Spin-dependent neutrino-induced nucleon knockout

N. Jachowicz,* K. Vantournhout, J. Ryckebusch, and K. Heyde

Department of Subatomic and Radiation Physics, Ghent University, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium (Received 30 September 2004; published 16 March 2005)

We study neutrino-induced nucleon knockout off atomic nuclei and examine the polarization properties of the ejectile. A detailed study of the spin dependence of the outgoing nucleon is presented. The numerical results are derived within a nonrelativistic plane-wave impulse-approximation approach. Our calculations reveal large polarization asymmetries and clear dissimilarities between neutrino- and antineutrino-induced reactions. They reflect the fact that neutrino-induced nucleon knockout is dominated by the transverse axial current and gains its major contributions from forward nucleon emission and backward lepton scattering.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.71.034604

PACS number(s): 25.30.Pt, 13.15.+g, 13.88.+e, 24.70.+s

I. INTRODUCTION

In numerous processes governed by the weak Hamiltonian, its parity-violating character becomes manifest. The interaction couples to the left- and right-handed components of the particles involved in weak processes in a different way. The equal weight of vector and axialvector contributions to the lepton current implies that the weak interaction couples exclusively to left-handed leptons and to right-handed antileptons. As neutrinos only interact by means of the weak Hamiltonian, it is in their behavior that the parity violation becomes most obvious. The maximal parity violation in the lepton sector ensures that weakly interacting neutrinos are left handed and antineutrinos are right handed.

Similar mechanisms control the quark couplings. In the SU(2) \otimes U(1)_Y description of the electroweak interaction, first-generation quarks are introduced as a left-handed doublet and two right-handed singlets. In charged-current reactions the weak interaction couples only to the left-handed quark doublet. For neutral-current interactions, the mixture of a weak neutral boson and an electromagnetic U(1)_{EM} gauge boson to the weak neutral Z^0 boson results in a coupling to the right-handed quark components.

Despite the prominent presence of parity-breaking axial contributions in weak-interaction processes, little attention has been paid to induced polarizations in neutrino-nucleus scattering processes. In electron scattering, on the other hand, polarization phenomena have attracted a lot of attention and have been the subject of numerous experimental and theoretical studies [1-4]. In recent years, a large number of theoretical studies on neutrino scattering have focused on, for example, Fermi gas, random phase approximation, and shell model calculations [5-26]. Reactions of experimental [8,13,14,16,21–23] as well as astrophysical [12,15,17–19,27] interest have been examined. The spin dependence of neutrinoinduced nucleon knockout was noted in [28]. In the present paper, we extend this work to a systematic study of the ejectile's spin polarization in neutrino scattering off atomic nuclei. Expressions for the spin dependence of neutrinoinduced nucleon knockout cross sections are derived. We

examine the mechanisms underlying the observed asymmetries. The results are illustrated in a nonrelativistic plane-wave impulse approximation approach. We present cross sections and estimate the impact of polarization asymmetries on the neutrino-induced nucleon knockout processes.

II. NEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING CROSS SECTIONS

Figure 1 presents the kinematics of the neutral-current reactions we are considering:

$$\nu(\varepsilon_i, \vec{p}) + A \to (A-1) + \vec{N}(E_N, \vec{k}) + \nu'(\varepsilon_f, \vec{p'}), \quad (1)$$

$$\overline{\nu}(\varepsilon_i, \vec{p}) + A \to (A-1) + \vec{N}(E_N, \vec{k}) + \overline{\nu}'(\varepsilon_f, \vec{p}').$$
 (2)

A neutrino with incoming momentum \vec{p} and energy ε_i exchanges a four-momentum q = p - p' with a nucleon in the nucleus. The outgoing nucleon's momentum is \vec{k} ; the lepton leaves in a direction θ relative to the incoming beam. As in neutral-current neutrino scattering, the outgoing lepton cannot be detected, the momentum exchange remains unknown, and the missing momentum cannot be reconstructed. Therefore, the direction of the outgoing nucleon θ_N has to be defined as the angle between \vec{k} and the direction of the incident neutrino \vec{p} . As a consequence, polarization studies necessarily have to focus on the longitudinal spin component, i.e., the component of the nucleon's spin along the direction of its outgoing momentum \vec{k} .

Under these conditions, the differential cross section can be written as

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{d\Omega_N dE_N} = (2\pi)^4 E_N k \overline{\sum_{f,i}} |\langle f | \widehat{H}_W | i \rangle|^2 \,\delta(E_i - E_f), \quad (3)$$

where \widehat{H}_W denotes the weak-interaction Hamiltonian, which factors in a lepton $\widehat{j}_{\mu}(\vec{x})$ and a hadron part $\widehat{J}^{\mu}(\vec{x})$,

$$\widehat{H}_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \int \widehat{j}_\mu(\vec{x}) \widehat{J}^\mu(\vec{x}) d^3x \tag{4}$$

$$= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \int e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{l}_\mu \,\hat{J}^\mu(\vec{x}) d^3x, \qquad (5)$$

^{*}Electronic address: natalie.jachowicz@UGent.be.



FIG. 1. Kinematics of the described neutrino scattering reactions. The angle between the scattering and the reaction plane is denoted by ϕ_k . Angle θ_k is the direction of the outgoing lepton relative to the momentum exchange \vec{q} .

allowing one to write the transition density as

$$M_{fi} = \langle f | \hat{H}_W | i \rangle$$

= $\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3x \langle f_l | \hat{j}_\mu | i_l \rangle \langle f_h | \hat{J}^\mu | i_h \rangle$ (6)

$$= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} l_\mu \int d^3x \langle f_h | e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{J}^\mu | i_h \rangle, \tag{7}$$

with $|i_h\rangle$, $|i_l\rangle$, $|f_h\rangle$, $|f_l\rangle$ denoting the initial and final hadron and lepton states,

$$\hat{j}_{\mu} = e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}}l_{\mu}.$$
(8)

 l_{μ} is the lepton current

$$l_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_f}} \overline{u}^{(\nu')}(p', s_f) \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) u^{(\nu)}(p, s_i) \qquad (9)$$

for left-handed neutrinos, and

$$l_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_f}} \overline{u}^{(\overline{\nu}')}(p', s_f) \gamma_{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) u^{(\overline{\nu})}(p, s_i)$$
(10)

corresponds to the right-handed helicity for antineutrinos.

The initial hadron state corresponds to the ground state of the target nucleus. The nuclear final state is determined by the quantum numbers of the outgoing nucleon and the residual nucleus,

$$\langle f_h | \hat{J}^{\mu} | i_h \rangle = \left\langle \Psi_f; k, \frac{1}{2} r | \hat{J}^{\mu} | \Psi_i \right\rangle, \tag{11}$$

with r denoting the spin projection of the ejectile. In the impulse approximation the nucleons are treated as independent particles, and the hadron current matrix elements as a sum of one-body contributions. The different contributions to the nonrelativistic limit of the hadron current are obtained as

$$\hat{J}_{V}^{0}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} G_{E}^{i}(q_{\mu}^{2}) \,\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i}), \qquad (12a)$$

$$\hat{J}_{A}^{0}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{G_{A}^{i}(q_{\mu}^{2})}{2m_{i}} \hat{\vec{\sigma}}_{i} \cdot (\hat{\vec{k}}_{i}' + \hat{\vec{k}}_{i}) \,\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i}), \quad (12b)$$

$$\hat{J}_{V}^{c}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{G_{E}^{i}(q_{\mu}^{2})}{2m_{i}} (\hat{\vec{k}}_{i}' + \hat{\vec{k}}_{i}) \,\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i}), \qquad (12c)$$

$$\hat{J}_{V}^{m}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{G_{M}^{i}(q_{\mu}^{2})}{2m_{i}} \hat{\sigma}_{i} \times \hat{\vec{q}} \,\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i}), \qquad (12d)$$

$$\hat{\vec{J}}_{A}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} G_{A}^{i}(q_{\mu}^{2}) \ \hat{\vec{\sigma}}_{i} \ \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_{i}), \qquad (12e)$$

with $\hat{\vec{k}'} = i \overleftarrow{\nabla}$ and $\hat{\vec{k}} = -i \overrightarrow{\nabla}$ the momentum operators working on the initial and final hadron state, respectively. Denoting

$$h^{\mu} = \int d^3x \, e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} \langle f_h | \hat{J}^{\mu}(\vec{x}) | i_h \rangle, \qquad (13)$$

the transitions are determined by an expression of the type

$$|\langle f|\hat{H}_{W}|i\rangle|^{2} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{2}l_{\mu}l_{\nu}^{*}h^{\mu}h^{\nu*}.$$
(14)

The lepton current contains the projection operator $\frac{(1-\gamma_5)}{2}$ for neutrinos and its right-handed counterpart $\frac{(1+\gamma_5)}{2}$ for antineutrinos. This factor reflects the vector-axialvector structure of the weak-interaction Hamiltonian and projects the desired helicity for the neutrinos. Summing the incoming and outgoing lepton spins *s* and *s'*, the leptonic part of Eq. (14) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{(2\pi)^6}{2} \sum_{ss'} l_\mu l_\nu^* = \frac{p_\nu p'_\mu + p_\mu p'_\nu - pp' g_{\mu\nu} \pm i p^\alpha p'^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\nu\beta\mu}}{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_f},$$
(15)

with p_{μ} and p'_{μ} the incoming and outgoing lepton momentum, ε_i and ε_f the corresponding energies, and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ the fourdimensional Levi-Civita symbol (ϵ_{0123} and even permutations equal -1, odd permutations equal +1). After inserting Eq. (15) into (7), one obtains

$$\sum_{ss'} |M_{fi}|^2 = \frac{G_F^2}{(2\pi)^6 \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_f} (p_\nu p'_\mu + p_\mu p'_\nu - pp' g_{\mu\nu} \pm i p^\alpha p'^\beta \varepsilon_{\alpha\nu\beta\mu}) (h^\mu h^{\nu*})$$
(16)
$$= \frac{G_F^2}{(2\pi)^6 \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_f} [(p_0 h_0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{h}) (p'_0 h_0^* - \vec{p}' \cdot \vec{h}^*) + (p'_0 h_0 - \vec{p}' \cdot \vec{h}) (p_0 h_0^* - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{h}^*) - (p_0 p'_0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}') (h_0 h_0^* - \vec{h} \cdot \vec{h}^*) \pm i \varepsilon_{\alpha\nu\beta\mu} p^\alpha p'^\beta h^\mu h^{\nu*}],$$
(17)

and the differential cross section becomes

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{d\Omega_N dE_N} = \frac{G_F^2}{(2\pi)^2} \overline{\sum_{i,f}} \int_0^{\varepsilon_i - S_N} \varepsilon_f^2 d\varepsilon_f \int_{4\pi} d\Omega_\nu \frac{E_N k}{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_f} \\ \times \left\{ \left[p_0 h_0 - \sum_{\lambda = \pm 1, z} (-1)^\lambda p_\lambda h_{-\lambda} \right] \left[p'_0 h_0^* - \sum_{\lambda = \pm 1, z} p'_\lambda h_\lambda^* \right] + \left[p'_0 h_0 - \sum_{\lambda = \pm 1, z} (-1)^\lambda p'_\lambda h_{-\lambda} \right] \right\} \\ \times \left[p_0 h_0^* - \sum_{\lambda = \pm 1, z} p_\lambda h_\lambda^* \right] - \left[p_0 p'_0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{p'} \right]$$

where S_N denotes the particle emission threshold. Recoil effects were neglected. In the following, the outgoing nucleon is described by a plane wave, and off-shell effects and final-state interactions are neglected. In the nonrelativistic limit the hadronic matrix elements then read

$$h_{V}^{0}(\Omega_{m}) = \sum_{m_{l}m_{s}} \left\langle l m_{l}, \frac{1}{2} m_{s} \middle| jm \right\rangle G_{E}(q_{\mu}^{2}) \,\delta_{r,m_{s}}$$

$$\times F_{nlm_{l}j}(\Omega_{m}), \qquad (19a)$$

$$h_{A}^{0}(\Omega_{m}) = \sum_{m_{l}m_{s}} \left\langle l m_{l}, \frac{1}{2} m_{s} \middle| jm \right\rangle G_{A}(q_{\mu}^{2}) \,(-1)^{\lambda} \sqrt{3}$$

$$\times \frac{(2\vec{k} - \vec{q})_{-\lambda}}{2m_N} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s, 1\lambda \middle| \frac{1}{2}r \right\rangle F_{nlm_l j}(\Omega_m),$$
(19b)

$$(\vec{h}_{V})_{\lambda=\pm1,z}(\Omega_{m}) = \sum_{m_{l}m_{s}} \left\langle l \, m_{l}, \frac{1}{2} \, m_{s} \, \middle| \, jm \right\rangle \left[G_{E}\left(q_{\mu}^{2}\right) \frac{(2\vec{k}-\vec{q})_{\lambda}}{2m_{N}} \right. \\ \left. \times \, \delta_{r,m_{s}} - G_{M}\left(q_{\mu}^{2}\right) \lambda \sqrt{3} \frac{\kappa}{2m_{N}} \right. \\ \left. \times \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \, m_{s}, 1\lambda \, \middle| \, \frac{1}{2} \, r \right\rangle \right] F_{nlm_{l}j}(\Omega_{m}), \qquad (19c)$$

$$(\vec{h}_{v}) = \sum_{k} \left\langle l \, m_{v}, \frac{1}{2} \, m_{v} \, \middle| \, im \right\rangle G_{v}\left(q^{2}\right)$$

$$\langle h_A \rangle_{\lambda=\pm 1,z}(\Omega_m) = \sum_{m_l m_s} \left\langle l m_l, \frac{1}{2} m_s \middle| jm \right\rangle G_A(q_\mu^2)$$

 $\times \sqrt{3} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s, 1\lambda \middle| \frac{1}{2}r \right\rangle F_{nlm_l j}(\Omega_m).$ (19d)

In these expressions, *r* denotes the spin projection of the outgoing nucleon along the direction of the momentum exchange \vec{q} , with $|\vec{q}| = \kappa$. The factor $\langle lm_l, \frac{1}{2}m_s|jm\rangle$ is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient coupling the quantum numbers of the bound single particle states, $\langle \frac{1}{2}m_s, 1\lambda|\frac{1}{2}r\rangle$ is taking care of spin conservation in the interaction, and the spatial contribution is given by

$$F_{nlm_lj}(\Omega_m) = \frac{4\pi i^l}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \phi_{nlj}(r) j_l(ur) r^2 dr Y_l^{m_l}(\Omega_m),$$
(20)

with $\phi_{nlj}(r)$ the bound state wave functions, and $u = |\vec{p}_m| = |\vec{q} - \vec{k}|$ the missing momentum.

Thus far, spin projections *r* were taken along the momentum transfer \vec{q} chosen as the *z* axis. As the momentum exchange and the missing momentum cannot be determined in neutralcurrent reactions, the only well-defined outgoing direction is the one along the outgoing nucleon's momentum. Therefore, in the following study of the polarization of the outgoing nucleon, we will focus on its longitudinal spin component s_N^l . Hence an additional SU(2) rotation determined by (θ_k, ϕ_k) is needed



FIG. 2. Cross section for neutral-current neutrino-induced nucleon knockout from ¹⁶O, separated in its different polarization contributions ($s_N^l = +1$: solid line; $s_N^l = -1$: dashed line) for the outgoing nucleon, as a function of the incoming neutrino energy ε_i .

to obtain

$$\left\langle \Psi_{f}; k, \left. \frac{1}{2} s_{N}^{l} \right| \hat{J}^{\mu} \left| \Psi_{i} \right\rangle = \sum_{r} D_{r, s_{N}^{l}}^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{*}} (\theta_{k}, \phi_{k}) \\ \times \left\langle \left. \Psi_{f}; k, \frac{1}{2} r \right| \hat{J}^{\mu} \left| \Psi_{i} \right\rangle,$$
(21)

with s_N^l the sought longitudinal spin projection and $D^{(\frac{1}{2})}$ the Wigner *D* matrix for SU(2) rotations.

III. NUCLEON POLARIZATIONS IN NEUTRINO SCATTERING

With the expressions derived in Eqs. (19), the polarization properties of the ejectile in A(v, v'N) reactions can be studied in a rather transparent way. Our results are obtained by treating the nuclear ground state in a mean-field approach with a Woods-Saxon single-particle potential. Woods-Saxon parameters were taken from [29,30].

Figure 2 displays the difference between neutrino-induced $s_N^l = +1$ (spin-up) and $s_N^l = -1$ (spin-down) nucleon knockout from ¹⁶O. The dominance of reactions with ejectile polarizations antiparallel to their momentum is obvious. The difference stems from the spin dependence of the hadronic matrix elements in Eqs. (19) and grows steadily with incoming neutrino energies.

Figure 3 explains how these polarization dissimilarities arise from the different cross-section contributions, for two values of the incoming neutrino energy. The figure presents the total and the longitudinal cross sections as a function of the scattering direction of the outgoing nucleon, relative to the incoming lepton direction. Whereas the total cross section is governed by spin-down nucleon knockout, the longitudinal response receives its largest contribution from $s_N^l = +1$ nucleons. In addition, the figure clearly illustrates that the cross section peaks strongly for nucleon knockout along the incoming lepton momentum ($\theta_N \approx 0$). Both



FIG. 3. Total cross section (solid line) and longitudinal contribution (dashed line) for spin-up $(s_N^l = +1)$ and spin-down $(s_N^l = -1)$ nucleon knockout from ¹⁶O, as a function of the scattering angle of the nucleon relative to the direction of the incoming lepton θ_N . (a) Impinging neutrino energy is 50 MeV; (b) incoming energy is 100 MeV. For 50-MeV cross sections, the difference between the total and the longitudinal results at large scattering angles is due to negative transverse-longitudinal interference contributions.

effects are due to the obvious dominance of the transverse response, which strongly favors spin-down knockout and backward lepton scattering. The inclination toward alignment of momentum transfer and ejectile momentum then causes the nucleon knockout to line up with the incoming neutrino direction, while the spin of the ejectile is antiparallel to its momentum. This mechanism becomes even more pronounced with increasing incoming neutrino energies. The rather mild forward preference in Fig. 3(a) becomes incontestable in 3(b), where the energy of the incoming neutrino was raised to 100 MeV: enhancing the momentum transfer diminishes the impact of the initial nucleon momentum, and after integration over missing momentum a distinct forward-scattering peak remains.

Figure 3 furthermore illustrates the angular dependence of the polarization asymmetries. For the total angular cross section, the dissimilarity in the induced nucleon polarizations is most pronounced in forward knockout. The differences remain large over a rather broad angular range, then switch quickly to a completely opposite behavior. As the polarization observed at $\theta_N = 0$ is, even at low incoming neutrino energies, strongly dominated by quasielastic scattering conditions, it inherits the characteristics dictated by the spin dependence of the equations in Sec. II. Going to larger scattering angles, however, the momentum transfer and the ejectile momentum diverge, and the required SU(2) rotation of Eq. (21) becomes more and more influential, eventually reversing the polarization completely at $\theta_N = \pi$. This behavior slightly limits the importance of the spin-down nucleon knockout for low incoming energies, while the reigning transverse forward ejectile knockout always ensures the prominence of $s_N^l = -1$ in the cross sections. At higher impinging neutrino energies, backward scattering becomes rather unimportant, as does $s_N^l = +1$ ejectile knockout. With respect to the spin properties of the ejectile, the longitudinal cross section exhibits the opposite behavior, but it is strongly suppressed. Summarizing, the strong selectivity of $A(\nu, \nu' N)$ reactions regarding the spin

orientation of N, can be attributed to three effects reinforcing each other: first, the strong transverse dominance in the cross section; second, its prominence in backward neutrino scattering going hand in hand with forward nucleon knockout; and third, the strong spin dependence of the axial contribution in this transverse response.

The differences in the induced ejectile spins are clearly reflected by the polarization asymmetry A, defined as the difference in ejectile polarization, normalized to the total nucleon knockout cross section:

$$A^{l} = \frac{\sigma(s_{N}^{l} = +1) - \sigma(s_{N}^{l} = -1)}{\sigma(s_{N}^{l} = +1) + \sigma(s_{N}^{l} = -1)},$$
 (22)

where the superscript l refers to the longitudinal spin components of the ejectile. Presenting the results in terms of asymmetries A^l averts the need to measure absolute cross sections and provides a more solid way to quantify the relative contribution of the various ejectile spin polarization components. Figure 4 displays the quantity A^l as a function of the direction of the outgoing nucleon. The plot clearly shows the dissimilarities in the ejectile polarization. For forward scattering, the asymmetries are very large and negative; for backward nucleon knockout, the differences become positive. Although the energy dependence of these results seems mild, averaging the angular dependence and evaluating the knockout cross sections as a function of the ejectile energy yields a distinct energy dependence of A^l and very large asymmetry values [28].

IV. NEUTRINOS VERSUS ANTINEUTRINOS

Neutrinos and antineutrinos have opposite helicities. Hence, their cross sections differ in the interference terms. Defining the nuclear response by the weak structure functions

$$R_L = \left| h_0 - \frac{\omega}{\kappa} h_z \right|^2, \tag{23}$$



FIG. 4. Longitudinal asymmetry A^l in neutrino-induced nucleon knockout as a function of the ejectile's scattering angle for various values of the incoming neutrino energy.

$$R_{TL} = 2\mathcal{R}[h_0(h_+^* - h_-^*)] - \frac{\omega}{\kappa} 2\mathcal{R}[h_z(h_+^* - h_-^*)], \quad (24)$$

$$R_T = h_+ h_+^* + h_- h_-^*, (25)$$

$$R_{TT} = 2\mathcal{R}(h_+ h_-^*), \tag{26}$$

$$R_{TL'} = 2\mathcal{R}[h_0(h_+^* + h_-^*)] - \frac{\omega}{\kappa} 2\mathcal{R}[h_z(h_+^* + h_-^*)], \quad (27)$$

$$R_{T'} = h_+ h_+^* - h_- h_-^*, (28)$$

the transition of Eq. (16) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{ss'} |M_{fi}|^2 = v_L R_L + v_{TL} R_{TL} + v_T R_T + v_{TT} R_{TT} + h(v_{TL'} R_{TL'} + v_{T'} R_{T'}), \qquad (29)$$

with v the corresponding lepton kinematic factors and h the helicity of the incident particle: h = -1 for neutrinos, h = +1 for antineutrinos.

Except for R_{TT} , which is very small, the transverse terms are the most important ones. The contribution of these terms to the cross section is given by

$$l_{-l_{-}}^{*}h_{+}h_{+}^{*} + l_{+}l_{+}^{*}h_{-}h_{-}^{*} = v_{T}(h_{+}h_{+}^{*} + h_{-}h_{-}^{*}) + hv_{T'}(h_{+}h_{+}^{*} - h_{-}h_{-}^{*})$$
(30)

$$= (v_T + hv_{T'})h_+h_+^* + (v_T - hv_{T'})h_-h_-^*, \qquad (31)$$

with the symmetric and antisymmetric kinematic factors v_T and $v_{T'}$

$$v_T = \frac{2\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}(\epsilon_i^2 + \epsilon_f^2 + 2\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon_i\epsilon_f)}{(2\pi)^6(\epsilon_f^2 + \epsilon_i^2 - 2\epsilon_i\epsilon_f\cos\theta)},$$
(32)

$$v_{T'} = \frac{2\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_f)}{(2\pi)^6\sqrt{\epsilon_f^2 + \epsilon_i^2 - 2\epsilon_i\epsilon_f\cos\theta}}.$$
(33)

Equation (30) highlights the cross-section differences between neutrino- and antineutrino-induced reactions, whereas Eq. (31) governs the polarization behavior of the outgoing nucleon. Clearly, the only difference between neutrino and antineutrino scattering is in the sign of the antisymmetric term $v_{T'}(h_+h_+^* - h_-h_-^*)$; the symmetric part contributes to



FIG. 5. Neutrino- and antineutrino-induced proton-knockout cross section from ¹⁶O as a function of the incoming (anti)neutrino energy. The contributions of both ejectile polarizations are shown.

both scattering reactions in the same way. Figure 5 shows these differences between neutrino- and antineutrino-induced reactions. Obviously, the contribution $(h_+h_+^* - h_-h_-^*)$ is generally negative, resulting in the antineutrino cross sections being smaller than their neutrino counterparts. The difference is rising steeply with the incoming lepton energy, as can easily be explained looking at Table I. For $h_+h_+^*$ the main axial contribution G_A^2 and the axial-magnetic cross term $G_A G_M \frac{\kappa}{2m_N}$ have the same sign; for $h_-h_-^*$ these terms have opposite signs. As $G_A G_M$ is always negative, $h_+h_+^*$ is smaller than $h_-h_-^*$, and the contribution of $h_-h_-^*$ increases for growing energy transfers, making $|h_+h_+^* - h_-h_-^*|$ larger.

TABLE I. Spin dependence of various contributions to the transverse $h_+h_+^*$ and $h_-h_-^*$ terms in the hadronic transition density. G_A^2 , G_E^2 , G_M^2 , $G_E G_A$, $G_E G_M$, and $G_A G_M$ denote the axial vector, vector Coulomb, weak magnetic form factors, and their various interference terms. The spherical components of the momentum of the outgoing nucleon are denoted by *k*. Subscripts m_s and *r* designate the spin components of the bound and outgoing nucleon along the quantization axis determined by \vec{q} .

	${h_+}{h_+}^*$	$h_h_^*$
G_E^2	$\frac{-k_+k}{m_N^2}\delta_{r,m_s}\delta_{r,m_s'}$	$rac{-k_+k}{m_N^2}\delta_{r,m_s}\delta_{r,m_s'}$
$G_E G_A$	$\frac{-k_+\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{-k_+\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s',-\frac{1}{2}}$
	$\frac{+k\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{+k\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$
$G_E G_M \frac{\kappa}{2m_N}$	$\frac{-k_+\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{+k_+\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_S,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_S',-\frac{1}{2}}$
	$\frac{+k\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{-k\sqrt{2}}{m_N}\delta_{r,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$
G_A^2	$2\delta_{r,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s',-\frac{1}{2}}$	$2\delta_{r,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,\frac{1}{2}}$
$G_M^2 rac{\kappa^2}{4m_N^2}$	$2\delta_{r,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$	$2\delta_{r,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,\frac{1}{2}}$
$G_A G_M \frac{\kappa}{2m_N}$	$2\delta_{r,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_s,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m'_s,-\frac{1}{2}}$	$-2\delta_{r,-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_{s},\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{m_{s}',\frac{1}{2}}$



FIG. 6. Longitudinal asymmetry A^{l} in antineutrino-induced nucleon knockout as a function of the ejectile's scattering angle for different values of the incoming neutrino energy.

Table I shows that the term $h_+h_+^*$ is dominating reactions resulting in a spin-up outgoing nucleon and the term $h_-h_-^*$ provides the largest contribution for spin-down nucleons. Recombining the terms in expression (30) to obtain Eq. (31) then allows us to judge the relative importance of both knockout polarizations in neutrino- and antineutrino-induced reactions. The kinematic factors v_T and $v_{T'}$ are of the same order of magnitude, and they have the same sign. As a PHYSICAL REVIEW C 71, 034604 (2005)

consequence, for neutrinos the forefactor of the spin-up contributions $v_T - v_{T'}$ becomes very small, resulting in a suppression of nucleon knockout with their spin aligned with their momentum, while the $h_{-}h_{-}^*$ contribution is enhanced by the large factor $v_T + v_{T'}$. For antineutrinos the effect is reversed. Hence, neutrino cross sections are dominated by outgoing nucleons with their spin antiparallel to their momentum; for antineutrino-induced reactions, ejectiles with their spin aligned with the direction of their momentum prevail.

Figure 5 shows that the polarization dissimilarities are considerable. The differences indicated by Eq. (31) are slightly smoothed out by the spin rotations and angular averaging, but the overall asymmetry remains.

Figure 6 points to clear differences in the antineutrino asymmetry A^l compared to the equivalent picture for neutrinos in Fig. 4. As is clear from the previous discussion, at forward scattering angles the asymmetry A^l is positive, indicating the dominance of antineutrino-induced $s_N^l = +1$ nucleon knockout. The angular dependence of the asymmetry, however, is less pronounced than in the neutrino case, especially at larger values for the incoming lepton energy. This is due to the influence of the energy dependence in the cancellation effect between the G_A^2 and $G_A G_M$ terms in $h_+h_+^*$ as they appear in Table I.

Figure 7 shows ν - and $\overline{\nu}$ -induced neutron knockout from ²⁰⁸Pb as a function of the energy of the outgoing nucleon and its scattering angle. The figure illustrates the polarization



FIG. 7. Double differential cross sections for neutrino-induced neutron knockout from 208 Pb. Incoming lepton energy is 50 MeV. Panels (a) and (b) show the neutrino-induced cross sections; panels (c) and (d) show the cross section for antineutrino reactions. Processes resulting in spin-down nucleon knockout are in panels (a) and (c); spin-up cross sections are shown in panels (b) and (d).

asymmetries and the dissimilarities between neutrino and antineutrino behavior. The structure of the cross-section surfaces nicely shows how neutrino-induced $s_N^l = -1$ and antineutrino-induced $s_N^l = +1$ cross sections stem from the same $v_T - v_{T'}$ determined lepton behavior, and how the same is true for neutrino-induced $s_N^l = +1$ and antineutrino-induced $s_N^l = -1$ knockout with the $v_T + v_{T'}$ lepton kinematic factor. The difference in absolute values between the corresponding curves is due to differences in the hadron responses $h_+h_+^*$ and $h_-h_-^*$.

V. SUMMARY

We have made a systematic study of neutrino- and antineutrino-induced nucleon knockout from ¹⁶O and ²⁰⁸Pb, focusing on the polarization properties of the ejectile. The transverse contributions prevailing in the cross section, combined with the prominence of backward lepton scattering and forward nucleon knockout, contribute to a very distinct spin asymmetry signal.

Because the ejectile polarization provides a way to distinguish between neutrinos and antineutrinos in neutral current scattering reactions, it has some important potential applications. For μ and τ neutrinos, charged-current reactions are inaccessible for neutrino energies below the lepton-production threshold. This is the case in some interesting situations. In many processes of astrophysical interest, neutrino energies are far too low for charged ν_{μ} or ν_{τ} scattering. It might, however, be very interesting to differentiate between neutrinos and antineutrinos [28,31,32]. In CP-violation studies [33,34] involving oscillations to heavy-flavor neutrinos, the interplay between mixing angle, mass differences, oscillation length, and neutrino energies may involve the need to differentiate between neutrinos and antineutrinos at energies below the lepton-production threshold. The polarization asymmetries then provide a mechanism to distinguish between neutrinos and antineutrinos.

Undeniably, the above results are incomplete with respect to the treatment of final-state interactions and meson-exchange currents. However, the cross sections are strongly dominated by forward nucleon knockout and quasielastic processes. The observed polarization effects are of such an order of magnitude that the major trends can be expected to remain unaffected by final-state interactions and δ - or pion-production processes: (anti)neutrinos induce large asymmetries in ejectile polarizations, with a completely opposite behavior for neutrinos and antineutrinos. Work on implementing final-state interactions in neutrino-induced knockout processes is in progress.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.J. would like to thank C. Volpe for interesting discussions. The authors are grateful to the Fund for Scientific Research (FWO) Flanders and to the University Research Board (BOF) for financial support.

- J. Ryckebusch, D. Debruyne, W. Van Nespen, and S. Janssen, Phys. Rev. C 60, 034604 (1999).
- [2] P. Lava, J. Ryckebusch, B. Van Overmeire, and S. Strauch, Phys. Rev. C 71, 04605 (2005).
- [3] S. Dieterich et al., Phys. Lett. B500, 47 (2001).
- [4] S. Strauch et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 052301 (2003).
- [5] E. Kolbe, K. Langanke, S. Krewald, and F. K. Thielemann, Nucl. Phys. A540, 599 (1992).
- [6] H. Kim, J. Piekarewicz, and C. J. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. C 51, 2739 (1994).
- [7] J. Engel, E. Kolbe, K. Langanke, and P. Vogel, Phys. Rev. C 54, 2740 (1996).
- [8] E. Kolbe, K. Langanke, and P. Vogel, Nucl. Phys. A652, 91 (1999).
- [9] W. M. Alberico, M. B. Barbaro, S. M. Bilenky, J. A. Caballero, C. Giunti, C. Maieron, E. Moya de Guerra, and J. M. Udías, Nucl. Phys. A623, 471 (1997).
- [10] S. K. Singh, N. C. Mukhopadhyay, and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C 57, 2687 (1998).
- [11] N. Jachowicz, S. Rombouts, K. Heyde, and J. Ryckebusch, Phys. Rev. C 59, 3246 (1999).
- [12] G. Fuller, W. C. Haxton, and G. C. McLaughlin, Phys. Rev. D 59, 085005 (1999).
- [13] A. C. Hayes and I. S. Towner, Phys. Rev. C 61, 044603 (2000).
- [14] C. Volpe, N. Auerbach, G. Coló, T. Suzuki, and N. Van Giai, Phys. Rev. C 62, 015501 (2000).
- [15] E. Kolbe and K. Langanke, Phys. Rev. C 63, 025802 (2001).
- [16] N. Jachowicz, K. Heyde, J. Ryckebusch, and S. Rombouts, Phys. Rev. C 65, 025501 (2002).

- [17] N. Jachowicz, K. Heyde, and J. Ryckebusch, Phys. Rev. C 66, 055501 (2002).
- [18] N. Jachowicz and K. Heyde, Phys. Rev. C 68, 055502 (2003).
- [19] J. Engel, G. C. McLaughlin, and C. Volpe, Phys. Rev. D 67, 013005 (2003).
- [20] E. Kolbe, K. Langanke, G. Martínez-Pinedo, and P. Vogel, J. Phys. G 29, 2569 (2003).
- [21] C. Maieron, M. C. Martinez, J. A. Caballero, and J. M. Udías, Phys. Rev. C 68, 048501 (2003).
- [22] A. Meucci, C. Giusti, and F. D. Pacati, Nucl. Phys. A739, 277 (2004).
- [23] J. Nieves, J. E. Amaro, and M. Valverde, Phys. Rev. C 70, 055503 (2004).
- [24] M. Fukugita, Y. Koyama, and K. Kubodera, Phys. Lett. B212, 139 (1988).
- [25] T. Kuramoto, M. Fukugita, Y. Koyama, and K. Kubodera, Nucl. Phys. A512, 711 (1990).
- [26] K. Kubodera and S. Nozawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. 3, 101 (1994).
- [27] A. Heger, E. Kolbe, W. C. Haxton, K. Langanke, G. Martínez-Pinedo, and S. E. Woosley, astro-ph/0307546.
- [28] N. Jachowicz, K. Vantournhout, J. Ryckebusch, and K. Heyde, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 082501 (2004).
- [29] S. Krewald, J. Birkholz, A. Faessler, and J. Speth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1385 (1974).
- [30] G. A. Rinker and J. Speth, Nucl. Phys. A306, 360 (1978).
- [31] R. N. Boyd and A. St. J. Murphy, Nucl. Phys. A688, 386c (2001).
- [32] C. K. Hargrove et al., Astropart. Phys. 5, 183 (1996).
- [33] T. Hattori, T. Hasuike, and S. Wakaizumi, Phys. Rev. D 65, 073027 (2002).
- [34] E. Church et al., nucl-ex/9706011.