

**Thermal neutron capture cross sections of tellurium isotopes**

I. Tomandl and J. Honzátko

*Nuclear Physics Institute, 250 68 Řež, Czech Republic*

T. von Egidy and H.-F. Wirth

*Physik Department, Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

T. Belgya, M. Lakatos, L. Szentmiklósi, Zs. Révay, and G. L. Molnár

*Institute of Isotope and Surface Chemistry, Chemical Research Centre of Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary*

R. B. Firestone

*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*

V. Bondarenko

*Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia, Riga LV-1063, Latvia*

(Received 26 June 2003; published 16 December 2003)

New values for thermal neutron capture cross sections of the tellurium isotopes  $^{122}\text{Te}$ ,  $^{124}\text{Te}$ ,  $^{125}\text{Te}$ ,  $^{126}\text{Te}$ ,  $^{128}\text{Te}$ , and  $^{130}\text{Te}$  are reported. These values are based on a combination of newly determined partial  $\gamma$ -ray cross sections obtained from experiments on targets contained natural Te and  $\gamma$  intensities per capture of individual Te isotopes. Isomeric ratios for the thermal neutron capture on the even tellurium isotopes are also given.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.68.067602

PACS number(s): 25.40.Lw, 27.60.+j

Reaction cross sections belong to the basic quantities in nuclear physics. Their precise values are important for the evaluation of many experiments as well as various applications. Thermal neutron capture cross sections are one of the most utilized quantities. In the last decades, many measurements were carried out to obtain precise values for all stable isotopes. In spite of this large effort, there are still some uncertainties in the tables of thermal neutron cross sections.

Our publication reports new thermal neutron capture cross section values leading to the ground or isomeric states for all stable Te isotopes except  $^{120}\text{Te}$  and  $^{123}\text{Te}$ . In addition, several elemental partial  $\gamma$ -ray cross sections are reported for the stable Te isotopes except for  $^{120}\text{Te}$ . The summary of previously published thermal neutron cross sections is given in Table I. These values were measured by means of activation [1–6] and pile oscillator [7] techniques. Besides these measured values, also compiled values given in the BNL atlas of neutron cross sections [8] are shown in this table.

In the present work we used a combination of  $\gamma$ -ray emission probabilities per capture, or absolute  $\gamma$ -ray intensities  $I_\gamma$  determined in  $(n, \gamma)$  measurements with highly enriched targets, and elemental partial  $\gamma$ -ray production cross sections  $\sigma_\gamma^{elem}$  of a natural Te target for the determination of the total neutron capture cross sections of tellurium isotopes.

The experiments with the enriched targets were performed at the thermal neutron facility in Řež [12]. The  $^{125}\text{Te}(n, \gamma)$  experiments were performed at PSI, Villigen, Switzerland [13]. Absolute  $\gamma$ -ray intensities per neutron capture were determined using two methods. In the cases of  $^{128}\text{Te}$  [14] and  $^{130}\text{Te}$  [15], the known  $\gamma$  intensities of lines following the  $\beta$  decay of the produced isotopes were applied for the calibration. In other cases we made the assumption that the ob-

served percentages of the sum of the ground- and isomeric-state populations in our recent experiments amount to  $(90 \pm 10)\%$  for each neutron capture in the cases of  $^{124}\text{Te}$  [16] and  $^{126}\text{Te}$  [17],  $(80 \pm 15)\%$  for  $^{122}\text{Te}$  [18] and  $(80 \pm 12)\%$  for  $^{125}\text{Te}$  [13]. The assumptions of the observed percentages are based on the estimation of the most probable value of the lost intensity ending at the ground or isomeric state. To obtain these values of the lost intensities we combined the detection limits of the individual experiments, the systematics of tellurium isotopes, the population-depopulation balances of low-lying levels, and the two-step cascade spectra [12], from which the percentage of the two-step cascade intensity of unresolved weak transitions can be extracted. These procedures can be checked by means of the heavy tellurium isotopes,  $^{126}\text{Te}$ ,  $^{128}\text{Te}$ , and  $^{130}\text{Te}$  which can be normalized via a  $\beta$  decay. A good agreement for all three isotopes between these two normalizations validate our procedure of normalization of the light tellurium isotopes.

Partial  $\gamma$ -ray production cross sections for the strongest lines of each major contributing isotope of almost all elements have been determined [19,20] at the old Budapest PGAA facility [21]. The relevant value for Te was 2.4(2) b for the 603 keV  $\gamma$ -ray from the  $^{123}\text{Te}(n, \gamma)$  isotope [19,20]. However, its large uncertainty (due to low counting statistics) was not good enough for our purpose. Therefore, it was necessary to remeasure this number with substantially higher precision and provide partial cross section values for at least one  $\gamma$  line from each of the other Te isotopes as well.

The new  $\sigma_\gamma^{elem}$  values for Te were determined by using the internal standardization method [22,20]. The measurements were performed at the new cold neutron PGAA facility [23] of the Budapest Research Reactor. The neutron flux on target

TABLE I. Summary of published thermal neutron cross sections of tellurium isotopes leading to ground and metastable states in barns. Note that the products without index label the total thermal neutron cross sections  $\sigma^{g+m}$ .

Target	Product	Ser47 [1]	Pom52 [7]	Gvo59 [2]	Seh62 [3]	Man62 [4]	Ric68 [5]	Max69 [6]	BNL81 [8]	Hon93 [10]	Alp95 [11]
$^{122}\text{Te}$	$^{123}\text{Te}$		2.7(9)						3.4(5) <sup>a</sup>		
	$^{123}\text{Te}^m$								1.1(5)		0.44(3)
$^{124}\text{Te}$	$^{125}\text{Te}$		6.5(13)						6.8(13)		
	$^{125}\text{Te}^m$			0.040(25)					0.040(25)	$\geq 1.0$	1.12(7)
$^{125}\text{Te}$	$^{126}\text{Te}$		1.49(15)						1.55(16)		
$^{126}\text{Te}$	$^{127}\text{Te}$		0.77(19)						1.04(15)		
	$^{127}\text{Te}^g$	0.78(16)				1.034(155)			0.90(15)		
	$^{127}\text{Te}^m$	0.073(15)							0.135(23)		0.063(6)
$^{128}\text{Te}$	$^{129}\text{Te}$		0.3(3)						0.215(8)		
	$^{129}\text{Te}^g$	0.133(27)				0.178(27)		0.200(8)	0.200(8)		
	$^{129}\text{Te}^m$	0.015(3)						0.0161(7)	0.015(1)		0.027(2)
$^{130}\text{Te}$	$^{131}\text{Te}$		0.5(3)								
	$^{131}\text{Te}^g$	0.222(44)			0.27(6)	0.161(24)	0.26(8)		0.27(6)		
	$^{131}\text{Te}^m$	<0.008(3)			0.04(1)				0.02(1)		

<sup>a</sup>Updated value is 3.9(5) b, see Ref. [9].

amounted to  $5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , 25 times higher than that of the old guided thermal beam [21].

We accumulated an  $(n, \gamma)$  spectrum of 0.312 g natural tellurium-oxide powder to determine the relative intensities of gamma rays in the natural Te. The sample was packed in a thin Teflon bag and had dimensions of  $17 \times 17 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$ . It was placed in the PGAA sample holder and irradiated for about 230 000 s.

In separate experiments with 0.194 g natural tellurium-oxide dissolved in 2.151 g 20 mol % hydrochloride acid (in contrast to the 1000 ppm ICP standard used in Refs. [19,20]), we redetermined the elemental partial  $\gamma$ -ray cross section for the 603 keV transition from the  $^{123}\text{Te}(n, \gamma)$  reaction. The solution was kept in a thin-walled cylindrical Teflon container. Two  $(n, \gamma)$  spectra were accumulated for about 74600 s and 1000 s, respectively. From the shorter experiment we cross-checked the hydrogen concentration of the solution relative to its chlorine content. We found that it corresponded to the nominal concentration of the 20 mol % hydrochloride acid. From the longer experiment we determined the  $\sigma_{\gamma}^{elem}$  for the 603 keV  $^{123}\text{Te}(n, \gamma)$   $\gamma$  ray using several comparator  $\gamma$  rays from the  $^{35}\text{Cl}(n, \gamma)$  reaction and the only  $\gamma$  ray from the  $^1\text{H}(n, \gamma)$  reaction.

The new elemental partial cross sections for the 603 keV  $^{124}\text{Te}$   $\gamma$  ray calculated from each comparator line are listed in Table II. The unweighed average and its external standard uncertainty is  $2.908 \pm 0.049$  b. Using the partial cross section of the 603 keV and the relative peak intensities obtained from the spectrum of the natural tellurium oxide, we have determined the elemental partial production cross sections for some of the most intense  $\gamma$  rays of the tellurium isotopes.

We stress that these values are independent from the energy distribution of the neutron beam due to the  $1/v$  dependence [20]. All Westcott  $g$  factors are practically unity. The only exception is  $^{123}\text{Te}$ , which has the value of 1.013 at 300 K according to Ref. [24]. Since this factor is unity within the overall experimental uncertainty, it has been neglected.

Combining Řež and Budapest results, one can calculate the thermal neutron capture cross sections for the tellurium isotopes from the absolute  $\gamma$  intensities  $I_{\gamma}$  (i.e., emission probabilities per capture) and the new elemental partial  $\gamma$ -ray cross sections  $\sigma_{\gamma}^{elem}$  measured at Budapest using the equation

$$\sigma_{n\gamma} = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma}^{elem}}{\theta \times I_{\gamma}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta$  is the natural abundance of the given isotope [25]. In order to reduce the statistical uncertainties the total capture cross sections  $\sigma_{n\gamma}$  were obtained as averaged values from at least three different lines for each isotope. These weighed averages are summarized in Table III. All cross sections refer to 2200 m/s neutrons in Table III.

Our new cross sections have smaller uncertainties than the ones given in the BNL report [8] except for  $^{125}\text{Te}$  and  $^{128}\text{Te}$ . The new thermal neutron cross sections agree with the BNL report values within the given uncertainties except for  $^{126}\text{Te}$ . In this latter case the difference is quite large. As our values come from a consistent set of measurements at Řež and Budapest, it is reasonable to conclude that the new cross section value is the correct one.

TABLE II. Determination of the elemental partial cross section of the 603 keV  $\gamma$  ray from the  $^{123}\text{Te}(n, \gamma)^{124}\text{Te}$  reaction on natural tellurium.

Comparator lines		603 keV $\gamma$ ray	
Element	$E_{\gamma}$ (keV)	$\sigma_{\gamma}$ (b)	Uncertainty (%)
H	2223	2.912	1.15
Cl	517	2.975	0.90
Cl	787 <sup>a</sup>	2.838	0.57
Cl	1951	2.912	0.98
Cl	1959	2.906	1.14

<sup>a</sup>Sum of 786 and 788 keV peaks.

TABLE III. Calculation of thermal neutron capture cross sections using new  $\gamma$  intensities and partial elemental cross sections.

Target $\theta(\%)^a$ Ref. $I_\gamma$ $\delta\sigma_{n\gamma}^{sys}(\%)$	$\gamma$ lines (keV)	$I_\gamma$ (%)	$\sigma_\gamma^{elem}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{n\gamma}$ (b) <sup>b</sup>	BNL $\sigma_{n\gamma}$ (b)
<sup>122</sup> Te	440	11.1(3)	11.47(19)	4.05(14)	
	2.55(12)	897	1.92(17)	2.06(17)	4.21(51)
	[18]	5170	3.12(6)	3.08(21)	3.87(29)
	15	Average		4.0(6)	3.9 (5)
<sup>124</sup> Te	380	8.47(6)	25.1(7)	6.25(17)	
	4.74(14)	427	6.96(3)	20.1(2)	6.09(8)
	[16]	537	6.38(3)	17.7(3)	5.85(11)
		693	6.27(4)	20.1(6)	6.76(22)
	6533	3.53(7)	9.5(9)	5.68(55)	
10	Average			6.1(7)	6.8(13)
<sup>125</sup> Te	666	67.0(34)	59.3(3)	1.25(6)	
	7.07(15)	695	6.57(33)	6.94(31)	1.49(10)
	[13]	754	14.6(7)	13.2(2)	1.28(7)
		6139	1.07(5)	0.82(13)	1.08(18)
12	Average			1.29(16)	1.55(16)
<sup>126</sup> Te	253	6.30(32)	7.41(7)	0.62(3)	
	18.84(25)	473	8.97(39)	9.09(17) <sup>c</sup>	0.53(2)
	[17]	543	5.32(3)	5.27(40)	0.52(3)
		4081	11.10(10)	12.5(17) <sup>d</sup>	0.59(7)
10	Average			0.55(6)	1.04(15)
<sup>128</sup> Te	180	44.0(4)	33.7(17)	0.241(7)	
	31.74(8)	359	7.60(8)	5.82(47)	0.241(20)
	[14]	3703	10.3(1)	7.66(66) <sup>e</sup>	0.234(20)
	10	Average			0.24(3)
<sup>130</sup> Te	296	47.7(4)	38.3(2)	0.236(2)	
	34.08(62)	2287	26.8(3)	21.3(3)	0.233(4)
	[15]	3347	32.0(3)	27.6(17)	0.253(16)
	6	Average			0.24(2)

<sup>a</sup>Abundances taken from Ref. [25].

<sup>b</sup>The systematic error  $\delta\sigma_{n\gamma}^{sys}$  was added in quadrature for evaluation of the total uncertainty of the average.

<sup>c</sup>A small contribution (3%) from the <sup>122</sup>Te( $n, \gamma$ ) reaction was subtracted.

<sup>d</sup>A double escape contribution was subtracted [(15±5)%].

<sup>e</sup>A single escape contribution was subtracted [(16±5)%].

Using the ratio of the population of the isomeric 11/2<sup>-</sup> state and the ground state, one can determine also the isomeric cross section. The total thermal neutron capture cross section of <sup>126</sup>Te mentioned above leads to a significantly smaller value of the isomeric cross section,  $\sigma_{n\gamma}^m = 0.086 \pm 0.013$  b, than the value given in the BNL neutron cross section atlas [8],  $\sigma_{n\gamma}^m = 0.135 \pm 0.023$  b. On the other hand, the present value of the isomeric cross section is compatible with the recently published value  $0.0625 \pm 0.0056$  b [11]. It should be also noted that our isomeric ratio,

TABLE IV. Isomeric ratios  $\sigma_{n\gamma}^m/\sigma_{n\gamma}^{g+m}$  for the odd Te isotopes.

Product	Ref. [27]	Ref. [26]	This work <sup>a</sup>	Ref. [18]
<sup>123</sup> Te			0.17(3) <sup>b</sup>	0.123(20)
<sup>125</sup> Te			0.167(17)	0.138(12)
<sup>127</sup> Te	0.13(2) <sup>c</sup>	0.149(4)	0.157(16)	0.068(4)
<sup>129</sup> Te		0.124(8)	0.111(10)	0.108(10)
<sup>131</sup> Te		0.067(5)	0.059(4)	0.053(4)

<sup>a</sup>Calculated from the ratio of the population of the isomeric state to the sum of the populations of the isomeric state and the ground state.

<sup>b</sup>Deduced from the normalized populations of the isomeric state.

<sup>c</sup>Calculated from the reported yield ratio,  $\sigma_{n\gamma}^m/\sigma_{n\gamma}^g$

$0.157 \pm 0.016$ , agrees well with a very recent result of Reifarth and Käppeler [26],  $0.149 \pm 0.004$ . These recent works support the lower ground state cross section value for <sup>126</sup>Te obtained in our work.

Isomeric ratios calculated from our measurements for the other odd Te isotopes together with values reported in Refs. [27,26] are given in Table IV. In the last column the isomeric ratios from this work are compared with those published in Ref. [18], which had been based on the same experimental data. The differences are caused by different assumptions and approaches. The isomeric ratios reported in Ref. [18] are deduced from the normalized population of the isomeric states. In that work normalized intensities used for calculation of the populations of the isomeric states in <sup>123</sup>Te, <sup>125</sup>Te, and <sup>127</sup>Te lean upon the thermal neutron cross sections from the BNL compilation [8]. On the other side, except for the <sup>123</sup>Te isotope, the values in this work are determined from the ratios of the total population of isomeric states to the total population of the ground and isomeric states. Thus, the new values of the isomeric ratios in this work do not depend on a used normalization procedure and any cross section. Uncertainties in Table IV reflect also possible differences between observed percentages of populations of ground and isomeric states. For the <sup>123</sup>Te isotope the estimation of this difference is around 20%. With respect to this large difference, the isomeric ratio reported in Table IV is determined from the population of the isomeric state.

In conclusion, we have remeasured the partial elemental ( $n, \gamma$ ) cross section of <sup>123</sup>Te and determined accurate partial elemental ( $n, \gamma$ ) cross sections for <sup>122,124,125,126,128,130</sup>Te for the first time. Using previously determined emission probabilities [13–17] we inferred new total capture cross sections for these isotopes. We found a significant difference only for <sup>126</sup>Te. The new value is supported by several independent measurements of the ground state and isomer cross sections [11,17,26].

The authors appreciate the support of the EU FP5 program (Grant No. HPRI-CT-1999-00099) and two of the authors (T.B. and Zs.R.) acknowledge the support of HAS. Financial support has been also received from the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (Nos. 202/03/0891, 202/99/D087).

- [1] L. Seren, H. N. Friedlander, and S. H. Turkel, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 888 (1947).
- [2] V. S. Gvozdev and Yu. L. Khazov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **36**, 632 (1959) [*Sov. J. JETP* **9**, 439 (1959)].
- [3] M. L. Sehgal, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 761 (1962).
- [4] S. K. Mangal and P. S. Gill, *Nucl. Phys.* **36**, 542 (1962).
- [5] M. D. Ricabarra, R. Turjanski, G. H. Ricabarra, and C. B. Bigham, *Can. J. Phys.* **46**, 2473 (1968).
- [6] V. Maxia, E. Orvini, and M. A. Rollier, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.* **35**, 88 (1969).
- [7] H. Pomerance, *Phys. Rev.* **88**, 412 (1952).
- [8] *Neutron Cross Section*, edited by S. F. Mughabghab, M. Divideenam, and N. E. Holden (Academic, New York, 1981), Vol. 1, part A, p. 12.
- [9] S. F. Mughabghab, INDC(NDS)-440.
- [10] J. Honzátko, K. Konečný, and I. Tomandl, *Z. Phys. A* **345**, 401 (1993).
- [11] V. G. Alpatov, A. V. Davydov, G. R. Kartashov, M. M. Korotkov, G. V. Kostina, P. A. Polozov, and A. A. Sadovsky, *Yad. Fiz.* **58**, 15 (1995) [*Phys. At. Nucl.* **58**, 13 (1995)].
- [12] J. Honzátko, K. Konečný, I. Tomandl, J. Vacík, F. Bečvář, and P. Cejnar, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A* **376**, 443 (1996).
- [13] T. von Egidy, C. Doll, J. Jolie, N. V. Warr, J. Kern, M. Crittin, and L. Genilloud, *Nucl. Phys.* **A714**, 355 (2003).
- [14] H.-F. Wirth, T. von Egidy, I. Tomandl, J. Honzátko, D. Bucurescu, N. Mărginean, V. Yu. Ponomarev, R. Hertenberger, Y. Eisermann, and G. Graw, *Nucl. Phys.* **A716**, 3 (2003).
- [15] I. Tomandl, T. von Egidy, J. Honzátko, V. Bondarenko, H.-F. Wirth, D. Bucurescu, V. Yu. Ponomarev, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, Y. Eisermann, and S. Raman, *Nucl. Phys.* **A717**, 149 (2003).
- [16] J. Honzátko, I. Tomandl, V. Bondarenko, D. Bucurescu, T. von Egidy, J. Ott, W. Schauer, H.-F. Wirth, C. Doll, A. Gollwitzer, G. Graw, R. Hertenberger, and B. D. Valnion, *Nucl. Phys.* **A645**, 331 (1999).
- [17] J. Honzátko *et al.* (unpublished).
- [18] V. Bondarenko, T. von Egidy, J. Honzátko, I. Tomandl, D. Bucurescu, N. Mărginean, J. Ott, W. Schauer, H.-F. Wirth, and C. Doll, *Nucl. Phys.* **A673**, 85 (2000).
- [19] Zs. Révay, G. L. Molnár, T. Belgya, Zs. Kasztovszky, and R. B. Firestone, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **244**, 383 (2000); R. B. Firestone, *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* **53**, 527 (2000).
- [20] Zs. Révay and G. L. Molnár, *Radiochim. Acta* **91**, 361 (2003).
- [21] T. Belgya, Zs. Révay, B. Fazekas, I. Héjja, L. Dabolcsi, G. L. Molnár, Z. Kis, J. Östör, and Gy. Kaszás, in *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Capture Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy and Related Topics, Budapest, Hungary*, edited by G. Molnár, T. Belgya, and Zs. Révay (Springer-Verlag, Budapest, 1997), p. 826.
- [22] G. L. Molnar, Z. Révay, R. L. Paul, and R. M. Lindstrom, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **234**, 21 (1998).
- [23] T. Belgya, Z. Révay, P. P. Ember, J. L. Weil, G. L. Molnár, and S. M. Qaim, in *Proceedings of the 11th International Symposium on Capture Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy and Related Topics*, edited by J. Kvasil, P. Cejnar, and M. Krlicka (World Scientific, Singapore, 2003), p. 562.
- [24] International Atomic Energy Agency Report No. INDC(NDS)-440, Vienna, 2003, p. 17. Available at <http://www-nds.iaea.org> (see Selected INDC Reports).
- [25] K. J. R. Rosman and P. D. P. Taylor, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **70**, 217 (1998).
- [26] R. Reifarth and F. Käppler, *Phys. Rev.* **66**, 054605 (2002).
- [27] B. Keisch, *Phys. Rev.* **129**, 769 (1963).