# Universal trend of the information entropy of a fermion in a mean field

C. P. Panos, S. E. Massen, and C. G. Koutroulos

Department of Theoretical Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54006 Thessaloniki, Greece

(Received 23 June 2000; published 3 May 2001)

We calculate the information entropy of single-particle states in position space  $S_r$  and momentum space  $S_k$ for a nucleon in a nucleus, a  $\Lambda$  particle in a hypernucleus, and an electron in an atomic cluster. It is seen that  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  obey the same approximate functional form as functions of the number of particles  $S_r$  (or  $S_k$ )  $= a + bN^{1/3}$  in all of the above many-body systems in position and momentum space separately. The net information content  $S_r + S_k$  is a slowly varying function of N of the same form as above. The entropy sum  $S_r + S_k$  is invariant to a uniform scaling of coordinates, and is a characteristic of the single-particle states of a specific system. The order of single-particle states according to  $S_r + S_k$  is the same as their classification according to energy, keeping the quantum number n constant. The spin-orbit partners are ordered correctly. It is also seen that  $S_r + S_k$  is enhanced by the excitation of a fermion in a quantum-mechanical system. Finally, we obtain a relationship of  $S_r + S_k$  with the energy of the corresponding single-particle state, i.e.,  $S_r + S_k$  $= k \ln(\mu E + \nu)$ . This relation holds for all systems under consideration.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.63.064307

PACS number(s): 21.10.-k, 21.80.+a, 89.70.+c

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The information entropy for a continuous probability distribution p(x) in one dimension is defined by the expression

$$S = -\int p(x)\ln(p(x))dx,$$
(1)

where  $\int p(x)dx = 1$ . *S* is measured in bits if the base of the logarithm is 2, and nats (natural units of information) if the logarithm is natural. It represents the information content of a probability distribution as well as a measure of the uncertainty of the corresponding state. We note that the information and thermodynamic entropy are different concepts, but can be connected by employing some assumptions.

An important step in the past was the discovery in Ref. [1] of an entropic uncertainty relation (EUR), which for a threedimensional system has the form

$$S_r + S_k \ge 3(1 + \ln \pi) \cong 6.434 \quad (\hbar = 1),$$
 (2)

where

$$S_r = -\int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \ln \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$$
(3)

is the information entropy in position space,

$$S_k = -\int n(\mathbf{k}) \ln n(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}$$
(4)

is the information entropy in momentum space, and  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  and  $n(\mathbf{k})$  are the density distributions in position and momentum space, respectively, normalized to unity.

The lower bound in Eq. (2) is attained for Gaussian density distributions. The physical meaning of the above inequality is the following: an increase of  $S_k$  corresponds to a decrease of  $S_r$  and vice versa, which indicates that a diffuse density distribution n(k) in momentum space is associated with a localized density distribution  $\rho(r)$  in configuration space, and vice versa.

Relation (2) represents a strengthened version of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle for two reasons: first the EUR leads to Heisenberg's uncertainty relation, but the inverse is not true. Second, the right-hand side of the EUR does not depend on the state of the system, while in Heisenberg's relation it does depend. It is obvious from Eqs. (3) and (4) that  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  depend on the unit of length in measuring  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  and  $n(\mathbf{k})$ . However, the important quantity is the entropy sum  $S_r + S_k$  (the net information content of the state), which is invariant to a uniform scaling of coordinates.

Information entropy was employed in the past to study quantum-mechanical systems [1–9]. Recently [10] we studied the position- and momentum-space information entropies  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  respectively, for the total densities of various systems: the nuclear density distribution of nuclei, the electron density distribution of atoms, and the valence electron density distribution of atomic clusters. We showed that a similar functional form  $S = a + b \ln N$  for the total entropy as a function of the number of particles N holds approximately for the above systems (in agreement with Refs. [2,3] for atomic systems). We conjectured that this is a universal property of a many-fermion system in a mean field.

The concept of information entropy also proved to be fruitful in a different context [9]. We used the formalism of Ghosh, Berkowitz, and Parr [11] within the ground-state density-functional framework, to define the concept of an information entropy associated with the density distribution of a nuclear system. It turned out that *S* increases with the quality of the wave function, and can serve as a criterion of the quality of a nuclear model. Another interesting result [12] is the fact that the entropy of an *N*-photon state subjected to Gaussian noise increases linearly with the logarithm of *N*.

Encouraged by previous works, we attempt in the present paper to calculate  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  for the wave functions of singleparticle states (instead of the total densities as in Ref. [10]) for various systems, i.e., a nucleon in a nucleus, an electron in an atomic cluster and a  $\Lambda$  particle in a hypernucleus. For these systems we employ models existing in the literature.

Our aim is to investigate the dependence of  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  on the excitation of a fermion in a quantum-mechanical system, as well as its dependence on the system under consideration and the number of particles N. We also attempt to connect the information entropy with the energy of the single-particle state. The study of the dependence of S on the quantum state of a system is also interesting (as stated in Ref. [7]) for two reasons: (i) The information-theoretical and physical entropy are connected via Boltzmann's constant  $k_B$  by Jayne's relation  $S_{phys} = k_B S_{inf}$ . Thus one can ascribe to any quantum object a certain value of its physical entropy  $S_{phys}$  if one calculates  $S_{inf}$ . (ii) It is interesting to know the value of the information entropy which is a measure of the spatial "spreading out" of the wave function for various states of various systems.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we calculate  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  for single-particle states of a nucleon in a nucleus as function of the number of nucleons N using the simplest model available, i.e., the harmonic-oscillator potential and a more realistic one (Skyrme). In Sec. III we calculate  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  for a  $\Lambda$  particle in a hypernucleus employing a simple and a (semi) analytical relativistic model. In Sec. IV we determine  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  for the single-particle states of an electron in atomic (metallic) clusters using the Woods-Saxon potential. In Sec. V we present a relationship of  $S_r + S_k$  with the energy. Finally, Sec. VI contains a discussion of our results (comparison of Secs. II, III, and IV) and our conclusions.

# II. INFORMATION ENTROPY FOR A NUCLEON IN A NUCLEUS

The information entropy  $S_r$  in position space for a singleparticle wave function  $\psi_{nli}(r)$ , normalized as

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty |\psi_{nlj}(r)|^2 r^2 dr = 1,$$

is defined by

$$S_r = -4\pi \int_0^\infty |\psi_{nlj}(r)|^2 \ln |\psi_{nlj}(r)|^2 r^2 dr$$
 (5)

while the entropy  $S_k$  in momentum space is

$$S_{k} = -4\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} |\phi_{nlj}(k)|^{2} \ln |\phi_{nlj}(k)|^{2} k^{2} dk, \qquad (6)$$

where  $\phi_{nlj}(\mathbf{k})$  is the Fourier transform of  $\psi_{nlj}(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\phi_{nlj}(k)$  is normalized as

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty |\phi_{nlj}(k)|^2 k^2 dk = 1$$

In this section we calculate  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  for the singleparticle states 1s, 1p, 1d, ... of a nucleon in a nucleus in the framework of the harmonic-oscillator (HO) model. For the HO parameter we use the well-known expression  $\hbar \omega$ =41 $N^{-1/3}$  MeV (N=A is the mass number).

We find that the value of  $\hbar \omega$  is important only for  $S_r$  and  $S_k$ , while the net information content  $S = S_r + S_k$  is independent of  $\hbar \omega$  and consequently of *A*. It depends only on the state under consideration, and characterizes it. These values for the states 1*s*, 1*p*, 1*d*, and 2*s* are 6.4341, 7.8388, 8.6651, and 8.3015, respectively.

However, the HO model is a simplification. Thus, we employed a more realistic parametrization of the nuclear mean field i.e., the Skyrme (SkIII) interaction [13]. In this model protons and neutrons move in different potentials. We choose to work with protons. However, similar results can be obtained for neutrons. We found that the values for  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  obtained from the wave functions of single-particle states calculated according to SkIII are well represented by the expression

$$S_r \text{ (or } S_k) = a + bN^{1/3}$$
 (7)

while  $S_r + S_k$  is a slowly varying function of N of the same form as Eq. (7). The values of the parameters are shown in Table I.

In Fig. 1(a) we plot our fitted expressions (SkIII)  $S_r$  (or  $S_k$ ) =  $a + bN^{1/3}$  for the entropies  $S_r$ ,  $S_k$ ,  $S_r + S_k$  of 1s states as functions of  $N^{1/3}$ . The lines correspond to our fitted expressions, while the corresponding values of our numerical calculations are denoted by squares for  $S_r$ , circles for  $S_k$ , and triangles for  $S_r + S_k$ . Similar graphs can be plotted for the higher states  $1p, 1d, 2s, \ldots$ . From Fig. 1(a) we see that the values of the entropies are represented well by our fitted expressions. In Fig. 1(b) we compare the sum  $S_r$  $+S_k = a + bN^{1/3}$  for various single-particle states. We observe that the entropy sum  $S_r + S_k$  is enhanced with the excitation of the single-particle states. We see that  $S_r + S_k$  is a slowly varying function of N. We also note that the spinorbit partners are ordered correctly, i.e., the state  $1p_{3/2}$  is lower than  $1p_{1/2}$ , etc. (as for the energy), although their difference is small and cannot be shown in the figure. To support this argument we present Table II, where the entropies and energies of various partners are compared for the nuclei <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>40</sup>Ca, and <sup>208</sup>Pb.

The following comment is appropriate. A relation of  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  with N can be extracted from Eqs. (12) and (13) of Ref. [7] and the relation  $\hbar \omega = 41N^{-1/3}$ , or equivalently the size parameter of the HO,  $b \simeq N^{1/6}$  fm [14]

$$S_r = f(n) + \ln \sigma_r, \quad \sigma_r = b = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega},$$
$$S_k = f(n) + \ln \sigma_k, \quad \sigma_k = \sqrt{m\omega/\hbar},$$

where f(n) depends on the quantum number *n*:

$$f(n) = -C_n^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n^2(\xi) e^{-\xi^2} \ln[C_n^2 H_n^2(\xi) e^{-\xi^2}] d\xi.$$

Thus we obtain

$$S_r = f(n) + \frac{1}{6} \ln N,$$
 (8)

TABLE I. Values of the parameters *a* and *b* which appear in the expressions  $S_r$  (or  $S_k$ ) =  $a + bN^{1/3}$  for a nucleon (proton) in nuclei according to the SkIII interaction, a  $\Lambda$  in hypernuclei according to a relativistic model, and an electron in atomic clusters with a Woods-Saxon potential.

Case	State	S <sub>r</sub>		S <sub>k</sub>		$S_r + S_k$	
		а	b	а	b	а	b
Nucleus	$1 s_{1/2}$	3.0831	0.8652	3.2353	-0.8140	6.3217	0.0501
	$1 p_{3/2}$	4.2824	0.6368	3.6256	-0.6688	7.9084	-0.0322
	$1 p_{1/2}$	4.2724	0.6235	3.6799	-0.6675	7.9521	-0.0439
	$1d_{5/2}$	4.7500	0.5743	4.0980	-0.6513	8.8480	-0.0771
	$1d_{3/2}$	4.9553	0.5042	4.0080	-0.6071	8.9618	-0.1024
	$2s_{1/2}$	5.2456	0.3364	3.4355	-0.4641	8.6756	-0.1260
Hypernucleus	$1 s_{1/2}$	3.5817	0.4967	2.8303	-0.4756	6.4120	0.0214
	$1 p_{3/2}$	4.4347	0.3789	3.5123	-0.4021	7.9475	-0.0232
	$1 p_{1/2}$	4.3764	0.3835	3.6575	-0.4199	8.0342	-0.0364
	$1d_{5/2}$	5.2553	0.2462	3.6249	-0.2938	8.8803	-0.0475
	$1d_{3/2}$	4.9910	0.2819	4.0503	-0.3543	9.0414	-0.0724
Cluster	1 <i>s</i>	4.3038	0.7113	2.0923	-0.6883	6.3960	0.0232
	1p	5.1114	0.6135	2.7700	-0.6299	7.8816	-0.0163
	1d	5.5191	0.5636	3.1420	-0.5842	8.6611	-0.0205
	2 <i>s</i>	5.3858	0.4918	2.9672	-0.5301	8.3536	-0.0383

$$S_k = f(n) - \frac{1}{6} \ln N.$$
 (9)

Adding Eqs. (8) and (9), we see that the two *N*-dependent terms cancel each other, so that  $S_r + S_k$  becomes exactly independent of *N* for the HO. However, for more realistic cases this cancellation is not exact, and  $S_r + S_k$  is a slowly varying function of *N*. Equations (8) and (9) suggest a linear dependence of  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  on  $\ln N$ . However, for more realistic systems, our numerical calculations show that a linear dependence on  $N^{1/3}$  is more accurate than one on  $\ln N$ .

#### III. INFORMATION ENTROPY FOR A Λ IN A HYPERNUCLEUS

We construct a simple and (semi) analytical relativistic model of a hypernucleus from Refs. [15,16], where a Dirac equation with a scalar potential  $U_S(r)$  and the fourth component of a vector potential  $U_V(r)$  was considered in the case of rectangular shapes of these potentials with the same radius:

$$R = r_0 A_{core}^{1/3}$$
.

In Ref. [15] the Dirac equation was solved, and gave the wave functions G(r) and F(r) for the large and small components for a  $\Lambda$  particle in a hypernucleus. These components can be found in relations (12) and (13) of Ref. [15].

The Dirac spinors in terms of large (G) and small (F) components can be expressed

$$\psi_{nlj} = \begin{pmatrix} iG_{nlj}(r)/r \\ F_{nlj}(r)/r \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (10)

The density distribution of a  $\Lambda$  in position space is

$$\rho_{nlj}(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} [G_{nlj}^2(r)/r^2 + F_{nlj}^2(r)/r^2], \qquad (11)$$

and the normalization is

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty \rho_{nlj}(r)r^2 dr = 1.$$

In momentum space we have

$$\phi_{nlj}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} iX_{nlj}(k) \\ Y_{nlj}(k) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

where  $X_{nlj}(k)$  and  $Y_{nlj}(k)$  are the Fourier transforms of  $G_{nlj}(r)/r$  and  $F_{nlj}(r)/r$ , respectively. Thus the density distribution in momentum space is given by

$$n_{nlj}(k) = \frac{1}{4\pi} [X_{nlj}^2(k) + Y_{nlj}^2(k)], \qquad (13)$$

and the normalization is

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty n_{nlj}(k)k^2 dk = 1.$$

The information entropies of the  $\Lambda$  particle are calculated according to the relations

$$S_r = -4\pi \int_0^\infty \rho_{nlj}(r) \ln \rho_{nlj}(r) r^2 dr,$$
 (14)

$$S_k = -4\pi \int_0^\infty n_{nlj}(k) \ln n_{nlj}(k) k^2 dk,$$
 (15)



FIG. 1. (a) Values of the information entropies  $S_r$  (squares),  $S_k$  (circles), and  $S_r + S_k$  (triangles), calculated numerically, vs the number of particles *N*. These values correspond to the single-particle states of a proton in various nuclei, according to the SkIII interaction. The lines correspond to our fitted expressions  $S_r$  (or  $S_k$ ) =  $a + bN^{1/3}$ . (b) Comparison of the sum  $S_r + S_k$  for various proton single-particle states.

where  $\rho_{nlj}(r)$  and  $n_{nlj}(k)$  are given by Eqs. (11) and (13) respectively.

For the depths of the potential we used the values [15]  $D_+=30.55$  MeV,  $D_-=300$  MeV, and  $r_0=1.01$  fm, and the radius parameter  $R=r_0A_{core}^{1/3}$  obtained by fitting the experimental binding energies of the ground state of the  $\Lambda$  particle. In the following we put  $A_{core}=N$ 

TABLE II. Values of the *sp* energy (*E*) in MeV and entropy sum (*S*) for <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>40</sup>Ca, and <sup>208</sup>Pb and for the higher states of <sup>208</sup>Pb for protons and neutrons.

		Prot	ons	Neutrons		
Nucleus	State	Ε	S	Ε	S	
<sup>16</sup> O						
	$1 p_{1/2}$	-9.72	7.901	-13.00	7.892	
	$1p_{3/2}$	-15.09	7.859	-18.47	7.854	
<sup>40</sup> Ca						
	$1 p_{1/2}$	-22.47	7.818	-29.71	7.816	
	$1p_{3/2}$	-25.71	7.809	-33.00	7.807	
	$1d_{3/2}$	-7.91	8.693	-14.82	8.678	
	$1d_{5/2}$	-13.91	8.633	-20.95	8.626	
<sup>208</sup> Pb						
	$3p_{1/2}$			-6.96	9.949	
	$3p_{3/2}$			-7.98	9.942	
	$2f_{5/2}$			-8.27	10.138	
	$2f_{7/2}$			-11.04	10.131	
	$1h_{9/2}$			-12.48	9.981	
	$1h_{11/2}$	-9.49	9.871	-18.03	9.901	
	$2d_{3/2}$	-8.41	9.636	-17.48	9.660	
	$2d_{5/2}$	-10.16	9.607	-19.45	9.626	
	$1g_{7/2}$	-13.49	9.551	-21.97	9.577	
	$1g_{9/2}$	-17.23	9.516	-25.77	9.536	
	$2p_{1/2}$	-17.56	9.011	-26.71	9.026	
	$2p_{3/2}$	-18.55	8.997	-27.79	9.009	

=number of particles.

Next we fitted the expressions  $S_r$  (or  $S_k$ ) =  $a + bN^{1/3}$  to the values of  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  calculated from Eqs. (14) and (15), and found that these values are represented well. The values of the parameters a and b for various states are shown in Table I.

In Fig. 2(a) we plot our fitted expressions for  $S_r$ ,  $S_k$ , and  $S_r + S_k$  as functions of  $N^{1/3}$  for the 1s state. This is done for a  $\Lambda$  in a hypernucleus in a similar way as for a nucleon in a nucleus [Fig. 1(a)]. Similar graphs can be plotted for the higher states. In Fig. 2(b) we compare the sum  $S_r + S_k$  for various single-particle states of a  $\Lambda$  [similarly to Fig. 1(b)]. The spin-orbit ordering is reproduced correctly as in nuclei (Sec. II). A comparison of various states for some hypernuclei is shown in Table III.

### IV. INFORMATION ENTROPY FOR AN ELECTRON IN AN ATOMIC CLUSTER

We consider atomic (metallic) clusters composed of neutral sodium atoms, where the electrons move in an effective radial electronic potential parametrized by a Woods-Saxon potential of the form

$$V_{WS}(r) = \frac{-V_0}{1 + \exp[(r - R)/a]},$$
(16)

with  $V_0 = 6$  eV,  $R = r_0 N^{1/3}$ ,  $r_0 = 2.25$  Å, and a = 0.74 Å. For a detailed study regarding the parametrization of Ekardt's potentials, see Ref. [17].



FIG. 2. The same as in Fig. 1, for a  $\Lambda$  in hypernuclei employing a relativistic model.

We found the wave functions of the single-particle states in configuration space numerically solving the Schrödinger equation for atomic clusters for various values of the number of valence electrons N. The wave functions in momentum space were found by Fourier transforming the corresponding ones in configuration space. Using the above wave functions, we calculated the information entropies  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  [relations (5) and (6)] for single-particle states instead of the total density distributions as in Ref. [10]. Then we fitted the form  $S_r$  (or  $S_k$ ) =  $a + bN^{1/3}$  to these values, and found that these expressions well represent the values of  $S_r$  and  $S_k$ . In Fig. 3(a) we plot  $S_r$ ,  $S_k$ , and  $S_r + S_k$  as functions of  $N^{1/3}$  [similarly as in Figs. 1(a) and 2(a)] and in Fig. 3(b) we compare  $S_r + S_k$  for various states [similarly as in Figs. 1(b) and 2(b)]. In Table I we present the values of the parameters a and b which were obtained from the fitting.

## V. RELATIONSHIP OF THE INFORMATION ENTROPY WITH THE ENERGY OF SINGLE-PARTICLE STATES

In Fig. 4 we plot  $S_r + S_k$ , obtained with the HO model of the nucleus, versus the energy of the single-particle states. We use  $\hbar \omega = 41N^{-1/3}$  with N = 208 (Pb), and keep the quantum number *n* equal to 1. A fitting procedure gives, for n = 1, the relation

$$S = k \ln(\mu E + \nu), \tag{17}$$

where k = 2.0206,  $\mu = 3.5373$  MeV<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\nu = -12.5320$ . Similar relations hold for n > 1.

Next we plot the sum  $S_r + S_k$  as a function of the energy *E* of single-particle states for a proton in a nucleus according to SkIII interaction for <sup>208</sup>Pb (Fig. 5) and an electron in an atomic cluster with N = 198 (Fig. 6) for n = 1. Similar curves hold for larger values of n > 1. In both cases the dependence of  $S_r + S_k$  on *E* can be represented well by the functional form [Eq. (17)]. The values of the constants are the following:

$$\mu = 1.5262, \quad \mu = 17.3043 \text{ MeV}^{-1},$$
  
 $\nu = 793.109 \text{ for a proton in a nucleus}$   
 $k = 1.2386, \quad \mu = 1481.48 \text{ eV}^{-1}.$ 

 $\nu = 8730.52$  for an electron in a cluster.

State	A <sub>core</sub>	E	S	A <sub>core</sub>	E	S	A <sub>core</sub>	E	S
$1p_{1/2}$	39	-7.737	7.932	50	-10.079	7.894	88	-15.167	7.850
$1 p_{3/2}$	39	-9.040	7.883	50	-10.903	7.859	88	-15.576	7.828
$1p_{1/2}$	137	-18.462	7.837	207	-20.973	7.835			
$1p_{3/2}$	137	-18.705	7.821	207	-21.123	7.822			
$1d_{3/2}$	137	-9.713	8.659	207	-13.820	8.623			
$1d_{5/2}$	137	-10.477	8.633	207	-14.264	8.603			



FIG. 3. The same as in Fig. 1, for an electron in atomic clusters with a Woods-Saxon potential.

A similar relation may be obtained for a  $\Lambda$  in a hypernucleus, but the number of values of  $S_r+S_k$  available is small. Relation (17) can be extracted from the asymptotic form for the one-dimensional HO given in Eq. (31) of Ref. [7]. However, in the present work we extend the calculations to more realistic three-dimensional systems, and we obtain relation (17).

#### VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Comparing our results in Secs. II, III, and IV, we see that a similar functional form  $S_r$  (or  $S_k$ ) =  $a + bN^{1/3}$  describes



FIG. 4. The values of the entropy sum  $S_r + S_k$  (squares) of single-particle states for a nucleon in Pb<sup>208</sup> according to the HO model for n=1. The line corresponds to our fitted expression  $S = k \ln(\mu E + \nu)$ .

well the information entropies  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  of the singleparticle states for a nucleon in a nucleus, a  $\Lambda$  in a hypernucleus, and a valence electron in an atomic cluster, although the single-particle potentials are different. We conjecture that this is a universal trend of the information entropies  $S_r$  and  $S_k$  for a fermion in a mean field, while the net information



FIG. 5. The same as in Fig. 4, but for a proton in  $Pb^{208}$  according to the SkIII interaction for n = 1.



FIG. 6. The same as in Fig. 4, but for an electron in atomic clusters (N = 198) using the Woods-Saxon potential for n = 1.

content  $S_r + S_k$  of the single-particle states of a fermion in a mean field is a slowly varying function of N of the form  $S = a + bN^{1/3}$  for the systems considered above. For nuclei and a simple HO potential,  $S_r + S_k$  is exactly a constant independent of N, i.e., b = 0. We note that in Ref. [10] we found the

- I. Bialynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski, Commun. Math. Phys. 44, 129 (1975).
- [2] S. R. Gadre, Phys. Rev. A 30, 620 (1984).
- [3] S. R. Gadre, S. B. Sears, S. J. Chacravorty, and R. D. Bendale, Phys. Rev. A 32, 2602 (1985).
- [4] S. R. Garde and R. D. Bendale, Phys. Rev. A 36, 1932 (1987).
- [5] M. Ohya and P. Petz, *Quantum Entropy and its Use* (Springer Berlin, 1993).
- [6] A. Nagy and R. G. Parr, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 58, 323 (1996).
- [7] V. Majernic and T. Opatrny, J. Phys. A 29, 2187 (1996).
- [8] C. P. Panos and S. E. Massen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 6, 497 (1997).
- [9] G. A. Lalazissis, S. E. Massen, C. P. Panos, and S. S. Dimitrova, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 7, 485 (1998).

universal property  $S = a + b \ln N$  for the total density distributions of various systems.

In both cases it is not clear why *S* depends linearly on  $\ln N$  (total densities) or linearly on  $N^{1/3}$  (single-particle states), but we note that in atomic physics there is already a connection of the information entropy with experiment, i.e., with fundamental and/or experimental quantities, e.g., the kinetic energy or the magnetic susceptibility. Both characteristics have been used in a study of the dynamics of atomic and molecular systems [18]. This connection established the information entropy as an interesting entity for atomic physics. In the present paper we obtained a relationship of  $S_r+S_k$  with a fundamental quantity as the energy of the single-particle states, i.e.,  $S = k \ln(\mu E + \nu)$ . It is remarkable that the same functional form holds for various systems.

*S* is a monotonic (increasing) function of the *sp* energy (for quantum number n = const), e.g.,  $1p_{1/2}$  has an energy larger than  $1p_{3/2}$ . Thus  $1p_{1/2}$  has a value of *S* larger than  $1p_{3/2}$ . This gives the correct ordering. This rule is verified by our numerical calculations, as can be seen in Table II for nuclei and Table III for hypernuclei.

In Table I we observe a change of sign of the parameter *b* from a 1*s* state to other *sp* states. For the HO  $S_r + S_k$  does not depend on *N*, as can be seen by adding Eqs. (8) and (9). However, for more realistic cases (examined in the present work) this cancellation is not exact. The change of sign of the parameter *b* from the 1*s* state to other single-particle states is due to an interplay of the two terms. It is remarkable that the same sign change occurs for all the cases under consideration.

- [10] S. E. Massen and C. P. Panos, Phys. Lett. A 246, 530 (1998).
- [11] S. K. Ghosh, M. Berkowitz, and R. G. Parr, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81, 8028 (1984).
- [12] M. Hall, Phys. Rev. A 50, 3295 (1994).
- [13] C. B. Dover and N. Van Giai, Nucl. Phys. A190, 373 (1972).
- [14] G. F. Bertsch, *The Practitioner's Shell Model* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972).
- [15] M. E. Grypeos, C. G. Koutroulos, and G. J. Papadopoulos, Phys. Rev. A 50, 29 (1994).
- [16] G. J. Papadopoulos, C. G. Koutroulos, and M. E. Grypeos, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **39**, 455 (2000).
- [17] B. A. Kotsos and M. E. Grypeos, Physica B 229, 173 (1997).
- [18] R. G. Parr and W. Yang, Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).