## Decay properties of the $0^+_2$ state and spin-parity assignments in <sup>78</sup>Kr

A. Giannatiempo,<sup>1,2</sup> A. Nannini,<sup>2</sup> A. Perego,<sup>1,2</sup> P. Sona,<sup>1,2</sup> M. J. G. Borge,<sup>3</sup> O. Tengblad,<sup>4</sup> and the ISOLDE Collaboration<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Firenze, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Insto. "Estructura de la Materia," Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain

<sup>4</sup>CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

(Received 6 July 1995)

The lifetime  $\tau$  of the  $0^+_2$  state in <sup>78</sup>Kr has been measured by means of the Doppler shift attenuation method in gases. From the value of au and the measured value of the K-internal conversion branching  $I_K(0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)/I_K(0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$  the value of the electric monopole strength  $\rho^2(E0)$  of the  $0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$  transition has been deduced. Restriction or assignments for the spin parity of several levels in <sup>78</sup>Kr, populated in the decay of  $^{78}$ Rb, have been deduced by measuring K-internal conversion coefficients of the relevant transitions.

PACS number(s): 23.20.Nx, 21.10.Hw, 23.20.Lv, 27.50.+e

As a part of a systematic investigation of the E0 and E2 decay modes of  $0^+$  excited states in even krypton isotopes, we recently reported some spectroscopic data on <sup>80</sup>Kr concerning, in particular, the decay properties of the  $0^+_2$  state [1].

In even krypton isotopes this state was interpreted by some authors [2] as belonging to the standard space of the interacting boson model in its IBM-1 version [3], while other authors [4], applying the IBM-2 model [3], interpreted it as an "intruder state," i.e., as lying outside the IBM model space. In Refs. [2,4] the comparison between experimental and calculated data relevant to  $0^+_2$  states was necessarily limited to excitation energies, due to the lack of experimental data on transition strengths from these states. In Ref. [1] we compared the available spectroscopic data on low-lying levels of <sup>78,80,82</sup>Kr with the values predicted by the IBA-2 model, when a set of parameters different from that adopted in Ref. [4] is used. We found that it is still possible to give a consistent description both of excitation energies of the  $0^+_2$ state in these nuclei and decay properties of the  $0^+_2$  state in <sup>80,82</sup>Kr, without introducing configurations lying outside the IBA-2 model space.

In this paper we report on measurements in <sup>78</sup>Kr concerning (i) the decay properties of the 1017 keV,  $0_2^+$  state, which could provide further support to the proposed description of the  $0_2^+$  states in <sup>78,80,82</sup>Kr and (ii) the determination of several internal conversion coefficients, which enable us to assign the parity of several levels as well as to restrict the possible range of their spin values. This information is necessary for an analysis of the low-lying positive-parity levels of the even krypton isotopes (that we plan to perform in the near future) aimed at the identification of states having predominant mixed symmetry character under exchange of proton and neutron bosons [3].

The low-lying levels in <sup>78</sup>Kr were populated in the  $\beta^+/EC$  decay of <sup>78</sup>Rb [5] collected at the ISOLDE mass separator (CERN). The conversion electrons were analyzed by means of a compact magnetic transport system [6] coupled to a 5 cm<sup>2</sup>×6 mm Si(Li) detector cooled down to the liquid nitrogen temperature. Under typical experimental conditions the energy resolution (full width at half maximum) at 1 MeV was about 2 keV. Due to the large number of  $\gamma$  rays in the decay of <sup>78</sup>Rb, which generate considerable background in the silicon detector, it has been necessary to increase, with respect to the standard setup [6], the distance of the detector from the collection point so as to enable the insertion of additional shielding external to the spectrometer vacuum chamber. This greatly improved the signal to background ratio in the electron-energy spectra. The resulting loss of efficiency in electron detection was of no concern in this case since the source intensity was such as to give rise to a counting rate close to the maximum tolerable in the silicon detector in order not to significantly spoil its energy resolution. Gamma rays were recorded by means of a HPGe detector (having 25% relative efficiency at 1.3 MeV), placed about one meter away from the collection point. Details of the experimental procedure and data analysis can be found in Ref. [1]. Sections of typical electron-energy spectra are given in Fig. 1, in several energy windows centered on the indicated transitions.

To study the decay properties of the  $0_2^+$  state the *K*-conversion electron intensity ratio  $q^2 = I_K(0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)/I_K(0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$  has to be determined. From  $q^2$  one can deduce the ratio X of the electric monopole  $B(E0;0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$  to electric quadrupole  $B(E2;0^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$  reduced transition probabilities *via* the expression [7]

$$X = 2.56 \times 10^9 A^{\frac{4}{3}} E_{\gamma}^5 [\text{MeV}] \frac{\alpha_{\kappa}(E2)q^2}{\Omega_{\kappa}[s^{-1}]},$$

where  $\Omega_K$  is the electronic factor for the K conversion of the E0 transition (tabulated, e.g., in Ref. [8]) and  $\alpha_K$  is the K-internal conversion coefficient of the  $0^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$  transition.

The electric monopole strength  $\rho^2(E0;0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$  is related to the quantities X and B(E2) by the expression

$$\rho^2(E0) = \frac{XB(E2)}{e^2 R^4},$$

where R is the nuclear radius ( $R = 1.2A^{1/3}$  fm).

0556-2813/95/52(5)/2444(4)/\$06.00

52

2444

© 1995 The American Physical Society



FIG. 1. Relevant sections of the electron-energy spectra showing the K- and L+M-conversion lines of the (a) 455 keV,  $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ , (b) 692 keV,  $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ , and (c) 1017 keV,  $0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$  transitions.

From the value  $q^2 = 0.136 \pm 0.006$  we deduce the ratio  $X = 0.024 \pm 0.001$ , using for the *K*-conversion coefficient of the  $0^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$  transition the theoretical value from Ref. [9]. In order to determine the value of  $\rho^2(E0; 0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ , we

measured the lifetime of the  $0_2^+$  level by means of the Doppler shift attenuation method in gases [10,11]. The measurement was performed at LNL (Padua). The  $0_2^+$  level was populated via inelastic proton scattering on a 94.4% enriched sample of <sup>78</sup>Kr at a beam energy of 6.5 MeV. The gas was contained in a 0.1 cm<sup>3</sup> chamber having a thin nickel window [12]. The centroid energy of the 562 keV,  $0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$  gamma ray was measured for eleven different pressure value in the range  $(1-50) \times 10^5$  Pa. By performing an analysis based on the procedure given in detail in Ref. [1], we deduced for the lifetime of the  $0_2^+$  level the value  $\tau = (11\pm 3)$  ps, which translates in the reduced E2 transition probability

$$B(E2;0^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1) = 0.13 \pm 0.04 \quad e^2b^2.$$

We then found from the X and  $\tau$  values

$$\rho^2(E0;0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1) = 0.047 \pm 0.013.$$

In Table I the new data on the  $0_2^+$  level in <sup>78</sup>Kr, together with previous data on <sup>80,82</sup>Kr [1,13,14], are compared with the predictions of the IBM-2 model calculated for the same set of parameters given in Ref. [1]. It is seen that the decay properties of the  $0_2^+$  state in <sup>78</sup>Kr are well reproduced, thus strengthening our belief that also for this state there is no need to invoke configurations lying outside the model space. Particularly noteworthy is also the fact that the model correctly reproduces the value of  $\rho^2(E0;0_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$  which increases by a factor of about 5 from <sup>82</sup>Kr to <sup>78</sup>Kr.

We plan to extend our measurements to  $^{76}$ Kr and possibly to  $^{74}$ Kr for which there is some suggestion that the first excited  $0^+$  state might be more spherical than the ground state [15].

Besides studying the decay of the  $0^+_2$  state, we measured, by means of the NPG (normalized peak to gamma) method [16], the K-internal conversion coefficients of several transitions deexciting levels for which no definite assignment of spin and parity exists in the literature. The 455 keV,  $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$  transition was used as reference line for the NPG method. In a few cases it has been necessary, in order to avoid the overlap in energy of internal conversion lines pertaining to different transitions, to exploit the different half lives of the ground  $(T_{1/2}=17.7 \text{ min})$  and isomeric level  $(T_{1/2}=5.7 \text{ min})$  in <sup>78</sup>Rb, by stopping the ion collection and inserting appropriate "waiting" times before spectra recording. The experimental results, together with other relevant quantities, are reported in Table II. The information available previous to this work on spin and parity of initial levels [5] is given in the second column. Theoretical K-conversion coefficients [9] are reported only for E1, M1, and E2 multipolarities since, in the given energy range, the values of  $\alpha_K$  for M2 and higher multipolarities are at least a factor of 2 larger. Parity and range of possible spin values deduced directly from the measured values of  $\alpha_K$  are reported in column 9(a). Further restrictions on spin values arising from (a) the observed gamma decay, (b) the  $\log ft$  values from the ground state  $(J^{\pi}=0^{(+)})$  and isomeric state  $(J^{\pi}=4^{(-)})$  of <sup>78</sup>Rb (as reported in Ref. [17]) lead to the adopted assignments given in column 9(b). As to point (b), we observe that the  $\log ft$ values [17] from the J=0 and the J=4 states of <sup>78</sup>Rb to the  $2_2^+$  and  $2_3^+$  states allow one to assign negative parity to both

TABLE I. Experimental values of B(E2) (in  $e^2b^2$ ) and  $\rho^2(E0)$  in <sup>78,80,82</sup>Kr are compared to the theoretical ones, calculated with the Hamiltonian parameters of Ref. [1]. For the quadrupole and monopole effective charges the values  $e_{\pi} = 0.075 \ e$  b,  $e_{\nu} = 0.090 \ e$  b and  $\beta_{0\pi} = 0.10 \ e$  fm<sup>2</sup>,  $\beta_{0\nu} = 0.25 \ e$  fm<sup>2</sup> have been used.

	<sup>78</sup> Kr		<sup>80</sup> Kr		<sup>82</sup> Kr	
	Expt.	Theor.	Ref. [1]	Theor.	Refs. [13,14]	Theor.
$\overline{B(E2;0_2^+ \to 2_1^+)}$	0.13(4)	0.09	0.07(3)	0.07	0.030(10)	0.055
$\rho^2(0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$	0.047(13)	0.064	0.021(9)	0.032	0.008(3)	0.014

TABLE II. Experimental values of the K-internal conversion coefficients (in units of  $10^{-4}$ ) for the specified transitions are compared with the theoretical values for E1, M1, and E2 transitions. In column 9(a) parity assignment and restriction on possible spin range based only on the values of  $\alpha_K$  are reported. Final spin-parity assignment are given in column 9(b) (see text).

E <sub>level</sub>	$J_i$ (Ref. [5])	Eγ	$J_f(\text{Ref. [5]})$	$\alpha_K^{\text{expt.}}$	$\alpha_K^{\text{theor.}}(E1)$	$\alpha_K^{\text{theor.}}(E2)$	$\alpha_K^{\text{theor.}}(M1)$	J <sub>i</sub> (prese (a)	ent work) (b)
1017.2	$0^{+}_{2}$	562.1	$2_{1}^{+}$	1.99(6)	6.50	20.0	14.7		
1147.9	$2^{\tilde{+}}_{2}$	1147.9	$0_{1}^{+}$	3.27(15)	1.47	3.20	3.13		
	-	692.9	$2^{+}_{1}$	11.6(3)	4.07	11.1	9.20		
1755.9	$(2^+_3)$	1300.8	$2^{+}_{1}$	2.26(16)	1.18	2.45	2.40	$2^{+}_{3}$	$2^{+}_{3}$
		738.7	$0^{+}_{2}$	9.43(48)	3.55	9.38	7.99	, e	5
		636.2	$4_{1}^{+}$	14.5(23)	4.90	14.0	11.1		
		607.9	$2^{+}_{2}$	15.6(26)	5.43	16.0	12.3		
1772.9	$(1,2^+)$	1317.9	$2_{1}^{+}$	2.06(66)	1.15	2.39	2.36	$0^{+} - 4^{+}$	1+,2+
1872.9	$4^{+}_{2}$	1417.9	$2^{+}_{1}$	1.90(35)	1.02	2.06	2.05		
	2	753.4	$4^{+}_{1}$	8.89(22)	3.40	8.91	7.65		
2234.2		1779.1	$2^{+}_{1}$	1.53(37)	0.718	1.34	1.35	$0^+ - 4^+$	$0^+ - 4^+$
2240.7	$(1^+, 2^+)$	1785.6	$2^{+}_{1}$	2.9(10)	0.714	1.33	1.34	$1^{+}-4^{+}$	1+,2+
2299.8	5 <sup>+</sup>	1180.5	$4^{+}_{1}$	3.04(49)	1.40	3.03	2.95		
2413.4	1	1265.6	$2^{+}_{2}$	2.40(14)	1.23	2.60	2.56	$1^{+}-4^{+}$	$2^{+}-4^{+}$
		848.6	$3^{+}_{1}$	6.6(17)	2.65	6.59	5.92		
2443.4	$(1,2^+)$	1988.2	$2^{+}_{1}$	3(1)	0.609	1.10	1.11	$0^{+} - 4^{+}$	$1^+, 2^+$
		1295.4	$2^{+}_{2}$	2.30(33)	1.18	2.48	2.45		
		687.5	$(2^{\tilde{+}}_{3})$	11.4(30)	4.14	11.4	9.35		
2573.3		1425.6	$2^{+}_{2}$	1.10(26)	1.01	2.03	2.03	13-	1 3 -
2677.6	(3,4)	1529.8	$2^{+}_{2}$	0.85(23)	0.901	1.77	1.77	$1^{-}-3^{-}$	3-
2764.1	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	1644.6	$4_{1}^{+}$	0.826(69)	0.806	1.55	1.55	3-,4-	3-,4-
		1199.3	$3^{+}_{1}$	1.32(5)	1.36	2.93	2.86		
2999.4		1879.9	$4^{+}_{1}$	0.73(28)	0.662	1.22	1.22	$3^{-}-5^{-}$	3^5^-
3064.6	(4 <sup>+</sup> ,5,6 <sup>+</sup> )	1086.8	$6^{+}_{1}$	1.58(27)	1.63	3.65	3.51	$5^{-}-7^{-}$	5-
3105.4	(3,4,5)	1232.4	$4^{+}_{2}$	1.31(10)	1.29	2.76	2.71	$3^{-}-5^{-}$	$3^{-}-5^{-}$
		341.3	(4-)	50.8(58)	23.9	96.5	47.6		
3161.2	(3,4)	2013.3	$2^{+}_{2}$	0.537(74)	0.598	1.08	1.08	3-	3-
		1288.4	$4_{2}^{+}$	1.46(17)	1.20	2.51	2.47		
3233.6	(3,4,5)	2114.1	$4_{1}^{+}$	0.527(71)	0.556	0.987	0.991	3-,4-	3-,4-
		1668.6	$3^{+}_{1}$	0.83(43)	0.789	1.51	1.51		
		1360.6	$4_{2}^{+}$	0.62(23)	1.09	2.23	2.22		
3361.1	(3 <sup>-</sup> ,4,5)	611.4	$5^{-}_{1}$	12.1(5)	5.36	15.7	12.1	46-	46-
3669.2	(3,4,5 <sup>-</sup> )	1270.2	$3_{1}^{-}$	2.38(14)	1.23	2.58	2.54	$1^{-}-5^{-}$	3 <sup>-</sup> -5 <sup>-</sup>
		1096.0		3.25(33)	1.60	3.58	3.45		
3725.4	(3,4 <sup>+</sup> )	1852.6	42	1.17(21)	0.676	1.25	1.27	$2^{+}-4^{+}$	$2^{+}-4^{+}$
		1326.5	$3_{1}^{-}$	1.30(26)	1.14	2.36	2.33		
3774.7	(3,4)	1375.6	$3_{1}^{-}$	1.93(12)	1.07	2.19	2.17	$1^{-}-5^{-}$	3-

states, on the basis of the rules given in Ref. [18]. This assignment in turn implies a restriction, based on the observed  $\log ft$ , on possible spin values of several levels in <sup>78</sup>Kr.

To give an idea of the overall consistency of the data, some experimental values of  $\alpha_K$  for transitions having known multipolarity are also reported in Table II. Altogether, the conversion coefficients allow us to assign the parity of about twenty levels and to set significant restrictions on their spins. Particularly important is the definite assignment of  $J^{\pi}=2^{+}$  to the 1756 keV level, which is therefore the third excited state of such a spin. We recall that in the U(5) and O(6) limit of the IBM-2 model the first mixed symmetry state is predicted to have  $J^{\pi}=2^+$  and that in some nuclei having a structure close to these limits the largest mixed symmetry component has been found in the  $2^+_3$  level [19– 22]. The 1756 keV level, which decays to the triplet  $0_2^+$ ,  $2_2^+$ ,  $4_1^+$  but also to the  $2_1^+$  and  $0_1^+$  states [5], shows properties compatible with a large mixed symmetry component [23].

Noteworthy is also the negative parity assignment to the 2764 keV level, which has been considered as the head of a negative parity band [24].

As to the  $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$  transition, from the value of  $\alpha_K$  and of the mixing ratio  $\delta(E2/M1) = 0.5 \pm 0.1$  [5], it is possible to deduce the value  $0.90 \pm 0.37$  for the intensity ratio

 $q^2 = I_K(E0; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)/I_K(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$  of the E0 to E2 component of the *K*-conversion transition. This translates into a value  $0.39 \pm 0.16$  for the ratio  $X = B(E0; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)/B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ .

As a byproduct, we also measured the K-conversion coefficients of several transitions in <sup>78</sup>Kr which have not yet been positioned in the decay scheme. These data, not reported here, could be useful when  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence measurements will be performed.

Finally, we determined the *K*-conversion coefficient of the 103 keV transition, which shows the same lifetime as the isomeric 4<sup>-</sup> state in <sup>78</sup>Rb and presumably populates the ground state of this nucleus [17]. From the value  $\alpha_K = (70 \pm 10) \times 10^{-4}$ , we deduce an E2 multipolarity for this transition, leading to the assignment  $J^{\pi} = 2^{-}$  for the hypothetical level lying 103 keV above the ground state of <sup>78</sup>Rb. The long lifetime of the isomeric level could possibly be explained (as suggested in Ref. [17]) by its excitation energy being very close to that of the 103 keV level.

We would like to thank I. Motti from Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro for his precious assistance. The authors are indebted to A. Pecchioli and M. Ottanelli for their skillful cooperation during the measurements and their highly qualified technical support.

- A. Giannatiempo, A. Nannini, A. Perego, P. Sona, M.J.G. Borge, K. Riisager, O. Tengblad, and ISOLDE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 47, 521 (1993).
- [2] K.I. Erokhina, A.D. Efimov, I. Kh. Lemberg, A.K. Veasmikov, and V.M. Mikhailov, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Fiz 48, 328 (1984).
- [3] F. Iachello and A. Arima, *The Interacting Bosons Model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1987).
- [4] U. Kaup and A. Gelberg, Z. Phys. A293, 311 (1979).
- [5] S. Rab, Nucl. Data Sheets 63, 1 (1991).
- [6] T. Fazzini, A. Giannatiempo, and A. Perego, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 211, 125 (1983).
- [7] A.V. Alduschenkov and N.A. Voinova, Nucl. Data Tables 11, 299 (1973).
- [8] D.A. Bell, C.E. Aveledo, M.G. Davidson, and J.P. Davidson, Can. J. Phys. 48, 2542 (1970).
- [9] F. Rösel, H.M. Fries, K. Alder, and H.C. Pauli, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 21, 91 (1978).
- [10] M. Bini, P.G. Bizzeti, A.M. Bizzeti Sona, and R. A. Ricci, Phys. Rev. C 6, 784 (1972).
- [11] M. Bini, P.G. Bizzeti, and R. Fabene, J. Phys. G 12, 223 (1986).

- [12] M. Bini, G. Poggi, and N. Taccetti, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 212, 235 (1983).
- [13] A. Zemel, T. Hageman, J.J. Hamill, and J. van Klinken, Phys. Rev. C 31, 1483 (1985).
- [14] S. Brüssermann et al., Phys. Rev. C 32, 1521 (1985).
- [15] R.B. Piercey et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 1514 (1981).
- [16] H.C. Pauli, K. Alder, and R. M. Steffen, in *The Electromag-netic Interaction in Nuclear Spectroscopy*, edited by W.D. Hamilton (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975), p. 451.
- [17] G.K. Bavaria, J.E. Crawford, S. Calamawy, and J.E. Kitching, Z. Phys. A302, 329 (1981).
- [18] S. Raman and N.B. Gove, Phys. Rev. C 7, 1995 (1973).
- [19] P.O. Lipas, P. von Brentano, and A. Gelber, Rep. Prog. Phys. 53, 1353 (1990).
- [20] J.H. Hamilton, Nucl. Phys. A520, 377C (1990).
- [21] A. Giannatiempo, G. Maino, A. Nannini, A. Perego, and P. Sona, Phys. Rev. C 44, 1508 (1991).
- [22] A. Giannatiempo, A. Nannini, A. Perego, and P. Sona, Phys. Rev. C 44, 1844 (1991).
- [23] P. Van Isacker, K. Heyde, J. Jolie, and A. Sevrin, Ann. Phys. 171, 253 (1986).
- [24] H.P. Hellmeister et al., Nucl. Phys. A332, 241 (1979).