QED radiative corrections to virtual Compton scattering

M. Vanderhaeghen,¹ J. M. Friedrich,¹ D. Lhuillier,² D. Marchand,³ L. Van Hoorebeke,⁴ and J. Van de Wiele³

¹Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg Universität, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

²CEA/Saclay, DAPNIA/SPhN, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³Institut de Physique Nucléaire, F-91406 Orsay, France

⁴FWO-Vlaanderen, RUG, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

(Received 25 February 2000; published 25 July 2000)

The QED radiative corrections to virtual Compton scattering (reaction $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$) are calculated to first order in $\alpha_{em} \equiv e^2/4\pi$. A detailed study is presented for the one-loop virtual corrections and for the first order soft-photon emission contributions. Furthermore, a full numerical calculation is given for the radiative tail, corresponding to photon emission processes, where the photon energy is not very small compared with the lepton momenta. We compare our results with existing works on elastic electron-proton scattering, and show for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction how the observables are modified due to these first order QED radiative corrections. We show results for both unpolarized and polarized observables of virtual Compton scattering in the low energy region (where one is sensitive to the generalized polarizabilities of the nucleon), as well as for the deeply virtual Compton scattering.

PACS number(s): 13.40.Ks, 13.60.Fz

I. INTRODUCTION

Virtual Compton scattering (VCS) has become in recent years a new and versatile tool in the study of nucleon structure and has triggered an important activity on both the theoretical and experimental side (see, e.g., [1,2]). VCS, which is accessed through the $(e, e' \gamma)$ reaction, is studied now in various kinematical domains.

At low energy, below pion production threshold, it allows one to access generalized polarizabilities of the proton [3,4]. These response functions, which constitute new nucleon structure observables, have been calculated in various approaches and models [3,5-9]. To extract this nucleon structure information from VCS below pion production threshold, a considerable experimental effort is taking place at various electron laboratories. The first few events of VCS were observed in [10]. The first dedicated VCS experiment has been performed at MAMI and, for the first time, two combinations of generalized polarizabilities have been determined at a four-momentum squared $Q^2 = 0.33$ GeV² [11]. An experiment at higher Q^2 (1-2 GeV²) at JLab [12] has already been performed, which is under analysis at the time of writing, and a further experiment at lower O^2 is planned at MIT-Bates [13].

The VCS is also studied vigorously in the Bjorken regime (where the photon virtuality Q^2 and the photon-proton c.m. energy \sqrt{s} are both large, with Q^2/s finite), which is referred to as deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS). In this region, the DVCS amplitude is parametrized at leading order in Q in terms of six generalized parton distributions [14–16], commonly denoted as skewed parton distributions (four quark helicity conserving functions and two which involve a quark helicity flip). This field has generated by now a whole theoretical industry, and first experiments of DVCS and related hard electroproduction reactions are being performed, analyzed or planned at JLab [17], HERMES/HERA [18], and COMPASS [19].

The first absolute measurement of the VCS cross section

on the nucleon performed at MAMI [11] indicates that QED radiative corrections provide an important contribution to the $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$ reaction (of the order of 20% in the kinematics considered in [11]). The $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction is particular in comparison with, e.g., elastic electron scattering because the photon can be emitted from either the proton side (this is the VCS process which contains the nucleon structure information of interest) or from one of the electrons (which is the parasitic Bethe-Heitler process). The radiative corrections to the Bethe-Heitler process are formally different when compared to the case of electron scattering. The importance of a very good understanding of the radiative corrections is indispensable if one wants to extract nucleon structure information from the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, especially in those kinematical situations where the Bethe-Heitler process is not negligible. The calculation of these QED radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction to first order in $\alpha_{em} \equiv e^2/4\pi$ $\approx 1/137.036$, is the subject of this paper.

Radiative corrections were first calculated by Schwinger for potential scattering [20]. Tsai [21] extended the calculation of the radiative corrections to electron-proton scattering. The field has a long history and we refer to the standard review papers [22,23], which were used in the interpretation of many electron scattering experiments.

The outline of the present paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the kinematics and notations used for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, and give the lowest order amplitudes.

In Sec. III, we give the first order QED radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. We first calculate, in Sec. III A, the one-loop virtual radiative corrections originating from the lepton side, to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. Our strategy used to evaluate the rather complicated loop integrals, is to solve first simpler loop integrals, which contain entirely the ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) divergences, and in which the lowest order amplitudes factorize. These simpler loop integrals are evaluated analytically. The finite remainder with respect to the original amplitude is then expressed through Feynman parameter integrals, which are calculated numerically in this work.

In Sec. III B, we calculate the soft photon emission contributions from the lepton side, to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. We discuss the similarities and differences with the bremsstrahlung contribution to elastic electron-nucleon scattering. These bremsstrahlung processes contain IR divergences which are shown to cancel exactly the IR divergences from the virtual photon processes.

In Sec. III C, the numerical method to evaluate the remaining finite Feynman parameter integrals is presented. We discuss subsequently the cases where the integrand is regular or singular, the latter originating from the propagation of on-shell intermediate states in the one-loop corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. In particular, we discuss the different numerical checks performed and the accuracy of the calculation.

In Sec. III D, we discuss the radiative corrections at the proton side and the two-photon exchange corrections, by referring to the recent work of Maximon and Tjon [24].

In Sec. IV, we give a full numerical calculation for elastic electron-proton scattering of the photon emission processes where the photon energy is not very small compared with the lepton momenta, and which makes up the radiative tail. We compare this full calculation with an approximate procedure based on the angular peaking approximation, and show to what extent the full calculation validates the approximate method for the case of elastic electron-nucleon scattering. The approximate method will be seen to be realistic enough to apply it next to the calculation of the radiative tail in the case of VCS.

In Sec. V, we start by briefly discussing the radiative corrections to elastic electron-proton scattering. We apply the radiative corrections to elastic scattering data on the proton. We next give our results for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, and indicate how the observables are modified due to the first order QED radiative corrections. We discuss first the polarizability region for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, corresponding to a low outgoing photon energy. We show results for both unpolarized and polarized cross sections in MAMI and JLab kinematics. Subsequently, we give the effect of the first order QED radiative corrections to the DVCS cross section and the electron single spin asymmetry.

Finally, we give our conclusions in Sec. VI.

We present technical details needed in the calculations, in two appendices. In Appendix A, we calculate the radiative corrections to elastic lepton-nucleon scattering, which serves as a point of comparison with the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. In particular, we present the details of the calculation of the soft photon emission contributions, and perform analytically the phase space integral over the soft photon in an exact way. We compare with other calculations in the literature. In Appendix B, we present some technical details on the integration method used to evaluate singular Feynman parameter integrals.

II. LOWEST ORDER AMPLITUDES OF THE $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ REACTION

The lowest order (in α_{em}) contributions to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction are given by the one-photon exchange processes.

We denote in this work the four-momenta of the initial and final electrons by $k(E_e, \vec{k}_e)$ and $k'(E'_e, \vec{k}'_e)$; the fourmomenta of the initial and final protons by $p(E_N, \vec{p}_N)$ and $p'(E'_N, \vec{p}'_N)$; and the four-momentum of the outgoing photon by $q'(|\vec{q}'|, \vec{q}')$. Furthermore, we denote $q \equiv k - k' = p' - p$ +q' and $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$. The masses of the electron and proton are denoted by *m* and M_N , respectively. The helicities of the initial (final) electrons are denoted by h(h'); the spins of initial (final) protons by $s_p(s'_p)$; and the polarization fourvector of the outgoing photon by ε . The spinors of initial and final electrons are denoted by u(k,h) and u(k',h'); whereas the spinors of initial and final protons are denoted by $N(p,s_p)$ and $N(p',s'_p)$. Throughout this work, we follow the conventions of Bjorken and Drell [25].

In Figs. 1 (BHi) and (BHf), which are known as the Bethe-Heitler (BH) diagrams, a photon is emitted by either the incident or final electrons. The expressions for Figs. 1 (BHi) and (BHf) are given, respectively, by

$$M_{BH}^{i} = ie^{3}\bar{u}(k',h')\gamma^{\nu}\frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k\cdot q'} \times \epsilon^{*}u(k,h)\frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}}\bar{N}(p',s_{p}')\Gamma_{\nu}(p',p)N(p,s_{p}),$$
(1)

$$M_{BH}^{f} = ie^{3}\bar{u}(k',h')\boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}\frac{(\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{q}'+m)}{2k'\cdot q'} \times \gamma^{\nu}u(k,h)\frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}}\bar{N}(p',s_{p}')\Gamma_{\nu}(p',p)N(p,s_{p}),$$
(2)

where the electron charge is given by (-e) (i.e., e > 0 in this work). The on-shell electromagnetic vertex at the hadron side Γ_{ν} in Eqs. (1) and (2) is given by

$$\Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) = F_1((p'-p)^2)\gamma_{\nu} + F_2((p'-p)^2)i\sigma_{\nu\lambda}\frac{(p'-p)^{\lambda}}{2M_N},$$
(3)

where F_1 and F_2 are, respectively, the Dirac and Pauli electromagnetic (on-shell) form factors of the nucleon. The fourmomentum squared of the virtual photon in the BH processes is $t = (p'-p)^2$, in contrast to q^2 , which is the fourmomentum squared for the VCS process $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \gamma p$, where the final photon is emitted from the hadron side.

The amplitude of the VCS contribution to the $e^-p \rightarrow e^- p \gamma$ reaction is given by

(BHi)



(BHf)

Ì

FIG. 1. Tree level contributions to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction: Bethe-Heitler diagrams (a) and (b); nucleon Born diagrams (c) and (d).

$$M_{VCS} = -ie^{3}\bar{u}(k',h')\gamma_{\nu}u(k,h)\frac{1}{q^{2}}\varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}H^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (4)

Remark that for a positively charged lepton, the VCS amplitude changes sign. In Eq. (4), the gauge-invariant, hadronic tensor $H^{\mu\nu}$ is defined by

$$H^{\mu\nu} = -i \int d^4x \, e^{-iq.x} \langle p' | T[j^{\nu}(x), j^{\mu}(0)] | p \rangle, \qquad (5)$$

where T represents the time ordering, and j^{ν} the electromagnetic current operator.

For the DVCS process in the Bjorken limit, the hadronic tensor of Eq. (5) is parametrized in terms of six leading twist skewed parton distributions (see, e.g., [16]).

For the VCS process at low energy, as investigated experimentally in [11-13], an important contribution to the tensor of Eq. (5) originates from the nucleon pole contributions shown in Figs. 1 (BORNi) and (BORNf). The contributions of the Born diagrams to the hadronic tensor are given by

$$H_{BORN,i}^{\mu\nu} = \bar{N}(p',s_p')\Gamma^{\nu}(p',p-q')\frac{(\not\!\!p-\not\!\!q'+M_N)}{-2p\cdot q'} \times \Gamma^{\mu}(p-q',p)N(p,s_p),$$
(6)

$$H_{BORN,f}^{\mu\nu} = \bar{N}(p',s_p')\Gamma^{\mu}(p',p'+q')\frac{(\not p'+\not q'+M_N)}{2p'\cdot q'} \times \Gamma^{\nu}(p'+q',p)N(p,s_p),$$
(7)

where the vertex Γ^{μ} is now evaluated for off mass-shell values of one of its arguments. In Ref. [3], the Born diagrams were evaluated by using the vertex of Eq. (3). Doing so, the Born diagrams are separately gauge invariant. All nucleon structure effects are then absorbed in a non-Born amplitude which is regular in q' and for which the low energy theorem (LET) requires that it start at order q'. The nucleon structure effects to the VCS tensor [Eq. (5)] below pion threshold, are then parametrized at order q' in terms of six generalized (i.e., Q^2 dependent) nucleon polarizabilities [3,4].

III. FIRST ORDER RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS TO THE $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ REACTION

A. Virtual radiative corrections

In this section, we calculate the one-loop QED virtual radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, which are represented in Fig. 2. We first consider the corrections originating from the leptonic side, which are numerically the dominant ones. The corrections originating from the hadronic side, for which a nucleon structure model is needed, will be discussed and estimated in Sec. III D.

The virtual radiative corrections to the BH process contain vertex corrections: Figs. 2 (V1i–V3i) and (V1f–V3f); electron self-energy corrections: Figs. 2 (Si, Sf); and vacuum polarization corrections: Figs. 2 (P1i, P1f). We indicate in our notation of the different diagrams whether the photon in



FIG. 2. First order virtual photon radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction.

the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction is emitted from the initial (i) electron or from the final (f) electron.

The part of the virtual radiative corrections to the VCS process (i.e., where the photon in the reaction $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ is emitted from the hadronic side) which can be calculated model-independently, consists of the vertex diagram shown in Fig. 2 (V4) and the vacuum polarization diagram shown in Fig. 2 (P2). The blob in those figures represents the VCS process. For VCS below pion threshold, the blob is given by the Born diagrams [Fig. 1 (BORNi) and (BORNf)] + non-Born diagrams, which describe the nucleon polarizability effects. For DVCS, the blob is given in leading order by the so-called handbag diagrams, where the photon hits a quark in the proton [14,15,2].

The calculation of the virtual radiative corrections to the VCS process is similar to that for electron scattering. The virtual radiative corrections to the Bethe-Heitler process are different, but involve the same one-loop building blocks, i.e., electron vertex, electron self-energy, and photon self-energy.

Therefore, we give in Appendix A (Secs. A 1-A 4) the derivation and the expressions for these basic building blocks, and we apply it to elastic electron-nucleon scattering. In our calculations, we use the dimensional regularization method to treat both ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) divergences. This amounts to evaluate all loop integrals in D dimensions. The divergences then show up (when one takes $D \rightarrow 4$) as poles of the form $1/\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \equiv 2 - D/2$. UV divergences are regularized by taking D < 4 (i.e., $\varepsilon_{UV} = 2 - D/2 > 0$), whereas IR divergences are regularized by taking D>4 (i.e., $\varepsilon_{IR} = 2 - D/2 < 0$). Care has to be taken as to isolate the UV and IR divergent parts in the loop integrals first, as two different limits are understood when one takes D=4 at the end. The technical details of our calculational method can also be found in Appendix A. We apply it here to calculate the diagrams of Fig. 2 to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction.

1. Vertex correction diagrams of Figs. 2 (V1i) and (V1f)

The amplitude corresponding to Fig. 2 (V1i) is given by

QED RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS TO VIRTUAL ...

$$M_{V1}^{i} = \frac{e^{3}}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \\ \times \bar{u}(k',h') \gamma^{\nu} \frac{(\pmb{k}-\pmb{q}'+m)}{-2k\cdot q'} \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{\gamma^{\alpha}(\pmb{k}-\pmb{q}'-l+m) \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}(\pmb{k}-l+m) \gamma_{\alpha}}{[l^{2}][l^{2}-2l\cdot k][l^{2}-2l\cdot (k-q')-2k\cdot q']} u(k,h),$$
(8)

where a mass scale μ (renormalization scale) is introduced when passing to $D \neq 4$ dimensions in order to keep the coupling constant dimensionless. One sees by inspection that the loop integral in Eq. (8), when taking D=4, is IR finite $(l \rightarrow 0$ behavior), but has an UV divergence $(l \rightarrow \infty)$ behavior). Our strategy to evaluate a complicated loop integral as in Eq. (8), is to solve first a simpler loop integral which contains entirely the UV divergence and which can be done analytically more easily. We observe from Eq. (8) that only the term in the numerator proportional to $\gamma^{\alpha} l \boldsymbol{\ell}^* l \gamma_{\alpha}$ is responsible for the UV divergence. To evaluate it, we add a similar term by replacing one factor in the denominator and evaluate this term analytically. In order to obtain the equivalence with $M_{v_1}^i$, we have to subtract the added term again from the expression of Eq. (8). This leads to

$$M_{V1}^{i} = \frac{e^{5}}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \overline{u}(k',h') \gamma^{\nu} \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \left\{ \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{\gamma^{\alpha} l \ell^{\ast} l \gamma_{\alpha}}{[l^{2}][l^{2}-2l \cdot k][l^{2}-2l \cdot k']} \right. \\ \left. + 2 \int \frac{d^{4}l}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{l \ell^{\ast} (k-q') + k \ell^{\ast} l - m^{2} \ell^{\ast} + 4m \epsilon^{\ast} \cdot (k-l) - k \ell^{\ast} (k-q')}{[l^{2}][l^{2}-2l \cdot k][l^{2}-2l \cdot (k-q') - 2k \cdot q']} \right. \\ \left. + 2 \int \frac{d^{4}l}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{-2l \ell^{\ast} l[l \cdot (q-q') + k \cdot q']}{[l^{2}][l^{2}-2l \cdot k][l^{2}-2l \cdot (k-q') - 2k \cdot q']} \right\} u(k,h).$$

$$(9)$$

It should be remarked that only the first term within curly brackets of Eq. (9) is UV divergent and has therefore to be evaluated in D dimensions using the dimensional regularization method. As can be seen by power counting, the rest is UV finite and can therefore readily be evaluated for D=4. The denominator in the UV divergent term of Eq. (9) was chosen so that it corresponds to the vertex correction which appears in electron scattering. Therefore, this UV divergent term can be calculated analytically in a manner similar to that given in Appendix A. The result is given by

$$\mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{\gamma^{\alpha} l \boldsymbol{\ell}^{\ast} l \gamma_{\alpha}}{[l^{2}][l^{2}-2l \cdot k']} = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\ell}^{\ast} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}} \right) + 1 - v \ln \left(\frac{v+1}{v-1} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{\ast} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{\ast} \boldsymbol{\ell} + \frac{1}{Q^{2}v} \ln \left(\frac{v+1}{v-1} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{\ast} \boldsymbol{k}' + \boldsymbol{k}' \boldsymbol{\ell}^{\ast} \boldsymbol{k} + \left(\frac{v^{2}+1}{2} \right) \boldsymbol{\ell} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{\ast} \boldsymbol{\ell} \right] \right\},$$
(10)

where v is defined as

$$v^2 = 1 + \frac{4m^2}{Q^2}.$$
 (11)

The UV divergence in Eq. (10) is removed by the corresponding vertex counterterm as given by Eqs. (A6) and (A15)

$$(CT)_{V1}^{i} = M_{BH}^{i} \frac{(-e^{2})}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + 2\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + 4 \right\},$$
(12)

where we have used the expression of Eq. (1) for the BH amplitude M_{BH}^{i} . Adding the counterterm of Eq. (12) to Eq. (9) and introducing a Feynman parametrization in the second and third terms of Eq. (9) in order to perform the integrals over *l*, yields the total, UV finite result:

$$-4\int_{0}^{1} dx_{3}x_{3}^{2}\int_{0}^{1} dx_{2}x_{2}\int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{A^{i}} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*} + \frac{1}{(A^{i})^{2}} \boldsymbol{P}^{i} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*} \boldsymbol{P}^{i} \right) (P^{i} \cdot (q - q') + k \cdot q') - \frac{1}{2A^{i}} ((\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{q}') \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*} \boldsymbol{P}^{i} + \boldsymbol{P}^{i} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*} (\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{q}')) \right] u(k, h),$$
(13)

with the four-vector P^i defined by

$$P^{i} \equiv (k - q')(1 - x_{3}) + (k - qx_{1})x_{2}x_{3}, \qquad (14)$$

and the scalars A^i and B^i defined by

$$A^{i} \equiv 2k \cdot q'(1 - x_{3}) + (P^{i})^{2}$$
(15)

and

$$B_1^i \equiv 2k \cdot q' x(1-y) + m^2 y.$$
(16)

Remark that although Eq. (13) is UV finite, it contains now an IR divergence coming from the vertex counterterm of Eq. (12) as shown in Appendix A [Eq. (A15)]. We will demonstrate however in Sec. III B, that all IR divergences, of the one-loop corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, are cancelled by the soft photon emission contributions.

The Feynman parameter integrals in Eq. (13) which orginate from the finite integrals in Eq. (9) remain to be evaluated. As an analytical calculation of these integrals is rather complicated, we will evaluate them numerically in this paper, which will be discussed in Sec. III C.

In a completely similar way as for Fig. 2 (V1i), the total amplitude including the counterterm corresponding to Fig. 2 (V1f) yields

$$M_{V1}^{f} + (CT)_{V1}^{f} = M_{BH}^{f} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ -2 \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] - 3 - v \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \right\} + \frac{ie^{5}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \\ \times \overline{u}(k',h') \left\{ \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \left[\left(-1 + \frac{v^{2}+1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \right) \right] \left\{ \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}' \mathbf{k}' + \frac{1}{v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \right\} \left\{ \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}' \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}' \mathbf{k}' \mathbf{k} \right\} \right] \\ - 2 \int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{B_{1}^{f}} \left[y(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}') \mathbf{k}' (\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}'x) + y(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}'x) \mathbf{k}' \mathbf{k}' + 4m(\varepsilon^{*} \cdot k')(1-y) - (\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}') \mathbf{k}' \mathbf{k}' - m^{2} \mathbf{k}'' \right] \\ + 4 \int_{0}^{1} dx_{3} x_{3}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{2} x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{A^{f}} \mathbf{k}' + \frac{1}{(A^{f})^{2}} \mathbf{P}^{f} \mathbf{k}' \mathbf{P}^{f} \right) (P^{f} \cdot (q-q') + k' \cdot q') \\ - \frac{1}{2A^{f}} ((\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \mathbf{k}'' \mathbf{P}^{f} + \mathbf{P}^{f} \mathbf{k}' (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}')) \right] \frac{(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{q}' + m)}{2k' \cdot q'} \gamma^{\nu} u(k,h),$$

$$(17)$$

with the four-vector P^f defined by

$$P^{f} \equiv (k'+q')(1-x_{3}) + (k'+qx_{1})x_{2}x_{3},$$
(18)

and the scalars A^f and B_1^f defined by

$$A^{f} \equiv -2k' \cdot q'(1-x_{3}) + (P^{f})^{2}, \tag{19}$$

and

$$B_1^f = -2k' \cdot q' x(1-y) + m^2 y.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

2. Vertex correction diagrams of Figs. 2 (V2i) and (V2f)

The amplitude corresponding to Fig. 2 (V2i) is given by

QED RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS TO VIRTUAL ...

5

$$M_{V2}^{i} = \frac{e^{-3}}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \times \bar{u}(k',h') \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{\gamma^{\alpha}(k'-l+m)\gamma^{\nu}(k-q'-l+m)\gamma_{\alpha}}{[l^{2}][l^{2}-2l\cdot k'][l^{2}-2l\cdot (k-q')-2k\cdot q']} \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k\cdot q'} \epsilon^{*} u(k,h).$$
(21)

One sees from Eq. (21) that again only the term proportional to $\gamma^{\alpha} l \gamma^{\nu} l \gamma_{\alpha}$ in the numerator contains an UV divergence for D=4. To evaluate the loop integral of Eq. (21), we therefore apply a similar trick as used before in Eq. (9). This amounts to adding and subtracting a term in Eq. (21) by replacing $[l^2-2l\cdot(k-q')-2k\cdot q']$ in the denominator by $(l^2-2l\cdot k')$, which contains entirely the UV divergence. The further steps are then analogous to those following Eq. (9), and yield the following result for Fig. 2 (V2i):

$$\begin{split} M_{V2}^{i} + (CT)_{V2}^{i} &= M_{BH}^{i} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \bigg\{ -2 \bigg[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\bigg(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}} \bigg) \bigg] - 3 - v \ln\bigg(\frac{v+1}{v-1} \bigg) \bigg\} + \frac{ie^{5}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \\ &\times \overline{u}(k',h') \bigg\{ \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \bigg[\bigg(-1 + \frac{v^{2}+1}{2v} \ln\bigg(\frac{v+1}{v-1} \bigg) \bigg) \bigg\} q^{\nu} q' q + \frac{1}{v} \ln\bigg(\frac{v+1}{v-1} \bigg) \bigg\{ k \gamma^{\nu} k' + k' \gamma^{\nu} k \bigg\} \bigg] \\ &- 2 \int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{B_{2}^{i}} [y(k-q') \gamma^{\nu} (k' + (q-q')x) + y(k' + (q-q')x) \gamma^{\nu} k' \\ &+ 4m(k')^{\nu} (1-y) - (k-q') \gamma^{\nu} k' - m^{2} \gamma^{\nu}] \\ &- 4 \int_{0}^{1} dx_{3} x_{3}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{2} x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \bigg[\bigg(\frac{1}{A^{i}} \gamma^{\nu} + \frac{1}{(A^{i})^{2}} P^{i} \gamma^{\nu} P^{i} \bigg) (q' \cdot (k-P^{i})) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2A^{i}} (q' \gamma^{\nu} P^{i} + P^{i} \gamma^{\nu} q') \bigg] \bigg\} \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \xi^{*} u(k,h), \end{split}$$

where A^i is given as in Eq. (15) and where

$$B_{2}^{i} \equiv m^{2}y + x^{2}y(q-q')^{2} + 2xk \cdot q' + 2xyk' \cdot (q-q').$$
⁽²³⁾

In an analogous way, the amplitude corresponding to Fig. 2 (V2f) can be calculated, and yields

$$\begin{split} M_{V2}^{f} + (CT)_{V2}^{f} &= M_{BH}^{f} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \bigg\{ -2 \bigg[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\bigg(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}} \bigg) \bigg] - 3 - \upsilon \ln\bigg(\frac{\upsilon + 1}{\upsilon - 1} \bigg) \bigg\} + \frac{ie^{5}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{(p' - p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p', s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p', p) N(p, s_{p}) \\ &\times \overline{u}(k', h') \not \epsilon^{*} \frac{(k' + q' + m)}{2k' \cdot q'} \bigg\{ \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \bigg[\bigg(-1 + \frac{\upsilon^{2} + 1}{2\upsilon} \ln\bigg(\frac{\upsilon + 1}{\upsilon - 1} \bigg) \bigg) \dot{q} \gamma^{\nu} \dot{q} + \frac{1}{\upsilon} \ln\bigg(\frac{\upsilon + 1}{\upsilon - 1} \bigg) \big\{ k \gamma^{\nu} k' + k' \gamma^{\nu} k \big\} \bigg] \\ &- 2 \int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{B_{2}^{i}} [y k \gamma^{\nu} (k - (\dot{q} - \dot{q}') x) + y (k - (\dot{q} - \dot{q}') x) \gamma^{\nu} (k' + \dot{q}') \\ &+ 4m(k)^{\nu} (1 - y) - k \gamma^{\nu} (k' + \dot{q}') - m^{2} \gamma^{\nu}] \\ &+ 4 \int_{0}^{1} dx_{3} x_{3}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{2} x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \bigg[\bigg(\frac{1}{A^{f}} \gamma^{\nu} + \frac{1}{(A^{f})^{2}} P^{f} \gamma^{\nu} P^{f} \bigg) (q' \cdot (k' - P^{f})) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2A^{f}} (\dot{q}' \gamma^{\nu} P^{f} + P^{f} \gamma^{\nu} \dot{q}') \bigg] \bigg\} u(k,h), \end{split}$$

where A^f is given as in Eq. (19) and where

$$B_2^f \equiv m^2 y + x^2 y (q - q')^2 - 2xk' \cdot q' - 2xyk \cdot (q - q').$$
⁽²⁵⁾

3. Vertex correction diagrams of Figs. 2 (V3i) and (V3f)

The amplitude M_{V3}^i corresponding to Fig. 2 (V3i) is given by

~

$$M_{V3}^{i} = \frac{e^{3}}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p})$$

$$\times \bar{u}(k',h') \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{\gamma^{\alpha}(k'+l+m) \gamma^{\nu}(k-q'+l+m) \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}(k+l+m) \gamma_{\alpha}}{[l^{2}][l^{2}+2l\cdot k'][l^{2}+2l\cdot k][l^{2}+2l\cdot (k-q')-2k\cdot q']} u(k,h).$$
(26)

Remark that the loop integral in Eq. (26) is UV finite but contains an IR divergence for D=4. This is because in Fig. 2 (V3i), a soft virtual photon $(l \rightarrow 0)$ couples on both sides to on-shell electron lines. To isolate the IR divergence, we first decompose the numerator in Eq. (26) by using the relations $\bar{u}(k',h')\gamma^{\alpha}(k'+m)=\bar{u}(k',h')2k'_{\alpha}$ and $(k+m)\gamma_{\alpha}u(k,h)=2k_{\alpha}u(k,h)$. This yields

$$M_{V3}^{i} = \frac{e^{5}}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \bar{u}(k',h') \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{[l^{2}][l^{2}+2l\cdot k'][l^{2}+2l\cdot k][l^{2}+2l\cdot (k-q')-2k\cdot q']} \times \{4(k\cdot k') \gamma^{\nu}(k-q'+m) \epsilon^{*} + 4(k\cdot k') \gamma^{\nu}l \epsilon^{*} + 2\gamma^{\nu}(k-q'+l+m) \epsilon^{*}l k' + 2k l \gamma^{\nu}(k-q'+l+m) \epsilon^{*} + \gamma^{\alpha} l \gamma^{\nu}(k-q'+l+m) \epsilon^{*}l \gamma_{\alpha}\} u(k,h).$$

$$(27)$$

In Eq. (27), only the term in the numerator which is l independent (the first term within the curly brackets) contains an IR divergence, whereas all the other terms are finite. As before, instead of aiming at an analytical formula for a rather complicated integral, we evaluate the IR divergent part of the integral in Eq. (27) by adding and subtracting a term that contains the divergence and that can be performed analytically rather easily. In constructing this term, we are looking for a denominator which contains the same dependence as the basic BH process in order that this BH amplitude can be factored from this IR divergent term. This yields the following expression, which is by construction identical to Eq. (27):

$$M_{V3}^{i} = \frac{e^{5}}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \bar{u}(k',h') \left\{ \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{4(k\cdot k') \gamma^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}'+m)\boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}}{[l^{2}][l^{2}+2l\cdot k'][l^{2}+2l\cdot k][l^{2}+2l\cdot k'][l^{2}+2l\cdot k'][l^{$$

Remark that the added term [first term of Eq. (28)] contains the IR divergence whereas the other terms of Eq. (28) do not have any divergences so that the corresponding integrals may be performed directly in four dimensions as indicated. For the first term of Eq. (28) we furthermore see that the *l*-independent part of the energy denominator is the same as the one occuring in the corresponding Bethe-Heitler diagram [Fig. 1(a)]. The *l*-dependent part of the energy denominator for this term is the same as the one for the vertex correction to elastic electron scattering, Eq. (A9). The corresponding integral may therefore be evaluated analytically in a similar way as was done in Appendix A. This yields for the IR divergent term in Eq. (28)

$$\frac{e^{5}}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \overline{u}(k',h') \gamma^{\nu} \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k\cdot q'} \epsilon^{*} u(k,h) \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{4(k\cdot k')}{[l^{2}][l^{2}+2l\cdot k'][l^{2}+2l\cdot k']} \\
= M_{BH}^{i} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \frac{v^{2}+1}{v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) + \frac{v^{2}+1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \ln\left(\frac{v^{2}-1}{4v^{2}}\right) \\
+ \frac{v^{2}+1}{v} \left[Sp\left(\frac{v+1}{2v}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{v-1}{2v}\right) \right] \right\}.$$
(29)

The evaluation of the finite four-dimensional integral in Eq. (28) can be performed at the expense of the introduction of three Feynman parameter integrals due to the four energy denominators:

$$\frac{1}{[l^2][l^2+2l\cdot k'][l^2+2l\cdot k][l^2+2l\cdot (k-q')-2k\cdot q']} = 6\int_0^1 dy \, y^2 \int_0^1 dx_2 x_2 \int_0^1 dx_1 \frac{1}{[(l+yP_{x_1x_2}^i)^2-yC^i]^4},\tag{30}$$

with the four-vector $P_{x_1x_2}^i$ defined by

$$P_{x_1x_2}^i \equiv (q - x_1 q') x_2 + k', \tag{31}$$

and the scalar C^i defined by

$$C^{i} \equiv 2k \cdot q' x_{1} x_{2} + y (P^{i}_{x_{1} x_{2}})^{2}.$$
(32)

The final result for the amplitude M_{V3}^{i} is then given by

$$\begin{split} M_{V3}^{i} &= M_{BH}^{i} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \frac{v^{2}+1}{v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \\ &+ \frac{v^{2}+1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \ln\left(\frac{v^{2}-1}{4v^{2}}\right) + \frac{v^{2}+1}{v} \left[Sp\left(\frac{v+1}{2v}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{v-1}{2v}\right) \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{ie^{5}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \int_{0}^{1} dyy \int_{0}^{1} dx_{2}x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \overline{u}(k',h') \\ &\times \left\{ \gamma^{\nu} \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \epsilon^{*} 4k \cdot k' \left[\frac{2}{C^{i}} + \frac{1}{(C^{i})^{2}} (-y(P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i})^{2} + 2P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i} \cdot (k-q')) \right] \right. \\ &\times \left\{ \gamma^{\nu} \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \left[\gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^{*} k' + k \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^{*} - 4m \epsilon^{*\nu} - \gamma^{\nu} (k-q'-y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i}) \epsilon^{*} + y \epsilon^{*} \gamma^{\nu} P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i} + y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i} \epsilon^{*} \gamma^{\nu} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{(C^{i})^{2}} \left[-4(k \cdot k') \gamma^{\nu} P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i} \epsilon^{*} - 2\gamma^{\nu} (k-q'-y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i} + m) \epsilon^{*} P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i} k' - 2k P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i} \gamma^{\nu} (k-q'-y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{i}) \gamma^{\nu} + 4m \epsilon^{*\nu} \right] \right\} u(k,h). \end{split}$$

The Feynman parameter integrals in Eq. (33) will be performed numerically as explained in Sec. III C. In an analogous way, the result for the amplitude M_{V3}^{f} corresponding to Fig. 2 (V3f) can be calculated, and yields as a result

$$\begin{split} M_{V3}^{f} &= M_{BH}^{f} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \frac{v^{2}+1}{v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \\ &+ \frac{v^{2}+1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) \ln\left(\frac{v^{2}-1}{4v^{2}}\right) + \frac{v^{2}+1}{v} \left[Sp\left(\frac{v+1}{2v}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{v-1}{2v}\right) \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{ie^{5}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \int_{0}^{1} dyy \int_{0}^{1} dx_{2}x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \overline{u}(k',h') \\ &\times \left[\epsilon^{*} \frac{(k'+q'+m)}{2k'\cdot q'} \gamma^{v} 4k \cdot k' \right] \frac{2}{C^{f}} + \frac{1}{(C^{f})^{2}} (-y(P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f})^{2} + 2P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f} \cdot (k'+q')) \right] \\ &+ \frac{2}{C^{f}} \left[\epsilon^{*} \gamma^{\nu} k' + k \epsilon^{*} \gamma^{\nu} - 4m \epsilon^{*\nu} - \epsilon^{*} (k'+q'-y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f}) \gamma^{\nu} + y \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^{*} P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f} + y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f} \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon^{*} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{(C^{f})^{2}} \left[-4(k \cdot k') \epsilon^{*} P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f} \gamma^{\nu} - 2\epsilon^{*} (k'+q'-y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f} + m) \gamma^{\nu} P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f} k' - 2k P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f} \epsilon^{*} (k'+q'-y P_{x_{1}x_{2}}^{f}) e^{*} + 4m \epsilon^{*\nu} \right] u(k,h), \end{split}$$

with the four-vector $P^f_{x_1x_2}$ defined by

$$P_{x_1x_2}^f = -(q - x_1 q') x_2 + k, \tag{35}$$

and the scalar C^f defined by

$$C^{f} \equiv -2k' \cdot q' x_{1} x_{2} + y (P^{f}_{x_{1} x_{2}})^{2}.$$
(36)

Remark that in the loops of the vertex correction diagrams where the photon couples to the final electron (diagrams of Fig. 2 denoted by f), the invariant mass of the virtual $(e^- + \gamma^*)$ state before radiating the photon is given by $m^2 + 2k' \cdot q' \ge m^2$. This means that an on-shell propagation is possible for the $(e^- + \gamma^*)$ state. This translates mathematically into the presence of integrable singularities in the corresponding Feynman parameter integrals of Eqs. (17), (24), and (34), and yields an imaginary part for the corresponding amplitude. In contrast, in the loops of the vertex correction diagrams where the photon couples to the initial electron (diagrams of Fig. 2 denoted by i), the invariant mass of the virtual $(e^- + \gamma^*)$ system before radiating the photon is given by m^2 which means that the corresponding integrals contain no singularities. The numerical treatment of those singular Feynman parameter integrals will be discussed in Sec. III C.

4. Electron self-energy diagrams of Figs. 2 (Si) and (Sf)

We next evaluate the electron self-energy diagrams of Figs. 2 (Si) and (Sf). We only have to consider those diagrams where a photon is emitted and reabsorbed by an intermediate electron line. The diagrams with a loop on the initial or final electron lines are already absorbed in the wave function and electron mass renormalization, and therefore do not yield an additional correction. This can also be seen from the expression Eq. (A29) for the renormalized lepton self-energy, which vanishes on-shell.

The amplitude corresponding to Fig. 2 (Si) is then given by

$$M_{Si} = ie^{3} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \bar{u}(k',h') \gamma^{\nu} \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \tilde{\Sigma}(k-q') \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \epsilon^{*} u(k,h),$$
(37)

where the renormalized self-energy is denoted by $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and is given by Eq. (A29). Remark that the UV divergence in the loop integral of Fig. 2 (Si) has been removed through the renormalization of the electron field and electron mass. The UV finite renormalized self-energy $\tilde{\Sigma}$ contains however an IR divergence from the counterterms. Inserting the expression for $\tilde{\Sigma}$ [Eq. (A29)] into Eq. (37), yields

$$M_{Si} = M_{BH}^{i} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} 2 \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + \frac{ie^{5}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \\ \times \bar{u}(k',h') \gamma^{\nu} \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \left\{ \frac{m(k-q')}{m^{2}-2k \cdot q'} \left[1 + \frac{-2m^{2}+6k \cdot q'}{m^{2}-2k \cdot q'} \ln\left(\frac{2k \cdot q'}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + \left[3 - \frac{2m^{2}+2k \cdot q'}{m^{2}-2k \cdot q'} \ln\left(\frac{2k \cdot q'}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \right\} t^{*} u(k,h).$$

$$(38)$$

The amplitude corresponding to Fig. 2 (Sf) is given by

$$M_{Sf} = ie^{3} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s_{p}') \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \bar{u}(k',h') \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*} \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}' + \boldsymbol{q}' + m)}{2k' \cdot q'} \tilde{\Sigma}(k'+q') \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}' + \boldsymbol{q}' + m)}{2k \cdot q'} \gamma^{\nu} u(k,h),$$
(39)

which can be worked out analogously as before and yields

$$M_{Sf} = M_{BH}^{f} \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} 2 \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + \frac{ie^{5}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s'_{p}) \Gamma_{\nu}(p',p) N(p,s_{p}) \\ \times \overline{u}(k',h') \boldsymbol{\ell} * \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}' + \boldsymbol{q}' + m)}{2k' \cdot q'} \left\{ \frac{m(\boldsymbol{k}' + \boldsymbol{q}')}{m^{2} + 2k' \cdot q'} \left[1 + \frac{-2m^{2} - 6k' \cdot q'}{m^{2} + 2k' \cdot q'} \ln\left(\frac{-2k' \cdot q'}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \right] \\ + \left[3 - \frac{2m^{2} - 2k' \cdot q'}{m^{2} + 2k' \cdot q'} \ln\left(\frac{-2k' \cdot q'}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \right\} \gamma^{\nu} u(k,h).$$

$$(40)$$

Note that in Fig. 2 (Sf), the four-momentum squared of the $(e^- + \gamma^*)$ state in the loop is given by $(k' + q')^2 = m^2 + 2k' \cdot q' \ge m^2$. Therefore, the self-energy and the amplitude for Fig. 2 (Sf) is complex, as was also noted for the vertex diagrams of Fig. 2 where the photon is emitted from the final electron (denoted by f). Equation (40) yields indeed a com-

plex amplitude because $\ln(-2k' \cdot q'/m^2) = \ln(2k' \cdot q'/m^2) + i\pi$, for $k' \cdot q' > 0$.

5. Vertex correction diagram of Fig. 2 (V4)

The vertex correction to the VCS process is given by Fig. 2 (V4), and its calculation is the same as the one for elastic

electron scattering. This yields for the renormalized vertex correction

$$M_{V4} = -ie^{3}\bar{u}(k',h') \bigg| (F(Q^{2}) - F(Q^{2} = 0))\gamma_{\nu} - G(Q^{2})i\sigma_{\nu\kappa}\frac{q^{\kappa}}{2m}\bigg| u(k,h)\frac{1}{q^{2}}\varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}H^{\mu\nu}.$$
(41)

In Eq. (41), $F(Q^2) - F(Q^2=0)$ is given by Eq. (A16) and reduces in the ultrarelativistic limit $(Q^2 \ge m^2)$ to Eq. (A18). The magnetic correction $G(Q^2)$ is given by Eq. (A11), and vanishes in the ultrarelativistic limit.

6. Vacuum polarization diagrams of Figs. 2 (P1i, P1f) and (P2)

The vacuum polarization corrections of Figs. 2 (P1i, P1f) and (P2) involve the renormalized photon self-energy $\Pi(Q^2)$, which has been calculated in Appendix A. Therefore, we get for the vacuum polarization correction to the BH process [Figs. 2 (P1i, P1f)]

$$M_{P1}^{i} = M_{BH}^{i} \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\Pi}(-t)}, \quad M_{P1}^{f} = M_{BH}^{f} \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\Pi}(-t)},$$
(42)

with $t = (p' - p)^2$.

Similarly, we get for the vacuum polarization correction to the VCS process [Fig. 2 (P2)]

$$M_{P2} = M_{VCS} \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\Pi}(Q^2)}.$$
(43)

In the ultrarelativistic limit $(Q^2 \ge m^2)$, $\Pi(Q^2)$ is obtained from Eq. (A39)

$$\widetilde{\Pi}(Q^2) = \frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{4}{3} \left\{ -\frac{5}{3} + \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) \right\}.$$
(44)

B. Soft-photon emission contributions and cancellation of IR divergences

After removing the UV divergences from the virtual photon corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction in the last section, the resulting expressions still contain IR divergences. Both the corrections to the BH process of Figs. 2 (V1i, V1f, V2i, V2f, V3i, V3f, Si, and Sf) and the vertex correction of Fig. 2 (V4) to the VCS process contain IR divergences. It is known for QED since a long time [26,27], that these IR divergences are cancelled at the cross section level by soft photon emission contributions. These soft photons are emitted from the charged particle lines and can have energies up to some maximal value ΔE_s which is related to the finite resolution of the detector. In Appendix A (Sec. A 5), we calculate the soft bremsstrahlung contribution to electron scattering by performing the phase space integral over the soft photon in an exact way, and give the finite correction (after cancellation of all IR divergences) to the elastic electron scattering cross section. In this section, we generalize the result of Appendix A to the case of the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. The diagrams for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction with one additional soft photon are shown in Fig. 3, where the hard photon of the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ process is indicated by its four-momentum q'. In this section, we will show that the soft photon emission contributions of Fig. 3 contain IR divergences which exactly cancel the IR divergences appearing in the virtual photon correction diagrams of Fig. 2. The process where the energy ΔE_s of the additionally emitted photon is not very small compared with the lepton momenta in the process, makes up the radiative tail to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. Its calculation will be discussed in Sec. IV.

1. Factorization of amplitude for soft-photon emission processes

Here, we evaluate the diagrams of Fig. 3 in the soft photon limit, i.e., when the second emitted photon has an energy much smaller than the initial and final lepton energies and also smaller than the hard photon (denoted by q') in order to distinguish both photons. We will see that only the diagrams where a soft photon couples to an on-shell lepton contain IR divergences and lead to a finite logarithmic correction in ΔE_s .

The amplitude corresponding with Fig. 3 (b1i) is given by

$$M_{\text{bli}} = ie^{3}\bar{u}(k',h')\gamma^{\nu} \frac{(k-q'-l+m)}{-2k\cdot q'-2l\cdot (k-q')} \\ \times \epsilon^{\ast}(q') \frac{(k-l+m)}{-2k\cdot l} (-e\epsilon^{\ast}(l))u(k,h) \\ \times \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \bar{N}(p',s'_{p})\Gamma_{\nu}(p',p)N(p,s_{p}), \quad (45)$$

where *l* is the four-momentum of the soft photon. In the soft photon limit $(l \rightarrow 0)$, Eq. (45) simplifies by using $(k-l + m)\gamma^{\alpha}u(k,h) = (2k^{\alpha} - l\gamma^{\alpha})u(k,h) \approx 2k^{\alpha}u(k,h)$, which yields for Eq. (45) in the soft photon limit

$$M_{\text{bli}} = M^{i}_{BH}(-e)\varepsilon^{*}_{\alpha}(l) \bigg[-\frac{k^{\alpha}}{k \cdot l} \bigg], \qquad (46)$$

where M_{BH}^{i} is the Bethe-Heitler amplitude of Eq. (1) corresponding with photon emission from the initial lepton. Similarly, we can derive the amplitude for Figs. 3 (b2i, b1f, and b2f) which yields in the soft photon limit

$$M_{b1i} + M_{b2i} = M^{i}_{BH}(-e)\varepsilon^{*}_{\alpha}(l) \left[\frac{k^{\prime \alpha}}{k^{\prime} \cdot l} - \frac{k^{\alpha}}{k \cdot l} \right], \quad (47)$$

$$M_{\rm blf} + M_{\rm b2f} = M_{BH}^f(-e) \varepsilon_{\alpha}^*(l) \left[\frac{k^{\prime \alpha}}{k^{\prime} \cdot l} - \frac{k^{\alpha}}{k \cdot l} \right], \qquad (48)$$

where M_{BH}^{\dagger} is the Bethe-Heitler amplitude of Eq. (2) corresponding with photon emission from the final lepton.

Figures 3 (b3i) and (b3f) contain the contributions where the soft photon couples to an off-shell lepton line. The amplitude corresponding with Fig. 3 (b3i) is given by





$$M_{b3i} = -ie^{4}\overline{u}(k',h')\gamma^{\nu} \frac{(k-q'-l+m)}{-2k \cdot q'-2l \cdot (k-q')} \\ \times \ell^{*}(l) \frac{(k-q'+m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \ell^{*}(q')u(k,h) \\ \times \frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}} \overline{N}(p',s'_{p})\Gamma_{\nu}(p',p)N(p,s_{p}).$$
(49)

In the soft photon limit, Eq. (49) can be simplified by using

$$M_{b3i} = M_{BH}^{i}(-e)\varepsilon_{\alpha}^{*}(l)\frac{(k-q')^{*}}{-k \cdot q'} + ie^{4}\overline{u}(k',h')\frac{\gamma^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}(l)\boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}(q')}{-2k \cdot q'} \times u(k,h)\frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}}\overline{N}(p',s_{p}')\Gamma_{\nu}(p',p)N(p,s_{p}).$$
(51)

 $I \setminus \alpha$

g Similarly, the amplitude corresponding with Fig. 3 (b3f) is given by

$$\frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{l} + m)}{-2k \cdot q' - 2l \cdot (k - q')} \gamma^{\alpha} \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}' + m)}{-2k \cdot q'}$$
$$\approx \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}' + m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \gamma^{\alpha} \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}' + m)}{-2k \cdot q'}$$
$$= \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}' + m)}{-2k \cdot q'} \frac{(k - q')^{\alpha}}{-k \cdot q'} - \frac{\gamma^{\alpha}}{-2k \cdot q'}.$$
(50)

$$M_{b3f} = M_{BH}^{f}(-e)\varepsilon_{\alpha}^{*}(l)\frac{(k'+q')^{\alpha}}{k'\cdot q'} + ie^{4}\overline{u}(k',h')\frac{\boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}(q')\boldsymbol{\ell}^{*}(l)\gamma^{\nu}}{2k'\cdot q'} \times u(k,h)\frac{1}{(p'-p)^{2}}\overline{N}(p',s'_{p})\Gamma_{\nu}(p',p)N(p,s_{p}).$$
(52)

In complete analogy to Eqs. (47) and (48), we can also calculate the soft photon emission contributions to the VCS

Consequently, the amplitude of Eq. (49) is given by

_

process. They are shown in Figs. 3 (b4) and (b5), and their calculation in the soft photon limit yields

$$M_{b4} + M_{b5} = M_{VCS}(-e)\varepsilon_{\alpha}^{*}(l) \left[\frac{k^{\prime \alpha}}{k^{\prime} \cdot l} - \frac{k^{\alpha}}{k \cdot l}\right], \quad (53)$$

where M_{VCS} is the VCS amplitude of Eq. (4).

We see from Eqs. (47), (48), and (53) that for the diagrams of Fig. 3 where the soft photon couples to an on-shell lepton, the original amplitude factorizes: in Eqs. (47) and (48) the BH amplitude factorizes, and in Eq. (53) the VCS amplitude factorizes. The resulting amplitudes are proportional to 1/l, which leads to a logarithmic divergence when integrating over the phase space of the soft photon. In contrast, the amplitudes of Eqs. (51) and (52) where the photon couples to an off-shell lepton line are finite when $l \rightarrow 0$, and the corresponding phase space integral becomes vanishingly small in the limit $l \rightarrow 0$.

2. Radiative correction due to soft-photon emission processes

In the soft-photon limit we therefore need only keep the bremsstrahlung corrections of Eqs. (47), (48), and (53), where the BH and VCS amplitudes factorize. To first order in α_{em} (relative to the BH + VCS cross section) the brems-strahlung correction therefore amounts to calculating the phase space integral of the form

$$d\sigma \sim \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}_{e}^{'}}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{e}^{'}} \frac{d^{3}\vec{q}^{'}}{(2\pi)^{3}2|\vec{q}^{'}|} \frac{d^{3}\vec{p}_{N}^{'}}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{N}^{'}} \frac{d^{3}\vec{l}}{(2\pi)^{3}2l} \times (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{4}(k+p-k^{\prime}-q^{\prime}-p^{\prime}-l) \times |M_{BH}+M_{VCS}|^{2}(-e^{2}) \left[\frac{k_{\mu}^{\prime}}{k^{\prime}\cdot l}-\frac{k_{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{k^{\prime\,\mu}}{k^{\prime}\cdot l}-\frac{k^{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right],$$
(54)

where $1 \equiv |\vec{l}|$ denotes the soft photon energy, and where the total BH amplitude is given by $M_{BH} = M_{BH}^i + M_{BH}^f$. The calculation of the bremsstrahlung integral of Eq. (54) goes along similar lines as the corresponding integral for elastic scattering, for which the technical details can be found in Appendix A (Sec. A 5). We will point out in this section the differences which arise for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction.

There are two practical ways to measure the $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$ reaction by measuring two particles in the final state. One way is to measure the outgoing electron in coincidence with the recoiling nucleon: this is the ideal technique when measuring the $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$ reaction at low outgoing photon energy as is done in [11–13]. The alternative is to measure the outgoing electron in coincidence with the photon: this is the technique when doing a very inelastic experiment, such as deeply virtual Compton scattering, where the photon is produced with a large energy. We discuss here first the case where one detects the outgoing electron and photon, and indicate at the end the changes which apply when measuring the outgoing electron and recoiling nucleon.

If one measures the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction by detecting the outgoing electron and photon, one eliminates in Eq. (A40) the integral over \vec{p}'_N with the momentum conserving δ function, which gives

$$d\sigma \sim \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}_{e}'}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{e}'} \frac{d^{3}\vec{q}'}{(2\pi)^{3}2|\vec{q}'|} \frac{d^{3}\vec{l}}{(2\pi)^{3}2|l} \frac{1}{2E_{N}'} \times (2\pi)\delta(E_{e}+E_{N}-E_{e}'-|\vec{q}'|) \\ -\sqrt{(\vec{q}+\vec{p}_{N}-\vec{q}'-\vec{l})^{2}+M_{N}^{2}} - l) \times |M_{BH}+M_{VCS}|^{2}(-e^{2}) \left[\frac{k_{\mu}'}{k'\cdot l}-\frac{k_{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{k'^{\mu}}{k'\cdot l}-\frac{k^{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right].$$
(55)

Due to the energy conserving δ function in Eq. (A41), the upper limit in the integration over the soft photon phase space depends on the angle. Therefore, this integration volume has a complicated ellipsoidal shape in the lab system. In order for the soft-photon phase space integration volume to be spherical, one has to perform the calculation in the c.m. system S_1 of the (recoiling nucleon + soft photon), generalizing the procedure of Appendix A for elastic scattering to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. The system S_1 is defined by $\vec{p}'_N + \vec{l}$ $= \vec{p}_N + \vec{q} - \vec{q}' = 0$. In the system S_1 , the energy conserving delta function in Eq. (55) is independent of the soft-photon angles, and the maximal soft photon energy is isotropic. The integral over the soft-photon momentum (up to some maximum value ΔE_s) can then be performed independently of the integration over the soft photon emission angles. If ΔE_s is sufficiently small, one can furthermore neglect the soft photon energy with respect to the other energies in the δ function, and perform the integral over the photon momentum $|\vec{q}|$ in Eq. (55) to obtain the correction to the fivefold differential $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section. We indicate in the following only how the squared matrix element for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction is modified due to soft photon emission. This correction due to soft bremsstrahlung is given by

$$|M_{ep \to ep\gamma}^{SOFT\gamma}|^{2} = |M_{BH} + M_{VCS}|^{2}(-e^{2}) \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{l}}{(2\pi)^{3}2 l} \times \left[\frac{k'_{\mu}}{k' \cdot l} - \frac{k_{\mu}}{k \cdot l}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{k'^{\mu}}{k' \cdot l} - \frac{k^{\mu}}{k \cdot l}\right].$$
(56)

The factor multiplying $|M_{BH}+M_{VCS}|^2$ is the correction factor to the fivefold $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section. In Eq. (56), the soft-photon phase space integral is understood to be performed in the system S_1 , where the integration volume is spherical. Its calculation is performed in Appendix A. One sees that the integral in Eq. (56) has a logarithmic IR divergence, corresponding to the emission of photons with zero energy. To evaluating it, one has to regularize it, which is done in this work by using dimensional regularization. This amounts to evaluating the soft-photon phase space integral

(in the system S_1) in D-1 spatial dimensions. This calculation is performed in Appendix A and yields

$$|M_{ep \to ep \gamma}^{SOFT\gamma}|^{2} = |M_{BH} + M_{VCS}|^{2} \times \left\{ \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \left[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} + \gamma_{E} - \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \left[\frac{v^{2}+1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) - 1 \right] + \delta_{R} \right\}.$$
(57)

In Eq. (57), δ_R is the finite part of the real radiative correction corresponding with soft photon emission, and is given as in Appendix A [Eq. (A65)] by

$$\delta_{R}^{Q^{2} \gg m^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{(\Delta E_{s})^{2}}{\tilde{E}_{e} \tilde{E}_{e}^{'}} \right) \left[\ln \left(\frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \right) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \ln^{2} \left(\frac{\tilde{E}_{e}}{\tilde{E}_{e}^{'}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \ln^{2} \left(\frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \right) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} + Sp \left(\cos^{2} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{e}}{2} \right) \right\}.$$
(58)

In Eq. (58), we next have to express the kinematical variables $(\tilde{E}_e, \tilde{E}'_e, \cos \tilde{\theta}_e)$ in the system S_1 (denoted by tilded quantities) in terms of the *lab* quantities, which we denote by untilded quantities $(E_e, E'_e, \cos \theta_e)$. To make the transformation between the system S_1 and the *lab* system, we first introduce the missing four-momentum $p_{m1} \equiv p'_N + l$. The system S_1 is defined by $\vec{p}_{m1} = \vec{0}$, and the missing mass M_{m1} of the system (p'+l) is defined by

$$M_{m1}^2 = (p'+l)^2 = (p+q-q')^2.$$
 (59)

We can then easily express the electron energies and angle in the system S_1 in terms of *lab* quantities:

$$\widetilde{E}_{e} = \frac{k \cdot p_{m1}}{M_{m1}} = \frac{1}{M_{m1}} k \cdot (p + q - q') = \frac{M_{N}}{M_{m1}} \left(E_{e} - \frac{Q^{2}}{2M_{N}} - \frac{k \cdot q'}{M_{N}} \right),$$
(60)

$$\widetilde{E}'_{e} = \frac{k' \cdot p_{m1}}{M_{m1}} = \frac{1}{M_{m1}} k' \cdot (p + q - q')$$
$$= \frac{M_{N}}{M_{m1}} \left(E'_{e} + \frac{Q^{2}}{2M_{N}} - \frac{k' \cdot q'}{M_{N}} \right), \tag{61}$$

$$\sin^2 \tilde{\theta}_e / 2 = \frac{E_e E'_e}{\tilde{E}_e \tilde{E}'_e} \sin^2 \theta_e / 2.$$
 (62)

The maximal soft-photon energy ΔE_s in the system S_1 is given by

$$\Delta E_s = \frac{M_{m1}^2 - M_N^2}{2M_{m1}}.$$
(63)

If one measures the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction by detecting the outgoing electron and recoiling proton, the derivation goes

along similar lines as above. One starts now by eliminating in Eq. (A40) the integral over $\vec{q'}$. Then one goes into the c.m. system S_2 of the (VCS photon q' + soft photon), where the energy conserving δ function is independent of the softphoton angles, and where the maximal soft photon energy is isotropic. This system S_2 is defined by $\vec{q'} + \vec{l} = \vec{p}_N + \vec{q} - \vec{p}'_N$ = 0. The calculation of the soft-photon emission integral is then completely similar as above, and leads to the finite correction of Eq. (58), where the kinematical variables $(\tilde{E}_e, \tilde{E}'_e, \cos \tilde{\theta}_e)$ are now understood in the system S_2 . To make the transformation between the system S_2 and the *lab* system, we first introduce the missing four-momentum p_{m2} $\equiv q' + l$. The system S_2 is defined by $\vec{p}_{m2} = \vec{0}$, and the missing mass M_{m2} of the system (q' + l) is defined by

$$M_{m2}^2 = (q'+l)^2 = (p+q-p')^2.$$
(64)

We can then easily express the electron energies and angle in the system S_2 in terms of *lab* quantities:

$$\widetilde{E}_{e} = \frac{k \cdot p_{m2}}{M_{m2}} = \frac{1}{M_{m2}} k \cdot (p + q - p') = \frac{M_{N}}{M_{m2}} \left(E_{e} - \frac{Q^{2}}{2M_{N}} - \frac{k \cdot p'}{M_{N}} \right),$$
(65)

$$\widetilde{E}'_{e} = \frac{k' \cdot p_{m2}}{M_{m2}} = \frac{1}{M_{m2}} k' \cdot (p + q - p') = \frac{M_{N}}{M_{m2}} \left(E'_{e} + \frac{Q^{2}}{2M_{N}} - \frac{k' \cdot p'}{M_{N}} \right),$$
(66)

$$\sin^2 \tilde{\theta}_e / 2 = \frac{E_e E'_e}{\tilde{E}_e \tilde{E}'_e} \sin^2 \theta_e / 2.$$
 (67)

The maximal soft-photon energy ΔE_s in the system S_2 is given by

$$\Delta E_s = \frac{M_{m2}}{2}.$$
(68)

3. Cancellation of IR divergences

We can now demonstrate for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction that the IR divergences from the soft photon emission corrections exactly cancel against the IR divergences from the virtual radiative corrections, calculated in Sec. III A. Concentrating here only on the IR divergent parts of the virtual radiative corrections, we found in Sec. III A that the amplitudes of Eqs. (13), (17), (22), (24), (33), (34), (38), (40), and (41) contain IR divergences. Those IR divergent parts are given by

$$M_{V1}^{i} + (CT)_{V1}^{i} + M_{V1}^{f} + (CT)_{V1}^{f} \rightarrow M_{BH} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\right], \quad (69)$$

$$M_{V2}^{i} + (CT)_{V2}^{i} + M_{V2}^{f} + (CT)_{V2}^{f} \\ \rightarrow M_{BH} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\right], \quad (70)$$

$$M_{V3}^{i} + M_{V3}^{f} \rightarrow M_{BH} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{v^{2} + 1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right)$$
$$\times \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\right], \tag{71}$$

$$M_{Si} + M_{Sf} \rightarrow M_{BH} \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}\right)\right],$$
(72)

$$M_{V4} + (CT)_{V4} \rightarrow M_{VCS} \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\frac{v^2 + 1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) - 1\right] \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}\right)\right].$$
(73)

Adding them all up gives the following correction to the squared amplitude for the virtual radiative corrections:

$$|M_{BH} + M_{DVCS} + M_{ep \to ep\gamma}^{VIRTUAL\gamma}|^{2}$$

$$= |M_{BH} + M_{VCS}|^{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \left[\frac{v^{2} + 1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) - 1 \right] \times \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \right\} + \cdots, \qquad (74)$$

where the ellipses denote the finite virtual radiative correction. Adding the virtual [Eq. (74)] and real [Eq. (57)] radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, one verifies that the IR divergences in the sum exactly cancel, showing QED at work. Note that this cancellation is different than in the case of elastic electron scattering. Indeed, for the virtual photon correction diagrams to the Bethe-Heitler process, there are three types of vertex diagrams [Eqs. (69), (70), and (71)], the self energy diagram [Eq. (72)], and the corresponding counterterms, which have an IR divergence. On the other hand, for the virtual radiative corrections to elastic electron scattering, there is only one vertex diagram which is IR divergent.

C. Integration method for the virtual photon corrections

At this stage of the calculation of the first order QED radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, the treatment of all UV and IR divergences, resulting from the radiative corrections at the electron side, has been performed. The UV divergences have been removed by the renormalization procedure whereas the IR divergences were shown to cancel at the cross section level when adding the soft photon emission processes. Now, the evaluation of the remaining Feynman parameter integrals in the finite terms such as in Eq. (13) has to be done.

Among the one-loop virtual radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction shown in Fig. 2, six give rise to simple analytical formulas. For the six vertex diagrams, denoted by V1i, V2i, V3i, V1f, V2f, and V3f, the trick consisting of adding and subtracting the divergent term for each of them (as explained in Sec. III A) gives rise to Feynman parameter integrals that are rather complicated to be done analytically. Therefore, we will evaluate them in this work by a numerical procedure. Although these Feynman parameter integrals are by construction finite, appropriate numerical methods are needed to perform them. Two main difficulties are encountered in these numerical integrations. First, the variations of the integrated functions are always extremely sharp near the integration limits. In fact, a typical behavior is a rather flat dependence in the middle of the domain and two pronounced rises when approaching 0 or 1 for the Feynman parameters with a width of order m/E_e . The contribution of these two peaks has to be evaluated carefully in order to obtain a good precision for the final result. Secondly, we know that the virtual radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction allow the propagation of on-shell states (see Sec. III A). This is mathematically expressed by the presence of integrable singularities in the Feynman parameter integrals which require an analytical continuation into the complex plane and gives rise to an imaginary part for the amplitude.

To evaluate the Feynman parameter integrals, our strategy is to perform the first integration analytically. The last integrations will then be performed numerically using the Gauss-Legendre integration method. The analytical calculation of the first integration provides a shorter calculational time and a higher precision. The main advantage however is that in the case of a singularity, the pole is avoided by deforming the integration contour into the complex plane, using analytical continuation. In this way, one removes the difficulties for the remaining integrations along the real axis.

To classify the Feynman parameter integrals that occur in the six vertex diagrams under study, we start by factorizing all the Dirac γ matrices and decomposing the components of the four-vectors. All resulting integrals then reduce to the generic form:

$$\int \int \int_{0}^{1} dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3 \frac{P(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{Q(x_1, x_2, x_3)}, \tag{75}$$

where *P* and *Q* are polynomials in three Feynman parameters x_1, x_2, x_3 . Let us choose x_1 to be the most internal variable. Then the first integration is either of the form

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{x_{1}^{m} dx_{1}}{(\alpha x_{1} + \beta)^{n}}$$
(76)

or

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{x_{1}^{m} dx_{1}}{(\alpha x_{1}^{2} + \beta x_{1} + \gamma)^{n}},$$
(77)

where α , β , and γ are polynomials in x_2 and x_3 with coefficients that are functions of kinematical variables. In Eqs.

(76) and (77), *m* varies from 0 to 4 and *n* is equal to 1 or 2, to accommodate all cases appearing in Sec. III A. These successive decompositions increase the number of terms to calculate but they have the advantage of providing two simple classes of integrals without any vector or matrix dependence. The possibility of poles in the integrands of Eqs. (76) and (77) naturally splits the problem into two parts, whether the integrand is regular or singular.

1. Regular integrand

When the denominator does not have any singularities, some recurrence relations exist for these integrals and can be found in Ref. [28]. Unfortunately for small values of α as compared to β or to γ , it has been seen that these relations are numerically unstable. This has thus led us to use several methods of integration, each with a different domain of validity. For ratios $r [r = \alpha/\beta$ for Eq. (76) or $r = \alpha/\gamma$ for Eq. (77)] small compared to 1, we perform a Taylor expansion of the integral and tune the order of each development to complete a fixed criterion of convergence (for example, we require that the ratio between the last and the first terms is of the order of the numerical precision in double precision). For r>1 the recurrence relations [28] are used as they are stable in this range. In the intermediate zone ($0.2 \le r \le 1$), we use the Gauss-Legendre numerical integration method.

2. Singular integrand

In the case of the propagation of on-shell intermediate states, the polynomials of the denominators in Eqs. (76) and (77) acquire one (or two) roots in the domain of integration. Some simple physical considerations have shown that among the six diagrams numerically evaluated, the three processes where the photon in the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction is emitted from the initial electron line are free of poles (Sec. III A). In contrast, the three vertex graphs where the photon is emitted from the final electron line were seen to contain singularities. The corresponding integrals are then defined by an analytical continuation into the complex plane and take the form

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x_1^m dx_1}{(\alpha x_1 + \beta \pm i\epsilon)^n} \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^m dx_1}{(\alpha x_1^2 + \beta x_1 + \gamma \pm i\epsilon)^n}.$$
(78)

The prescription for on-shell propagation is of course already taken into account in the propagators and determines the sign in front of $i\epsilon$ (which can also be obtained by applying the simple trick $m \rightarrow m - i\epsilon/2$). Complications can occur from the possibility of two distinct roots in the interval [0,1] for the second order polynomial. An important remark then concerns the variable of integration. In Eq. (75), the choice of x_1 as the more internal dimension was purely arbitrary. In fact, all the decompositions in the three parameters have been derived and it has been shown that it was always possible to find an expansion providing at most one singularity.

In Appendix B, we give the analytical results for the integrals of Eq. (78). We checked these results with a numerical method, where one pole along the interval [0,1] is avoided by analytically continuing the integrand into the complex plane. In this way, the integral along [0,1] is replaced by an integration along a semicircle (with origin at 0.5+0i and radius 1/2) in the opposite complex half-plane with respect to the pole. A comparison between the two methods shows a perfect agreement. Only in the special cases where one pole comes close to an edge of the domain of integration [0,1] (typically within a distance m^2/E_e^2 to 0 or 1), need one increase the number of integration points of the numerical method to obtain the same precision.

3. Numerical checks and accuracy

Thanks to the analytical calculation of the first integration in the Feynman parameter integrals under study, singularities on the real axis have been removed and the two remaining integrations can then be performed numerically using the Gauss-Legendre method. In the implementation of this algorithm the major difficulty consisted in finding the suitable binning of the integration domain and in determining the number of points per bins. A detailed study of the integrated functions has been performed to estimate the width and amplitude of the sharp variations close to the ends of the domain. In this paragraph we discuss various checks of the precision of our results as well as their numerical stability.

A strong cross check of the reliability of our calculations is the exact agreement between two programs developed in parallel [29,30]. Both of them use the same numerical method but they have been coded independently using in most cases a different decomposition of the terms and different order in the integration variables, which checks the symmetry in the permutation of x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 variables. Comparison at each intermediate stage of the calculation also excludes any misprints in the writing of the quite extensive expressions.

Besides this agreement between two independent programs, the next requirement is the numerical convergence of the calculations. Figures 4 and 5 show results obtained for typical MAMI and JLab kinematics, respectively. Beyond a certain density of integration bins and points per bin, the numerical instabilities are brought down to a few 10^{-4} of the lowest order cross section. This accuracy is far below all the other theoretical uncertainties related to the performed approximations or experimental knowledge of the form factors (of the order of 1%). Nevertheless this kind of very good convergence is useful since numerical instabilities can be amplified in the coherent sum of all the diagrams or when computing higher energy kinematics. In the case of the deeply virtual Compton scattering, we have checked that one has to double the number of integration points to get the same numerical precision.

Some features of the electromagnetic interaction itself can also be used to check further the validity of our results. Let us consider the total amplitude of the sum of all the virtual radiative correction diagrams (Fig. 2). Denoting the Lorentz index associated with the real photon vertex by μ , this amplitude can be written as the scalar product $T^{\mu}\epsilon^*_{\mu}$ where ϵ^* stands for the polarization vector of the real photon with four-vector q' and where T^{μ} represents the electromagnetic current. The gauge invariance of electromagnetism implies $T^{\mu}q'_{\mu} = 0$ and provides us with a powerful test of our calcu-



FIG. 4. Test of convergence: The relative effect of the virtual radiative corrections to the BH + Born cross section is shown as a function of the angle between the two photons (q,q') for a typical MAMI kinematics. The curves correspond to tests performed with different densities of integration zones and points near the edge of the integration domain. They show the good numerical convergence obtained.

lations. Since our numerical accuracy is finite, we cannot get exactly zero. Therefore, we rather define a quantity compared to which the scalar product $T^{\mu}q'_{\mu}$ has to be small. A natural quantity is the product of the norms of the two Lorentz vectors. The gauge invariance criteria thus becomes a test of the smallness of the following dimensionless ratio:

$$\frac{|T^{\mu}q'_{\mu}|^2}{|T^{\mu}T^{\dagger}_{\mu}|(q'^0)^2} \!\ll\! 1.$$
(79)

This ratio is shown in Fig. 6 as a function of the angle between q and q'. The gauge invariance is verified by the fact that the smallest ratio (solid curve) stays in the range $[10^{-4}, 10^{-6}]$ and is obtained when the complete set of diagrams with analytical+numerical terms is included in T^{μ} .

As a last consistency check, we investigated the mass dependence of the virtual radiative corrections. The relative effect in the BH + Born cross section is illustrated in Fig. 7 for different values of the mass of the lepton. For this test we kept track of the mass dependence in all the kinematical variables. We observe that when increasing the lepton mass (at fixed lepton kinematics), the effect of the radiative cor-



FIG. 5. Analogous test of convergence as in Fig. 4 but for JLab kinematics.

rections rapidly decreases, which reflects the suppression of photon emission by a heavy particle.

D. Radiative corrections on the proton side and two-photon exchange corrections

In Secs. III A-III C, we calculated the radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, corresponding with the diagrams of Figs. 2 and 3. They are the virtual radiative corrections on the lepton side, the vacuum polarization contributions and the soft-photon emission from the lepton. These can be calculated model-independently as has been shown above. Although these corrections are the dominant ones (when $Q^2 \gg m^2$, leading to large logarithms), we want to estimate in this section how large are the virtual radiative corrections on the proton side, the two-photon exchange corrections (direct and crossed box diagrams) and the softphoton emission from the proton. Generally, the radiative corrections from the proton side are typically suppressed compared with those from the electron, due to the much larger mass of the proton. However, to calculate the first order radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction which originate from the proton side, one needs a model for the VCS process. We do not aim in this paper to calculate these corrections within a given model. However, to provide some quantitative estimate, we will follow the results of [24], where the corrections at the proton side were studied for elastic scattering.



FIG. 6. Test of gauge invariance for MAMI kinematics. The dotted curve shows (for illustrative purpose only) the result when only the diagrams (V2i) and (V2f) of Fig. 2 are included. The dashed curve is the result of all analytically calculated virtual radiative corrections. The full curve shows the result when the numerical contributions (Feynman parameter integrals) are also included.

The Z-dependent corrections originate from the interference between soft-photon emission from the electron and from the proton, and from the two-photon exchange contributions (direct and crossed box diagrams). Both processes contain IR divergences, which cancel in their sum at the cross section level. The interference between the soft-photon emission from the electron and from the proton can be calculated along the same lines as in Appendix A 5 for the electron (neglecting form factor effects in the soft-photon limit). For the two-photon exchange contributions, the calculation is dominated by those regions in the integration where one of the two exchanged photons is soft. Therefore, one can evaluate the rest of this amplitude by taking the momentum of either of the two exchanged photons to be zero. In this approximation, the original amplitude factorizes and one can follow the derivation of [24], where this same calculation has been performed for elastic scattering. Therefore, the Z-dependent radiative corrections can be estimated in the soft-photon limit by the same correction factor of Eq. (A74) as for elastic scattering.

The Z^2 -dependent corrections originate from the softbremsstrahlung from the proton and from the proton vertex corrections. In [24], these corrections have also been calcu-



FIG. 7. Mass dependence of the virtual radiative corrections for MAMI kinematics.

lated for elastic scattering. For the soft-photon emission, one can again factorize the original amplitude, so that the same correction factor is obtained for the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction as for elastic scattering. The proton vertex correction has been split in [24] into two parts. The first part contains entirely the IR divergence, which cancels the IR divergence from softphoton emission from the proton, and in which the original amplitude factorizes. The second term in the proton vertex correction depends on the nucleon structure (form factor dependence for elastic scattering) and will be different when going from elastic scattering to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. For elastic scattering, this structure dependent term was however found [24] to be quite small, except when going to very large Q^2 (much larger than M_N^2). When staying in the few GeV² region, this correction was calculated in [24] to be well below 1%. Therefore, we approximate the Z^2 dependent correction to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction by the structureindependent term of Eq. (A76), as calculated in [24], and will neglect in the following the structure dependent term.

IV. RADIATIVE TAIL FOR ELASTIC SCATTERING AND VCS

Besides the knowledge of the virtual radiative corrections and the soft-photon emission contributions to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, which were studied in Sec. III, the accurate determination of the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section from measured spectra also implies the knowledge of the radiative tail. The radiative tail consists of the photon emission processes where a semihard photon (with energy not very small compared with, e.g., the lepton energies) is radiated from the electron (or proton).

The radiative tail to elastic or inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering has been the subject of numerous studies in the literature [31,32,22,33]. The elastic radiative tail also makes a sizeable contribution to the inclusive cross sections for deep-inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering (see, e.g., [34]).

One should notice that the distinction between the softphoton emission and the radiative tail is not a fundamental one, the latter being just the extension of photon emission processes to higher energies. Although the formulas given in this paper for the real radiative corrections can in principle be extended and applied to higher energies [e.g., Eqs. (A70)–(A72) for elastic scattering], in some cases the characteristics of the experimental detection apparatus can be such that the cut in $E'_e{}^{el} - E'_e$ (elastic case) or in the missing mass M_x^2 (M_{m1}^2 or M_{m2}^2 for the VCS case) cannot be cleanly defined, because the apparatus can have a changing accep-tance as a function of $E'_e{}^{el} - E'_e$ or M_x^2 , introducing a bias in the radiative tail. Therefore, it is useful to consider the radiative tail separately and to generate it in a Monte Carlo simulation. In doing such a simulation, it can be very helpful to have a "recipe," because it is a way to fold radiative effects with acceptance functions and other effects (e.g., multiple scattering, energy loss by collision, external radiative effects). In the literature such "recipes" were quite often presented. Many of them are based on one or another version of the peaking approximation, introduced originally by Schiff [35]. In the peaking approximation, the photon is radiated along either the initial or final electron directions, i.e., the direction of the electron is not changed while radiating, only its energy is changed.

Below, we start by giving such a recipe, based on the formulas presented in this paper. What one essentially needs for a Monte Carlo simulation is an electron energy loss distribution due to real internal radiative effects. For each event one can then sample in such a distribution, both for the incoming and the outgoing electron. We next give a comparison between such a method based on the peaking approximation, with an exact numerical calculation of the radiative tail. We show to what extent the full calculation validates the approximate method for the case of elastic electron-nucleon scattering, and show that this method is realistic enough to apply it to the calculation of the radiative tail in the case of the VCS.

A. Energy loss distribution for real internal radiative effects

The details of the calculation of the real radiative corrections can be found in Appendix A. It is discussed there how the real internal radiative corrections give rise to a correction factor e^{δ_R} to the cross section. The part of δ_R giving rise to the radiative tail (when differentiating δ_R with respect to the electron energy loss) is the first term of Eq. (A65), which contains the maximal energy of the emitted photon ΔE_s , which is defined as in Eq. (A48). The correction factor e^{δ_R} can be written as the product of a number of factors, of which the first one is given by

$$\left(\frac{(\Delta E_s)^2}{\tilde{E}_e \tilde{E}'_e}\right)^a,\tag{80}$$

where a is given by [see Eq. (A65)]

$$a = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left[\ln \left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2} \right) - 1 \right], \tag{81}$$

and where the tilded quantities in Eq. (80) are expressed in the c.m. system of (soft photon + recoiling proton) as explained in Appendix A 5. Because in a simulation it is more straightforward to apply radiative effects in the *lab*, we express Eq. (80) in *lab* quantities, by using Eq. (A48), which yields

$$\left(\frac{(\eta\Delta E_e')^2}{E_e E_e'}\right)^a,\tag{82}$$

where $\Delta E'_e = E'_e{}^{el} - E'_e$. Introducing furthermore the quantity $\Delta E_e = \eta^2 \Delta E'_e$, we can write Eq. (82) as

$$\left(\frac{(\eta\Delta E'_{e})^{2}}{E_{e}E'_{e}}\right)^{a} = \left(\frac{\Delta E_{e}\Delta E'_{e}}{E_{e}E'_{e}}\right)^{a} = \left(\frac{\Delta E_{e}}{E_{e}}\right)^{a} \left(\frac{\Delta E'_{e}}{E'_{e}}\right)^{a}.$$
 (83)

The energy changes ΔE_e ($\Delta E'_e$) can be interpreted as the energy losses of the incoming (outgoing) electron due to radiation before (after) the scattering process, respectively. We can then interpret the factor ($\Delta E_e/E_e$)^{*a*} as the fraction of incoming electrons which have lost an energy between 0 and ΔE_e , after being subject to real internal radiation in an equivalent radiator with thickness *a*. The factor ($\Delta E'_e/E'_e$)^{*a*} has a similar interpretation, but then on the outgoing electron side.¹ Given this interpretation, if one uses a ΔE distribution $I_{int}(E, \Delta E, a)$, which satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{\Delta E} I_{int}(E, \Delta E, a) d(\Delta E) = \left(\frac{\Delta E}{E}\right)^{a},$$
(84)

then it is clear that by sampling such a distribution in a Monte Carlo simulation, the correction factor is correctly obtained. The distribution I_{int} , which has this property is given by

$$I_{int}(E,\Delta E,a) = \frac{a}{\Delta E} \left(\frac{\Delta E}{E}\right)^a,$$
(85)

and is normalized to 1:

¹Note that when applying Eq. (83) to the radiative tail, i.e., when considering the emission of a photon whose energy is not very small compared with the electron energies, we calculate $E_e^{\prime el}$ in the formula for ΔE_e^{\prime} using the elastic scattered energy corresponding to an initial electron which has radiated and whose energy is given by $E_e - \Delta E_e$. In the soft-photon limit this difference disappears.

B. Evaluation of the radiative tail and comparison with an exact numerical calculation for elastic electron-proton scattering

Given the above distribution, a method for introducing a radiative tail due to internal radiation in a Monte Carlo simulation for elastic electron scattering suggests itself.

(i) For the incoming electron, sample an energy loss ΔE_{ρ} using the distribution (85) with $E = E_e$ the incoming electron energy.

(ii) Apply elastic electron scattering using the reduced electron energy $E_e - \Delta E_e$, and if the cross section behavior is used in the simulation, use the elastic scattering cross section at the reduced electron energy. After the elastic scattering process, the outgoing electron has an energy $E_{e}^{\prime el}$.

(iii) For the outgoing electron, sample an energy loss $\Delta E'_e$ using the distribution (85) with $E = E_e^{\prime el}$. The final electron energy is now $E_e^{\prime el} - \Delta E_e^{\prime}$.

To calculate the equivalent radiator thickness a of Eq. (81), one needs the value of Q^2 , which one can in principle only calculate after the complete process has taken place. However, one can show that the above procedure reproduces the correction factor (82) with a very good accuracy already by calculating the value of Q^2 with elastic electron scattering kinematics.

It is intuitively clear that the above procedure, in the case where a constant cross section is used, will reproduce the correction factor of Eq. (82). In case the actual elastic scattering cross section behavior is used, the cross section "walk" with the incoming electron energy is taken into account. Remark that the above procedure implies an electron energy loss at both the incoming and the outgoing electron sides.

The discussed method implies, however, the assumption of a strict alignment of the bremsstrahlung photons in the direction of the radiating leptons, which is known as the (angular) peaking approximation. The strength on the other hand is found by integrating the correct angular shape in the soft photon limit, as done in Appendix A 5. To test the validity of this approximate procedure, we performed a fully numerical calculation of the radiative tail for elastic electronproton scattering. It consists of integrating over the photon phase space in the diagrams where a photon is emitted from an electron [cf. BH diagrams of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)], as well as the diagrams where a photon is emitted from the nucleon [cf. Born diagrams of Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)]. In doing so, we nowhere neglect the photon momentum l, in contrast to the soft-photon limit calculation of Appendix A 5. For fixed electron kinematics, the angular phase space of the soft photon is covered by a grid with about 225 000 points, chosen with increased density in the peak regions in order to keep the point-to-point change of the cross section smaller than 10%. Attention has to be paid right in the middle of the peaks where the cross section drops very rapidly to (practically) zero within the characteristic angle m/E_e , as shown in



FIG. 8. Detail of the cross section for photon emission from an electron (Bethe-Heitler cross section), when the photon is emitted around the incoming electron direction. The electron kinematics correspond with $E_e = 855.0$ MeV, $E'_e = 621.4$ MeV, and θ_e $= 52.18^{\circ}.$

Fig. 8. More details on this numerical integration can be found in [36]. The result of this integration is the absolute cross section of the radiative tail, differential in the outgoing electron's momentum and angles. It is shown by the points in Fig. 9 for $E_e = 855.0$ MeV and $\theta_e = 52.18^\circ$. The energy of the outgoing electron is then determined by $E'_e = E'_e^{el}$ $-\Delta E'_{e}$. The points are compared with the analytical result in the soft-photon limit, obtained by differentiating the expression of Eq. (A65) for δ_R —for photon emission from the electron—with respect to $\Delta E'_e$. This gives a strict $\Delta E'_e^{-1}$ behavior, yielding the cross section $\sigma_a \equiv \sigma_{BORN} a / \Delta E'_e$ where the proportionality factor a is given as in Eq. (81). The soft-photon formula gives thus a straight line when both the cross section and $\Delta E'_e$ are presented on a logarithmic scale. The deviation can be seen in the lower plot of Fig. 9. From the keV region up to about 1 MeV for $\Delta E'_e$, the deviation is less than 10^{-3} which can be taken as an upper limit for the error of the numerical integration procedure. This agreement demonstrates that the soft-photon approximation holds to very good precision in this region. For higher values of $\Delta E'_{a}$, a raise of the photon emission cross section is observed as is expected due to the change of kinematics leading to a lower momentum transfer to the proton, and to a resulting "walk" of the cross section. We also show on the lower plot of Fig. 9 the result when both radiation from the electron and proton are considered. For better presentation, both results are normalized to the cross section σ_a for soft-photon emission from the electron, as defined above.

In Fig. 10, we compare for two kinematics the exact numerical calculation of the radiative tail with the approximate



FIG. 9. Radiative tail of elastic electron-proton scattering for the kinematics: $E_e = 855.0$ MeV; $\theta_e = 52.18^\circ$. Upper plot: fully numerical calculation (black points) compared with the $1/\Delta E'_e$ dependence of the soft photon result (straight line). Lower plot: deviation between the full calculation, when only radiation from the electron is included (open diamonds) and when both radiation from electron and proton are taken into account (black points), with the soft photon result (straight lines). See text for details.

method of the Monte Carlo simulation as discussed above (see also Fig. 11). The simulation has been investigated by running it with and without the cross section behavior (dipole form factors assumed), and the ratio between the two versions is presented by the lines, the outer lines representing the statistical accuracy. One notices that the increase of the radiative tail is reproduced, but somewhat overestimated compared with the exact calculation.



C. Application to virtual Compton scattering

The above procedure can also be applied to VCS, as long as the angular peaking approximation is used, i.e., the electron does not change its direction while losing energy by internal real radiation. Indeed, Eq. (58) is completely similar to the elastic case, when expressing it in the c.m. system of either (soft photon + outgoing nucleon) or (soft-photon + outgoing photon) depending on how the $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$ reaction is measured, as explained in Sec. III B. After exponentiation, one can apply a factorization completely similar as in Eq. (83). Because under the assumption of the angular peaking approximation $\Delta E_s/\tilde{E}$ is constant under a Lorentz transformation, we obtain the property that the shape of the distribution (85) is system independent, only its endpoint value E changes. As a result, one can apply the distribution of Eq. (85) in the *lab* for VCS, but then using *lab* values for E_{ρ} and E'_{e} . For VCS, one certainly can have a changing acceptance of the detection apparatus as a function of missing mass (making a "clean" cut in missing mass on the data impossible), so that generating a radiative tail in a Monte Carlo simulation with the above described method is probably the best way to implement the radiative tail correction to the data. Such a simulation was implemented for the VCS experiments already performed at MAMI [11] and at JLab [12], and will be fully described in a forthcoming paper [37].

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Elastic electron-proton scattering

Before showing results for VCS, we briefly discuss first the effect of the radiative corrections to elastic electronproton scattering, in order to have a point of reference. The radiative corrections to elastic electron-proton scattering are presented in detail in Appendix A. In Table I, we show for different elastic kinematics (MAMI, JLab) the numerical values of the vertex correction [δ_{vertex} of Eq. (A67)], the vacuum polarization correction [δ_{vac} of Eq. (A69)], and the real radiative correction at the electron side [δ_R of Eq. (A65)]. We also show the Z and Z² dependent corrections, δ_1 [Eq. (A74)] and $\delta_2^{(0)}$ [Eq. (A76)], respectively, as derived

FIG. 10. Radiative tail of elastic electronproton scattering at $E_e = 855.00$ MeV and $\theta_e = 52.18^{\circ}$. A comparison is shown between fully numerical calculation (indicated by the points) and the simulation (curves, see text).



FIG. 11. Same as for Fig. 10, but for elastic electron-proton scattering at $E_e = 705.11$ MeV and $\theta_e = 42.67^{\circ}$.

in the recent work of [24]. We omit here the small part in the Z^2 dependent correction which depends on the particular model for the nucleon structure (in the elastic case, the form factors), as can be found in [24]. In Table I, we indicate the total radiative correction δ_{tot} as the sum of all the different contributions as in Eq. (A73). From Table I, we see that by far the largest contribution to the radiative correction comes from the large logarithm and double logarithm in Q^2/m^2 in the electron vertex correction. When evaluating the real radiative corrections for $E'_e{}^{el} - E'_e = 0.01E_e$, the total effect of the radiative correction is an upwards correction of the data (for negative δ_{tot}) of the order 20–25%. In the last column of Table I (denoted by EXP), we also indicate the result when exponentiating all corrections except the vacuum polarization contribution, which-as modification of the photon propagator—is resummed as in Eq. (A72). One sees that this can lead to differences of the order of 2%.

In an elastic scattering experiment, one measures a scattered electron spectrum and one has to evaluate the real radiative corrections as a function of the cut $(E_e^{\prime el} - E_e^{\prime})$ which one performs in the spectrum. Dividing the measured cross section by the correction factor $(1 + \delta_{tot})$ and plotting the result as function of $(E_e^{\prime el} - E_e^{\prime})$, should then lead to a "plateau" behavior, which demonstrates the consistency of the procedure [within a certain range of the value $(E_e^{\prime el} - E_e^{\prime})$ where one knows the radiative tail to sufficient accuracy].

The determination of the elastic cross section for the kinematics $E_e = 705.11$ MeV, $\theta_e = 42.6^\circ$ is shown in Fig. 12. The upper plot shows the *dE*-spectrum of elastic data taken (during the beam time of the VCS experiment) at MAMI. The dashed line shows the result of a full Monte Carlo simulation, taking into account energy loss by collision, internal and external radiation, multiple scattering, spectrometer resolution, and cross section behavior. On the lower plot, the

TABLE I. Radiative corrections to elastic electron-proton scattering for MAMI and JLab kinematics. First column: E_e in GeV; second column: θ_e in deg; third column: Q^2 in GeV². See text for details on the different contributions. The real radiative corrections are calculated with $(E'_e{}^{el} - E'_e) = 0.01 E_e$. The total radiative correction (to first order) is indicated by δ_{tot} , and the exponentiated (EXP) result (except for the vacuum polarization contribution, see text) is shown in the last column.

$\overline{E_e}$	θ_{e}	Q^2	δ_{vertex}	δ_{vacpol}	δ_R	δ_1	$\delta_2^{(0)}$	δ_{tot}	EXP
0.705	40.66	0.203	-0.1673	0.0208	-0.0453	-0.0067	-0.0018	-0.2003	-0.2025
0.855	52.18	0.418	-0.1881	0.0228	-0.0245	-0.0123	-0.0034	-0.2054	-0.2087
4.000	15.43	1.000	-0.2149	0.0254	-0.0260	-0.0046	-0.0055	-0.2255	-0.2277
4.000	23.82	2.000	-0.2374	0.0275	0.0018	-0.0107	-0.0096	-0.2285	-0.2322
4.000	32.45	3.000	-0.2511	0.0287	0.0300	-0.0180	-0.0128	-0.2232	-0.2292
4.000	42.91	4.000	-0.2611	0.0296	0.0623	-0.0265	-0.0150	-0.2106	-0.2200
6.000	14.93	2.000	-0.2374	0.0275	-0.0097	-0.0062	-0.0089	-0.2348	-0.2371
6.000	19.40	3.000	-0.2511	0.0287	0.0092	-0.0103	-0.0121	-0.2355	-0.2390
6.000	23.96	4.000	-0.2611	0.0296	0.0284	-0.0149	-0.0146	-0.2326	-0.2376
6.000	28.95	5.000	-0.2689	0.0303	0.0490	-0.0200	-0.0166	-0.2263	-0.2334
6.000	34.76	6.000	-0.2754	0.0308	0.0718	-0.0257	-0.0181	-0.2165	-0.2261



FIG. 12. Determination of the elastic cross section for the kinematics $E_e = 705.11$ MeV and $\theta_e = 42.6^{\circ}$.

ratio of the experimental spectrum integrated up to the value $\Delta E'_e$, to the simulation integrated also up to $\Delta E'_e$ is shown as function of the cutoff energy $\Delta E'_e$. This gives the elastic cross section, which is seen to be stable below the 1% level over a long interval up to the cut by the acceptance of the spectrometer. The slow descent for higher $\Delta E'_e$ indicates that the simulation overestimates slightly the radiative tail.

B. VCS below pion production threshold

We next turn to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction below pion threshold. It was discussed in Sec. II, that the lowest order (in α_{em}) amplitude of the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ process at low outgoing photon energies $q' \equiv |\vec{q}'|$ is given by the BH + Born processes. The deviation from the BH + Born amplitudes grows with q', and can be parametrized (at low q') in terms of six generalized polarizabilities (GP's) of the nucleon, which are function of Q^2 .

A first VCS experiment has been performed at MAMI [11]. It consisted of measuring the $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$ reaction at five values of q' below pion threshold, ranging from q' = 33 MeV/c to q' = 111.5 MeV/c. At the lowest value q' = 33 MeV/c, where the polarizability effect is negligibly small, the measurement is a test of the low energy theorem (LET). The measured deviation as function of q' can then be interpreted as the effect of the GP's. It is clear that both to test the LET as well as to extract the GP's from the measured deviation with respect to the BH + Born result (which is expected to be of the order 10–20 % at the highest q' value), it is a prerequisite to know very accurately how the result is modified due to radiative corrections.

In Fig. 13, we first show the differential cross section for MAMI kinematics at a low value q' = 33 MeV/c, as function of the c.m. angle of the emitted real photon with respect to the direction of the virtual photon. One sees from Fig. 13 that the virtual radiative corrections reduce the BH + Born result in these kinematics by about 16% (or when applied to data, increase the uncorrected data by 16%). The real radia-



FIG. 13. Differential $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section for MAMI kinematics at q' = 33 MeV/c. Dashed-dotted curve: BH + Born contribution; dashed curve: BH + Born + virtual radiative correction; full curve: BH + Born + total radiative correction. The real radiative correction is shown here for a maximal soft-photon energy of $\Delta E_s = 10$ MeV.

tive corrections have to be estimated as function of the cut which one performs in the missing mass spectrum. The VCS experiments below pion threshold measure the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction by detecting the outgoing electron and proton, and reconstruct the missing mass M_{m2} as defined in Eq. (64). In Fig. 13, the real radiative corrections are shown for a value of $\Delta E_s = 10$ MeV, where the soft-photon energy ΔE_s is determined from the cut in the missing mass according to Eq. (68). For the small value q' = 33 MeV/c, the real radiative correction depends only very little on the angle $\theta_{\gamma\gamma}$ [through the last terms on the right-hand side (rhs) of Eqs. (65) and (66)]. For $\Delta E_s = 10$ MeV, the real radiative correction δ_R is given by $\delta_R \approx -0.025$, which corresponds with increasing the uncorrected data by about 2.5%. For $\Delta E_s = 20$ MeV, $\delta_R \approx +0.02$ (reducing the uncorrected data by about 2%), and for $\Delta E_s = 30$ MeV, $\delta_R \approx +0.045$ (reducing the uncorrected data by about 4.5%). To determine the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section from the measured missing mass spectra, one has to perform a consistency check by plotting the experimentally measured (uncorrected) cross section divided by the radiative correction factor as function of the cut in the missing mass spectrum. In this way, one has to find a "plateau" behavior, as was demonstrated before for elastic data. This consistency check was also performed on the VCS data measured at MAMI [11], and will be shown in a forthcoming publication [37].



FIG. 14. Differential $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section for MAMI kinematics at q' = 111.5 MeV/c. Curve conventions as in Fig. 13. The real radiative correction is shown here for a maximal soft-photon energy of $\Delta E_s = 10$ MeV.

In Fig. 14, we show the the differential cross section for MAMI kinematics at the highest measured outgoing photon energy: q' = 111.5 MeV/c. The virtual radiative corrections are mainly q' independent (for these rather small values) and lead thus also here to a reduction of the BH + Born result by about 16%. The real radiative corrections are again shown for $\Delta E_s = 10$ MeV, and exhibit a slight angular dependence. These corrections were applied to the data from the unpolarized MAMI experiment of [11]. From the deviation of the radiatively corrected data and the BH + Born result, two combinations of GP's have been extracted at $Q^2 \approx 0.33$ GeV² in [11].

An experiment below pion production threshold to measure the GP's at higher Q^2 has also been performed at JLab [12] and is under analysis at the time of writing. In Fig. 15, we show how the BH + Born cross section is modified due to the virtual radiative corrections. It is seen that for the JLab kinematics of Fig. 15, the BH + Born result is reduced at the backward angles by about 20% due to the virtual radiative corrections.

The unpolarized VCS cross section below pion threshold provides three independent structure functions (when varying the value of ε in the experiment), which allows to extract three of the six (lowest order) generalized nucleon polarizabilities. To extract the three remaining nucleon polarizabilities, one has to resort to double polarization observables as discussed in [38]. In particular, double polarization observables with polarized electron beam and with a polarized tar-



FIG. 15. Differential $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section for JLab kinematics at q' = 120 MeV/c. The BH + Born result is compared with the result including virtual radiative corrections.

get (along either of the three axes), or alternatively by measuring the recoil nucleon polarization, provide three new observables to extract the three additional nucleon response functions [38,2]. In Fig. 16, we show the double polarization asymmetries for MAMI kinematics, by measuring the recoil polarization components along the z direction (virtual photon direction) or along the x direction (perpendicular to the virtual photon but parallel to the scattering plane). One aims to extract the polarizability effect in these observables from the deviation of the measured asymmetry and the BH + Born result (see, e.g., [38] for an estimate of this effect within a model calculation). Therefore, it is important to know how much the BH + Born result is affected by the radiative corrections before extracting the polarizability effect. It is seen in Fig. 16 that the effect of the radiative corrections on the double polarization asymmetries nearly drops out in the ratio (much less than 1% change of the asymmetries). At the low values of the outgoing photon energy q' (e.g., q' \simeq 33 MeV/c) where the polarizability effect is very small, these asymmetries are also hardly affected by radiative corrections. Therefore, these asymmetries can also provide an independent check of the LET. An experiment to measure the VCS double polarization observables by measuring the recoil nucleon polarization is planned at MAMI in the near future [39].

C. Deeply virtual Compton scattering

Besides the low energy region, the VCS process is also studied in the Bjorken regime, where Q^2 and $\nu = p \cdot q/M_N$



FIG. 16. Double polarization asymmetry for VCS with proton polarized along the *z* axis (upper panel) or polarized along the *x* axis (lower panel) for MAMI kinematics. Dashed-dotted curve: BH + Born; full curve: BH + Born + radiative corrections (both curves nearly coincide).

are large, with $x_B = Q^2/(2M_N\nu)$ fixed. In this kinematical region, the process is refered to as deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS). In the Bjorken regime, the DVCS amplitude factorizes into a perturbatively calculable hard scattering amplitude, and into a nonperturbative part at the proton side, expressed in terms of so-called skewed parton distributions (SPD's) which generalize the ordinary parton distributions. These SPD's are new nucleon structure observables which one aims to extract by measuring, e.g., the exclusive $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction in the Bjorken regime. Similarly as was seen before in the threshold region, the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction can have an important contribution from the BH process, besides the DVCS process of actual interest. However, the BH and DVCS contributions behave differently as function of the lepton beam energy, as studied in Refs. [40,2,41]. In particular, at the lower beam energies, such as, e.g., available at JLab, the BH process dominates in the forward direction over the DVCS process. In this region, the DVCS process becomes only measurable due to its interference with the BH process. In order to extract the DVCS process (and the nucleon structure information) from its interference with the BH, it is therefore important to have good knowledge of how the radiative corrections modify the BH amplitude.

In Fig. 17, we show the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ cross section in kinematics accessible at JLab, where such an experiment is planned [17]. The DVCS cross section is calculated by using



FIG. 17. Differential $e^- p \rightarrow e^- p \gamma$ cross section in *lab*: DVCS kinematics at JLab. BH (dotted curve); DVCS (dashed curve); BH + DVCS (dashed-dotted curve); BH + DVCS + virtual radiative corrections (thin full curve). The thick full curve represents the BH + DVCS + virtual and real radiative corrections, where the real radiative corrections are calculated with $\Delta E_s = 0.1$ GeV, which corresponds with a cut in the missing mass spectrum [Eq. (59)] of $M_{m1}^2 - M_N^2 \approx 0.21$ GeV².

the ansatz for the SPD's of [41]. It is seen from Fig. 17, that the BH indeed dominates over the DVCS cross section in these kinematics, and that the DVCS cross section gets enhanced due to its interference with the BH. One furthermore sees that the virtual radiative corrections reduce the BH + DVCS cross section by about 23% in these kinematics. This is mainly due to the reduction of the BH process when including virtual radiative corrections. The real radiative corrections are shown in Fig. 17 for a value $\Delta E_s = 0.1$ GeV, which corresponds with a cut in the recoiling hadronic missing mass spectrum [defined in Eq. (59)] of $M_{m1}^2 - M_N^2$ $\simeq 0.21$ GeV². Remark that we did not consider here the emission of additional hard real photons, supposing that the experiment has sufficient resolution to distinguish these processes from the exclusive DVCS reaction considered. For the calculation of the contribution of hard real photon emission to the inclusive deep-inelastic scattering cross section, an analytical formula has been given in Ref. [34].

In Ref. [2], it was suggested that an exploratory study of the DVCS process might be possible by studying the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction with a polarized electron beam. The electron single spin asymmetry (SSA) does not vanish out of plane and is only due to the interference of the BH amplitude and the imaginary part of the DVCS amplitude (i.e., the BH amplitude does not lead to a SSA, because it is purely real). Therefore, one expects this SSA to be less sensitive to radiative corrections on the BH amplitude. However, as the BH amplitude enters the SSA linearly in the numerator, but quadratically in the denominator (as in the unpolarized cross section), one might wonder what is the residual effect of the



FIG. 18. Electron single spin asymmetry for the $e^-p \rightarrow e^-p\gamma$ reaction: DVCS kinematics at JLab. Dashed-dotted curve: BH + DVCS; full curve: BH + DVCS + radiative corrections.

radiative corrections on this observable. In Fig. 18, we show the SSA for DVCS at JLab. One sees that the SSA gets only slightly reduced due to the radiative corrections. The reduction of the SSA amounts to maximum 5% of its value around 5° , where the asymmetry reaches its maximal value. Therefore, the SSA shows to be a rather "clean" observable for extracting the DVCS amplitude in a region where the BH process dominates. Its measurement is also envisaged at JLab in the near future [17].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We studied in this work the first order QED radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction. The one-loop virtual radiative corrections have been evaluated by a combined analytical-numerical method. Several tests were shown to cross-check the numerical method used. Furthermore, it was shown how all IR divergences cancel when adding the soft-photon emission processes. A fully numerical method was presented for the photon emission processes where the photon energy is not very small compared with the electron energies, which makes up the radiative tail. Besides, we have also presented an approximate calculation of the radiative tail, which was shown to be realistic enough for use in a Monte Carlo simulation.

We compared our results first to elastic electron-proton scattering. Subsequently, the results for the radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction were shown both below pion threshold and in the deeply virtual Compton scattering regime.

Below pion threshold, our calculations were applied to the first dedicated VCS experiment at MAMI, and show that the effect of the radiative corrections results in an enhancement of the uncorrected data by about 20% (or an equivalent reduction of the theory). VCS double polarization asymmetries where shown to be insensitive to radiative corrections.

For the exclusive DVCS reaction, we calculated radiative corrections for JLab kinematics and found the virtual radiative corrections to lead to an enhancement of the data by about 23%. The single spin asymmetry was shown to be only slightly reduced by radiative corrections.

Although we focused here on the kinematical regimes of ongoing or planned experiments, the present work can also serve as a tool in the analysis of future VCS experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

As this work grew over a period of a couple of years, we enjoyed discussions with many colleagues about the subject of this work, in particular with N. d'Hose and P. Vernin, about the application of radiative corrections to elastic and VCS data. We thank M. Distler and H. Merkel for their help in the numerical implementation of the radiative tail calculation. Furthermore, we would like to thank P.A.M. Guichon for many discussions, which were at the origin of this work, and for a reading of the text. We also want to acknowledge in particular very useful discussions and correspondence with L. Maximon and are grateful for his continued interest in this work. This work was supported in part by the French Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique (CEA), by EU/TMR Contract No. ERB FMRX-CT96-0008, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 443), by the French CNRS/ IN2P3, and by the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (Belgium).

APPENDIX A: RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS TO ELASTIC LEPTON-NUCLEON SCATTERING USING THE DIMENSIONAL REGULARIZATION METHOD FOR BOTH UV AND IR DIVERGENCES

In this Appendix, we provide the reader with some details of the derivation of the radiative corrections to elastic lepton scattering at one-loop level. In our derivation, we use the dimensional regularization procedure to regularize both ultraviolet and infrared divergences.

After a short introduction of the renormalization method, we calculate subsequently the vertex diagram at the lepton side [Fig. 19(a)], the lepton self-energy diagram [Fig. 19(b)], the vacuum polarization diagram [Fig. 19(c)], and give an analytical result, without approximations, for the soft photon emission at the lepton side [Figs. 19(d) and 19(e)]. We compare our results with other derivations found in the literature. At the end we collect the results to correct the elastic lepton-nucleon scattering cross sections and discuss the role of the radiative corrections by referring to the recent work of Ref. [24]. In this Appendix, we use the same notations as explained in Sec. II.

1. Renormalization method

In calculating QED radiative corrections in this work, we are using the BPHZ renormalization method (as explained, e.g., in Ref. [42]), which consists of replacing in the unrenor-



FIG. 19. First order virtual and real radiative correction processes: (a) vertex diagram; (b) lepton self-energy diagram; (c) photon polarization diagram; (d) and (e) soft-photon emission contributions to elastic lepton-nucleon scattering.

malized Lagrangian all bare quantities by renormalized ones. For QED, the bare Lagrangian is given by (we are using the conventions of Bjorken and Drell [25] in this work)

$$\mathcal{L}_{B} = \bar{\Psi}_{B} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{B}) \Psi_{B} - \frac{1}{4} F_{B\mu\nu} F_{B}^{\mu\nu} - e_{B} \bar{\Psi}_{B} \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_{B} A_{B\mu},$$
(A1)

where the bare field tensor $F_B^{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$F_B^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A_B^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A_B^{\mu}. \tag{A2}$$

The renormalization of the theory amounts in redefining the bare quantities in terms of renormalized ones:

$$\Psi_{B} = Z_{2}^{1/2} \Psi, \quad A_{B}^{\mu} = Z_{3}^{1/2} A^{\mu},$$

$$m_{B} = Z_{m} m, \quad e_{B} = Z_{a} e.$$
(A3)

In Eq. (A3), the renormalized finite quantities are Ψ, A^{μ}, m , and e. A theory in which all divergences can be absorbed into renormalization constants such as Z_2, Z_3, Z_m , and Z_g in Eq. (A3), is called *multiplicatively renormalizable*. This procedure leads to a decomposition of the QED Lagrangian of Eq. (A1) into

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \mathcal{L}_R + \mathcal{L}_{CT}, \tag{A4}$$

where \mathcal{L}_R represents the renormalized Lagrangian in terms of the physical (finite) quantities

$$\mathcal{L}_{R} = \bar{\Psi}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\Psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - e\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi A_{\mu},$$
(A5)

and where \mathcal{L}_{CT} is called the counterterm Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{CT} = (Z_2 - 1)\bar{\Psi}i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\Psi - (Z_2 Z_m - 1)\bar{\Psi}$$

$$m\Psi - (Z_3 - 1)\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - (Z_1 - 1)e\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi A_{\mu}.$$

(A6)

In Eq. (A6), the vertex renormalization constant Z_1 is defined as $Z_1 = Z_g Z_2 Z_3^{1/2}$. For a renormalizable theory such as QED, all divergences obtained by calculating loop diagrams with the renormalized Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_R are cancelled by the corresponding contributions in the counterterm Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{CT} . It will be shown below how the QED renormalization constants are calculated to order $O(e^2)$ by calculating the vertex diagram, the lepton self-energy diagram, and the photon polarization diagram at the one-loop level.

As QED is a gauge invariant theory, we will simplify all calculations in this work by using the Feynman gauge.

2. Vertex diagram

The on-shell photon-lepton-lepton vertex is represented by

$$M_{v}^{\mu} = \bar{u}(k',h')[-ie\Lambda^{\mu}(k',k)]u(k,h), \qquad (A7)$$

and the on-shell vertex of Eq. (A7) can be parametrized as

$$\overline{u}(k',h')\Lambda^{\mu}(k',k)u(k,h) = \overline{u}(k',h') \bigg[(1+F(Q^2))\gamma^{\mu} - G(Q^2)i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{q_{\nu}}{2m} \bigg] u(k,h),$$
(A8)

where q = k - k'. To order $O(e^2)$, the vertex Λ^{μ} [corresponding with Fig. 19(a)] is given by

$$\Lambda^{\mu}(k',k) = \gamma^{\mu} - ie^{2}\mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \\ \times \frac{\gamma^{\alpha}(k'+l+m)\gamma^{\mu}(k+l+m)\gamma_{\alpha}}{[l^{2}][l^{2}+2l\cdot k'][l^{2}+2l\cdot k]} + O(e^{4}),$$
(A9)

where a mass scale μ (renormalization scale) has to be introduced when passing to $D \neq 4$ dimensions in order to keep the coupling constant dimensionless. It is immediately seen by power counting that in four dimensions (D=4), the oneloop integral in Eq. (A9) contains an ultraviolet $(l \rightarrow \infty)$ logarithmic divergence and an infrared $(l \rightarrow 0)$ logarithmic divergence. To subtract the divergent parts (by the corresponding counterterms) of expressions such as Eq. (A9), one has to regularize them first.

We follow in this work the dimensional regularization procedure to regularize both ultraviolet and infrared divergences. The dimensional regularization method amounts in calculating loop diagrams in D dimensions. Physical observables are obtained by letting $D \rightarrow 4$ at the end. To obtain an integral which is ultraviolet convergent, one has to take D<4, or $\epsilon_{UV} \equiv 2 - D/2 > 0$ in expressions such as Eq. (A9). To obtain an integral which is infrared convergent, one has to take D>4, or $\epsilon_{IR} \equiv 2 - D/2 < 0$. The two different limits show that care has to be taken with the limit $D \rightarrow 4$, which means that the parts in Eq. (A9) that are infrared divergent and the parts that are ultraviolet divergent have to be separated and in the corresponding terms, two different limits have to be taken when one approaches D=4. Although the dimensional regularization scheme has been applied originally to ultraviolet divergent expressions as it respects the symmetries of the theory (in particular the gauge symmetry for a gauge theory), it has also been applied in a few works to regularize infrared divergences [43,44].

When working out the integral in Eq. (A9), one obtains after some algebra the following expressions for $F(Q^2)$ and $G(Q^2)$ to order $O(e^2)$:

$$F(Q^{2}) = \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \frac{v^{2} + 1}{v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) + \frac{v^{2} + 1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) \ln\left(\frac{v^{2} - 1}{4v^{2}}\right) + \frac{2v^{2} + 1}{v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) + \frac{v^{2} + 1}{v} \left[Sp\left(\frac{v + 1}{2v}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{v - 1}{2v}\right) \right] \right\}$$
(A10)

and

$$G(Q^2) = \frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{v^2 - 1}{v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right),$$
 (A11)

where v is given by Eq. (11), with $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$. In Eq. (A10), γ_E represents the Euler constant, and the Spence (or dilogarithmic) function is defined by

$$Sp(x) \equiv -\int_0^x dt \, \frac{\ln(1-t)}{t}.$$
 (A12)

From Eq. (A11), the one-loop radiative correction to the electron magnetic moment follows as

$$\mu_e = \frac{e}{2m} (1 + G(Q^2 = 0)) = \frac{e}{2m} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \right), \quad (A13)$$

which is the result first obtained by Schwinger [45].

To remove the UV divergence from the vertex correction Eq. (A10), one has to determine the vertex renormalization constant Z_1 of Eq. (A6). Z_1 is determined by requiring that the total vertex

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu} + (Z_1 - 1) \gamma^{\mu}, \qquad (A14)$$

defines the physical electron charge at $Q^2=0$, i.e.,

$$Z_{1} = 1 - F(Q^{2} = 0)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + 2\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + 4 \right\} + O(e^{4}).$$
(A15)

It is seen that the vertex renormalization constant Z_1 contains besides the UV divergence also an IR divergence. The renormalized vertex of Eq. (A14) is determined by the vertex correction function $F(Q^2) - F(Q^2=0)$ which is given to first order in α_{em} (where $\alpha_{em} = e^2/4\pi$) by the expression

$$F(Q^{2}) - F(Q^{2} = 0) = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \cdot \left[\frac{v^{2} + 1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) - 1 \right] + \frac{v^{2} + 1}{4v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) \ln\left(\frac{v^{2} - 1}{4v^{2}}\right) + \frac{2v^{2} + 1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) - 2 + \frac{v^{2} + 1}{2v} \left[Sp\left(\frac{v + 1}{2v}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{v - 1}{2v}\right) \right] \right\}.$$
(A16)

The expression for the vertex correction function $F(Q^2) - F(Q^2=0)$, which was calculated here using the dimensional regularization method for both the UV and IR divergences, agrees with the ones derived in many textbooks [see, e.g., Eq. (47.52) of Ref. [46] where a full derivation is given]. The correspondence with the calculations which use a finite photon mass (λ) as IR regulator is found to be

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \leftrightarrow \ln\frac{\lambda^2}{m^2}.$$
 (A17)

In the ultrarelativistic limit $(Q^2 \ge m^2)$, the vertex correction Eq. (A16) $F(Q^2) - F(Q^2 = 0)$ becomes

$$F(Q^{2}) - F(Q^{2} = 0) \xrightarrow{Q^{2} \gg m^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] \cdot \left[\ln\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) - 1 \right] + \left(\frac{3}{2}\ln\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) - 2 \right) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \right) \right\}.$$
(A18)

It is seen from Eq. (A18) that the finite part of the vertex correction at high Q^2 is dominated by a quadratic logarithmic term.

3. Lepton self-energy diagram

The free lepton propagator (for a lepton with fourmomentum k)

$$S^{o}(k) = \frac{k+m}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon},\tag{A19}$$

is modified through the lepton self-energy $\Sigma(k)$, to the full lepton propagator

$$S(k) = S^{o}(k) + S^{o}(k)\Sigma(k)S(k).$$
(A20)

To first order, the lepton self-energy [Fig. 19(b)] is given by

$$-i\Sigma(k) = -e^{2}\mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{\gamma^{\alpha}(k+l+m)\gamma_{\alpha}}{[l^{2}][(k+l)^{2}-m^{2}]}.$$
(A21)

By power counting, it is seen that the integral of Eq. (A21) contains a linear UV divergence but is IR finite in the limit $D \rightarrow 4$. The integral of Eq. (A21) yields

$$\Sigma(k) = -\frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_E + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \right] (k-4m) + k \left[1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}^2} + \frac{1+\tilde{k}^2}{(\tilde{k}^2)^2} (1-\tilde{k}^2) \ln(1-\tilde{k}^2) \right] + 2m \left[-3 - \frac{2}{\tilde{k}^2} (1-\tilde{k}^2) \ln(1-\tilde{k}^2) \right] \right\}, \quad (A22)$$

where $\tilde{k}^2 = k^2/m^2$.

To remove the UV divergence from the self-energy Eq. (A22), one has to determine the renormalization constants Z_2 and Z_m from Eq. (A6). This counterterm contribution leads to the renormalized self-energy

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(k) = \Sigma(k) - (Z_2 - 1)k + (Z_2 Z_m - 1)m.$$
(A23)

Inserting Eq. (A23) into Eq. (A20) and developing $\Sigma(k)$ as a Taylor series expansion around k=m yields for inverse of the total lepton propagator

$$S^{-1} = (\mathbf{k} - m) \left[1 - \frac{d\Sigma}{d\mathbf{k}} \right]_{k=m} + (Z_2 - 1) \left] + \left[(1 - Z_m) Z_2 m - \Sigma (\mathbf{k} = m) \right] + O((\mathbf{k} - m)^2).$$
(A24)

Requiring that the total propagator *S* has a pole at k = m with residue 1, determines the renormalization constants Z_2 and Z_m as

$$Z_2 = 1 + \frac{d\Sigma}{dk} \bigg|_{k=m},\tag{A25}$$

$$(1-Z_m)Z_2m = \Sigma(\mathbf{k} = m). \tag{A26}$$

Using the first order expression of Eq. (A22) for the lepton self-energy yields

$$Z_{2} = 1 - \frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + 2 \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \right] + 4 \right\} + O(e^{4}),$$
(A27)

$$Z_2 Z_m = 1 - \frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left\{ 4 \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_E + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \right] + 2 \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \right] + 8 \right\} + O(e^4).$$
(A28)

Remark that although the unrenormalized lepton self-energy $\Sigma(k)$ of Eq. (A21) is IR finite, the lepton field renormalization constant Z_2 contains an infrared divergence for the derivative of Σ that appears in its definition [see Eq. (A26)]. Furthermore, a comparison of the first order expressions for the lepton field renormalization constant Z_2 [Eq. (A27)] with the vertex renormalization constant Z_1 [Eq. (A15)] shows that they are the same (it is known as a Ward identity and can be shown to hold to all orders as a consequence of the gauge invariance of QED).

Finally, using the expressions of Eqs. (A27) and (A28), the renormalized lepton self-energy to first order in α_{em} is given by

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Sigma}(k) &= -\frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi} \bigg\{ \, k \bigg[-2 \bigg(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E + \ln\bigg(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2} \bigg) \bigg) - 3 + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}^2} \\ &+ \frac{(1-\tilde{k}^4)}{\tilde{k}^4} \ln(1-\tilde{k}^2) \bigg] - m \bigg[-2 \bigg(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E \\ &+ \ln\bigg(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2} \bigg) \bigg) - 2 + \frac{4}{\tilde{k}^2} (1-\tilde{k}^2) \ln(1-\tilde{k}^2) \bigg] \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

$$(A29)$$

It is seen from Eq. (A29) that for an on-shell lepton (k=m), the renormalized lepton self-energy $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is exactly zero. Consequently, this correction has only to be applied for internal lepton lines.

4. Vacuum polarization diagram

Starting from the free propagator of a photon with fourmomentum q (as stated before, we give all expressions in the Feynman gauge)

$$D_o^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{-g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2},$$
 (A30)

the full photon propagator can be written as

$$D^{\mu\nu}(q) = D_o^{\mu\nu}(q) + D^{\mu\kappa}(q)\Pi_{\kappa\lambda}(q)D_o^{\lambda\nu}(q), \quad (A31)$$

where $\Pi_{\kappa\lambda}(q)$ represents the vacuum polarization correction. To order $O(e^2)$, the vacuum polarization [corresponding with Fig. 19(c)] due to lepton loops (with lepton $l = e, \mu, \tau$) is given by

$$-i\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q) = -e^{2}\mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^{D}l}{(2\pi)^{D}} \times \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\{\gamma^{\mu}(l+q+m)\gamma^{\nu}(l+m)\}}{[(l+q)^{2}-m^{2}][l^{2}-m^{2}]} + O(e^{4}).$$
(A32)

The gauge invariance of QED leads to the relation $q^{\kappa}q^{\lambda}\Pi_{\kappa\lambda}(q)=0$ (Ward-Takahashi identity). Consequently, the vacuum polarization correction can be written as

$$\Pi_{\kappa\lambda}(q) = (-g_{\kappa\lambda}q^2 + q_{\kappa}q_{\lambda})\Pi(q^2), \qquad (A33)$$

where the function $\Pi(q^2)$ is IR convergent and contains only a logarithmic UV divergence as can be seen from Eq. (A32).

Using Eq. (A33), the self-consistent relation for the full photon propagator [Eq. (A31)] yields

$$D^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{-g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2(1 - \Pi(q^2))} + \text{ term in} q^{\mu}q^{\nu}, \quad (A34)$$

where we do not have to specify the term in $q^{\mu}q^{\nu}$, as the photon propagator will be contracted with conserved currents. Evaluating the one-loop integral of Eq. (A32), one obtains

$$\Pi(Q^{2}) = -\frac{e^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{4}{3} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) - \left(v^{2} - \frac{8}{3}\right) + v \frac{(v^{2} - 3)}{2} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) \right],$$
(A35)

where v is given by Eq. (11).

The UV divergent term in Eq. (A35) is removed by adding the counterterm in Z_3 of Eq. (A6). This leads to the renormalized photon propagator

$$\tilde{D}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{-g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2(1 - \tilde{\Pi}(q^2))} + \text{ term in } q^{\mu}q^{\nu}, \quad (A36)$$

where the renormalized photon polarization Π is given by

$$\tilde{\Pi}(Q^2) = \Pi(Q^2) - (Z_3 - 1).$$
(A37)

Requiring that the renormalized photon propagator [Eq. (A34)] has a pole at $q^2=0$ with residue 1, determines the renormalization constant Z_3 :

$$Z_3 = 1 + \Pi(q^2 = 0). \tag{A38}$$

Consequently, the renormalized finite photon polarization is found from Eqs. (A35) and (A37) to be given by

$$\widetilde{\Pi}(Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \frac{1}{3} \left[\left(v^2 - \frac{8}{3} \right) + v \frac{(3 - v^2)}{2} \ln \left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1} \right) \right],$$
(A39)

which agrees with the result derived in Ref. [46].

5. Soft photon emission contributions

The calculation of the one-loop vertex correction of Eq. (A9) was seen to be both UV and IR divergent. The ultraviolet divergence was removed by renormalizing the fields and parameters of the theory. The remaining infrared divergences are cancelled at the cross section level by the soft bremsstrahlung contributions [26,27].

In this bremsstrahlung process [see Figs. 19(d) and 19(e)], an electron is accompanied by the emission of a soft photon of maximal energy ΔE_s (which is related to the detector resolution and is therefore much smaller than the electron energy which radiates this soft photon). To first order in α_{em} (relative to the Born cross section) the bremsstrahlung cross section amounts to calculating a phase space integral of the form

$$d\sigma \sim \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}_{e}'}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{e}'} \frac{d^{3}\vec{p}_{N}'}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{N}'} \frac{d^{3}\vec{l}}{(2\pi)^{3}2} (2\pi)^{4} \\ \times \delta^{4}(k+p-k'-p'-l)|M_{BORN}|^{2}(-e^{2}) \\ \times \left[\frac{k_{\mu}'}{k'\cdot l} - \frac{k_{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{k'^{\mu}}{k'\cdot l} - \frac{k^{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right], \qquad (A40)$$

where $l \equiv |\vec{l}|$ denotes the soft photon energy, and where M_{BORN} denotes the Born amplitude for elastic lepton-

nucleon scattering. In Eq. (A40), terms in the soft photon momentum were neglected compared with the electron momenta k and k', except in the denominators of the lepton propagators where they matter.

If one performs an experiment where the outgoing electron is detected, and where the recoiling proton remains undetected (i.e., if one measures a single arm electron spectrum), one eliminates in Eq. (A40) the integral over \vec{p}'_N with the momentum conserving δ function, which gives

$$d\sigma \sim \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}_{e}'}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{e}'} \frac{d^{3}\vec{l}}{(2\pi)^{3}21} \frac{1}{2E_{N}'} (2\pi)$$

$$\times \delta(E_{e} + E_{N} - E_{e}' - \sqrt{(\vec{q} + \vec{p}_{N} - \vec{l})^{2} + M_{N}^{2}} - 1)$$

$$\times |M_{BORN}|^{2} (-e^{2}) \left[\frac{k_{\mu}'}{k' \cdot l} - \frac{k_{\mu}}{k \cdot l} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{k'^{\mu}}{k' \cdot l} - \frac{k^{\mu}}{k \cdot l} \right].$$
(A41)

Due to the energy conserving δ -function in Eq. (A41), the integration volume for the soft photon has a complicated ellipsoidal shape in the lab system. In order for the softphoton phase space integration volume to be spherical, one has to perform the calculation in the c.m. system S of the (recoiling nucleon + soft photon), as discussed in [21]. The system S is thus defined by $\vec{p}'_N + \vec{l} = \vec{q} + \vec{p}_N = 0$. In the system \mathcal{S} , the energy conserving delta function is independent of the soft-photon angles, and the maximal soft photon energy is isotropic. The integral over the soft-photon momentum (up to some maximum value ΔE_s) can then be performed independently from the integration over the soft photon emission angles. If ΔE_s is sufficiently small, one can furthermore neglect the soft photon energy with respect to the other energies in the δ function, and perform the integral over the electron momentum $|\vec{k}'_e|$ in Eq. (A41). The integration over the outgoing electron momentum eliminates the δ -function, which implies the elastic scattering constraint. This yields then for the differential cross section with respect to the outgoing electron angles, the following correction due to soft bremsstrahlung:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{REAL\ SOFT\gamma} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{BORN} (-e^{2}) \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{l}}{(2\pi)^{3}2l} \times \left[\frac{k'_{\mu}}{k'\cdot l} - \frac{k_{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{k'^{\mu}}{k'\cdot l} - \frac{k^{\mu}}{k\cdot l}\right],$$
(A42)

where the soft-photon phase space integral is performed in the system S, in which the integration volume is spherical. We will denote in the following the external kinematics in the system S by tilded quantities ($\tilde{E}_e, \tilde{E}'_e, \tilde{E}_N, \tilde{E}'_N$) to distinguish them from the *lab* quantities, which we denote by untilded quantities ($E_e, E'_e, E_N \equiv M_N, E'_N$). To make the transformation between the system S and the *lab* system, we first introduce the missing four-momentum $p_m \equiv p'_N + l$. The system S is defined by $p_m = 0$, and the soft photon limit implies $p_m^0 \approx M_N$. We can then easily express in the system S, the energies for the external particles in the elastic scattering process, in terms of *lab* quantities:

$$\tilde{E}_{e} \approx \frac{k \cdot p_{m}}{M_{N}} = \frac{1}{M_{N}} k \cdot (p+q) = \frac{1}{M_{N}} (M_{N} E_{e} - Q^{2}/2) = E'_{e},$$
(A43)

$$\tilde{E}'_{e} \approx \frac{k' \cdot p_{m}}{M_{N}} = \frac{1}{M_{N}}k' \cdot (p+q) = \frac{1}{M_{N}}(M_{N}E'_{e} + Q^{2}/2) = E_{e},$$
(A44)

$$\tilde{E}_{N} \approx \frac{p \cdot p_{m}}{M_{N}} = \frac{1}{M_{N}} p \cdot (p+q) = M_{N} + E_{e} - E'_{e} = E'_{N},$$
(A45)

where the elastic scattering condition $[Q^2 = 2M_N(E_e - E'_e)]$ has been used in the last step in Eqs. (A43) and (A44). The angle $\tilde{\theta}_e$ in the frame S is obtained from $k \cdot k' = \tilde{E}_e \tilde{E}'_e (1 - \cos \tilde{\theta}_e) = E_e E'_e (1 - \cos \theta_e)$, which shows [using Eqs. (A43) and (A44)] that in the soft-photon limit, this angle is the same as in the *lab* system, i.e., $\cos \tilde{\theta}_e = \cos \theta_e$.

The integral of Eq. (A42) extends up to a maximal softphoton energy ΔE_s in the system S, which is expressed in terms of the *lab* quantities E_e and E'_e , by using

$$(p'+l)^2 - M_N^2 = (p+k-k')^2 - M_N^2$$

= 2p \cdot (k-k') + (k-k')^2, (A46)

which leads (for soft-photon energies, i.e., keeping only terms of first order in ΔE_s) to

$$2M_N \Delta E_s \approx 2M_N (E_e - E'_e) - 4E_e E'_e \sin^2 \theta_e / 2,$$

= $2M_N (E_e - E'_e) - 2M_N (E_e - E'_e) E'_e E'_e E'_e^{el}.$
(A47)

All quantities on the right-hand side (rhs) of Eq. (A47) are in the *lab*, and the elastic scattering condition has been used in the last line $(E'_e{}^{el}$ denotes the elastic scattered electron *lab* energy, to distinguish it from E'_e). From Eq. (A47), one determines then ΔE_s in terms of *lab* quantities from the scattered electron spectrum through

$$\Delta E_s = \eta (E_e^{\prime el} - E_e^{\prime}), \qquad (A48)$$

where the recoil factor η is given by $\eta = E_e / E_e'^{el}$.

Deviations from the soft-photon emission formula Eq. (A42) will show up when ΔE_s is not very small compared with the lepton momenta in the process. The emission of such a semihard photon is what is usually referred to as the radiative tail. Although the distinction is somewhat arbitrary, one can always split the integral for photon emission into two parts, one by integrating up to a small value ΔE_s , where the soft-photon approximation in writing down Eq. (A42) holds, and a second integral, starting from this small (but nonzero) value of ΔE_s up to the energy where one performs

the cut in the spectrum. This second integral is finite and can be performed numerically. Such a numerical calculation of the radiative tail without approximations is presented in Sec. IV. In the present section, we give an analytical result for the soft-photon (i.e., small ΔE_s) integral of Eq. (A42), without any further approximations [remark that in [22] only an approximate evaluation of Eq. (A42) has been given].

As is immediately seen by power counting, the integral in Eq. (A42) has a logarithmic IR divergence, corresponding to the emission of photons with zero energy. To demonstrate the cancellation with the IR divergence of the vertex diagram as stated above, one has to regularize the integral of Eq. (A42). In this work this is performed by also using dimensional regularization. The soft photon integral is then evaluated in D-1 dimensions ($D \rightarrow 4$ corresponds to the physical limit). One now sees that it is extremely advantageous to have a spherical integration volume, in order to evaluate the

integral for dimensions $D \neq 4$. Before continuing the integral of Eq. (A42) into D-1 dimensions, the integration limits for l have to be made dimensionless, which leads in the dimensional regularization scheme to the introduction of the same scale μ in Eq. (A49) as was introduced when changing the dimension of the virtual photon loop integral of Eq. (A9). This leads then in D-1 dimensions, to the bremsstrahlung integral:

$$I = -e^2 \int^{1 < \Delta E_s / \mu} \frac{d^{D-1}l}{(2\pi)^{D-1} 2l} \left[\frac{k'_{\alpha}}{k' \cdot l} - \frac{k_{\alpha}}{k \cdot l} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{k'^{\alpha}}{k' \cdot l} - \frac{k^{\alpha}}{k \cdot l} \right].$$
(A49)

The integral in Eq. (A49) is worked out by introducing polar coordinates in D-1 dimensions. To define the polar angle in the interference term of Eq. (A49), a Feynman parametrization is performed. This leads for *I* to the expression

$$I = e^{2} \int_{0}^{1 < \Delta E_{s}/\mu} \frac{d}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1^{D-2}}{2} \frac{1}{1^{3}} \times \int_{D-2} d\Omega_{l} \left\{ \frac{k \cdot k'}{\tilde{E}_{e} \tilde{E}_{e}'} \int_{-1}^{+1} dy \frac{1}{(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{y} \cdot \hat{l})^{2}} - \frac{(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{-2})}{(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e} \cdot \hat{l})^{2}} - \frac{(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}'^{-2})}{(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}' \cdot \hat{l})^{2}} \right\},$$
(A50)

where \hat{l} is the unit vector along the soft photon direction, $\tilde{\beta}_e \equiv |\tilde{\vec{\beta}}_e|$, $\tilde{\beta}'_e \equiv |\tilde{\vec{\beta}}'_e|$ are the incoming and outgoing electron velocities (in the system S), respectively, and where $\tilde{\beta}_v \equiv |\tilde{\vec{\beta}}_v|$ with

$$\tilde{\vec{\beta}}_e = \frac{\tilde{\vec{k}}_e}{\tilde{E}_e}, \quad \tilde{\vec{\beta}}'_e = \frac{\tilde{\vec{k}}'_e}{\tilde{E}'_e}, \quad \tilde{\vec{\beta}}_y = \tilde{\vec{\beta}}_e \frac{1}{2}(1+y) + \tilde{\vec{\beta}}'_e \frac{1}{2}(1-y).$$
(A51)

The integrals over 1 and the azimuthal angular integral (over D-2 dimensions) can be performed immediately which yields

$$I = e^{2} \left[\frac{(2\pi)^{2\epsilon_{IR}}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left(\frac{\Delta E_{s}}{\mu} \right)^{-2\epsilon_{IR}} \frac{1}{-4\epsilon_{IR}} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{2\pi}{\pi^{\epsilon_{IR}}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon_{IR})} \right] \times \left\{ \frac{k \cdot k'}{\tilde{E}_{e}\tilde{E}'_{e}} \int_{-1}^{+1} dy \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{(1-x^{2})^{-\epsilon_{IR}}}{(1-\tilde{\beta}_{y}x)^{2}} - (1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}^{2}) \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{(1-x^{2})^{-\epsilon_{IR}}}{(1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}x)^{2}} - (1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}^{\prime}x)^{2} \right] \right\}.$$
 (A52)

The IR divergent term and the finite term are obtained by developing the polar angular integral in Eq. (A52) as

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{(1-x^2)^{-\epsilon_{IR}}}{(1-\beta x)^2} = \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{1}{(1-\beta x)^2} - \epsilon_{IR} \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{\ln(1-x^2)}{(1-\beta x)^2} + O(\epsilon_{IR}^2).$$
(A53)

Performing the integrations in Eq. (A53) [the second integral in Eq. (A53) is simplified by making the substitution $x \rightarrow u = \beta/(1-\beta x)$] yields

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{(1-x^2)^{-\epsilon_{IR}}}{(1-\beta x)^2} = \frac{2}{1-\beta^2} - \epsilon_{IR} \frac{2}{1-\beta^2} \left[\ln 4 + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \right] + O(\epsilon_{IR}^2).$$
(A54)

Consequently, the IR divergent term and the finite term of the integral *I* are obtained by using Eq. (A54) in Eq. (A52) and by developing all other factors also to order ϵ_{IR} :

$$I = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \Biggl\{ \Biggl[-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}} + \gamma_E - \ln\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2} + \ln\frac{4(\Delta E_s)^2}{m^2} \Biggr] \Biggl[1 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \tilde{\beta}_e \tilde{\beta}'_e \cos \tilde{\theta}_e) I_y^{(1)} \Biggr] + \Biggl[\frac{1}{2\tilde{\beta}_e} \ln\frac{1 - \tilde{\beta}_e}{1 + \tilde{\beta}_e} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\beta}'_e} \ln\frac{1 - \tilde{\beta}'_e}{1 + \tilde{\beta}'_e} - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \tilde{\beta}_e \tilde{\beta}'_e \cos \tilde{\theta}_e) I_y^{(2)} \Biggr] \Biggr\},$$
(A55)

where the remaining Feynman parameter integrals $I_y^{(1)}$ and $I_y^{(2)}$ are given by

$$I_{y}^{(1)} \equiv \int_{-1}^{+1} dy \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\beta}_{y}^{2}}, \quad I_{y}^{(2)} \equiv \int_{-1}^{+1} dy \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}_{y}(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{y}^{2})} \ln \frac{1 - \tilde{\beta}_{y}}{1 + \tilde{\beta}_{y}},$$
(A56)

and where $\tilde{\beta}_{y}$ is given by Eq. (A51). The integral $I_{y}^{(1)}$ in Eq. (A56) can be performed easily and yields

$$I_{y}^{(1)} = \frac{2\tilde{E}_{e}\tilde{E}'_{e}}{m^{2}} \frac{v^{2}-1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right),$$
(A57)

with v as defined in Eq. (11). To obtain an analytical formula for the integral $I_y^{(2)}$ is much harder but was performed in Ref. [47], which we checked² and which yields the result

$$I_{y}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{|\tilde{\beta}_{e} - \tilde{\beta}_{e}'| \tanh \alpha} \left\{ \left[-2\ln(2) + \frac{1}{2}\ln(\sinh^{2}\alpha - \sinh^{2}\phi_{1}) \right] \ln \frac{\sinh \alpha + \sinh \phi_{1}}{\sinh \alpha - \sinh \phi_{1}} - \ln(\sinh \alpha + \sinh \phi_{1}) \ln \frac{\sinh \alpha - \sinh \phi_{1}}{4\sinh^{2}\alpha} + 2\ln \left[e^{-\alpha} \frac{e^{\alpha} + e^{\phi_{1}}}{e^{-\alpha} + e^{\phi_{1}}} \right] \ln \frac{\cosh \alpha + \cosh \phi_{1}}{\cosh \alpha - \cosh \phi_{1}} - 2\Phi \left[\frac{\sinh \alpha + \sinh \phi_{1}}{2\sinh \alpha} \right] + \Phi \left[\left(\frac{e^{\alpha} - e^{\phi_{1}}}{e^{\alpha} + e^{\phi_{1}}} \right)^{2} \right] - \Phi \left[\left(\frac{e^{\phi_{1}} - e^{-\alpha}}{e^{\phi_{1}} + e^{-\alpha}} \right)^{2} \right] - \left[\phi_{1} \rightarrow \phi_{2} \right] \right\},$$
(A58)

where α , ϕ_1 , and ϕ_2 are given by

$$\cosh \alpha = \frac{|\tilde{\beta}_e - \tilde{\beta}'_e|}{\tilde{\beta}_e \tilde{\beta}'_e \sin \tilde{\theta}_e} (\alpha > 0),$$

$$\cosh \phi_1 = \tilde{\beta}_e \cosh \alpha, \quad \sinh \phi_1 = \frac{-\tilde{\beta}_e \tilde{\beta}'_e \cos \tilde{\theta}_e + \tilde{\beta}_e^2}{\tilde{\beta}_e \tilde{\beta}'_e \sin \tilde{\theta}_e}, \quad \cosh \phi_2 = \tilde{\beta}'_e \cosh \alpha, \quad \sinh \phi_2 = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_e \tilde{\beta}'_e \cos \tilde{\theta}_e - \tilde{\beta}'_e^2}{\tilde{\beta}_e \tilde{\beta}'_e \sin \tilde{\theta}_e}.$$
(A59)

The function Φ in Eq. (A58) is given by

$$\Phi(x) = -\int_{0}^{x} dt \, \frac{\ln|1-t|}{t},$$
(A60)

which agrees with the Spence function [Eq. (A12)] when x < 1. Compared with previous calculations in the literature, it was shown in Ref. [47] that this integral $I_y^{(2)}$ was approximated in Ref. [48] and that the calculation of this integral in Ref. [49] contains a factor 2 error. We also checked the analytical formula of Eq. (A58) by performing the integral of Eq. (A56) numerically.

In the ultrarelativistic limit $(\tilde{\beta}_e, \tilde{\beta}'_e \rightarrow 1)$, the integral $I_v^{(2)}$ of Eq. (A58) reduces to

²Note that the relevant formula quoted in Ref. [47] contains some typing errors.

~.

$$I_{y}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\beta_{e} \approx 1, \beta_{e}^{'} \approx 1} \frac{1}{2\sin^{2}\frac{\tilde{\theta}_{e}}{2}} \Biggl\{ -\frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}(1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}(1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}^{'2}) + \ln 4\ln(1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}^{2}) + \ln 4\ln(1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}^{'2}) + \ln 4\ln(1-\tilde{\beta}_{e}$$

Putting all pieces together, the result for the bremsstrahlung cross section accompanying elastic electron scattering is obtained as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{REAL\ SOFT\gamma} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{BORN} \left\{\frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}} + \gamma_{E} - \ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\right] \left[\frac{v^{2}+1}{2v}\ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) - 1\right] + \delta_{R}\right\},\tag{A62}$$

where the finite part δ_R of the real radiative corrections is given by

$$\delta_{R} = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{4(\Delta E_{s})^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \left[\frac{v^{2}+1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right) - 1\right] - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\beta}_{e}} \ln\left(\frac{1-\widetilde{\beta}_{e}}{1+\widetilde{\beta}_{e}}\right) - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\beta}_{e}'} \ln\left(\frac{1-\widetilde{\beta}_{e}'}{1+\widetilde{\beta}_{e}'}\right) + \frac{1}{2} (1-\widetilde{\beta}_{e}\widetilde{\beta}_{e}'\cos\widetilde{\theta}_{e})I_{y}^{(2)}\right\}, \quad (A63)$$

$$\overset{Q^{2} \gg m^{2}}{\to} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \bigg\{ \ln \bigg(\frac{4(\Delta E_{s})^{2}}{m^{2}} \bigg) \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \bigg) - 1 \bigg] - \frac{1}{2} \ln \bigg(\frac{1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{2}}{4} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \bigg(\frac{1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{\prime 2}}{4} \bigg) - \frac{1}{4} \ln^{2} (1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{2}) - \frac{1}{4} \ln^{2} (1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{\prime 2}) + \ln 2 \ln(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{2}) \bigg] + \ln 2 \ln(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{\prime 2}) + \ln 2 \ln(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{\prime 2}) \bigg\} \bigg\}$$

$$+ \ln 2 \ln(1 - \tilde{\beta}_{e}^{\prime 2}) + 2 \bigg(\ln^{2} \bigg(\sin \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{e}}{2} \bigg) - \ln^{2} 2 \bigg) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} + Sp \bigg(\cos^{2} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{e}}{2} \bigg) \bigg\},$$

$$(A64)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{(\Delta E_s)^2}{\tilde{E}_e \tilde{E}'_e}\right) \left[\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2\left(\frac{\tilde{E}_e}{\tilde{E}'_e}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + Sp\left(\cos^2\frac{\tilde{\theta}_e}{2}\right) \right\},\tag{A65}$$

where the expression of Eq. (A64) in the $Q^2 \ge m^2$ limit has been rewritten in Eq. (A65) to allow comparison with other expressions found in the literature.

Finally to evaluate δ_R , we have to express the quantities in the system S in terms of *lab* quantities. The relations given in Eqs. (A43) and (A44) yield for elastic scattering $\tilde{E}_e = E'_e$, $\tilde{E}'_e = E_e$, and $\cos \tilde{\theta}_e = \cos \theta_e$. From the formula for δ_R [e.g., Eq. (A65)], one then sees that one formally obtains exactly the same expression in terms of the *lab* quantities E_e, E'_e, θ_e . The quantity ΔE_s is calculated from the cut in the electron spectrum, using the expression of Eq. (A48).

A comparison of expressions Eqs. (A62) and (A63) with the literature, shows that the same result is obtained as in Ref. [47]. A comparison with the expression used by Mo and Tsai [22] will be given in the next section when we add the vertex correction and soft photon emission contribution, because only their sum is IR finite (and thus independent of the IR regularization procedure used).

6. Elastic lepton-nucleon scattering

In this section, we bring together the first order radiative corrections at the lepton side (lepton vertex and soft bremsstrahlung from the lepton) and the photon polarization correction to correct the elastic lepton-nucleon scattering cross section. As was shown in the previous sections, these corrections can be calculated model-independently. In the next section, we discuss the additional radiative corrections to the lepton-proton cross section, which originate from the proton side (proton vertex correction, soft bremsstrahlung from proton and two-photon exchange corrections). To calculate these corrections at the proton side, a model for the off-shell (or half off-shell) γNN vertex is needed however, and which is therefore to some extent model-dependent. For this latter part, we will refer to the recent work of Ref. [24].

The elastic lepton scattering cross section, corrected to first order in α_{em} for the lepton vertex contribution and for the photon polarization contribution, is given by

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{VIRTUAL\gamma} \approx \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{BORN} \frac{1}{(1-\tilde{\Pi}(Q^{2}))^{2}} \left(1+2\{F(Q^{2})-F(Q^{2}=0)\}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{BORN} \frac{1}{(1-\tilde{\Pi}(Q^{2}))^{2}} \left(1+\frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{IR}}-\gamma_{E}+\ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\right] \cdot \left[\frac{v^{2}+1}{2v}\ln\left(\frac{v+1}{v-1}\right)-1\right] + \delta_{vertex}\right),$$
(A66)

where the finite part δ_{vertex} of the lepton vertex correction is found from Eq. (A16) to be given by

$$\delta_{vertex} = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{v^2 + 1}{4v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) \ln\left(\frac{v^2 - 1}{4v^2}\right) + \frac{2v^2 + 1}{2v} \ln\left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1}\right) - 2 + \frac{v^2 + 1}{2v} \left[Sp\left(\frac{v + 1}{2v}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{v - 1}{2v}\right) \right] \right\},$$

$$\overset{Q^2 \gg m^2}{\to} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) - 2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right\}.$$
(A67)

In writing down Eq. (A66) to first order in α_{em} , the contribution of the anomalous magnetic moment term $G(Q^2)$ in the vertex correction Eq. (A8) has been dropped. This contribution vanishes in the ultrarelativistic limit $(Q^2 \gg m^2)$ as can be seen from Eq. (A11). The first term in the last line of Eq. (A67) corresponds to the vertex correction term quoted by Mo and Tsai [Eq. (II.5) of Ref. [22]].

The finite part of the photon polarization correction, $\delta_{vac} \equiv 2 \tilde{\Pi}(Q^2)$, follows from Eq. (A39) as

$$\delta_{vac} = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \left(v^2 - \frac{8}{3} \right) + v \frac{(3 - v^2)}{2} \ln \left(\frac{v + 1}{v - 1} \right) \right\},$$
(A68)

$$\stackrel{Q^2 \gg m^2}{\to} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \frac{2}{3} \left\{ -\frac{5}{3} + \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) \right\},$$
 (A69)

which agrees with the expression quoted by Mo and Tsai [Eq. (II.4) of Ref. [22]]. To evaluate the vacuum polarization due to $\mu^+\mu^-$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs at intermediate Q^2 , one has to use Eq. (A68) instead of the limit of Eq. (A69).³

When adding the real [Eq. (A62)] and virtual [Eq. (A66)] radiative corrections at the lepton side, one verifies that the IR divergent parts exactly cancel. The remaining finite contribution is given to first order in α_{em} by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}} \end{pmatrix}_{VIRTUAL\gamma} + \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}} \right)_{REAL\ SOFT\gamma}$$

$$= \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}} \right)_{BORN} (1 + \delta_{vac} + \delta_{vertex} + \delta_{R}), \quad (A70)$$

where δ_{vac} , δ_{vertex} , and δ_R are given by Eqs. (A68), (A67), and (A63)–(A65), respectively. Bringing the three contributions together, leads to the expression (in the $Q^2 \gg m^2$ limit)

$$\begin{split} \delta_{vac} + \delta_{vertex} + \delta_R &= \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \bigg\{ \ln \bigg(\frac{(\Delta E_s)^2}{E_e E'_e} \bigg) \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{Q^2}{m^2} \bigg) - 1 \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{13}{6} \ln \bigg(\frac{Q^2}{m^2} \bigg) - \frac{28}{9} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \bigg(\frac{E_e}{E'_e} \bigg) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\ &+ Sp \bigg(\cos^2 \frac{\theta_e}{2} \bigg) \bigg\}, \end{split} \tag{A71}$$

where ΔE_s , which is the maximum soft photon energy in the c.m. system of (recoiling proton + soft photon), is determined as in Eq. (A48), when applying this formula to the scattered electron spectrum.

We can compare Eq. (A71) with the recent calculation of Maximon and Tjon [24], where this calculation was also performed (using a finite photon mass to regularize the IR divergences) without doing any approximations. Comparing Eq. (A71) with their Z-independent term (Z being the hadron charge)—i.e., when not considering radiative corrections at the proton side or two-photon exchange contributions at this point—we find exactly the same result. As was noted in Ref. [24], the last two terms of Eq. (A71) were omitted by Mo and Tsai [22].

We can approximately take into account the higher order radiative corrections by exponentiating the first order vertex and real radiative corrections. This is strictly true only for the IR divergent part of the vertex correction and soft photon emission contribution, and was demonstrated in Refs. [26,48] (see, e.g., Refs. [51,52] for pedagogical derivations). The application of this exponentiation procedure also to the finite part consists of an approximation which can be checked by comparing the result with the first order formula of Eq. (A70). For the photon polarization contribution, we iterate the first order vacuum polarization contribution of Eq. (A68) to all orders [resumming all vacuum bubbles of the type of Fig. 19(c)] by keeping the photon self-energy in the

³Note that an incorrect expression is used in [50] for the vacuum polarization contribution due to $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs [Eq. (A5) in their paper].

denominator as in Eq. (A66). Remark that a resummation of the first order vacuum polarization contribution does *not* lead to an exponentiated form. Assuming exponentiation for the finite parts of the vertex and soft photon emission contributions—as occurs for their IR divergent pieces—leads then to the radiative correction formula

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{VIRTUAL \gamma} + \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{REAL SOFT\gamma} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{BORN} \frac{e^{\delta_{vertex} + \delta_{R}}}{(1 - \delta_{vac}/2)^{2}}.$$
 (A72)

7. Radiative corrections at the proton side and two-photon exchange contributions

In the previous sections, we considered radiative corrections to elastic electron scattering originating solely from the electron side (vertex correction and bremsstrahlung) and from the vacuum polarization. These corrections, which are the dominant ones, can be calculated model independently and follow from QED. To calculate the first order radiative corrections originating from the proton side (proton vertex correction, bremsstrahlung from proton and direct and crossed two-photon exchange contributions), one needs a model for the internal structure of the nucleon because one requires knowledge of off-shell (or half off-shell) γNN vertices. This model dependence will become important if one aims at a precision of electron scattering experiments at the 1% level. To quantify the magnitude of those effects, we refer to the recent work of Maximon and Tjon [24], where an initial study was performed of the size of internal structure effects.

In Ref. [24], the proton current was taken to have the usual on-shell form and form factors were included in the calculation. The calculation of Ref. [24] goes beyond previous works [21,22], as the proton vertex correction and the bremsstrahlung from the proton were calculated without approximations within the given model for the proton current. In the calculation of the direct and crossed box diagrams (two-photon exchange contributions), a less drastic approximation was made in [24] than in [21] (where those box diagrams were only calculated in the soft-photon approximation).

The calculation of Ref. [24] yields then the correction formula for elastic electron scattering

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{TOTAL} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega'_{e}}\right)_{BORN} (1 + \delta_{vac} + \delta_{vertex} + \delta_{R} + Z\delta_{1} + Z^{2}(\delta_{2}^{(0)} + \delta_{2}^{(1)}),$$
(A73)

where δ_{vac} , δ_{vertex} and δ_R are given as above [Eq. (A71)]. The terms in Eq. (A73) proportional to *Z* (hadron charge) and Z^2 contain the corrections from the proton side. The correction δ_1 , proportional to *Z*, was calculated in Ref. [24] as

$$\delta_1 = \frac{2\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \bigg\{ \ln\bigg(\frac{4(\Delta E_s)^2}{Q^2 x}\bigg) \ln\eta + Sp\bigg(1 - \frac{\eta}{x}\bigg) - Sp\bigg(1 - \frac{1}{\eta x}\bigg)\bigg\},\tag{A74}$$

where ΔE_s and η are given as in Eq. (A48) and where the variable x is defined by

$$x = \frac{(Q+\rho)^2}{4M_N^2}, \quad \rho^2 = Q^2 + 4M_N^2.$$
 (A75)

The correction proportional to Z^2 was split into two parts in Ref. [24]. The contribution $\delta_2^{(0)}$, independent of the nucleon form factors was calculated in Ref. [24] as

$$\begin{split} \delta_{2}^{(0)} &= \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \Biggl\{ \ln \Biggl(\frac{4(\Delta E_{s})^{2}}{M_{N}^{2}} \Biggr) \Biggl(\frac{E_{N}'}{|\vec{p}_{N}'|} \ln x - 1 \Biggr) + 1 \\ &+ \frac{E_{N}'}{|\vec{p}_{N}'|} \Biggl(-\frac{1}{2} \ln^{2} x - \ln x \ln \Biggl(\frac{\rho^{2}}{M_{N}^{2}} \Biggr) + \ln x \\ &- Sp \Biggl(1 - \frac{1}{x^{2}} \Biggr) + 2Sp \Biggl(-\frac{1}{x} \Biggr) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \Biggr) \Biggr\}, \quad (A76) \end{split}$$

where ρ is defined as in Eq. (A75), and where $E'_N(|\vec{p}'_N|)$ are the *lab* energy (momentum) of the recoiling nucleon. For the lengthier expression of $\delta_2^{(1)}$, which depends on the nucleon form factors, we refer to Ref. [24].

APPENDIX B: TREATMENT OF SINGULARITIES

In the numerical calculation of the amplitudes for the virtual photon radiative corrections to the $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ reaction, we need to calculate two or three dimensional Feynman parameter integrals, as discussed in Sec. III C. In the integration over the first variable, the numerator consists of polynomials and the denominators may have structures of the form $(\alpha' x + \beta' \pm i\varepsilon')^n$, or $(\alpha' x^2 + \beta' x + \gamma' \pm i\varepsilon')^n$ with n = 1,2. Therefore, in the calculations, the following integrals appear:

$$\lim_{\alpha'\to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(\alpha'x + \beta' \pm i\varepsilon')^n} \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon'\to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(\alpha'x^2 + \beta'x + \gamma' \pm i\varepsilon')^n}. \tag{B1}$$

When the denominator has no singularities in the integration range, it is, in principle, easy to calculate these integrals which have the form

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{(\alpha' x + \beta')^{n}} \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{(\alpha' x^{2} + \beta' x + \gamma')^{n}}.$$
 (B2)

Some recurrence relations for these integrals are known [53,54], but for small values of α' as compared to β' or to γ' , these relations are unstable numerically. In these cases, we have used either a Taylor expansion or the usual Gauss-Legendre integration method to get very accurate results.

In the following part of this Appendix, we give the relations used when the denominators in Eq. (B1) have singularities in the integration range except in a or b. The details are given elsewhere [28]. The principle of the method is based on the following relation:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^n} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \left[\int_a^{x_0 - \eta} \frac{x^m \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^n} + \int_{x_0 - \eta}^{x_0 + \eta} \frac{x^m \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^n} + \int_{x_0 + \eta}^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^n} \right].$$
(B3)

Each integral can be separated in a real part and an imaginary part and we can use for them the analytical expressions given in [53].

Let us start with the case where the denominator is a polynomial of degree 1 in the integration variable. In that case, there is only one singularity for $x_0 = -\beta'/\alpha'$ and the sign of the imaginary part will depend on the sign of α' . For n=1 and $\alpha' > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon' \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{\alpha' x + \beta' \pm i\varepsilon'} = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon'}{\alpha'}.$$
(B4)

When $\alpha' < 0$, we have only to replace $\pm i\varepsilon$ by $\pm i\varepsilon$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (B4). We now define the following quantities:

$$J_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{(b - x_{0})^{2}}{(a - x_{0})^{2}},$$
$$J_{n} = \frac{1}{n - 1} [(b - x_{0})^{n - 1} - (a - x_{0})^{n - 1}], \quad n \ge 2 \quad (B5)$$

to obtain the relations

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{dx}{x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon} = J_1 \mp i \,\pi, \tag{B6}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x \, dx}{x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon} = J_2 + x_0 J_1 \mp i \, \pi x_0, \qquad (B7)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^2 \, dx}{x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon} = J_3 + 2x_0 J_2 + x_0^2 J_1 \mp i \, \pi x_0^2, \quad (B8)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^3 \, dx}{x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon} = J_4 + 3x_0 J_3 + 3x_0^2 J_2 + x_0^3 J_1 \mp i \, \pi x_0^3,$$
(B9)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^4 \, dx}{x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon} = J_5 + 4x_0 J_4 + 6x_0^2 J_3 + 4x_0^3 J_2 + x_0^4 J_1$$

$$= i \, \pi x_0^4, \tag{B10}$$

. . .

For n=2 and $\alpha' > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon' \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(\alpha' x + \beta' \pm i\varepsilon')^2} = \frac{1}{{\alpha'}^2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^2}.$$
(B11)

When $\alpha' < 0$, we have only to replace $\pm i\varepsilon$ by $\pm i\varepsilon$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (B11). We next define the following quantities:

$$I_0 = \frac{1}{a - x_0} - \frac{1}{b - x_0},\tag{B12}$$

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{(b - x_0)^2}{(a - x_0)^2},$$
 (B13)

$$I_n = \frac{1}{n-1} [(b-x_0)^{n-1} - (a-x_0)^{n-1}], \quad n \ge 2.$$
(B14)

In terms of these quantities, the integrals of Eq. (B1) with n=2 are given by

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^2} = I_0, \qquad (B15)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^2} = I_1 + x_0 I_0 \mp i \, \pi, \qquad (B16)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^2 \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^2} = I_2 + 2x_0 I_1 + x_0^2 I_0 \mp i2 \, \pi x_0,$$
(B17)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^3 \, dx}{(x - x_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^2} = I_3 + 3x_0 I_2 + 3x_0^2 I_1 + x_0^3 I_0 \mp i3 \, \pi x_0^2,$$
(B18)

. . .

We can notice that the real part of these integrals for n = 1 as well as for n=2 can be derived from the binomial expansion $(x_0+X)^m$. In the case of n=1, the imaginary part is proportional to $\pi f(x_0)$ where f(x) is the numerator of the integrand. For n=2, it is straightforward to show [28] that the imaginary part is proportional to $\pi f'(x_0)$.

When the form of the denominator is $(\alpha' x^2 + \beta' x + \gamma' \pm i\varepsilon')^n$, i.e., a polynomial of degree 2 in the integration variable, it is always possible to come back to the preceding cases. When $\alpha' > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon' \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(\alpha' x^2 + \beta' x + \gamma' \pm i\varepsilon')^n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\alpha'^n} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m \, dx}{(x^2 + \beta x + \gamma \pm i\varepsilon)^n}, \qquad (B19)$$

with the following definitions:

$$\beta = \frac{\beta'}{\alpha'}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\gamma'}{\alpha'}, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon'}{\alpha'}.$$
 (B20)

The integrand in Eq. (B19) has some singularities when $\delta = \beta^2 - 4\gamma$ is positive. When $\alpha' < 0$, we have only to replace $\pm i\varepsilon$ by $\pm i\varepsilon$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (B19).

It can be shown [28] that

- Proceedings of the Workshop VCS96, Clermont-Ferrand, France, edited by V. Breton, 1996.
- [2] P. A. M. Guichon and M. Vanderhaeghen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 41, 125 (1998).
- [3] P. A. M. Guichon, G. Q. Liu, and A. W. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. A591, 606 (1995).
- [4] D. Drechsel, G. Knöchlein, A. Yu. Korchin, A. Metz, and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. C 57, 941 (1998).
- [5] Marc Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Lett. B 368, 13 (1996).
- [6] A. Metz and D. Drechsel, Z. Phys. A 356, 351 (1996); 359, 165 (1997).
- [7] Th. R. Hemmert, B. R. Holstein, G. Knöchlein, and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D 55, 2630 (1997); Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 22 (1997).
- [8] B. Pasquini and G. Salmè, Phys. Rev. C 57, 2589 (1998).
- [9] Th. R. Hemmert, B. R. Holstein, G. Knöchlein, and D. Drechsel, Phys. Rev. D 62, 014013 (2000).
- [10] J. F. J. van den Brand et al., Phys. Rev. D 52, 4868 (1995).
- [11] J. Roche et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (in press).

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m dx}{(x^2 + \beta x + \gamma \pm i\varepsilon)^n}$$
$$= \lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon} \to 0^+} \int_a^b \frac{x^m dx}{(x - x_+^R + i\widetilde{\varepsilon})^n (x - x_-^R - i\widetilde{\varepsilon})^n},$$
(B21)

with the definitions

$$x_{+}^{R} = \frac{-\beta + \sqrt{\delta}}{2}, \quad x_{-}^{R} = \frac{-\beta - \sqrt{\delta}}{2}, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}.$$
 (B22)

These integrals can be easily calculated using the decomposition of the fraction into elementary fractions. For n = 1, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{x^{2} + \beta x + \gamma \pm i\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon} \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{x - x_{+}^{R} \pm i\widetilde{\varepsilon}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon} \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{x - x_{-}^{R} \mp i\widetilde{\varepsilon}},$$
(B23)

and for n = 2

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{(x^{2} + \beta x + \gamma \pm i\varepsilon)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\delta} \lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon} \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{(x - x_{+}^{R} \pm i\widetilde{\varepsilon})^{2}} - \frac{2}{\delta^{3/2}} \lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon} \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{x - x_{+}^{R} \pm i\widetilde{\varepsilon}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\delta} \lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon} \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{(x - x_{-}^{R} \mp i\widetilde{\varepsilon})^{2}} + \frac{2}{\delta^{3/2}} \lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon} \to 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x^{m} dx}{x - x_{-}^{R} \mp i\widetilde{\varepsilon}}.$$
(B24)

- [12] JLab experiment E-93-050, spokespersons P. Y. Bertin, P. A. M. Guichon, and C. Hyde-Wright.
- [13] MIT-Bates experiment, spokespersons J. Shaw and R. Miskimen.
- [14] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 610 (1997).
- [15] A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B 380, 417 (1996).
- [16] X. Ji, J. Phys. G 24, 1181 (1998).
- [17] P. Y. Bertin, C. Hyde-Wright, and Y. Roblin, Proceedings of the Conference "Nuclear and Particle Physics with CEBAF at JLAB," Dubrovnik, 1998 [Fiz. B 8, 207 (1999)].
- [18] D. Ryckbosch and M. Armarian (private communication).
- [19] N. d'Hose *et al.*, Letter of Intent for COMPASS experiment, 1999.
- [20] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 76, 790 (1949).
- [21] Y. S. Tsai, Phys. Rev. 122, 1898 (1961).
- [22] L. W. Mo and Y. S. Tsai, Rev. Mod. Phys. 41, 205 (1969).
- [23] L. C. Maximon, Rev. Mod. Phys. 41, 193 (1969).
- [24] L. C. Maximon and J. A. Tjon, nucl-th/0002058.

- [25] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964).
- [26] F. Bloch and A. Nordsieck, Phys. Rev. 52, 54 (1937).
- [27] J. M. Jauch and F. Rohrlich, *Theory of Photons and Electrons* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1955).
- [28] J. Van de Wiele, D. Lhuillier, D. Marchand, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Report No. IPNO-DRE-97-30.
- [29] D. Lhuillier, Ph.D. thesis, Caen, DAPNIA/SPhN-97-01T, 1997.
- [30] D. Marchand, Ph.D. thesis, Clermont-Ferrand, DAPNIA/ SPhN-98-04T, 1998.
- [31] L. C. Maximon and D. B. Isabelle, Phys. Rev. 133, B1344 (1964).
- [32] L. C. Maximon and D. B. Isabelle, Phys. Rev. 136, B674 (1964).
- [33] L. C. Maximon and S. E. Williamson, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 258, 95 (1987).
- [34] A. A. Akhundov, D. Yu. Bardin, and N. M. Shumeiko, Yad.
 Fiz. 44, 1517 (1986) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44, 988 (1986)].
- [35] L. I. Schiff, Phys. Rev. 87, 750 (1952).
- [36] J. M. Friedrich, Ph.D. thesis, University Mainz, 2000.
- [37] L. Van Hoorebeke et al. (in preparation).
- [38] Marc Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Lett. B 402, 243 (1997).
- [39] N. d'Hose et al., Letter of Intent for MAMI experiment, 1999.

- [40] M. Vanderhaeghen, P. A. M. Guichon, and M. Guidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5064 (1998).
- [41] M. Vanderhaeghen, P. A. M. Guichon, and M. Guidal, Phys. Rev. D 60, 094017 (1999).
- [42] T.-P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, *Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Interactions* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1984).
- [43] R. Gastmans and R. Meuldermans, Nucl. Phys. B63, 277 (1973).
- [44] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Nucl. Phys. B88, 86 (1975).
- [45] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 73, 416L (1948).
- [46] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electrodynam*ics (Wiley, New York, 1965).
- [47] C. De Calan, H. Navelet, and J. Picard, Nucl. Phys. B348, 47 (1991); note CEA-N-2624 (1990).
- [48] D. R. Yennie, S. C. Frautschi, and H. Suura, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 13, 379 (1961).
- [49] K. Mork and H. Olsen, Phys. Rev. 140, B1661 (1965).
- [50] R. C. Walker et al., Phys. Rev. D 49, 5671 (1994).
- [51] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. I* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 1995).
- [52] T. Muta, *Foundations of Quantum Chromodynamics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987).
- [53] H. B. Dwight, Tables of Integrals and Other Mathematical Data (Macmillan, New York, 1961).
- [54] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic, New York, 1994).