Production of superheavy elements

Robert Smolańczuk*

Nuclear Theory Department, Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Hoża 69, PL-00-681 Warszawa, Poland and Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720 (Received 17 February 1999; published 19 July 1999)

We propose a discovery experiment for production and identification of isotopes of unobserved elements up to element 119. We predict decay properties of nuclear systems that might be obtained in this experiment and discuss posibilities of identification of new elements on the basis of the calculated properties. [S0556-2813(99)50408-1]

PACS number(s): 27.90.+b, 21.10.Tg, 23.60.+e, 24.60.Dr

Over the last few years, much progress has been made in the production and investigation of decay properties of the heaviest atomic nuclei. At GSI-Darmstadt, α -decaying isotopes of the elements 110, 111, and 112 [1–3] have been synthesized in reactions based on the ²⁰⁸Pb₁₂₆ or ²⁰⁹Bi₁₂₆ target nuclei. Very recently, the Dubna-Livermore Collaboration has made an attempt at production of a new element 114. The ²⁴⁴Pu₁₅₀ target nucleus was bombarded by the ⁴⁸Ca₂₈ projectile ions at Dubna at the end of 1998. According to the authors of Ref. [4], the results of this experiment may be interpreted as decays of a superheavy nucleus ²⁸⁹114₁₇₅ and its descendants [4].

The aim of this Rapid Communication is to propose a discovery experiment for elements 113-119 and, simultaneously, for unobserved isotopes of known transactinide elements which might be identified by chemistry. In Ref. [5], we made predictions for the formation cross sections of many superheavy nuclei and came to the conclusion that the most promising reaction based on the ${}^{208}\text{Pb}_{126}$ target nucleus and stable projectile is ${}^{208}\text{Pb}_{126}({}^{86}\text{Kr}_{50},1n){}^{293}118_{175}$. We obtained a value of 670 pb for the formation cross section of ²⁹³118₁₇₅ at the optimal bombarding energy in the lab system E_{lab} =449.1 MeV which corresponds to the excitation energy of the compound nucleus $E^* = 13.3$ MeV. If this prediction is correct then the production of a large number of superheavy nuclei is possible in contrast to recent experiments in which only very few superheavy nuclear systems were synthesized with the measured formation cross sections of the order of 0.1 - 1 pb, e.g., [6,3].

The use of ²⁰⁹Bi₁₂₆ as a target instead of ²⁰⁸Pb₁₂₆ may lead to the production of ²⁹⁴119₁₇₅ and its descendants. From experimental systematics of the formation cross sections of transactinide nuclei, we can expect that the cross section of ²⁰⁹Bi₁₂₆(⁸⁶Kr₅₀,1*n*)²⁹⁴119₁₇₅ should be smaller than the one of ²⁰⁸Pb₁₂₆(⁸⁶Kr₅₀,1*n*)²⁹³118₁₇₅ by a factor of 4–9 [7] for the typical excitation energy in the 1*n*-emission channel equal to $E^* = 12-14$ MeV ($E_{lab} = 452.6-455.4$ MeV). The use of the doubly magic ²⁰⁸Pb₁₂₆ and the magic

The use of the doubly magic ${}^{208}\text{Pb}_{126}$ and the magic ${}^{87}\text{Rb}_{50}$ as reaction partners might be even more profitable for the production of element 119 (E_{1ab} =461.6–464.4 MeV). In the present paper, we calculate decay properties of even-odd

and odd-odd nuclei which might be produced in bombardments of $^{208}Pb_{126}$ and $^{209}Bi_{126}$ by $^{86}Kr_{50}$ and discuss the possibilities of identification of these nuclei on the basis of the obtained theoretical properties. We use the model which has had some success in reproducing and predicting the ground-state decay properties of even-even transactinide nuclei [8,9].

We predict that $^{293}118_{175}$ initiates an α -decay chain of so far unobserved nuclei. Mass excess M, ground state to ground state α -decay energy Q_{α} and half-life T_{α} , calculated for these nuclei, are given in the left side of Table I. Mass, and consequently, Q_{α} is obtained by means of the macroscopic-microscopic model [8,9] with the Yukawaplus-exponential potential [10] taken as the macroscopic energy and the Strutinsky shell correction [11] based on the Woods-Saxon single-particle potential [12] used as the microscopic energy. The calculation is performed in the fourdimensional deformation space describing axially symmetric nuclear shapes. T_{α} is obtained by using the Viola and Seaborg formula with the parameters fitted to even-even nuclei with Z>82 and N>126 [8]. The effect of an odd particle is taken into account in the macroscopic potential. Next, we make use of the spontaneous-fission half-lives calculated in Refs. [8,9] for even-even nuclei which are lighter neighbors of nuclei in the decay chain in question. We assume that an unpaired nucleon increases the fission barrier by about half MeV and, consequently, increases the spontaneousfission half-life by a factor of 1000 in comparison with the respective quantities for the lighter neighboring even-even nucleus [13]. With the spontaneous-fission half-lives obtained in such a way, we come to the conclusion that α decay is considerably faster than spontaneous fission until a fissioning nucleus ${}^{265}\text{Rf}_{161}$ is reached, which terminates the decay chain.

A large half-life equal to 8 min is calculated for ${}^{269}Sg_{163}$ because of the influence of the predicted deformed shell closures at N=162, e.g., [14–16] and Z=108, e.g., [14,15]. This large half-life, calculated for an unobserved isotope ${}^{269}Sg_{163}$ of the element Sg (Z=106) with known chemical properties [17,18], permits identification of this nucleus by chemistry and, consequently, permits identification of the entire decay chain.

Decay properties of nuclei constituting the α -decay chain initiated by the nucleus ²⁹⁴119₁₇₅ are given in the right side of Table I. This chain contains isotopes of unknown ele-

^{*}Electronic address: smolan@fuw.edu.pl

TABLE I. Mass excess *M*, ground state to ground state α -decay energy Q_{α} , and half-life T_{α} predicted for α -decay chains beginning with the nuclei ²⁹³118₁₇₅ (left side) and ²⁹⁴119₁₇₅ (right side), which might be obtained in the reactions ²⁰⁸Pb₁₂₆(⁸⁶Kr₅₀,1*n*) and ²⁰⁹Bi₁₂₆(⁸⁶Kr₅₀,1*n*) (²⁰⁸Pb₁₂₆(⁸⁷Rb₅₀,1*n*)), respectively. The last nucleus in the α -decay chain of even-*Z* nuclei is a fissioning isotope ²⁶⁵Rf₁₆₁ with the predicted spontaneous-fission half-life T_{sf} =41 min. The predicted α -decay chain of odd-*Z* nuclei is terminated by the known nucleus ²⁶²Lr₁₅₉, which decays by electron capture with the measured half-life T_{ec}^{exp} =3.6 h [19]. β decay might compete with α decay for ²⁶⁶Db₁₆₁. The calculated electron capture energy for this nucleus is equal to 3.14 MeV. The decay product of ²⁶⁶Db₁₆₁ would be in such a case the fissioning isotope ²⁶⁶Rf₁₆₂ with the predicted half-life of 23 s [9].

$^{A}Z_{N}$	M (MeV)	Q_{α} (MeV)	T_{α}	$^{A}Z_{N}$	M (MeV)	Q_{α} (MeV)	T_{α}
				²⁹⁴ 119 ₁₇₅	207.05	12.81	3 μs
²⁹³ 118 ₁₇₅	199.21	12.23	31 µs	²⁹⁰ 117 ₁₇₃	191.82	11.87	110 µs
²⁸⁹ 116 ₁₇₃	184.56	11.37	960 μs	²⁸⁶ 115 ₁₇₁	177.53	11.56	180 μs
²⁸⁵ 114 ₁₇₁	170.77	11.18	800 µs	²⁸² 113 ₁₆₉	163.55	11.41	120 µs
²⁸¹ 112 ₁₆₉	157.17	11.00	610 µs	278111_{167}	149.72	11.18	120 µs
²⁷⁷ 110 ₁₆₇	143.75	10.77	620 µs	$^{274}Mt_{165}$	136.12	10.44	2.2 ms
²⁷³ Hs ₁₆₅	130.56	9.69	120 ms	$^{270}Bh_{163}$	123.26	8.90	15 s
$^{269}Sg_{163}$	118.45	8.35	8 min	²⁶⁶ Db ₁₆₁	111.94	7.86	3.6 h
²⁶⁵ Rf ₁₆₁	107.68		$T_{\rm sf}$ =41 min	$^{262}Lr_{159}$	101.66		$T_{ec}^{exp}=3.6$ h

ments 119, 117, 115, and 113, and unobserved isotopes of known elements 111, Mt, Bh, and Db. The last nucleus in the decay chain is the experimentally known isotope $^{262}\text{Lr}_{159}$ which decays by electron capture with the measured half-life of T_{ec}^{exp} =3.6 h [19]. The calculated large α -decay half-life of 3.6 h for $^{266}\text{Db}_{161}$ permits chemical identification of this decay chain. Moreover, the calculated α -decay half-life of 15 s for $^{270}\text{Bh}_{163}$ allows investigation of chemical properties of element Bh (Z=107).

Recent calculations give only the lower limit for the β -decay half-life equal to 100 s [20] for almost all nuclei considered in the present work. This would mean that β decay might compete with emission of the α particle from $^{269}Sg_{163}$ and $^{266}Db_{161}$ or with spontaneous fission of $^{265}Rf_{161}$. It is very likely for $^{266}Db_{161}$. Electron capture energy, calculated for this nucleus in the present paper, is equal to 3.14 MeV. However, even if we assume very pessimistically that $^{269}Sg_{163}$ and $^{266}Db_{161}$ would decay by emission of the positron or by electron capture with the half-life equal to 100 s then these nuclei still could be identified by chemistry.

The increase (decrease) of Q_{α} by 0.1 MeV decreases (increases) T_{α} by 0.2–0.4 orders of magnitude for nuclei in question. Moreover, a nucleus with an unpaired nucleon de-

cays often to the excited state of the daughter nucleus with low excitation energy of the order of a few hundreds of keV. This usually leads to the increase of the half-life by a factor of 1-10. It may happen, however, that the hindrance of the half-life may be even larger [3].

The α -decay half-lives calculated in the present paper are smaller by even more than 4 orders of magnitude in comparison with the recent calculations described in Ref. [20] and also in comparison with the older ones presented in Ref. [21].

The proposed experiment, if successful, would extend considerably the Mendeleyev table and the chart of nuclei. Theoretical investigations of other possibilities of production and identification of superheavy nuclei are in progress.

A Fulbright Research Grant, as well as Grant No. 2 P03B 099 15 of the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN), is gratefully acknowledged. The author is also thankful to K.E. Gregorich, D.C. Hoffman, V. Ninov, K. Rykaczewski, and W.J. Świątecki for many valuable discussions. The warm hospitality of the Hoffman/Gregorich Group and the LBNL Nuclear Theory Group led by J. Randrup is also acknowledged.

- [1] S. Hofmann, V. Ninov, F. P. Hessberger, P. Armbruster, H. Folger, G. Münzenberg, H. J. Schött, A. G. Popeko, A. V. Yeremin, A. N. Andreyev, S. Saro, R. Janik, and M. Leino, Z. Phys. A **350**, 277 (1995).
- [2] S. Hofmann, V. Ninov, F. P. Hessberger, P. Armbruster, H. Folger, G. Münzenberg, H. J. Schött, A. G. Popeko, A. V. Yeremin, A. N. Andreyev, S. Saro, R. Janik, and M. Leino, Z. Phys. A **350**, 281 (1995).
- [3] S. Hofmann, V. Ninov, F. P. Hessberger, P. Armbruster, H. Folger, G. Münzenberg, H. J. Schött, A. G. Popeko, A. V. Yeremin, S. Saro, R. Janik, and M. Leino, Z. Phys. A 354, 229 (1996).
- [4] Yu. Ts. Oganessian, V. K. Utyonkov, Yu. V. Lobanov, F. Sh. Abdullin, A. N. Polyakov, I. V. Shirokovsky, Yu. S. Tsyganov, G. G. Gulbekian, S. L. Bogomolov, B. N. Gikal, A. N. Mezentsev, S. Iliev, V. G. Subbotin, A. M. Sukhov, G. V.

Buklanov, K. Subotic, M. G. Itkis, K. J. Moody, J. F. Wild, N. J. Stoyer, M. A. Stoyer, and R. W. Lougheed, Phys. Rev. Lett (submitted).

- [5] R. Smolańczuk, Phys. Rev. C 59, 2634 (1999).
- [6] Yu. A. Lazarev, Yu. V. Lobanov, Yu. Ts. Oganessian, V. K. Utyonkov, F. Sh. Abdullin, A. N. Polyakov, J. Rigol, I. V. Shirokovsky, Yu. S. Tsyganov, S. Iliev, V. G. Subbotin, A. M. Sukhov, G. V. Buklanov, B. N. Gikal, V. B. Kutner, A. N. Mezentsev, K. Subotic, J. F. Wild, R. W. Lougheed, and K. J. Moody, Phys. Rev. C 54, 620 (1996).
- [7] S. Hofmann, Rep. Prog. Phys. 61, 639 (1998).
- [8] R. Smolańczuk, Phys. Rev. C 56, 812 (1997).
- [9] R. Smolańczuk, J. Skalski, and A. Sobiczewski, Phys. Rev. C 52, 1871 (1995).
- [10] P. Möller and J. R. Nix, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 26, 165 (1981).
- [11] V. M. Strutinsky, Nucl. Phys. A95, 420 (1967); A122, 1 (1968).
- [12] S. Cwiok, J. Dudek, W. Nazarewicz, J. Skalski, and T. Werner, Comput. Phys. Commun. 46, 379 (1987).
- [13] S. Bjørnholm and J. E. Lynn, Rev. Mod. Phys. 52, 725 (1980).

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 60 021301

- [14] Z. Patyk, R. Smolańczuk, and A. Sobiczewski, GSI Scientific Report 1990 (Report GSI 91–1, Darmstadt, 1991), p. 79.
- [15] Z. Patyk and A. Sobiczewski, Phys. Lett. B 256, 307 (1991).
- [16] S. Cwiok, J. Dobaczewski, P.-H. Heenen, P. Magierski, and W. Nazarewicz, Nucl. Phys. A611, 211 (1996).
- [17] M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, B. Schausten, E. Schimpf, E. Jäger, G. Wirth, R. Günther, J. V. Kratz, W. Paulus, A. Seibert, P. Thörle, N. Trautmann, S. Zauner, D. Schumann, M. Andrassy, R. Misiak, K. E. Gregorich, D. C. Hoffman, D. M. Lee, E. R. Sylwester, Y. Nagame, and Y. Oura, Radiochim. Acta 77, 149 (1997).
- [18] A. Türler, R. Dressler, B. Eichler, H. W. Gäggeler, D. T. Jost, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, K. E. Gregorich, N. Trautmann, and S. Taut, Phys. Rev. C 57, 1648 (1998).
- [19] G. Pfenning, H. Klewe-Nebenius, and W. Seelmann-Eggebert, *Karlsruher Nuklidkarte* (Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, 1995).
- [20] P. Möller, J. R. Nix, and K.-L. Kratz, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 66, 131 (1997).
- [21] E. O. Fiset and J. R. Nix, Nucl. Phys. A193, 647 (1972).