Damping of high-spin single-particle strengths in ²⁰⁹Pb

C. P. Massolo,* F. Azaiez,[†] S. Galès, S. Fortier, E. Gerlic, J. Guillot, E. Hourani, and J. M. Maison

Institut de Physique Nucléaire, 91406 Orsay Cedex, France

(Received 28 April 1986)

The stripping reaction (α , ³He) at 183 MeV incident energy has been used to study the neutronparticle response function on a ²⁰⁸Pb target nucleus up to 15 MeV excitation energy. Detailed spectroscopic information has been obtained on the low-lying neutron states (0–4 MeV) through angular distribution measurements and standard distorted wave Born approximation analysis. A large enhancement of cross section is observed between 5 and 15 MeV. It appears as a broad bump superimposed on a continuous background which has been analyzed within the framework of the plane wave breakup model. The excitation energies, angular distributions, and strengths of these highlying transitions suggest that they arise from neutron stripping to high-spin outer subshells, i.e., $2h_{11/2}$, $1k_{17/2}$, and $1j_{13/2}$ in ²⁰⁹Pb. The results are compared with predictions from the core-particle model and from the quasiparticle-phonon model.

I. INTRODUCTION

A large amount of theoretical and experimental work has been devoted to the study of shell model singleparticle (hole) states in the nuclei adjacent to doubly magic ²⁰⁸Pb. In particular, the fragmentation of high-spin orbitals of ²⁰⁹Pb is a matter of considerable interest. Most of the existing experimental data on neutron particle strength functions come from earlier (d,p) (Ref. 1) and $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ (Ref. 2) studies, carried out at low bombarding energy, where the fragmentation of the $1j_{15/2}$ valence state has been observed. Above 4 MeV excitation energy, nearly no experimental information is available.

The one-nucleon transfer reaction approach has been quite successful in probing high-lying proton^{3,4} and neutron⁵ strength distributions. Recently, the residual energy spectra from the ^{116,118,120}Sn(α , ³He) reaction at 183 MeV incident energy⁵ revealed pronounced and systematic structures up to fairly high excitation energies (5-10 MeV) which arise from neutron stripping to high-spin orbitals belonging to the next major shell above the Fermi sea. We report here on a similar study of high-spin neutron states using the $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reactions at 183 MeV incident energy on a ${}^{208}\text{Pb}$ target. The strong selectivity of this reaction for high-l transfer and the relatively good energy resolution are well suited to the investigation of the single-particle response function up to high excitation energies (~ 15 MeV). After a brief description of the experimental method (Sec. II), the results and analysis are presented in Sec. III. Along with the detailed information on valence-particle state fragmentation, our results provide evidence on single-particle strength distribution from the second neutron shell above the Fermi sea, i.e., the hikf shell with neutron number N > 184. In Sec. IV, the empirical determination of the high-spin states fragmentation will be compared to the predictions from the coreparticle and the quasiparticle-phonon nuclear models.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The experiment was performed with an α -particle beam of 183 MeV delivered by the K220 Orsay synchrocyclotron. We used an isotopically enriched 208 Pb target (99.9%) of 10 mg/cm². With the beam transport system set in an achromatic mode, we obtained a current of 50–400 nA and an overall energy resolution of 200 keV, mainly determined by the target thickness. The emerging particles were detected by two multiwire proportional chambers placed at the focal plane of the large magnetic spectrometer Montpellier.⁶ Following the position detectors, two plastic scintillators (3 and 5 mm thick) were used to provide the time-of-flight and energy loss signals necessary for a clean identification of the ³He particles. The absolute cross sections were determined using the



FIG. 1. ³He energy spectrum from the ²⁰⁸Pb(α , ³He)²⁰⁹Pb reaction. The numbers at the top of the peaks refer to ²⁰⁹Pb levels. They are listed in Table I. The horizontal scale indicates the excitation energy in the ²⁰⁹Pb nuclei. Hatched peaks originate from the (α , ³He) reaction on ¹²C and ¹⁶O impurities present in the target. The solid line indicates the background line shape calculated with the plane-wave breakup model

	E_x (1)	MeV)			<i>C</i> ² S			
No.	Present work	Other works ^a	1	J^{π}	Present work	Other works ^b	Theoretical predictions	
1	0.00	0.00	4	$\frac{9}{2}$ +	0.69	0.94	0.92 ^d	
2	0.78	0.779	6	$\frac{11}{2}$ +	0.98	1.05	0.94 ^d	
3	1.43	1.424	7	$\frac{15}{2}$ -	0.59	0.57	0.82 ^d 0.70 ^e	
4	2.52	2.493	4	$\frac{7}{2}$ +	0.93	1.05 ^c	0.94 ^d	
5	3.05	3.052	7	$\frac{15}{2}$ -	0.054	0.062	0.13 ^e	
6	3.59	3.556	7	$\frac{15}{2}$ -	0.037	0.032	0.07 ^e	
7	3.73	3.716	7	$\frac{15}{2}$ -	0.035	0.028	0.05°	
			(7)	$(\frac{15}{2})^{-}$	(0.064)			
8	3.96		(8)	$(\frac{17}{2})^+$	(0.038)		0.03 ^e	
			(7)	$(\frac{15}{2})^{-}$	(0.037)			
9	4.22		(8)	$(\frac{17}{2})^+$	(0.023)		0.03 ^e	

TABLE I. Results from the analysis of the ²⁰⁸Pb(α , ³He) reaction to low-lying states (0–4 MeV).

^aExcitation energies have been taken from Ref. 1. Only the levels having an energy correspondence with those observed in the present $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ work are given.

^bSpectroscopic factors reported in Ref. 2.

^cFrom Ref. 1.

^dTheoretical predictions from Ref. 19.

^eFrom Ref. 22.

known values of the target thickness and of the spectrometer solid angle. The error in the absolute cross-section scales is estimated to be of the order of $\pm 10\%$. An excitation energy range of 30 MeV has been explored using three successive exposures at different magnetic fields. The excitation energy of the observed levels has been determined from calibration of the detectors using the known energies of the low-lying states of ²⁰⁹Pb and some strong states from neutron stripping reactions on ¹²C and ¹⁶O measured with a Mylar target. A complete angular distribution was measured from 2.5° to 15° laboratory angle in 2° steps.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The ²⁰⁹Pb energy spectrum from the (α , ³He) reaction taken at a laboratory angle of 8° is presented in Fig. 1. One observes the low-lying levels with a very strong population of the $1j_{15/2}$ main fragment, thus demonstrating the selectivity of the $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ process for large l values (l=9 at 10 MeV). The fragmented components of the $1j_{15/2}$ subshell are clearly seen in the energy range 3-4 MeV (peaks labeled 5, 6, and 7 in Fig. 1). The nuclear levels of ²⁰⁹Pb up to 4.2 MeV excitation energy are listed in Table I, where the spectroscopic strengths for the observed states, deduced from the DWBA analysis (see Sec. IV), are compared to previous neutron stripping experiments^{1,2} and theoretical predictions.^{19,22} From 4.5 to 15 MeV excitation energy, the spectrum is dominated by a wide bump located around 10.7 MeV. As will be shown later, this large enhancement of cross section arises from neutron stripping to high-spin outer subshells, namely $2h_{11/2}$, $1j_{13/2}$, and $1k_{17/2}$.

The high energy part (15-30 MeV) of the spectrum does not exhibit pronounced structures. The shape and magnitude of the cross section in this energy range are very similar to those obtained from the study of the same reaction, i.e., $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ on ${}^{90}\text{Zr}$, ${}^{120}\text{Sn}$, and ${}^{197}\text{Au}$ targets.⁷ The weak dependence of the high-energy continuum versus the atomic number A of the targets leads us to assume that the main part of the cross section comes from the breakup of the α particle. Wu et al.⁸ and Budzanowski et al.⁹ have shown that breakup processes give an important contribution to the reaction cross section of fast α particles scattered on heavy-weight nuclei. A simple Serber model^{10,11} has been used to evaluate the direct breakup part of the reaction cross section. The theoretical prediction was normalized to the experimental data at laboratory angle $\theta = 8^{\circ}$ and at high excitation energy ($E_x = 28$ MeV) to minimize the contribution from other mechanisms. The result of this simple plane-wave breakup (PWBU) model is shown as a solid line in Fig. 1 and was used in order to deduce the cross section of the high-lying states. However, a sizable fraction of the cross section located between 15 and 25 MeV excitation energy (see Fig. 1) cannot be explained by the elastic breakup process. Our estimate of the background cross section does not take into account the inelastic breakup processes in which a subset of the projectile (in this case the ³He particle) interacts strongly with the target while the remaining fragment acts as a spectator. Therefore, no attempt was made to unravel the remaining part of the observed continuum into inelastic breakup and stripping components.

The DWBA calculations were carried out using the code DWUCK4.¹² The optical parameters employed for generating distorted waves in the entrance (α) channel were the fixed geometry "deep family" combination derived from the elastic scattering analysis on the ²⁰⁸Pb tar-

TABLE II. Optical model potential parameters.^a

Channel	<i>V</i> ₀ (MeV)	<i>r</i> ₀ (fm)	<i>a</i> ₀ (fm)	<i>W</i> ₀ (MeV)	r'0 (fm)	a'0 (fm)	V _{so} (MeV)	r _{so} (fm)	a _{so} (fm)	<i>r_C</i> (fm)
α	155	1.28	0.677	23.2	1.48	0.73				1.4
³ He	115	1.18	0.86	17.2	1.55	0.77				1.4
				Bound sta	te paramet	ers ^b				
p	V _n	1.25	0.65		-		λ:25	1.25	0.65	

^aPotential of the form $U(r) = -V_0 f(x) - iW_0 f(x') + V_c$, where

 $f(x_i) = \left| 1 + \exp\left[\frac{r - r_i A^{1/3}}{a_i}\right] \right|^{-1}$

and v_C is the Coulomb potential of a uniform sphere.

^bStrength (V_n) adjusted to reproduce empirical separation energies. The binding potential is of the form

$$U_{n}(r) = -V_{n} \left[f(r, r_{0}A^{1/3}, a_{0}) - \frac{\lambda}{45.2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d_{r}} f(r, r_{so}A^{1/3}, a_{so}) LS \right],$$

where $f(r, r_i A^{1/3}, a_i)$ is the Woods-Saxon form.

get at 140 MeV incident energy.¹³ The ³He exit channel was described using the set of parameters from Djaloeis *et al.*¹⁴ which were found to reproduce the elastic scattering results at 130 MeV on ⁹⁰Zr, ¹²⁰Sn, and ²⁰⁸Pb targets quite well. Standard energy separation procedure and geometry (r = 1.25 fm, a = 0.65 fm) have been used to evaluate the bound-state neutron form factor. The optical potential parameters employed in the DWBA analysis are listed in Table II.

The calculations were carried out in the local zero range (LZR) approximation, without nonlocality corrections. The comparison between the experimental data and DWBA predictions, for the low-lying well-resolved states, are shown in Fig. 2. Excellent agreement is found between the shape of the DWBA curves and the experimental angular distributions for angular momentum transfers ranging from l = 4 to l = 8. Moreover, exact finite range (EFR) calculations were performed for the same transitions using the code MARY written by Chant and Graig.¹⁵ We find that the LZR and EFR calculations produce nearly identical shapes. Furthermore, the ratio of cross sections EFR/LZR is state and energy independent to within 10% for the chosen set of optical potentials. Therefore, ZR-DWBA calculations were performed by using a ZR normalization constant N = 36 which is consistent with the result of EFR calculations and agrees with previous determinations of the volume integral $D_0 = 290 - 310 \text{ MeV fm}^{3/2}$ for the (α ,t) and (α , ³He) reactions.16,17

The observed gross structure around 10.7 MeV being located well above the neutron threshold ($Sn \simeq 3.94$ MeV), a series of calculations were made using the resonance method^{12,18} in order to evaluate the form factor of the unbound neutrons.

In the excitation energy range between 4.5 and 15 MeV, the spectra were divided into adjacent slices of 500 keV width. Typical angular distributions of slices are shown in Fig. 3. Very good agreement is found between the experimental results and DWBA calculations if one assumes a mixed transfer l=5 and l=7 in the excitation energy range 4.5-6.5 MeV. Although the observed difference between l=7 and l=8 transfers is rather small, an l=7



FIG. 2. Angular distributions from the ²⁰⁸Pb(α , ³He) reaction to low lying states in ²⁰⁹Pb. The solid and dashed curves are the LZR-DWBA predictions for the indicated *l* values. Each final state is identified by its quantum numbers *nlj* and its excitation energy. The circled numbers refer to the labeling adopted for the nuclear levels in ²⁰⁹Pb (see Table I). Vertical bars are statistical errors only.

transfer best fits the slices located between 6.5 and 9 MeV while from 11 to 15 MeV, the l=8 transfer better reproduces the trend of the experimental data. In between these regions (9–11 MeV), the best fits of the angular distributions are obtained assuming a mixed l=7 and l=8transfer. We also show in Fig. 3 the angular distribution of the underlying background for a typical energy region. The error bars on the extracted differential cross sections are statistical errors plus a systematic error introduced by the choice of the normalization procedure of the background yield to the PWBU model prediction at 8°. In other words, the choice of another forward angle (4°, for example) will lead to a slightly different background yield. This procedure leads to an additional systematic error of the order of 10%.



FIG. 3. Typical angular distribution of slices for three typical excitation energy regions from the 208 Pb(α , ³He) reaction. The solid and dashed curves are the LZR-DWBA predictions for the indicated *l* values. The unbound state form factor has been calculated using the resonance method (see the text). The dot-dashed curves correspond to the subtracted background theoretically predicted by the PWBU model. The error bars take into account statistical and systematic errors (see Sec. III).

IV. STRENGTH DISTRIBUTIONS AND COMPARISON TO NUCLEAR MODELS

A. Low and intermediate excitation energy region (0-4.5 MeV)

The deduced spectroscopic strengths for levels lying between the ground state and 4.2 MeV excitation energy are displayed in Table I. They are in good agreement with previous determination from an $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ experiment carried out at lower incident energy (58 MeV) reported by Tickle and Gray,² although different optical potential parameters and a different ZR normalization constant have been used. The relatively low spectroscopic strength observed for the $2g_{9/2}$ ground state is probably due to the mismatch of the $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction for low-*l* transfer. Another important fragment l = 4 ($2g_{7/2}$) state is found at 2.5 MeV, in agreement with previous (d,p) experiments.¹

We compare our results for the first four low-lying states with theoretical predictions from the quasiparticlephonon nucleon model (QPM) recently reported by Stoyanov *et al.*¹⁹ The calculations—in which a quasiparticlephonon interaction based on the microscopic structure of the 208 Pb phonons is used—are in good agreement with the experiment.

At 3.05, 3.59, and 3.73 MeV excitation energies, three fragments of the $1j_{15/2}$ single neutron state are clearly seen. The fragmentation of the $1j_{15/2}$ shell model state based on a weak-coupling model calculation has been predicted by Hamamoto²⁰ and Bes and Broglia.²¹ Both calculations predict that more than 35% of the total $1j_{15/2}$ strength is to be found between 3.2 and 3.5 MeV excitation energy. This is far more than what is experimentally found. We have displayed in Table I the coreparticle model prediction reported by Mukherjee *et al.*,²² where the coupling of particle motion with 3⁻, 5⁻, 2⁺, 4⁺, and 6⁺ states of the ²⁰⁸Pb core has been considered. Our results are in reasonable agreement with this theoretical prediction.

Two levels at 3.96 and 4.22 MeV are clearly seen in our experiment. Their excitation energies and the spectroscopic strengths that we find assuming an l=8 transfer are in very good agreement with the theoretical prediction of the core-particle model. Nevertheless, the similarity between the angular distributions for l=7 and l=8 transfer does not allow a definite l assignment for these two levels.

B. The high excitation energy region (4.5-15 MeV)

Hartree-Fock calculations predict the following highspin subshells in this energy range: $2h_{11/2}$, $1j_{13/2}$, $1k_{17/2}$, $2h_{9/2}$, and $3f_{7/2}$. Due to the matching conditions, the expected cross section for the $2h_{9/2}$ and $3f_{7/2}$ subshells are one order of magnitude smaller than the others around 10 MeV excitation energy. In the following we will only consider the $2h_{11/2}$, $1j_{13/2}$, and $1k_{17/2}$ subshells.

A mixing of l=5 and l=7 strengths is observed from 4.5 to 6.5 MeV excitation energy. Although in a limited amount (around 20 to 30%), the addition of the l=5component clearly improves the fits in this energy region,

	Theoretical predictions				This work				
		a				d	d		
nlj	ΔE_x	E (MeV)	σ (MeV)	C^2S	ΔE_x	C^2S	ΔE_x	C^2S	
$2h_{11/2}$	2.0-13.0	6.8	1.88	0.94	4.5-6.5	0.30 ^b	4.5-15	e	
$1k_{17/2}$	3.0-15.0	8.9	2.26	0.93	9-15	0.62 ^c	4.5-15	1.15	
1j _{13/2}	3.0-15.0	9.6	1.72	0.94	6.5-11	1.79°	4.5-15	5.43	

TABLE III. Characteristics of the high-lying neutron strengths in ²⁰⁹Pb. Comparison with the prediction from the quasiparticlephonon nucleon model.

^aSee Ref. 19.

^bThe analysis is made using a mixture of $l = 5 (2h_{11/2})$ and $l = 7 (1j_{15/2})$ in the quoted energy range.

^cIdem assuming a mixture of l = 7 (1 $j_{13/2}$) and l = 8 (1 $k_{17/2}$) in the corresponding energy regions.

^dHere, the analysis was made assuming a unique l transfer for the slices located in the indicated energy range.

^eA pure l = 5 transfer will not reproduce the experimental angular distributions above 6.5 MeV (see Fig. 3).

but only 30% of the sum rule is found for the $2h_{11/2}$ neutron orbital. It should be mentioned for completeness that a pure l=5 transfer does not reproduce the experimental trend. Concerning the l=7 component, since the analysis of the low-lying levels has shown that 72% of the $1j_{15/2}$ strength is located below 4.5 MeV, the remaining part of the strength must be spread over higher excitation energy. If one assumes that the l=7 transfer corresponds to the $1j_{15/2}$ orbit, then the sum-rule limit is reached at 6.5 MeV. But very probably, a large overlap of both $1j_{15/2}$ and $1j_{13/2}$ strengths takes place in this energy region.

From 6.5 to 9 MeV the best fits are found assuming a pure l=7 transfer, while at higher excitation energy, up to 11 MeV, a mixing of l=7 and l=8 strengths is observed. The total amount of $1j_{13/2}$ strength that we find in the energy range 6.5–11 MeV is much larger than the sum-rule limit (1.79 instead of 1) within the experimental cross-section uncertainties (20%).

Between 11 and 15 MeV excitation energy, a pure l=8 transfer reproduces the experimental trend well. Our results show that the l=8 strength is spread over a wide energy range (9–15 MeV), where 62% of the strength is found. If one considers a pure l=8 or l=7 transfer, the total amount of strength located between 4.5 and 15 MeV largely exceeds the sum-rule limit (see footnote d in Table III).

Theoretical predictions for the high-spin high-lying subshells $(2h_{11/2}, 1j_{13/2}, \text{ and } 1k_{17/2})$, using the quasiparticle-phonon nuclear model and the strength function method have been reported recently by Vdovin and Stoyanov.¹⁹ The results of the calculated strength functions for the high-lying single-neutron states in ²⁰⁹Pb are shown in Table III and Fig. 4(a). Their calculations show that all the subshells are strongly fragmented.

Our results agree with the theoretical pattern of the quasibound part of the single-neutron scheme, i.e., two subshells with high l numbers located close to each other and a subshell with a lower l number several MeV below them [see Fig 4(a)]. The strength distributions of the high-l subshells are predicted to be strongly overlapping, as is found experimentally. This conclusion is confirmed by the comparison made in Fig. 4(b) between the experimental spectrum (background subtracted) taken at 4° and

the corresponding theoretical spectrum obtained by adding the $2h_{11/2}$, $1k_{17/2}$, and $1j_{13/2}$ strength distributions and converting them through the DWUCK calculation into a double differential cross section (mb/sr MeV). The experimental distribution is in good agreement with this builtup theoretical spectrum and demonstrates the strong overlap between the $2h_{11/2}$, $1j_{13/2}$, and $1k_{17/2}$ neutron strength functions in that energy range. The damping of these high-lying orbitals seems to be much larger than



FIG. 4. (a) Strength functions of the high-lying neutron subshells $2h_{11/2}$, $1j_{13/2}$, and $1k_{17/2}$ in ²⁰⁹Pb (taken from Ref. 19). (b) Comparison between the experimental cross section for the high-lying states in ²⁰⁹Pb and the predicted theoretical cross section obtained by the conversion of the theoretical strength functions shown in part (a) into double differential cross sections and summing up all the individual contributions.

predicted theoretically, although the overlapping regions and the similarity between l=7 and l=8 angular distributions does not allow for the extraction of individual strength distributions from the experimental data.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The investigation of a large excitation energy range with adequate energy resolution and good statistics has allowed a rather complete description of both low- and high-lying neutron states in ²⁰⁹Pb.

The $(\alpha, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction appears to be a good tool for nuclear studies of high-spin orbitals. Our results confirm the core-particle predictions for the fragmentation of the $1j_{15/2}$ neutron state, and two low-lying components of the $1k_{17/2}$ strength are observed between 3 and 4 MeV.

The large enhancement of cross section observed from 5 to 15 MeV excitation energy arises from neutron stripping to the second shell above the Fermi sea, namely to the $2h_{11/2}$, $1j_{13/2}$, and $1k_{17/2}$ orbitals. The $1k_{17/2}$ neutron subshell is the single-particle orbital with the highest spin ever observed in a one-nucleon transfer reaction. The

^{*}On leave from the University of la Plata, la Plata, Argentina.

- [†]Present address: Centre d'Etudes de Bordeaux-Gradignan, Le Haut Vigneau, 33170 Gradignan, France.
- ¹D. G. Kovar, Nelson Stein, and C. K. Bockelman, Nucl. Phys. A231, 266 (1974).
- ²R. Tickle and W. S. Gray, Nucl. Phys. A247, 187 (1975).
- ³S. Galés, C. P. Massolo, S. Fortier, E. Gerlic, J. Guillot, E. Hourani, J. M. Maison, J. P. Schapira, and B. Zwieglinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1593 (1982).
- ⁴S. Galés, C. P. Massolo, S. Fortier, J. P. Schapira, P. Martin, and V. Comparat, Phys. Rev. C 31, 94 (1985).
- ⁵S. Galés, C. P. Massolo, F. Azaiez, S. Fortier, E. Gerlic, J. Guillot, E. Hourani, J. M. Maison, J. P. Schapira, and G. M. Crawley, Phys. Lett. **144B**, 323 (1984).
- ⁶M. Morlet and A. Willis, Institut de Physique Nucléaire— Orsay Internal Report IPNO-PhN-7915, 1979; J. Guillot, thesis, Institut de Physique Nucléaire—Orsay, 1982.
- ⁷S. Galés, in Proceedings of the International School on Nuclear Structure, Alustha, 1985, edited by V. G. Soloviev and Y. P. Popov, p. 51.
- ⁸J. R. Wu, C. C. Chang, and H. D. Holmgren, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 1013 (1978).
- ⁹A. Budzanowski, G. Bauer, C. Alderliesten, J. Bojowald, C.

strength distribution of the high-*l* subshells are strongly overlapped. The high-lying states are spread over a large energy range and are highly damped, indicating a high density of adjacent complicated states and a strong coupling with the grass states.

In the near future, exclusive experiments which look, for example, for the neutron decay of the high-lying states, will certainly lead to decisive progress on the understanding of the very large spreading observed experimentally as compared to the quasiparticle-phonon predictions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Ch. Stoyanov, Dr. A. Vdovin, and Dr. V. V. Voronov for many stimulating discussions and communication of their results prior to publication, and Dr. H. Langevin-Joliot for her help in the DWBA analysis of the high-lying subshells. One of us (C.P.M.) would like to acknowledge the Joliot-Curie Foundation for financial support during the course of this work.

Mayer Böricke, W. Delert, P. Turek, F. Rösel, and D. Trautmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 635 (1978).

- ¹⁰R. Serber, Phys. Rev. 72, 1008 (1947).
- ¹¹N. Matsuoka, A. Shimizu, K. Hosono, T. Saito, H. Saraguchi, A. Goto, M. Kondo, and F. Ohtani, Nucl. Phys. A311, 173 (1978).
- ¹²P. D. Kunz, University of Colorado, code DWUCK (unpublished).
- ¹³D. A. Goldberg et al., Phys. Rev. C 7, 1938 (1973).
- ¹⁴A. Djaloeis et al., Nucl. Phys. A306, 221 (1978).
- ¹⁵N. S. Chant and J. N. Graig, Phys. Rev. C 14, 1763 (1976).
- ¹⁶E. Friedman, A. Moalem, D. Suragui, and S. Mordechai, Phys. Rev. C 15, 1604 (1977).
- ¹⁷A. Moalem, Nucl. Phys. A289, 45 (1977).
- ¹⁸C. M. Vincent and H. T. Fortune, Phys. Rev. C 2, 782 (1970).
- ¹⁹C. H. Stoyanov, A. I. Vdovin, and V. V. Voronov, Ref. 7, p. 27; A. I. Vdovin and C. H. Stoyanov, Dubna Joint Institute for Nuclear Research Report No. E 4-85-352, 1985; and private communication.
- ²⁰I. Hamamoto, Nucl. Phys. A126, 545 (1969); A141, 11 (1970).
- ²¹D. Bes and R. Broglia, Phys. Rev. C 3, 2389 (1971).
- ²²P. Mukherjee, I. Mukherjee, and R. Majumdar, Nucl. Phys. A294, 73 (1978).