Faddeev Equations for Realistic Three-Nucleon Systems. I. Complete Angular Momentum Reduction and Antisymmetrization of States*

E. P. Harper, Y. E. Kim, and A. Tubis Department of Physics, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana 47907 (Received 20 April 1970)

A complete angular momentum reduction of the Faddeev equations is carried out for the case of realistic, nonrelativistic three-nucleon systems with (local and/or nonlocal) interactions having general spin, isospin, and velocity dependence. Antisymmetrization of states with respect to particle exchange is properly accounted for by using properties of the permutation group and the isospin formalism. Expressions for the Faddeev equations, in the form $J-j$ [coupling of the (relative orbital plus total spin) angular momentum of a nucleon pair with the total angular momentum of the third nucleon (in the c.m. system) to give the total angular momentum (in the c.m. system)]; and $\mathcal{L}-S$ [coupling of the total orbital angular momentum (relative orbital angular momentum of a nucleon pair plus the orbital angular momentum of the third nucleon) in the c.m. system with the total spin angular momentum (total spin angular momentum of a nucleon pair plus the spin angular momentum of the third nucleon) to give the total angular momentum in the c.m. system].

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the work of Faddeev' on the nonrelativistic quantum theory of three-particle systems, many applications of the Faddeev formalism have been apprications of the raddeey formalism have been
investigated.² In the case of two-body local inter actions, one encounters formidable practical difficulty associated with the problem of obtaining numerical solutions for a set of coupled integral equations in two continuous variables. However, with the recent development of several numerical techniques, $3, 4$ it now appears feasible to solve twovariable integral equations with presently available computer facilities.

In applying the Faddeev formalism to three-nucleon systems, we must first make a complete angular momentum reduction of the dynamical equations which takes proper account of the intrinsic spins, isospins, and statistics of the nucleons.

The original Faddeev equations involve the nine independent components of the particle momenta. The dependence of the wave function on the components of the total linear momentum is easily accounted for by separating out the c.m. motion.

For the six remaining independent variables, Omnès⁵ chose the c.m. energies of the particles and the Euler angles of orientation of the closed triangle formed by the c.m. momenta. By expanding in eigenstates of the total angular momentum squared and the components of the total angular momentum along a space-fixed and "body"-fixed axis in the plane of the momentum triangle, the Faddeev equations were reduced to a set of coupled integral equations in three continuous variables. Osborn and Noyes' expanded the two-body transition amplitudes in relative angular momentum components and further reduced Omnès's equations to a set of coupled integral equations in two continuous variables. Osborn' has applied these equations to the calculation of the binding energy of a simple system of three spinless identical particles interacting pairwise through an s-wave Yukawa interaction.

Another method of angular momentum reduction was proposed by Ahmadzadeh and Tjon.⁸ After separating out the c.m. motion, they expanded threeparticle states in simultaneous eigenstates of $(\vec{L})^2$. L_z , (I)², and l_z , where \vec{L} and I are, respectively, the relative angular momentum of a pair of particles and the angular momentum of the third particle in the c.m. system. The coupled two-variable equations obtained by this method have been used to determine the binding energy of a system of three identical spinless bosons interacting via twothree identical spinless bosons interacting via tw
body local Yukawa interactions,^{9, 10} and the bindin energy of C^{12} on the basis of a three α -particl
model.¹¹ They have also been used to determi model.¹¹ They have also been used to determin the bound-state energy and wave function of the triton for local nucleon-nucleon interactions containton for local nucleon-nucleon interactions contain-
ing soft-core repulsion and tensor-coupling terms.¹²

g soft-core repulsion and tensor-coupling terms
El-Baz et al.¹³ have generalized the Ahmadzade Tjon method for the case of particles with intrinsic spins. They use the well-known separable expansion formula¹⁴ for a spherical harmonic whose argument is a vector sum of two vectors, and use a graphical method¹⁵ for handling the angular momentum algebra.

The Omnès and Ahmadzadeh-Tjon formulations

 $\overline{2}$

of the Faddeev equations are simply related by a unitary transformation, as is expected. This has unitary transformation, as is expected. This has
been explicitly shown by Balian and Brezin.¹⁶ Although the Ahmadzadeh-Tjon equations are unsymmetrical in the variables introduced, they appear to be more convenient for physical applications.

Other reductions of the Faddeev equations in-Other reductions of the Faddeev equations in-
clude that of Doolen,¹⁷ which is based on the Jacob-Wick¹⁸ helicity formalism, and that of Lee, 19 which is based on the $SU(3)$ representation of three-particle states.

In this paper, we generalize the method of El-Baz et al. to the case of two-body tensor interactions and antisymmetrization in terms of the isospin formalism for the three-nucleon system. Extensive use is made of the graphical techniques of Yutsis, Levinson, and Vanagas (YLV)²⁰ for angular momentum algebra involved in obtaining the final expressions.

In Sec. II, we give a brief summary of the Faddeev equations, the definitions of kinematic variables, and the normalization, orthogonality, and transformation properties of free-particle linear and orbital angular momentum eigenstates. Section III contains a general discussion of the antisymmetrization procedure used in classifying our three-particle states. In Sec. IV, the Faddeev equations are derived for the $J-i$ coupling scheme. In this scheme, the (relative orbital plus total spin) angular momentum of a nucleon pair is coupled with the total angular momentum of the third nucleon (in the c.m. system) to give the total angular momentum (in the c.m. system). The specific details of antisymmetrization for this coupling scheme are also discussed. In Sec. V, a similar presentation is given for the \mathcal{L} -S coupling scheme. In this case, the total orbital angular momentum (relative orbital angular momentum of a nucleon pair plus the orbital angular momentum of the third nucleon) in the three-particle c.m. system is coupled to the total spin angular momentum (total spin angular momentum of a nucleon pair plus the spin of the third nucleon) to give the total angular momentum in the c.m. system. Section VI contains a discussion and a brief summary of the results of this paper. A graphical derivation of results in Secs. IV and V is presented in Appendix A. In Appendix B, we give the properties of the isospin eigenstates of the three-nucleon system which are used in this paper.

II. FADDEEV EQUATIONS, KINEMATIC VARIABLES, AND LINEAR MOMENTUM AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM BASES

In order to clarify the notation and conventions involved in this paper, we present here a summary of the Faddeev equations and associated

kinematics.

-The nonrelativistic three-particle scattering matrix T for particles of mass m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 can be decomposed as^{$1,8$}

$$
T = T^{(1)} + T^{(2)} + T^{(3)} \tag{2.1}
$$

The $T^{(i)}$'s satisfy the Faddeev equations

$$
T^{(i)}(s) = T_i(s) - \sum_{j \neq i} T_i(s) G_0(s) T^{(j)}(s), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
$$
\n(2.2)

 $G_0(s)$ is the three-particle Green's function

$$
G_0(s) = (H_0 - s)^{-1}, \tag{2.3}
$$

 $(H_0$ being the three-particle kinetic energy operator), s is the total energy of the three-particle system, the T_i 's are the off-shell two-body T-matrices which satisfy the Lippmann-Schwinger equations

$$
T_i(s) = V_i - V_i G_0(s) T_i(s), \qquad (2.4)
$$

where V_i is the interaction between the pair of particles j and k $(i \neq j \neq k)$.

Following the conventions of Refs. 8 and 9, we define linear momentum combinations

$$
\vec{p}_1 = \frac{m_3 \vec{k}_2 - m_2 \vec{k}_3}{\left[2m_2 m_3 (m_2 + m_3)\right]^{1/2}},
$$
\n
$$
\vec{q}_1 = \frac{m_1 (\vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3) - (m_2 + m_3) \vec{k}_1}{\left[2m_1 (m_2 + m_3)(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)\right]^{1/2}},
$$
\n(2.5)

with definitions for (\vec{p}_2, \vec{q}_2) and (\vec{p}_3, \vec{q}_3) following from (2. 5) by cyclic permutation of the indices 1, 2, and 3. \vec{k}_i represents the momentum of particle i in the space-fixed coordinate system. The totalmomentum combination

$$
\vec{P} = \frac{\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3}{\left[2(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)\right]^{1/2}} \quad , \tag{2.6}
$$

together with \vec{p}_i and \vec{q}_i , satisfies the relation

$$
E = \sum_{i} \frac{(\vec{k}_i)^2}{2m_i} = (\vec{p}_j)^2 + (\vec{q}_j)^2 + (\vec{P})^2.
$$
 (2.7)

The above choice of momentum variables gives unity for the Jacobian of the transformation relating $(\vec{p}_i, \vec{q}_i, \vec{P})$ and $(\vec{p}_j, \vec{q}_j, \vec{P})$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. In terms of the mass factors

$$
\alpha_{ij} = \left[\frac{m_i m_j}{(m_i + m_k)(m_j + m_k)} \right]^{1/2} = \alpha_{ji},
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{ij} = (1 - \alpha_{ij}^2)^{1/2} = -\beta_{ji} \quad (ijk \text{ cyclic}),
$$
\n(2.8)

 $\>$ introduced by Ball and Wong, 9 the linear relation between $(\vec{\mathrm{p}}_i, \vec{\mathrm{q}}_i)$ and $(\vec{\mathrm{p}}_j, \vec{\mathrm{q}}_j)$ are

$$
\vec{p}_i = -\alpha_{ij}\vec{p}_j - \beta_{ij}\vec{q}_j, \n\vec{q}_i = \beta_{ij}\vec{p}_j - \alpha_{ij}\vec{q}_j \quad (ijk \text{ cyclic}).
$$
\n(2.9)

For three identical particles, we have $\alpha_{ij} = 1/2$ and $\beta_{ij} = \sqrt{3}/2$

In the c.m. system $(\vec{P} = 0)$, three-particle eigenstates of linear momentum

$$
|\vec{\mathbf{k}}_1 \vec{\mathbf{k}}_2 \vec{\mathbf{k}}_3\rangle = |\vec{\mathbf{p}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{q}}_1\rangle_1 = |\vec{\mathbf{p}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{q}}_2\rangle_2 = |\vec{\mathbf{p}}_3, \vec{\mathbf{q}}_3\rangle_3, \qquad (2.10)
$$

are assumed to have the orthonormality property

$$
\langle \vec{p}', \vec{q}' | \vec{p}, \vec{q} \rangle = \delta^{(3)} (\vec{p}' - \vec{p}) \delta^{(3)} (\vec{q}' - \vec{q}) . \qquad (2.11)
$$

The partial-wave expansion of $|\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle$ is

$$
|\vec{p},\vec{q}\rangle = \sum_{\substack{L,M\\i,m}} Y_{L,M}^*(\hat{p}) Y_{im}^*(\hat{q}) | pLM; qlm \rangle , \qquad (2.12)
$$

where

$$
|pLM; \, qlm\rangle = \int d\hat{p} \, d\hat{q} \, Y_{LM}(\hat{p}) Y_{lm}(\hat{q}) | \, \vec{p}, \, \vec{q} \rangle \ . \quad (2.13)
$$

In (2.13), $d\hat{p}$ and $d\hat{q}$ denote solid-angle differentials. The normalization (2.11) requires that

$$
\langle p'L'M'; q'l'm' | pLM; qlm \rangle\n= \frac{\delta(p'-p)}{p^2} \frac{\delta(q'-q)}{q^2} \delta_{LL'} \delta_{MM'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}.
$$
\n(2.14)

III. ANTISYMMETRIZATION OF STATES

If the isospin formalism is used, a composite of nucleons can be treated as a system of identical fermions with intrinsic spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and isospin $\frac{1}{2}$. The generalized Pauli principle requires that the total state function of the nucleon system be antisymmetric under simultaneous exchanges of the space, spin, and isospin coordinates of any pair of nucleons.

There are a number of works which give procedures for generally constructing the antisymmetric states of a three-nucleon system. Blatt and Der-
rick,²¹ in particular, have given an elegant group rick,²¹ in particular, have given an elegant group theoretical discussion. These procedures, however, give states that are much more suitable for variational calculations of binding energies than they are for scattering and bound-state calculations based on the Faddeev equations.

A general classification of antisymmetric states of three nucleons, which is suitable for use in the Faddeev equations, can be obtained very simply after performing a complete angular momentum reduction of these equations. The technical details of the reduction are given in Secs. IV and V. In this section, we schematically indicate the general features of our antisymmetrization scheme.

We will work with three-nucleon states $|(\psi)\rangle_i$ which are constructed to be antisymmetric under the exchange of the dynamical coordinates of nucleons j and k (with ijk a cyclic ordering). Fully antisymmetric three-nucleon states can be easily generated via particle exchange operations on the $\left|\left(\psi\right)\right\rangle_i$.

Let P_{ij} be the ij particle-exchange operator, i.e.,

$$
|\vec{p}_3, \vec{q}_3\rangle_3, \qquad (2.10) \qquad P_{ij} = P_r(ij)P_o(ij)P_\tau(ij), \qquad (3.1)
$$

where P_r , P_o , and P_r are, respectively, the space, spin, and isospin exchange operators. The three P_{ij} operators are odd permutation operators and
elements of the permutation group S_{ij}^{22} . The thr elements of the permutation group S_3 .²² The three remaining elements of the group are the even permutation operators e , P_{123} , and P_{132} , where e is the identity operator, and P_{123} and P_{132} are cyclic permutation operators. From group-element multiplications, it easily follows that

$$
|\psi\rangle_{A} = (e + P_{123} + P_{132}) |(\psi)\rangle_{i}
$$
 (3.2)

is completely antisymmetric with respect to particle exchange.

Now let $\mid \alpha(i,j\,k)\rangle^{\vphantom{\dagger}}_i$ be a free-particle state of three nucleons which is antisymmetric with respect to jk exchange (ijk cyclic), and is an eigenstate of a complete set of commuting operators (including the free-particle kinetic energy H_0) which is appropriate for this symmetry.

In the J-j coupling scheme, $\alpha(i,jk)$ denotes the quantum numbers associated with the operators: $H_0, \; (\vec{L}_i)^2, \; (\vec{S}_i)^2 = (\vec{s}_j + \vec{s}_k)^2, \; (\vec{s}_j)^2, \; (\vec{s}_k)^2, \; (\vec{J}_i)^2 = (\vec{L}_i)^2$ + \vec{S}_i)², $(\vec{I}_i)^2$, $(\vec{S}_i)^2$, $(\vec{J}_i)^2 = (\vec{I}_i + \vec{S}_i)^2$, $(\vec{J})^2 = (\vec{J}_i + \vec{J}_i)^2$, $(\dot{\bar{t}}_j)^2$, $(\dot{\bar{t}}_k)^2$, $(\dot{\bar{T}}_i)^2 = (\dot{\bar{t}}_j + \dot{\bar{t}}_k)^2$, $(\dot{\bar{t}}_i)^2$, $(\dot{\bar{T}})^2 = (\dot{\bar{T}}_i)^2$ $+ \bar{t}_i$, \bar{r}_z . Here \bar{L}_i is the relative orbital angular momentum of the jk pair (ijk cyclic); $\overline{1}_i$ is the orbital angular momentum of nucleon i in the c.m. system, \bar{s}_i is the spin angular momentum of nucleon i, and t_i is the spin isospin of nucleon i. In the \mathfrak{L} -\$ coupling scheme, the corresponding set of operators is H_0 , $(\overline{L}_i)^2$, $(\overline{I}_i)^2$, $(\overline{\hat{L}})^2 = (\overline{L}_i + \overline{I}_i)^2$, $(\overline{S}_i)^2$, $(\vec{s}_j)^2$, $(\vec{s}_k)^2$, $(\vec{s}_i)^2$, $(\vec{s})^2 = (\vec{s}_i + \vec{s}_i)^2$, $(\vec{g})^2 = (\vec{E} + \vec{s})^2$, ϑ_z , and the same isospin operators as in the $J-i$ scheme. Since the intrinsic spin and isospin quantum numbers are equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ for all nucleons, they will not usually be explicitly specified from here on.

The completely antisymmetrized state

$$
|\alpha(1, 23)\rangle_{A} = (e + P_{123} + P_{132}) |\alpha(1, 23)\rangle_{1}
$$
 (3.3)

is an eigenstate of H_0 , $(\vec{g})^2$, and ϑ_z .

If H_0 and $O_s(1, 2, 3)$, $s = 1, 2, ..., 16$, denote the operators associated with the quantum numbers $\alpha(1, 23)$, then it is easily shown that the state

$$
P_{123} | \alpha(1, 23) \rangle_1 = | P_{123} \alpha(1, 23) \rangle_2 = | \alpha(2, 31) \rangle_2
$$
\n(3.4)

is a simultaneous eigenstate of the operators H_0 and $P_{123}O_s$ $(1, 2, 3)P_{123}^{-1} \equiv O_s(2, 3, 1), s = 1, 2, ..., 16,$ with the same quantum numbers originally associated with H_0 and $O_s(1, 2, 3), s = 1, 2, ..., 16$.

Since the $T^{(i)}$ operators in the Faddeev equations (2.2) satisfy

$$
P_{12}T^{(1)}P_{12}^{-1} = T^{(2)},
$$

\n
$$
P_{123}T^{(1)}P_{123}^{-1} = T^{(2)}, \text{ etc.,}
$$
\n(3.5)

and

$$
P_{12}|\psi\rangle_A = -|\psi\rangle_A, \text{ etc.,}
$$

\n
$$
P_{123}|\psi\rangle_A = |\psi\rangle_A, \text{ etc.,}
$$
\n(3.6)

where $|\psi\rangle_A$ is an arbitrary (completely) antisymmetrized state, we have the relations

$$
\begin{split} \left| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} \cos(1, 23) | T^{(2)}(s) | \psi \end{matrix} \right| &= \left| \sqrt[3]{P_{132} \alpha(1, 23)} | P_{132} T^{(2)}(s) | \psi \right| \\ &= \left| \sqrt[3]{\alpha(3, 12)} | T^{(1)}(s) | \psi \right| \\ \left| \sqrt[3]{\alpha(1, 23)} | T^{(3)}(s) | \psi \right| &= \left| \sqrt[3]{P_{123} \alpha(1, 23)} | P_{123} T^{(3)}(s) | \psi \right| \\ &= \left| \sqrt[3]{\alpha(2, 31)} | T^{(1)}(s) | \psi \right| \end{split} \tag{3.7}
$$

Note that $\frac{1}{10}$ $\alpha(1, 23)$ | $T^{(1)}$ (s) | $\psi\rangle_{A}$ would vanish if $\vert \alpha(1, 23) \rangle$, were symmetric under 23 exchange.

The states $\vert \alpha(1, 23) \rangle$, are assumed to satisfy the orthonormality relations

$$
\sqrt{\alpha'(1, 23)} \left(\alpha(1, 23) \right)_1 = \frac{\delta (p_1' - p_1)}{p_1^2} \frac{\delta (q_1' - q_1)}{q_1^2} \delta_{\alpha' \alpha} ,
$$
\n(3.8)

where $\delta_{\alpha'\alpha}$ denotes a product of Kronecker δ functions associated with the discrete quantum numbers. The effective closure relation

$$
1 = \sum_{\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} p_1^2 dp_1 \int_0^{\infty} q_1^2 dq_1 |\alpha(1, 23)\rangle_1 |\alpha(1, 23)|
$$

= $\sum_{\alpha} |\alpha(1, 23)\rangle_1 |\alpha(1, 23)|$ (3.9)

may be inserted adjacent to a state which is antisymmetric under 23 exchange.

Thus the Faddeev equations for $\chi\alpha(1, 23)\,|\,T^{(1)}\,|\,\psi\rangle_A$, i = 1, 2, 3, with $|\,\psi\rangle_A$ fixed, reduce to a single integra equation for the matrix element, $\langle \alpha(1, 23) | T^{(1)}(s) | \psi \rangle_A$.

$$
\int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1, 23) |T^{(1)}(s)| \psi\rangle_{A} = \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1, 23) |T_{1}(s)| \psi\rangle_{A} - \int_{\alpha}^{S} \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1, 23) |T_{1}(s)| \alpha'(1, 23) \rangle_{1} \frac{1}{E_{\alpha'} - s}
$$

\n
$$
\times \int_{\Lambda} \alpha'(1, 23) |T^{(2)}(s)| \psi\rangle_{A} + \int_{\Lambda} \alpha'(1, 23) |T^{(3)}(s)| \psi\rangle_{A}
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1, 23) |T_{1}(s)| \psi\rangle_{A} - \int_{\alpha'}^{S} \langle \alpha(1, 23) |T_{1}(s)| \alpha'(1, 23) \rangle_{1} \frac{1}{E_{\alpha'} - s}
$$

\n
$$
\times \int_{\alpha''} \int_{\alpha'} \langle S_{132} \alpha'(1, 23) | \alpha''(1, 23) \rangle_{1} + \int_{\Lambda} P_{123} \alpha'(1, 23) | \alpha''(1, 23) \rangle_{1}
$$

\n
$$
\times \int_{\Lambda} \alpha''(1, 23) |T^{(1)}(s)| \psi\rangle_{A}.
$$
 (3.10)

Equation (3.10) may be further simplified if we note that

$$
\left\{\left\{\left(P_{132}\alpha'(1,23)\right)\alpha''(1,23)\right\}_{1}=\left\{\left(P_{123}\alpha'(1,23)\right)\alpha''(1,23)\right\}_{1}.
$$
\n(3.11)

 (3.11) follows from the fact that

$$
(P_{132} - P_{123}) \mid \alpha'(1, 23) \rangle_1 \tag{3.12}
$$

is symmetric with respect to 23 exchange and is consequently orthogonal to $\langle \alpha''(1, 23) \rangle_1$. Thus

$$
\int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1, 23) |T^{(1)}(s)| \psi_A = \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1, 23) |T_1(s)| \psi_A - 2 \int_{\alpha'}^{\infty} \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1, 23) |T_1(s)| \alpha'(1, 23) \rangle_1 \frac{1}{E_{\alpha'} - s}
$$

× $\int_{\alpha''}^{\infty} \int_{32} P_{132} \alpha'(1, 23) | \alpha''(1, 23) \rangle_1 \langle \alpha''(1, 23) | T^{(1)}(s) | \psi \rangle_A.$ (3.13)

An alternative form of (3.13), which will be used later on, is

$$
\sqrt{\alpha(1, 23)} \left| T^{(1)}(s) \right| \psi_A = \sqrt{\alpha(1, 23)} \left| T_1(s) \right| \psi_A - 2 \frac{S}{\alpha}, \sqrt{\alpha(1, 23)} \left| T_1(s) \right| P_{123} \alpha'(1, 23) \rangle_2 \frac{1}{E_{\alpha'} - s} \times \sqrt{\alpha'(1, 23)} \left| T^{(1)}(s) \right| \psi_A.
$$
\n(3.14)

$$
(E_B - H)|\psi_B\rangle = 0 \,, \quad \langle \psi_B | \psi_B \rangle = 1 \tag{3.15}
$$

$$
(H = H_0 + V_1 + V_2 + V_3 = H_0 + V).
$$

From the formal expression for $T(s)$

$$
T(s) = V - V \frac{1}{H - s} V, \tag{3.16}
$$

it follows that

$$
\int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1,23) \left| T(s) \right| \psi_{A} \underset{s \approx E_{B}}{\approx} - \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1,23) \left| V \right| \psi_{B} \rangle \frac{1}{E_{B} - s} \left\langle \psi_{B} \right| V \left| \psi \right\rangle_{A} . \tag{3.17}
$$

The residue of $\sqrt{\alpha(1, 23)} |T(s)| \psi_B$ at the bound-state pole, which may be easily extracted from the numerical solution of Eqs. (3.13) or (3.14), is thus proportional to

$$
\sqrt{\alpha(1, 23)} |V|\psi_B\rangle = (E_B - p_1^2 - q_1^2) \sqrt{\alpha(1, 23)} |\psi_B\rangle
$$
\n(3.18)

in the c.m. system. The equality (3.18) is derived by representing V as $H - H_0$ and using (3.15) and

$$
\left(p_1^2 + q_1^2 - H_0\right) \left|\alpha(1, 23)\right\rangle_1 = 0 \tag{3.19}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{split} \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1,23) \vert T(s) \vert \psi \rangle_{A} &= \int_{\Lambda} \alpha(1,23) \vert T^{(1)}(s) + T^{(2)}(s) + T^{(3)}(s) \vert \psi \rangle_{A} \\ &= \langle (e + P_{132} + P_{123}) \alpha(1,23) \vert T^{(1)}(s) \vert \psi \rangle_{A} \\ &= \langle (e + P_{132} + P_{123}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - P_{23}) \alpha(1,23) \vert T^{(1)}(s) \vert \psi \rangle_{A}, \end{split} \tag{3.20}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Delta} P_{12} \alpha(1,23) \right| T(s) \left| \psi \right\rangle_{A} &= \left\langle (e + P_{132} + P_{123}) P_{12} \frac{1}{2} (1 - P_{23}) \alpha(1,23) \right| T^{(1)}(s) \left| \psi \right\rangle_{A} \\ &= - \left\langle (e + P_{132} + P_{123}) \frac{1}{2} (1 - P_{23}) \alpha(1,23) \right| T^{(1)}(s) \left| \psi \right\rangle_{A} \\ &= - \left\langle \left(\alpha(1,23) \right| T(s) \left| \psi \right\rangle_{A}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{split} \tag{3.21}
$$

The expression for $\chi \alpha(1, 23) | T(s) | \psi \rangle_A$ given by (3.20) yields, according to (3.17) and (3.18), components of $|\psi_B\rangle$,

$$
_{1}\!\!\langle \left. \alpha (1,23)\right\vert \psi _{B}\!\!\rangle \ ,
$$

which satisfy

$$
\sqrt{\rho_{12} \alpha(1, 23)} |\psi_B\rangle = -\sqrt{\alpha(1, 23)} |\psi_B\rangle , \quad \text{etc.}
$$

These components are thus consistent with the complete antisymmetry of $|\psi_B\rangle$.

IV. J-jCOUPLING SCHEME

In the $J-j$ coupling scheme, we form (c.m. system) eigenstates of total angular momentum s and projection of total angular momentum on the z axis of a space-fixed coordinate system s_s from a direct product of the eigenstates of \tilde{J} (relative orbital plus total spin angular momentum of a nucleon pair) and \tilde{j} (total angula momentum of the third nucleon in the c.m. system). The isospin components of these states have total isospin τ , and z component of total isospin τ _z. They are formed from a direct product of the eigenstates of \dot{T} (total isospin of a nucleon pair) and t (isospin of the third nucleon). The complete list of commuting operators which characterize the $J-j$ coupling scheme was given in Sec. III.

The explicit construction of the complete set of $J-j$ basis states is given by

$$
|p, q, \alpha\rangle_i = |p, q, \alpha(i, jk)\rangle_i = |[p(LS)J, q(ls)j] \mathcal{B}_{z}; (Tt)T\tau_z\rangle_i
$$

$$
= \sum_{m_J, m_j} \langle Jm_Jjm_j | \mathcal{B}_{z} \rangle |p(LS)Jm_J; q(ls)jm_j\rangle_i | (Tt)T\tau_z\rangle_i
$$
(4.1)

(3.22)

with

$$
|p(LS)Jm_{J}; q(ls)jm_{j}\rangle_{i} = \sum_{m_{L}, m_{S}} \sum_{m_{L}, m_{S}} \langle Lm_{L}Sm_{S}|Jm_{J}\rangle \langle lm_{l}sm_{s}|jm_{j}\rangle |pLm_{L}; qlm_{l}\rangle |Sm_{S}\rangle_{i}|sm_{s}\rangle
$$
(4.2)

and

$$
|Sm_{s}\rangle_{i} = |(s_{j}s_{k})Sm_{s}\rangle_{i} = \sum_{m_{s_{j}}}, m_{s_{k}} \langle s_{j} m_{s_{j}} s_{k} m_{s_{k}} | Sm_{s}\rangle |s_{j} m_{s_{j}}\rangle |s_{k} m_{s_{k}}\rangle \quad (ijk \text{ cyclic}),
$$
\n(4.3)

where $|s_i m_{s_i}\rangle$ are the orthonormal spin eigenstates for nucleon *i* and $|(Tt) T T_s\rangle_i$ are the three-nucleon iso-
spin states defined in Appendix B. The symbols $\langle a\alpha b\beta | c\gamma \rangle$ are Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. (We will the Condon-Shortley phase convention throughout this work.) For convenience, the same symbol is used for an operator and its eigenvalues. The quantum numbers s and t are, of course, always $\frac{1}{2}$ for nucleons. Antisymmetry with respect to jk exchange requires that

$$
(-1)^{L+S+T}=-1.
$$

We may use (2.12) to expand the states (4.1) in eigenstates of linear momentum:

$$
\left[p(LS)J, q(ls)j \right] \mathcal{J}_{z}; (Tt) \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}_{z} \rangle_{i} = \sum_{m_{J}, m_{j}} \langle Jm_{J}jm_{j} | \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}_{z} \rangle \sum_{m_{L}, m_{S}} \sum_{m_{I}, m_{S}} \langle Lm_{L}Sm_{S} | Jm_{J} \rangle \langle l m_{i}sm_{s} | jm_{j} \rangle
$$

$$
\times \int d\hat{p} \int d\hat{q} Y_{Lm_{L}}(\hat{p}) Y_{lm_{I}}(\hat{q}) | \vec{p}, \vec{q}; Sm_{S}, sm_{S}; (Tt) \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}_{z} \rangle_{i} . \tag{4.4}
$$

The orthonormality relation for the states given by (4.1) is

 $\mathcal{H}_i([p'(L'S')J',q'(l's)j']\mathcal{J}'_{\mathbf{z}}; (T't)T'\mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{z}}\mid \parallel p(LS)J, q(ls)j\rrbracket \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{z}}; (Tt)\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{z}}\rangle_{ij}$

$$
=\frac{\delta\big(p'-p\big)}{p^2}\;\frac{\delta\big(q'-q\big)}{q^2}\;\delta_{L'L}\delta_{\boldsymbol{S}'\boldsymbol{S}}\delta_{J'J}\delta_{\boldsymbol{l}'\boldsymbol{l}}\,\delta_{j'j}\;\delta_{\boldsymbol{J}'\boldsymbol{J}}\;\delta_{\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{a}}'\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{a}}}\;\delta_{T'T}\delta_{T'T}\delta_{T'\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{a}}'}\;.
$$

The Faddeev equation, in the *J*-*j* coupling scheme, becomes
\n
$$
\psi_s^{(1)}(p,q,\alpha) = \varphi_s^{(1)}(p,q,\alpha) - 2 \sum_{\alpha_2} \int_0^{\infty} p_2^2 dp_2 \int_0^{\infty} q_2^2 dq_2 \frac{(1)_{K_2}}{p_2^2 + q_2^2 - s} \psi_s^{(1)}(p_2, q_2, \alpha_2),
$$
\n(4.6)

where

$$
\psi_s^{(1)}(p,q,\alpha) = \sqrt{1-\rho_1(q_1)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_2)}\sqrt{1-\rho_1(q_1)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_1)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_1)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_2)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_1)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_1)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_1)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_2)}\sqrt{1-\rho_2(q_1)}\sqrt
$$

$$
\psi_s^{(1)}(p_2, q_2, \alpha_2) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} p_2 q_2, \alpha_2} |T^{(1)}(s)| \psi_A, \tag{4.7a}
$$

$$
\varphi_s^{(1)}(p,q,\alpha) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}p,q,\alpha} \left|T_1(s)\right| \psi_A, \tag{4.8}
$$

and

$$
{}^{(1)}K_2 = \sqrt{\rho_1 q_2 + \sqrt{\rho_2 q_2 + \rho_3 q_3}}.
$$
\n(4.9)

Using (4.4) in (4.9) , we obtain

$$
{}^{(1)}K_{2} = \sum_{\substack{\text{(all magnetic numbers} \\ \text{except } \mathcal{J}_{z} \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_{z} \text{ is a}}} \langle \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{J}_{z}} | Jm_{J}jm_{j} \rangle \langle Jm_{J} | Lm_{L}Sm_{S} \rangle \langle jm_{j} | lm_{1}sm_{S} \rangle \langle J_{2}m_{J_{2}}j_{2}m_{J_{2}} | \mathcal{J}_{2} \mathcal{J}_{2z} \rangle
$$

×
$$
\langle \mathbf{L}_{2}m_{L_{2}}S_{2}m_{S_{2}} | J_{2}m_{J_{2}} \rangle \langle l_{2}m_{L_{2}}S_{2}m_{S_{2}} | j_{2}m_{J_{2}} \rangle \int d\hat{p} \int d\hat{q} \int d\hat{p}_{2} \int d\hat{q}_{2} Y_{Lm_{L}}^{*}(\hat{p}) Y_{Im_{1}}^{*}(\hat{q}) Y_{L_{2},m_{L_{2}}}(\hat{p}_{2}) Y_{L_{2}m_{L_{2}}}(\hat{q}_{2})
$$

×
$$
\langle \mathbf{L}_{1} \mathbf{\tilde{p}}, \mathbf{\tilde{q}}; Sm_{S}, sm_{S}; (Tt) T \mathcal{T}_{z} | T_{1}(s) | \mathbf{\tilde{p}}_{2}, \mathbf{\tilde{q}}_{2}; S_{2}m_{S_{2}}, s_{2}m_{S_{2}}; (T_{2}t_{2}) \mathcal{T}_{2} \mathcal{T}_{2z} \rangle_{2}.
$$
 (4.10)

The spin and isospin eigenstates, $|S_2m_{s_2},S_2m_{s_2}\rangle_2$ and $|(T_2t_2)T_2T_{2s}\rangle$, may be expressed in terms of $|\rangle_1$ -type states by using $(B5)$ of Appendix B, and the relation

$$
|S_{i}m_{s_{i}}, s_{i}, m_{s_{i}}\rangle_{i} = |(s_{j}s_{k})S_{i}, m_{s_{i}}; s_{i}, m_{s_{i}}\rangle_{i}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{m_{s_{j}}, m_{s_{k}}} \sum_{s_{k}, m_{s_{k}}} \langle s_{j}m_{s_{j}}s_{k}m_{s_{k}} | S_{j}m_{s_{k}} \rangle \langle S_{k}m_{s_{k}} | s_{i}m_{s_{i}}s_{j}m_{s_{j}} \rangle | (s_{i}s_{j})S_{k}m_{s_{k}}; s_{k}m_{s_{k}}\rangle_{k} \quad (ijk \text{ cyclic}).
$$
\n(4.11)

(4. 5)

FADDEEV EQUATIONS FOR REALISTIC THREE-NUCLIST CORPORATIONS FOR REALISTIC THREE-NUCLIST CORPORATIONS FOR REALISTIC THREE-NUCLIST

 $_{1}\langle\tilde{p}_{1},\tilde{q}_{1};\mathit{Sm}_{S},\mathit{sm}_{s};(Tt)\mathit{T}\mathcal{T}_{z}\,|\,T_{1}(s)|\,\tilde{p}_{2},\tilde{q}_{2};\mathit{S}_{2}\mathit{m}_{S_{2}},\mathit{S}_{2}\mathit{m}_{s_{2}};(\mathit{T}_{2}t_{2})\mathit{T}_{2}\mathit{T}_{2z}\rangle_{2}$

$$
\sum_{m_{s_3}, m_{s_1}} \sum_{S_1, m_{S_1}} \langle s_3 m_{s_3} s_1 m_{s_1} | S_2 m_{S_2} \rangle \langle S_1 m_{S_1} | s_2 m_{s_2} s_3 m_{s_3} \rangle \delta_{s s_1} \delta_{m_s m_{s_1}}
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{T_z, t_z, \atop T_1, T_{1z}, t_{1z}} \langle TT_z tt_z | T T_z \rangle (-1)^{t_2 - T_2 - T_2} \hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_2 W(t_1 t_3 T_2 t_z; T_2 T_1)
$$
\n
$$
\times \langle T_1 T_{1z} t_1 t_{1z} | T_2 T_{2z} \rangle \delta_{t t_1} \delta_{t_z t_{1z}} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}; S m_S; TT_z | T_1(s) | \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1; S_1 m_{s_1}; T_1 T_{1z} \rangle_1,
$$
\n(4.12)

where \hat{T}_1 denotes $(2T_1+1)^{1/2}$ and W is the usual Racah coefficient. Charge conservation requires $\hat{T}_{2z} = \hat{T}_z$ for a nonvanishing matrix element.

The two-nucleon interaction is assumed to be invariant under the usual space-time translations, inversions, and Galilean boosts, as well as general rotations in ordinary space and rotations about the z axis in isospin space. The appropriate partial-wave expansion of (4.12) is then²⁴

$$
\begin{split} \n\langle \vec{p}, \vec{q}; Sm_{S}; TT_{z} | T_{1}(s) | \vec{p}_{1}, \vec{q}_{1}; S_{1}m_{S_{1}}; T_{1}T_{1z} \rangle_{1} \\
= \delta^{(3)} (\vec{q} - \vec{q}_{1}) \delta_{S S_{1}} \delta_{TT_{1}} \delta_{T_{z}} T_{1z} \sum_{J', J_{1}} \sum_{L', m_{L'}} \sum_{L_{1}, m_{L_{1}}} Y_{L'm_{L'}}(\hat{p}) Y_{L'm_{L}}^{*}(\hat{p}_{1}) \langle L'm_{L'} Sm_{S} | J'm_{j'} = m_{L'} + m_{S} \rangle \\
\times \langle L_{1}m_{L_{1}} S_{1}m_{S_{1}} | J_{1}m_{J_{1}} = m_{L_{1}} + m_{S_{1}} \rangle \delta_{J'J_{1}} \delta_{m_{L_{1}}}, m_{L'} + m_{S} - m_{S_{1}} \overline{\delta}_{L_{1}}, L' \tau_{L_{1}}^{J_{1}STT_{z}}(\hat{p}^{2}, \hat{p}_{1}^{2}; S - q^{2}), \n\end{split} \tag{4.13}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{L_1, L'} = \delta_{L_1, L'} - \delta_{|L_1 - L'|, 2} \ ,
$$

with L', L₁ taking on values from $|J_1 - S|$ to $|J_1 + S|$. Space-reflection invariance restricts $|L_1 - L'|$ to 0 or 2 in the case of tensor forces. For $S=1$, $J\neq 0$, and $(-1)^{T+J_1}=-1$,

$$
-i\pi p \tau_{L_1,L}^{J1TT_{z}}(p^2,p^2;s=p^2)+\delta_{L_1,L}
$$

is a two by two unitary and symmetric matrix with respect to the L_1 , L indices.
Following a suggestion made by El-Baz *et al*.,¹³ we use (2.9) and the relation¹

Following a suggestion made by El-Baz et al.,¹³ we use (2.9) and the relation¹⁴

$$
r^{l}Y_{l\,m}^{*}(\hat{r})=\sum_{\lambda=0}^{l}\sum_{m_{\lambda}= -\lambda}^{\lambda}\frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{\hat{\lambda}}\left(\frac{2l+1}{2\lambda}\right)^{1/2}(s r_{a})^{\lambda}(tr_{b})^{\lambda-l}\langle\lambda m_{\lambda}l-\lambda m-m_{\lambda}\vert\,lm\rangle\,Y_{\lambda m_{\lambda}}^{*}(\hat{r}_{a})Y_{l-\lambda,m-m_{\lambda}}^{*}(\hat{r}_{b}),\qquad(4.14)
$$

where $\vec{r} = s\vec{r}_a + t\vec{r}_b$, $\hat{\lambda} = (2\lambda + 1)^{1/2}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 2l+1 \\ 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}$ is the binomial coefficient, to obtain

$$
q_{1}^{1} \hat{p}_{1}^{L_{1}} Y_{l m}^{*}(\hat{q}_{1}) Y_{L_{1}, m_{L_{1}}}(\hat{p}_{1}) = \sum_{\lambda, m_{\lambda}} \sum_{\Lambda, m_{\Lambda}} \frac{4 \pi}{\lambda \hat{\Lambda}} \left(\frac{2l + 1}{2\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2L_{1} + 1}{2\Lambda} \right)^{1/2} (\beta_{12} \hat{p}_{2})^{\lambda} (-\alpha_{12} q_{2})^{1-\lambda} (-\alpha_{12} p_{2})^{\Lambda} (-\beta_{12} q_{2})^{L_{1}-\Lambda}
$$

× $\langle \lambda m_{\lambda} l - \lambda m - m_{\lambda} | l m \rangle \langle \Lambda m_{\lambda} L_{1} - \Lambda m_{L_{1}} - m_{\lambda} | L_{1} m_{L_{1}} \rangle$
× $Y_{\lambda m_{\lambda}}^* (\hat{p}_{2}) Y_{l - \lambda, m - m_{\lambda}}^* (\hat{q}_{2}) Y_{\lambda m_{\Lambda}}^* (\hat{p}_{2}) Y_{L_{1} - \lambda, m_{L_{1}} - m_{\Lambda}}^* (\hat{q}_{2}).$ (4.15)

After substituting (4.12) and (4.13) into (4.10) and integrating over \hat{p} and \hat{q} , we use (4.15) and the partialwave expansion

$$
T_{L_1, L, i}^{J_1 S T T_z} (\hat{p}_1, q_1) = \sum_{r, m_r} T_{L_1, L, i, r}^{J_1 S T T_z} (\hat{p}_2, q_2) Y_{r m_r}^* (\hat{\hat{p}}_2) Y_{r m_r} (\hat{q}_2)
$$
\n(4.16)

with

884

$$
T_{L_1, L, i}^{J_1 S T T_z} (\mathbf{p}_1, q_1) = \frac{2}{q} \delta(q^2 - q_1^2) \frac{\tau_{L_1, L}^{J_1 S T T_z} (\mathbf{p}^2, \mathbf{p}_1^2; \mathbf{s} - q^2)}{\mathbf{p}_1^{L_1} q_1^l}
$$
(4.17)

to obtain

$$
(1) K_{2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{S_{1}, J_{1}} \delta_{S S_{1}} \delta_{J J_{1}} \delta_{\mathcal{T}_{g}} \mathcal{T}_{2g} (-1)^{t_{2} - T_{2} - T_{2}} \hat{T} \hat{T}_{2} W(t_{1} t_{3} \mathcal{T}_{2} t_{2}; T_{2} T) \sum_{T_{g}, t_{g}} \langle TT_{g} tt_{g} | T T_{g} \rangle \langle TT_{g} tt_{g} | T_{2} \mathcal{T}_{2g} \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\times \sum_{L_{1}} \overline{\delta}_{L_{1}, L} \sum_{\lambda, \Lambda, r, r_{1}, r_{2}} \left(\frac{2l + 1}{2\lambda} \right)^{l/2} \left(\frac{2L_{1} + 1}{2\Lambda} \right)^{l/2} (\beta_{12} p_{2})^{\lambda} (-\alpha_{12} q_{2})^{l - \lambda} (-\alpha_{12} p_{2})^{\Lambda} (-\beta_{12} q_{2})^{L_{1} - \Lambda}
$$

\n
$$
\times T_{L_{1}, L, i, r}^{J_{1} S T T_{g}} (\mathcal{P}_{2}, q_{2}) (2r + 1) (2L_{2} + 1)^{1/2} (2l_{2} + 1)^{1/2} (2r_{1} + 1) (2r_{2} + 1) [2(L_{1} - \Lambda) + 1]^{1/2} [2(l - \lambda) + 1]^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\times \left(\frac{L_{2}}{0} \gamma r_{1} \right) \left(\frac{\Lambda}{0} \lambda r_{1} \right) \left(\frac{r}{0} \frac{l_{2}}{0} \gamma_{2} \right) \left(\frac{L_{1} - \Lambda}{0} \frac{l - \lambda}{0} \gamma_{2} \right) G_{J - j}, \tag{4.18}
$$

where G_{J-j} is the geometrical factor given by

~^J j [~] ~~ ~gg ~\$ g mJ +m. , ^m +m m~+m, m~ ⁵ -m ^m +mg, m~ +m) -mA-m~ ^r ^p t J ^x &&&. ^I ~maim, & «m. ^IJmiSms& &jm; Iim~sm. & «2m~ i.m, , ^I &2&. & «2m', S,ms ^I ~ms & x (l m, s2m,)j,m/ & &I masm~ (Z,m~+m, & &L,m~ S,ms (J;m/, +ms) &s m, s m, (S2m~ & x&sm, s,m, ~S,^m &&am"l—Xm, —^m ~im&(~ r, , —Wm -m ~r, ^m L,, r r, ^A A. r, r J —^A r2 ^X (4.19)

The quantities in the brackets in (4.19) are Wigner 3-j symbols which are related to the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients by

$$
\langle a\alpha b\beta | c\gamma \rangle = (-1)^{a-b+\gamma} (2c+1)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ \alpha & \beta & -\gamma \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4.20}
$$

In deriving (4.18) and (4.19), we have used the relations

$$
\int d\hat{p}_2 Y_{L_2 m_{L_2}}(\hat{p}_2) Y_{r, m_r}^*(\hat{p}_2) Y_{\lambda m_\lambda}^*(\hat{p}_2) Y_{\lambda m_\lambda}^*(\hat{p}_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (-1)^{m_r} \delta_{m_\Lambda + m_\lambda, m_{L_2} - m_r} \hat{L}_2 \hat{r} \hat{\Lambda} \hat{\lambda}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{r_1} \hat{r}_1^2 \begin{pmatrix} L_2 & r & r_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_2 & r & r_1 \\ m_{L_2} & -m_r & m_r - m_{L_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \lambda & r_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \lambda & r_1 \\ m_\Lambda & m_\lambda & -m_\Lambda - m_\lambda \end{pmatrix}
$$

(4.21)

and

$$
\int d\hat{q}_2 Y_{r m_r}(\hat{q}_2) Y_{l_2 m_{l_2}}(\hat{q}_2) Y_{l_1 - \Lambda, m_{L_1} - m_{\Lambda}}^* (\hat{q}_2) Y_{l - \lambda, m_{l} - m_{\Lambda}}^* (\hat{q}_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta_{m_r + m_{l_2}, m_{L_1} + m_{l} - m_{\Lambda} - m_{\Lambda}} \hat{r} \hat{l}_2
$$
\n
$$
\times [2(L_1 - \Lambda) + 1]^{1/2} [2(l - \lambda) + 1]^{1/2} \sum_{r_2} \hat{r}_2^2 \begin{pmatrix} r & l_2 & r_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & l_2 & r_2 \\ m_r & m_{l_2} & -m_r - m_{l_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_1 - \Lambda & l - \lambda & r_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\times \begin{pmatrix} L_1 - \Lambda & l - \lambda & r_2 \\ m_{L_1} - m_{\Lambda} & m_l - m_{\Lambda} & m_{\Lambda} + m_{\Lambda} - m_{L_1} - m_l \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.22)
$$

which are obtained by repeated applications of the addition theorem for the spherical harmonics.

The geometrical factor G_{J-j} can be simplified by angular momentum algebra (see Appendix A):

$$
G_{J-j} = (-1)^{\delta-s+l_1+S_1+J_2+r} \{LSJ\} \hat{J}_J \hat{J}_2 \hat{J}_2 \hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2 \hat{L}_1 \sum_y (-1)^y (2y+1) \begin{cases} r & r_2 & L_1-\Lambda & L_1 \\ l_2 & l-\lambda & \Lambda & L_2 \\ y & l & \lambda & r_1 \end{cases} \begin{cases} s & L_1 & j_2 & \delta & J_2 \\ L_2 & j & s_2 & s_3 & S_1 \\ J_1 & S_2 & l_2 & y & l \end{cases},
$$
\n(4.23)

where ${LSJ}$ indicates the triangular relation among L, S, and J. The two quantities in the brackets in the y summation in (4.23) are the 12-j symbol of the first kind and the 15-j symbol of the fifth kind, which can be written in terms of $6-j$ symbols as²⁰

$$
\begin{pmatrix} r & r_2 & L_1 - \Lambda & L_1 \\ L_2 & l - \lambda & \Lambda & L_2 \\ y & l & \lambda & r_1 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{x} (-1)^{R_4 - x} (2x + 1) \begin{cases} r & y & x \\ l & r_2 & l_2 \end{cases} \begin{cases} r_2 & l & x \\ \lambda & L_1 - \Lambda & l - \lambda \end{cases} \begin{cases} L_1 - \Lambda & \lambda & x \\ r_1 & L_1 & \Lambda \end{cases} \begin{cases} L_1 & r_1 & x \\ r & y & L_2 \end{cases}, \quad (4.24)
$$

with $R_4 = y + l_2 + L_2 + r_1 + r_2 + r$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}\n^5 \begin{pmatrix}\nS & L_1 j_2 & S & J_2 \\
L_2 j & S_2 & S_3 & S_1 \\
J_1 & S_2 & l_2 & y & l\n\end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{x_1, x_2} (2x_1 + 1)(2x_2 + 1)(-1)^{j_2 + s_2 + s + L_1 - L_2 + j - S_1 + y + x_2} \begin{cases}\nS_3 & l & x_1 \\
j & S_2 & s\n\end{cases}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nS_1 & y & x_2 \\
L_2 & J_1 & L_1\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nS_3 & l & x_1 \\
S_1 & y & x_2 \\
S_2 & l_2 & j_2\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nJ & S_2 & x_1 \\
J_1 & L_2 & x_2 \\
J_2 & J_2 & j_2\n\end{pmatrix},\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(4.25)

where the last two brackets are the usual $9-j$ symbols.

From (4.16), we have

$$
T_{L_1, L, l, r}^{J_1 S T T_z} (\rho_2, q_2) = 2\pi \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{2}{q} \delta(q^2 - q_1^2) \frac{\tau_{L_1, L}^{J_1 S T T_z} (\rho^2, p_1^2; s - q^2)}{p_1^{L_1} q_1!} P_r(\cos\theta) d(\cos\theta) , \qquad (4.26)
$$

where θ is the angle between \hat{p}_2 and \hat{q}_2 . Equation (4.26) reduces to¹³

$$
T_{L_1, L, i, r}^{J_1 S T T_g} (p_2, q_2) = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_{12}\beta_{12}\beta_{2}q_2} \frac{\tau_{L_1, L}^{J_1 S T T_g} (p^2, p_1^2; s - q^2)}{(p_2^2 + q_2^2 - q^2)^{L_1/2} q^{L_1}} P_r(\cos\theta') [H(\cos\theta' + 1) - H(\cos\theta' - 1)], \qquad (4.27)
$$

where

$$
\cos\theta' = \frac{\beta_{12}^2 \beta_2^2 + \alpha_{12}^2 q_2^2 - q^2}{2 \alpha_{12} \beta_{12} \beta_2 q_2}
$$
(4.27a)

and $H(x)$ is the Heaviside unit function.

The final result for the integral part of the Faddeev equation (4.6) is

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{2}^{2}dp_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} q_{2}^{2}dq_{2} \frac{(1)_{K_{2}}}{p_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}-s} \psi_{s}^{(1)}(p_{2},q_{2},\alpha_{2})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_{1}, J_{1}} \delta_{JJ_{1}} \delta_{S S_{1}} \delta_{\gamma_{Z}\gamma_{ZZ}} (-1)^{t_{2}-T_{2}-T_{2}} \hat{T}\hat{T}_{2}W(t_{1}t_{3}T_{2}t_{2};T_{2}T) \sum_{T_{z}, t_{z}} \langle TT_{z}tt_{z} | T T_{z}\rangle \langle TT_{z}tt_{z} | T_{2}T_{2z}\rangle
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{L_{1}} \overline{\delta}_{L_{1}, L} \sum_{\lambda \Lambda r r_{1}r_{2}} \binom{2l+1}{2\lambda}^{1/2} \binom{2L_{1}+1}{2\lambda}^{1/2} (\alpha_{12})^{1-\lambda+\Lambda-1} (\beta_{12})^{\lambda+L_{1}-\Lambda-1} (-1)^{L_{1}+1-\lambda} (2L_{2}+1)^{1/2} (2l_{2}+1)^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\times (2r_{1}+1)(2r_{2}+1)[2(L_{1}-\Lambda)+1]^{1/2} [2(l-\lambda)+1]^{1/2} \hat{r}^{2} \binom{L_{2}}{0} \frac{r}{0} \frac{r}{0} \binom{\Lambda}{0} \frac{\lambda}{0} \frac{r_{1}}{0} \binom{r}{0} \binom{L_{2}}{0} \frac{r_{2}}{0} \binom{L_{1}-\Lambda}{0} \frac{l-\lambda}{0} \frac{r_{2}}{0}
$$

$$
\times G_{J-j} \frac{1}{q^{l+1}} \int_0^\infty dq_2 q_2^{L_1-\Lambda+l-\lambda+1} \int_{|\alpha_{12}q_2-q|/\beta_{12}}^{(\alpha_{12}q_2+q)/\beta_{12}} dp_2 p_2^{\Lambda+\lambda+1} \frac{\tau_{L_1,L}^{j+1} z(\hat{p}^2, \hat{p}_2^2+q_2^2-q^2; \hat{s}-q^2)}{(\hat{p}_2^2+q_2^2-s)(\hat{p}_2^2+q_2^2-q^2)^{L_1/2}}
$$

$$
\times P_r \left(\frac{\beta_{12}^2 \hat{p}_2^2 + \alpha_{12}^2 q_2^2 - q^2}{2 \alpha_{12} \beta_{12} \hat{p}_2 q_2} \right) \psi_s^{(1)}(\hat{p}_2, q_2, \alpha_2).
$$
(4.28)

The τ function is related to the two-body t matrix for S=0 and T=0 or 1 by the relation

$$
\tau_{L,L}^{L0TT_{z}}(p^{2},p_{1}^{2}; s-q^{2}) = -\frac{2}{\pi} t_{L}(p,p_{1}; (s-q^{2})^{1/2}), \qquad (4.29)
$$

where t_L is normalized so that $t_L(k, k; k) = e^{i\delta_L} \sin\delta_L/k$ with the Lth partial-wave phase shift δ_L . For $T_s = -1$ (proton-proton system), we must include the Coulomb interaction in the two-body t matrix. The complete off-shell two-body *t* matrix can be calculated for the general case of tensor and singular core interaction
by a straightforward integral-equation approach.^{24, 25} by a straightforward integral-equation approach.^{24,25}

For the scattering problem, we need to specify the inhomogeneous term $\varphi_s^{(i)}(p,q,\alpha)$ in Eq. (4.6). This term contains the matrix element $^{(i)}K_i$ in addition to $^{(i)}K_j$ given in Eq. (4.18). The calculation of $^{(i)}K_i$ is much simpler than that of $^{(i)}K_i$. We will only present the result for $^{(1)}K_i$.

$$
{}^{(1)}K_{1} = \frac{2}{q} \delta(q^{2} - q_{1}^{2}) \delta_{j_{j_{1}}} \delta_{l_{1}} \delta_{ss_{1}} \delta_{j_{j_{1}}} \delta_{s_{1}} \delta_{j_{j_{2}}} \delta_{j_{l_{2}}} \delta_{j_{l_{2}}} \delta_{r_{z}} r_{1z} \sum_{T_{z}, t_{z}} \langle TT_{z}tt_{z} | TT_{z} \rangle \langle TT_{z}tt_{z} | T_{1}T_{1z} \rangle
$$

$$
\times \overline{\delta}_{L_{1}, L} \{lsj\} \{Jj\beta\} \{LSJ\} \{L_{1}S_{1}J_{1}\} \tau_{L_{1}, L}^{J_{1}STT_{z}}(p^{2}, p_{1}^{2}; s - q^{2}),
$$
\n(4.30)

where subscript 1 indicates the initial-state quantum numbers.

For the special case of spinless identical particles $(\vec{s}_1 = \vec{s}_2 = \vec{s}_3 = 0 \text{ and } \vec{t}_1 = \vec{t}_2 = \vec{t}_3 = 0)$, G_{J-j} as defined in Eq. (4.23) reduces to

$$
G_{J-j} = (-1)^{\mathcal{L}+r_1+r_2+r} \left(\hat{I}\hat{L}\right) \begin{Bmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & \mathcal{L} \\ l_2 & L_2 & r \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L_1 & \Lambda & L_1-\Lambda \\ l & \lambda & l-\lambda \\ \mathcal{L} & r_1 & r_2 \end{Bmatrix}.
$$
 (4.31)

Substituting (4.31) into (4.18), we obtain $^{(1)}K_2$ for the special case of spinless identical particles

$$
{}^{(1)}K_{2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\lambda \Lambda \tau_{1} \tau_{2} r} (-1)^{\mathcal{L} + \tau_{1} + \tau_{2} + r} \binom{2l+1}{2\lambda}^{1/2} \binom{2L+1}{2\Lambda}^{1/2} (\beta_{12}\beta_{12})^{\lambda} (-\alpha_{12}q_{2})^{1-\lambda} (-\alpha_{12}\beta_{2})^{\Lambda} (-\beta_{12}q_{2})^{L-\Lambda}
$$

$$
\times \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{1}^{2} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{2}^{2} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\hat{2}} \hat{L} \hat{L}_{2} \hat{L}_{2} [2(L-\Lambda) + 1]^{1/2} [2(l-\lambda) + 1]^{1/2} \binom{L_{2} r r}{0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0} \binom{\Lambda}{0} \frac{\lambda r_{1}}{0} \binom{r}{0} \frac{l_{2} r_{2}}{0 \ 0 \ 0} \binom{L-\Lambda}{0} \binom{L-\Lambda}{0} \frac{l-\lambda}{0} \frac{r_{2}}{0} T_{L,L,l}^{L\,0\,0\,0} (b_{2},q_{2}), \tag{4.32}
$$

which agrees with the result of El-Baz *et al*.¹³ when their phase factor $(-1)^{\mathfrak{L}}$ is multiplied by the correctio factor $(-1)^{x_1+x_2+J}$.

V. L- S COUPLING SCHEME

In the \pounds -8 coupling scheme, we form (c.m. system) eigenstates of total angular momentum $\mathcal J$ and projection of total angular momentum on the z axis of a space-fixed coordinate system \mathcal{J}_z from a direct product of the eigenstates of $\mathcal L$ (relative orbital angular momentum of a nucleon pair plus angular momentum of the third nucleon in the c.m. system) and 3 (total spin angular momentum of a nucleon pair plus spin angular momentum of the third nucleon). The isospin parts of these states are the same as those in the $J-j$ coupling scheme. The complete list of commuting operators which characterize the $\mathcal{L}-s$ coupling scheme was given in Sec. III.

The explicit construction of the complete set of \mathcal{L} -S basis states is given by

$$
\begin{aligned} \left| \rho_{\gamma} q_{\gamma}^* \alpha \right\rangle_i &= \left| \rho_{\gamma} q_{\gamma}^* \alpha(i, jk) \right\rangle_i \\ &= \left| \left[\rho q(Ll) \pounds, (S_{\gamma}) s_{\gamma} \right] g_{z_{\gamma}}^* (T_{\gamma} \nabla T_{z})_{i} \right| \\ &= \sum_{m_{\mathcal{L}}, m_{\mathcal{S}}} \left\langle \pounds m_{\mathcal{L}} s m_{s} \right| \left| g_{z_{\gamma}} \right| \left| \rho q(Ll) \pounds m_{\mathcal{L}} \right\rangle_i \left| (S_{\gamma}) s m_{s} \right\rangle_i \left| (T_{\gamma} \nabla T_{z})_{i} \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}
$$

with

$$
|pq(Ll)\mathcal{L}m_{\mathcal{L}}\rangle_i = \sum_{m_L, m_l} \langle Lm_L lm_l | \mathcal{L}m_{\mathcal{L}}\rangle | pLm_L; qlm_l\rangle_i
$$
 (5.2)

and

$$
|(Ss) \delta m_{\rm s} \rangle_{i} = \sum_{m_{\rm s}, m_{\rm s}} \langle Sm_{\rm s} s m_{\rm s} | \delta m_{\rm s} \rangle | Sm_{\rm s} \rangle_{i} | s m_{\rm s} \rangle_{i} . \tag{5.3}
$$

The eigenstates given by (5.1) - (5.3) are related to those of the $J-j$ coupling scheme (4.1) by a unitary transformation:

$$
\left\|[pq(Ll)\mathfrak{L}_{s}(S_{S})\mathfrak{S}\right]\mathfrak{J}_{g_{z}};\left(Tt\right)\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}_{g}\right\rangle_{i}=\sum_{J,j}\hat{J}\hat{j}\hat{\mathfrak{L}}\hat{\mathfrak{S}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}L\quad l\quad \mathfrak{L}\\S\quad s\quad s\\J\quad j\quad \mathfrak{J}\end{array}\right\}\left[\left[p(LS)J,q(ls)\right]\mathfrak{J}_{g_{z}};\left(Tt\right)\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}_{g}\right\rangle_{i}.
$$
\n(5.4)

The two-particle spin eigenstate $|Sm_S\rangle_i$ is the same as that defined in (4.3). As in the J-j scheme, antisymmetrization with respect to jk exchange requires that

$$
(-1)^{L+S+T}=-1.
$$

The orthonormality relation and the expansion of the states (5.1) in eigenstates of linear momentum are similar to those given in (4.5) and (4.4}, respectively.

The Faddeev equation, in the x -S coupling scheme, is the same as (4.6) with $|p,q,\alpha\rangle$, denoting x -S basis states and the kernel $^{(1)}K_2$ given by

$$
\langle 1 \rangle_{K_{2}} = \sum_{\substack{\text{(all magnetic quantum) and the s- except } \mathcal{J}_{z} \text{ is a}} \langle \mathcal{J}_{z} | \mathcal{L}m_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{S}m_{s} \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}m_{\mathcal{L}}|m_{\mathcal{L}}\rangle \langle \mathcal{S}m_{s} | \mathcal{S}m_{s} \mathcal{S}m_{s} \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}\mathcal{S}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}} | \mathcal{J}_{2}\mathcal{J}_{2} \rangle} \langle \mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}\mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} | \mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{L}_{2}} \rangle \langle \mathcal{S}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}}\mathcal{S}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}} | \mathcal{S}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}} \rangle \int d\hat{p}_{2} \int d\hat{q}_{2} \int d\hat{p} \int d\hat{q} Y_{Lm}^{*}(\hat{p}) Y_{Lm}^{*}(\hat{q}) Y_{L_{2}m_{L_{2}}}(\hat{p}_{2}) Y_{L_{2}m_{L_{2}}}(\hat{q}_{2}) \times \langle L_{2}m_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}\mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}} \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}}\mathcal{S}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}} | \mathcal{S}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}}\mathcal{S}_{2}m_{\mathcal{S}_{2}} \langle T_{2}t_{2} \rangle T_{2}T_{2z} \rangle .
$$
\n(5.5)

(5.5) differs from (4.10) only in the first six Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, and hence the angular momentum reduction for $^{(1)}K_2$ in the £-S coupling scheme is identical with that given by (4.18) except for the replacement of G_{J-j} $\delta_{J,j}$ by $G_{\mathcal{L}-S}$, where

$$
G_{\mathcal{L}-s} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{J}+1} \sum_{\substack{(all \text{ magnetic}) \\ \text{quantum numbers}}} (-1)^{m} \delta_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}}\delta_{\mathcal{J}_{z}} \delta_{\mathcal{J}_{z}} \delta_{m_{L_{1}}+m_{S_{1}}}, m_{L}+m_{S} \delta_{m_{A}}+m_{\lambda}, m_{L_{2}}-m_{r} \delta_{m_{r}}+m_{l_{2}}, m_{L_{1}}+m_{l}-m_{\Lambda}-m_{\lambda}
$$
\n
$$
\times \langle \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{J}_{z}} | \mathcal{L}m_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{S}m_{\mathcal{S}} \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}m_{\mathcal{L}} | Lm_{L}lm_{l} \rangle \langle \mathcal{S}m_{\mathcal{S}} | Sm_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S}m_{\mathcal{S}} \rangle \langle \mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{J}_{2} \mathcal{S}m_{\mathcal{L}} | \mathcal{J}_{2}m_{L_{2}} | \mathcal{L}_{2}m_{\mathcal{L}} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\times \langle S_{2}m_{S_{2}}\mathcal{S}_{2}m_{S_{2}} | \mathcal{S}_{2}m_{S_{2}} \rangle \langle Lm_{L}\mathcal{S}m_{S} | J_{1}m_{L}+m_{S} \rangle \langle L_{1}m_{L_{1}}\mathcal{S}_{1}m_{S_{1}} | J_{1}m_{L_{1}}+m_{S_{1}} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\times \langle S_{3}m_{S_{3}}\mathcal{S}_{1}m_{S_{1}} | S_{2}m_{S_{2}} \rangle \langle S_{2}m_{S_{2}}\mathcal{S}_{3}m_{S_{3}} | S_{1}m_{S_{1}} \rangle \langle \lambda m_{\lambda} l - \lambda m - m_{\lambda} | l m \rangle \langle \Lambda m_{\Lambda} L_{1} - \Lambda m_{L_{1}} - m_{\Lambda} | L_{1}m_{L_{1}} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\times \left(\begin{matrix} L_{2} & r & r_{1} \\ m_{2} & m_{r} & m_{r} - m_{L_{2}} \end{matrix} \right) \left(\begin{matrix} \Lambda & \lambda & r_{1} \\ m_{\Lambda} & m_{\Lambda} - m_{\Lambda} -
$$

(5.6) reduces to (see Appendix A)

$$
G_{\mathcal{L}-s} = (-1)^{r_1+r_2+r_3+s+s_2+s_2+s_2} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \hat{\mathbf{L}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{L}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{L}}_1^2 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{L}}_1 \begin{Bmatrix} s_2 & s_3 & s_1 \ s & s_2 & s_2 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & s_2 \ t & \lambda & l-\lambda \ t & \lambda & l-\lambda \end{Bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & l & s & s_1 \ s_1 & s & s_2 & s_2 \ t & \lambda & l-\lambda \end{bmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
(5.7)
$$

where the square bracket is the $12-j$ symbol of the second kind²⁰:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} J_1 & l & s & s \\ S_1 & S & S_2 & S \\ L_1 & s_2 & L & s \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{S_1 - S - S_2 + S} \sum_x (2x + 1) \begin{Bmatrix} L_1 & s_2 & x \\ s & J_1 & S_1 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L & s & x \\ s & J_1 & S \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L_1 & s_2 & x \\ s & J_1 & S \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L_1 & s_2 & x \\ s & J_1 & S \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L_2 & s & s \\ s & J_1 & S \end{Bmatrix}.
$$
 (5.8)

The final expression for the integral part of (4.6) in the £-8 coupling scheme is the same as (4.28) with $G_{\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{S}}$ replacing $G_{J-j}\delta_{JJ_1}$.

For the special case of spinless identical particles $(\bar{s}_1 = \bar{s}_2 = \bar{s}_3 = 0, \bar{t}_1 = \bar{t}_2 = \bar{t}_3 = 0)$, $G_{\bar{g}-s}$ and ${}^{(1)}K_2$ reduce to (4.31) and (4.32), respectively.

The matrix element ⁽ⁱ⁾ K_i required for the inhomogeneous term $\varphi_s^{(i)}$ can be obtained by a similar method.
The final expression for ⁽¹⁾ K_i in the \mathcal{L} -8 coupling scheme is

(1)
\n
$$
K_{1} = \sum_{J, J_{1}} \frac{2}{q} \delta(q^{2} - q_{1}^{2})(-1)^{L+S+\mathcal{L}+S+\mathcal{L}+S_{1}+\mathcal{L}_{1}+S_{1}+2s} \delta_{l_{1}^{2}} \delta_{s_{1}^{2}} \delta_{j_{1}^{2}} \delta_{s_{1}^{2}} \delta_{j_{1}^{2}} \delta_{s_{1}^{2}} \gamma_{1z} \gamma_{1z}
$$
\n
$$
\times \hat{\mathbf{E}} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{1}^{2} \sum_{T_{z}} \langle TT_{z} t t_{z} | TT_{z} \rangle \langle TT_{z} t t_{z} | T_{1}^{2} \rangle \delta_{L_{1}, L}
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{\chi} (2x+1) \begin{cases} s_{1} L_{1} & \chi \end{cases} \begin{cases} s & L & \chi \end{cases} \begin{cases} s_{1} L_{1} & \chi \end{cases} \begin{cases} s & L & \chi \end{cases} \begin{cases} s_{1} L_{1} & \chi \end{cases} \begin{cases} s & L & \chi \end{cases} \begin{cases} s & \chi \end{cases}
$$

 G_{I}

where subscript 1 indicates the initial-state quantum numbers.

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have obtained complete angular momentum reductions of the Faddeev equations for three-nucleon systems in two different coupling schemes $(J-i)$ and \mathcal{L} -8). The two-nucleon interaction is assumed to have general space, spin, isospin, and velocity dependence consistent with invariance under the usual space-time translations and inversions, Galilean boosts, rotations in ordinary space, and rotations about the z axis in isospin space. Complete antisymmetrization of states with respect to particle exchange is easily accomplished by using the properties of the permutation group and the isospin formalism. The extraction of a properly antisymmetrized wave function for the three-nucleon system from the solution of the Faddeev equation (3.14) was briefly discussed in Sec. III.

For the special case of separable two-nucleon interactions, the results of this payer can be used to reduce the Faddeev equation (3.14) to a set of coupled integral equations in one continuous variable.

In future publications, we will give a detailed theoretical and numerical analysis of three-nucleon bound-state wave functions, electromagnetic form factors, and low-energy scattering parameters, based on the formalism of this paper and that of Refs. 3 and 25.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank Professor S. M. Harris for several helpful discussions.

APPENDIX A. GRAPHICAL REDUCTIONS OF GEOMETRICAL FACTORS G_{J-j} AND G_{g-j}

In this Appendix, we present graphical reductions of G_{J-j} and G_{g-s} , defined in (4.19) and (5.6) by the graphical method of Yutsis, Levinson, and

Vanagas (YLV) .²⁰ We first consider G_{J-i} , which can be reduced to

$$
_{-j} = (-1)^{3\hat{J} - s} 3^{-r} 1^{-r} 2^{-r} \hat{J} \hat{j} \ \hat{J}_2 \hat{j}_2 \hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2 \hat{l} \hat{L}_1 \{LSJ\} H_{J-j} ,
$$
\n(A1)

where ${LSJ}$ indicates the triangular relation among L, S, and J, and H_{J-j} is graphically given in Fig. 1. In drawing the graphs in figures, we have made two minor modifications of the YLV method. We have dropped the directional arrows for lines corresponding to integer spins and have retained the directional arrows for lines corresponding to half-integer spins. Also, when the sum of the upper three arguments of the $3-j$ symbol representing a node is an even integer, the node is enclosed by a circle, and the positive or negative sign omitted because, in this case, the orientation of the node is irrelevant. Orientation of the node is positive or negative depending upon whether the labeling is counterclockwise or clockwise, respectively. By cutting through the lines l , and $L_{\mathbf{z}}, \ H_{J- j}$ can be decomposed into a product of H_2 and H_3 , i.e.,

$$
H_{J-j} = \sum_{i} (2y+1) H_2 H_3,
$$
 (A2)

where H_2 and H_3 are diagrammatically represented in Fig. 1. H_2 reduces to the 12-j symbol of the first kind:

$$
H_2 = \begin{cases} \gamma & r_2 & L_1 - \Lambda & L_1 \\ l_2 & l - \lambda & \Lambda & L_2 \\ y & l & \lambda & r_1 \end{cases},\tag{A3}
$$

which can be reduced to products of the $6-j$ symbols as given in Eq. (4.24). Similarly, the diagram H_3 reduces to

$$
H_3 = (-1)^{L_1 + S_1 + J_2 + y + 2s_2} \begin{cases} s & L_1 j_2 & d J_2 \\ L_2 & j & s_2 & S_3 \\ J_1 & S_2 & l_2 & y \end{cases}, \quad (A4)
$$

FIG. 1. Graphic representation of H_{J-j} and its reduction in terms of H_2 and H_3 . H_{J-j} is related to G_{J-j} according to (A1). The dashed line indicates where the cut is made.

where the last factor is the $15-j$ symbol of the fifth kind, which reduces to Eq. (4.25). Combining (A1), (A2), (A3), and (A4), we obtain the final result G_{J-j} given in Eq. (4.23).

In the case of the \mathfrak{L} -8 coupling scheme, we can reduce $G_{\mathfrak{L}-\mathfrak{z}}$ to

$$
G_{\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{S}} = (-1)^{\mathcal{S}+s-r_1-r_2-r} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \hat{\mathbf{L}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{L}}_2 \hat{J}_1^2 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_2 \hat{I} \hat{L}_1 H_{\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{S}},
$$
\n(A5)

where H_{g-s} is given graphically in Fig. 2.

Again cutting the lines l, l_2 , L_1 , and L_2 in H_{g-8} ;
and H_{g-8} to a product of H_2 and H_4 :

$$
H_{\mathcal{L} - S} = \sum (2y + 1) H_2 H_4, \tag{A6}
$$

where H_2 is graphically given in Fig. 1, and defined in Eq. (A3), and H_4 is graphically given in Fig. 2. H_4 can be further reduced by making cuts indicated in Fig. 2:

$$
H_4 = h_1 h_2 h_3 \,. \tag{A7}
$$

The graphical representations of h_1 , h_2 , and h_3 are The graphical representations of n_1 , n_2 , and n_3 and n_4 . to

$$
h_1 = (-1)^{1+L_1+L_2} \begin{cases} l & L_1 & \mathcal{L}_2 \\ L_2 & l_2 & \mathcal{Y} \end{cases}, \qquad (A8)
$$

FIG. 2. Graphic representation of $H_{\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{S}}$ and its reduction in t d H_4 are indicated by the dashed lines. The graphic representation of H_2 is given in Fig. 1.

FIG. 3. Graphic reduction of $H_4=h_1h_2h_3$. The graphic representation of H_4 is given in Fig. 2.

$$
h_2 = (-1)^{s_2 + S_2 + 3S_2} \begin{cases} S_2 & S_3 & S_1 \\ S & S_2 & S_2 \end{cases},\tag{A9}
$$

and

$$
h_3 = (-1)^{L+l+8+\mathcal{J}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ S_1 & S_2 & S_3 & S_4 \\ L_1 & S_2 & L_3 & S_5 \end{bmatrix} .
$$
 (A10)

The last bracket factor is the $12-j$ symbol of the second kind, which reduces to Eq. (5.8) . Using
the easily verified relation,²⁰ the easily verified relation,

$$
\sum_{y} (2y+1) \begin{cases} j_1 \ j_2 \ j_3 \ j_4 \\ l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ l_4 \\ y \ k_2 \ k_3 \ k_4 \end{cases} \begin{cases} l_1 \ k_2 \ y \\ l_4 \ l_4 \ k_1 \end{cases}
$$

$$
= (-1)^{l_2 + l_3 + j_3 + k_3 - k_1} \begin{cases} j_2 \ k_4 \ k_1 \\ j_3 \ l_3 \ j_4 \\ l_2 \ k_3 \ k_2 \end{cases} \begin{cases} j_2 \ k_4 \ k_1 \\ l_4 \ l_1 \ j_1 \end{cases},
$$
(A11)

we can sum over y in (A6) to obtain

$$
\sum_{y} (2y+1)H_{2}h_{1} = (-1)^{r_{1}+r_{2}+2}
$$
\n
$$
\times \begin{Bmatrix} L_{1} & \Lambda & L_{1} - \Lambda \\ l & \lambda & l - \lambda \\ \mathcal{L}_{2} & r_{1} & r_{2} \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} r_{1} & r_{2} & \mathcal{L}_{2} \\ l_{2} & L_{2} & r \end{Bmatrix}.
$$
\n(A12)

Combination of (A5) through (A12) yields the result for $G_{\mathcal{L}-\delta}$ given in (5.7). and

APPENDIX 8. ISOSPIN EIGENSTATES

The proton and neutron isospin states are

$$
|p\rangle = |t, t_z = -\frac{1}{2}\rangle ,
$$

and

$$
|n\rangle = |t, t_{z} = +\frac{1}{2}\rangle ,
$$

respectively.

For the three-nucleon system, we couple the isospins of the kl pair to form the total isospin $\vec{\mathrm{T}}_i$ of the pair, and then obtain the total isospin $\vec{\tau}_i$ by coupling $\vec{\mathbf{T}}_i$ and the isospin of the third particle $\vec{\mathbf{t}}_i$, i.e., $\vec{\tau}_i = \vec{\tau}_i + \vec{t}_i$. In this coupling scheme, the eigenstates of total isospin are

$$
T^{T} \mathbf{r}_{s} \rangle_{i} = | (T_{i} t_{i}) T_{i} T_{iz} \rangle_{i},
$$

\n
$$
= | [(t_{k} t_{i}) T_{i} t_{i}] T_{i} T_{iz} \rangle_{i},
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{T_{iz} \atop \mathbf{r}_{iz} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{iz}} \langle T_{i} T_{iz} t_{i} t_{iz} | T_{i} T_{iz} \rangle | (t_{k} t_{i}) T_{i} T_{iz} \rangle | t_{i} t_{iz} \rangle
$$

\n(*ikl* cyclic), (B2)

where

$$
T_{iz} \tcdot t_{iz}
$$
\n(*ikl* cyclic), (B2)
\n
$$
|(t_k t_i) T_i T_{iz}| = \sum_{t_{kz} \cdot t_{iz}} \langle t_k t_{kz} t_i t_{iz} | T_i T_{iz} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\times |t_k t_{kz}| |t_i t_{iz}|.
$$
\n(B3)

From these definitions, it is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{aligned} |(T_i t_i) \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{T}_{iz} \rangle_i &= \sum_{T'_k} (-1)^{t_k + T'_k - T_i} \left[(2T_i + 1)(2T'_k + 1) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\times W(t_k t_i \mathcal{T}_i t_i; T_i T'_k) | (T'_k t_k) \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{T}_{iz} \rangle_k \end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} |(T_i t_i) \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{T}_{iz} \rangle_i &= \sum_{T_i'} (-1)^{t_i - T_i - T_i} \left[(2T_i + 1)(2T_i' + 1) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\times W(t_i t_k \mathcal{T}_i t_i; \ T_i T_i') | (T_i' t_i) \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{T}_{iz} \rangle_i \end{aligned}
$$

(B1) $(ikl \text{ cyclic}),$ $(B5)$

(B4)

where W is the usual Racah coefficient.

The projection quantum numbers for various three-nucleon states are'.

 $T_z = \frac{3}{2}$, $T_z = 1$, $t_z = \frac{1}{2}$ for the trineutron system $T_z = \frac{1}{2}$, $T_z = 1$, $t_z = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $T_z = -\frac{1}{2}$, $T_z = 0$, $t_z = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $T_g = -\frac{1}{2}$, $T_g = -1$, $t_g = \frac{1}{2}$ for He³ $T_g = -\frac{3}{2}$, $T_g = -1$, $t_g = -\frac{1}{2}$ for the triproto $T_z = 0$, $t_z = \frac{1}{2}$ for the triton system. (B6)

The two-nucleon Coulomb interaction is

$$
V_C(\mathbf{r}_{12}) = \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} \frac{1}{2} (T_z^2 - T_z)
$$

for point nucleons. Thus the two-nucleon t matrix $\tau_{L_1,L}^{J_1STT_z}$ will have Coulomb contributions for T_z $=-1$, but not for $T_g = 0$ or 1.

In the presence of Coulomb interactions, total isospin is not conserved, so that τ is not necessarily equal to ${\tau}_\text{2}$ in (4.18), i.e., isospin mixing occurs. In the absence of Coulomb interaction, the two-nucleon t matrix is independent of T_z so that we can sum over T_z in (4.18) to obtain

$$
\sum_{T_z,t_z} \langle TT_ztt_z | TT_z \rangle \langle TT_ztt_z | T_2T_z \rangle = \delta_{TT_2}.
$$
 (B7)

*Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

 1 L. D. Faddeev, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 39, 1459 (1960) Itransl.: Soviet Phys. - JETP 12, 1014 (1961)]; Mathematical Aspects of the Three-Body Problem in the Quantum Scattering Theory (Daniel Davey and Company, Inc. , New York, 1965).

²R. D. Amado, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. $19, 61$ (1969), and references cited therein.

 ${}^{3}Y$. E. Kim, J. Math. Phys. 10, 1491 (1969).

 4 R. A. Malfliet and J. A. Tjon, Nucl. Phys. $\underline{A127}$, 161 (1968).

 ${}^{5}R$. Omnes, Phys. Rev. 134, B1358 (1964).

 $6T.$ A. Osborn and H P. Noyes, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 215 (1966).

⁷T. A. Osborn, Stanford University Report No. SLAC-79, 1967 (unpublished); Stanford University Report No.

SLAC-PUB-391, 1967 (unpublished).

 $8A$. Ahmadzadeh and T. A. Tjon, Phys. Rev. 139, B1085 (1965).

 9 J. S. Ball and D. Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. 169, 1362 (1968). ¹⁰Y. E. Kim, Phys. Letters 29B, 411 (1969).

 11 J. R. Fulco and D. Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. 172, 1062

(1968). $12R$. A. Malfliet and J. A. Tjon, Phys. Letters 29B, 391

(1969); 30B, 293 (1969). ^{13}E . El-Baz, C. Fayard, G. H. Lamot, and J. La-

foucrière, Nuovo Cimento 64A, 13 (1969). 14 M. Moshinsky, Nucl. Phys. 13, 104 (1959); N. Austern,

R. M. Drisko, E. C. Halbert, and G. B. Satchler, Phys.

- Rev. 133, B3 (1964).
- ev. 133, B3 (1964).
¹⁵J. N. Massot, E. El-Baz, and J. Lafoucrière, Rev. Mod. Phys. 39, 288 (1967).
- 16 R. Balian and E. Brezin, Nuovo Cimento 61B, 403 (1969).

 17 G. D. Doolen, Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University, 1968 (unpublished).

 18 M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) $\frac{7}{5}$, 404 (1959); G. C. Wick, *ibid*. 18, 65 (1962).

 19 S. P. Lee, Ph.D. thesis, University of London, 1969 (unpublished); "Faddeev's Equations in the SU(3) Representation of Three-Particle States, I" (to be published).

 20 A. P. Yutsis, I. B. Levinson, and V. V. Vanagas, Theory of Angular Momentum (Israel Program for Sci-

entific Translations, Jerusalem, 1962). 21 G. Derrick and J. M. Blatt, Nucl. Phys. 8, 310 (1958).

See also T. Kalotas and L. M. Delves, *ibid.* 60, 363 (1964); G. H. Derrick, ibid. 18, 303 (1960); 16, 405

(1960). 22 M. Hamermesh, Group Theory and Its Application to Physical Problems (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. , Beading, Massachusetts, 1962); A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969), Vol. I.

 23 See, for example, R. D. Amado, Phys. Rev. 141, 902 (1965), and Ref. 12.

 24 K. L. Kowalski and D. Feldman, J. Math. Phys. 2. 499 (1961).

 ^{25}Y . E. Kim and A. Tubis, Phys. Rev. C 1, 414 (1970).