Medium effects to the N(1535) resonance and η mesic nuclei

D. Jido,^{1,*} H. Nagahiro,² and S. Hirenzaki^{1,2}

¹Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC, Ap. Correos 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain

²Department of Physics, Nara Women's University, Nara 630-8506, Japan

(Received 18 June 2002; published 7 October 2002)

The structure of η -nucleus bound systems (η mesic nuclei) is investigated as one of the tools to study in-medium properties of the $N(1535)(N^*)$ resonance by using the chiral doublet model to incorporate the medium effects of the N^* resonance in a chiral symmetric way. We find that the shape and depth of the η -nucleus optical potential are strongly affected by the in-medium properties of the N^* and nucleon. Especially, as a general feature of the potential, the existence of a repulsive core of the η -nucleus potential at the nuclear center with an attractive part at the nuclear surface is concluded. We calculate the level structure of bound states in this "central-repulsive and surface-attractive" optical potential and find that the level structure is sensitive to the in-medium properties of the N^* . The $(d, {}^3\text{He})$ spectra are also evaluated for the formation of these bound states to investigate the experimental feasibility. We also make comments on the possible existence of halo-like η states in β -unstable halo nuclei.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.66.045202

PACS number(s): 12.39.Fe, 14.20.Gk, 14.40.Aq, 25.10.+s

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the in-medium properties of hadrons has attracted continuous attention and is one of the most interesting topics of nuclear physics. So far, several kinds of hadron-nucleus bound systems have been investigated such as pionic atoms, kaonic atoms, and \overline{p} atoms [1]. The structure of these systems is described by means of a complex optical potential, which reproduces well the experimental data obtained by the x-ray spectroscopic methods [2]. The search for the deeply bound pionic atoms has been performed from the end of 1980s [3-6], and the use of the recoilless $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction [7] led to the successful discovery of the deeply bound states, which enable us to deduce in-medium pion properties [8-10]. Now extensions of the method to other meson-nucleus bound states are being widely considered both theoretically and experimentally [11-14].

In this paper we consider the η mesic nucleus as one of the doorways to investigate the in-medium properties of the N(1535) (N^*). The special features of the η mesic nucleus are the following: (1) the η -N system dominantly couples to N(1535) at the threshold region [15]. (2) The isoscalar particle η filters out contaminations of the isospin-3/2 excitations in the nuclear medium. (3) As a result of the *s*-wave nature of the ηNN^* coupling, there is no threshold suppression like the *p*-wave coupling. The strong coupling of the N^* to ηN makes the use of this channel particularly suited to investigate this resonance in a cleaner way than the use of πN for the study of other resonances like the N(1440) and N(1520).

On the other hand, the in-medium properties of hadrons are believed to be related to partial restoration of chiral sym-

metry in the contemporary point of view (see, e.g., [16]), in which a reduction of the order parameter of the chiral phase transition in hot and/or dense matter takes place and causes modifications of the hadron properties. The N^* , which is the lowest-lying parity partner of the nucleon, has been investigated from the point of view of chiral symmetry [17,18], where the N and N^* form a multiplet of the chiral group. In Refs. [19,20] a reduction of the mass difference of the N and N^* in the nuclear medium is found in the chiral doublet model, while a reduction of the N^* mass is also found as the quark condensate decreases in the QCD sum rule [21]. Considering the fact that the N^* mass in free space lies only 50 MeV above the ηN threshold, the medium modification of the N^* mass will strongly affect the in-medium potential of the η meson through the strong ηNN^* coupling described above.

As one of the standard theoretical tools to obtain the structure of the bound states, we solve the Klein-Gordon equation with the meson-nucleus interaction, which is expressed in terms of an optical potential and is evaluated using the chiral doublet model developed in Refs. [17,18], which embodies the reduction of the N^* mass associated with the partial restoration of chiral symmetry, assuming the N^* dominance for the ηN coupling described above. The calculation is done in nuclear matter with the mean-field approximation, and the local density approximation is used to apply it to the finite nuclei. For the observation of the these bound states, we also consider the missing mass spectroscopy by the $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction which was proved to be a powerful tool experimentally. To evaluate the expected spectra theoretically, we adopt the Green function method for the unstable bound states [22].

In Sec. II we describe the η -nucleus optical potential which is obtained in our framework with the chiral doublet model and the N^* dominance. In Sec. 3 we show the numerical results for the η -meson bound states in the nucleus and $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ spectra. Section IV is devoted to the summary and conclusion.

^{*}Present address: Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan. Electronic address: jido@rcnp.osaka-u.ac.jp

II. OPTICAL POTENTIAL OF η WITH N^* DOMINANCE

The η mesic nuclei were studied by Haider and Liu [23] and by Chiang, Oset, and Liu [24] systematically. There, the η -nucleus optical potential was expected to be attractive from the data of the η -nucleon scattering length and the existence of the bound states was predicted theoretically. As the formation reaction, the use of the (π^+, p) reaction was proposed and the attempt to find the bound states in the reaction led to a negative result [25]. The (π^+, p) experiment [25] was designed to be sensitive to the expected narrow states, but was probably not sensitive enough to see much broader structures.

Before presenting the details of our model calculation, let us show the possibility to have a repulsive η optical potential in the nucleus due to a significant reduction of the mass difference of N and N*. Considering the self-energy of the η meson at rest in nuclear matter in the N* dominance model, in analogy with the Δ -hole model for the π -nucleus system, we obtain the η optical potential in the nuclear medium in the heavy baryon limit [24] as

$$V_{\eta}(\omega) = \frac{g_{\eta}^{2}}{2\mu} \frac{\rho(r)}{\omega + m_{N}^{*}(\rho) - m_{N^{*}}^{*}(\rho) + i\Gamma_{N^{*}}(s;\rho)/2}, \quad (1)$$

where ω denotes the η energy, and μ is the reduced mass of the η and the nucleus and is very close to the η mass m_{η} for heavy nuclei. $\rho(r)$ is the density distribution for nucleons in the finite nucleus, for which we assume here a Fermi distribution. The "effective masses" of N and N^* in medium, denoted as m_N^* , $m_{N^*}^*$, are defined through their propagators so that Re $G^{-1}(p^0 = m^*, \vec{p} = 0) = 0$. The in-medium N^* width Γ_{N^*} includes the many-body decay channels. The ηNN^* vertex g_{η} is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NN*}(x) = g_{\eta} \overline{N}(x) \eta(x) N^*(x) + \text{H.c.}, \qquad (2)$$

where $g_{\eta} \approx 2.0$ to reproduce the partial width $\Gamma_{N^* \to \eta N} \approx 75$ MeV [26] at the tree level.

Supposing no medium modifications for the masses of Nand N^* as well as small binding energy for the η , i.e., ω $\simeq m_n$, we obtain an attractive potential independent of density since we always have $\omega + m_N - m_{N*} < 0$ in the nucleus. The shape of this potential is essentially the same as the Woods-Saxon-type potential for a finite nucleus assuming the local density approximation. On the other hand, if a sufficient reduction of the mass difference of N and N^* stems from the medium effects, there exists a critical density ρ_c where $\omega + m_N^* - m_{N^*}^* = 0$, and then at densities above ρ_c the η optical potential turns to be repulsive. If ρ_c is lower than the nuclear saturation density ρ_0 , the optical potential for the η is attractive around the surface of the nucleus and repulsive in the interior. Therefore the bound states of the η in nuclei, if they exist, will be localized at the surface and the level structure of the η bound states will be quite different from that in the case of the Woods-Saxon-type potential.

To make the argument more quantitative, we estimate the in-medium N and N^* masses and the N^* width in the chiral

doublet model [17,18] (see also [27]), which embodies the reduction of the mass difference of N and N^* associated with the partial restoration of chiral symmetry. The chiral doublet model is an extension of the SU(2) linear sigma model for the nucleon incorporating the N^* . In the model N and N^* are expressed as superpositions of N_1 and N_2 which are eigenvectors under the chiral transformation. There are two types of the linear sigma model, choosing either the assignment of the same sign of the axial charge to N_1 and N_2 or the opposite sign to each other. The model with the later construction was first investigated by DeTar and Kunihiro [17], and was named the "mirror assignment" later on to distinguish it from the first assignment ("naive assignment") [18]. Here we shall discuss only the chiral doublet model with the mirror assignment. We have already checked that the naive assignment produces a qualitatively similar optical potential of the η to that with the mirror assignment. The quantitative studies with the naive assignment will be discussed elsewhere.

The Lagrangian of the chiral doublet model with the mirror assignment is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1,2} \left[\bar{N}_{j} i \partial N_{j} - g_{j} \bar{N}_{j} (\sigma + (-)^{j-1} i \gamma_{5} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi}) N_{j} \right] - m_{0} (\bar{N}_{1} \gamma_{5} N_{2} - \bar{N}_{2} \gamma_{5} N_{1}), \qquad (3)$$

where N_1 and N_2 are eigenvectors under the SU(2) chiral transformation and have opposite axial charge to each other. The physical N and N* are expressed as a superposition of N_1 and N_2 as $N = \cos \theta N_1 + \gamma_5 \sin \theta N_2$ and $N^* = -\gamma_5 \sin \theta N_1$ $+\cos \theta N_2$ where $\tan 2\theta = 2(g_1 + g_2)m_0/\langle \sigma \rangle$. In this basis, the N and N* masses and the πNN^* vertex are given as functions of the sigma condensate as in the standard liner sigma model:

$$m_{N,N*}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{(g_1 + g_2)^2 \langle \sigma \rangle^2 + 4m_0^2} \mp (g_2 - g_1) \langle \sigma \rangle \right], \quad (4)$$

$$g_{\pi NN*}^* = (g_2 - g_1) / \sqrt{4 + [(g_1 + g_2) \langle \sigma \rangle / m_0]^2},$$
 (5)

where $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is the sigma condensate in nuclear matter. It is worth noting that the mass splitting between *N* and *N** is generated by the spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry with a linear form of the sigma condensate. The parameters in the Lagrangian have been chosen so that the observables in vacuum, $m_N = 940$ MeV, $m_{N*} = 1535$ MeV, and $\Gamma_{N^* \to \pi N} \approx 75$ MeV, are reproduced with $\langle \sigma \rangle_0 = f_{\pi}$ = 93 MeV, and they are $g_1 = 9.8$, $g_2 = 16.2$, $m_0 = 270$ MeV [18].

In the linear σ model, the nuclear medium effects come from the nucleon loops which modify the self-energies of Nand N^* and the vertex of πNN^* [28]. In the mean-field approximation, such contributions can be introduced by making the replacement of the vacuum condensate of the sigma meson to the in-medium condensate which depends on the density ρ as

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = \Phi(\rho) \langle \sigma \rangle_0,$$
 (6)

where $\Phi(\rho)$ should be determined elsewhere. Here we take a linear parametrization as $\Phi(\rho) = 1 - C\rho/\rho_0$ with C = 0.1-0.3 [28]. The *C* parameter represents the strength of the chiral restoration at the nuclear saturation density ρ_0 . Finally, with this replacement, the density dependence of the in-medium mass difference of *N* and *N** is obtained as

$$m_N^*(\rho) - m_{N^*}^*(\rho) = (1 - C\rho/\rho_0)(m_N - m_{N^*}).$$
(7)

This implies that for C > 0.08 the real part of the in-medium η self-energy with $\omega = m_{\eta}$ in Eq. (1) changes its sign at smaller densities than the saturation density ρ_0 .

Now let us consider the N^* width in the medium. In free space there are three strong decay modes of N^* , πN , ηN , and $\pi \pi N$ [26]. The first two are dominant modes and give almost the same decay rate. Here the medium effects on the decay widths of these modes are taken into account by considering the Pauli blocking effect on the decaying nucleon and by changing the N and N* masses and the πNN^* coupling in medium through Eqs. (4) and (5), according to the chiral doublet model as discussed above.

The partial decay widths of N^* to certain decay channels can be obtained by evaluating the self-energy of N^* associated with the decay channel and by taking its imaginary part as $\Gamma_{N^*} = -2 \text{Im} \Sigma_{N^*}$. The partial decay width for $N^* \rightarrow \pi N$ is calculated as

$$\Gamma_{\pi}(s) = 3 \frac{g_{\pi N N^*}^{*2}}{4\pi} \frac{E_N + m_N^*}{\sqrt{s}} q, \qquad (8)$$

where E_N and q are the energy and momentum of the final nucleon on the mass shell in the N^* rest frame, respectively. The momentum q is given by $q = \lambda^{1/2} (s, m_N^{*2}, m_\pi^2)/2\sqrt{s}$ with the Källen function $\lambda(x, y, z)$. The Pauli blocking effect for $N^* \rightarrow \pi N$ mode is negligible due to the large decaying momentum $q \approx 460$ MeV in vacuum. The decay mode N^* $\rightarrow \eta N$, however, does not contribute in the medium because of no available phase space due to the Pauli blocking [24].

The decay branching rate of the $N^* \rightarrow \pi \pi N$ channel is known to be only 1% - 10% in vacuum [26]. Here, in the present calculation, we do not include this decay mode since its contribution is estimated to be only a few percent of the total decay rate in our model. In spite of no direct $\pi \pi NN^*$ vertex in our model, this channel can be evaluated by considering the process $N^* \rightarrow \sigma N \rightarrow \pi \pi N$ using the linear sigma model for σ and π .

Other medium effects on the decay of N^* are the manybody decays, such as $N^*N \rightarrow NN$ and $N^*N \rightarrow \pi NN$. The N^* many-body absorption, involving two-nucleon η absorption mechanisms, is evaluated by inserting particle-hole excitations to the π (η) propagator in the πN (ηN) self-energy of N^* . The width from $NN^* \rightarrow NN$ channel has already been calculated in Ref. [24] and has found several MeV at ρ $= \rho_0$. Here we neglect the contribution of this channel. The other N^* absorption $NN^* \rightarrow \pi NN$ is comparably larger than $NN^* \rightarrow NN$ because of its phase space [24]. We estimated the $NN^* \rightarrow \pi NN$ process within our model following the formulation of Ref. [24]:

$$\Gamma_{N^*N \to \pi NN}(\sqrt{s}) = 3\beta^2 \left(\frac{g_{\pi NN}}{2m_N^*}\right)^2 \rho \int dp_1 p_1^3 \int \frac{dp_2}{(2\pi)^3} p_2 \frac{m_N^*}{\omega_2}$$
$$\times \frac{-\vec{p}_1^2 + 2m_N^*(\sqrt{s} - \omega_2 - m_N^*)}{\left[\left(\frac{p_1^2}{2m_N^*}\right)^2 - p_1^2 - m_\pi^2\right]^2} \Phi(p_1, p_2), \qquad (9)$$

where $p_1(\omega_1)$ and $p_2(\omega_2)$ are pion momenta (energies), Φ is the phase space variable defined in [24], and

$$\beta = \frac{g_1 m_0}{\langle \sigma \rangle m_N^* (m_{N^*}^* + m_N^*)} \chi, \tag{10}$$

with the effective coupling of $\pi\pi N$ through σ mesons in this model, which is $\chi \sim 1.29$. This contribution is estimated to be typically 15 MeV at the saturation density, although it depends on the η energy and *C* parameter. We include this channel in the present calculation.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the previous section, we discuss the η optical potential in nuclear matter using the N^* dominance model and the chiral doublet model. The final expression of the η optical potential in the nuclear medium is obtained by substituting the mass gap between N and N* obtained in Eq. (7) and the in-medium N* width described in Eqs. (8) and (10) to Eq. (1). In this section, we show some numerical results for the η meson in the nucleus from the optical potential derived above.

A. Optical potential of the η in the nucleus

For finite nuclei we assume the local density approximation and the Fermi distribution of nucleons in the nucleus with the radial parameter $R = 1.18A^{1/3} - 0.48$ [fm] and the diffuseness parameter a = 0.5 [fm]. In Fig. 1, we show the η -nucleus potential for the ¹³²Xe case as an example. In other nuclei, the potential shape is essentially the same as the plotted one, but the radius of the repulsive core depends on the mass number A. As can be seen in the figure, for the C $\neq 0$ cases the real potential turns out to be repulsive at the inner part of the nucleus, associated with a reduction of the mass difference of N and N^* in the nucleus and an attractive "pocket" appears on the surface. This pocket-shape potential is new and so interesting that the existence of the repulsive core is consistent with the experiment [29], where the production of the η meson on various nuclei is surface dominated due to the strong final-state interaction.

Another interesting feature of the potential is its strong energy dependence. By changing the energy of the η from $\omega = m_{\eta}$ to $m_{\eta} - 50$ [MeV], we find again the familiar attractive potential shape even with C=0.1 as shown in the figure. We also find that the imaginary part of the potential has a strong dependence both on the *C* parameter and the η energy.



FIG. 1. The η -nucleus optical potential for the ¹³²Xe system as a function of the radius coordinate *r*. The upper and lower panels show the real and imaginary parts, respectively, for C=0.0 (solid line), 0.1 (dashed line), and 0.2 (dotted line) with setting $\omega = m_{\eta}$. The dot-dashed line indicates the potential strength for C=0.1 with $\omega = m_{\eta} - 50$ [MeV].

B. Bound states of η in nuclei

In order to calculate the eigenenergies and wave functions of the η bound states, we solve numerically the Klein-Gordon equation with the η -nucleus optical potential obtained here and make an iteration to obtain the self-consistent energy eigenvalues for the strongly energy-dependent optical potential. We follow the method of Kwon and Tabakin to solve it in momentum space [30]. We increase the number of mesh points in the momentum space which is here about 10 times larger than Ref. [30]. The number of mesh points was limited (~40 points) and the parameters for the mesh points distribution were adjusted for the shallow mesic atoms [30]. We check the stability of the obtained results carefully.

We show the calculated binding energies and level widths in Table I for 1s and 2p states in several nuclei over the periodic table. For the C=0 case, in which no medium modifications are included in the N and N* properties, since the potential is proportional to the nuclear density distribution as we have seen in Fig. 1, the level structure of the bound states is similar to that obtained in Ref. [12]. For $C \ge 0.1$ cases, the formation of η bound states is quite difficult because of both the repulsive nature of the potential inside the nucleus and the huge imaginary part of the potential.

In order to see the *C* parameter dependence of the bound states in C < 0.1, we consider ¹³²Xe as an example and calculate the bound states for C=0.02, 0.05, and 0.08 cases.

TABLE I. The η -nucleus binding energies and widths for various nuclei for C=0. Results for the $C\neq 0$ cases are also shown for the η -¹³²Xe system.

С	Α	L = 0		L = 1	
		BE (MeV)	Width (MeV)	BE (MeV)	Width (MeV)
0.0	6	3.7	35.1	-	-
	11	13.7	41.5	-	-
	15	18.5	42.7	-	-
	19	21.9	43.1	-	-
	31	27.9	42.8	10.1	52.2
	39	30.3	42.5	14.6	50.7
	64	34.4	41.3	22.4	47.7
	88	36.4	40.5	26.4	45.8
	132	38.4	39.6	30.5	43.8
	207	39.9	38.5	33.9	41.8
0.02	132	41.2	49.0	33.0	55.0
0.05	132	45.1	69.3	35.5	81.5
0.08	132	46.1	106.3	-	-
0.1	132	-	-	-	-

The results are also compiled in the Table I. We should mention here that, as a result of the small attraction, in the pocketlike case we do not find bound states. They, however, are obtained in the case of Woods-Saxon-type.

C. Spectra of $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ for the η -mesic-nuclei formation

Although the formation of the bound states of the η in nuclei is difficult with $C \sim 0.2$, which is the expected strength of the chiral restoration in the nucleus, it would be interesting to see if the repulsive nature of the optical potential can be observed in experiment. Here we consider the recoilless $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction, in which a proton is picked up from the target nucleus and the η meson is left with a small momentum.

The recoilless $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction is expected to be one of the most powerful experimental tools for the formation of the η mesic nucleus [7]. The spectra of this reaction are investigated in detail in Ref. [12]. There they estimate the experimental elementary cross section of the $d + p \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He} + \eta$ reaction to be 150 (nb/sr) in this kinematics based on the data taken at SATURNE [31] and use the Green function method [22], in which the η -meson Green function provides information about the structure of unstable bound states. Applying the same approach, we evaluate the expected spectra of the $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction by assuming our optical potential for the η in the nucleus. Here we calculate the spectra of the $^{12}C(d, {}^{3}He)$ reaction for the η production in the final state. The ¹²C is shown to be a suitable target to populate the $[(p_{3/2})_n^{-1} \otimes p_n]$ configuration largely [12]. We assume a single-particle nature for the protons in the target ¹²C and consider $0s_{1/2}$ and $0p_{3/2}$ states. And we take into account a sufficient number of partial waves l_{η} of η . We find that only $l_n \leq 3$ partial waves are relevant in this energy region and we check numerically that contributions from higher partial waves are negligible.



FIG. 2. The calculated excitation energy spectrum of the η production in the ¹²C(d, ³He) reaction at T_d =3.5 [GeV] for three different sets of the parameter C in the η -nucleus optical potential and the diffuseness parameter a of the nuclear density: (a) C=0.0 and a=0.5 [fm], (b) C=0.2 and a=0.5 [fm], and (c) C=0.2 and a=1.0 [fm]. The vertical line indicates the η production threshold energy. In each figure, the contribution from the $(0p_{3/2})_p^{-1} \otimes p_{\eta}$ is shown as a dash-dotted curve, the $(0s_{1/2})_p^{-1} \otimes s_{\eta}$ contribution is shown as the dashed curve, and the solid curve is the sum of η partial waves. The continuum background contributions are estimated to be about 3.4 [nb/(sr MeV)] for the ¹²C target [12]. In all spectra the distortion effects are assumed to be the same in order to see the sensitivity to the η optical potential clearly.

The spectra obtained are shown in Fig. 2 as functions of the excited energy which are defined as

$$E_{\rm ex} = m_{\eta} - B_{\eta} + [S_p(j_p) - S_p(p_{3/2})], \qquad (11)$$

where B_{η} is the η binding energy (BE) and S_p the proton separation energy. The η production threshold energy E_0 is indicated in the figure by the vertical line. The calculated spectra are shown in Fig. 2 for three different sets of the parameter *C* in the optical potential and the diffuseness parameter *a* of the nuclear density distribution. In Fig. 2(a), we show the result with C=0.0 and a=0.5 [fm] which corresponds to the spectrum with the potential which does not include any medium modifications of *N* and *N**. The results with the medium corrections are shown in Fig. 2(b) for the C=0.2 case, where the η optical potential has the repulsive core in the center of nucleus. It is seen in the Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) that, as a result of the repulsive nature of the η potential with C=0.2, the whole spectrum is shifted to the higher-energy region and the *s*-wave η contribution around $E_{ex} - E_0 \sim 0$ [MeV] is suppressed in Fig. 2(b), which corresponds to the disappearance of the η bound state for C=0.2. The difference of these spectra is expected to be observed in the high-resolution experiments.

We also calculate the spectrum for the C=0.2 case with the diffuseness a=1.0 [fm], to simulate the halolike structure of unstable nuclei. In this case, the η -nucleus optical potential has a wider attractive region than that with a= 0.5 [fm] because of the existence of the longer tail at low nuclear densities. The results are shown in Fig. 2(c), where we can see that the whole spectrum is shifted to smaller energy regions compared to Fig. 2(b), indicating the attractive nature of the potential.

D. Discussion

Here we make some remarks on the "pocketlike" potential. First of all, we would like to consider the interesting possibility to have η bound states in β -unstable nuclei with a halo structure [32]. So far, we have considered stable nuclei with the small diffuseness parameters (a=0.5 [fm]), in which the density suddenly changes at the surface. As we have seen in Fig. 1, the optical potential with a finite C value is attractive only in the low-density region at the nuclear surface. Thus, halo nuclei have a larger attractive region than the stable nuclei and we expect to find more η bound states, which will be like coexistence states of the halolike η mesic bound states with halo nucleons. We simulate the behavior of the η bound states for nuclei with halo structure by changing the diffuseness parameters artificially for ¹³²Xe case. However, in spite of our systematic search of the η bound states for diffuseness parameters a = 1.0 and 1.5 [fm], no bound states in the pocketlike potential are found for the C=0.1, 0.15, and 0.2 cases.

Second, we have another quite interesting feature of the η -nucleus bound states because of its strong energy dependence. In the iterative calculation to get the self-consistent eigenenergies for the energy-dependent potential, we have possibilities to find several solutions for certain set of the quantum numbers (n,l). Actually, we have found the three 1*s*-like states for the η -⁸⁸Y system for the C=0.1 case by omitting the imaginary part of the optical potential. The wave functions of all three states do not have any node and they are shown to be (1) the bound 1*s* state with BE = 40.4 [MeV] in the Woods-Saxon-type potential, (2) the bound 1*s* state with BE=22.2 [MeV] in the attractive potential which is deeper at the surface than in the nuclear center, and (3) the bound 1*s* state with BE=6.0 [MeV] in the surface-attractive and central-repulsive potentials.

Third, we would like to make a general comment on the level structure of the bound states in the pocketlike potential. To make the argument clear, we consider only the real part of the pocketlike potential. Let us consider the radial part of the Schrödinger equation with an attractive pocket potential at a certain radius R and a width a. As we can see in any textbook of quantum mechanics, this equation is exactly the same as the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with the same potential pocket except for the centrifugal potential and the boundary condition for the wave function at the origin r=0. Since the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation has translational invariance with this potential, the eigenenergies do not depend on the position of the attractive pocket. This is also the case for the radial equation for heavy nuclei in which the attractive potential exists far from the nuclear center, where the wave function boundary condition is automatically satisfied and do not affect the eigenenergies. Thus, the level structure would be expected to resemble each other for all heavy nuclei, which is quite different from the case of the Woods-Saxon-type potential, where the binding energies become larger in heavier nuclei.

Another interesting feature of the level structure is that, since the pocket potential in heavy nuclei is far from the center of the system and then the centrifugal repulsive potential could be weak at the position of the pocket, then the different angular momentum states would approximately degenerate in heavy nuclei. All these features of the level structure are very interesting and really characteristic for the "surface-attractive" η -nucleus optical potential.

Very recently an investigation of the η meson properties in the nuclear medium within a chiral unitary approach has been reported [33]. There, they also found a strong energydependent optical potential of the η . It would be interesting to compare their consequences with ours since their theoretical framework is quite different from our model and there the N^* is introduced as a resonance generated dynamically from meson-baryon scatterings.

IV. SUMMARY

We investigate the consequences of the medium effects to N(1535) (N^*) through the η -mesic nuclei assuming the N^* dominance in the η -N system. The chiral doublet model is used to estimate the medium modification of the N and N^* properties. This model embodies chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking within the baryonic level (N and N^*) and shows a reduction of the mass difference of N and N^* with partial restoration of chiral symmetry.

We find that sufficient reduction of the mass difference due to chiral restoration makes the η optical potential in nuclei repulsive at certain densities, while in the low-density approximation the optical potential is estimated to be attractive. This leads us to the possibility of a new type of potential of the η in nucleus that is attractive at the surface and has a repulsive core at the center of the nucleus. We discuss general features of this "pocket" potential that the level structure is quite different from that with the potential simply obtained from the $V \sim t\rho$ approximation with *t* the scattering amplitude of the η -N system.

We calculate the in-medium optical potential of the η meson with a mean-field approximation and use the local density approximation to apply it to finite nuclei. We also find that the potential obtained here has a strong energy dependence, which leads us to a self-consistent formulation in the calculation of the η bound states in the nucleus. Unfortunately it is hard to form η bound states in the nuclei with the expected strength of the chiral restoration in the nucleus ($C \sim 0.2$), due to the repulsive nature of the potential inside the nucleus and its large imaginary potential. We find that there is no bound state for the case of $C \ge 0.1$ even in the halolike nuclei, which are expected to have moderate density distributions and to have a wider attractive potential pocket at the surface.

We evaluate the spectra of the recoilless $(d, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction with a ${}^{12}\text{C}$ target using our optical potential for the C=0.0and 0.2 cases. The shapes of these spectra are apparently different and the repulsive nature in the C=0.2 case is seen. The results with the large diffuseness parameter for the nuclear density distribution also show the sensitivity of the spectrum to the existence of the halo structure. We find that the existence of the halo also changes the spectrum shape, and thus a comprehensive study of η bound states in unstable nuclei also would be interesting to investigate the medium effects of the N^* . We believe that the present results are very important to investigate the chiral nature of N and N^* through η bound states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. E. Oset for valuable discussions and a careful reading of the manuscript. The work by D.J. was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Education in the Program "Estancias de Doctores y Tecnólogos Extranjeros en España." One of us (S.H.) wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of the University of Valencia where this work was done and financial support from the Foundation BBV. This work is also partly supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (No. 14540268 and No. 11694082).

- C.J. Batty, E. Friedman, and A. Gal, Phys. Rep. 287, 385 (1997).
- [2] C.T.A.M. de Laat et al., Nucl. Phys. A523, 453 (1991).
- [3] H. Toki and T. Yamazaki, Phys. Lett. B 213, 129 (1988); H.
 Toki, S. Hirenzaki, T. Yamazaki, and R.S. Hayano, Nucl. Phys.
 A501, 653 (1989); H. Toki, S. Hirenzaki, and T. Yamazaki,

ibid. **A530**, 679 (1991); S. Hirenzaki, H. Toki, and T. Yamazaki, Phys. Rev. C **44**, 2472 (1991).

- [4] J. Nieves and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A518, 617 (1990); Phys. Lett. B 282, 24 (1992).
- [5] M. Iwasaki et al., Phys. Rev. C 43, 1099 (1991); R. S. Hayano, in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Pions in Nu-

clei, Penyscola, Spain, 1991, edited by E. Oset, M. J. Vicente Vacas, and C. Garcia Recio (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991), p. 330; A. Trudel *et al.*, TRIUMF E628 and TRIUMF progress report, 1991.

- [6] N. Matsuoka et al., Phys. Lett. B 359, 39 (1995).
- [7] T. Yamazaki *et al.*, Z. Phys. A **355**, 219 (1996); H. Gilg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **62**, 025201 (2000).
- [8] T. Waas, R. Brockmann, and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B 405, 415 (1997); W. Weise, Acta Phys. Pol. B 31, 2715 (2000).
- [9] T. Yamazaki *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **418**, 246 (1998); P. Kienle and T. Yamazaki, *ibid.* **514**, 1 (2001).
- [10] K. Itahashi et al., Phys. Rev. C 62, 025202 (2000).
- [11] K. Tsushima, D.H. Lu, A.W. Thomas, and K. Saito, Phys. Lett. B 443, 26 (1998).
- [12] R.S. Hayano, S. Hirenzaki, and A. Gillitzer, Eur. Phys. J. A 6, 99 (1999).
- [13] F. Klingl, T. Waas, and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A650, 299 (1999).
- [14] S. Hirenzaki, H. Nagahiro, T. Hatsuda, and T. Kunihiro, Nucl. Phys. A (to be published), nucl-th/0208011.
- [15] R.S. Bhalerao and L.C. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 865 (1985);
 B. Krushce *et al.*, *ibid.* 74, 3736 (1995); M. Benmerrouche and N.C. Mukhopadhyay, *ibid.* 67, 1070 (1991); Phys. Rev. D 51, 3237 (1995).
- [16] See the reviews in T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rep. 247, 221 (1994); G.E. Brown and M. Rho, *ibid.* 269, 333 (1996).
- [17] C. DeTar and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2805 (1989).
- [18] D. Jido, M. Oka, and A. Hosaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 106, 873

(2001); D. Jido, Y. Nemoto, M. Oka, and A. Hosaka, Nucl. Phys. A671, 471 (2000).

- [19] T. Hatsuda and M. Prakash, Phys. Lett. B 224, 11 (1989).
- [20] H. Kim, D. Jido, and M. Oka, Nucl. Phys. A640, 77 (1998).
- [21] D. Jido, N. Kodama, and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. D 54, 4532 (1996).
- [22] O. Morimatsu and K. Yazaki, Nucl. Phys. A435, 727 (1985); A483, 493 (1988).
- [23] Q. Haider and L.C. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 172, 257 (1986); Phys. Rev. C 34, 1845 (1986).
- [24] H.C. Chiang, E. Oset, and L.C. Liu, Phys. Rev. C 44, 738 (1991).
- [25] R.E. Chrien et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2595 (1988).
- [26] Particle Data Group, D. E. Groom *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C 15, 1 (2000).
- [27] Y. Nemoto, D. Jido, M. Oka, and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D 57, 4124 (1998); D. Jido, T. Hatsuda, and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3252 (2000).
- [28] T. Hatsuda, T. Kunihiro, and H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2840 (1999); D. Jido, T. Hatsuda, and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D 63, 011901 (2000).
- [29] M. Roebig-Landau et al., Phys. Lett. B 373, 45 (1996).
- [30] Y.K. Kwon and F. Tabakin, Phys. Rev. C 18, 932 (1978).
- [31] P. Berthet et al., Nucl. Phys. A443, 589 (1985).
- [32] For example, I. Tanihata, J. Phys. G 22, 157 (1996).
- [33] T. Inoue and E. Oset, hep-ph/0205028; C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, T. Inoue, and E. Oset, nucl-th/0206024.