Transverse radial expansion and directed flow

Sergei A. Voloshin* Physikalisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

(Received 19 November 1996)

The effects of an interplay of radial expansion of the thermalized system created in a heavy ion collision and directed flow are discussed. It is shown that the study of azimuthal anisotropy of particle distribution as a function of rapidity *and* transverse momentum could reveal important information on both radial and directed flow. [S0556-2813(97)50304-9]

PACS number(s): 25.75.Ld, 24.10.Jv

Recently, the study of collective flow in nuclear collisions at high energies has attracted an increased attention of both theoreticians and experimentalists. There are several reasons for that: (i) the observation of anisotropic flow at the alternating-gradient synchrotron (AGS) [1,2] and, probably, at the superproton synchrotron (SPS) [3] energies, (ii) better theoretical understanding of the relation between appearance and development of flow pattern during the fireball evolution and the processes such as thermalization, creation of quarkgluon plasma, phase transitions, etc. [4-7], (iii) the study of mean field effects [6,8], (iv) the importance of flow for other measurements such as two-particle interferometry [9-13], (v) development of new techniques suitable for flow study at high energies [14,15]. Although all forms of flow are interrelated and represent only different parts of one global picture, usually people discuss different forms of collective flow, such as longitudinal expansion, radial transverse expansion, directed flow, and elliptic flow [15,8].

Flow introduces a strong space-momentum correlation in the particle production. Particles with a given rapidity and transverse momentum are produced only by some part of the entire source. This part we call as an effective source. It moves with the rapidity close to that of the particles [9,11] in the midrapidity region, or slightly less than that for particles in the fragmentation region [13]. The subject of the current study is transverse (radial and directed) flow, namely the questions how azimuthally symmetric radial flow interferes with directed flow and affects the directed flow signal.

It is convenient to characterize directed flow by v_1 , the amplitude of the first harmonic in the Fourier decomposition of the particle azimuthal distribution [14]. Depending on which particular distribution is studied, the coefficients in the Fourier decomposition depend on different variables. If it is a two-dimensional rapidity and azimuthal angle distribution, then v_1 depends only on rapidity, and $v_1 = \langle p_x \rangle / \langle p_t \rangle$, where $\langle p_t \rangle$ is the mean transverse momentum, and $\langle p_x \rangle$ is another widely used quantity to describe flow, the mean projection of the transverse momentum onto the reaction plane. If one studies the production of particles with a given rapidity and transverse momentum, then

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{d^{3}p} = \frac{d^{3}N}{p_{t}dp_{t}dyd\phi'}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^{2}N}{p_{t}dp_{t}dy} [1 + 2v_{1}\cos(\phi') + 2v_{2}\cos(2\phi') + \cdots], \qquad (1)$$

and v_1 depends on two variables y and p_t . The dependence $v_1(p_t)$ for particles with a given rapidity is the main topic of the current study. We will show that the radial expansion of the source results in specific shapes of this function, which can be studied experimentally, providing information on the directed as well as radial components of the effective source velocity.

.3.

First studies of event shape anisotropy as a function of transverse momentum [16,17] have shown very interesting results. Such a study using Fourier decomposition of the azimuthal distribution promises a better quantitative description of the effect. One of the advantages would be the possibility to correct the results for the reaction plane resolution [2,14]. The analysis of $v_1(p_t)$ is to a large extent independent from the uncertainties in the p_t dependence of spectrometer acceptance and efficiency, and in this sense such an analysis has preference in comparison with the analysis of triple differential distributions.

Transverse directed flow is a result of a movement of an effective source in the transverse plane (below we assume that this movement is along the "x" axis). In the source rest frame the first moment of azimuthal distribution (v_1) is zero. The final anisotropy (here and below we discuss only the first harmonic of the azimuthal distribution) appears only as a consequence of the source movement in the transverse direction. Below we derive a general expression for v_1 , assuming that the invariant distribution in the source rest frame is known and (for simplicity) azimuthally symmetric

$$E\frac{d^3N}{d^3p} \equiv J(\mathbf{p}_t, y) = J(p_t, y).$$
(2)

Source movement in the transverse direction results in $J \rightarrow J' = J(\mathbf{p}_t', y)$, where \mathbf{p}_t' is defined by the Lorentz shift with velocity β_a along the 'x' axis. Assuming that the shift is small (the directed flow velocity expected to be $\beta_a \leq 0.1$), $p'_x = p_x - \beta_a E$, and taking into account that $\partial p_t / \partial p_x = \cos(\phi)$, one gets

^{*}On leave from Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, 115409, Russia

$$v_1 = \frac{\int d\phi J(\mathbf{p}_t', y) \cos(\phi)}{\int d\phi J(\mathbf{p}_t', y)} = -\frac{\beta_a E}{2} \frac{d\ln J(p_t, y)}{dp_t}.$$
 (3)

A comparison of exact numerical calculations for the models discussed below with the results of application of this formula shows that, for the values of $\beta_a \leq 0.1$, the formula (3) is accurate at the level of a few percent.

Below we apply the derived formula to a few particular cases, when the thermal source undergoes an isotropic expansion. We first consider an expansion in a nonrelativistic case, then go to a relativistic generalization, and, finally, discuss a model of isotropically expanding thermal shell [18], widely used in the analysis of BEVALAC and SIS data [19,20]. Using simple models permits us to perform all calculations analytically to keep clear the qualitative features of the effect. Note that directed as well as isotropic expansion velocities are defined in the frame moving longitudinally with the rapidity of the effective source.

Directed flow of protons is the most pronounced and less affected by another effects such as shadowing. Protons are relatively heavy particles ($m \ge T$, m is the proton mass, T is the temperature), and often can be treated as nonrelativistic particles. This is certainly justified in the source rest frame, where the proton kinetic energy is of the order of temperature $E^* - m \sim T \ll m$. To have the possibility to treat protons nonrelativistically in the transverse direction in the *analysis* frame, we have to assume that $m_t - m \ll m$, which restricts the nonrelativistic consideration to the region of $p_t \le 0.5$ GeV.

The transverse isotropic expansion of the source can be described as a superposition of different sources moving radially with an expansion velocity β_0 . Then the (nonrelativistic) transverse momentum distribution of protons from a radially expanding thermal source can be written as

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{d^2 N}{d\mathbf{p}_{t}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 (2mT)} \int d\psi \exp \left(-\frac{[p_x - p_0 \cos(\psi)]^2 + [p_y - p_0 \sin(\psi)]^2}{2mT}\right), \quad (4)$$

where $p_0 = m\beta_0$. The integration over ψ (the orientation of the expansion velocity) results in the distribution

$$\frac{1}{N}\frac{d^2N}{d\mathbf{p_t}} = \frac{1}{2\pi(2mT)}\exp\left(-\frac{p_t^2 + p_0^2}{2mT}\right)I_0(\xi),$$
 (5)

where I_0 is the modified Bessel function, and $\xi = \beta_0 p_t / T$. Using the formula (3) one gets an expression for v_1 :



FIG. 1. $v_1(p_t)$: solid lines—Eq. (6), dashed lines—Eq. (8). T=0.1 GeV, $\beta_a=0.1$.

$$v_1(p_t) = \frac{p_t \beta_a}{2T} \left(1 - \frac{m\beta_0}{p_t} \frac{I_1(\xi)}{I_0(\xi)} \right). \tag{6}$$

In our analysis we work in the frame moving longitudinally with the effective source rapidity. In this frame the longitudinal particle momenta usually can be neglected, which was done in the derivation above. If one considers the particle production with rapidities far from the rapidity of the effective source, when particle longitudinal momenta are large, one should make a substitution $m \rightarrow \sqrt{m^2 + p_1^2}$.

It follows from Eq. (6) that in the case without radial expansion, v_1 linearly depends on the transverse momentum with the slope of $0.5\beta_a/T$. The radial expansion of the system decreases the directed flow signal changing also the shape of $v_1(p_t)$ in the low p_t region. Remarkably, at some parameter values, namely such that $m\beta_0^2/2>T$ (for T=100 MeV it corresponds to $\beta_0>0.46$), $v_1(p_t)$ has a region (at small p_t), where it is negative (see Fig. 1). Physically it corresponds to the case when particle production with such a value of p_t is more probable from the part of the effective source which moves to the opposite direction than the flow direction (in this case directed flow and "expansion" flow compensate each other).

The relativistic generalization of the above formulas is straightforward, although results into somewhat less transparent expressions. The invariant distribution in this case can be written in the form

$$J \propto \int d\psi E^* e^{-E^*/T} = \int d\psi [E\cosh(y_t) - p_t \cos(\psi) \sinh(y_t)] \exp\{-[E\cosh(y_t) - p_t \cos(\psi) \sinh(y_t)]/T\}$$

= $T e^{-\chi} [\chi I_0(\xi) - \xi I_1(\xi)],$ (7)

where $\chi = E \cosh(y_t)/T$, $\xi = p_t \sinh(y_t)/T$; $y_t = 0.5 \ln[(1+\beta_0)/(1-\beta_0)]$ is the transverse rapidity; I_0 and I_1 are modified Bessel functions. Such a distribution yields

$$v_{1} = \frac{\beta_{a} p_{t} \cosh(y_{t})}{2T} \left(1 - \frac{I_{1}(\xi) E^{2} \sinh(y_{t}) \cosh(y_{t}) + I_{0}(\xi) [p_{t} T \cosh(y_{t}) - ET \sinh(y_{t})^{2}]}{p_{t} T \cosh(y_{t}) [\chi I_{0}(\xi) - \xi I_{1}(\xi)]} \right).$$
(8)

Note that the energy *E* is measured in the frame moving longitudinally with the same velocity as an effective source. Studying particle production close to the fragmentation region, one should remember that the rapidity of the effective source could be less than that of the particles [13]. In this case $E = m_t \cosh(y^* - y)$, where y^* is the effective source rapidity.

If the particles are emitted from a thermalized *spherical* shell at temperature *T*, expanding with velocity β_r (we use a

different notation to distinguish β_r from β_0 , the expansion velocity in the transverse *plane*), the expected invariant distribution has the form [18,19]

$$J \propto \exp(-E \gamma/T) \left(\frac{\sinh(\alpha)}{\alpha} (E + T/\gamma) - \cosh(\alpha) T/\gamma \right), \qquad (9)$$

where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta_r^2}$, and $\alpha = \beta_r p \gamma/T$. An application of the prescription (3) gives

$$v_{1} = \frac{p_{t}\beta_{a}\gamma}{2T} (E\sinh(\alpha)/\alpha - \cosh(\alpha)T/\gamma + \{(E+T/\gamma)[\cosh(\alpha)/\alpha - \sinh(\alpha)/\alpha^{2}] - \sinh(\alpha)T/\gamma\}\beta_{r}E/p)/[(E+T/\gamma)\sinh(\alpha)/\alpha - \cosh(\alpha)T/\gamma].$$
(10)

Qualitatively this model predicts the same dependencies $v_1(p_t)$ as the model with the expansion only in the transverse plane. However, due to the expansions in three dimensions, the value of the expansion velocity in this model needed to describe the dip in v_1p_t at low p_t is about 15% larger.

Summarizing, it is shown that a study of the dependence of the amplitude of the first harmonic in the azimuthal distributions on transverse momentum reveals important features of directed and transverse radial flow. The magnitude and the shape of $v_1(p_i)$ is very sensitive to such parameters of the system as an effective temperature, radial expansion, and directed flow velocities. Strong radial expansion (approximately $\beta_0 \ge \sqrt{2T/m}$) would evidence itself in the directed flow signal showing an antiflow of the particles with low transverse momentum. The preliminary results of the E877 Collaboration presented at HIP-AGS '96 Workshop [21] suggests that such a regime could be the case in gold-gold collisions at the AGS.

The author acknowledges the discussions with W.-C. Chang.

- E877 Collaboration, J. Barrette *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2532 (1994).
- [2] E877 Collaboration, J. Barrette *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 55, 1420 (1997);
 T. Hemmick for the E877 Collaboration, talk at QM '96, Nucl. Phys. A610, 63c (1996).
- [3] T. Wienold for the NA49 Collaboration, talk at QM '96, Nucl. Phys. A610, 76c (1996).
- [4] J. Stachel, talk at QM '96, Nucl. Phys. A610, 509(c) (1996).
- [5] L. Bravina, L. P. Csernai, P. Lévai, and D. Strottman, Phys. Rev. C 50, 2161 (1994); L. Bravina, Phys. Lett. B 344, 49 (1995).
- [6] B. A. Li and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 52, 2037 (1995); 53, R22 (1996).
- [7] C. M. Hung and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4003 (1995).
- [8] H. Sorge, Report SUNY-NTG 96-40, nucl-th/9610026, 1996.
- [9] U. Heinz, Report nucl-th/960929, in the NATO ASI Proceedings Series, edited by M. N. Harakeh, O. Scholten, and J. K. Koch (Plenum, New York, in press).
- [10] U. A. Wiedemann and U. Heinz, Report nucl-th/96100439610043.

- [11] T. Csörgö and B. Lorstad, Phys. Rev. C 54, 1390 (1996).
- [12] S. A. Voloshin and W. E. Cleland, Phys. Rev. C 53, 896 (1996); 54, 3212 (1996).
- [13] D. Miśkowiec for E877 Collaboration, talk at QM '96, Nucl. Phys. A610, 227 (1996).
- [14] S. Voloshin and Y. Zhang, Z. Phys. C 70, 665 (1996).
- [15] J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. D 46, 229 (1992); 48, 1132 (1993).
- [16] Y. Zhang and J. P. Wessels for E877 Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A590, 557c (1995).
- [17] B. A. Li, C. M. Ko, and G. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. C 54, 844 (1996).
- [18] P. J. Siemens and J. O. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 880 (1978).
- [19] EOS Collaboration, S. Wang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3911 (1996); M. A. Lisa *et al.*, *ibid*. **75**, 2662 (1995).
- [20] N. Herrmann for the FOPI Collaboration, talk at QM '96, Nucl. Phys. A610, 49c (1996).
- [21] W.C. Chang for the E877 Collaboration, in *Proceedings of the Workshop "Heavy Ion Physics at the AGS '96*," edited by C.A. Pruneau, G. Welke, R. Bellwied, S. J. Bennett, J. F. Hall, and W. K. Wilson (Wayne State University, 1996), p. 105.