## **Elliptic flow of resonances in relativistic heavy ion collisions: Probing final state interactions and the structure of resonances**

C. Nonaka and B. Müller

*Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA*

M. Asakawa

*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

S. A. Bass

*Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA and RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA*

R. J. Fries

*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA* (Received 19 December 2003; published 31 March 2004)

We propose the measurement of the elliptic flow of hadron resonances at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider as a tool to probe the amount of hadronic final state interactions for resonances at intermediate and large transverse momenta. This can be achieved by looking at systematic deviations of the measured flow coefficient  $v<sub>2</sub>$  from the scaling law given by the quark recombination formalism. Our method can be generalized to explore the structure of exotic particles, such as the recently found pentaquark  $\Theta^+(1540)$ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.69.031902 PACS number(s): 25.75.Ld

Recently, progress has been made in our understanding of the hadronization of a quark gluon plasma created in high energy heavy ion collisions. Both the yields and the anisotropic flow  $v_2$  of hadrons at transverse momenta above 2 GeV, observed at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), are described well by a combination of two mechanisms: (i) the statistical recombination of thermal constituent quarks from a quark gluon plasma phase during the phase transition, (ii) fragmentation of jets which experienced energy loss due to the surrounding medium [1–5].

The elliptic flow  $v_2$  is defined as the second Fourier coefficient of the azimuthal hadron spectrum [6],

$$
\frac{d^2N}{P_T dP_T d\Phi} = \frac{dN}{2\pi P_T dP_T} [1 + 2v_2(P_T)\cos 2\Phi + \cdots].
$$
 (1)

It measures the elliptic anisotropy in the spectrum since

$$
v_2(P_T) = \langle \cos 2\Phi \rangle = \frac{\int d\Phi \cos 2\Phi \frac{d^2 N}{P_T dP_T d\Phi}}{\int d\Phi \frac{d^2 N}{P_T dP_T d\Phi}}.
$$
 (2)

Such an asymmetry naturally arises in heavy ion collisions with nonvanishing impact parameter  $b > 0$ .

It has been shown that in the region where recombination of partons dominates the hadronization process—i.e., for  $P_T$ <4(6) GeV for mesons (baryons)—and mass effects are suppressed—i.e.,  $P_T > 2$  GeV— $v_2$  obeys the simple scaling law,

$$
v_2(P_T) = nv_2^q(P_T/n),
$$
\n(3)

where  $n$  is the number of valence quarks of the hadron  $[2,7,8]$ . We will assume throughout the paper that strange quarks and light quarks have the same elliptic flow  $v_2^q$ . Measurements of strange hadrons support this assumption  $[2]$ . At higher transverse momentum,  $P_T > 6$  GeV, where fragmentation of partons dominates, we expect  $v_2$  of all hadrons to lie on a universal curve since the effect of fragmentation functions largely cancels in Eq.  $(2)$ . We refer the reader to Refs.  $[2,3]$  for a more detailed discussion.

The scaling law (3) has been impressively confirmed by measurements at RHIC. Pions and kaons  $(n=2)$ , protons,  $\Lambda$ and  $\Xi(n=3)$  all fall on one universal curve, if  $v_2$  and  $P_T$  are divided by the number *n* of valence quarks [9,10]. Slight deviations can be observed and are largest for pions. This can be due to its nature as a Goldstone boson—its mass is much smaller than the sum of its constituent quark masses—or because a large fraction of all pions are not created at hadronization but by the subsequent decay of hadron resonances [11]. This poses the interesting question how the existence of a hadronic phase generally affects hadron production at intermediate and large momenta. We suggest that the amount of hadronic rescattering can be determined by measuring  $v_2$ for several hadronic resonances.

Hadrons can experience rescattering after hadronization, until kinetic freeze out takes place. Experimentally, it has been found that the kinetic freeze-out temperature at RHIC [12] is much lower than the expected QCD phase transition temperature [13]. Resonances produced from a hadronizing quark gluon plasma decay in the hadronic medium. If their decay products rescatter, the signal is lost in the experimental measurement, since the original resonance cannot be reconstructed from a correlation analysis of its daughter hadrons. Likewise, hadrons from the medium may scatter into a resonance state and thus contribute to the final measured yield. The total measured resonance yield will therefore have the following two contributions: (1) *primordial resonances* resonances produced from a hadronizing quark gluon plasma whose decay products have not rescattered (QGP mechanism), and (2) *secondary resonances*—resonances produced in the hadronic final state via hadron-hadron rescattering (HG mechanism).

In this paper, we will show that  $v_2$  differs between the two cases. Key to our analysis is the observation that the elliptic flow  $v_2$  will be *additive* for any type of composite particle with respect to the  $v_2$  of its constituents. Note that this feature is mimicked in a hydrodynamic model when mass effects are neglected. Then the elliptic flow of all particles follows the same line through the origin,  $v_2(P_T) \propto P_T$ . The scaling law simply maps this line into itself. Only the deviation from the ideal hydro behavior with increasing  $P_T$ , manifest in the saturation of  $v_2$  at intermediate  $P_T$ , makes the scaling law nontrivial. The  $v_2$  of a  $K_0^*$  meson in the recombination domain will therefore be the sum of the  $v_2$  contributions of the *d* and  $\bar{s}$  quarks if it has been formed from a hadronizing QGP, or the sum of the contributions of the  $K^+$ and  $\pi^-$  if it has been formed through the coalescence of a kaon and a pion in the hadron phase. Our discussion of deriving the formalism for the coalescence of partons can be extended to the coalescence of hadrons in a straightforward way [1–3]. Therefore we can here assume the same additive feature for hadron-hadron coalescence as well. Naively, if the kaon and pion themselves are formed by quark recombination, we expect a scaling of the  $K_0^*$  with  $n=4$  in the HG case, while it scales with  $n=2$ , like the stable mesons, if it is created at the phase transition. The scaling will be altered by the possibility that one or both of the coalescing hadrons could come from fragmentation, which breaks the scaling law. The final result will be a mixture of both scenarios.

We assume that  $v_2$  of stable hadrons (stable with regard to strong interactions) is not affected by hadronic interactions for  $P_T$  above 2 GeV. This is a sound assumption in light of the experimental facts, as discussed above. Let  $r(P_T)$  be the fraction of resonances that have escaped from hadronization without rescattering of the decay products relative to the total measured yield. Given the knowledge of  $v_2$  in the two limiting cases, the QGP mechanism and the HG mechanism, the fraction  $r(P_T)$  can be determined by measuring  $v_2$  at intermediate  $P_T$ , between 2 and 6 GeV via

$$
v_2^{\text{measured}} = r(P_T)v_2^{\text{QGP}} + [1 - r(P_T)]v_2^{\text{HG}}.
$$
 (4)

One may be able to deduce the widths and cross sections of resonances in the hadronic medium by measuring  $r(P_T)$ for several resonances. Our scheme can be generalized to explore the structure of exotic particles, e.g., a large molecular state, as discussed in the case of the recently discovered pentaquark  $\Theta$ <sup>+</sup>(1540). We will discuss this in more detail below.

Figure 1 shows the elliptic flow  $v_2$  as a function of transverse momentum  $P_T$  for the  $\sum^*$ (1385) (top) and the  $K_0^*$  (bot-



FIG. 1. (Color online) Elliptic flow  $v_2$  as a function of  $P_T$  for  $\sum^*(1385)$  (top) and  $K_0^*(892)$  (bottom). Dashed line: resonance contribution from hadronization (QGP); dotted line: resonance contribution from coalescence in a hadron gas (HG); solid line: calculation for a 30% contribution of hadronic resonance formation.

tom) resonances. The dashed lines refer to primordial resonance production from a hadronizing QGP via parton recombination at low and intermediate  $P_T$  and fragmentation at large  $P_T$ . The dotted lines refer to these resonances being produced via hadronic final state interaction through hadronhadron recombination:  $\Lambda + \pi \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  and  $K^+ + \pi^- \rightarrow K_0^*$ . These two scenarios establish lower and upper limits for  $v_2$ . The solid line represents a calculation with contributions from both, the QGP and the HG mechanisms. For demonstration purposes we have here chosen  $r(P_T)$  to be constant, with a value of 70%.  $r(P_T)$  is the quantity that can ultimately be extracted from data.

For quark recombination we used the scaling law (3) together with the parton  $v_2^q$  extracted and discussed in Refs. [2,3]. Since the fragmentation functions for the resonances are unknown, we utilize the universal curve for the  $v_2$  contribution from fragmentation as obtained in Refs. [2,3].

Due to the transition from the recombination to the fragmentation regime, the maxima of the HG and QGP curves do not scale like  $5/3$  and  $4/2$  for  $\Sigma^*$  and  $K_0^*$  respectively. Instead, the HG curve is brought down by contributions from pions, kaons, or  $\Lambda$ 's from fragmentation, violating the scaling law. Still, the difference between the two limiting cases can be as large as 30% between 2 and 6 GeV.

The fraction of the total resonance yield being produced by regeneration in the hadronic phase cannot be inferred through the final measured resonance yield since the amount of signal loss in resonance reconstruction due to rescattering of the resonance decay products cannot be experimentally determined. The scheme outlined above to determine  $r(P_T)$ therefore provides complimentary information to the resonance yield measurements via invariant mass reconstruction. The determination of  $r(P_T)$  allows for an estimate of the gain term of resonance production in the hadronic phase together with the yield information this allows for a far better estimate of the actual QGP production of resonances and for an improved estimate of the signal loss due to rescattering of the decay products. These estimates are of high relevance for the interpretation of statistical model fits with respect to resonances yields.

We can now utilize the scaling law for the elliptic flow—and its transition to a universal value for  $v_2$  in the fragmentation domain—to probe the structure of exotic particles, such as the  $\Theta^+(1540)$  pentaquark state. The discovery of this novel hadronic state with five valence quarks (*uudds*) has recently been reported in Ref. [14]. Its existence was predicted in 1997 by Diakonov *et al.* in the chiral quark soliton model (CQSM) [15]. Several other groups have by now confirmed this important discovery [16–18]. The  $\Theta^+$  is the first unambiguous five quark state, since other candidates for five quark states, such as  $\Lambda(1405)$ , have quark compositions that can mix with three quark states like  $udd\bar{d}s \leftrightarrow uds$ . Recently, NA49 reported the observation of two more states that could be the two missing pentaquarks in the antidecuplet [19]. The yield of  $\Theta^+$  in heavy ion collisions has been estimated in [20,21].

While the discovery of  $\Theta^+$  has undoubtedly established the existence of pentaquarks, its structure, and even its spin and parity, have not yet been experimentally verified. It is still an open question whether pentaquarks like the  $\Theta^+$  have a compact structure with all five valence quarks being closely confined, just as the three quarks in the nucleon, or whether they are molecular bound states of a baryon and a meson. A bound state of two diquarks and an antiquark was recently suggested as well [22].

Loosely bound states with large geometric cross section whose binding energies are much less than the temperature, such as the deuteron, are dissociated in the hadron phase even if they were produced at hadronization. Such a medium induced breakup reaction does not allow for the reconstruction of the state via two-particle mass spectra, since the initial momentum of the scattering partner is unknown. These bound states are unobservable. Thus, the loosely bound states and molecular states observed in heavy ion collisions are produced close to the kinetic freeze-out hypersurface [21], in the case of the pentaquark by  $K^+ + n \rightarrow \Theta^+$  and  $K^0 + p \rightarrow \Theta^+$ (summarily denoted as *KN*). The hadronic phase can be viewed as a filter that tends to let the  $\Theta^+$  from hadronization only survive if it is a compact five quark state.

With respect to the azimuthal anisotropy of pentaquarks produced in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC, we expect it to fulfill the scaling law (3) with  $n=5$ . This would be an im-



FIG. 2. (Color online)  $P_T$  dependence of  $v_2$  for the pentaquark  $\Theta^+$  (top) and the deuteron *d*. Thick solid line: fragmentation and recombination of a 5 (6) quark state for  $\Theta^+$  (*d*); thick dashed line: fragmentation and recombination of  $K+N(p+n)$  for  $\Theta^+(d)$  as true for a molecular state; thin solid line: direct fragmentation (universal curve); thin dashed line: recombination of  $K+N(p+n)$  only.

pressive manifestation of its exotic five quark character. On the other hand, we expect modifications to hold, as we discussed them for conventional resonances. However, we want to point out, that in the case of conventional baryon resonances the scaling law holds for  $n=3$  in the QGP scenario, while it is roughly  $n=5$  in the HG case. For a pentaquark it would be  $n=5$  in both cases. The difference would merely come from the possibility that for the reaction  $N + K \rightarrow \Theta^+$ one or both of the initial hadrons could come from fragmentation. The same is true for a deuteron, that scales approximately with  $n=6$  in both cases.

If the  $\Theta^+$  is a molecular state, the momentum fraction  $x_K$ of the kaon in a lightcone frame is expected to be approximately given by  $r_K = M_K/(M_K + M_N) \sim 0.35$ , where  $M_K$  and  $M_N$  are the masses of the kaon and nucleon, respectively. We therefore use the wave functions [2],

$$
|\phi_{\Theta}(x_K, x_N)|^2 = \delta(x_K - 0.35),
$$
 (5)

$$
|\phi_d(x_p, x_n)|^2 = \delta(x_p - 0.5). \tag{6}
$$

In Fig. 2 we show  $v_2$  for the different scenarios discussed above. Note that the scenario with all pentaquarks from hadronization (QGP) is now providing the *upper* limit, while it was the lower limit in the case of conventional resonances. We include the deuteron in the study to give an example of a well known molecular state. Above  $P_T = 3$  GeV we find a considerable difference, up to 20%, between the scenario where the pentaquark is created at the phase transition—and is therefore a compact five quark state—and the scenario where it originates from *KN* coalescence. If a *KN* coalescence takes place to form a  $\Theta^+$  between 4 and 8 GeV, it is likely that either the kaon or neutron (or both) stems from fragmentation which lowers the resulting  $v_2$ . At very high  $P_T$ , both the kaon and the nucleon have to come from fragmentation. This results in a power law spectrum that falls off like  $P_T^{-2b}$ , approximately twice as rapidly as a directly fragmenting particle with  $\sim P_T^{-b}$ . Therefore, direct fragmentation will dominate at very large  $P_T$  and will eventually lead  $v_2$ down to the universal curve.

For the deuteron as a shallow molecular bound state we expect *pn* recombination at the kinetic freeze-out to dominate. The deuteron is therefore ideal as a benchmark measurement. First results for the  $v<sub>2</sub>$  of the deuteron have been announced by the PHENIX collaboration [23]. As expected, this particle seems to follow the scaling law with  $n=6$  within the large error bars. With the upcoming high statistics Au +Au run, error bars, and  $P_T$  coverage should be greatly improved, allowing for a gauging of the formalism.

Let us comment on the third possible scenario for the  $\Theta^+$ , that was advocated by Jaffe and Wilczek [22]. If it is a collection of two tightly bound  $(ud)$  diquarks and an  $\bar{s}$  quark, recombination would predict the  $P_T$  dependence of  $v_2$  to be very similar to that of a "democratic" 5 quark state. This, however, is only true if the diquarks are also formed at hadronization and the individual quarks have not been correlated early on with enough time to have thermalized diquark states. In that case one would expect a scaling with  $n=3$ .

In summary, we propose the measurement of the elliptic flow of hadronic resonances at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider. After recombination scaling has been confirmed for the  $v_2$  of stable particles, deviations from this scaling for resonances can directly measure the fraction of resonances that escape from hadronization without rescattering in the hadronic phase at intermediate and large transverse momentum. This allows conclusions to be drawn about the importance of the hadronic phase in this region of phase space.

In turn, this method can be applied to explore the structure of exotic particles, such as the recently found  $\Theta^+(1540)$ . The amount of rescattering in the hadronic phase is sensitive to the size of the system, eventually distinguishing between a compact hadron and a molecular state. The deuteron, with a known molecular structure, can serve as a precise benchmark for these measurements. The same method is also applicable to determine the structure of other exotic candidates with a possible molecular structure such as  $\Lambda(1405)$ ,  $a_0(980)$ ,  $f_0(980)$ , and so on [24–26].

We thank J. Kapusta, V. Greco, and C.M. Ko for stimulating discussions. This work was supported in part by RIKEN, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Grant-in-Aid by the Japanese Ministry of Education No. 14540255, and DOE Grant Nos. DE-FG02-96ER40945 and DE-AC02- 98CH10886. S.A.B. acknowledges support from an Outstanding Junior Investigator Award (DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-03ER41239) and R.J.F. has been supported by DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-87ER40328.

- [1] R. J. Fries, B. Müller, C. Nonaka, and S. A. Bass, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 202303 (2003).
- [2] R. J. Fries, B. Müller, C. Nonaka, and S. A. Bass, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 044902 (2003).
- [3] C. Nonaka, R. J. Fries, and S. A. Bass, Phys. Lett. B **583**, 73 (2003).
- [4] V. Greco, C. M. Ko, and P. Lévai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 202302 (2003); V. Greco, C. M. Ko, and P. Lévai, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 034904 (2003).
- [5] R. C. Hwa and C. B. Yang, Phys. Rev. C **67**, 034902 (2003).
- [6] J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 229 (1992).
- [7] S. A. Voloshin, Nucl. Phys. **A715**, 379 (2003).
- [8] D. Molnár and S. A. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 092301 (2003); Z. Lin and D. Molnár, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 044901 (2003).
- [9] J. Castillo, talk at QM2004, Oakland, USA, 2004.
- [10] P. Sorensen, J. Phys. G **30**, S217 (2004).
- [11] V. Greco and C. M. Ko, nucl-th/0402020.
- [12] J. Adams *et al.*, nucl-ex/0310004, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published).
- [13] C. Bernard *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **119**, 523 (2003).
- [14] T. Nakano *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 012002 (2003).
- [15] D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, and M. V. Polyakov, Z. Phys. A: Hadrons Nucl. **359**, 305 (1997).
- [16] V. V. Barmin, Phys. At. Nucl. **66**, 1715 (2003) [Yad. Fiz. **66**, 1763 (2003)].
- [17] S. Stepanyan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 252001 (2003).
- [18] J. Barth *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **572**, 127 (2003).
- [19] C. Alt *et al.*, hep-ex/0310014.
- [20] J. Randrup, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 031903 (2003); J. Letessier, G. Torrieri, S. Steinke, and J. Rafelski, *ibid.* **68**, 061901 (2003).
- [21] L. W. Chen, V. Greco, C. M. Ko, S. H. Lee, and W. Liu, nucl-th/0308006.
- [22] R. L. Jaffe and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 232003 (2003).
- [23] M. Kaneta, talk at QM2004, Oakland, USA, 2004.
- [24] R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 267 (1977); **15**, 281 (1977).
- [25] M. Alford and R. L. Jaffe, Nucl. Phys. B **578**, 367 (2000).
- [26] F. E. Close and N. A. Törnqvist, J. Phys. G **28**, R249 (2002).