat{N}_{\text{os}} \rangle$ acts as the constraint on deformation β_2 in negativeparity states as well as in the positive-parity ones. In the small deformation region, the lowest state is the $J^{\pi} = 3^-$ which corresponds to a 1*p*-1*h* state. The difference between the minimum energy of the 3^- state and that of the 0^+ state is 4.7 MeV, which corresponds well to the experimental excitation energy 3.74 MeV of the lowest 3[−] state. Here we comment that interaction (ii) gives a much larger energy difference as 6.9 MeV than interaction (i) and fails to reproduce the experimental excitation energy of the 3[−] state. As *N*os becomes large, the $J^{\pi} = 1^-$ state becomes lower than the $J^{\pi} = 3^-$ state and a $K^{\pi} = 0^-$ rotational band with a prolate intrinsic state is built on this state. We illustrate the density distributions of the intrinsic states for the negative parity states, $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(-)})$ in Fig. 4(g)–(i). For each N_{os} , it is found that intrinsic structure of $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(-)})$ for the negative-parity state is quite similar to that of the prolate solution $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(+)})$ for the positive-parity state. In particular, the negative-parity state with $N_{\text{os}} \ge 68$ has the parity-asymmetric shape because of the clustering which is regarded as the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ -like structure as

well as the case of positive parity state. As a result, the largely deformed state forms the $K^{\pi} = 0^-$ rotational band consisting of $J^{\pi} = 1^{-}, 3^{-}, \ldots$ due to the Y_{30} deformation, which may have a link to the positive-parity bands as a parity doublet.

C. Energy levels

In the obtained wave function $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(\pm)})$, there exist local minimum solutions in the energy curve as a function of N_{os} . They are also local minima with respect to the deformation β_2 and considered to be approximate intrinsic wave functions for the corresponding deformed bands. In order to satisfy the orthogonality among the levels and to obtain better wave functions, we calculate the level scheme by superposing the spin-parity projected wave functions, $P_{MK}^{J} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm}(\vec{k})$.

In the calculations with the case (i) interaction, we use $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 62^{(+)}$, 65⁽⁺⁾, 68⁽⁺⁾, 70⁽⁺⁾, 72⁽⁺⁾, 76⁽⁺⁾, 80⁽⁺⁾, 86⁽⁺⁾, $92^{(+)}$ with prolate shapes and $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 65_{\text{ob}}^{(+)}$ with the oblate shape as the basis for the positive-parity states. For the negative-parity states, we adopt $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 62^{(-)}, 63^{(-)}, 65^{(-)},$ $66^{(-)}$, $68^{(-)}$, $69^{(-)}$, $71^{(-)}$, $65^{(+)}$, $68^{(+)}$, $65^{(+)}$. The obtained level scheme is shown in Fig. 6. By analyzing such properties as the dominant components and *E*2 transition strengths of the obtained levels, we classify the levels into groups, which are labeled in Figs. 6(b) and (c).

In the positive-parity levels, we find $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ rotational bands corresponding to the shape coexistence: (B) the oblate band and (A3) the superdeformed band. The states in the groups (A1) and (A2) are built from the mixing of the spherical intrinsic state $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 62^{(+)})$ and the normal prolate state $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 65^{(+)})$, while the side band $[A2'(K = 2)]$ of the normal deformation appears from the dominant $P_{M2}^{J} \Phi_{\rm AMD}^{+}(N_{\rm os} = 65^{(+)})$ component due to the triaxial nature of the intrinsic state. In Fig. 7, we plot the calculated excitation energies as a function of a spin $J(J + 1)$. We also show the experimental data of the bands labeled "band 2" and "band 1" in Ref. [12], which are assigned to be the normal-deformed band and the superdeformed band, respectively. Since band (A2) contains the configuration mixing, the calculated level structure is not consistent with the rigid rotor levels and does not agree well with the experimental data of the normaldeformed band (labeled "band 2" in Ref. [12]). Moreover, it is higher than the superdeformed band in the present results (i), however, we tentatively assign this band as the normal-deformed band because of rather strong *E*2 transitions in the (A2) band. On the other hand, the calculated energy spectra of the superdeformed band behave as that of the rigid rotor and agrees to the experimental data for "band 1," though the excitation energy of the band-head state is slightly higher than the experimental data. The dominant components of the superdeformed band in the low-spin levels is $P_{M0}^{J} \Phi_{\rm AMD}^{+}(N_{\rm os} =$ $70^{(+)}$), which have a ¹²C+²⁸Si-like clustering. Another interesting character of the superdeformed band is its particlehole property. As discussed later in detail, $P_{M0}^J \Phi_{\rm AMD}^+ (N_{\rm os} =$ $70^{(+)}$) has about 90% overlap with the bandhead of the superdeformed band, and its intrinsic state $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os} = 70^{(+)})$ is regarded as a "8*p*-8*h*" state. This particle-hole feature of the superdeformed band is supported by the experimental fact that

FIG. 6. Excitation energies of the levels up to $J = 8$ in ⁴⁰Ca. The experimental data of the levels observed in Ref. [12] are shown in (a). (b) and (c) show the theoretical results for the positiveparity and negative-parity states, respectively, calculated by the superposition of the AMD wave functions. The interaction (i) is used. The excitation energies of the higher spin $(J > 8)$ states will be shown in the next figures.

the $0^+(5.21 \text{ MeV})$, $2^+(5.63 \text{ MeV})$, and $4^+(6.54 \text{ MeV})$ in the superdeformed band are strongly populated in eight-nucleontransfer reactions, ${}^{32}S(^{12}C,\alpha)^{40}Ca$ [11]. However, it is not consistent with the GCM calculations of Skyrme HF+BCS [18], where the superdeformed band cannot be described by a certain *np*-*nh* configuration but contains a mixture of various configurations such as 4*p*-4*h,* 6*p*-6*h*, and 8*p*-8*h* states. In order to check the stability of the superdeformed band for the choice of the intrinsic wave functions to be superposed, we use another set of the basis as $\{k\}=\{N_{\infty}^{(\pm)}\}$ = $\{62^{(+)}$, $64^{(+)}$, $65^{(+)}$, $66^{(+)}$, $67^{(+)}$, $68^{(+)}$, $70^{(+)}$, $72^{(+)}$ } and find that the level scheme and the *E*2 transition strengths in the superdeformed band are stable against the choice of the basis, and the properties of the states in bands (A1) and (A2) are qualitatively unchanged. In the present calculations, the number of the basis in the superposition is 10 at most and is much smaller than the GCM calculations in Ref. [18]. It should also be noted that we did not obtain the basis with the quadrupole deformation $0 < \beta_2 < 0.2$ as shown in Fig. 2. For the detailed investigation of the band mixing, it is expected to be important to perform GCM calculations with a large number of the basis concerning the two-dimensional constraints on the N_{os} and β_2 . The present results of the weak

FIG. 7. Excitation energies of the positive-parity states in 40Ca as a function of a spin $J(J + 1)$. Theoretical values shown in the lower panel (b) are those obtained with the interaction (i). The energies are calculated by the superposition of the AMD wave functions. The upper panel (a) shows the experimental data for the normal-deformed band and the superdeformed band, which are labeled "band 2" and "band 1" in Ref. [12], respectively.

mixings between different *np*-*nh* configurations seem to be consistent with the experimental facts, however, we comment that the pairing correlations, which were taken into account in Ref. [18], are ignored in the present calculations though we think they are partially included through the spin-parity projections and superposition of the basis. Since the pairing correlations increase configuration mixings in general, it would be valuable to estimate the pairing effects on the stability of the superdeformed band.

In addition to the prolate bands, the oblate band appears at almost the same excitation energy as that of the superdeformed band. The moment of inertia is smaller than the superdeformed band. The oblate deformation is predicted also in the macroscopic-microscopic calculations [17] and in the mean-field calculations [16,18]. However, since an oblate band has not been experimentally assigned yet, the existence of an oblate shape in 40 Ca is an open problem. We also find highly excited bands (A4) and (A5) which arise from the developed $12C+28$ Si clustering. The details of these bands are discussed in the next section.

In the negative-parity states [Fig. 6(c)], the lowest 3 and 5[−] states appear from almost the spherical states.

The theoretical excitation energies are in good agreement with the experimental data of the $3^{\text{-}}_1(3.74 \text{ MeV})$ and the $5₁⁻(4.49 \text{ MeV})$. We find two $K^{\pi} = 0⁻$ rotational bands (C2) and (C3) which arise from the parity-asymmetric shape in the prolate states. Since the energies of the 3[−] and 5[−] states in these (C2) and (C3) bands are raised by mixing with the lowest 3[−] and 5[−] states, the level structure is somehow out of that for the typical $K^{\pi} = 0^-$ rotational band. The 1^-_1 state $(\Psi_{n=1}^{1-})$ in band (C2) is dominated by $P_{M0}^{J=1} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{-}(N_{\text{os}} = 65^{(-)})$ with the overlap $|\langle \Psi_{n=1}^{1-}| P_{M0}^{J=1} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{-} (N_{\text{os}} = 65^{(-)})\rangle|^2 \sim 90\%,$ while the 1_2^- state ($\Phi_{n=2}^{1-}$) in band (C3) has the dominant $P_{M0}^{J=1} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{-} (N_{\text{os}} = 69^{(-)})$ with 70% overlap. We should note that the 1_1^- and 1_2^- states have large overlap with also the $1^$ states projected from the intrinsic states for the positive-parity, $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 65^{(+)})$ and $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 68^{(+)})$, respectively. It is reasonable because $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(-)})$ is almost the same state as the $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(+)})$ when the same constraint $\langle \hat{N}_{\rm os} \rangle = N_{\rm os}$ is imposed. In order to find the possible parity doublet of the superdeformed band, we pay special attention to the $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 68^{(+)})$ component in the largely deformed negative parity band (C3) and in the superdeformed band (A3) with positive parity. The overlap $|\langle \Phi_{n=2}^{1-}| P_{M0}^{J=1} \Phi_{\rm AMD}^{-1}(N_{\rm os} =$ $(68^{(+)})$)|² in the bandhead of the $K^{\pi} = 0^{-}$ band (C3) is about 70%, while the bandhead 0^+ of the superdeformed band (A3) has 80% overlap with $P_{M0}^{J=0} \Phi_{\rm AMD}^+(N_{\rm os} = 68^{(+)})$. Then it leads to the interpretation that the largely deformed negative-parity band (C3) and the positive-parity superdeformed band (A3) are approximately the parity doublets $K^{\pi} = 0^{-}$ and $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ arising from the intrinsic state $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os} = 68^{(+)})$, which has the parity asymmetric shape with the Y_{30} deformation due to the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ -like clustering as shown in Fig. 4.

Next we discuss the energy levels calculated with interaction (ii). We use $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 62^{(\pm)}$, $65^{(\pm)}$, $68^{(\pm)}$, $70^{(\pm)}$, $72^{(\pm)}$ with prolate deformations, $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 65_{\text{ob}}^{(+)}$ with the oblate shape, and $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 66_{\alpha}^{(+)}, 68_{\alpha}^{(+)}, 70_{\alpha}^{(+)}$ with α -cluster-like structure as the basis in superposing $P_{MK}^{J^{\alpha}} \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{+}(k)$. The calculated excitation energies are shown in Fig. 8. The rotational bands of oblate deformation, prolate one and superdeformation coexist as well as in the results (i). The excitation energies of these bands with interaction (ii) are much higher than those of the results (i), and also are inconsistent with those of the experimentally known low-lying states. For example, the oblate band (B) starts from 17 MeV excitation energy, while the bandhead energy of the superdeformed band (A3) is 26 MeV. One of the characteristics of the results (ii) is that a α -cluster-like band (D) appears at the relatively lower energy than the superdeformed band.

It has been known that the low-spin states in the normaldeformed band built on the $0^+_2(3.35 \text{ MeV})$ state are strongly populated in *α*-transfer reactions, and they have relatively larger α -spectroscopic factors than other low-lying states [4–7]. Therefore, it is expected that the components of the *α*-cluster state should be contained in the normal-deformed band. However, in the present calculations we fail to describe the *α*-cluster components in the low-lying states, and even in the results (ii), the components of the α -cluster state do not mix with the normal-deformed band $(A2)$ except for the 2^+ state. It is because the energy difference between bands (A2) and (D) are large in the present calculations. In order to solve this

FIG. 8. Excitation energies of the positive-parity states in ${}^{40}Ca$ obtained with the interaction (ii). The energies are calculated by the superposition of the AMD wave functions.

problem, it may be necessary to introduce a suitable nuclear interaction and extended model wave functions.

D. *E***2 transitions and moments**

We calculate the *E*2 transition strengths and the electric quadrupole moments for the excited states. We also extract quantities related to the intrinsic deformation from the physical observables such as *B*(*E*2) and electric quadrupole moments. By using the collective model approximation [35], we define the intrinsic quadrupole moment and the deformation by the *E*2 transitions as

$$
Q_0^t = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5e^2} \frac{B(E2, J_i \to J_f)}{\langle J_i K 20 | J_f K \rangle^2}}, \quad \beta^t = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} \frac{4\pi Q_0^t}{3R^2 Z},
$$
\n(16)

where $\langle J_i K20|J_f K \rangle$ is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. *t* stands for "transition." We also extract the intrinsic quantities from the observable electric quadrupole moments *Q* as follows:

$$
Q_0^s = \frac{1}{e} \frac{(J+1)(2J+3)}{3K^2 - J(J+1)} Q
$$
, $\beta^s = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} \frac{4\pi Q_0^s}{3R^2 Z}$. (17)

Here *s* stands for "spectroscopic."

In Tables I, II, and III, we show the calculated *B*(*E*2) and *Q* moments, and related quantities. The *E*2 transitions are small among the states in the group (A1), which are dominated by spherical states and not considered to be a rotational band of a deformed state. On the other hand, the prolate band (A2) and the oblate one (B) have moderate transition strengths, while the *E*2 transitions are remarkably strong in the superdeformed band $(A3)$.

In the results with interaction (i), the deformation parameter $β^t$ extracted from the *B*(*E*2) is consistent with *β^{<i>s*} evaluated</sup> with the *Q* moment except for negative-parity bands and high spin states in the prolate bands (A1), (A2), and (A3).

TABLE I. Electric quadrupole moments $Q(e \text{ fm}^2)$ and $B(E2)(e^2 \text{ fm}^4)$ for the positive-parity states calculated with the interaction (i). The extracted intrinsic quadrupole moments (fm²) and deformation parameters are also listed. The definitions of $Q_0^{t,s}$ and $\beta^{t,s}$ are explained in the text. The labels of the bands correspond to those in Fig. 6.

J^{π}	Band	${\cal Q}$	Q_0^s	β^s	Final states	Band	B(E2)	Q_0^t	β^t
	Positive-parity bands								
2^+	(A1)	-10	34	0.13	$0+$	(A2)	12	24	0.10
4^+	(A1)	-10	$28\,$	0.11	2^+	(A1)	$28\,$	31	0.12
6^+	(A1)	-13	33	0.13	4^+	(A1)	$27\,$	29	0.11
$8+$	(A1)	-15	36	0.14	$6+$	(A1)	38	34	0.13
10^{+}	(A1)	-22	50	0.19	$8+$	(A1)	54	$40\,$	0.16
12^{+}	(A1)	-30	68	0.27	10^+	(A1)	96	53	0.21
Prolate									
2^+	(A2)	-17	61	0.24	0^+	(A2)	64	57	0.22
4^+	(A2)	-24	65	0.26	2^+	(A2)	116	64	0.25
$6+$	(A2)	-29	$72\,$	0.28	$4+$	(A2)	128	64	0.25
8^+	(A2)	-43	102	0.40	$6+$	(A2)	$200\,$	78	0.31
8^+	(A2)				$6+$	(A3)	161	$70\,$	0.27
10^+	(A2)	-50	116	0.45	8^+	(A2)	370	105	0.41
12^{+}	(A2)	-56	126	0.49	10^{+}	(A2)	433	112	0.44
	Superdeformation								
2^+	(A3)	-37	131	0.51	0^+	(A3)	330	129	0.51
4^+	(A3)	-47	129	0.51	2^+	(A3)	476	129	0.51
6^+	(A3)	-47	118	0.46	4^+	(A3)	455	121	0.47
$8+$	(A3)	-40	95	0.37	6^+	(A3)	309	97	0.38
10^+	(A3)	-36	83	0.33	8^+	(A3)	$222\,$	$8\sqrt{1}$	0.32
12^{+}	(A3)	-43	97	$0.38\,$	10^+	(A3)	210	78	0.31
Oblate									
2^+	(B)	19	-67	-0.26	0^+	(B)	91	-68	-0.26
4^+	(B)	24	-66	-0.26	2^+	(B)	128	-67	-0.26
$6+$	(B)	25	-63	-0.25	4^+	(B)	139	-67	-0.26
$8+$	(B)	$25\,$	-60	-0.24	$6+$	(B)	143	-66	-0.26
$10+$	(B)	25	-57	-0.22	8^+	(B)	144	-65	-0.26
12^{+}	(B)	23	-52	-0.21	10^+	(B)	144	-65	-0.25
$K = 2$ band									
2^+	$(A2$ '; $K=2)$	13	47	$0.18\,$					
3^+	$(A2'; K=2)$				2^+	$(A2$ ';K=2)	95	52	0.20
4^+	$(A2'; K=2)$	-9	61	0.24	2^+	$(A2'; K=2)$	38	57	0.22
5^+	$(A2'; K=2)$	-12	53	0.21	3^+	$(A2'; K=2)$	25	36	0.14
$6+$	$(A2'; K=2)$	-18	62	0.24	4^+	$(A2'; K=2)$	83	60	0.23
7^+	$(A2$ ['] ; $K=2)$	-20	63	0.25	5^+	$(A2'; K=2)$	58	$47\,$	0.18
8^+	$(A2$ ['] ; $K=2)$	-22	63	0.25	$6+$	$(A2$ ';K=2)	104	61	0.24
9^+	$(A2$ ['] ; $K=2)$	-24	64	0.25	7^+	$(A2'; K=2)$	113	62	0.24
10^{+}	$(A2$ '; $K=2)$	-22	57	0.22	8^+	$(A2$ ';K=2)	96	56	0.22
11^+	$(A2$ '; $K=2)$	-25	63	0.25	$9+$	$(A2$ ';K=2)	114	60	0.24
12^{+}	$(A2$ ['] ; $K=2)$	-28	67	0.26	10^{+}	$(A2$ '; $K=2)$	99	55	0.22

In Fig. 9, we show the behavior of the transition deformation *β^t* with the increase of the total-angular momentum *J*. In the oblate band (B), the intrinsic deformation β is almost constant with the increase of *J*, because the oblate states do not mix with the other shapes. On the other hand, β^t in bands (A1), (A2), and (A3) changes as *J* increases. The deformation of the low-spin states in the superdeformed band is the largest

as $\beta^t \approx 0.5$. With the increase of *J*, β^t of the superdeformed band (A3) decreases, while that of the normal-deformed band (A2) enlarges, and the β^t -lines for these two bands cross each other around $J = 8$. We remark that the state mixing occurs in the region $J \ge 6$, and the components contained in (A2) and (A3) change around $J = 8$. As a result, the transition from the $8^+(A2)$ to $6^+(A3)$ is significantly strong

TABLE II. Electric quadrupole moments $Q(e \text{ fm}^2)$ and $B(E2)(e^2 \text{ fm}^4)$ for the negative-parity states calculated with the interaction (i). The extracted intrinsic quadrupole moments (fm²) and deformation parameters are also listed. The definitions of $Q_0^{t,s}$ and $\beta^{t,s}$ are explained in the text. The labels for the bands are the same as those in Fig. 6.

J^{π}	Band	${\cal Q}$	Q_0^s	β^{s}	Final states	Band	B(E2)	Q_0^t	β^t
	Negative-parity bands								
$3-$	(C1)	-9	27	0.11					
$5-$	(C1)	-10	25	$0.10\,$	$3-$	(C1)	25	29	0.11
Prolate									
$1-$	(C2)	-12	59	0.23					
$3-$	(C2)	-29	88	0.35	$1-$	(C2)	89	59	0.23
$3-$	(C2)				1^{-}	(C3)	133	72	0.28
$5-$	(C2)	-31	81	0.32	$3-$	(C2)	209	83	0.33
$7-$	(C2)	-22	53	0.21	$5-$	(C2)	76	49	0.19
7^{-}	(C2)				$5-$	(C1)	26	28	0.11
Large prolate									
$1-$	(C3)	-20	100	0.39					
$3-$	(C3)	-28	85	0.34	$1-$	(C3)	150	76	0.30
$5-$	(C3)	-34	88	0.35	$3-$	(C3)	216	85	0.33
$7-$	(C3)	-40	98	0.38	$5-$	(C3)	178	74	0.29
7^{-}	(C3)				$5-$	(C2)	150	68	0.27

(see Table I). Therefore, another assignment may be possible as $8^+(A2)$, $10^+(A2)$, and $12^+(A2)$ belong to the superdeformed band. In fact, β^t extracted from the $B(E2; J \rightarrow J - 2)$ among the $0^+(A3)$, $2^+(A3)$, $4^+(A3)$, $6^+(A3)$, $8^+(A2)$, $10^+(A2)$, and $12+(A2)$ are so large as shown by filled triangles in Fig. 9, that these states can be assigned to compose a rotational band with the strong *E*2 transitions. In the experimental data by Ideguchi *et al.* [12], they observed the deviation from the constant moment of inertia in the superdeformed band and also the interband γ transitions between normal deformation and superdeformation. These facts may give an indication of the possible band mixing or some structure change around *J* ∼ 8 in the superdeformation. Further fine measurements of *E*2 transition strengths will be helpful to see the details of the band mixing and to establish the assignment for the high spin states of the superdeformed band.

FIG. 9. The deformation parameters β^t extracted from the $B(E2)$ values for the intraband transitions. The labels of the bands correspond to those in Fig. 6. The results are for the calculations with the interaction (i).

In the results with the interaction (ii) (Table III), we also find strong *E*2 transitions in the superdeformed band (A3). The transitions of the prolate band (A2) are weaker in the results (ii) than in the case (i). As mentioned earlier, the K^{π} = $0^+(D)$ and $K^{\pi} = 2^+(D^{\pi})$ bands with the *α*-cluster structure appear below the superdeformed band in the results (ii). These bands have strong intraband *E*2 transitions, which give the larger transition deformations $\beta^t \approx 0.4$ than the prolate band $(A2)$.

Let us make a comparison of intraband transitions between the present results and the experimental data, and also compare them with other theoretical calculations. The theoretical and experimental values of Q_0^t for the normal-deformed band and the superdeformed band are listed in Table IV. The present results for the superdeformed band are in reasonable agreement with the experimental data. They are also consistent with the results by GCM+HFBCS calculations. In the shell model calculations by Poves *et al.*, large Q_0^t values were obtained with the truncated calculations within 8*p*-8*h* configurations by using effective charges $q_{\pi} = 1.5$ and $q_{\nu} = 0.5$ for protons and neutrons, however, the Q_0^t erode when other particle-hole configurations are mixed in the calculations. The Q_0^t moments of the band (A2) are $Q_0^t = 57-112$ fm² and comparable to the experimental values $Q_0^t = 74 \pm 14$ fm² for the normal deformation (band 2) in Ref. [12], but are smaller than the experimental data $Q_0^t = 1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^2$ and $1.3 \pm 0.2 \times$ 10^2 fm² in Ref. [36]. Our results are consistent with the $GCM+HFBCS$ calculations except for the 4^+ state, which has a small Q_0^t in the GCM+HFBCS result. As shown in Table III, we obtain the *α*-cluster band (D) with strong *E*2 transitions in case of the interaction (ii). The transition moment of the band (D) is $Q_0^t \approx 100 \text{ fm}^2$ and agrees well with the experimental data in Ref. [36]. Therefore, there might be an alternative

TABLE III. Electric quadrupole moments Q (*e* fm²) and $B(E2)$ (e^2 fm⁴) calculated with the interaction (ii). The extracted intrinsic quadrupole moments (fm²) and deformation parameters are also listed. The definitions of $Q_0^{t,s}$ and $\beta^{t,s}$ are explained in the text. The labels for the bands correspond to those in Fig. 8.

J^{π}	Band	${\cal Q}$	Q_0^s	β^s	Final states	Band	B(E2)	Q_0^t	β^t
2^+	(A1)	-8	29	0.11	$0+$	(A2)	27	37	0.14
4^+	(A1)	$-{\bf 2}$	$\boldsymbol{7}$	0.03	2^+	(A1)	$\boldsymbol{9}$	18	0.07
$6+$	(A1)	-7	18	0.07	4^+	(A1)	$\overline{2}$	$8\,$	0.03
Prolate									
2^+	(A2)	-14	$\sqrt{48}$	0.19	0^+	(A2)	48	49	0.19
4^+	(A2)	-21	57	0.22	2^+	(A2)	60	46	$0.18\,$
$6+$	(A2)	-21	53	0.21	4^+	(A2)	89	53	0.21
8^+	(A2)	-23	54	0.21	$6+$	(A2)	$88\,$	52	0.20
10^+	(A2)	-29	67	0.26	$8+$	(A2)	121	60	0.23
12^{+}	(A2)	-32	72	0.28	10^+	(A2)	161	69	0.27
Superdeformation									
2^+	(A3)	-40	138	0.54	0^+	(A3)	382	139	0.54
4^+	(A3)	-49	135	0.53	2^+	(A3)	524	136	0.53
$6+$	(A3)	-52	129	0.51	4^+	(A3)	528	130	0.51
$8+$	(A3)	-49	116	0.45	$6+$	(A3)	476	120	0.47
$10+$	(A3)	-49	113	0.44	$8+$	(A3)	363	104	0.41
12^{+}	(A3)	-54	120	0.47	10^+	(A3)	383	106	0.41
Oblate									
2^+	(B)	$20\,$	-71	-0.28	0^+	(B)	102	-72	-0.28
4^+	(B)	25	-68	-0.27	2^+	(B)	145	-71	-0.28
$6+$	(B)	$26\,$	-66	-0.26	4^+	(B)	157	-71	-0.28
8^+	(B)	26	-62	-0.24	$6+$	(B)	161	-70	-0.27
10^+	(B)	23	-52	-0.21	8^+	(B)	155	-68	-0.27
α -cluster									
2^+	(D)	-29	$101\,$	0.40	0^+	(D)	195	99	0.39
4^+	(D)	-34	92	0.36	2^+	(D)	289	101	0.40
$6+$	(D)	-41	103	0.41	4^+	(D)	309	99	0.39
8^+	(D)	-27	63	0.25	$6+$	(D)	271	91	0.36
$10+$	(D)	-26	61	0.24	8^+	(D)	420	112	0.44
12^{+}	(D)	-28	64	0.25	10^{+}	(D)	440	113	0.44
$K = 2:\alpha$ -cluster									
2^+	$(D'; K=2)$	31	110	0.43	0^+	$(D';K=2)$			
3^+	$(D';K=2)$				2^+	$(D'; K=2)$	390	105	0.41
4^+	$(D';K=2)$	-20	139	0.55	2^+	$(D'; K=2)$	129	104	0.41
5^+	$(D'; K=2)$	-24	106	0.41	3^+	$(D'; K=2)$	216	107	0.42
$6+$	$(D'; K=2)$	-30	104	0.41	4^+	$(D'; K=2)$	202	93	0.37
7^+	$(D'; K=2)$	-33	101	0.40	5^+	$(D'; K=2)$	237	95	0.37
8^+	$(D'; K=2)$	-50	143	0.56	$6+$	$(D'; K=2)$	152	73	0.29
$9+$	$(D';K=2)$	-35	94	0.37	7^+	$(D'; K=2)$	267	95	0.37
10^{+}	$(D'; K=2)$	-59	152	0.59	$8+$	$(D'; K=2)$	250	90	0.35

assignment that band (D) corresponds to the normal-deformed band built on the $0^+_2(3.35 \text{ MeV})$, though the excitation energy of band (D) is much higher than the experimental data in the present calculations.

The $K = 2$ band was experimentally established based on the measurement of *B*(*E*2) [1,37]. The observed intraband transitions are $B(E2; 3^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 730 \pm 300$ $(e^{2} \text{ fm}^{4})$ and $B(E2; 4^{+} \rightarrow 2^{+}) = 200 + 150 - 75$ $(e^{2} \text{ fm}^{4})$ [1]. The properties of this band were studied by Sakuda and Ohkubo with $\alpha + {}^{36}Ar(2^+)$ -cluster model [9,10], which well repoduces these intraband transitions. In the present calculations, we find the $K^{\pi} = 2^{+}$ band (A2') with the prolate deformation in the results (i), and the $K^{\pi} = 2^{+}$ band (D') with the α -cluster structure in the case of (ii). In the band (A2'), the calculated *E*2 transition strengths are $B(E2; 3^+ \rightarrow 2^+ =$ 95 (e^2 fm⁴) and $B(E2; 4^+ \rightarrow 2^+ = 38$ (e^2 fm⁴), which are

TABLE IV. Intrinsic quadrupole moments (fm²) of the normal-deformed band and the superdeformed band extracted from $B(E2)$ with the definition in Eq. (16). The present AMD results for the normal-deformed band and the superdeformed band are those for the bands (A2) and (A3) with interaction (i), respectively. The values in parenthesis are those for the assignment of the 8^+ , 10^+ , 12^+ states in the band (A2) to the superdeformed states. The shell model calculations by Poves *et al.* [19], and the GCM+HFBCS calculations by Bender *et al.* [18] are also listed. The experimental data are those taken from $B(E2)$ data in Ref. [36], and Q_0^t values determined by Doppler-shift analysis in Refs. [12] and [13].

J_i	AMD	GCM+HFBCS	Shell model	Nucl. data [36]	Ideguchi <i>et al.</i> [12]	Chiara et al. [13]
ND	(A2)				band 2	band 2
2^{+}	57	75.2		$1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^2$	$74 \pm 14^{\circ}$	
4^+	64	23.9		$1.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{2}$	$74 \pm 14^{\circ}$	
$6+$	64	77.4			$74 \pm 14^{\circ}$	
$8+$	78				$74 \pm 14^{\circ}$	
10^{+}	105				$74 \pm 14^{\circ}$	
12^{+}	112				$74 \pm 14^{\circ}$	
SD	(A3) (A2)		$8p-8h$		band 1	band 1
2^{+}	129	133.9	172		$180 + 39 - 29$ ^a	$130 \pm 5^{\circ}$
4^+	129	97.6	170	$1.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^2$	$180 + 39 - 29^{\circ}$	$130 \pm 5^{\rm a}$
$6+$	121	160.2	167		$180 + 39 - 29^{\circ}$	$130 \pm 5^{\rm a}$
8^+	97(70)	157.9	162		$180 + 39 - 29^{\circ}$	$130 \pm 5^{\rm a}$
10^{+}	81(105)	161.2	157		$180 + 39 - 29$ ^a	$130 \pm 5^{\rm a}$
12^{+}	78(112)		160		$180 + 39 - 29^{\circ}$	$130 \pm 5^{\rm a}$

^aFor the values of Refs. [12] and [13], a single Q_0^t value is assumed for the entire transitions in each of the "band 2" or the "band 1."

smaller than experimental $B(E2)$ values. On the other hand, the *E*2 transition strengths in band (D') are large enough as $B(E2; 3^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 390 (e^2 \text{ fm}^4)$ and $B(E2; 4^+ \rightarrow 2^+) =$ 129 $(e^2 \text{ fm}^4)$, which well correspond to the data within the experimental error bars. It means that the deformation of the $K = 2$ band can be described by the α -cluster band (D'), which is obtained with interaction (ii).

Next we discuss the moments of the negative-parity states. For the negative-parity states in the group $(C1)$, the magnetic dipole moments of the 3^- and 5^- states are $\mu(3^-) = 1.66 \mu_N$ and $\mu(5^-) = 2.76\mu_N$, which agree well with the experimental values for the lowest $3₁⁻(3.74 \text{ MeV})$ and the lowest $5₁⁻$ $(4.49 \text{ MeV}); \ \mu(3^{-}_{1}) = 1.65 \mu_N \text{ and } \mu(5^{-}) = 2.6 \mu_N. \text{ In the}$ prolate bands (C2) and (C3), the *E*2 transitions are fragmented among the bands because the 3[−] and 5[−] states of these bands contain configuration mixing due to the existence of the lowest 3[−] and 5[−] states in group (C1) (see Table II). Then, we estimate the intrinsic deformation by looking into *β^s* extracted from the *Q* moments instead of *β^t* . According to the calculated *β^s* of the bandhead state (1[−]), the deformation of band (C3) is evaluated as $\beta^s = 0.39$. This is smaller than that of the superdeformed band (A3), which we roughly assign to the parity doublet of (C3).

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Molecular bands

The appearence of molecular resonances is one of the important cluster aspects in *sd*-shell nuclei. In the large prolate deformations of ${}^{40}Ca$, we find the trend of developed ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ clustering which leads to the superdeformed band as mentioned in the previous section. Therefore, it is natural to expect possible existence of ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ molecular bands and their link with the superdeformed band in ${}^{40}Ca$.

It is known that molecular resonances appear in such systems as ${}^{12}C+{}^{12}C, {}^{12}C+{}^{16}O,$ or ${}^{16}O+{}^{16}O$ [38,39]. In the microscopic calculations, there have been theoretical efforts to connect the molecular resonances with the low-lying deformed bands [22,40–42]. We should stress that the recent AMD studies [22,42] are the first theoretical works which can microscopically describe both the coexisting deformed states in low-energy region and the molecular resonances in the high-energy region without relying on assumptions of constituent clusters. In Ref. [22], the relation between the theoretically predicted superdeformed band in $32S$ and the $^{16}O+^{16}O$ molecular bands has been studied. It has been suggested that the superdeformed band with a considerable amount of the ${}^{16}O+{}^{16}O$ component is regarded as the lowest nodal band in a series of ${}^{16}O+{}^{16}O$ molecular bands, while the excited ${}^{16}O+{}^{16}O$ bands arise due to the intercluster excitation and correspond to the observed molecular resonances.

In the present results of case (i), we find the excited rotational bands (A4) and (A5) as shown in Fig. 7. The former and the latter exist at about 15 MeV and 25 MeV higher excitation energies than that of the superdeformed band, respectively. These bands appear due to the superposition of the large prolate deformations with the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ cluster structure. In Fig. 10, we show the overlap of the states (Ψ_n^{J+}) in the prolate bands (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4), and (A5) with a single AMD state: $|\langle \Psi_n^{J+} | P_{M0}^J \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^{\pm}(N_{\text{os}}^{(+)}) \rangle|^2$. The lowspin($J \leq 4$) states in the superdeformed band is dominated by $P_{M0}^J \Phi_{\rm AMD}^{\pm}(N_{\rm os} = 70^{(+)})$ with about 90% overlap. We should stress again that the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ -like clustering actually appears in the $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os} = 70^{(+)})$ (see Fig. 4). On the other hand, the states in the excited band (A4) contain the AMD states with

FIG. 10. The overlap between the calculated excited states Ψ_n^{J+} and the prolate AMD states $P_{M0}^J \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^+(N_{\text{os}}^{(+)})$. The values $|\langle \Phi_n^{J+} | P_{M0}^J \Phi_{\text{AMD}}^+(N_{\text{os}}^{(+)}) \rangle|^2$ for the states in the bands (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4), or (A5) are shown by open circles, open triangles, open squares, filled circles, or filled triangles, respectively.

larger N_{os} , and the components in the band $(A5)$ shift toward the further large *N*os region. Since the dinuclear clustering develops further and two clusters go away from each other with the increase of N_{os} , these excited bands (A4) and (A5) should be described by the excitation of intercluster motion. In fact, we calculate the oscillator quanta of the subsystem consisting of the nucleons within each cluster $(^{12}C$ or ^{28}Si), and find that each of the clusters is almost written by 0*hω* configurations. In other words, the increase of *N*os corresponds to just enlarging the intercluster distance, and hence, the rotational bands (A4) and (A5) arise due to the excitation of relative motion between 12° C and 2° Si clusters. Moreover, it is suggested that the bands (A4) and (A5) may have tails in the intercluster motion because the components of these bands are spread into the broader N_{os} ranges than that of the superdeformed band. These results suggest an interpretation that the superdeformed band (A3)

and the higher bands (A4) and (A5) are regarded as a series of ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ molecular bands. Namely, the bands (A4) and (A5) appear as the higher nodal states built above the the superdeformed band. These results have a good analogy to the feature of the superdeformed band and the ${}^{16}O+{}^{16}O$ bands in 32S discussed in Ref. [22].

In the experimental side, the $^{12}C+^{28}Si$ resonances have been observed in the elastic scattering data in the backwardangle region [24,38]. The resonances at the energy E_{cm} = 26*.*0 and 30.2 MeV, which correspond to the excited states of ⁴⁰Ca at $E = 39$ and 44 MeV, are assigned to be $J =$ 18 states from the angular distributions. There are other experimental implications of ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ molecular states in the low-energy fusion cross section [23], where distinct structures have been found in the energy region $E_{\text{c.m.}} = 20{\text -}30 \text{ MeV}$ above the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ threshold. These are the candidates of the molecular resonances which might correspond to the $12C+28$ Si molecular bands (A4) and (A5) obtained in the present results. In order to make further investigations of the molecular bands and give more quantitative discussions, it should be important to superpose a large number of the AMD states. It also may be effective to take into account the excitation inside the clusters as coupled channel calculations for the description of the detailed band structure.

B. Single-particle orbits

In an AMD wave function, the single-particle wave packets, φ _i, in Eq. (3) are not orthogonal to each other. In order to study the mean-field character, it is useful to transform them to the HF-like single-particle orbits $\{\varphi_a^{\text{HF}}\}$, which are orthonormal to each other and form the total wave function equivalent to the original AMD wave function. We extracted the single-particle orbits $\{\varphi_a^{\text{HF}}\}$ from $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)})$, as explained in Refs. [32,43], and analyze them.

As mentioned before, the low-spin states of the superdeformed band is dominated by the AMD state, $P_{M0}^{J}\Phi_{\rm AMD}^{+}$ $(N_{\rm os})$ $70^{(+)}$). We find that the intrinsic state, $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os} = 70^{(+)})$, contains eight *fp*-like single-particle levels, which are the highest four levels among proton orbits and the highest four levels among the neutron orbits. Each of these levels has dominant parity-odd component as the ratio $P(-)$ ~ 95%. The density distributions of these levels are shown in Figs. 11(a) and (b). Hereafter, we use the label $a(=1,\ldots, 20)$ of $\{\varphi_a^{\text{HF}}\}$ for the *a*th highest single-particle levels in the proton orbits. It is found that the properties of the eight valence levels in $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 70^{(+)})$ are associated with the longitudinal fp orbits which have $Y_{3,\pm 1}$ and $Y_{3,0}$ angular dependent terms. Therefore, we regard the superdeformed state as a "8*p*-8*h*" state, though it is not equivalent to pure particle-hole states in the mean-field picture. The origin of the parity asymmetric shape with ¹²C+²⁸Si cluster in $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os} = 70^{(+)})$ will be discussed later.

The prolate band (A2) contains the significant component of the AMD state projected from the intrinsic state, $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os} = 65^{(+)})$. The valence orbits in this state are the eight levels which contain 50% parity-odd components and 50% parity-even ones as shown in Figs. 11(d) and (e). It means

FIG. 11. (Color online) Density distributions of the HF-like single-particle orbits φ_a^{HF} for protons in $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)})$. The results are for the calculations with interaction (i) except for panel (f). The first $(\varphi_{a=1}^{HF})$ and the third $(\varphi_{a=3}^{HF})$ highest proton orbits in the the dominant component $[\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 70^{(+)}]$ of the superdeformation are shown in (a) and (b), respectively. (c) The highest orbit, $\varphi_{a=1}^{\text{HF}}$, in the oblate solution with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 65^{(+)}$. (d) The first ($\varphi_{a=1}^{\text{HF}}$) and (e) the third ($\varphi_{a=3}^{\text{HF}}$) orbits in the prolate solutions with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 65^{(+)}$. (f) The highest ($\varphi_{a=1}^{\text{HF}}$) orbit in the *α*-cluster state with $N_{\text{os}}^{(\pm)} = 68^{(+)}$ obtained in the results with interaction (ii). In the left side, the intrinsic state is projected onto the *Y*-*Z* plane, and the density is integrated along the *X* axis, where *X*, *Y*, and *Z* axes are chosen as $\langle X^2 \rangle \leq \langle Y^2 \rangle \leq \langle Z^2 \rangle$ and $\langle XY \rangle = \langle YZ \rangle = \langle ZX \rangle = 0$. The right figures are for the surface cut of the density. The percentage *P*(−) of the negative-parity component in each single-particle orbit is also listed. The box size is 10 fm.

that the parity projected state $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}^+(N_{\text{os}} = 65^{(+)})$ can be approximately described by the mixing of 2*p*-2*h,* 4*p*-4*h*, and $6p$ -6*h* configurations. We comment that $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 65^{(+)})$ is not a local minimum in the energy curve as a function of $N_{\rm os}$ nor β .

The oblate solution $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 65_{\text{ob}}^{(+)})$ does not mix with the prolate states, and independently forms the oblate band (B). This state can be convincingly described by a 4*p*-4*h* state because four valence nucleons occupy almost parityodd orbits with angular parts related to $Y_{3, \pm 3}$. The property of a valence single-particle level of the four is shown in Fig. 11(c). The particle-hole nature is found also in the *α*-cluster states $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 66_{\alpha}^{(+)})$ and $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 68_{\alpha}^{(+)})$ obtained by the interaction (ii). Namely, these states have a 4*p*-4*h* feature as four valence neutrons occupy *fp*-like orbits with 75% negative-parity component in the $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os} =$ $68^{(+)}$ as seen in Fig. 11(f).

Thus, the single-particle levels in the intrinsic states disclose the many-particle many-hole characters in ${}^{40}Ca$. On the other hand, the cluster aspect has been found in prolate states which have parity-asymmetric shapes. It raises a question of how the cluster aspect links with the mean-field aspect. Here we examine the cluster aspect while paying attention to parity asymmetry of the single-particle orbits. In Fig. 12, we show the ratio of negative-parity component of the single-particle proton orbits in the prolate states $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(+)})$. The behavior of the neutron orbits is almost the same as that of the proton orbits. At almost the spherical $N_{\text{os}} = 62$ region, 12 protons $(\varphi_{a=1-12}^{\text{HF}})$ occupy almost parity-even orbits and six protons $(\varphi_{a=13-18}^{\text{HF}})$ are in almost parity-odd orbits, which indicates the

approximately *sd*-shell closed configuration of this state. With the increase of N_{os} , the parity-odd components in the highest

FIG. 12. The percentage *P*(−) of the negative-parity component in each HF-like single-particle orbit for the protons in the prolate states $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(+)})$ obtained with interaction (i). The values *P*(−) for the highest ten levels ($a = 1 - 10$) are shown in the upper panel, and those for the orbits ($a = 11 - 18$) from the 11th to the 18th level are shown in the lower panel.

FIG. 13. Density distributions summed up (a) for the protons in the higher single-particle levels $(\varphi_{a=1-10}^{\text{HF}})$ and (b) for the protons in the lower single-particle levels $(\varphi_{a=11-20}^{\text{HF}})$ in the intrinsic state of the superdeformation: $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}} = 70^{(+)})$. The total density is also shown in (c). The densities in (a) and (b) are normalized by a factor 4.

four levels $(\varphi_{a=1-4}^{\text{HF}})$ increase and these four levels become almost pure parity-odd orbits at $N_{\text{os}} = 70$. It means that four protons in the *sd*-shell are excited into the *fp*-shell. In the state $\Phi_{\text{AMD}}(N_{\text{os}}^{(+)} = 70^{(+)}),$ each of the higher ten levels $\varphi_{a=1-10}^{\text{HF}}$ has approximately good parity. Instead, the parity symmetry breaking in single-particle orbits is rather remarkable in the 11th and 12th levels ($\varphi_{a=11,12}^{\text{HF}}$) which are originally the lowest *sd*-orbits as shown in Fig. 12(b). In order to see the effect of the single-particle features on the collective shape of the total system $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(+)} = 70^{(+)})$, we show the density distribution summed up for the protons in the lower levels $(\varphi_{a=11-20}^{\text{HF}})$ and that for the protons in the higher levels $(\varphi_{a=1-10}^{\text{HF}})$ in Fig. 13. The most striking thing is that ten protons in the lower levels form a parity asymmetric shape, which reminds us of the $\alpha + {}^{16}O$ clustering in ²⁰Ne system. These results imply that the parity asymmetry in this state dominantly originates not in the valence nucleons near the Fermi surface but in the *α*-cluster-like correlation in the core part. It is inconsistent with an mean-field picture that nucleons at the surface may contribute such an exotic shape as the octupole deformation. Here we remind the reader that this state $\Phi_{\rm AMD}(N_{\rm os}^{(+)} = 70^{(+)})$ is the dominant component of the superdeformation and has

the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ -cluster structure [Fig. 13(c)]. The ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ clustering is also seen in the aspect of molecular resonances. This seems to be inconsistent with possible ${}^{32}S+2\alpha$ clustering, which may be naively expected from the 8*p*-8*h* nature of the superdeformed state. By the analysis of single-particle orbits, we can interpret the appearance of the 12 C cluster as follows. The origin of the 12 C cluster in the superdeformation is understood by the correlation within 12 nucleons; four *sd*-shell nucleons with the α correlation, and the eight valence nucleons in the *fp*-like orbits. It leads to a new picture of the coexisting cluster and mean-field aspect. Namely, the deeply bound nucleons compose the parity-asymmetric core 20 Ne with the α -cluster correlation, while the single-particle levels at the energy surface have particle-hole nature. In the further large *N*os region, the particle-hole nature disappears because of the spatial development of ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ clustering.

V. SUMMARY

We studied deformed states in ${}^{40}Ca$ with antisymmetrized molecular dynamics (AMD) by using effective nuclear interactions which contain finite-range two-body and three-body forces. In the framework of a constraint AMD method, we performed parity projection before energy variation, and total-angular-momentum projection after the energy variation. The obtained AMD wave functions are superposed to get better wave functions.

By analyzing the calculated results of the intrinsic states, level scheme, and *E*2 transition strengths, it was found that the rotational bands with various deformations appear in ${}^{40}Ca$ as well as the spherical ground state. Namely, above the spherical ground state, the rotational bands arise from the normal-deformed state and the superdeformed state as well as the oblate state. In the results with a weaker spin-orbit force, we also obtained the α -cluster-like bands. The present results of the superdeformed band reasonably reproduce the properties of the experimental superdeformed band built on the 0^+_3 (5.21 MeV). On the other hand, we could not obtain a satisfactory description of the experimental deformed band built on the 0^+_2 (3.35 MeV). Possible assignment of this band is to the theoretical normal-deformed band, the *α*-cluster band, or admixture of them.

In the analysis of single-particle orbits, we found the particle-hole nature of the superdeformed state, the oblate state, and the α -cluster state, which are dominated by the 8*p*-8*h,* 4*p*-4*h*, and 4*p*-4*h* configurations, respectively. On the other hand, the normal deformation in the present results contains mixing of 2*p*-2*h,* 4*p*-4*h*, and 6*p*-6*h* configurations.

One of new findings in the present study is that the superdeformed state has a parity asymmetric shape with the $12C+28$ Si-like cluster structure. From the point of view of a single-particle picture, we discussed the relation between the cluster aspect and the many-particle many-hole aspect. We found that the origin of the 12 C clustering in the superdeformed state is understood by the correlation of 12 nucleons; four *sd*-shell nucleons with the α -cluster correlation, and the eight valence nucleons in the *fp*-like orbits. It reveals the coexistence of cluster and mean-field aspects in the superdeformed state.

Namely, the α -cluster correlation in deeply bound nucleons composing the core 20 Ne plays an important role in the parity asymmetric shape, while the particle-hole nature arises in the single-particle levels at the energy surface. The present result of the dominant 8*p*-8*h* feature in the superdeformation seems to be consistent with the strong population of the members in the superdeformed band observed in eight-nucleon-transfer reactions; ${}^{32}S(^{12}C,\alpha){}^{40}Ca$ [11]. However, it is inconsistent with the GCM+HFBCS calculations [18], where neither the 4*p*-4*h* nor the 8*p*-8*h* HF solutions can be assigned to the bandheads of the normal-deformed band or superdeformed band. One of the advantages of the present framework is the parity projection before energy variation, which is considered to be important in the parity-asymmetric deformation. We think that one of the key properties which stabilize the "8*p*-8*h*" character in the superdeformed band is the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ clustering which causes a parity asymmetric shape. Compared with the GCM+HFBCS calculations [18], the higher correlations are ignored in the present calculations. For example, the number of the bases in the superposition is ten at most, which is much smaller than the case of the GCM+HFBCS calculations [18]. Moreover, since we adopt the constraint on the total number of HO quanta in the energy variation, some bases are missed in terms of GCM with respect to the generator coordinate β_2 , which are often used in the GCM+HF calculations. The pairing correlations are also ignored. It is a remaining problem to check how the properties of the superdeformation are affected by introducing these effects.

Above the superdeformed band, we found possible higher rotational bands based on ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ -clustering in the large prolate deformations. It is predicted that ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ molecular bands may be built due to the excitation of the intercluster motion. The present results suggest that the superdeformed band and these higher molecular bands are regarded as a series of ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ molecular bands. This means that the ${}^{12}C+{}^{28}Si$ cluster aspect is rather prominent in the superdeformed state than the $32S+2\alpha$ aspect, although the latter may be naively expected from the 8*p*-8*h* feature of this state. We also suggest the negative-parity bands caused by the parity asymmetric deformation.

In the present work, we use the stronger spin-orbit force than the nuclear interaction adopted in Ref. [30] to quantitatively reproduce the excitation energies of the superdeformed band and negative parity states. With the original weak spinorbit force, the excitation energies of all the excited states in 40 Ca are overestimated. We would like to stress again that the intraband properties such as energy spectra, *E*2 transitions, and intrinsic structure of the superdeformed band are not sensitive to the choice of either interaction. In order to solve the remaining problems, it may be necessary to introduce a suitable nuclear interaction and extended model wave functions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Professor H. Horiuchi and Dr. Taniguchi for many discussions. They are also thankful to Dr. Ideguchi and Dr. Inakura for valuable comments. The computational calculations in this work were supported by the Supercomputer Projects of High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK). This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Japan Ministry of Education, Science and Culture. A part of the work was performed in the "Research Project for Study of Unstable Nuclei from Nuclear Cluster Aspects" sponsored by Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN).

- [1] J. R. Macdonald, D. H. Wilkinson, and D. E. Alburger, Phys. Rev. C **3**, 219 (1971), and references therein.
- [2] W. J. Gerace and A. M. Green, Nucl. Phys. **A93**, 110 (1967).
- [3] W. J. Gerace and A. M. Green, Nucl. Phys. **A123**, 241 (1969).
- [4] R. R. Betts, H. T. Fortune, J. N. Bishop, M. N. I. Al-Jadir, and R. Middleton, Nucl. Phys. **A292**, 281 (1977).
- [5] H. T. Fortune, M. N. I. Al-Jadir, R. R. Betts, J. N. Bishop, and R. Middleton, Phys. Rev. C **19**, 756 (1979).
- [6] T. Yamaya *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A573**, 154 (1994).
- [7] T. Yamaya, K. Katori, M. Fujiwara, S. Kato, and S. Ohkubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **132**, 73 (1998).
- [8] S. Ohkubo and K. Umehara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **80**, 598 (1988).
- [9] T. Sakuda and S. Ohkubo, Phys. Rev. C **49**, 149 (1994).
- [10] T. Sakuda and S. Ohkubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **132**, 103 (1994).
- [11] R. Middleton, J. D. Garrett, and H. T. Fortune, Phys. Lett. **B39**, 339 (1972).
- [12] E. Ideguchi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 222501 (2001)
- [13] C. J. Chiara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **67**, 041303(R) (2003).
- [14] D. C. Zheng, D. Berdichevsky, and L. Zamick, Phys. Rev. C **38**, 437 (1988).
- [15] D. C. Zheng, L. Zamick, and D. Berdichevsky, Phys. Rev. C **42**, 1004 (1990).
- [16] T. Inakura, S. Mizutori, M. Yamagami, and K. Matsuyanagi, Nucl. Phys. **A710**, 261 (2002).
- [17] G. Leander and S. E. Larsson, Nucl. Phys. **A239**, 93 (1975).
- [18] M. Bender, H. Flocard, and P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 044321 (2003).
- [19] A. Poves, E. Caurier, F. Nowacki, and A. Zuker, Eur. Phys. J. A **20**, 119 (2004)
- [20] Y. Kanada-En'yo, M. Kimura, and H. Horiuchi, C. R. Phys. **4**, 497 (2003).
- [21] M. Kimura, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 044319 (2004).
- [22] M. Kimura and H. Horiuchi, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 051304(R) (2004).
- [23] R. A. Racca, P. A. Deyoung, J. J. Kolata, and R. J. Thornburg, Phys. Lett. **B129**, 294 (1983).
- [24] R. Ost, M. R. Clover, R. M. DeVries, B. R. Fulton, H. E. Gove, and N. J. Rust, Phys. Rev. C **19**, 740 (1979).
- [25] Y. Kanada-En'yo and H. Horiuchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **93**, 115 (1995).
- [26] M. Kimura, Y. Sugawa, and H. Horiuchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106**, 115 (2001).
- [27] M. Kimura and H. Horiuchi Prog. Theor. Phys. **107**, 33 (2002).
- [28] Y. Kanada-En'yo, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 014303 (2005).
- [29] Y. Taniguchi, M. Kimura, and H. Horiuchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **112**, 475 (2004).
- [30] Y. Kanada-En'yo and Y. Akaishi, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 034306 (2004).
- [31] Y. Kanada-En'yo, H. Horiuchi, and A. Ono, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 628 (1995); Y. Kanada-En'yo and H. Horiuchi, *ibid.* **52**, 647 (1995).
- [32] Y. Kanada-En'yo and H. Horiuchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **142**, 205 (2001).
- [33] S. Marcos, H. Flocard, and P. H. Heenen, Nucl. Phys. **A410**, 125 (1983).
- [34] N. Yamaguchi, T. Kasahara, S. Nagata, and Y. Akaishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **62**, 1018 (1979); R. Tamagaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **39**, 91 (1968).
- [35] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure*, Vol. II (Benjamin, New York, 1975).
-
- [36] At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **23**, 3 (1979).
- [37] J. L. Wood *et al.*, Phys. Rep. **215**, 101 (1992).
- [38] P. Braun-Muzinger and J. Barrette, Phys. Rep. **87**, 209 (1982).
- [39] W. Greiner, J. Y. Park, and W. Scheid, *Nuclear Molecules*(World Scientific, Singapore, 1995).
- [40] D. Baye and P.-H. Heenen, Nucl. Phys. **A283**, 176 (1977).
- [41] K. Kato, S. Okabe, and Y. Abe, Prog. Theor. Phys. **74**, 1053 (1985).
- [42] Y. Kanada-En'yo, in Proceedings of Frontiers of Collective Motions (CM2002), Aizu, Japan (2002), edited by H. Sagawa and H. Iwasaki, p. 254.
- [43] A. Dóte, H. Horiuchi, and Y. Kanada-Enyo, Phys. Rev. C 56, 1844 (1997).