

*Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

¹C. R. Christenson, J. W. Cronin, V. L. Fitch, and R. Turlay, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **13**, 138 (1964).

²G. Luders, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **2**, 1 (1957).

³F. P. Calaprice, E. D. Commins, H. M. Gibbs, G. L. Wick, and D. A. Dobson, *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 1117 (1969).

⁴M. Blume and O. C. Kistner, *Phys. Rev.* **171**, 417 (1968).

⁵M. Atac, B. Chrisman, P. Debrunner, and H. Fraunfelder, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **20**, 691 (1968).

⁶See, however, C. F. Clement and L. Heller, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **27**, 545 (1971), who suggest that electromagnetic T violation, even if maximal in some sense, may be extremely difficult to detect.

⁷C. W. Kim and H. Primakoff, *Phys. Rev.* **180**, 1502 (1969).

⁸B. R. Holstein and S. B. Treiman, *Phys. Rev. C* **3**, 1921 (1971).

⁹C. G. Callan and S. B. Treiman, *Phys. Rev.* **162**, 1494 (1967).

¹⁰H. H. Chen, *Phys. Rev.* **185**, 2003, 2007 (1969).

¹¹J. C. Brodine, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 100 (1970); **2**, 2090 (1970).

¹²Such terms were first studied by R. B. Curtis and R. R. Lewis, *Phys. Rev.* **107**, 1381 (1957), and by M. Morita and R. S. Morita, *ibid.* **107**, 1316 (1957).

¹³D. Jackson, S. Treiman, and H. Wyld, *Nucl. Phys.* **4**, 206 (1957); *Phys. Rev.* **106**, 517 (1957).

¹⁴M. T. Burgy, V. E. Krohn, T. B. Novey, G. R. Ringo, and V. L. Telegdi, *Phys. Rev.* **120**, 1829 (1960); M. A. Clark and J. M. Robson, *Can. J. Phys.* **38**, 693 (1960); **39**, 13 (1961); B. G. Erozoletsky, L. N. Bondarenko, Yu. A. Mostovsky, V. P. Zakharova, and V. A. Titov, *Phys. Letters* **27B**, 557 (1968).

¹⁵R. P. Feynman and M. Gell-Mann, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 193 (1953).

¹⁶For positron decay, we reverse the sign preceding

the d coefficient.

¹⁷In the impulse approximation we have

$$b = A [g_V \langle \beta \| \sum_i \tau_i^+ L_i \| \alpha \rangle + g_M \langle \beta \| \sum_i \tau_i^+ \sigma_i \| \alpha \rangle],$$

where $g_M = \mu_p - \mu_n = 4.70$, while for d we find

$$d = A [g_A \langle \beta \| i \sum_i \tau_i^+ \sigma_i \times L_i \| \alpha \rangle + g_{II} \langle \beta \| \sum_i \tau_i^+ \sigma_i \| \alpha \rangle],$$

where g_{II} is the second-class axial form factor for neutron β decay. The second-class contribution is not unique, however, as pointed out by J. Delorme and M. Rho, *Phys. Letters* **34B**, 238 (1971) and by L. Wolfenstein and E. Henley, *ibid.* **36B**, 28 (1971).

¹⁸To be more specific, such a term can arise from a second-class current with odd isospin or from a first-class current with even isospin, either of which would be anomalous on the present picture of weak interactions.

¹⁹The possible importance of such a term even in the absence of second-class currents is discussed by B. R. Holstein, *Phys. Rev. C* **4**, 740 (1971).

²⁰B. R. Holstein, *Phys. Rev. C* **4**, 764 (1971).

²¹The contribution of the induced tensor to a $\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ transition has previously been considered by H. H. Chen, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University (unpublished).

²²Y. K. Lee, L. W. Mo, and C. S. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **10**, 253 (1963).

²³Similar results have been given previously in Ref. 12. We are merely appending the recoil terms and the electromagnetic corrections to these results.

²⁴Of course, $\Gamma_{J', J''}^J(E1, M1)$ vanishes unless $(JJ2)$ and $(JJ''1)$ satisfy a triangle inequality. Likewise $\Gamma_{J', J''}^J(E2, M2)$ vanishes unless $(JJ2)$ and $(JJ''2)$ satisfy a triangle inequality, and $\Delta_{J', J''}^J(E2, M2)$ is zero unless the triangle inequality is satisfied by $(JJ4)$ and $(JJ''2)$.

²⁵We have not included the magnetic scattering contribution to E^{EM} . However, as pointed out in Ref. 9 this should be quite small in general.

Simple Formula for the General Oscillator Brackets

L. Trlifaj

Nuclear Research Institute of Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Řež u Prahy, Czechoslovakia

(Received 7 July 1971)

An explicit formula for Talmi-Moshinsky transformation brackets of unequal-mass particles is given which is the sum of simple expressions over five variables; it is especially suited for numerical calculations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many papers¹⁻¹³ have been devoted to the study of the Talmi-Moshinsky transformation. Since the Talmi-Moshinsky brackets (TMB) find frequent and repeated application in programs for various model calculations of nuclear structure,

one of the important aims of these studies was to derive as simple a formula for them as possible. Several excellent techniques have been developed for these purposes. Let us only mention the creation-operator technique for oscillator quanta which was introduced by Moshinsky¹⁴ and then successfully applied in a number of works,^{3,4,7} and

the method of generating functions.^{5,9,13} Only recently,¹³ a computation of TMB by direct diagonalization of some special operator expressions has been suggested. An explicit and symmetrical expression of TMB for unequal-mass particles was first obtained by Kumar.⁵ The other formulas^{6,8,9} can be easily reexpressed in Kumar's form.

At the end of this note we demonstrate an explicit and simple expression for TMB. Since we have not succeeded in rewriting it from Kumar's form, we derive it directly. The first step of our approach consists in the derivation of the simple expression for the special $l=0$ TMB. Next, following the idea of Ref. 4 we look for the relation between the general and the $l=0$ brackets. Substituting then the explicit expression for the $l=0$ brackets into this relation we obtain the final formula. The computing time corresponding to this formula as compared with that for other existing programs (for example see Ref. 4) is shorter by a factor of 2.5-5.

II. PRELIMINARIES

It is convenient to describe the position of the moving oscillator mass by the product \vec{x} of the square root of the respective mass and of the real position vector.^{6,7,10} Taking, moreover, $\hbar\omega^{-1}$ as

a unit, where ω is the common oscillator frequency, the harmonic-oscillator wave functions with n radial nodes and angular momentum l, q are then given by

$$\varphi_{nlq}(\vec{x}) = c_{nl} x^l e^{-(1/2)x^2} L_n^{l+1/2}(x^2) Y_{lq}\left(\frac{\vec{x}}{x}\right) \quad (|\vec{x}|=x). \quad (1)$$

Here the normalization constant

$$c_{nl} = \left(\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(n+l+\frac{3}{2})} \right)^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

and $Y_{lq}(\vec{\omega})$, $L_n^{l+1/2}(z)$, and $\Gamma(z)$ [$n! = \Gamma(n+1)$] are the known normalized spherical harmonics, Laguerre polynomials, and Γ functions, respectively.

The orthogonal Talmi transformation^{6,7,10} for two oscillators with masses m_1, m_2 , and mass ratio $d = m_2/m_1$,

$$\vec{r} = \left(\frac{d}{1+d} \right)^{1/2} \vec{r}_1 - (1+d)^{-1/2} \vec{r}_2, \quad (3)$$

$$\vec{R} = (1+d)^{-1/2} \vec{r}_1 + \left(\frac{d}{1+d} \right)^{1/2} \vec{r}_2,$$

is represented in the basis of their wave functions by the Talmi-Moshinsky transformation brackets according to the relation:

$$\sum_{q_1} \langle l_1 q_1, l_2 q_2 | \lambda \mu \rangle \varphi_{n_1 l_1 q_1}(\vec{r}_1) \varphi_{n_2 l_2 q_2}(\vec{r}_2) = \sum_{nlq, NLQ} \langle nl, NL, \lambda | n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, \lambda \rangle_d \langle lq, LQ | \lambda \mu \rangle \varphi_{nlq}(\vec{r}) \varphi_{NLQ}(\vec{R}). \quad (4)$$

Because of the elementary conservation laws the basis wave functions are coupled by Clebsch-Gordon coefficients to the same angular momentum λ , and the energy in both the frames (3) is related by the equation

$$2n + l + 2N + L = 2n_1 + l_1 + 2n_2 + l_2. \quad (5)$$

The notation chosen for the TMB expresses their dependence on the mass ratio d and, in the case of $m_1 = m_2$, their identity with the brackets tabulated by Brody and Moshinsky.² From the definition (4) various properties of TMB are easily seen.^{10,11} There is a full correspondence with the usual Moshinsky brackets² except for the necessary interchange of masses m_1 and m_2 in some cases. Phases are fixed within the scope of the relation of parity conservation which follows from Eq. (5).

III. SIMPLE FORMULA FOR THE $l=0$ BRACKET

The expansion (4) must be fulfilled by general vectors of Eqs. (3) and it is, therefore, valid also for $\vec{r}=0$, $\vec{R} = (1+d)^{1/2} \vec{x}$, $\vec{r}_2 = d^{1/2} \vec{r}_1 = d^{1/2} \vec{x}$. Since according to definition (1)

$$\varphi_{nlq}(\vec{r}=\vec{0}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{2\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})}{n!} \right)^{1/2} \delta_{l,0} \delta_{q,0} = \frac{2}{\pi c_{n0}} \delta_{l,0} \delta_{q,0}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\sum_{q_1} \langle l_1 q_1, l_2 q_2 | LQ \rangle \varphi_{n_1 l_1 q_1}(\vec{x}) \varphi_{n_2 l_2 q_2}(d^{1/2} \vec{x}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{nN} \langle n0, NL, L | n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, L \rangle_d \frac{1}{c_{n0}} \varphi_{NLQ}((1+d)^{1/2} \vec{x}). \quad (7)$$

Let us multiply both sides of the equation by $\varphi_{NLQ}^*((1+d)^{1/2} \vec{x})$ and integrate over \vec{x} . On the right-hand side of Eq. (7) special TMB are projected out and on the left-hand side angular integrals are easily ob-

tained using the definition (1) and the known formulas of Edmonds¹⁵:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n0, NL, L | n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, L \rangle_d &= 2^{-2} \pi^{1/2} \delta_{2n+2N+L, 2n_1+l_1+2n_2+2l_2} \times \frac{(2l_1+1)^{1/2} (2l_2+1)^{1/2}}{(2L+1)^{1/2}} \\ &\times d^{l_2/2} (1+d)^{(L+3)/2} (l_1 0, l_2 0 | L 0) c_{n_0} c_{NL} c_{n_1 l_1} c_{n_2 l_2} \int_0^\infty dx x^{L+l_1+l_2+2} \\ &\times e^{-(1+d)x^2} L_N^{L+1/2} ((1+d)x^2) L_{n_1}^{l_1+1/2} (x^2) L_{n_2}^{l_2+1/2} (dx^2). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

If we substitute $z = (1+d)x^2$ and write down the Laguerre polynomials $L_{n_1}^{l_1+1/2}$ and $L_{n_2}^{l_2+1/2}$ explicitly¹⁶

$$L_n^\alpha(z) = \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{p!(n-p)!\Gamma(p+\alpha+1)} (-z)^p, \tag{9}$$

the last integration can be performed according to the formula¹⁶

$$\int_0^\infty dz z^{\beta-1} e^{-z} L_n^\alpha(z) = (-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{n!\Gamma(\beta-\alpha-n)}. \tag{10}$$

Because of the definition (2), the result is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n0, NL, L | n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, L \rangle_d &= (-1)^N 2^{-1} \pi^{1/2} (1+d)^{-l_1/2} \left(\frac{d}{1+d} \right)^{l_2/2} \\ &\times (l_1 0, l_2 0 | L 0) \left[\frac{(2l_1+1)(2l_2+1)n!n_1!n_2!\Gamma(n_1+l_1+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(n_2+l_2+\frac{3}{2})}{(2L+1)N!\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(N+L+\frac{3}{2})} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\times \delta_{2n+2N+L, 2n_1+l_1+2n_2+l_2} \sum_{t_i} (-1)^{t_1+t_2} (1+d)^{-t_1} \left(\frac{d}{1+d} \right)^{t_2} \\ &\times \frac{[(l_1+l_2-L)/2+t_1+t_2]!\Gamma((l_1+l_2+L)/2+t_1+t_2+\frac{3}{2})}{t_1!t_2!(n_1-t_1)!(n_2-t_2)![(l_1+l_2-L)/2+t_1+t_2-N]!\Gamma(t_1+l_1+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(t_2+l_2+\frac{3}{2})}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The restrictions on the sum over the variable t_i are those arising from factorial functions. The last factorial in the denominator of expression (11) may still be reexpressed using relation (5), but to no great advantage.

Formula (11) is simple compared with the corresponding expression in Ref. 4. It is entirely symmetrical under interchange of the quantities m_1, n_1, l_1 and m_2, n_2, l_2 .

IV. RELATION BETWEEN THE GENERAL AND $l=0$ TMB

In Ref. 4 a useful relation was derived which relates the general oscillator brackets to the special $l=0$ brackets. We adapt, therefore, Baranger and Davies's procedure for unequal masses and translate it into our analytical language. We start with the expression

$$V_{n_l, NL, \lambda \mu}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}, \vec{\mathbf{R}}) = \sum_{s_Q} (lq, LQ | \lambda \mu) r^l Y_{lq} \left(\frac{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}{r} \right) \varphi_{n00}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) \varphi_{NLQ}(\vec{\mathbf{R}}). \tag{12}$$

The last product of the two harmonic-oscillator wave functions can be expanded according to Eq. (4)

$$V_{n_l, NL, \lambda \mu}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}, \vec{\mathbf{R}}) = \sum_{s_i p_i k_i q_Q} \langle n0, NL, L | s_1 p_1, s_2 p_2, L \rangle_d (lq, LQ | \lambda \mu) (p_1 k_1, p_2 k_2 | LQ) \varphi_{s_1 p_1 k_1}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}_1) \varphi_{s_2 p_2 k_2}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}_2) r^l Y_{lq} \left(\frac{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}{r} \right). \tag{13}$$

Since the relations (3) hold, the decomposition of the solid spherical harmonic¹ is now written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} r^l Y_{lq} \left(\frac{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}{r} \right) &= (1+d)^{-l/2} \sum_{\lambda_i \mu_i} (-1)^{\lambda_2} d^{-\lambda_1/2} \delta_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2, l} \left[\frac{4\pi(2l+1)}{(2\lambda_1+1)(2\lambda_2+1)} \binom{2l}{2\lambda_1} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\times (\lambda_1 \mu_1, \lambda_2 \mu_2 | lq) r_1^{\lambda_1} Y_{\lambda_1 \mu_1} \left(\frac{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_1}{r_1} \right) r_2^{\lambda_2} Y_{\lambda_2 \mu_2} \left(\frac{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_2}{r_2} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the symbol $\binom{a}{b} = a!/(a-b)!b!$. After having substituted the expression (1) for the wave functions

$\varphi_{s_i p_i k_i}$ and the decomposition (14) for the solid spherical harmonic into Eq. (13), we recouple the angular momenta with a 9- j symbol and form two coupled products of spherical harmonics with the same arguments $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i/r_i$. Next we reduce each of the coupled pairs to one spherical harmonic according to the formula in Ref. 15 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n_l, NL, \lambda \mu}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, \tilde{\mathbf{R}}) &= (-1)^{\lambda+l+L} (4\pi)^{-1/2} (1+d)^{-1/2} (2l+1)(2L+1)^{1/2} \sum_{s_i p_i \lambda_i l_i q_i} (-1)^{\lambda_2} d^{\lambda_1/2} \\ &\times \delta_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2, l} \left[(2p_1+1)(2p_2+1) \left(\frac{2l}{2\lambda_1} \right) \right]^{1/2} \langle n0, NL, L | s_1 p_1, s_2 p_2, L \rangle_d (p_1 0, \lambda_1 0 | l_1 0) \\ &\times (p_2 0, \lambda_2 0 | l_2 0) \begin{Bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & L \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & l \\ l_1 & l_2 & \lambda \end{Bmatrix} c_{s_1 p_1} c_{s_2 p_2} \exp[-(r_1^2 + r_2^2)/2] r_1^{p_1+\lambda_1} r_2^{p_2+\lambda_2} \\ &\times L_{s_1}^{p_1+1/2}(r_1^2) L_{s_2}^{p_2+1/2}(r_2^2) (l_1 q_1, l_2 q_2 | \lambda \mu) Y_{l_1 q_1} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1}{r_1} \right) Y_{l_2 q_2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2}{r_2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The other way of transforming the original expression (12) is to apply the recursion formula for Laguerre polynomials¹⁶

$$L_n^{1/2}(z) = \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p \binom{l}{p} L_{n-p}^{l+1/2}(z), \quad (16)$$

in the explicit formula (1) for the wave function $\varphi_{n00}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})$ and to couple in reverse the Laguerre polynomials $L_{n-p}^{l+1/2}$ with the solid spherical harmonic $r^l Y_{lq}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1/r_1)$ to new wave functions $\varphi_{(n-p)lq}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})$ using the same definition (1). The result is

$$V_{n_l, NL, \lambda \mu}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, \tilde{\mathbf{R}}) = (4\pi)^{-1/2} \sum_{q, p=0}^n (-1)^p \binom{l}{p} \frac{c_{n0}}{c_{n-p, l}} (lq, LQ | \lambda \mu) \varphi_{(n-p)lq}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \varphi_{NLQ}(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}). \quad (17)$$

We multiply both expressions (15) and (17) by $\sum_{q_i} (l_1 q_1, l_2 q_2 | \lambda \mu) \varphi_{n_1 l_1 q_1}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1) \varphi_{n_2 l_2 q_2}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2)$ and perform the integration over $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2$ ($\int d\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1 d\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2 = \int d\tilde{\mathbf{r}} d\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$). Using the energy relation (5) the sum in the expression (17) reduces to one term with $p=0$, and because of the expansion (4) the overlap integral defines the general TMB. In the expression (15) we perform the trivial angular integration using definition (1). Setting the results obtained equal, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_l, NL, \lambda | n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, \lambda \rangle_d &= (-1)^{l+L+\lambda} (1+d)^{-1/2} (2l+1)(2L+1)^{1/2} \sum_{s_i p_i \lambda_i} (-1)^{\lambda_2} d^{\lambda_1/2} \\ &\times \left[(2p_1+1)(2p_2+1) \left(\frac{2l}{2\lambda_1} \right) \right]^{1/2} \delta_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2, l} (c_{n0})^{-1} c_{n l} c_{s_1 p_1} c_{s_2 p_2} c_{n_1 l_1} c_{n_2 l_2} \\ &\times \begin{Bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & L \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & l \\ l_1 & l_2 & \lambda \end{Bmatrix} (p_1 0, \lambda_1 0 | l_1 0) (p_2 0, \lambda_2 0 | l_2 0) \langle n0, NL, L | s_1 p_1, s_2 p_2, L \rangle_d \int_0^\infty dr_1 r_1^{p_1+\lambda_1+l_1+2} \\ &\times e^{-r_1^2} L_{s_1}^{p_1+1/2}(r_1^2) L_{n_1}^{l_1+1/2}(r_1^2) \int_0^\infty dr_2 r_2^{p_2+\lambda_2+l_2+2} e^{-r_2^2} L_{s_2}^{p_2+1/2}(r_2^2) L_{n_2}^{l_2+1/2}(r_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Both integrals of Laguerre polynomials are of the same type, so we show the calculation of only one of them. We express again the polynomial $L_s^{p+1/2}$ explicitly to Eq. (9) and integrate using formula (10):

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty dr r^{p+\lambda+l+2} L_s^{p+1/2}(r^2) L_n^{l+1/2}(r^2) e^{-r^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(s+p+\frac{3}{2}) \sum_{t=0}^s (-1)^{n+t} \frac{[(\lambda+p-l)/2+t]!}{n! t! (s-t)! [(\lambda+p-l)/2+t-n]!} \frac{\Gamma((\lambda+p+l)/2+t+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(t+p+\frac{3}{2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(s+p+\frac{3}{2}) (-1)^{(\lambda+p-l)/2} \sum_{t=0}^{s-n+(\lambda+p-l)/2} (-1)^t \\ &\times \frac{(n+t)! \Gamma(n+l+t+\frac{3}{2})}{n! t! [(\lambda+p-l)/2+s-n-t]! [n+t-(\lambda+p-l)/2]! \Gamma(n+t+(p+l-\lambda)/2+\frac{3}{2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In the last sum we introduced a new summation variable to involve only the terms with factorials of non-negative arguments.

If we add the largest values of the summation variables,

$$\left(s_1 - n_1 + \frac{\lambda_1 + p_1 - l_1}{2}\right) + \left(s_2 - n_2 + \frac{\lambda_2 + p_2 - l_2}{2}\right) = n + N + \frac{L}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - n_1 - n_2 - \frac{l_1 + l_2}{2} = 0, \quad (20)$$

we find out that the sum must be zero according to the energy-conservation relation for TMB and the relation $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = l$ in formula (18) and according to relation (5). Because of their nonnegativity each of the parentheses in Eq. (20) must be zero. The sum (19) reduces to one term with $t_i = 0$ and also

$$s_i = n_i - \frac{\lambda_i + p_i - l_i}{2}. \quad (21)$$

We substitute the results obtained into Eq. (18) and write the final result:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle nl, NL, \lambda | n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, \lambda \rangle_d &= (-1)^{\lambda + n + N + n_1 + n_2} (1+d)^{-1/2} (2l+1)(2L+1)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left[\frac{n_1! n_2! \Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(n_1 + l_1 + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(n_2 + l_2 + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(n + l + \frac{3}{2})} \right]^{1/2} \sum_{p_i \lambda_i} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + (p_1 + p_2 + L)/2} d^{\lambda_1/2} \delta_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, l} \\ &\times \left[(2p_1 + 1)(2p_2 + 1) \left(\frac{2l}{2\lambda_1} \right)^{1/2} (p_1 0, \lambda_1 0 | l_1 0) (p_2 0, \lambda_2 0 | l_2 0) \begin{Bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & L \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & l \\ l_1 & l_2 & \lambda \end{Bmatrix} \right] \\ &\times \left[\left(n_1 - \frac{\lambda_1 + p_1 - l_1}{2} \right)! \left(n_2 - \frac{\lambda_2 + p_2 - l_2}{2} \right)! \Gamma\left(n_1 + \frac{p_1 + l_1 - \lambda_1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \Gamma\left(n_2 + \frac{p_2 + l_2 - \lambda_2}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ &\times \langle n 0, NL, L | \left(n_1 - \frac{\lambda_1 + p_1 - l_1}{2} \right) p_1, \left(n_2 - \frac{\lambda_2 + p_2 - l_2}{2} \right) p_2, L \rangle_d. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This agrees with a similar formula in Ref. 4.

V. GENERAL FORMULA

Summing up the two formulas (11) and (22) we obtain the expression for the general TMB

$$\begin{aligned} \langle nl, NL, \lambda | n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, \lambda \rangle_d &= (-1)^{n_1 + n_2 + n + \lambda} (1+d)^{-1/2} 2^{-1} (2l+1) \\ &\times \left[\frac{\pi n! n_1! n_2! \Gamma(n_1 + l_1 + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(n_2 + l_2 + \frac{3}{2})}{N! \Gamma(n + l + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(N + L + \frac{3}{2})} \right]^{1/2} \delta_{2n+1+2N+L, 2n_1+l_1+2n_2+l_2} \\ &\times \sum_{p_i \lambda_i} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + t_1 + t_2 + (p_1 + p_2 + L)/2} d^{t_2 + (\lambda_1 + p_2)/2} (1+d)^{-t_1 - t_2 - (p_1 + p_2)/2} \left[\left(\frac{2l}{2\lambda_1} \right)^{1/2} \delta_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, l} \right] \\ &\times (2p_1 + 1)(2p_2 + 1) (p_1 0, \lambda_1 0 | l_1 0) (p_2 0, \lambda_2 0 | l_2 0) (p_1 0, p_2 0 | L 0) \begin{Bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & L \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & l \\ l_1 & l_2 & \lambda \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma((p_1 + p_2 + L)/2 + t_1 + t_2 + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(p_1 + t_1 + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(p_2 + t_2 + \frac{3}{2})} \\ &\times \frac{[(p_1 + p_2 - L)/2 + t_1 + t_2]!}{t_1! t_2! [n_1 - (\lambda_1 + p_1 - l_1)/2 - t_1]! [n_2 - (\lambda_2 + p_2 - l_2)/2 - t_2]! [(p_1 + p_2 - L)/2 + t_1 + t_2 - N]!}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The values of the summation variables p_i, λ_i, t_i are restricted by the functions occurring in the sum: factorials, Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, 9- j symbols (and Kronecker δ 's); only five of them are independent.

Now we compare expression (23) with the previous ones. In Kumar's formula⁵ one sums over four pairs of quantum numbers n, l . Energy-con-

servation relations of the type (5), however, fix three out of the four nodal quantum numbers, which is not a symmetrical operation and thus was not carried out explicitly; that was done in various ways and on different footings in Refs. 6, 8, and 9. The sums over the remaining four angular momentum quantum numbers differ mainly in the sense that in Kumar's formula the 9- j symbol

with the stretched configuration does not occur, in contrast to Eq. (23). In the important case of the quantities $n=0$, $l=0$, formula (23), or (11); agrees with the one given in Ref. 5 (see also Ref. 10).

Instead of the orthogonal transformation (3), generalized transformations of the U_2 group were investigated in Ref. 12, and again Kumar's form of TMB was obtained for the special transformation (3). It means that the specific form of formula (23) is closely tied to the (real and) orthogonal subgroup O_2 of the U_2 group.

Baranger and Davies apply different methods of calculating the Moshinsky brackets, i.e., TMB for $m_1=m_2$. Their alternative expression, for which the code was also written, contains the seven independent summation variables. When summed over two properly chosen variables it is very likely that expression (23) with $d=1$ will be obtained. A substantial simplification in expression (23) arises by use of the simple formula (11).

Programs of formula (23) were elaborated and examined by M. Gmitro and M. Sotona; in this context a useful formula for the calculation of the $9-j$ symbol with one stretched configuration¹⁷ occurring also in Eq. (23) is to be mentioned. Their efficiency was compared with various similar programs.³ As compared with Davies's widely used code, for example, the code corresponding to expression (23) was found to be roughly by a factor of 5 less time consuming for the $l=0$ TMB and by a factor of 2.5–4 less time consuming in the other cases.¹⁸ It is also worth mentioning that formula (23) inclusive of the expression for the stretched $9-j$ symbol of Ref. 17 allows an easy computation of TMB tables in the form of powers of prime factors if only the mass fractions d are rational.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank Dr. Gmitro and Dr. Sotona for discussing the results and their derivation.

¹M. Moshinsky, Nucl. Phys. **13**, 104 (1959).

²T. A. Brody and M. Moshinsky, *Tables of Transformation Brackets for Nuclear Shell-Model Calculations* (Direccion General de Publicaciones, Universidad Nacional de Mexico, Ciudad Universitaria, Mexico, D.F., 1960).

³T. H. Gunn, Moshinsky Transformation Brackets, program A.P.L.9, Universitets Institut for Teoretisk Fysik, Nordita, 1965 (unpublished).

⁴M. Baranger and K. T. R. Davies, Nucl. Phys. **79**, 403 (1966).

⁵K. Kumar, J. Math. Phys. **7**, 671 (1966).

⁶M. M. Bakri, Nucl. Phys. **A96**, 115, 377 (1967).

⁷A. Gal, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **49**, 341 (1968).

⁸B. Buck, quoted in J. D. Talman, Nucl. Phys. **A141**, 273 (1970).

⁹Talman, Ref. 8.

¹⁰V. G. Neudatshin and Yu. F. Smirnov, *Nuklonnye Associacii v Leghkih Yadrah* (Nauka, Moscow, 1969).

¹¹V. C. Aguilera-Navarro, T. A. Brody, and J. Flores, Rev. Mex. Fis. **19**, 303 (1970).

¹²P. Kramer, Rev. Mex. Fis. **19**, 241 (1970).

¹³J. D. Talman, A. Lande, Nucl. Phys. **A163**, 249 (1971).

¹⁴M. Moshinsky, *Group Theory and Collective Motions* (Escuela Latino Americana de Fisica, Universidad de Mexico, Mexico, D.F., 1962).

¹⁵A. R. Edmonds, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics* (Princeton U. P., Princeton, N. J., 1957).

¹⁶I. S. Gradstein and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tablicy Integralov, Summ, Ryadov i Proizvedeniy* (Gosudarstvennoe Uzdatel'stvo Fiziko-Matematicheskay Literatory, Moscow, 1963).

¹⁷A. Jucys and A. Bandzaitis, *Judejimo Kiekio Momento Teorija Kvantineje Mechanikoje* (Mintis, Vilnius, 1965).

¹⁸M. Gmitro and M. Sotona, private communication; to be published.