Identification of the γ transitions in Tc and Cs products of ²⁵²Cf fission and possible 7/2⁺ [413] bands in ^{105–109}Tc isotopes

J. K. Hwang,¹ A. V. Ramayya,¹ J. H. Hamilton,¹ L. K. Peker,¹ J. Kormicki,^{1,*} B. R. S. Babu,^{1,†} T. N. Ginter,¹ C. J. Beyer,¹ J. O. Rasmussen,² J. Gilat^{2,‡} S. J. Asztalos,² S. Y. Chu,² K. E. Gregorich,² A. O. Macchiavelli,² R. W. Macleod,² G. M. Ter-Akopian,^{1,3,4} Yu. Ts. Oganessian,³ A. V. Daniel,^{1,3,4} W. C. Ma,⁵ P. G. Varmette,⁵ J. D. Cole,⁶ R. Aryaeinejad,⁶ K. Butler-Moore,^{6,§} M. W. Drigert,⁶ M. A. Stoyer,⁷ L. A. Bernstein,⁷ R. W. Lougheed,⁷ K. J. Moody,⁷ S. G. Prussin,⁸ H. C. Griffin,⁹ and R. Donangelo¹⁰

¹Physics Department, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235

⁴Joint Institute for Heavy Ion Research, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37835

⁶Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415-2114

⁷Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550

⁸Nuclear Engineering Department, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

⁹University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

¹⁰University Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, CP 68528, RG Brazil

(Received 19 November 1997)

Several γ transitions in ¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁹Tc nuclei were identified for the first time from spontaneous fission studies with a ²⁵²Cf source and the Gammasphere. New level schemes are proposed and related to the underlying nuclear structure. Positive parity bands with a large signature splitting observed in ^{105,107,109}Tc are evidently derived from $g_{9/2}$ orbitals and are similar to analogous bands in ¹⁰³Rh, ¹⁰³Ag, and ⁹⁹Y. New γ transitions have also been identified in ¹³⁹⁻¹⁴³Cs and used to construct level schemes for these isotopes. Correlated-pair fission vields extracted from the data show an appreciable field for the zero neutron $^{109}\text{Tc}/^{143}$ Cs pair. [S0556-2813(98)05205-4]

PACS number(s): 23.20.Lv, 21.10.Re, 25.85.Ca, 27.60.+j

I. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of prompt γ -ray spectra of nuclei produced in the spontaneous fission (SF) of actinide nuclei is currently the preferred approach to the study of the level structure of neutron-rich nuclei in the fission product mass range and is generating a growing body of nuclear structure data on this interesting but hard to access region. The odd-A nuclei with Z=39 and 41 have rotational bands built on the 5/2⁺ [422] and $5/2^{-}$ [303] proton configurations [1]. In ⁹⁹Y and ¹⁰¹Y with Z=39, the ground states were assigned the $5/2^+$ [422] quasiparticle configuration [1] similar to the ground state bands in ¹⁰¹Nb and ¹⁰³Nb with Z=41. In these two Nb nuclei side bands with the configurations $3/2^{-}$ [301] and $5/2^{-}$ [303] have also been identified, but in the spontaneous fission of 248 Cm only the 5/2⁻ [303] side band in 103 Nb was observed [1]. This was confirmed in our work with the ²⁵²Cf source. In the present work, we report our new data concerning the structure of $^{105-109}$ Tc nuclei with Z=43. The bands in Tc isotopes with Z=43 have not been studied, because the

[§]Present address: Department of Physics, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green, KY 42104.

bands in Cs nuclei, the fission partners of Tc isotopes, were not known. Recently the yrast bands in ^{141,143,145}Cs nuclei were reported [2]. Therefore, on the basis of these γ transitions in Cs nuclei and of some low-energy transitions in Tc nuclei known from β -decay work [3], we can identify γ transitions in Tc nuclei and elucidate their level schemes. Also, the known multipolarities of the 85.4 keV (E1) and 64.1 keV (M1) γ transitions in ¹⁰⁵Tc, and of the 65.7 keV (M1+E2) and 71.7 keV $(E1) \gamma$ transitions in ¹⁰⁷Tc as well as the known spins and parities of low-lying levels in ¹⁰⁵Tc can be utilized for tentative spin and parity assignments to the new levels. Positive parity bands identified in ¹⁰⁵Tc, ¹⁰⁷Tc, and ¹⁰⁹Tc show remarkable similarities and are interpreted as rotational bands with the probable $7/2^{+}$ [413] configuration. Level schemes have also been constructed for the odd-odd ¹⁰⁶Tc and ¹⁰⁸Tc nuclei, as well as for the fission partner nuclei ^{139–143}Cs.

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

A 252 Cf source of strength 25μ Ci was sandwiched between two Ni foils of thickness 11.3 mg/cm² and then sandwiched between 13.7 mg/cm² thick Al foils and placed at the center of the Gammasphere. This experiment was carried out with 72 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors. The γ -ray spectra obtained in this type of experiments are very complex, since in fission one produces more than 100 nuclei with varying yields. In a single SF event predominantly two fragments (a heavy and a light fragment) are produced along

²Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

³Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia

⁵Department of Physics, Mississippi State University, Mississippi 39762

^{*}Also at UNISOR, ORISE, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; on leave from Institute of Nuclear Physics, Cracow, Poland.

[†]Present address: National Accelerator Center, Old Cape Road, Faure 3171, South Africa.

[‡]On leave from Soreq Nuclear Research Center, Yavne, Israel.



FIG. 1. (a) Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 85.4 and 129.0 keV transitions in ¹⁰⁵Tc. (b) Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 71.7 and 138.6 keV transitions in ¹⁰⁷Tc.

with several neutrons. The partner nuclei are usually produced in excited states, and the γ rays emitted by the two partners during the deexcitation process will be in coincidence with each other. The analysis is complicated by the fact that a nucleus of interest will have several partner isotopes that have the same atomic number but different neutron numbers, because the number of neutrons emitted in a SF event can vary between 0 and 10. Triple and higher fold coincidences must be used for unambiguous peak identification and assignment. The data were recorded in an event by event mode. Cubes of triple coincidence events (with the three γ -ray energies as axes) were then constructed and analyzed using the RADWARE software [4]. The width of the coincidence time window was about 1 μ s, but narrower time gates could be implemented in software at the cube generation stage. Most of the data analyzes presented below were performed on a cube with a 100 ns wide time requirement.

New transitions were identified and assigned in the present work by setting several double gates on the known γ transitions in ¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴³Cs and ^{105,107,109}Tc. Newly assigned transitions were then used as gates to identify additional transitions in these and in other Tc and Cs isotopes. For example, in ¹⁰⁵Tc three γ transitions of energies 85.4, 64.1, and 129.0 keV are known from the β decay of ¹⁰⁵Mo [5,6]. By double gating on these γ rays, we observed several new transitions and assigned them to ¹⁰⁵Tc. Similarly, in ¹⁰⁷Tc, two γ transitions of energies 65.7 and 71.7 keV are already known from the β decay of ¹⁰⁷Mo [7,8]. Gating on these γ rays, we could identify additional γ transitions which are now assigned to ¹⁰⁷Tc. Some transitions in ¹⁰⁹Tc have been recently identified by Bhattacharya et al. [9] in the SF of ²⁴⁸Cm. By double gating on these transitions, we have added transitions attributed to this nuclide. Additional transitions observed in coincidence with Cs partner γ rays were assigned to the



FIG. 2. Level scheme of ¹⁰⁵Tc.



FIG. 3. Level scheme of ¹⁰⁷Tc.

odd-odd isotopes ¹⁰⁶Tc and ¹⁰⁸Tc. Gating on the newly assigned Tc γ rays, we were able to observe transitions in ^{141,143}Cs that were not reported in Ref. [2], as well as to identify numerous previously unreported γ rays in ^{139,140,142}Cs.

III. RESULTS

A. ¹⁰⁵Tc nucleus

The partial coincidence spectrum obtained by double gating on the known [3,5,6] 85.4- and 129.0 keV γ rays in ¹⁰⁵Tc is shown in Fig. 1(a). The transitions belonging to partner Cs nuclei and to ¹⁰⁵Tc are marked. The ^{141–143}Cs isotopes are the partners of ¹⁰⁵Tc with 6, 5, and 4 neutrons emitted, respectively. One can easily see that the 282.3 keV transition in ¹⁴³Cs, which corresponds to the 4*n* channel, is the strongest partner transition. In general, we expect either the 3*n* or the 4*n* channel to have the highest yield in ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission [10]. As indicated in Fig. 1(a), six new transitions of energies 157.2, 196.2, 314.4, 427.5, 471.2, and 623.5 keV were assigned to ¹⁰⁵Tc on the basis of this coincidence spectrum. The assignments were confirmed by the



FIG. 4. Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 69.6 and 137.6 keV transitions in ¹⁰⁹Tc.



FIG. 5. Level scheme of ¹⁰⁹Tc.

observation of the same transitions in spectra gated on other combinations of the 85.4, 64.1, 129.0 keV γ -ray cascade [3,5,6]. Several double gates were then set on these new transitions to build the partial level scheme denoted as bands 1 and 2 in Fig. 2. In all the gates we also observed the correct transitions in Cs partner nuclei. Five additional low-lying γ -transitions in ¹⁰⁵Tc, with energies of 76.5, 160.5, 204.2, 236.9, and 364.7 keV were observed in the β decay of ¹⁰⁵Mo [5,6]. By double gating on these γ rays, we observed five new transitions of energies 231.5, 278.3, 435.7, 509.8, and 563.7 keV. We attribute them to 105 Tc and the bands built with these transitions are shown as bands 3 and 4 in Fig. 2 and are tentatively assigned the proton $3/2^{-}$ [301] configuration. No interband transitions connecting bands 1,2 with bands 3,4 could be identified.

B. ¹⁰⁷Tc nucleus

Two low-lying transitions of energies 65.7 and 71.7 keV have been observed in the β decay of ¹⁰⁷Mo [3,7,8]. When we gated on these two energies, we observed a strong transition at 138.6 keV. The coincidence spectrum obtained by gating on the 71.7 and 138.6 keV transitions is shown in Fig. 1(b). The transitions assigned to ¹⁰⁷Tc and to its partner Cs isotopes are marked in the figure. In this case, ¹⁴¹Cs is the partner of ¹⁰⁷Tc with four neutrons evaporated. As expected, the 369.5 keV transition in ¹⁴¹Cs is the strongest relative to other Cs isotopes. By double gating successively with one of these three transitions and other transitions observed in the spectrum, we could assign several new transitions to ¹⁰⁷Tc and to construct the partial decay scheme denoted as bands 1, 2, and 3 in Fig. 3. In a similar manner, starting with a spectrum gated on the strong 369.7- and 481.1 keV transitions in 141 Cs [2], we identify a cascade of 172.6, 329.1, 482.7, 629.1, and 767.0 keV coincident γ rays. In a spectrum gated on the 172.6 and 329.1 keV transitions the value of $I_{\nu}(282.3)/I_{\nu}(369.5)$ [the intensity ratio of the 282.3 keV (^{143}Cs) and 369.7 keV (^{141}Cs) peaks] is 0.43(4), identical to the value of the same ratio in a spectrum gated on the 71.7 and 138.6 keV transitions assigned to ¹⁰⁷Tc. This means that the 172.6, 329.1 keV, etc., cascade belongs to ¹⁰⁷Tc. It is designated as band 4 in Fig. 3. Since no transitions connecting this band to the previously identified bands or to the ground state were observed, the absolute energy scale of this band is uncertain.



FIG. 6. Level schemes of $^{141-143}$ Cs.



FIG. 7. Level schemes of ^{139,140}Cs.

C. ¹⁰⁹Tc nucleus

¹⁰⁹Tc has been the subject of two conflicting reports [12,13], where in Ref. [12] transitions of 125.3 and 123.5 keV were assigned to ¹⁰⁹Tc and in Ref. [13] the 125.5 keV transition was assigned to ¹⁰⁸Tc from β -decay work. Recently, γ rays of 137, 437 keV, and a number of additional transitions in ¹⁰⁹Tc were identified by Bhattacharyya *et al.* [9] in the spontaneous fission of ²⁴⁸Cm. No level scheme was presented. Setting double gates on these transitions, we

were able to confirm their assignments, to add several new γ rays and to construct a partial level scheme, quite similar to those of ¹⁰⁵Tc and ¹⁰⁷Tc. A partial coincidence spectrum double gated on the 69.6 and 137.6 keV transitions of ¹⁰⁹Tc is shown in Fig. 4, and the proposed level scheme in Fig. 5. The position of our observed ground state with respect to the true ground state is still uncertain, as indicated by the energy scale of the level scheme. No evidence for additional bands, similar to those observed in ^{105,107}Tc, could be found.

D. Cs nuclides

Hotchkis et al. [1] have assigned both the 205.6, 404.7 keV and the 282.3, 397.2 keV cascades to ¹⁴³Cs. Comparison of the relative intensities of these transitions in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) clearly indicates that 205.6 and 282.3 keV transitions belong to different Cs isotopes. Since the 282.3 keV transition is in coincidence with a 90.4 keV γ ray observed in β decay of ¹⁴³Xe [3], we attribute the 282.3 keV transition and coincident Cs γ rays to ¹⁴³Cs. This assignment, as well as our level scheme for ¹⁴³Cs are in agreement with the results of Rzaca-Urban et al. [2]. As shown in Fig. 6, the level scheme includes an additional side band not reported in Ref. [2]. On the basis of the relative yields of partner Tc isotopes and noting that the strong 205.6 and 404.7 keV transitions are not included in the spectra of ^{141,143}Cs of Ref. [2], we conclude that these and related coincident transitions belong to ¹⁴²Cs and not to ¹⁴³Cs [1]. The partial yrast level scheme we propose for ¹⁴²Cs is shown in Fig. 6. As is common in many other odd-odd isotopes, there is little or no overlap between this scheme and the level scheme derived from $\hat{\beta}$ decay of ¹⁴²Xe [3]. For ¹⁴¹Cs we were able to extend the level scheme of Ref. [2] by adding a level at 3502.2 keV



FIG. 8. (a) Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 282.3 and 397.2 keV transitions in 143 Cs. (b) Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 97.3 and 205.6 keV transitions in 142 Cs. (c) Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 369.5 and 481.2 keV transitions in 141 Cs.





¹⁰⁶ 43 Tc

(65.3

92.1

162.0

0+x

in the main band and the band head of a second side band (analogous to the one in ¹⁴³Cs) at 1577.2 keV. The modified level scheme is also shown in Fig. 6. Figure 7 shows the new partial yrast level schemes we were able to construct for ¹⁴⁰Cs and ¹³⁹Cs. The lowest-lying levels at 80.1 and 218.6 keV, respectively, were also observed in the β -decay of the respective xenon isotopes [3], confirming the mass assignments obtained from Tc yield ratios. The well developed side band seen in both ¹⁴¹Cs and ¹⁴³Cs [2] could not be observed in 139 Cs. This may be related to the systematics of the $3/2^+$ [422] orbital in this region (see Fig. 2 in Ref. [2]). Further

890.1+x

601.5<u>+x</u>

368.3+x

162.0+x

work is required to elucidate the spin-parity assignments and the underlying nuclear structure of these Cs nuclei.

E. ^{106,108}Tc nuclei

Low spin levels and γ -transitions in ¹⁰⁶Tc and ¹⁰⁸Tc have been observed in beta decay of ^{106,108}Mo [11]. However, the transitions reported for ¹⁰⁶Tc [11] are not observed in our fission studies. We observe only the 106.2 and 86.2 keV transitions in ¹⁰⁸Tc. The partial coincidence spectra obtained by setting double gates on the 282.3 and 397.2 keV transi-



FIG. 10. Level scheme of ¹⁰⁸Tc.



FIG. 11. Yield functions of correlated Tc-Cs partner pairs.

tions of ¹⁴¹Cs, on the 97.3 and 205.6 keV transitions of ¹⁴²Cs and on the 369.5 and 481.2 keV transitions of ¹⁴³Cs are shown in Figs. 8(a), 8(b), and 8(c). In these spectra we observed several new transitions that were not assigned to ^{105,107,109}Tc or to ^{141,142,143}Cs isotopes. They must belong to other Tc isotopes. The new transitions can be divided by their coincidence relationships into two groups: one, consisting primarily of 91.2, 92.1, 150.5, 158.4, 254.5, and 315.2 keV transitions; and a second, comprising of 123.4, 125.7, 154.2, 176.6 keV and several weaker transitions. From these coincidence relationships, and many other coincidence spectra, level schemes were constructed as shown in Figs. 9 and 10 with mass numbers of 106 and 108 assigned. The remaining task now is to substantiate this mass number assignment. Assuming that the level schemes are correct (and more or less complete), the correlated pair yield functions for the Tc isotopes, normalized to Wahl's fission yield tables [14] can be calculated. These are shown in Fig. 11. As expected, the yield systematics definitely confirm our identification of ^{106,108}Tc. The relatively high yield of the "cold fission" (0n) ¹⁰⁹Tc-¹⁴³Cs channel is quite noteworthy. The identification of ¹⁰⁸Tc is further confirmed by the observation of the 86.2 and 106.2 keV γ rays also seen in β decay of ¹⁰⁸Mo [11]. A partial coincidence spectrum double gated on the 123.4 and 125.7 keV transitions in ¹⁰⁸Tc is shown in Fig. 12. Thirteen transitions in ¹⁰⁸Tc can readily be seen. In a similar spectrum taken with a 28 ns (instead of 100 ns) time window, the relative intensity of the 176.6 keV peak (as well as that of the 70.4, 86.2, 90.4, and 106.2 keV gamma rays which depopulate the same level) is reduced, indicating a relatively long half life for the 176.6 keV level in ¹⁰⁸Tc. From the measured ratio of 2.0 ± 0.2 between the intensity of the 176.6 keV gamma ray in a wide open 1 μ s time window and that in a 28 ns window, taken with several ¹⁴¹Cs and ¹⁰⁸Tc double gates, we derive a value of 28 ± 4 ns for this half life which is consistent with an E2 multipolarity for the 176.6 keV transition. No other levels with half lives longer than ≈ 20 ns could be found in our Tc data.

IV. DISCUSSION

The level scheme of ¹⁰⁵Tc is shown in Fig. 2. The ground state spins of 105 Tc and 103 Tc are already known as $3/2^{-}$ and $5/2^+$, respectively [3]. The lowest $5/2^+$, $7/2^+$, $9/2^+$, $3/2^-$, $5/2^{-}$, $7/2^{-}$, and $9/2^{-}$ levels in ¹⁰⁵Tc are also known [6]. The spin and parity assignments of these levels are based on the M1 character of the 64.1 keV transition [6] and the E1character of the 85.4 keV transition [6]. From the intensity balance of the 157.2 and 314.4 keV transitions in a spectrum double-gated on the 129.0 and 623.5 keV transitions and shown in Fig. 13(b), we have extracted a value of 0.096(32)for the total internal conversion coefficient of the 157.2 keV transition in 105 Tc. Similarly, we derive a value of 0.095(10) for the total internal conversion coefficient of the 160.1 keV transition in ¹⁰⁷Tc from the intensity balance of the 292.8 and 160.1 keV transitions in a spectrum gated on the 138.6 and 602.4 keV transitions and shown in Fig. 13(a). The theoretical total internal conversion coefficients are $\alpha(E1)$ $=0.0357, \alpha(E2)=0.246, \alpha(M1)=0.0819, \alpha(M2)=0.579$ for the 157.2 keV transition of ¹⁰⁵Tc and $\alpha(E1) = 0.0339$, $\alpha(E2) = 0.231$, $\alpha(M1) = 0.0779$, $\alpha(M2) = 0.543$, for the 160.1 keV transition of 107 Tc. The measured α 's establish the M1(+E2) character of these two γ transitions.

The most probable configuration of the $3/2^{-}$ ground state of 105 Tc is $3/2^{-}$ [301], since this orbital is near the Fermi surface for Z=43 at a prolate deformation of ≈ 0.25 . We therefore assign the rotational band denoted as bands (3) and (4) in Fig. 2 to this configuration. The positive parity band of 105 Tc may have the 5/2 ⁺ [422] or 7/2 ⁺ [413] configuration, based on the $\pi g_{9/2}$ orbital. As shown in Fig. 2, this positive parity band shows appreciable signature splitting characteristic of bands built on the $7/2^+$ [413] orbital [3]. In Fig. 14 we plot the energy difference $(E_I - E_{I-1})/2I$ versus $(2I)^2$,







FIG. 13. (a) Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 138.6 and 602.4 keV transitions in 107 Tc. (b) Partial coincidence spectrum setting double gate on 129.0 and 623.5 keV transitions in 105 Tc.

where *I* is the level spin, for some bands built on the $7/2^+$ [413] configuration and on the $5/2^+$ [422] configuration [3], as well as those for the positive parity bands in ^{105,107,109}Tc identified in this paper. The signature splittings in the three Tc isotopes are almost identical and although smaller than those found in ¹⁰³Rh and ¹⁰³Ag [3], clearly establish the $7/2^+$ [413] configuration of these bands, and a $7/2^+$ spin-parity assignment to their band heads. The spin-parity assignments of the other members of the bands follow from this interpretation. However, considerable Coriolis mixing of the $7/2^+$ [413] and $5/2^+$ [422] orbitals is quite likely and may explain differences in signature splittings.

The level scheme of 107 Tc is shown in Fig. 3. To assign spins and parities to the low-lying levels we start with the M1(+E2) character [8] of the 65.7 keV transition and the E1 character [8] of the 71.7 keV transition. This determines the negative parity of both the ground state and the 65.7 keV level and supports our tentative spin-parity assignment of $3/2^-$ and $5/2^-$, respectively, to these levels, in analogy with 105 Tc. The ground state negative parity band interpreted as a $3/2^-$ [301] band was not oserved in 107 Tc. Instead, there is the unconnected band with undetermined band head energy denoted as band (4) in Fig. 3. There is not enough informa-



FIG. 14. Energy difference $(E_I - E_{I-1})/2I$ of the positive parity bands in Tc, Rh, Ag, and Y.

tion to assign spins and parities to this band and to determine its nature. Similarly, we are unable to further characterize the side band labeled (3) in the figure.

In ¹⁰⁹Tc there is no β -decay information to help with the identification of the ground state and of other low-lying levels and no ground state band was observed. We are therefore left with a residual uncertainty *x* in the energy scale. Only the 7/2 ⁺ [413] is seen (assigned on the basis of analogy with ^{105,107}Tc) with an indication of a side band feeding the 11/2⁺ level (see Fig. 5).

We were not able to assign spins and parities to levels in 106,108 Tc (Z=43, N=63,65). In Ref. [11] evidence is presented for a 2⁺ assignment to the ground state of both isotopes. On the other hand, according to Ref. [3] the neighboring nuclei ¹⁰⁴Nb (Z=41, N=63) and ^{108,110}Rh (Z=45, N =63,65) have a 1^+ ground state with a low lying 5^+ (or, possibly 4^+ or 6^+) isomer. Since we do not observe any of the low spin, low-lying levels and transitions in ¹⁰⁶Tc identified from the beta decay of ¹⁰⁶Mo [11], it is probable that our lowest state in ¹⁰⁶Tc is actually the $(4^+, 5^+, \text{ or } 6^+)$ isomer commonly found in this region [3]. This uncertainty in the true position of the ground state is denoted in Fig. 9 by adding x to the energy scale. In 108 Tc, our observation of the 86.2 and 106.2 keV levels in prompt SF decay may indicate that there is no long-lived (beta decaying) isomer in this nucleus. At higher spins, ¹⁰⁶Tc and ¹⁰⁸Tc exhibit similar band structures with moderate signature splitting, analogous to the positive parity bands of ^{105,107,109}Tc.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary in ¹⁰⁵Tc rotational bands with the 7/2 ⁺ [413] and $3/2^{-}$ [301] proton configurations are identified to high spins. The 7/2 ⁺ [413] band is more strongly populated (up to spin 21/2 ⁺) than the $3/2^{-}$ [301] band. The nuclei ¹⁰³Tc, ^{101,103,105}Nb, and ^{99,101}Y with N=60, 62 or 64 all have a ground state spin of $5/2^{+}$. ¹⁰⁵Tc has a ground state spin of $3/2^{-}$. It is difficult to understand the change of the ground state spin from $5/2^{+}$ to $3/2^{-}$ in the Z=43 nuclei (Tc) with the increase of N from 60 to 62 but it may indicate a change

in deformation. The $7/2^+$ [413] assignments in 107,109 Tc are made in analogy to 105 Tc. The $5/2^+$ level in 105 Tc may have shifted up in ¹⁰⁷Tc and not be populated. Also, on the basis of the coincidence relations and yield function between the fission partner nuclei, Tc and Cs, twenty two γ transitions of ¹⁰⁶Tc and twenty five γ transitions of ¹⁰⁸Tc are identified for the first time predicted. In ¹⁰⁶Tc and ¹⁰⁸Tc bands with similar E2 transition energies are observed. Because of the prevalence of γ softness or triaxiality in this region [15], we have been alert to side bands or other features possibly related to triaxial deformation. The unassigned band starting at 766.3 keV in ¹⁰⁷Tc [band (3) in Fig. 3] is interesting in this regard, but more work to determine multipolarities and mixing ratios is needed. The correlated-pair fission yields, and especially the 0n "cold fission" 109 Tc/ 143 Cs case, are noteworthy and merit a more detailed study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work at Vanderbilt University was supported in part by the U.S. DOE under Grant No. DE-FG05-88ER40407. The Joint Institute for Heavy Ion Research has member institutions Vanderbilt University, University of Tennessee, and Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is supported by its members and by U.S. DOE through Contract No. DE-FG05-87ER40361 with the University of Tennessee. The work at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory is supported in part by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC07-761D01570. The work at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory is supported in part by the U.S. DOE under Contract Nos. DE-AC03-76SF00098 and W-7405-ENG48, respectively.

- M.A.C. Hotchkis, J.L. Durell, J.B. Fitzgerald, A.S. Mowbray, W.R. Phillips, I. Ahmad, M.P. Carpenter, R.V.F. Janssens, T.L. Khoo, E.F. Moore, L.R. Morss, Ph. Benet, and D. Ye, Nucl. Phys. A530, 111 (1991).
- [2] T. Rzaca-Urban, W.R. Phillips, J.L. Durell, W. Urban, B.J. Varley, C.J. Pearson, J.A. Shannon, I. Ahmad, C.J. Lister, L.R. Morss, K.L. Nash, C.W. William, M. Bentaleb, E. Lubkiewicz, and U. Schulz, Phys. Lett. B 348, 336 (1995).
- [3] R.B. Firestone and V.S. Shirley, *Table of Isotopes*, 8th ed. (Wiley, New York, 1996).
- [4] D.C. Radford, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 361, 297 (1995).
- [5] M. Rucker, Ph.D. thesis, Universitat Johannes Gutenberg, Mainz, 1989.
- [6] D. De Frenne and E. Jacobs, Nucl. Data Sheets 68, 935 (1993).
- [7] H. Ohm, U. Paffrath, G. Lhersonneau, and K. Sistemich, Report No. Jul-Spez-344, 30, 1986.
- [8] J. Blachot, Nucl. Data Sheets 62, 709 (1991).
- [9] P. Bhattacharyya, C.T. Zhang, B. Fornal, P.J. Daly, Z.W. Grabowski, I. Ahmad, T. Lauritsen, L.R. Morss, W.R. Phillips,

J.L. Durell, M.J. Leddy, A.G. Smith, W. Urban, B.J. Varley, N. Schulz, E. Lubkiewicz, M. Bentaleb, and J. Blomqvist, Phys. Rev. C 56, R2363 (1997).

- [10] G.M. Ter-Akopian, J.H. Hamilton, Yu. Ts. Oganessian, A.V. Daniel, J. Kormicki, A.V. Ramayya, G.S. Popeko, B.R.S. Babu, Q.-H. Lu, K. Butler-Moore, W.C. Ma, W. Nazarewicz, J.K. Deng, D. Shi, J. Kliman, M. Morhac, J.D. Cole, R. Aryaeinejad, N.R. Johnson, I.Y. Lee, F.K. McGowan, and J.X. Saladin, Phys. Rev. C 55, 1146 (1996).
- [11] A. Jokinen, T. Enqvist, P.P. Jauho, M. Leino, J.M. Parmonen, H. Penttila, J. Aysto, and K. Eskola, Nucl. Phys. A584, 489 (1995).
- [12] F.F. Hopkins, John R. White, C. Fred Moore, and Patrick Richard, Phys. Rev. C 8, 380 (1973).
- [13] J.B. Wilhelmy, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report No. UCRL-18978, 1969 (unpublished).
- [14] A.C. Wahl, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **39**, 1 (1988).
- [15] J. Skalski, S. Mizutori, and W. Nazarewicz, Nucl. Phys. A617, 489 (1997), and references therein.