Screening of a moving parton in the quark-gluon plasma

Munshi G. Mustafa,¹ Markus H. Thoma,² and Purnendu Chakraborty¹

¹Theory Group, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700 064, India

²Centre for Interdisciplinary Plasma Science, Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, P.O. Box 1312, D-85741 Garching, Germany

(Received 29 March 2004; published 5 January 2005)

The screening potential of a parton moving through a quark-gluon plasma is calculated using semiclassical transport theory. An anisotropic potential showing a minimum in the direction of the parton velocity is found. As consequences possible new bound states in the quark-gluon plasma and J/ψ dissociation are discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.71.017901

PACS number(s): 12.38.Mh, 25.75.-q

Screening of charges in a plasma is one of the most important collective effects in plasma physics. In the classical limit in an isotropic and homogeneous plasma the screening potential of a pointlike test charge Q at rest can be derived from the linearized Poisson equation, resulting in Debye screening. In this way the Coulomb potential of a charge in the plasma is modified into a Debye-Hückel or Yukawa potential [1]

$$\phi(r) = \frac{Q}{r} \exp(-m_D r) \tag{1}$$

with the Debye mass (inverse screening length) $m_D (\hbar = c = k_B = 1)$.

A special kind of plasma is the so-called quark-gluon plasma (QGP), where the electric charges in a plasma are replaced by the color charges of quarks and gluons, mediating the strong interactions among them. Such a state of matter is expected to exist at extreme temperatures, above 150 MeV, or densities, above about 10 times nuclear density. These conditions could be fulfilled in the early universe for the first few microseconds or in the interior of neutron stars. In accelerator experiments high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions are used to search for the QGP. These collisions create a hot and dense fireball, which might consist of a QGP in an early stage (less than about 10 fm/c) [2]. Since the masses of the lightest quarks and of the actually massless gluons are much less than the temperature of the system, the OGP is an ultrarelativistic plasma. To achieve a theoretical understanding of the QGP, methods from quantum field theory (QCD) at finite temperature are adopted [3]. Perturbative QCD should work at high temperatures far above the phase transition where the interaction between the quarks and gluons becomes weak owing to a specific property of QCD called asymptotic freedom. An important quantity that can be derived in this way is the polarization tensor describing the behavior of interacting gluons in the QGP. From the polarization tensor important properties of the QGP such as the dispersion relation and damping of the plasma modes or the Debye screening of color charges in the QGP can be derived [4].

In the QGP the Debye mass of a chromoelectric charge follows from the static limit of the longitudinal polarization tensor in the high-temperature limit [4],

$$\Pi_{00}(\omega=0,k) = -m_D^2 = -g^2 T^2 \left(1 + \frac{n_f}{6}\right),$$
(2)

where g is the strong coupling constant and n_f is the number of light quark flavors in the QGP with $m_a \ll T$.

The high-temperature limit of the polarization tensor corresponds to the classical approximation. For instance, it is closely related to the dielectric function following from the semiclassical Vlasov equation describing a collisionless plasma: The longitudinal dielectric function following from the Vlasov equation is given by [5–7]

$$\epsilon_l(\omega, k) = 1 - \frac{\Pi_{00}(\omega, k)}{k^2}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{m_D^2}{k^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{2k} \ln \frac{\omega + k}{\omega - k}\right)$$
(3)

(where the only nonclassical inputs are Fermi and Bose distributions instead of the Boltzmann distribution). Quantum effects in the Debye mass have been considered using the hard-thermal-loop resummation scheme [8], dimensional reduction [9], and QCD lattice simulations [10]. The Debye mass and polarization tensor have also been computed in the case of an anisotropic QGP [11–13].

The modification of the confinement potential below the critical temperature into a Yukawa potential above the critical temperature might have important consequences for the discovery of the QGP in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. Bound states of heavy quarks, in particular the J/ψ meson, which are produced in the initial hard scattering processes of the collision, will be dissociated in the QGP due to screening of the quark potential and breakup by energetic gluons [14]. Hence, the suppression of J/ψ mesons has been proposed as one of the most promising signatures for QGP formation [15]. Indeed, a suppression of J/ψ mesons has been observed experimentally [16] and can be interpreted as a strong indication for QGP formation in relativistic heavy-ion collisions [17].

In most calculations of the screening potential in the QGP so far, it was assumed that the test charge is at rest. However, quarks and gluons coming from initial hard processes receive a transverse momentum that causes them to propagate through the QGP [18]. In addition, hydrodynamical models predict a radial outward flow in the fireball [19]. Hence, it is of great interest to estimate the screening potential of a parton moving relatively to the QGP. Chu and Matsui [20] have used the Vlasov equation to investigate dynamic Debye screening for a heavy quark-antiquark pair traversing a quark-gluon plasma. They found that the screening potential becomes strongly anisotropic.

In the case of a nonrelativistic plasma the screening potential of a moving charge Q with velocity v follows from the linearized Vlasov and Poisson equations as [21,22]

$$\phi(\vec{r},t;\vec{v}) = \frac{Q}{2\pi^2} \int d^3k \frac{\exp[-i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}-\vec{v}t)]}{k^2 \operatorname{Re}[\epsilon_l(\omega=\vec{k}\cdot\vec{v},k)]}.$$
 (4)

It is easy to show that this expression reduces to the Yukawa potential in the case of small velocities, $v = |\vec{v}| \ll v_{\text{th}}$, where v_{th} is the thermal velocity of the plasma particles. In the opposite case, $v \gg v_{\text{th}}$, the Coulomb potential is recovered since a screening charge cloud cannot be formed for fast particles.

Equation (4) also holds in the case of a relativistic plasma. We only have to use the relativistic expression (3) for the longitudinal dielectric function. For small velocities $(v \rightarrow 0, i.e., \omega \ll k)$ we obtain

$$\epsilon_l(\omega \ll k) = 1 + \frac{m_D^2}{k^2},\tag{5}$$

from which again the (shifted) Yukawa potential results [22]:

$$\phi(\vec{r},t;\vec{v}) = \frac{Q}{|\vec{r}-\vec{v}t|} \exp(-m_D |\vec{r}-\vec{v}t|).$$
(6)

It should be noted that the opposite limit, $v \gg v_{\text{th}}$, leading to a Coulomb potential in the nonrelativistic case, cannot be realized in an ultrarelativistic plasma because the thermal velocity of the plasma particles is given by the speed of light, $v_{\text{th}} = c = 1$.

In the general case, for parton velocities v between 0 and 1, we have to solve (4) together with (3) numerically. Since the potential is no longer isotropic because of the velocity vector \vec{v} , we will restrict ourselves only to two cases, \vec{r} parallel to \vec{v} and \vec{r} perpendicular to \vec{v} ; that is, for illustration we consider the screening potential only in the direction of the moving parton or perpendicular to it.

In Fig. 1 the screening potential ϕ/Q in the \vec{v} -direction is shown as a function of r' = r - vt, where $r = |\vec{r}|$, between 0 and 6 fm for various velocities. For illustration we have chosen a strong fine structure constant $\alpha_s = g^2/(4\pi) = 0.3$, a temperature T = 0.25 GeV, and the number of quark flavors $n_f = 2$. The shifted potentials depend only on v and not on t, as should be the case in a homogeneous and isotropic plasma. For r' < 1 fm one observes that the falloff of the potential is stronger than for a parton at rest. This behavior is due to the stronger screening in the direction of the moving parton caused by an enhancement of the particle density in the rest frame of the moving parton.

In addition, a minimum in the screening potential at r' > 1 fm shows up. For example, for v = 0.8 this minimum is at about 1.5 fm with a depth of about 8 MeV. The occurrence of a minimum in the potential in the direction of the velocity is also observed in so-called complex plasmas. Complex plasmas are classical, low-temperature plasmas containing particles with a diameter of a few microns [23]. These particles are charged



FIG. 1. Screening potential parallel to the velocity of the moving parton in a QGP as a function of r - vt (0 to 6 fm) for v = 0, 0.5, and 0.8.

in the plasma by collecting electrons on their surface. In the presence of an ion flow the positively charged ions are deflected by the microparticles, leading to an anisotropic distortion of the Debye sphere by an enhancement of the ion density in front of the microparticles. This positive charge cloud leads to an attraction between microparticles in the direction of the ion flow and the formation of stringlike structures [24], which are observed in experiments.

A minimum in the screening potential is also known from nonrelativistic, complex plasmas, where an attractive potential even between equal charges can be found if the finite extension of the charges is considered [25]. A similar screening potential was found for a color charge at rest in Ref. [26], where a polarization tensor beyond the high-temperature limit was used. However, this approach has its limitation because a gauge-dependent and incomplete (within the order of the coupling constant) approximation for the polarization tensor was used [27]. Obviously, a minimum in the interparticle potential in a relativistic or nonrelativistic plasma is a general feature if one goes beyond the Debye-Hückel approximation by taking quantum effects, finite velocities, or finite sizes of the particles into account.

Note that Chu and Matsui [20] did not report the existence of a minimum in the potential of a quark traversing the QGP. However, in their Fig. 1(d) a negative value of the potential of a fast quark (v = 0.9) in the direction of the quark velocity is shown. Since the potential has to tend to zero for large distances, this implies a minimum in the screening potential. This minimum was not found because the potential was plotted only for the limited range $0 < r < 1/m_d \simeq 0.35$ fm for our choice of the parameters. The minimum could give rise to bound states (e.g., of diquarks) if thermal fluctuations do not destroy them. The two-body potential, associated with the dipole fields created by two test charges Q_1 and Q_2 at \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 with velocities \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 can be written as

$$\Phi(\vec{r}_{1} - \vec{r}_{2}, \vec{v}_{1} - \vec{v}_{2}, t) = \frac{Q_{1}Q_{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \int d^{3}k \left\{ \frac{\exp[i\vec{k} \cdot (-(\vec{r}_{1} - \vec{r}_{2}) - (\vec{v}_{1} - \vec{v}_{2})t)]}{k^{2}\operatorname{Re}[\epsilon_{l}(\omega = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{1}, k)]} + \frac{\exp[i\vec{k} \cdot ((\vec{r}_{1} - \vec{r}_{2}) - (\vec{v}_{1} - \vec{v}_{2})t)]}{k^{2}\operatorname{Re}[\epsilon_{l}(\omega = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_{2}, k)]} \right\}.$$
(7)

For comoving quarks $(\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2)$, this two-body potential reduces to the one-body potential (4), showing the attraction between the quarks that could give rise to a bound state. Colored bound states (e.g., diquarks) of partons at rest have also been claimed by analyzing lattice data [28]. For a quarkantiquark system, where $Q_1Q_2 < 0$, the two-body potential is inverted, showing a maximum. This may lead to short-lived mesonic resonances and an enhancement of the attraction between quarks and antiquarks of mesonic states moving through the QGP.

The details of the potential (e.g., the depth of the minimum) depend on the choice of the parameters, such as the coupling constant. For a value $\alpha_s = 0.3$, as is typical for the temperature reachable in heavy-ion collisions, the semiclassical approach corresponding to the weak coupling limit might not be reliable. Quantum effects and collisions among plasma particles are important at those temperatures and will change the dielectric functions and dispersion relations. Within the transport theoretical approach collisions can be considered, for example by using the relaxation-time approximation [29].

Nonabelian effects (beyond color factors, e.g., in the Debye mass) will be important at realistic temperatures. Unfortunately they cannot be treated by the methods used here and are therefore beyond the scope of this work. However, as we have already discussed, in a complexplasma that is also strongly coupled, as is also the case for the QGP close to the critical temperature, there is an attraction among the microparticles in the presence of an ion flow. This appears to be a general feature of weakly as well as strongly coupled plasmas. Therefore we do not expect a qualitative change of the screening potential resulting from nonperturbative and nonabelian effects.

The results for \vec{r} perpendicular to \vec{v} are shown in Fig. 2, where the potential is shown as a function of $|\vec{r} - \vec{v}t| = \sqrt{r^2 + v^2 t^2}$ between 0.1 and 1 fm. Here we consider only the case t = 0 since at t > 0 and v > 0 there is no singularity in the potential because $\sqrt{r^2 + v^2 t^2} > 0$ for all r. Hence, the potential is cut off artificially at small distances if plotted as a function of $\sqrt{r^2 + v^2 t^2}$. In contrast to the parallel case (Fig. 1) the fall off of the potential at larger values of v is not as steep; that is, the screening is reduced as expected since the formation of the screening cloud is suppressed at large velocities. Also no minimum in the potential is found.



FIG. 2. Screening potential perpendicular to the velocity of the moving parton in a QGP as a function of $|\vec{r} - \vec{v}t|$ (0.1 to 1 fm) for v = 0, 0.5, and 0.8.

Summarizing, we have calculated the screening potential of a color charge moving through the OGP from semiclassical transport theory corresponding to the high-temperature limit. As in Ref. [20] we obtained a strongly anisotropic screening potential. The screening is reduced in the direction perpendicular of the moving parton but increased in the direction of the moving parton, which may lead to a modification of the J/ψ suppression. In addition, we have found a new feature of the screening potential of a fast parton in a QGP: the presence of a minimum in the potential, which could give rise to bound states of, for example, diquarks if it is not destroyed by thermal fluctuations. For a quark-antiquark pair this minimum turns into a maximum, which could cause short-lived mesonic resonances. Combining the effect of reduced screening in the perpendicular direction and the presence of a maximum in the parallel direction we expect a stronger binding of moving J/ψ mesons than of J/ψ mesons at rest with respect to the QGP. The consequences, for example, for the J/ψ yield, should be investigated in more detail using hydrodynamical models or event generators for the space-time evolution of the fireball. Finally, let us note that our results also apply to other ultrarelativistic plasmas such as an electron-positron plasma in supernova explosions. In this case one simply has to replace the Debye mass m_D by eT, where e is the electron charge.

M.H.T. would like to thank B. Klumov and V. N. Tsytovich for useful discussions, and M.G.M is thankful to S. Mallik, D. Mukhopadhyay, and D. K. Srivastava for useful discussions.

- L. P. Pitaevskii and E. M. Lifshitz, *Physical Kinetics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1981).
- [2] B. Müller, *The Physics of the Quark-Gluon Plasma*, Lecture Notes in Physics 225 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985).
- [3] J. I. Kapusta, *Finite-Temperature Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1989).
- [4] K. Kajantie and J. I. Kapusta, Ann. Phys. (NY) 160, 477 (1985).
- [5] V. P. Silin, Sov. Phys. JETP 11, 1136 (1960).
- [6] V. V. Klimov, Sov. Phys. JETP 55, 199 (1982).
- [7] H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. D 26, 1394 (1982).
- [8] A. Rebhan, Phys. Rev. D 48, R3967 (1993).
- [9] K. Kajantie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3130 (1997).
- [10] U.M. Heller, F. Karsch, and J. Rank, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 63, 421 (1998).
- [11] T. S. Biró, B. Müller, and X. N. Wang, Phys. Lett. B283, 171 (1992).
- [12] S. Mrówczyński and M. H. Thoma, Phys. Rev. D 62, 036011 (2000).
- [13] P. Romatschke and M. Strickland, Phys. Rev. D 68, (2003) 036004.
- [14] B. K. Patra and D. K. Srivastava, Phys. Lett. B505, 113 (2001).
- [15] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. **B178**, 416 (1986).

- [16] M. Gonin *et al.* [NA50 Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A610, 404c (1996).
- [17] J.-P. Blaizot and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1703 (1996).
- [18] M. Gyulassy, M. Plümer, M. H. Thoma, and X. N. Wang, Nucl. Phys. A538, 37c (1992).
- [19] P. Huovinen, P. F. Kolb, U. W. Heinz, P. V. Ruuskanen, and S. A. Voloshin, Phys. Lett. B 503, 58 (2001).
- [20] M.-C. Chu and T. Matsui, Phys. Rev. D 39, 1892 (1989).
- [21] S. Ichimaru, *Basic Principles of Plasma Physics* (Benjamin, Reading, 1973).
- [22] K. H. Spatschek, *Theoretische Plasmaphysik* (Teubner, Stuttgart, 1990).
- [23] R. L. Merlino and J. A. Goree, Phys. Today 57, 32 (July 2004).
- [24] P. K. Shukla and N. N. Rao, Phys. Plasmas 3, 1770 (1996).
- [25] V. N. Tsytovich, JETP Lett. 78, 1283 (2003).
- [26] C. Gale and J. Kapusta, Phys. Lett. B198, 89 (1987).
- [27] E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 569 (1990).
- [28] E. V. Shuryak and I. Zahed, hep-ph/0403127.
- [29] M. E. Carrington, T. Fugleberg, D. Pickering, and M. H. Thoma, Can. J. Phys. 82, 671 (2000).