Delayed proton emission of N = 81 odd-odd precursors: ¹⁴⁸Ho, ¹⁵⁰Tm, and ¹⁵²Lu

J. M. Nitschke, P. A. Wilmarth, and J. Gilat* Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

K. S. Toth

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

F. T. Avignone III

University of South Carolina, Columbus, South Carolina 29208

(Received 22 June 1987)

Beta-delayed proton decay was observed in ¹⁴⁸Ho, ¹⁵⁰Tm, and ¹⁵²Lu for the first time. Contrary to neighboring even-Z N = 81 isotones, the proton spectra appear structureless and statistical in nature. Proton branching ratios for the high-spin isomers in these isotopes, based on the intensity of γ -ray transitions in the intermediate nuclei, are $(8^{+1}_{-2}) \times 10^{-4}$, $(1.2^{+0.2}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-2}$, and $(1.5\pm0.7) \times 10^{-1}$, respectively. The onset of proton emission in all three cases occurs at a proton to γ width ratio of about 10^{-4} . Protons were found to be in coincidence with x rays, γ rays, and positrons. Coincident K x rays served to identify the Z of the precursor, while the γ rays gave quantitative information about proton decay to excited states in the daughter nuclei. By comparing the final state branching ratios with statistical model calculations, it was concluded that ¹⁴⁸Ho and ¹⁵⁰Tm both have isomers with probable spin values of 1⁺ and 6⁻. Statistical model calculations with "standard" prescriptions for level densities and γ widths, and with a reduced level density parameter are compared to experiment. To reproduce the shape of the proton spectrum it was necessary to assume Gaussianshaped Gamow-Teller β strength functions centered near 8 MeV excitation energy. In the course of these experiments β -delayed proton emission in ¹⁴⁸Er was also identified.

I. INTRODUCTION

Beta-delayed proton emission is a phenomenon characteristic of nuclei near the proton drip line. In the region of A < 70 delayed proton spectra are composed of discrete transitions from levels in the proton emitting nucleus (emitter) to levels in the final nucleus, usually dominated by transitions to the isobaric analog state. Levels in the emitter typically have spacings larger than the detector resolution for protons due to the relatively low level density in light nuclei. Thus, the energies and intensities of the proton lines yield a wealth of information on β decay to levels at high excitation energies.^{1,2} In contrast, nuclei in the heavier mass regions, such as the rare earth elements, have level densities-at excitation energies that are sufficiently high for proton decay—in excess of 10^3 MeV⁻¹. Since the resolution of solid state detectors commonly used for charged particle spectroscopy is rarely better than 10 keV, the proton spectra appear smooth and unresolved. The high level density justifies the treatment of the proton versus γ -ray emission process in the framework of a statistical model.³ Some proton emitters near the Z = 50 closed shell show a pronounced structure in their spectra which has been interpreted as Porter-Thomas fluctuations.⁴ Subsequently, well-defined proton structures were also observed in the precursor 147 Dy,^{5,6} whose β -decay daughter 147 Tb, is just one proton removed from the doubly magic nucleus 146 Gd. This was at first attributed to the reduced level density associated with both the N = 82 shell and Z = 64 subshell closures,

but it was later shown that the relevant shell is N = 82 since the sharp structure was also observed in ¹⁴⁹Er (Refs. 7-9) and ¹⁵¹Yb,¹⁰ where the daughter nuclei, ¹⁴⁹Ho and ¹⁵¹Tm, are three and five protons removed from Z = 64, respectively.

The aim of the present investigation was to study N = 81 isotones with odd-Z numbers to determine whether a qualitative change in the spectra compared to even-Z, N = 81 isotones would occur. Such a change is expected for the following reasons: (1) in an odd-odd precursor such as ¹⁵⁰₆₉Tm, an unpaired proton decays into a neutron, leaving the *p*-emitting nucleus in a state of relatively high excitation energy reflected by a Gamow-Teller (GT) resonance at about 7 MeV [see Fig. 1(a)], while in an even-odd precursor such as ${}^{151}_{70}$ Yb, a proton pair has to be broken, lowering the GT resonance in the β -strength function S_{β} , by about twice the pairing gap energy to about 5 MeV [Fig. 1(b)]; and (2) β -decaying odd-odd precursors populate even-even emitters with large proton binding energies S_p (~3 MeV in ${}^{150}_{68}$ Er), while even-odd precursors populate odd-even emitters with small proton binding energies (~0.4 MeV in ${}^{151}_{69}$ Tm). The combined effect is a 2.5-3.5 MeV shift in the mean effective excitation energy from which protons are emitted, which corresponds to a change in level density by about 2 orders of magnitude.

Both odd-odd and even-odd N = 81 precursors have β decaying low- and high-spin isomers, but the final nucleus is very different in the two cases. In the odd-odd case the final nucleus (odd-even) has two nearly degenerate

37 2694

ground states with $J^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2}^{+}$ and $\frac{11}{2}^{-}$, creating two nearly parallel paths for β -delayed high- and low-spin proton decay [see Fig. 1(a)]. For example, one can write symbolically for the high-spin decay of ¹⁵⁰Tm:

and for the low-spin decay of ¹⁵⁰Tm:

$${}^{150}_{69}\mathrm{Tm}(1^+) \xrightarrow[\beta^+,\mathrm{EC}]{GT} {}^{150}_{68}\mathrm{Er}(0^+,1^+,2^+) \xrightarrow{p} {}^{149}_{67}\mathrm{Ho}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$

(ground state).

Since the energetics for the two paths are the same within a few hundred keV, the high- and low-spin branches are not easily distinguished experimentally. In contrast, the even-even N = 82 final nucleus for an even-odd N = 81precursor [see Fig. 1(b)] has a 0⁺ ground state, and higher spin states at 1.5-3.0 MeV excitation. This leads to different energetics for the low- and high-spin decay branches [see Fig. 1(b)]. For example, in the case of 1^{51} Yb the high-spin decay can be written as

and the low-spin decay as

$${}^{151}_{70} Yb(\frac{1}{2}^+) \xrightarrow{GT}_{\beta^+, EC} {}^{151}_{69} Tm \ (\frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+) \xrightarrow{p} {}^{150}_{68} Er(0^+)$$

(ground state).

Since the excitation energies in ¹⁵¹Tm are different, the two branches can be distinguished experimentally by the different EC to positron ratios in the decay feedings. We showed in Ref. 10 that the low-spin branch is associated with proton decay from low level density and low excitation energy states in the emitter, giving rise to the structured proton spectrum typical for the even-odd N = 81precursors ¹⁴⁷Dy, ¹⁴⁹Er, and ¹⁵¹Yb. In the same paper it was shown that the high-spin branch gave rise to a statistical spectrum originating from high excitation energies and high level densities in the emitter. This highspin branch in even-odd N = 81 precursors is therefore similar to the combined high- and low-spin delayed proton decay branches in odd-odd N = 81 precursors. We will show this to be true for the new isotope ¹⁵²Lu, and for the delayed-proton branches in ¹⁵⁰Tm and ¹⁴⁸Ho observed here for the first time.

Several authors in the past have estimated the threshold for proton emission.^{1,11} Since we have produced a series of six N = 81 isotones from ¹⁴⁷Dy to ¹⁵²Lu, we attempted to find a criterion that would give a consistent description of the onset of proton emission. An obvious choice for such a criterion is the ratio of the proton to γ decay widths Γ_p/Γ_{γ} , since these two processes are in competition with each other. This ratio is approximately equal to $\Gamma_p/(\Gamma_\gamma + \Gamma_p)$ because $\Gamma_p \ll \Gamma_\gamma$ at the onset of proton emission. The proton width is related to the transmission coefficients T_l and the level density ρ through the well known relationship

$$\Gamma_{\rm p}(E) = [2\pi\rho(E)]^{-1} \sum_{j} T_{lj}(E)$$

where the sum is taken over all initial states in the emitter and all final states in the daughter nucleus. Transmission coefficients were calculated with several sets of optical model parameters. Values of Γ_p/Γ_γ quoted in this paper are based on parameters given by Becchetti and Greenlees,¹² while the level densities ρ_i were calculated according to Dilg *et al.*¹³ Average radiation widths $\langle \Gamma_{\gamma} \rangle$ of states with spin J at excitation energy E_x were calculated according to the following formula suggested by Hardy¹⁴

$$\langle \Gamma_{\gamma}(E_{x}) \rangle = \int_{0}^{E_{\text{max}}} E_{\gamma}^{3} f_{E1}(E_{\gamma}) \sum_{I=J-1}^{I=J+1} \frac{\rho_{I}(E_{x}-E_{\gamma})}{\rho_{J}(E_{x})} dE_{\gamma} ,$$

where ρ_J is the density of spin J states, f_{E1} is the strength function for E1 γ decay; $f_{E1}(E_{\gamma}) = 8.7 \times 10^{-8} \sigma(E_{\gamma})/E_{\gamma}$, and $\sigma(E_{\gamma})$ is the photoabsorption cross section in mb.

It was found empirically that the onset of proton emission is observed at a value of $\Gamma_p/\Gamma_\gamma \approx 10^{-4}$. The energy corresponding to this value is indicated in the proton spectra by double arrows. An equally good criterion for the six N = 81 isotones is $\Gamma_p(E) \approx 10^{-5}$ eV, marked by single arrows in the proton spectra. Together these criteria give $\Gamma_\gamma = 10^4 \times \Gamma_p = 0.1$ eV, which is equivalent to a lifetime of $\tau_\gamma = 7 \times 10^{-15}$ s. Lifetimes of this order are associated with E1 single-particle transitions of about 0.4 MeV or M1 transitions of about 1.7 MeV (Ref. 15) which are typical energies observed in γ -ray cascades.

II. EXPERIMENT

To produce the three isotopes ¹⁵²Lu, ¹⁵⁰Tm, and ¹⁴⁸Ho, targets of ⁹⁶Ru and ⁹⁴Mo were bombarded with beams of ⁵⁸Ni ions from the Lawrence Berkeley SuperHILAC. Details of the compound nucleus reaction channels, target thickness, target material enrichment, laboratory projectile energy in the midplane of the target, and calculated cross sections¹⁶ are given in Table I. Bombarding energies (column 7) were chosen to optimize the production of the desired isotope (column 1) via the reaction channel given in column 4. Heavy ion beam currents were typically in the range of $(0.5-1.0) \times 10^{12}$ particles s⁻¹, limited by the mechanical stability of the gas-cooled ⁹⁶Ru targets,^{17,18} or the uncooled ⁹⁴Mo targets. The evaporation residue recoils were stopped in a tantalum catcher operated close to its melting point (~ 3000 °C). The catcher was located inside a surface ionization source. After diffusing out of the catcher and being ionized, the product ions were accelerated to an energy of 50 keV and mass separated in the on-line isotope separator OASIS.¹⁹ The separator was calibrated with stable rare earth isotopes introduced into the ion source as minute quantities of the oxides. For the separation of the radioactive isotopes, small corrections to the mass values of the stable

Isotope	Target	Projectile	Reaction channel	Target thickness (mg/cm ²)	Target enrichment (%)	Projectile lab. energy target center (MeV)	Calculated cross section at projectile energy (mb)
¹⁴⁸ Ho	⁹⁴ ₄₂ Mo	⁵⁸ ₂₈ Ni	3pn	2.0	93.9	257	80
¹⁵⁰ ₆₉ Tm	96 44 Ru	58 28 Ni	3pn	1.6	96.5	267	20
$^{152}_{71}Lu$	96 44Ru	⁵⁸ 28Ni	pn	1.5	96.5	244	0.4

TABLE I. Experimental parameters for the production of the β -delayed proton precursors $^{152}_{71}$ Lu, $^{150}_{69}$ Tm, and $^{148}_{67}$ Ho.

isobars were applied to take into account mass changes within an isobaric chain for nuclei far from stability. A single isobaric chain was selected at the focal plane of the separator and transported ionoptically to a shielded, low background spectroscopy laboratory. Here the 50-keV ions were implanted in a 50-µm Mylar tape and transported within 180 ms to an array of detectors consisting of a Si ΔE -E particle telescope, a hyperpure Ge detector [0.7 keV full width at half maximum (FWHM) resolution at 122 keV], two n-type Ge detectors with relative efficiencies of 24% (1.9 keV FWHM resolution at 1332 keV) and 52% (2.4 keV FWHM resolution at 1332 keV), and a thin plastic ΔE_{β} detector. A scale drawing of the detector arrangement is shown in Fig. 1 of Ref. 20. Coincidences between protons, x rays, γ rays, and positrons were recorded event by event on magnetic tape for subsequent off-line analysis. After the arrival of a fresh sample of isotopes at the detector array a quartz controlled clock was started that tagged all events with a relative time signal for half-life information. Appropriate counting time





FIG. 1. Schematic representation of β -delayed proton decay in (a) odd-Z and (b) even-Z N = 81 precursors (see text).

intervals were chosen, taking into account the known or expected half-lives. In the cases of ¹⁵²Lu, ¹⁵⁰Tm, and ¹⁴⁸Ho the tape cycles were 4, 8, and 16 s, respectively. A new batch of collected isotopes was supplied by the computer controlled tape system at the conclusion of each counting interval. Concurrent with the event-by-event data acquisition, time resolved spectroscopy singles data were taken with the 24% γ -ray detector. Counting intervals for the singles measurements were typically divided into eight equal time bins.

Energy and efficiency calibrations of the Ge detectors were carried out with standard sources; x-ray and γ -ray efficiencies were linked to the efficiency of the particle telescope via the α/γ decay of ²⁴¹Am. The relative efficiencies of the particle telescope and the 24% γ detec-tor were also checked during the ¹⁵²Lu and ¹⁵⁰Tm experiments by comparing the α -decay strengths of ¹⁵²Er and ¹⁵⁰Dy relative to photon intensities of known γ -ray tran-sitions in ¹⁵²Ho and ¹⁵⁰Tb. The α branches of ¹⁵²Er and ¹⁵⁰Dy were then deduced to be $86\pm4\%$ and $39\pm5\%$, respectively, in agreement with values adopted in Nuclear Data Sheets.^{21,22} These checks are important for judging the reliability of the proton branching ratio measurements. The detection efficiency of the thin (1 mm) ΔE_{β} plastic detector for positrons was calibrated with the lowest-energy proton line in the ¹⁵¹Yb spectrum¹⁰ which is predominantly populated by positron decay. A small correction for the EC contribution was applied, and a cross check was carried out by observing the 511 keV annihilation radiation from the positrons in the appropriate detectors.

III. RESULTS

A. Decay of ¹⁴⁸₆₇Ho₈₁ to ¹⁴⁷₆₅Tb₈₂

The high-spin isomer of ¹⁴⁸Ho was first observed by Toth *et al.*²³ via its decay to the first 3⁻ state of ¹⁴⁸Dy at 1688 keV. Its half-life was determined to be 9±1 s. Subsequently, Nolte *et al.*²⁴ reported the discovery of the low-spin (1⁺) isomer with a half-life of 2.2±1.1 s. Only three γ rays following the decay of ¹⁴⁸Ho were known at the time, with most of the β decay of the low-spin isomer proceeding directly to the ¹⁴⁸Dy ground state. In the meantime, a more detailed study²⁵ of the ¹⁴⁸Ho β decay has been carried out, including the observation of at least 40 transitions.

With the isotope separator calibrated at A = 148 using the stable isotope ¹⁴⁸Nd, the ΔE -E particle telescope registered the proton spectrum of Fig. 2. Figure 3(a) shows the decay of these protons from which a single component half-life of 8.2 ± 0.6 s was derived. Only $3.4\pm0.8\%$ of all protons were in coincidence with positrons; the remainder followed electron capture, which gave rise to strong K x-ray peaks in the proton coincident x-ray spectrum shown in Fig. 4(a). The identification of the observed K x rays and the mass determination from the separator unambiguously established the precursor as ¹⁴⁸Ho. In addition to the Dy x-ray lines, two peaks in Fig. 4(a) were identified as Ho $K_{\alpha 1}$ and Ho $K_{\beta 2}$ x rays, indicating that some of the delayed protons were due to a previously unknown β -delayed proton branch in ¹⁴⁸Er. This was confirmed by the presence of a 72-keV γ ray [Figs. 4(a) and (b)] which belongs to the known transition between the first excited $\frac{3}{2}^+$ state and the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ ground state of 147 Dy.²⁶ The observation of 148 Er in this experiment is consistent with a calculated 16 cross section of 9 mb compared to that of 80 mb for ¹⁴⁸Ho, and with the expected similar ionization efficiencies based on the first ionization potentials. The half-life of the proton activity, 8.2 ± 0.6 s, although shorter than the value of 9.7 ± 0.3 s measured²⁵ for the high-spin isomer of ¹⁴⁸Ho, indicates that this isomer is the principal contributor to the β delayed proton spectrum. The remaining discrepancy may be due to admixtures from ¹⁴⁸Ho low-spin, 2.2 ± 1.1 s,²⁴ and ¹⁴⁸Er, 4.4 ± 0.2 s,²⁵ decays. The similar magnitudes of the half-lives and limited counting statistics did not allow a reliable decomposition of the proton decay curve into three components. Under the assumption that the ¹⁴⁸Ho decay is dominated by the high-spin isomer (see below), a two component decay analysis with fixed halflives (4.4 s for ¹⁴⁸Er and 9.7 s for ¹⁴⁸Ho) was carried out. The result, which gave a slightly improved fit compared to the single component analysis and is shown as the curve through the data points [Fig. 3(a)], suggested a $17\pm6~\%$ admixture of ¹⁴⁸Er. This was confirmed by a



FIG. 2. Proton spectrum from Ho and Er decays observed with the $\Delta E \cdot E$ particle telescope at mass 148. The proton decay energy Q_p is calculated as the sum of the experimental proton energy and the recoil energy. A single arrow marks the energy at which $\Gamma_p = 10^{-5}$ eV; a double arrow indicates where $\Gamma_p / \Gamma_{\gamma} = 10^{-4}$. The smooth curve is the result of a statistical model calculation for the ¹⁴⁸Ho high-spin isomer only (see text), normalized to the total number of observed protons.

careful analysis of the x-ray intensities [Fig. 4(a)] which yielded an ¹⁴⁸Er admixture of $22\pm5\%$. These calculations were carried out under the assumption that the EC/ β^+ ratios integrated over the proton spectrum were the same for ¹⁴⁸Ho and ¹⁴⁸Er. The measured energies of the proton coincident K_{α} x rays were 47.5 and 45.9 keV, in agreement with the accepted average K_{α} energies of 47.3 and 45.7 keV for Ho and Dy, respectively.

As discussed in the Introduction, there exists a "favored decay path" from the $6^{-}/1^{+}$ states of ¹⁴⁸Ho to the $h_{11/2}/s_{1/2}$ quasidegenerate ground states of the daughter nucleus ¹⁴⁷Tb.²⁷ Along this path protons can be emitted with low values of angular momentum, hence reducing the centrifugal barrier. For this reason, it is not surprising that only about 10% of the observed protons were in coincidence with γ rays from excited states in ¹⁴⁷Tb [Fig. 4(b)]. After taking into account γ -ray efficiencies, losses due to conversion and summing, the proton decay branches b, feeding levels in ¹⁴⁷Tb were: $b(g_{7/2}) = 7 \pm 4\%, \quad b(d_{5/2}) = 1 \pm 4\%, \quad b(d_{3/2}) = 3 \pm 5\%,$ and $b(s_{1/2}, h_{11/2}) = 89 \pm 20 \%$. Even though the limited statistical accuracy precluded a detailed analysis, the small branch to the first excited state $(d_{3/2})$ indicates that the contribution of the ¹⁴⁸Ho 1⁺ precursor state to the proton spectrum is small.

It was possible to measure the proton branching ratio for the ¹⁴⁸Ho high-spin isomer by comparing the number of observed protons N_p (assuming a 20% correction for ¹⁴⁸Er), with the number of $3^- \rightarrow 0^+$ plus $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transi-



FIG. 3. (a) Decay of the proton activity at a mass separator setting of A = 148 during a tape cycle of 16-s duration, (b) the same for A = 150 and a tape cycle of 8-s duration, and (c) the same for A = 152 and a tape cycle of 4-s duration.

tions N_{γ} , in the emitter. This ratio N_p/N_{γ} was measured to be $(8^{+1}_{-2}) \times 10^{-4}$. The positive error is the statistical uncertainty while the negative error also includes conservative estimates of the contribution from the lowspin (1^+) isomer to the number of protons and the reduction of the observed γ -ray intensities from summing in the close counting geometries.

It is of interest to compare the observed proton spectral shape, proton branching ratio, and final state branching ratios with statistical model calculations, but efforts in this mass region are hampered by the difficulties of calculating β -strength functions for both the low- and highspin states of the precursor. There are also no measurements available in this region, except for ¹⁴⁷Dy^m.²⁸ This makes it difficult to compare, for instance, experimental proton branching ratios with calculations. Despite the lack of information on β -strength functions an attempt was made to reproduce the observed proton spectrum with a statistical model calculation. Several changes over an earlier model³ were already mentioned in the discussion of the proton emission threshold and the Γ_p/Γ_γ ratio. For the ¹⁴⁸Ho high-spin isomer, the β -strength function was represented by a single Gaussian with a centroid E_0 and a width parameter σ , based on the assumption that the shape of the GT resonance might be similar to that observed for ${}^{147}\text{Dy}^{m.28}$ Values for Q_{EC} of ${}^{148}\text{Ho}$ and the proton separation energy S_{p} in ${}^{148}\text{Dy}$ were obtained from Refs. 29 and 30, respectively. The 6⁻ state was assumed to lie 0.1 MeV above the 1^+ ground state, and E_0 and σ were varied until the best fit to the shape of the experimentally observed proton spectrum was obtained.

The final result of the statistical model calculation for ¹⁴⁸Ho, shown in Fig. 2 as a smooth curve, was obtained

with the following set of parameters: $E_0 = 8.4$ MeV, $\sigma = 0.825$ MeV, $Q_{EC} = 10.0$ MeV, and $S_p = 4.31$ MeV. The calculated proton branching ratio is $P_p = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ in agreement with the experimental value, and the relative branches to levels in ¹⁴⁷Tb are: $b(g_{7/2}) = 2.3\%$, $b(d_{5/2}) = 1.7\%$, $b(d_{3/2}) = 0.8\%$, and $b(h_{11/2}) = 94.5\%$. Considering the difficulties inherent in the measurements of absolute proton branching ratios and the weak excited state feeding, the good agreement between experiment and calculation confirms our understanding of the ¹⁴⁸Ho(6⁻) β -delayed proton decay.

Interpretation of the observed ¹⁴⁸Er β -delayed proton decay is simplified by the precursor 0⁺ ground state and the nonexistence of a long-lived high-spin isomer. A statistical model calculation for ¹⁴⁸Er predicts that the first excited $\frac{3}{2}^+$ state of ¹⁴⁷Dy at 72 keV should be populated by proton decay with 48% probability, with the remaining 52% going to the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ ground state. The experimental branching ratios deduced from the number of protons in coincidence with the 72-keV γ ray [Fig. 4] and the estimated total number of observed protons, were: $61\pm24\%$ feeding the $\frac{3}{2}^+$ level and $39\pm19\%$ feeding the ground state, in agreement with the calculations.

B. Decay of ${}^{150}_{69}$ Tm₈₁ to ${}^{149}_{67}$ Ho₈₂

The isotope ¹⁵⁰Tm was first identified by Nolte *et al.*³¹ who reported a half-life of 3.5 ± 0.6 s and the observation of four γ rays in its β decay to ¹⁵⁰Er. A more extensive study of the ¹⁵⁰Tm β decay was published recently³² and a half-life of 2.2 ± 0.2 s for the 6⁻ state of ¹⁵⁰Tm was obtained. There was indirect evidence that a low-spin (1⁺)



FIG. 4. (a) Low-energy photons in coincidence with protons, observed at a mass separator setting of A = 148. Dysprosium and holmium K x rays are identified as well as a γ transition in ¹⁴⁷Dy. (b) Gamma rays coincident with protons, observed at the same mass separator setting. Transitions in ¹⁴⁷Dy and ¹⁴⁷Tb are indicated.



FIG. 5. (a) Proton spectrum observed at mass 150. For an explanation of Q_p and the arrows see caption of Fig. 2. The smooth curve is the result of a statistical model calculation (see text), normalized to the total number of observed protons. The contributions of the 6⁻ and 1⁺ states of ¹⁵⁰Tm are assumed to be 80 and 20 %, respectively (see Table II and text). (b) Protons observed in coincidence with positrons. The curve overlaying the data was obtained by smoothing the proton spectrum in part (a) and multiplying it by the ratio of the Fermi functions $f^+/(f^+ + f^{EC})$.

isomer in ¹⁵⁰Tm was also present but no isomeric transition was observed and no half-life determined.

The proton energy spectrum and decay curve measured in our experiment for A = 150 is shown in Fig. 5(a), and the decay curve in Fig. 3(b). A half-life of 2.15 ± 0.10 s was derived which is in agreement with the value of 2.2 \pm 0.2 s obtained from γ decay and reported in Ref. 32. Since the observed γ rays³² originated from the high-spin (6^{-}) isomer this indicates that the proton spectrum is dominated by decay from this state (unless the low-spin state has a similar half-life). Figure 5(b) shows the observed positron coincident proton spectrum. The curve in Fig. 5(b) is the smoothed, unbiased proton spectrum [Fig. 5(a)] multiplied by the ratio of the Fermi functions $f^+/(f^++f^{\text{EC}})$. It can be seen that the observed positron coincident spectrum reflects closely the expected spectrum, except perhaps, for some excess strength at about 3 MeV proton decay energy. The x-ray spectrum coincident with EC-delayed protons is shown in Fig. 6; the observed x-ray energies of 48.7, 55.6, and 57.3 keV are in excellent agreement with accepted K_{α} , $K_{\beta 1}$, and $K_{\beta 2}$ x-ray energies for Er of 48.8, 55.7, and 57.1 keV, respectively. This, in conjunction with the mass selection from the isotope separator, uniquely identifies the precursor as ¹⁵⁰Tm. Contrary to the A = 148 experiment, there was no indication of a second group of x rays associated with another proton emitter. A possible candidate would have been 150 Yb, but its calculated 16 cross section is only 0.7 mb compared to 22 mb for 150 Tm. Another discriminating factor was the expected half-life of 0.8 s for ¹⁵⁰Yb.³³ An isotope of such short half-life would have been strongly suppressed by the 8-s tape cycle employed



FIG. 6. Gamma rays in ¹⁴⁹Ho, and K x rays measured in coincidence with ¹⁵⁰Tm β -delayed protons; additional γ rays were observed at 1381 and 1552 keV.

Leve	ls in ¹⁴⁹ Ho	Proton branching ratios (%)					
J^{π}	(keV)	Experiment	Calc. 150 Tm(6 ⁻)	Calc. ¹⁵⁰ Tm(1 ⁺)	$[0.8(6^-) + 0.2(1^+)]$		
$\frac{1}{2}$ + $\frac{11}{2}$ -	0	78±4.7	92.3	46.3	83.1		
$\frac{3}{2}$ +	171.5	6.5±2.4	1.7	41.2	9.6		
$\frac{5}{2}$ +	515.4	4.3±1.9	1.8	11.5	3.7		
$\frac{7}{2}$ +	952.1	4.5±1.3	2.2	1.0	2.0		
$\frac{15}{2}$ + a	1380 ^b	5.4±2.7	1.4	0.0	1.1		
$\frac{15}{2}$ - a	1560 ^b	1.2±1.2	0.6	0.0	0.5		

TABLE II. Experimental and calculated β -delayed proton branches from ${}^{150}_{69}$ Tm to levels in ${}^{149}_{67}$ Ho. The last column represents a mixture of 80% 6⁻ decay and 20% 1⁺ decay.

^aSpin and parity assignments of these levels are uncertain; the statistical model calculations are, however, not sensitive to variations of ± 1 unit of angular momentum because of the low branching ratios.

^bReference 34.

in this experiment. From the coincident x-ray spectrum (Fig. 6) an upper limit of 5% of the Tm activity was set for the observation of a Yb proton precursor.

It can be seen in Fig. 6 that protons are observed in coincidence with several γ rays that can be identified as transitions in the daughter nucleus ¹⁴⁹Ho. Members of the high-spin band³⁴ (not shown in Fig. 6) terminating in the $\frac{11}{2}^{-}$ state and members of the low-spin band^{35,36} decaying to the $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ state were observed. As in the case of ¹⁴⁸Ho the favored decay path from the 6⁻/1⁺ states of the precursor leads to the $\frac{11}{2}^{-}/\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ ground states of the daughter nucleus. This results in small branches to excited states in ¹⁴⁹Ho and consequently in a low statistical accuracy for the observation of γ transitions from these states. The third column of Table II summarizes the observed relative proton branches to levels in ¹⁴⁹Ho.

As was already discussed for the decay of ¹⁴⁸Ho, the proton branching ratio can be obtained for a high-spin odd-odd precursor because almost all of its β -delayed γ decay proceeds *via* allowed GT transitions to high-spin states which ultimately concentrate their strength in one or two prominent transitions to the 0⁺ ground state of the even-even emitter. In ¹⁵⁰Er these transitions are the $3^- \rightarrow 0^+$ 1786.6-keV and the $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ 1579.0-keV γ rays. The ratio of the number of high-spin protons (it was assumed 80% of the observed protons are associated with the high-spin precursor as discussed below) to the number of 1787- and 1579-keV γ rays is $(1.2^{+0.2}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-2}$. The reason for the asymmetric errors is the same as for ¹⁴⁸Ho above.

Statistical model calculations were carried out to compare the experimental final state feedings with different assumptions about the precursor spin and parity. Again, because of the lack of theoretical or experimental information, the parameters of a single Gaussian distribution of the β strength were varied until a fit to the experimental proton spectrum was obtained. This is shown as the smooth curve in Fig. 5(a). The parameters E_0 and σ of the β strength distribution, and the $Q_{\rm EC}$ and S_p values used in the calculation were: $E_0 = 7.8$ MeV, $\sigma = 1.45$ MeV, $Q_{\rm EC} = 11.7$ MeV, and $S_{\rm p} = 3.0$ MeV. The value for $S_{\rm p}$ was obtained from Ref. 29. The $Q_{\rm EC}$ value calculated from the same table was 11.4 MeV; we found it, however, necessary to increase this value to 11.7 MeV to correctly match the upper flank of the proton spectrum [Fig. 5(a)].

It should be noted that the shape of the proton spectra in ¹⁴⁸Ho, ¹⁵⁰Tm, and ¹⁵²Lu are sensitive only to the portion of the β -strength function covered by the proton energy range. In all three cases the statistical accuracy of the experiments is, however, sufficient to exclude constant or monotonic strength functions because they do not reproduce the shape of the spectra or the observed final state branching ratios. Since the β -strength distribution outside the proton decay window is not known, and some decay strength may go to lower excitation energies in the emitter (which is not included in the β -strength functions used in the calculations of the proton branching ratio) the calculated proton branching ratios have to be considered as *upper* limits. However, in ¹⁴⁸Ho²⁵ and ¹⁵⁰Tm³² high spin β decays only levels above ~2.5 MeV are populated and the electron capture to positron ratios indicate considerable feeding of very high-lying states.

Since the branching ratio of 1.2% is relatively large we have studied the effects of varying different parameters of the statistical model on the calculated proton branching ratio and final state feedings. Several of the parameters of the statistical model are constrained by systematics $(Q_{\rm EC} \text{ and } S_{\rm p})$, others by the final state branching ratios (J^{π}) , the shape of the proton spectrum (S_{β}) , or the positron coincident to total proton ratio ($Q_{\rm EC}$ and $S_{\rm p}$). The strongest influence on the proton branching ratio (without altering the agreement with other experimental results and keeping in mind that the assumed β -strength functions result in upper limits to the proton branching ratio with respect to variation in S_{β}) is exhibited by the level density parameter a, and the gamma width. A reduction in the level density parameter increases the proton width in inverse proportion while a lowering of the γ width favors proton decay by reducing γ competition. We have found that in the case of 150 Tm reducing a to 70%, or Γ_{γ} by a factor of 10 achieves the same effect: it raises the proton branching ratio by an order of magnitude. To distinguish between the two possible alternatives it is worth remembering that a reduced level density has already been postulated as the underlying cause for the structure in the proton spectra of the even-odd N = 81 precursors.^{8,10} It therefore seems conceivable that this effect could also make itself felt in the odd-odd N = 81 precursors. By reducing a to 70% of the value of the "back shifted" Fermi level density,¹³ upper limits for the proton branching ratios of 1.2 and 1.9 % were calculated for the 6^- and 1^+ states of 150 Tm, respectively. More detailed results of the calculations for these two states are given in Table II. Comparing the experimental final state proton branching ratios with the results for 150 Tm(6⁻) it is evident that this calculation does not reproduce the feeding to the excited states in ¹⁴⁹Ho correctly; the same is true for the calculation starting with ${}^{150}Tm(1^+)$. A much better agreement with the experiment is, however, achieved with a 20% mixture of the 1^+ decay with 80% of the 6^- decay as shown in the last column of Table II. Under these conditions, the weighted proton branching ratio is 1.3% compared to 1.2% from the experiment. From the $6^{-}/1^{+}$ mixing ratio and the calculated $6^-/1^+$ proton branches, the production ratio R, for the high-spin to low-spin precursors was estimated to be: $R \approx (\frac{80}{20}) \times (\frac{1.9}{1.2}) \approx 6.3$. This value is consistent with the high-spin selectivity of heavy ion reactions and the nonobservation of an isomeric transition. It should be mentioned parenthetically that the proton branching ratios to the final states are not very sensitive to small variations in $Q_{\rm EC}, S_{\rm p}$, and the beta strength function.

C. Decay of ${}^{152}_{71}Lu_{81}$ to ${}^{151}_{69}Tm_{82}$

We previously reported²⁰ the first observation of ¹⁵²Lu and its decay to levels of ¹⁵²Yb. The half-life of the new isotope based on the deexcitation of levels in ¹⁵²Yb was 0.7 ± 0.1 s. From the observation of an allowed transition to a 5⁻ level it was concluded that the spin/parity of ¹⁵²Lu is 4⁻, 5⁻, or 6⁻. Due to a low counting rate, no evidence for a low-spin isomer was seen.

The β -delayed proton spectrum measured at A = 152 is shown in Fig. 7. From the decay curve, Fig. 3(c), a halflife of 0.6 ± 0.1 was extracted which is in agreement with the ¹⁵²Lu half-life determined from the γ -ray data.²⁰ The small predicted production cross section (0.4 mb)¹⁶ and the short half-life resulted in low counting statistics, and consequently less information could be obtained for ¹⁵²Lu compared to the other two odd-odd precursors discussed above. Copious amounts of α particles from ¹⁵²Er were produced *via* sequential β decay from ¹⁵²Yb which was synthesized in the reaction ⁹⁶Ru(⁵⁸Ni,2*p*). The ratio of α particles to protons was 250:1; during data analysis this strong α background was suppressed by well known ΔE -E particle identification techniques. Only Yb K x rays were observed in coincidence with the protons which uniquely established the Z of the ^{152}Lu precursor. No proton coincident γ rays in the daughter nucleus ¹⁵¹Tm were identified.



FIG. 7. Proton spectrum observed at mass 152. For an explanation of Q_p and the arrows see caption of Fig. 2. The smooth curve is the result of a statistical model calculation (see text), normalized to the total number of observed protons.

Statistical model calculations were again carried out with the reservations expressed for the other two odd-odd precursors. The β -strength distribution was adjusted to reproduce the wide asymmetric proton spectrum observed for 152 Lu. Values for $Q_{\rm EC} = 12.75$ MeV and $S_p = 2.02$ MeV were calculated from Ref. 29 and levels in ¹⁵¹Tm were taken from Ref. 37. If the assumption is made that the proton activity originates from a $\hat{6}^-$ state in ¹⁵²Lu, an upper limit for the proton branching ratio of 1.5% is calculated; for a 1^+ state the upper limit is 3.1%. Experimentally, the proton branching ratio was $(15\pm7)\%$ based on the observed intensity of the 1531-keV $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition in ¹⁵²Yb. Even though the ratio of the contributions of the high and low spin states of ¹⁵²Lu to the proton decay is not known it is evident that the calculated proton branching ratio is substantially smaller. Calculational variations of the $Q_{\rm EC}$ and $S_{\rm p}$ within the uncer-tainties of current mass tables are unable to increase this ratio sufficiently; however, as in the case of ¹⁵⁰Tm by either reducing Γ_{ν} a factor of 10, or reducing the level density parameter to 70% of the "back shifted" value the calculated upper limit of the proton branching ratio can be increased to 11%.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

For the first time a group of three odd-odd $N = 81 \beta$ delayed proton precursors was studied. The proton spectra are distinctly different from the even-odd N = 81 precursors in that they resemble more closely the statistical spectra typical for heavy nuclei far from shell closures. This is interpreted as the signature of a decay path that starts with a high-spin precursor and ends in the highspin ground state of the daughter nucleus, thereby sampling high excitation energies and level densities in the emitter. Such conditions justify the treatment of these decays in the framework of a statistical model. Lacking detailed information about the β -strength functions only upper limits for the proton branching ratios can be calculated. Final state branching ratios are, however, less sensitive to the shape of S_{β} and can be used to verify assumptions about the precursor spins. The observed half-lives for ¹⁵²Lu(6⁻) 0.7 s, ¹⁵⁰Tm(6⁻)

The observed half-lives for $^{152}Lu(6^-)$ 0.7 s, $^{150}Tm(6^-)$ 2.2 s, and $^{148}Ho(6^-)/^{148}Ho(1^+)$ 9.7/2.2 s are in good agreement with the predictions of the "Gross Theory of Beta Decay" (Ref. 33) of 0.5, 1.2, and 5.7 s, respectively. Half-lives obtained from proton decay agree with those obtained from γ -ray data taken in the same experiments; the half-life of ^{150}Tm disagrees with that available in the literature.²⁴ In the course of these experiments β -delayed proton emission in ^{148}Er was also identified.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Y. A. Ellis-Akovali, M. N. Rao, and D. M. Moltz for their participation in the data taking phase of this investigation. Technical support was provided by L. Archambault, R. Leres, and A. Wydler. The work at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is operated by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400.

*On leave from Soreq Nuclear Research Center, Yavne, Israel.

- ¹J. C. Hardy, in *Nuclear Spectroscopy and Reactions*, edited by J. Cerny (Academic Press, New York, 1974), Vol. 40C of Pure and Applied Physics, p. 417.
- ²J. Cerny and J. C. Hardy, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 27, 333 (1977).
- ³P. Hornshøj, K. Wilsky, P. G. Hansen, B. Jonson, and O. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. A187, 609 (1972).
- ⁴T. Elmroth, E. Hagberg, P. G. Hansen, J. C. Hardy, B. Jonson, H. Ravn, and P. Tiedemand-Peterson, Nucl. Phys. A304, 493 (1978).
- ⁵O. Klepper, T. Batsch, S. Hofmann, R. Kirchner, W. Kurcewicz, W. Reisdorf, E. Roeckl, D. Schardt, and G. Nyman, Z. Phys. A 305, 125 (1982).
- ⁶R. Kirchner, O. Klepper, W. Kurcewicz, P. O. Larsson, E. Roeckl, D. Schardt, G. Nyman, and P. Tidemand-Peterson, in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Gross Properties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations XI, Hirschegg, 1983, edited by H. Feldmeier, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt Report No. AED-Conf.-75-009-000, 1983 (unpublished), p. 60.
- ⁷K. S. Toth, D. M. Moltz, E. C. Schloemer, M. D. Cable, F. T. Avignone III, and Y. A. Ellis-Akovali, Phys. Rev. C 30, 712 (1984).
- ⁸D. Schardt, P. O. Larsson, R. Kirchner, O. Klepper, V. T. Koslowsky, E. Roeckl, K. Rykaczewski, P. Kleinheinz, and K. Zuber, in Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants, AMCO-7, Darmstadt, 1984, edited by O. Klepper (Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Darmstadt, 1984) (Schriftenreihe Wissenschaft und Technik), Vol. 26, p. 229.
- ⁹K. S. Toth, D. M. Moltz, E. C. Schloemer, M. D. Cable, F. T. Avignone, III and Y. A. Ellis-Akovali, in Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants, AMCO-7, Darmstadt, 1984, edited by O. Klepper (Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Darmstadt, 1984) (Schriftenreihe Wissenschaft und Technik), Vol. 26, p. 237.
- ¹⁰K. S. Toth, Y. A. Ellis-Akovali, J. M. Nitschke, P. A. Wilmarth, P. K. Lemmertz, D. M. Moltz, and F. T. Avignone, III, Phys. Lett. B 178, 150 (1986).
- ¹¹V. A. Karnaukhov, Nukleonika 19, 425 (1974).
- ¹²F. D. Becchetti, Jr. and G. W. Greenlees, Phys. Rev. 182, 1190 (1969).
- ¹³W. Dilg, W. Schantl, and H. Vonach, Nucl. Phys. A217, 269

(1973).

- ¹⁴J. C. Hardy, Phys. Lett. **109B**, 242 (1982).
- ¹⁵J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1952), p. 627.
- ¹⁶W. G. Winn, H. H. Gutbrod, and M. Blann, Nucl. Phys. A188, 423 (1972).
- ¹⁷J. M. Nitschke, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 138, 393 (1976).
- ¹⁸J. D. Molitoris and J. M. Nitschke, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 186, 659 (1981).
- ¹⁹J. M. Nitschke, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 206, 341 (1983).
- ²⁰K. S. Toth, D. C. Sousa, J. M. Nitschke, and P. A. Wilmarth, Phys. Rev. C 35, 310 (1987).
- ²¹C. A. Baglin, Nucl. Data Sheets 30, 1 (1980).
- ²²E. der Mateosian, Nucl. Data Sheets 48, 345 (1986).
- ²³K. S. Toth, C. R. Bingham, D. R. Zolnowski, S. E. Cala, H. K. Carter, and D. C. Sousa, Phys. Rev. C 19, 482 (1979).
- ²⁴E. Nolte, S. Z. Gui, G. Colombo, G. Korschinek, and K. Eskola, Z. Phys. A **306**, 223 (1982).
- ²⁵K. S. Toth, D. C. Sousa, J. M. Nitschke, and P. A. Wilmarth, Phys. Rev. C 37, 1196 (1988).
- ²⁶K. S. Toth, A. E. Rainis, C. R. Bingham, E. Newman, H. K. Carter, and W.-D. Schmidt-Ott, Phys. Lett. 56B, 29 (1975).
- ²⁷K. S. Toth, D. M. Moltz, Y. A. Ellis-Akovali, C. R. Bingham, M. D. Cable, R. F. Parry, and J. M. Wouters, Phys. Rev. C 25, 667 (1982); C. F. Liang, P. Paris, P. Kleinheinz, B. Rubio, M. Piiparinen, D. Schardt, A. Plochocki, and R. Barden, Phys. Lett. B 191, 245 (1987).
- ²⁸G. D. Alkhazov, A. A. Bykov, V. D. Wittmann, V. E. Starbodubsky, S. Yu. Orlov, V. N. Panteleyev, A. G. Polyakov, and V. K. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. A438, 482 (1985).
- ²⁹S. Liran and N. Zeldes, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 17, 431 (1976).
- ³⁰A. H. Wapstra and G. Audi, Nucl. Phys. A432, 55 (1985).
- ³¹E. Nolte, G. Colombo, S. Z. Gui, G. Korschinek, W. Schollmeier, P. Kubik, S. Gustavsson, R. Geier, and H. Morinaga, Z. Phys. A 306, 211 (1982).
- ³²K. S. Toth, D. C. Sousa, J. M. Nitschke, and P. A. Wilmarth, Phys. Rev. C 35, 620 (1987).
- ³³K. Takahashi, M. Yamada, and T. Kondoh, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 12, 101 (1973).
- ³⁴J. Wilson, S. R. Faber, P. J. Daly, I. Ahmad, J. Borggreen, P. Chowdhury, T. L. Khoo, R. D. Lawson, R. K. Smither, and J. Blomqvist, Z. Phys. A 296, 185 (1980).
- ³⁵D. Schardt, P. O. Larsson, R. Kirchner, O. Klepper, V. T.

Koslowsky, E. Roeckl, K. Rykaczewski, K. Zuber, N. Roy, P. Kleinheinz, and J. Blomqvist, in Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants, AMCO-7, Darmstadt, 1984, edited by O. Klepper (Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Darmstadt, 1984) (Schriftenreihe Wissenschaft und Technik), Vol. 26, p. 222.

- ³⁶K. S. Toth, Y. A. Ellis-Akovali, F. T. Avignone III, R. S. Moore, D. M. Moltz, J. M. Nitschke, P. A. Wilmarth, P. K. Lemmertz, D. C. Sousa, and A. L. Goodman, Phys. Rev. C 32, 342 (1985).
- ³⁷P. Kleinheinz, B. Rubio, M. Ogawa, M. Piiparinen, A. Plochocki, D. Schardt, R. Barden, O. Klepper, R. Kirchner, and E. Roeckl, Z. Phys. A **323**, 705 (1985).