Study of neutron-rich nuclei using deep-inelastic reactions

I. Y. Lee, S. Asztalos, M.-A. Deleplanque, B. Cederwall,* R. M. Diamond, P. Fallon, A. O. Macchiavelli, L. Phair,

F. S. Stephens, and G. J. Wozniak

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

S. G. Frauendorf Research Center Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany

J. A. Becker and E. A. Henry Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550

> P. F. Hua and D. G. Sarantites Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130

J. X. Saladin University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

C. H. Yu[†]

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627 (Received 24 February 1997)

We have used the ⁴⁸Ca+¹⁷⁶Yb reaction to study the population of high-spin states in neutron-rich nuclei by deep-inelastic reactions. Using Gammasphere, we observed gamma transitions from nuclei several neutrons richer than the target. Yrast states with spin up to 20 were populated in this reaction. High-spin states in ^{175,177,178}Yb were observed. In this region of reduced pairing, a reference based on experimental data was used to derive experimental Routhians. Systematics of experimental Routhians in neutron-rich Yb nuclei compare well with cranked shell-model calculations. [S0556-2813(97)03608-X]

PACS number(s): 21.10.Re, 25.70.Lm, 25.70.Hi, 21.60.Ev

I. INTRODUCTION

Neutron-rich nuclei are of particular interest since they might reveal new aspects of nuclear structure associated with an excess of neutrons, such as a neutron skin, a modified shell structure, and new modes of excitation. However, these nuclei are difficult to produce, particularly in high-spin states. Currently, nuclear high-spin states are produced almost exclusively by heavy-ion-induced fusion reactions and in a few cases by Coulomb excitation. Fusion reactions form compound nuclei with spin as high as 70^h. However, with stable beams and targets, only neutron-deficient nuclei can be produced by fusion reactions. Coulomb excitation can be used to study stable nuclei. For deformed nuclei, states with spins up to $30\hbar$ have been populated by Coulomb excitation. Neutron-rich nuclei in the mass region of 100 < A < 150 produced as fission fragments have been studied and states with spin as high as 20h were observed. So far, high-spin states in neutron-rich nuclei and most of the odd-even and odd-odd nuclei near the stability line have not been studied due to the lack of suitable nuclear reactions. Neutron-rich radioactive beams would be required to do this if fusion reactions are used. However, using deep-inelastic reactions together with the new gamma-ray detector arrays, one expects to have enough sensitivity, in spite of the low cross sections, to form these nuclei at high-spin states. Indeed, these reactions have been shown to produce a high multiplicity of gamma rays [1], and in reactions of rare-earth beams with rare-earth targets, a multiplicity of 40 has been observed [2,3]. Neutronrich nuclei such as ¹⁷⁷Tm, ¹⁸⁰Yb, and ¹⁸⁴Lu have been identified from β - γ spectroscopy following reactions of Xe on W [4]. In addition, attempts have been made to use deepinelastic reactions to produce and study high-spin states. States with spin up to 20 have been observed in an in beam study [5], and isomers with spin 10 have been identified in off-beam studies [6]. Since these reactions produce many final nuclei, some of them with a low cross section, a highefficiency gamma-ray detector array, such as Gammasphere, is needed to resolve the cascades through high-fold coincidence measurements. This paper reports on the yield of neutron-rich nuclei and the population of high-spin states in a particular deep-inelastic reaction. High-spin states in neutron-rich ^{175,177,178}Yb were observed. These data enable us to extend the study of the spin-alignment processes to neutron-rich Yb nuclei, and to compare our results with cranked shell-model calculations.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

We have employed the reaction ${}^{48}Ca + {}^{176}Yb$ at a beam energy of 250 MeV. The most neutron-rich stable isotopes of

^{*}Current address: Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, S-10405 Stockholm, Sweden.

[†]Current address: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831.

the projectile and target were used in order to enhance the production of neutron-rich nuclei. A self-supporting metallic target of ¹⁷⁶Yb with an isotopic enrichment of 97.8% and a thickness of about 1 mg/cm² was bombarded with a beam of 2 pnA from the 88-Inch Cyclotron at LBNL. This target was sufficiently thin to allow both the projectile- and target-like fragments to decay outside the target so that gamma rays from short-lived high-spin states can be observed as sharp lines after Doppler-shift correction. An annular silicon-strip detector with an inner diameter of 5 cm and an outer diameter of 10 cm placed 1.8 cm downstream from the target was used to detect the scattered fragments. This detector covered polar angles from 55° to 67° with 16 concentric strips on the front surface and the full range of azimuthal angles with 16 sectors on the back surface. The early implementation of Gammasphere with 36 detectors was used to detect the gamma rays. Coincidence events with at least one fragment and two Compton-suppressed gamma rays detected were taken at a rate of 1000/sec.

The particle detector detects either the projectile-like or the target-like fragment. The detector was placed such that its laboratory angular range of 55° to 67° which corresponds to a center-of-mass (c.m.) angular range of $68^{\circ}-82^{\circ}$ for the scattered projectile-like fragment covered the grazing angle of the reaction. Thus the detection of the projectile-like fragments selects events with nuclear interaction from small impact parameter collisions. On the other hand, the target-like fragment has a c.m. angular range of 47°-70° which is more forward and corresponds to larger impact parameters. The detected projectile-like fragment has an energy of about 180-200 MeV and the target-like fragment has a lower energy of 25-56 MeV. Thus the projectile-like products were identified from their higher energy detected in the Si detector and the velocity vectors of the target- and projectile-like product were calculated from the angles determined from the Si detector assuming a two-body reaction. The Doppler-shift correction of the gamma rays was based on these vectors and the direction of the gamma rays. To study the gamma rays from the target-like fragments, event-by-event Doppler correction was made using the velocity of the target-like fragments. In the resulting spectra, the gamma rays from the target-like fragments show up as sharp lines with a FWHM about 0.8% of the energy, and the gamma rays of the projectile-like fragments were smeared out over a range about $\pm 9\%$ of the energy.

Two- and threefold gamma-ray-coincidence data were analyzed for the gamma rays from the target-like fragments. Gamma-ray spectra were obtained by single and double gating on transitions belonging to a gamma cascade. Gamma sequences from Er, Tm, Yb, and Hf nuclei have been identified from this data set. Figure 1 shows the spectra of eveneven Yb nuclei from the twofold data. The low-spin transitions of ¹⁷⁶Yb have more than 10⁵ counts and the highest line observed is the 14 \rightarrow 12. The spectrum of ¹⁷⁴Yb has ten times fewer counts but the highest spin observed is 18. This indicates that the yield of ¹⁷⁶Yb drops faster as a function of spin than the yield of ¹⁷⁴Yb. The spectrum of ¹⁷²Yb has ten times fewer counts than the spectrum of ¹⁷⁴Yb and because of the low yield the spectrum is not clean. The main impurity peaks are due to ¹⁷⁶Yb and ¹⁷⁷Yb and a third set is not identified. Figure 2 compares the spectra of ¹⁷⁸Yb from



FIG. 1. Spectra from double- γ -coincidence data corrected for the Doppler shift of the targetlike fragment for (a) 176 Yb, (b) 174 Yb, and (c) 172 Yb.

single-gated twofold and double-gated triple data. The transitions belonging to ¹⁷⁸Yb are clearly identified in the triples spectrum which is much cleaner than the doubles spectrum but with 1/6 of the counts. The identified impurity peaks in the double spectrum are from ¹⁷⁶Yb and ¹⁷⁷Yb. It is obvious



FIG. 2. Spectra of 178 Yb from double (top) and triple (bottom) γ coincidence data with the Doppler correction for the targetlike fragment.



FIG. 3. Double- γ -coincidence spectra similar to Fig. 1 for (a) 175 Yb and (b) 177 Yb.

that in order to study the weakly populated nuclei such as 178 Yb the threefold data are essential. Figure 3 shows the double coincidence spectra of 175,177 Yb nuclei. From this experiment, high-spin levels in 175,177,178 Yb were observed up to 33/2 in the odd nuclei and 12 in the even nucleus.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The production cross sections of the target-like nuclei, determined from the gamma-ray yields of the low-spin states are shown in Fig. 4. About 10 nuclei around the target ¹⁷⁶Yb have been identified. In general, the deep-inelastic reactions can be understood in terms of a diffusion and relaxation process toward equilibration of the neutron-to-proton ratio (N/Z), the kinetic energy and the angular momentum. The N/Z value is expected to equilibrate towards the value of the combined system. In this experiment, the N/Z ratios of the projectile, target, and the combined system are 1.4, 1.51, and 1.49, respectively. Since the N/Z ratio of the combined



FIG. 4. Production cross sections in mb/sr of targetlike nuclei deduced from gamma-ray yields.



FIG. 5. Gamma-ray yield of Yb nuclei as a function of spin.

system is very close to that of the target, its equilibration then should produce target-like products with N/Z values similar to that of the target. However, the measured distribution shows that nuclei with more neutrons than the target have smaller yield than nuclei with fewer neutrons. This could be due to the evaporation of neutrons from the excited nuclei produced in the deep-inelastic reactions which moves the distribution toward the neutron-deficient region. Also, if the quasielastic transfers contributed to this reaction, their cross sections would be sensitive to the Q values. For neutron transfer the optimal Q value is zero. In this reaction, the Q values for neutron transfer are -5.3, -4.2, -1.2, and -1.7 MeV for producing $^{172-175}$ Yb, respectively, and -4.4 and -4.8 MeV for producing 177,178 Yb, respectively. These values favor the production of the neutron-deficient isotopes 174,175 Yb in the quasielastic reactions.

From the current data set, we were able to study nuclei produced with a cross section as low as 0.1 mb/sr. So far, a number of even-odd and odd-odd nuclei, such as ¹⁷³Yb and ^{171,172}Tm which are expected to be produced from the systematics of the yield distribution, were not identified because very little is known about their level schemes. Including these nuclei, it is estimated that about 20 projectile-like nuclei and a comparable number of target-like nuclei are produced with cross sections greater than 0.1 mb/sr. So far, we have identified about 60% of the γ -ray lines in the total-projection spectra.

The gamma-ray yield of observed Yb nuclei as a function of spin is shown in Fig. 5. The sensitivity of the current setup allowed states with spin as high as 20 to be observed in this reaction. The yields decrease with increasing spin at about the same rate for all nuclei except ¹⁷⁶Yb. The latter nucleus has a higher yield at low spin and the yield drops by a factor of about 1000 from spin 6 to 18, while the yields of other nuclei drop by a factor of only about 100. This difference is most likely due to additional contributions from quasielastic reactions (e.g., Coulomb excitation) in ¹⁷⁶Yb. Figure 5 also shows the calculated Coulomb excitation yield of ¹⁷⁶Yb. The steep drop of the yield of the states with spin below 12 is well reproduced by the calculation. The less steep yield at



FIG. 6. The level schemes of ^{175,177,178}Yb determined from this experiment. Previously, only three levels were known in each of these nuclei.

higher spin which is similar to that of the other Yb isotopes is most likely due to deep-inelastic reactions. Since fewnucleon transfer reactions do not bring in large amounts of angular momentum, the yield curve of Coulomb excitation plus few-nucleon transfer is expected to be similar to that of Coulomb excitation alone. The less steep yield curves of other nuclei also indicate that Coulomb excitation plus fewnucleon transfer are not important for the population of these nuclei which are indeed deep-inelastic products.

Before this study, only three levels in the yrast band were known in ^{175,177}Yb and ¹⁷⁸Yb. This work extends the yrast band of ¹⁷⁸Yb to spin 12 and the yrast bands in ^{175,177}Yb to spin 37/2 and 33/2, respectively. Based on coincidence relations, these new transitions are placed in the level schemes shown in Fig. 6. The structure of the yrast band of ¹⁷⁵Yb is assigned [8] to be [514]7/2 and the yrast band of 177 Yb is based [9] on $i_{13/2}$ [624]9/2. The spin and parity of the new states are based on these assignments and assuming the cascade transitions are of stretched E2 in nature. One of the interesting properties of yrast levels with spin below about 20 in rare-earth nuclei is the alignment of a pair of neutrons in the $i_{13/2}$ orbital. In the even-even nuclei, the aligned band crosses the ground-state band at a rotational frequency about 0.35 MeV. The interaction strength between the bands is expected to show an oscillatory behavior as the Fermi level moves through the multiplets of the $i_{13/2}$ orbitals with different K values. In the odd neutron nuclei, if the odd neutron occupies an $i_{13/2}$ orbital, the alignment is blocked. If the oddneutron does not occupy $i_{13/2}$ orbitals, a band crossing similar to that of the even-even nuclei is observed.

The experimental results and comparison to the calculations are shown in Figs. 7-10. Figure 7 shows the moment of



FIG. 7. Dynamic moment of inertia of even-even Yb nuclei.

ħω (MeV)

inertia of the even-even Yb nuclei as a function of rotational frequency. The curves of ¹⁷²Yb and ¹⁷⁴Yb are similar to each other and ¹⁷⁶Yb shows an increase of the moment of inertia at frequency above 0.25 MeV. The new results of ¹⁷⁸Yb give a rather flat moment of inertia curve which suggests a smaller value for the interaction strength of the $i_{13/2}$ neutron AB crossing than for the lighter Yb nuclei. The expected sharp backbend is likely to occur just above spin 12. It would be interesting to observe higher spin states with more statistics or using a reaction which makes products with higher angular momentum. However to explore the interaction strength, we need to study the Routhians which will be discussed below. Figure 8 shows the moment of inertia of the odd-mass ^{175,177}Yb. The different behavior between these two nuclei is due to the fact that in ¹⁷⁷Yb the odd neutron is occupying an $i_{13/2}$ orbital and the alignment is blocked, producing a flat moment of inertia curve. For ¹⁷⁵Yb the alignment of the $i_{13/2}$ orbital is not blocked and its moment of inertia curve is similar to that of ¹⁷⁴Yb.



FIG. 8. Dynamic moment of inertia of ^{175,177}Yb.





FIG. 9. Experimental Routhians for ^{168,170}Yb, ^{174,176}Hf, and ^{176,178}Yb as a function of ω_x . They are obtained from the energy differences of yrast levels in even-even nuclei and the $i_{13/2}$ band in the neighboring odd-*N* nuclei.

To analyze the response of the $i_{13/2}$ quasiparticles to rotation we compare the experimental Routhians with the ones calculated by means of the cranked shell model (CSM). We use the modified oscillator version and the associated param-

FIG. 10. Neutron Routhians from cranked shell-model calculations for $^{168,170}\mathrm{Yb},~^{174,176}\mathrm{Hf},$ and $^{176,178}\mathrm{Yb}.$

eters as described in Ref. [7]. The parameters of the rotating mean field are shown in Table I. The experimental $i_{13/2}$ quasiparticle Routhians are shown in Fig. 9 and the calculated ones are shown in Fig. 10.

The experimental quasiparticle Routhians are obtained by subtracting from the experimental Routhian of the $i_{13/2}$ band

TABLE I. Values of parameters [7] used in the cranked shellmodel calculations.

Nucleus	3	ε_4	Δ_n (MeV)
¹⁷⁴ Yb	0.266	0.048	0.514
¹⁷⁶ Yb	0.263	0.058	0.438
¹⁷⁸ Yb	0.252	0.067	0.600
174 Hf	0.258	0.034	0.653
¹⁷⁶ Hf	0.256	0.043	0.563

in the odd-N nucleus the experimental Routhian of the even-N neighbor, which is called the reference. This is different from the commonly used reference which is a fourth-order curve fitted to the low-frequency part of the measured Routhians (Harris fit). As discussed in detail in Ref. [7], such a "g-band reference" is only useful if one can clearly distinguish between the pieces of the band before and after the alignment of the pair of $i_{13/2}$ quasiparticles. For very gradual structural changes, as in the heavy-Yb isotopes, it is more appropriate to apply the "yrast reference" of Ref. [7], which consists of the measured Routhians of the yrast states in the even-N neighbor. The experimental quasiparticle Routhian obtained in this way can directly be compared with the lowest calculated $i_{13/2}$ quasiparticle Routhian. Figure 9 shows the experimental quasiparticle Routhians for the neutron numbers N = 98 - 108. These curves were obtained by using the yrast levels in ^{168,170,176,178}Yb and the $i_{13/2}$ bands in ^{169,177}Yb. We had to use the data for ^{174,175,176}Hf for N = 102 and 104, because the $i_{13/2}$ band in ¹⁷³Yb is not observed.

For N=98 and 100, the calculated curves, lowest Routhians in Fig. 10, clearly show first an upbend of the quasiparticle Routhian, which represents the *AB* alignment in the even-*N* nucleus (in the yrast reference), and a later down bend, which represents the *BC* alignment in the odd-*N* nucleus. The large repulsion for N=98 and the sharper crossing for N=100 reflect the well-known oscillations of the interaction between the *g* and *s* bands as function of the neutron number [10,11]. These two neutron numbers correspond to the right side of the N=98 maximum of the interaction strength. The measured Routhians compare well with the calculated ones.

Above N=102, the AB and BC crossings are no longer clearly discernible. Nevertheless, the calculated behavior seems to correlate with the experimental one. The case for N=102 shows some slight up bend, corresponding to the increased interaction at the AB crossing. For N = 104 the Routhian goes straight down heading for an intersection with the zero line. The calculated intersection occurs at somewhat higher frequency. For N = 106 the Routhian stays far from the zero line, whereas for N = 108 the experimental Routhian seems to head for an intersection with the zero line, both in accordance with the calculations. Obviously, the Routhians depend in a more complicated manner on N and ω than discussed in Refs. [8] and [9] where just another oscillation of the interaction between the g and s bands around N=104 is predicted. The reason is the rather low neutron pairing gap (the experimental value of Δ_N lies around 0.6 MeV) as compared to the large spacing between the $i_{13/2}$ levels (the spacing between the 7/2 and 9/2 levels is 1.3 MeV), which has the consequence that the crossings are no longer clearly separated [7]. Nevertheless, the comparison between calculated and experimental Routhians seems to indicate that the CSM is able to account for the quasiparticle response at low pairing in a quantitative way, if one compares directly the odd- with the even-N bands (yrast reference), without trying to extrapolate the low-spin part of the even-N bands as a Harris reference. This finding is certainly of interest for superdeformed bands where a similar weak pairing regime seems to be present.

In conclusion, we have established that with a highefficiency gamma-ray array, it is possible to study high-spin states in neutron-rich nuclei produced in deep-inelastic reactions. These reactions extended the range of nuclei for highspin structure study in the neutron-rich direction by about 10 neutron numbers. The observed variation in the experimental Routhians of the neutron-rich Yb nuclei agrees with the results of CSM calculations. We have shown that in this region of weak pairing and large interaction strength, it is necessary to use the experimental odd-mass $i_{13/2}$ levels as a reference for calculating the experimental Routhians. With more powerful gamma-ray detector arrays being constructed, it will soon be possible to increase the sensitivity by a factor of 10. We are also planning to use a heavier projectile to bring more angular momentum into the products.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The excellent beam provided by the staff of the 88-Inch Cyclotron is greatly appreciated. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-AC03-76SF00098 (LBNL), W-7450-ENG-48 (LLNL), and DE-FG02-88ER-40406 (Wash. U.).

- P. Glassel, R. S. Simon, R. M. Diamond, R. C. Jared, I. Y. Lee, L. G. Moretto, J. O. Newton, R. Schmitt, and F. S. Stephens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 331 (1977).
- [2] R. J. McDonald, A. J. Pacheco, G. J. Wozniak, H. H. Bolotin, L. G. Moretto, C. Schuck, S. Shih, R. M. Diamond, and F. S. Stephens, Nucl. Phys. A373, 54 (1982).
- [3] A. J. Pacheco, G. J. Wozniak, R. J. McDonald, R. M. Diamond, C. C. Hsu, L. G. Moretto, D. J. Morrissey, L. G. Sobotka, and F. S. Stephens, Nucl. Phys. A397, 313 (1983).
- [4] K. Rykaczewski, K.-L. Gippert, N. Kaffrell, R. Kirchner, O. Klepper, V. T. Koslowsky, W. Kurcewicz, W. Nazarewicz, E. Roeckl, E. Runte, D. Schardt, W.-D. Schmidt-Ott, and P. Tidemand-Petersson, Nucl. Phys. A499, 529 (1989).
- [5] H. Takai, C. N. Knott, D. F. Winchell, J. X. Saladin, M. S. Kaplan, L. deFaro, R. Aryaeieejad, R. A. Blue, R. M. Ronningen, D. J. Morrissey, I. Y. Lee, and O. Dietzsch, Phys. Rev. C 38, 1247 (1988).
- [6] R. Broda, R. H. Mayer, I. G. Bearden, Ph. Benet, P. J. Daly, Z.

W. Grabowski, M. P. Carpenter, R. V. F. Janssens, T. L. Khoo, T. Lauritsen, E. F. Moore, S. Lunardi, and J. Blomqvist, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1671 (1992).

- [7] R. Bengtsson, S. Frauendorf, and F.-R. May, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 35, 15 (1986).
- [8] J. P. Mize, M. F. Bunker, and J. W. Starner, Phys. Rev. 100,

1390 (1955).

- [9] K. W. Hoffmann, I. V. Krause, W. D. Schmidt-Ott, and A. Flammersfeld, Z. Phys. **160**, 201 (1966).
- [10] R. Bengtsson, I. Hamamoto, and B. Mottelson, Phys. Lett. 73B, 259 (1978).
- [11] R. Bengtsson and S. Frauendorf, Nucl. Phys. A314, 27 (1979).