Statistical model analysis of cross sections of (n,t) and $(n,{}^{3}\text{He})$ reactions induced by 14.6 MeV neutrons on target nuclei with $A = 27$ to 59

S. M. Qaim

Institut für Chemie 1 (Nuklearchemie), Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH, 5170 Jülich, Federal Republic of Germany

H. V. Klapdor and H. Reiss*

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, 6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany

(Received 24 March 1980)

Total cross sections of 14.6 MeV neutron induced reactions (n, n') , (n, p) , (n, d) , (n, a) , (n, t) , and $(n, \{3H}e)$, on the target nuclei in the mass region $A = 27$ to 59, have been calculated (for the first stage decay) by the Hauser-Feshbach method using a unified set of optical model parameters. The strong reaction channels are described well by the calculations. The (n,t) reaction on target nuclei in the $(2s, 1d)$ shell seems to proceed predominantly via statistical processes; for heavier nuclei nonstatistical contributions. become important. In the case of $(n,{}^{3}He)$ reactions, the few experimentally measured cross sections are much higher than those obtained by theoretical calculations.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS (n, x) cross sections at 14.6 MeV; Hauser-Feshbach analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

~a

In the interactions of 14 MeV neutrons with nuclei, radiative neutron capture has relatively low probability. On the other hand, reactions such as (n, n') , (n, p) , (n, d) , and (n, α) as well as processes involving the emission of two nucleons, such as $(n, n'p)$ and $(n, n' \alpha)$ in the lighter mass region and $(n, 2n)$ in the heavier mass region constitute relatively strong reaction channels. Reactions such as (n, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ are also energetically possible but in the medium and heavy mass regions are rather rare.

Fast neutron radiative capture is a special case and can be well treated by the direct-semidirect theory (cf. Refs. 1-3). As far as (n, n') , (n, p) , (n, α) , and $(n, 2n)$ reactions are concerned, extensive statistical model calculations, in several cases using the Hauser-Feshbach method,⁴ have been carried out (cf. Refs. 5-18), and in the medium mass region the agreement between experimental data and theoretical calculations is perimental data and theoretical calculations is
generally good. Precompound effects^{11-1s} have also been included in some calculations. In recent years such calculations have achieved considerable sophistication (cf. Ref. 18) and the available codes can provide with reasonable accuracy the desired cross section data on those reactions, especially with regard to fusion reaction technology (FRT). The $(n, n'b)$ and $(n, n' \alpha)$ reaction cross sections have been estimated by two-step Hauser-Feshbach calculations (cf. Ref. 19), though as yet it is. difficult to comment whether the calculation would reproduce the excitation function successfully, since the available experimental data base at

energies other than 14 MeV is rather meager. 20

The (n, t) cross section for very light nuclei is exceptionally large due to deuteron and triton cluster formation which facilitates direct reactions such as deuteron "pickup" and triton "knockout." The occurrence of such direct processes has been demonstrated b'y analysis of the emitted triton spectra (cf., e.g., Refs. 21-23). For (n, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ reactions in the medium and heavy mass regions, however, no information regarding their mechanisms is available. The reaction (n, t) in which, as in $(^{3}He, p)$, both $\Delta T = 0$ and $\Delta T = 1$ are possible, is more complicated and in general more difficult to interpret 24 than, e.g., the (p, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ two-nucleon transfer reactions, for which only $\Delta T = 1$ is possible. The cross sections for the (n, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ reactions are very low and can be currently measured only by the activation technique. In both those reactions most of the transitions lead to low-lying discrete levels of the re sidual nucleus. sidual nucleus.
In the literature, although some older reports²⁵⁻²⁷

on estimating cross sections of some isolated (n, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ reactions using the simple evaporation theory exist, any systematic and detailed analysis was not attempted. This was partly due to the fact that for such rare reactions even no good activation cross section data existed. In recent years, systematic experimental studies in the medium and heavy mass regions on 14.6 MeV neutron induced (n, t) reactions have been carried out at Jülich $^{28-30}$ and Debrecen $^{31, 32}$ and on $(n, {^3{\rm He}})$ out at Jülich $^{28-30}$ and Debrecen $^{31,\,32}$ and on $(n,{}^3{\rm He})$ out at Jülich²⁸⁻³⁰ and Debrecen^{31, 32} and on (n, ³He
reactions at Jülich.^{33, 34} Those studies have provided now some accurate cross section data to make theoretical analysis worthwhile. In an at-

tempt to analyze their (n, t) data, Sudar and Csikai³² recently attempted a Hauser-Feshbach analysis for the target nuclei 27 Al, 32 S, 55 Mn, 59° Co, and 58° Ni. We have performed complete Hauser-Feshbach calculations on the (n, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ reactions on the target nuclei in the mass

region $A = 27$ to 59 using a unified set of optical model parameters and without introducing any normalization factors. Preliminary results were already described 35 ; now we give a detailed report on our calculations.

II. CALCULATIONS

The Hauser-Feshbach expression^{36, 37} of the total cross section for the excitation of a state at excitation energy E_B^* and with spin I_B , via the reaction $A(a, b)B$, reads

$$
\sigma(E_B^*, I_B) = \sum_{J}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sigma_{\text{form}}(J) G(E_B^*, I_B, J) / g(J) \,. \tag{1}
$$

In Eq. (1), $\sigma_{\text{form}}(J)$ describes the cross section for the formation of a compound nucleus with spin J:

$$
\sigma_{\text{form}}(J) = \pi \lambda_1^2 \frac{2J + 1}{(2I_A + 1)(2I_a + 1)} \sum_{S_1 = |I_A - I_a|} \sum_{i_1 = |I_A - I_a|}^{I_A + I_a} \sum_{i_1 = |J - S_1|}^{J + S_1} T_{I_1}(E_a).
$$
 (2)

The partial width for the decay of a compound nucleus state with spin J to a state of the residual nucleus at an excitation energy E_B^* and with spin I_B reads

$$
G(E_B^*, I_B, J) = \sum_{S_2 = |I_B - I_b|}^{I_B + I_b} \sum_{\substack{I_2 = |S_2 - J| \\ I_2 = |S_2 - J|}}^{S_2 + J} T_{I_2}(E_B^*).
$$
\n(3)

The total width $g(J)$ for the decay b' + B' is split into two parts, of the compound nucleus into all open channels (denoted by primes)

$$
g(t) = \sum_{b'l_2} \sum_{s_2=1}^{l_2 J} \sum_{s_1=1}^{l_2 J} \sum_{s_2=1}^{l_2 J} \sum_{l_1=1}^{s_2 l_2 J} \left[\sum_{s \atop s_1=1}^{s_2 l_1} T_{l_2} + \int_{s \atop s_1=1}^{s} T_{l_2} + \int_{s \atop s_1=1}^{s} T_{l_2} \rho(E_{B}^*, I_B) dE_{B}^* \right],
$$
\n(4)

t

thus replacing the summation over transmission coefficients T_t of discrete levels by an integration over level densities $\rho(E^*,I)$ at the beginning E_c of the "continuum region. "

The program calculates transmission coefficients T_t via an optical model without spin-orbit coupling. All $T_l > 10⁻³$ were taken into account. In the summation over J in Eq. (1) no limiting critical angular momentum J_{max} —as necessary in heavy ion reactions^{38, 39} — is used. For each reaction product all the low-lying levels $40,41$ extending up to an excitation energy beyond which the level density could be treated statistically were considered. In general, this covered an excitation energy region up to about 3 MeV.

The energy levels in the continuum were obtained using the composite level density formula as given by Gilbert and Cameron⁴² in the following form (see also Ref. 43):

$$
\rho(E^*, I) = \omega(E^*) F(E^*, I). \tag{5}
$$

In this expression the spin dependence is contained in the second factor

$$
F(E^*,I) = \frac{2I+1}{2\sigma^2} \exp[-(I+\frac{1}{2})^2/2\sigma^2],
$$

while the first factor $\omega(E^*)$ contains the energy dependence [apart from the slight energy dependence of the spin-cutoff parameter σ in $F(E^*, I)$:

$$
\omega_{1,2}(E^*) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T} \exp[(E^* - E_0)/T], \\ \exp(2\sqrt{aU})/12\sqrt{2}a^{1/4}U^{5/4}\sigma. \end{cases}
$$

The "constant temperature" approximation ω_1 is used for low excitation energies $E^* \leq E_x$, while the Fermi gas expression is applied for E^* > E_* . The energy U denotes the excitation energy E^* minus the pairing energy Δ . The values of E_x, E_0 , the nuclear temperature T at the excitation energy $E^* = E_x$, as well as the pairing energy were obtained from Ref. 42. The level density parameter a was either taken from Ref. 42 or, in cases where no value was available, the approximation a \sim A/7.5 was employed. The spin-cutoff parameter σ was obtained from the formula given in Ref. 42 but using the corrected numerical factor of Ref. 44:

$$
\sigma^2 = 0.1459\sqrt{aU}A^{2/3}.
$$
 (6)

The Q values of the reactions were taken from the

	Real			Imaginary					
Particle	Potential (MeV)	Radius (fm)	Diffusivity (fm)	Potential and type	(MeV)	Radius (fm)	Diffusivity (fm)	Ref.	
\boldsymbol{n}	$56.3 - 0.32E -$ $24(N - Z)/A$	$1.17A^{1/3}$	0.75	$13.0 - 0.25E - 12(N - Z)/A^{a}$	SW-DERIV	$1.26A^{1/3}$	0.58	46	
Þ	$54.0 - 0.32E +$ $24(N-Z)/A^+$ $0.4Z/A^{1/3}$	$1.17A^{1/3}$	0.75	$11.8 - 0.25E + 12(N - Z)/A^{a}$	SW-DERIV	$1.32A^{1/3}$	$0.51+$ $0.7(N - Z)/A$	46	
d	$81.0 - 0.22E +$ $2Z/A^{1/3}$	$1.15A^{1/3}$	0.81	$14.4 + 0.24E$	SW-DERIV	$1.34A^{1/3}$	0.68	47	
t	152.0	$1.24A^{1/3}$	0.67	25.4	SW	$1.44A^{1/3}$	0.82	48	
3 He	158.1	$1.24A^{1/3}$	0.56	24.5	SW	$1.35A^{1/3}$	1.07	49	
α	183.7	$1.40A^{1/3}$	0.56	26.6	SW	$1.40A^{1/3}$	0.56	50	

TABLE I. Optical model parameters used for calculation of transmission coefficients.

In case of negative values, a figure of zero is used. All E values are in MeV and refer to c.m. system.

mass tables of Wapstra and Gove. 45

The used optical model parameters for neutrons and protons were taken from the work of Becchetti and protons were taken from the work of Becc.
and Greenlees,⁴⁶ for deuterons from Perey and and Greenlees,⁴⁶ for deuterons from Perey and
Perey,⁴⁷ for tritons from Ragaini *et al.*,⁴⁸ for ³He
from Luetzelschwab and Hafele,⁴⁹ and for α part from Luetzelschwab and Hafele, 49 and for α partifrom Luetzelschwab and Hafele,⁴⁹ and for α par
cles from Bock *et al*.⁵⁰ The data for tritons and ³He particles, which are emitted with low energies, are not known with the same accuracy as for the other lighter particles. The selected optical model parameters for tritons and ³He particles are listed in Table I together with the parameters for other particles.

The computation of Eq. (1) was carried out on an IBM $370/168$ computer using the program HELGA IBM 370/168 computer using the program HEL
(cf. Ref. 43), originally written by Penny.⁵¹ It

allows inclusion of up to ten binary scattering and reaction channels $A(a, b)B$. Cross sections were calculated for the discrete levels fed and for the levels in the continuum in energy intervals of 0.1 MeV up to the maximum excitation energy of the final nucleus. En the calculation of the production cross sections, emission of further nucleons from the produced nucleus was not considered. This should not cause any errors in the calculated (n, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ production cross sections because of the highly negative Q values for these reactions. Calculations by the program CASCADE (written by Puhlhofer 52) show that the (n, α) production cross section is also not too much affected; however, as a result of further nucleon and α emission the real production cross

	$\sigma(n, n'x)$ mb	$\sigma(n, px)$ mb		$\sigma(n, \alpha x)$ mb	
Target nuclide	theor.	theor.	$exp.$ ^a	theor.	$exp.$ ^a
$Al-27$	676	166	75 ± 4	248	121 ± 6
$Si-28$	390	588	226 ± 30	166	$[250 \pm 50]$ ^b
$P-31$	766	180	$97 + 15$	224	118 ± 15
$S-32$	440	430	254 ± 25	316	$[300 \pm 60]$ ^b
$K-39$	747	221	354 ± 54	324	$[200 \pm 40]$ ^b
$K-41$	1222	60	51 ± 8	38	39 ± 8
$Ca-40$	904	268	$[350 \pm 70]$ ^c	162	138 ± 20
$Sc-45$	1277	88	57 ± 6	9	56 ± 6
$Ti-46$	1137	200	166 ± 15	66	$[100 \pm 20]$ ^b
$Cr-50$	915	524	$[277 \pm 21]$ ^d	30	$[121 \pm 8]$ ^d
$Fe-54$	916	529	332 ± 30	73	100 ± 10
$Co-59$	1510	23	73 ± 10	15	30 ± 2

TABLE II. Cross sections of some strong reaction channels induced by 14.6 MeV neutrons.

 $^{\text{a}}$ All the experimental values have been taken from the compilation of Qaim (Ref. 57) unless otherwise stated; they refer to the activation cross sections of the (n, p) and (n, α) reactions, i.e., without any contributions from $(n, n'p)$ and (n, pn) , or $(n, n' \alpha)$ and (n, α_n) reactions.

^b From systematics of (n, α) reaction cross sections (Ref. 55).

 c From systematics of (n, p) reaction cross sections (Ref. 56).

 d From the compilation of Paulsen (Ref. 54).

sections might be lower than the calculated values given in Table II by up to ~50% for (n, n') and by \sim 30% for (n, p) reactions.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cross sections were calculated for (n, n') , (n, p) , (n, d) , (n, α) , (n, t) , and $(n, {}^{3}He)$ reactions on target nuclei in the mass region $A = 27$ to 59. Calculations were performed for incident neutron energies of 14.0, 14.2, 14.4, 14.6, 14.8, and 15.0 MeV. The calculated cross sections for the main reaction channels (n, n') , (n, p) , and (n, α) showed little dependence on small variations in the incident neutron energy. This observation is in agreement with the experimental results. Since the maxima of the excitation functions of those reactions occur around 14 MeV, in the energy region 14 to 15 MeV their reaction cross sections are relatively independent of the incident neutron energy. The cross section for the relatively weaker (n, d) reaction channel showed some increase over the energy range of 14 to 15 MeV; those data will be discussed in detail elsewhere. In the case of the weak reaction channels (n, t) and $(n, {}^{3}He)$, on the other hand, due to the highly negative ^Q values a strong dependence of the cross section on small changes in the incident neutron energy was observed. We limit ourselves to a discussion of the results of theoretical calculations at 14.⁶ MeV since most of the experimental in. formation has been reported for this neutron energy.

The calculated cross sections for (n, n') , (n, p) , and (n, α) reactions are given in Table II. For those reactions the major part of the cross section is constituted by transitions to the continuum. The (n, n') cross sections obtained by the present theoretical calculations are of the order of those expected from the systematics (cf. Refs. 6 and 53). In the case of (n, p) and (n, α) reactions, a more stringent test is possible since many of the reaction cross sections have either been measured experimentally or can be deduced accurately from the systematics. A comparison of the results of theoretical calculations with the experimental $data₅₄₋₅₇$ for those two reactions is given in Table II. With a few exceptions, our calculated data are in agreement with the experimental data within a factor of 2. This can be considered as rather good since the experimental value gives the summed cross section to final states below the particle threshold while the calculated value corresponds to the total cross section, and in view of the fact that in the present calculations unified set of optical model and level density parameters have been used. This observation adds confidence to our calculations on the weaker reaction channels

 a All values taken from the works of Qaim and Stöcklin (Refs. 29 and 30) unless otherwise stated.

 $^{\rm b}$ Values from Qaim (Refs. 33 and 34). \textdegree Values from Csikai et al. (Refs. 31 and 32).

described below.

The calculated cross sections for (n, t) reactions are given in Table III and are compared with those obtained experimentally or from the systematics. For (n, t) reactions on target nuclei in the mass region $A = 27$ to 40 the theoretical calculations and experimental cross sections agree within a factor of 2 to 3. ^A similar conclusion was reached by Sudar and Csikai³² in the case of 27 Al and 32 S. For nuclei with mass number above 40 our calculated (n, t) cross sections are significantly lower than the experimental values. Sudar cantly lower than the experimental values. Sudar
and Csikai,³² on the other hand, found good agree ment between experimental and theoretical (n, t) cross sections for 55 Mn, 59 Co, and 58 Ni as well. It should, however, be mentioned that Sudar and Csikai³² used level density parameters in the neutron channel which they determined from a fit of the calculated (n, α) excitation function to the experimental data. Since small variations in a in the neutron channel influence all the reaction cross sections appreciably, we did not do such a data fitting. Our calculations suggest that the emission of tritons from nuclei in the $(2s, 1d)$ shell proceeds predominantly via statistical processes. In heavier nuclei some nonstatistical processes may also be involved. Such behavior is in agreement with that found in (t, p) reactions on
Ni isotopes.⁵⁸ Ni isotopes.⁵⁸

The data for the $(n, {}^{3}He)$ reactions are also given. in Table III. The few experimental cross section values existing over the mass range of $A = 27$ to 59 are much higher than those obtained by theoretical calculations. Further experimental data are needed to describe the mechanism of (n, \n^3He) reactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

One of us (S.M.Q.) thanks Professor G. Stöcklin for his active support to initiate the experimental

- *Present address: BBC, Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany.
- ¹G. E. Brown, Nucl. Phys. 57, 339 (1964).
- ${}^{2}G$. Longo and F. Saporetti, Nucl. Phys. A127, 503 (1969).
- F. Cvelbar, A. Hudoklin, M. V. Mihailovic, M. Najzer, and M. Petrisic, Nucl. Phys. A130, 413 {1969).
- W. Hauser and H. Feshbach, Phys. Rev. 87, 366 (1952).
- ⁵M. Uhl, Acta Phys. Austriaca 31, 245 (1970).
- ⁶M. Uhl, Nucl. Phys. A184, 253 (1972).
- ⁷I. Wagner and M. Uhl, Acta Phys. Austriaca 37, 283 $(1973).$
- ⁸H. L. Pai, R. L. Clarke, and W. G. Cross, Nucl. Phys. A164, 526 (1971).
- ⁹A. E. Savelév, Yad. Fiz. 15, 272 (1972) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15, 154 (1972)].
- A. E. Savelev, I. K. Averiyanov, and B. M. Dzyuba, Yad. Fiz. 15, ⁷⁴⁴ {1972) [Sov.J. Nucl. Phys. 15, ⁴¹⁶ (1972)].
- B. P. Bayhurst, J. S. Gilmore, R.J. Prestwood, J.B. Wilhelmy, N. Jarmie, B. H. Erkkila, and R. A. Hardekopf, Phys. Rev. C 12, 451 (1975).
- ¹²L. R. Veeser, E. D. Arthur, and P. G. Young, Phys. Rev. C 16, 1792 (1977).
- ¹³I. Kumabe, J. Nucl. Sci. Tech. 14, 460 (1977).
- 4S. M. Grimes, R. C. Haight, and J. D. Anderson, Phys. Rev. C 17, 508 (1978).
- ¹⁵S. M. Grimes, R. C. Haight, K. R. Alvar, H. H. Barschall, and R. R. Borchers, Phys. Rev. C 19, 2127 (1979) .
- ¹⁶A. Chatterjee and S. K. Gupta, Phys. Rev. C 18, 2118 (1978).
- $^{17}E.$ Holub and N. Cindro, Z. Phys. $A289$, 421 (1979).
- 18P. G. Young and E. D. Arthur, Report No. LA-6947 (1977) .
- 19 C. Y. Fu, in Proceedings of the Symposium on Neutron Cross Sections from 10 to 40 MeV, Brookhaven, 1977, Report No. BNL-NCS-50681, p. 543.
- 20 S. M. Qaim, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Neutron Physics and Nuclear Data for Reactors and other Applied Purposes, Harwell, 1978 (NEA, Paris, 1979), p. 1088.
- 21 D. Rendic, in Few Body Problems, Light Nuclei and Nuclear Interactions (Gordon and Breach, N. Y., 1968), Vol. 2, p. 485.
- ²²D. Miljanic, V. Valkovic, D. Rendic, and M. Furic, Nucl. Phys. A156, 193 (1970).
- ²³J. P. Perroud and Ch. Sellem, Nucl. Phys. A227, 330 (1974) .
- 24 P. E. Hodgson, Nuclear Reactions and Nuclear Structure (Clarendon, Oxford, 1971).
- 25A. P. Baerg and G. C. Bowes, Can. J. Chem. 39, ⁶⁸⁴ {1961).

work on fast neutron-induced low-yield nuclear reactions at Jülich and for his constant interest over the years. Acknowledgment is made to Professor A. Fässler for his valuable counsel in the early phase of these calculations. Mr. H. Ollig and Mr. B. Kurze helped appreciably in computational work.

- ²⁶J. Csikai, Acta Phys. Hung. 21, 229 (1966).
- 27 J. Csikai, K. Jost, and A. Szalay, Acta Phys. Hung. 24, 199 (1968).
- 28 S. M. Qaim, R. Wölfle, and G. Stöcklin, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Chemical Nuclear Data: Measurements and Applications, Canterbury, 1971, (BNES, London, 1971), p. 121.
- 29 S. M. Qaim and G. Stöcklin, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 35, 19 (1973).
- 30 S. M. Qaim and G. Stöcklin, Nucl. Phys. A257, 233 $(1976).$
- 31T. Biro, S. Sudar, Z. Miligy, Z. Dezso, and J. Csikai, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 37, ¹⁵⁸³ (1975).
- 3²S. Sudar and J. Csikai, Nucl. Phys. A319, 157 (1979).
- 33S. M. Qaim, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 36, 239 (1974).
- ³⁴S. M. Qaim, Radiochim. Acta 25, 13 (1978).
- $35S$. M. Qaim and R. Wölfle, in Proceedings of the International Conference on the Interactions of Neutrons with Nuclei, Lowell, 1976, CONF-760715-P2, p. 1339.
- 36 T. D. Thomas, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 18, 343 (1968).
- 37 E. Vogt, in Advances in Nuclear Science, edited by M. Baranger and E. Vogt (Plenum, New York, 1968), Vol. 1.
- 8H. V. Klapdor, invited review talk presented at the International Conference on Nuclear Interactions, Canberra, Australia, 1978: Lecture Notes in Physics {Springer, Berlin, 1979), Vol. 92, p. 125.
- ³⁹H. V. Klapdor, contribution to XXIX Conference on Nuclear Spectroscopy and Structure of the Nucleus, Riga, 1979, Report No. MPI-H-1979-V10, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR (Isv. Akad. Nauk SSSR to be published).
- 40_P. M. Endt and C. van der Leun, Nucl. Phys. A310, 1 $(1978).$
- ⁴¹Nucl. Data Sheets 17, 485 (1976); 19, 291 (1976); 19, 445 (1976); 20, 327 (1977); 21, 323 (1977); 22, 1 (1977); 23, 1 (1978); 23, 455 (1978); 24, 1 (1978); 24, 175 (1978); 25, 235 {1978).
- ⁴²A. Gilbert and A. G. W. Cameron, Can. J. Phys. 43 , 1446 (1965).
- 43H. V. Klapdor, H. Reiss, and G. Rosner, Nucl. Phys. A262, 157 (1976).
- 44U. Facchini and E. Saetta-Menichella, En. Nucl. 15, 54 (1968).
- ⁴⁵A. H. Wapstra and N. B. Gove, Nucl. Data Tables A9, 265 (1971).
- 46 F. D. Becchetti, Jr. and G. W. Greenlees, Phys. Rev. 182, 1190 (1969).
- $47C$. M. Perey and F. G. Perey, Phys. Rev. 132, 755 (1963).
- 48 R. C. Ragaini, J. D. Knight, and W. T. Leland, Phys. Rev. C 2, 1020 (1970).
- ⁴⁹J. W. Luetzelschwab and J. C. Hafele, Phys. Rev. 180, 1023 (1969).
- 52 F. Pühlhofer, Nucl. Phys. A280, 267 (1977).
- 53 S. M. Qaim, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 35, 3669 (1973).
- $⁵⁴A$. Paulsen, in Proceedings of the Specialist Meeting</sup> on Neutron Data of Structural Materials for Fast Reactors, Geel, 1977 (Pergamon, New York, 1979), p. 261.
- $55S$. M. Qaim, in Proceedings of the Conference on Nu-

clear Cross Sections and Technology, Washington, D. C., 1975 (NBS special publication 425, 1975), p. 664.

- 56 N. I, Molla and S. M. Qaim, Nucl. Phys. $A283$, 269 (1977).
- 57S. M. Qaim, in Handbook of Spectroscopy (CRC, Palm Beach, in press), Vol. 3.
- 58W. Darcey, R. Chapman, and S. Hinds, Nucl. Phys. A170, 253 (1971).

S. K. Penny, ORNL-TM 2590 (1969).