Brief Reports

Brief Reports are short papers which report on completed research or are addenda to papers previously published in the Physical Review. A Brief Report may be no longer than $3\frac{1}{2}$ printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract.

Level structure and E2 strength from the ${}^{31}P(n, \gamma)^{32}P$ reaction

T. J. Kennett, W. V. Prestwich, and J. S. Tsai Department of Physics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada (Received 15 May 1985; revised manuscript received 27 June 1985)

An investigation of the thermal neutron radiative capture reaction ${}^{31}P(n, \gamma) {}^{32}P$ has yielded data with high statistical precision. Ninety-eight transitions have been assigned to a derived decay scheme and the neutron separation energy was found to be 7935.70(4) keV. Three $E2$ transitions have been identified with average strength $\overline{B(E2)}$ = 4.2 $\pm \frac{1}{2}$, e^2 fm⁴/MeV. This value is consistent with the Axel-Brink hypothesis.

An investigation of the electric quadrupole strength throughout the entire mass region has been underway here for some time. A favorable case, in which three such transitions can arise, is the ${}^{31}P(n, \gamma) {}^{32}P$ reaction, a reaction that has not been studied in detail since the early work of Lycklama and Kennett¹ and Van Middelkoop.² The observation of E2 transitions requires spectra with high statistical precision; consequently, such measurements afford an opportunity to obtain high quality level structure information. Here we report upon markedly improved level data for $32P$ and examine the significance of the E2 strengths observed.

The measurements were conducted at the McMaster University reactor³ using a pair spectrometer⁴ with a resolution of 3.⁸ keV for 5 MeV photons. A 0.5 ^g phosphorus sample was counted for a period of 300 h, which yielded a spectrum in which a 1 percent transition at 5 MeV had an area of 17000 counts. The energy and relative intensity calibration was obtained through the mixed source technique in which the extensively studied $^{14}N(n, \gamma)^{15}N$ reaction was used.^{5,6} More complete details of the experimental procedures used and the spectral analysis methods employed have been previously reported.^{7,8} The system used precluded examination of the low energy portion of the spectrum, and hence only transitions above 1.7 MeV are reported. Sample hydroscopy combined with the excitation via inelastic neutron scattering of the 2233 keV state in ^{31}P resulted in strong and masking interference from 2215 to 2240 keV. The former problem made it necessary to obtain the absolute calibration of intensity through the use of a mixture of melamine $(C_3H_6N_6)$ and AlPO₄.

Table I presents the 98 transitions observed with intensity greater than 0.05% and placed within a derived level scheme, a number double that observed previously.^{1,1} (Some 45 transitions remain unassigned, accounting for 2 percent of the strength.) Photon energies have been corrected for recoil and the error includes that from both scale calibration and the ${}^{15}N$ separation energy.⁹ Based upon the 172(6) mb ${}^{31}P$ (Ref. 10) and 77(2) mb ${}^{14}N$ (Ref. 11) cross sections and the efficiency calibration, the intensity uncertainty is 5 percent, in addition to the spectral statistical factors.

The level energies were obtained through an iterative procedure based upon the method of maximum likelihood. In this treatment, a level must have at least two transitions coupling it with other states to be considered. The results obtained are given in Table II, along with associated information. Based upon the mixed source calibration, the intensity leaving the compound state appears to be completely accounted for, and if the low lying levels are examined most of the intensity required to feed the ground state is seen to be present. The quantity $\sum_{i} E_{i}I_{i}/Q$ yields a value of 98% when corrected for the low energy cutoff, well within error of the expected value of 100%. The recommended level energies¹² are also tabulated. The present results are seen to have a precision increase of almost an order of magnitude over the previous level energies,¹² with the former showing a trend to lower values. The neutron separation energy of 7935.70(4) keV is slightly lower than the earlier value of 7936.7 (6) keV (Ref. 12) and the recent estimate of 7936.7(6) keV (Ref. 12) and the r
7936.5(5) given by Wapstra and Audi.¹³

The target spin of $J_f = \frac{1}{2} +$ coupled to an s-wave neutron leads to spins of 0^+ or 1^+ for the capture state.¹⁰ Transitions leading to final states characterized by $J=3^+$ can be interpreted as being $E2$ in nature, provided that the spin assignment is certain and the p -wave capture contribution is negligible. Levels that have been identified as $3⁺$ on the basis of a critical assessment of reported data for $32P$ (Ref. 12) are given in Table III, along with the observed primary γ -ray energy and intensity. The fractional contribution from p -wave capture in the region of neutron energies extending over the area of peak integration is calculated to be 1.6×10^{-7} . This contribution may therefore be neglected. The strongest of these $E2$ transitions, that at 6181 keV which populates the 1755 keV state, is shown in the spectral region illustrated in Fig. l.

Macklin and Mughabghab¹⁴ estimate a 103 mb direct capture contribution to the 172 mb cross section. Estimates of the direct capture $E2$ contribution¹⁵ show this to be negligible in this case because of the very small d -wave spectroscopic factors.¹² We therefore interpret these transitions as arising from resonance capture, which we attribute to the remaining 69 mb. An estimate of the $J=1^+$ channel con-

TABLE I. Transitions assigned to the ${}^{31}P(n, \gamma) {}^{32}P$ reaction. Photon energies have been corrected for nuclear recoil. =

Energy (keV)	Intensity $(\%)$	Assignment	Energy (keV)	Intensity $(\%)$	Assignment
1739.53(6)	1.37(7)	7936 – 6196	4026.84(22)	0.06(1)	$5350 - 1323$
1778.94(7)	1.10(6)	$4009 - 2230$	4035.21(15)	0.09(1)	$4548 - 513$
1806.21(18)	0.40(2)	7936 - 6130	4200.24(9)	3.4(2)	$5350 - 1149$
1873.44(4)	1.9(1)	$7936 - 6062$	4359.98(3)	1.09(6)	$5509 - 1149$
1941.13(3)	2.6(1)	$3264 - 1323$	4364.74(2)	4.7(2)	$4877 - 513$
2099.37(12)	0.36(2)	$2177 - 78$	4455.68(7)	0.21(1)	5779 - 1323
2114.55(2)	7.5(4)	$3264 - 1149$	4491.36(2)	2.2(1)	7936 - 3444
2151.54(2)	7.3(4)	$2230 - 78$	4552.45(22)	0.05(1)	$5701 - 1149$
2156.83(2)	8.6(4)	7936 - 5779	4580.43(12)	0.10(1)	$5093 - 513$
2426.40(3)	1.83(9)	7936 — 5509	4629.56(4)	0.50(3)	$5779 - 1149$
2431.62(10)	0.30(2)	$4661 - 2230$	4632.36(9)	0.15(1)	4711 – 78
2514.95(4)	1.05(5)	$4692 - 2177$	4661.49(2)	3.7(2)	4661 — 0
2579.34(6)	0.45(2)	$2657 - 78$	4671.73(2)	12.8(6)	7936 — 3264
2586.13(2)	6.0(3)	7936 – 5350	4691.97(15)	0.08(1)	4692 — 0.
2609.13(13)	0.19(1)	$5350 - 2740$	4739.44(7)	0.19(1)	$6062 - 1323$
2657.49(3)	1.79(9)	$2657 - 0$	4799.36(7)	0.21(1)	$4877 - 78$
2686.28(12)	0.19(1)	$4009 - 1323$	4877.16(3)	0.70(4)	$4877 - 0$
2712.73(8)	0.31(2)	$4036 - 1323$	4912.85(3)	0.77(4)	$6062 - 1149$
2740.41(4)	0.63(3)	$2740 - 0$	4932.0(15) ^a	0.03(2)	$7936 - 3005$
2842.90(11)	0.19(1)	$7936 - 5093$	5072.33(13)	0.09(1)	$5072 - 0$
2860.01(9)	0.23(1)	$4009 - 1149$	5182.91(13)	0.09(1)	$5696 - 513$
2863.33(2)	2.4(1)	7936 - 5072	5195.43(2)	1.37(7)	7936 - 2740
2886.25(2)	4.3(2)	$4036 - 1149$	5266.00(2)	3.2(2)	$5779 - 513$
2931.20(15)	0.14(1)	$3444 - 513$	5278.25(2)	1.25(6)	$7936 - 2657$
3058.38(2)	7.2(4)	7936 — 4877	5349.57(7)	0.19(1)	$5350 - 0$
3120.07(7)	0.30(2)	$5350 - 2230$	5432.67(11)	0.10(1)	6582 – 1149
3122.77(23)	0.08(1)	$4877 - 1755$	5509.08(27)	0.04(1)	$5509 - 0$
3132.31(9)	0.20(1)	$5350 - 2218$	5549.51(9)	0.14(1)	$6062 - 513$
3186.05(2)	2.1(1)	$3264 - 78$	5617.99(21)	0.05(1)	$6130 - 513$
3224.90(6)	0.32(2)	7936 - 4711	5623.31(9)	0.13(1)	$5701 - 78$
3243.67(9)	0.19(1)	$7936 - 4692$	5683.65(4)	0.40(2)	$6196 - 513$
3264.30(7)	0.26(1)	$3264 - 0$	5700.79(3)	0.67(3)	$5779 - 78$
3274.32(2)	5.4(3)	7936 – 4661	5706.03(2)	2.7(1)	$7936 - 2230$
3338.49(11)	0.15(1)	$4661 - 1323$	5717.58(4)	0.42(2)	7936 - 2218
3366.33(3)	0.75(4)	$3444 - 78$	$5758.9(5)^a$	0.014(3)	7936 – 2177
3387.94(10)	0.16(1)	7936 - 4548	5778.76(3)	0.93(5)	$5779 - 0$
3444.34(3)	0.77(4)	3444 — U	5983.90(24)	0.05(1)	$6062 - 78$
3483.55(9)	0.18(1)	$5701 - 2218$	6062.27(4)	0.44(2)	$6062 - 0$
3512.15(4)	0.45(2)	$4661 - 1149$	6118.24(8)	0.14(1)	$6196 - 78$
3518.75(4)	0.46(2)	$5696 - 2177$	6129.66(8)	0.12(1)	$6130 - 0$
3522.92(2)	14.4(7)	$4036 - 513$	6181.07(19)	0.05(1)	7936 - 1755
3549.15(3)	0.92(5)	$5779 - 2230$	6196.38(4)	0.37(2)	6196 — 0
3554.69(4)	0.52(3)	$4877 - 1323$	6503.95(5)	0.28(1)	6582 – 78
3561.02(13)	0.12(1)	4711 - 1149	6581.86(6)	0.21(1)	$6582 - 0$
3900.16(2)	19.2(10)	7936 - 4036	6613.21(14)	0.08(1)	7936 – 1323
3923.13(2)	1.75(9)	$5072 - 1149$	6786.27(2)	15.5(8)	7936 – 1149
3926.75(2)	2.5(1)	7936 - 4009	7422.97(2)	4.9(3)	7936 – 513
3930.80(3)	0.70(4)	$4009 - 78$	7857.68(3)	0.91(5)	7936 — 78
3957.59(3)	0.56(3)	$4036 - 78$	7935.75(4)	0.40(2)	$7936 - 0$
4008.86(3)	0.81(4)	$4009 - 0$			

'These transitions, which have intensities below the 0.05%'intensity cutoff imposed when constructing this table, have been included since they have been identified as having an E2 nature.

tribution to this component may be obtained from consideration of the intensity distribution of the magnetic dipole transitions. Six transitions populate 0^+ or 2^+ states which can only have as their genesis the 1^+ state. The average strength of this class will be denoted by $\langle \Gamma_{\gamma}/E^3 \rangle$ + while transitions to final states with $J=1^+$, which may be populated by either reaction channel, will have average strength given by $\langle \Gamma_{\gamma}/E^3 \rangle$. The ratio $F = \langle \Gamma_{\gamma}/E^3 \rangle_{+}/$ $(\Gamma_{\mathbf{v}}/E^3)$ is then a direct measure of the $J=1^+$ channel contribution. The observed value $F = 1.1$ is consistent with

CHANNEL NUMBER

FIG. 1. A 240 channel segment of the 8192 $^{31}P(n, \gamma)^{32}P$ spectrum is shown. Here the 6062 keV transition has an intensity of 0.44%, while that at 6181 keV, which is an $E2$ transition, exhibits an intensity of about ¹ in 2000 capture events. The contaminant chlorine is indicated by the presence of its very intense (20%) 6111 keV transition. This, along with the other contaminants, iron, titanium, and aluminum were identified by their strong transitions and corrections were made for their spectral contributions. The gain is 0.85 keV/channel,

the hypothesis that resonance capture proceeds entirely through the $J=1^+$ channel. The influence of Porter-Thomas¹⁶ fluctuations renders this value imprecise; however, because of the low level density in this mass region, it is highly likely that only one state contributes to the thermal neutron capture cross section. We therefore conclude that the resonance capture is primarily due to a $J=1^+$ bound state.

To calculate the strength function, estimates of the radiative width and level spacing must be available. Only one $J=1^+$ resonance, that at 156.9 keV, has been identified.¹² The latest measurements¹⁴ give a width of 3.3 eV, implying 3.2 eV at thermal energy. An analysis of four resonances in 28 Si and five in 32 S yields an average width of 2.9 eV. We have adopted 3.1 eV as the width estimate for the following calculation. The spacing of $J=1^+$ levels at the separation energy was calculated to be 124 keV, using the level density energy was calculated to be 124 keV, using the level density

formula of Gilbert and Cameron.¹⁷ Table III summarizes the results of the analysis, wher the estimates of $\overline{B(E2)}$ are obtained directly from the partial widths, the level density and the transition energy as described on Lone.¹⁸

These results may be tested for consistency with the Axel-Brink hypothesis.¹⁹ As described in more detail elsewhere, 20 the E2 strength function is derived from the systematic properties of the isoscalar and isovector giant quad-

TABLE III. Electric quadrupole strengths in ^{32}P .

	Photon energy				
Level energy	Expected	Observed	Intensity	$B(E2)$ (e ² fm ⁴ /MeV)	
(keV)	(keV)	(keV)	(%)	Expt.	Calc.
1754.6	6181.09(15)	6181.07(15)	0.052(5)	4.9(5)	3.6
2177.1	5758.60(6)	5758.9(5)	0.014(3)	1.9(4)	3.8
3004.8	4930.9(5)	4932.0(15)	0.03(2)	9(6)	4.3
			Average	$4.2 \pm \frac{1}{2}$ §	

rupole resonances, taking into account exchange effects on the energy-weighted sum rule for the isovector component. The average transition probability calulated in this way is given by $\overline{B(E2)}$ = 3.9 e²fm⁴/MeV. This value is consistent with the observation of $4.2^{+1.6}_{-2.5}$ e²fm⁴/MeV. The quoted average is midway between the unweighted. mean, the estimate for error-free Porter-Thomas samples, and the

'H. Lycklama and T. J. Kennett, Can. J. Phys. 45, 3039 (1967).

- 2G. Van Middelkoop, Nucl. Phys. A97, 209 {1967).
- ³L. Nicol, A. M. Lopez, A. Robertson, W. V. Prestwich, and T. J. Kennett, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 81, 263 (1970).
- 4A. Robertson, G. C. Cormick, T. J. Kennett, and W. V. Prestwich, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 127, 373 {1975).
- 5T. J. Kennett, W. V. Prestwich, M. A. Islam, and S. A. Kerr, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 147, 539 (1980).
- 6T. J. Kennett, W. V. Prestwich, R. J. Tervo, and J. S. Tasi, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 215, 159 (1983).
- 7T. J. Kennett, W. V. Prestwich, and J. S. Tsai, Can. J. Phys. 62, 861 (1984).
- T. J. Kennett, M. A. Islam, and W. V, Prestwich, Phys. Rev. C 30, 1840 (1984).
- ⁹E. R. Cohen and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 215, 153 (1983).
- ¹⁰S. F. Mughabghab, M. Divadeenam, and N. E. Holden, Neutron.

weighted average reflecting experimental uncertainties. The uncertainty reflects the 50% confidence limits for a χ^2 distribution with three degrees of freedom.

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and to the Province of Ontario for financial assistance.

Cross Sections (Academic, New York, 1981), Vol. 1, Part A.

- ¹¹M. A. Islam, T. J. Kennett, and W. V. Prestwich, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 188, 243 (1981).
- t2P. M. Endt and C. Van der Leun, Nucl. Phys. A310, 323 (1978).
- ¹³A. H. Wapstra and G. Audi, Nucl. Phys. **A432**, 64 (1985).
- ¹⁴R. L. Macklin and S. F. Mughabghab, Brookhaven National Laboratory Report No. BNL-NCS-36283, 1985.
- ¹⁵W. V. Prestwich and T. J. Kennett, Phys. Rev. C 30, 392 (1984).
- ¹⁶C. E. Porter and R. G. Thomas, Phys. Rev. 104, 483 (1956).
- 17A. Gilbert and A. G. W. Cameron, Can. J. Phys. 43, 144 (1965).
- ¹⁸M. A. Lone, Neutron Capture Gamma Ray Spectroscopy, edited by R. E. Chrien and W. R. Kane (Plenum, New York, 1979).
- ¹⁹P. Axel, Phys. Rev. 126, 671 (1962); D. M. Brink Ph.D. thesis, Oxford University, 1955.
- OW. V. Prestwich, M. A. Islam, and T. J. Kennett, Z. Phys. A 315, 103 (1984).