Energy levels of ²⁵⁰Cf populated in the β^- decay of ²⁵⁰Bk

C. W. Reich, R. G. Helmer, and R. J. Gehrke

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, EG&G Idaho, Inc., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401 (Received 15 May 1978)

The level scheme of doubly even $\frac{250}{98}$ Cf₁₅₂ has been studied from the β^- decay of 250 Bk. γ -ray energy and intensity measurements were made using Ge(Li) and Si(Li) detectors. Analysis of γ -singles and γ - γ coincidence data has revealed the existence of ~ 46 γ -ray transitions. Approximately 40 of these, accounting for $\gtrsim 99.97\%$ of the observed γ -ray intensity, have been incorporated into a level scheme for 250 Cf consisting of 17 excited states with excitation energies up to 1695 keV. The following states, whose I^{π} values and energies (in keV) are well known from other experiments, are observed: the 0⁺(0), 2⁺(42.73), and 4⁺(141.89) members of the ground-state band; the 2⁻(871.56), 3⁻(905.85), and 4⁻(952.0) members of the $K^{\pi} = 2^-$ octupole band; and the 2⁺(1031.85) and 3⁺(1071.38) members of the γ -vibrational band. In addition, evidence is presented which permits the following I, K^{π} assignments to be made with reasonable confidence (the corresponding level energies—in keV—are given in parentheses): 1,1⁻(1175.5); 2,2⁺(1244.50); 2,0⁺(1296.64); 2,2⁺(1657.99); and 3,2⁺(1695.2). The half-life of ²⁵⁰Bk has been remeasured and a value of 192.7 ± 0.3 m obtained. The absolute intensities (in photons/100 ²⁵⁰Bk β^- decays) of the prominent 989- and (1028 ± 1031)-keV γ rays have been measured using a 4 π β - γ coincidence system and values of 45.0 ± 0.8 and 40.6 ± 0.7, respectively, have been obtained.

RADIOACTIVITY ²⁵⁰Bk from ²⁵⁴Es α decay; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma-\gamma$, $4\pi\beta-\gamma$, $T_{1/2}$; ²⁵⁰Cf deduced levels, J, π , logft. Ge(Li) and Si(Li) detectors, chemical separation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Until recently, relatively little information has been available on the level scheme of ²⁵⁰Cf. As summarized in the Nuclear Data Sheets,¹ the ground-state band up through the $I^{\pi} = 6^+$ member and the 2⁺ and 3⁺ members of the γ -vibrational band are considered firmly established. This information was derived from studies of the $\beta^$ decay of ²⁵⁰Bk,^{2,3} the *EC* decay of the 2.1-h and 8.6-h isomers of ²⁵⁰Es (Ref. 4) and the α decay of ²⁵⁴Fm.⁵

Recently, information on the properties of a $K^{\pi} = 2^{-}$ octupole band and two-quasiparticle bands with K^{π} values ranging from 4⁻ through 6⁻ has been obtained from studies of the single-nucleon transfer reactions ²⁴⁹Cf (d, p) and ²⁴⁹Bk (α, t) .⁶ In particular, these studies established the existence of appreciable mixing between two-neutron and two-proton configurations with $K^{\pi} = 5^{-}$ and provided information concerning the two-quasiparticle makeup of the 2⁻ octupole band. Additional data on these same energy levels in ²⁵⁰Cf have been obtained from a recent study⁷ of the decay of the 8.6-h ($I^{\pi} = 6^{+}$) isomer of ²⁵⁰Es.

With regard to the ²⁵⁰Bk decay, in an early study² the half-life and Q_{β} value were measured, in addition to the energies and intensities of the more prominent β and γ transitions. Subsequently, the γ -ray spectrum of ²⁵⁰Bk was measured³ using a Ge(Li) spectrometer. From this study, a determination was made of the magnitude of the band-mixing parameter z_{γ} characterizing the mixing of the ground-state and the γ -vibrational bands. Some additional information on the ²⁵⁰Cf levels observed in the ²⁵⁰Bk decay has been given in an unpublished report.⁸

In this paper, the results of a study of the ²⁵⁰Bk decay, carried out to provide a more complete picture of the ²⁵⁰Cf energy-level structure, are presented. We have measured the γ -ray singles and γ - γ coincidence spectra, the ²⁵⁰Bk half-life, and $4\pi \beta$ - γ coincidence relationships to obtain absolute intensity values (photons/decay) for the γ radiation. During the course of these measurements, a report appeared⁹ describing the results of a study of the ²⁵⁰Bk decay. The results of our study disagree in many significant respects with those given in Ref. 9.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS AND RESULTS

A. Source preparation

The ²⁵⁰Bk activity was obtained as the daughter activity from the α decay of an ~ 0.02- μ g source of ²⁵⁴Es ($T_{1/2}$ =275.7 d). The source was acquired through the auspices of the Transplutonium Program Committee and was provided by ORNL. It initially contained the following relative activity levels, as determined by α -particle pulse analysis at ORNL: 276-d ²⁵⁴Es (87.2%), 20-d ²⁵³Es (12.7%), and 20-h ²⁵⁵Fm (0.07%). A period of ~1 year

188

<u>19</u>

elapsed between the receipt of the source and the initiation of the measurements. The content of the impurity activities 253 Es and 255 Fm was thus negligible. This was verified by careful examination of the γ -ray spectrum, which failed to reveal evidence for even the more prominent γ rays from these two activities and their daughters.

For the study of the higher-energy (>0.5-MeV) region of the ^{250}Bk $\gamma\text{-ray}$ spectrum and for the $\gamma\text{-}\gamma$ coincidence studies, a source containing ²⁵⁴Es and ²⁵⁰Bk in secular equilibrium was used. For the study of the lower-energy (<0.5-MeV) portion of the spectrum and for the $4\pi \beta - \gamma$ coincidence measurements, where the presence of the ²⁵⁴Es can markedly interfere, the ²⁵⁰Bk was milked from the Es. This was accomplished by oxidation of the Bk to the +4 oxidation state using 10M HNO₃-0.1M NaBrO₃ and extracting into an equal volume of 0.1M HDEHP in heptane. Possible traces of entrained Es were eliminated by washing the HDEHP once with an equal volume of fresh 10M $HNO_3-0.1M$ NaBrO₃. The Bk was then back-extracted into 6M HNO₃ containing several drops of 30% H₂O₂. The Bk source was made relatively massless using successively anion-exchange and cation-exchange techniques.

B. Singles γ -ray measurements

The γ -ray singles spectra were measured on three separate 4096-channel Ge(Li) detector systems, each of which had an energy resolution of ≤ 2 keV at 1 MeV. A typical γ -ray spectrum of the chemically purified ²⁵⁰Bk source, emphasizing the energy region below ~ 1.1 MeV, is shown in Fig. 1. Because of the relatively short half-life (~3.2 h) of ²⁵⁰Bk, four chemically purified sources were required to produce this spectrum, which represents ~ 24 h of counting. The high-energy portion ($E \ge 1$ MeV) of the γ -ray spectrum from a ²⁵⁴Es + ²⁵⁰Bk source in secular equilibrium is shown in Fig. 2. A longer counting time (~90 h) was employed in order to increase the statistical quality of the data for the weak γ rays which occur in this region.

The energies of the more intense ²⁵⁰Bk lines were determined from spectra containing these lines together with those from suitable calibration sources emitting γ rays whose energies are precisely known.¹⁰⁻¹² These measurements included two spectra calibrated above 1.1 MeV with sources of ¹⁸²Ta and ²⁰⁷Bi, three spectra calibrated from 0.8–1.1 MeV with sources of ¹¹⁰Ag^m and ²⁰⁷Bi, and two spectra calibrated for the 99 and 160-keV γ rays with sources of ⁵⁷Co, ¹⁰⁹Cd, ¹³⁹Ce, ¹⁵³Gd, ²⁰³Hg, ²¹⁰Pb, and ²⁴¹Am, in different combinations. In order to obtain a more precise value for the



FIG. 1. Singles γ -ray spectrum of a chemically purified ²⁵⁰Bk source, measured using a 55-cm³ true-coaxial Ge(Li) detector at a source-detector distance of 3 cm. Sum peaks and peaks interpreted as background lines are labeled below the spectrum. The symbols U and Th, respectively, indicate that the associated peaks arise from the natural uranium and thorium backgrounds.

energy of the 42-keV γ ray, a separate calibration spectrum was measured using a 30 mm²×3 mm Si(Li) detector.¹³ With the energies of these prominent ²⁵⁰Bk lines thus determined, these γ rays were then used as secondary standards to determine the energies of the weaker lines.

The analysis of the γ -ray spectra to obtain peak positions and areas was carried out using the Gauss V program.¹⁴ Corrections to the peakposition values for nonlinearities in the detectoramplifier-analyzer system^{10,11} were made. A $1/\sigma^2$ -weighted average of the results of the



FIG. 2. High-energy region of the γ -ray spectrum of a ${}^{254}Es + {}^{250}Bk$ source in secular equilibrium. The source-detector distance was 3 cm; and an ~ 0.22 -cm thickness of Cd was interposed to reduce the effects of coincidence summing of these γ rays with the low-energy (< 0.2-MeV) radiation. The background lines are labeled as described in the caption to Fig. 1. The isotopic assignments of several of the weak peaks which can be associated with the decay of specific fission-product activities from the spontaneous fission of the 250 Cf daughter are also given.

analysis of a number of spectra (typically four) was made to provide a "best" set of energies for the 250 Bk γ rays. The uncertainties in these energy values were obtained by combining in quadrature those of the weighted averages with a reference error (13 ppm below 350 keV and 20 ppm above 350 keV).¹¹ Similarly, the relative γ -ray intensity values were determined from a weighted average of the results of the analysis of several spectra. The uncertainties resulting from this procedure represent only those of the respective peak areas. These were combined with the uncertainty in the efficiency vs energy curve to produce the overall uncertainties. The following uncertainty in the efficiency vs energy curve was used: <100 keV, 5%, 100-250 keV, 3%, and >250 keV, 1.5%.

The γ -ray energy and intensity data are summarized in Table I. Several additional weak γ rays are observed in the region above ~1 MeV which can be associated with the decay of specific fission-product activities, arising from the spontaneous-fission decay of the ²⁵⁰Cf daughter in the sample. γ ray peaks associated with the decay of ¹³⁵I, ⁹⁴Sr, ¹³⁸Cs, and ¹⁴⁰La are labeled in Fig. 2. In addition, assignment of peaks at ~1525 keV to the decay of ¹⁴⁶Pr and ~1546, 2397, and 2542 keV to ¹⁴²La can tentatively be made. It should be borne in mind that some of the weaker of the γ rays in Table I not placed in the ²⁵⁰Bk decay scheme may be associated with the decay of other fissionproduct activities.

C. Half-life measurement

The half-life of 250 Bk was measured using a 4π β - γ coincidence apparatus which employs a 4π gas-flow proportional chamber to detect the electrons and a 7.6×7.6 cm NaI(Tl) detector for the γ rays. Following the preamplifier and fast discriminator of each of these detectors are two parallel systems for pulse processing. The first system is a conventional $4\pi \beta - \gamma$ coincidence system with fixed pulse widths (~4 μ s) for both β ⁻ and γ channels. In this system, there is a constant dead time associated with each event. The second system is a new design developed especially for sources having high (> $10^{5}/s$) disintegration rates. In this system the pulse widths are kept at a minimum and the associated dead times are measured. This is done with a gated internal 8 MHz clock.

The ²⁵⁰Bk activity was followed for over twenty half-lives, counting the source for preset times (600 s) alternately with the fixed-pulse-width and the variable-pulse-width systems. The time between the end of one count and the start of the next was 300 s. Dead-time effects and background were taken into account, yielding corrected values for N_{β} , N_{γ} , and N_{c} (the β -chamber, γ -detector,

γ-ray energy (keV)	γ -ray intensity ^a	Assignment	γ-ray energy (keV)	γ -ray intensity ^a	Assignment
		L x rays	1103.33(10)	0.0020(3)	[1244 → 141]
42.740(15)	0.084(6)	$42 \rightarrow 0$	1111.50(10)	0.0024(2)	$[1253 \rightarrow 141]$
99.166(9)	0.285(15)	$141 \rightarrow 42$	1132.804(30)	0.0430(22)	$1175 \rightarrow 42$
109.84(1)	0.654(20)	Cf $K\alpha_2$	1146.674(30)	0.0280(14)	$1189 \rightarrow 42$
115.03(1)	1.02(3)	$Cf K\alpha_1$	1154.765(30)	0.0159(8)	$1296 \rightarrow 141$
119.4(3)	0.0015(5) ^d	$1071 \rightarrow 952$	1167.247(31)	0.0614(31)	$1209 \rightarrow 42$
126.01(3)	0.0140(12)	$1031 \rightarrow 905$	1175 50(9) G	$(0.078(5)^{g})$	$1175 \rightarrow 0$
~129 °	0.398(19)	$Cf K\beta_1'$	11/0.00(3)	0.015(3)	$[1218 \rightarrow 42]$
${\sim}134~^{\rm c}$	0.152(14)	Cf Kβ ₂ '	1201.791(33)	0.0105(6)	$1244 \rightarrow 42$
160.259(41)	0.0633(44)	$1031 \rightarrow 871$	1223.922(36)	0.0062(4)	b
165.44(15) ^d	0.0030(4) ^d	$1071 \rightarrow 905$	1244.416(71)	0.0029(2)	$1244 \rightarrow 0$
199.72(20) ^d	0.0024(3) ^d	$1071 \rightarrow 871$	1253.820(66)	0.0037(3)	$1296 \rightarrow 42$
303.95(20) ^d	0.0051(5) ^d	$1175 \rightarrow 871$	1279.21(23)	0.0018(2)	b
555.22(10) ^d	0.014(1) ^{d,e}	b	1296.54(13)	0.0015(2)	$1296 \rightarrow 0$
586.43(7)	0.014(1)	$1658 \rightarrow 1071$	1302.90(22)	0.0010(2)	b
626.11(4)	0.052(3)	$1658 \rightarrow 1031$	1312.95(6)	0.0033(2)	b
786.26(14)	0.011(2)	$1658 \rightarrow 871$	1342.87(8)	0.0042(3)	$1385 \rightarrow 42$
828.812(25)	0.260(14)	$871 \rightarrow 42$	1368.613(54)	0.0070(5)	$1411 \rightarrow 42$
889.956(22)	3.40(5)	$1031 \rightarrow 141$	1385.420(57)	0.0045(3)	$1385 \rightarrow 0$
929.468(22)	2.74(4)	$1071 \rightarrow 141$	1411.60(39)	0.0013(3)	$[1411 \rightarrow 0]$
989.125(21)	100	$1031 \rightarrow 42$	1516.22(7)	0.0027(2)	$1658 \rightarrow 141$
1028.654(25)	10.9(3)	$1071 \rightarrow 42$	1553.37(18)	0.0012(3)	$1695 \rightarrow 141$
1031.852(21)	79.1(12)	$1031 \rightarrow 0$	1615.295(40)	0.102(5)	$1658 \rightarrow 42$
1047.514(53)	0.00503(36)	$1189 \rightarrow 141$	1633.18(24)	0.0012(2)	b
1068.27(17)	0.0013(2)	$[1209 \rightarrow 141]$	1652.40(10)	0.0022(2)	$1695 \rightarrow 42$
1098.36(16)	0.0012(2)	b	1658.002(38)	0.0606(31)	$1658 \rightarrow 0$

TABLE I. Summary of the energy and intensity data on the photons associated with the ²⁵⁰Bk decay. Quantities in parentheses represent the uncertainties in the least significant figure (or figures) in the associated values. Quantities in square brackets represent tentative assignments.

^a To convert these relative values to absolute values (in % per decay) multiply by the factor 0.450 ± 0.008 .

^bTransition not placed in the proposed decay scheme.

^cObserved peak contains more than one component. ^dEnergy and intensity determined from coincidence spectrum.

^eIntensity obtained [relative to $I_{\gamma}(160)$] from the coincidence spectrum. If this transition directly feeds the 905-keV, 3⁻ or the 952-keV, 4⁻ state, this value must be further corrected for the branching of these states (see Sec. III of the text for further discussion).

and coincidence counting rates, respectively). The level of the residual $13.1-y^{250}$ Cf activity in the source was determined four days after the last half-life count was made. The half-life data were analyzed using a nonlinear least-squares fit with one exponential component. The data beyond ~32-h decay were not included in these fits because of their sensitivity to uncertainties in the background subtraction.

Half-life values were extracted from the β^- chamber data for both pulse-processing systems and from the computed disintegration-rate (N_0) data from the fixed dead-time system. The resulting three values were 193.15±0.18, 192.67 ± 0.06, and 192.70±0.10 m. These three sets of

^f In addition to two suggested γ rays from the ²⁵⁰Bk decay, this peak contains a weak (~8%) contribution from the 1173.2-keV γ ray from ⁶⁰Co, present in the background. The listed energy value may be affected by the presence of this γ ray.

^g Intensity of these two components obtained from comparison of singles and coincidence γ -ray spectra.

data included from 42 to 62 data points spanning 121 to 31 hours, and the reduced χ^2 values for the three fits were 0.5, 1.1, and 2.9, respectively.

The $1/\sigma^2$ -weighted average of these three values is 192.71 ± 0.09 m. In addition to this statistical error, we assign a systematic error of 0.2 m, which is intended to include possible errors from the background subtraction and any rate-dependent β^- chamber effects. This yields the final result for the ²⁵⁰Bk half-life:

$$T_{1/2}(^{250}\text{Bk}) = 192.71 \pm 0.29 \text{ m}$$

÷.

Our value for the 250 Bk half-life is in good agreement with the previously reported² value, 193.3 \pm 0.3 m.

D. Absolute γ -ray intensities

We have measured the absolute intensities (photons/100 decays) of the 989- and the (1028+1031)keV γ rays. This was done using $4\pi \beta - \gamma$ coincidence-counting techniques (see, e.g., Ref. 15). The conventional fixed-dead-time system was used to measure the sample disintegration rate and a 65-cm³ closed-end coaxial Ge(Li) detector was used to determine the absolute γ -ray emission rates of the same source.

For these measurements the ²⁵⁰Bk activity, chemically purified as described above, was deposited as a small droplet on thin conducting films of VYNS (a polyvinylchloride-acetate copolymer) and taken to dryness with a heat lamp. The VYNS films were typically 40 μ g/cm² thick and had layers of Au 25 μ g/cm² thick deposited on each side by vacuum evaporation. α counting for any residual activity (i.e., ²⁵⁰Cf and ²⁵⁴Es) after the ²⁵⁰Bk decayed indicated that the chemical separation was quite good, with the ratio of the ²⁵⁰Bk activity to that of ²⁵⁴Es being >3×10⁵ at the end of the separation.

Three samples were measured in this experiment. Three somewhat different $4\pi \beta - \gamma$ coincidence techniques were used in order to provide some information about the internal consistency of the absolute-intensity data obtained. For the first sample, the $4\pi \beta - \gamma$ coincidence data were analyzed in the conventional manner. The β^- and γ -ray counts were corrected for dead time and background and the coincidence counts were corrected for dead time, background, and random coincidences. The computed β -chamber efficiency (i.e., the ratio of coincidence and γ -ray counts) was 95.5%. The disintegration rate N_0 was then calculated from the relation $N_0 = N_B N_{\gamma} / N_c$.¹⁶

For the second sample, the ratio N_c/N_γ was varied by placing thin aluminum absorbers over the source. In the analysis of this experiment $N_{\beta}N_{\gamma}/N_c$ is plotted as a function of N_c/N_{γ} and the disintegration rate N_0 is determined by extrapolation of the individual $N_{\beta}N_{\gamma}/N_c$ values to $N_c/N_{\gamma} = 1$ (i.e., 100% chamber efficiency). This ratio, $N_{\beta}N_{\gamma}/N_c$, varied by only 2% for variations of

 N_c/N_γ from 64% to 94.4%. This indicates that for 250 Bk this extrapolation procedure provides a precise estimate of the source disintegration rate. (The small rate of change of efficiency with absorber thickness also provides justification for the simple approach used in the analysis of the data for the first sample.)

For the third sample, the ratio N_c/N_{γ} was varied by changing the chamber bias. The data were analyzed using the extrapolation technique as was done for the second sample. In this measurement the ratio $N_{\beta}N_{\gamma}/N_c$ varied by only 1% for variations of N_c/N_{γ} from 57% to 97%.

In each of the three experiments, the γ -ray emission rates for the 989- and the (1028+1031)keV transitions were determined using a Ge(Li) detector. Since for these weak sources the statistical uncertainty in each of the γ -ray peaks was no better than ~2%, the 989/(1028+1031) intensity ratio measured in this experiment was adjusted (with the total intensity a constant) to correspond to the more precise data given in Table I. These adjustments were 0.0, 0.15, and 0.5% in the three cases.

The resulting absolute γ -ray intensity data are summarized in Table II. For each of the three samples the nonsystematic contribution to the uncertainty in the absolute-intensity value from the N_0 determination is 0.2–0.3%. Typical values of the uncertainties from the γ -ray counting considered in the individual values in columns 2, 3, and 4 of Table II are as follows: statistical error in the Ge(Li)-spectrum peak areas, 1-2%, source position, 0.25%, adjustment to precise relative intensities, 0-0.25%, and decay corrections, $0.08-0.50\,\%.$ After averaging the three values, an uncertainty of 0.5% was added to account for the systematic error in the N_0 determination and an uncertainty of 1.5% was included to represent that of the Ge(Li) detector-efficiency curve. The latter is the dominant single error in the resultant absolute-intensity values.

E. γ - γ coincidence measurements

 γ - γ coincidence measurements were made using a 55-cm³ true-coaxial and a 50-cm³ closed-end

TABLE II. Experimental results of absolute γ -ray intensity measurements for ²⁵⁰Bk.

γ-ray branch	γ-ray emission probability						
E (keV)	1st sample	2nd sample	3rd sample	weighted average	adopted ^a value		
989	0.450 ₄ (6 ₇)	0.456 (10)	0.4464 (69)	0.449_9 (4 ₃)	0.450 (8)		
1028+1031	0.4054 (55)	$0.410_4 (5_7)$	0.401 ₈ (5 ₄)	$0.405_7 (3_2)$	0.406 (7)		

^aThe quoted uncertainty was derived by combining in quadrature the uncertainty in the weighted average (see column 5) with systematic errors of 0.5% from the N_0 determination and 1.5% from the Ge(Li) photopeak efficiency.

Ge(Li) detector. The measurements were carried out in a 180° geometry. With the source mounted on the face of the true-coaxial detector, an absorber consisting of a 0.9-cm thick piece of Pb sandwiched between 0.05-cm thick sheets of Cd was placed between the source and the closed-end detector to reduce the effects of backscattering between the detectors. The timing of the system was determined by two constant-fraction timingsingle-channel analyzers and a coincidence circuit whose resolving time 2τ was set nominally at 80 nsec for the majority of the measurements. In one detector, 4096-channel γ -ray spectra were recorded in coincidence with the output of a single-channel gate set on selected peaks in the other detector. Such spectra were taken for single-channel gates set on the $L \ge rays$ and γ rays having the following energies: 42, 99, 828, 929, and 989 keV. (Because of the relatively low intensity of the 42-keV γ ray, the spectrum gated by it yielded information only on the strong γ -ray peaks near ~1 MeV.) For the gates involving the low-energy γ radiation (≤ 100 keV) the true-coaxial detector, because of its higher low-energy detection efficiency, was used for the gating and the coincidence spectrum was recorded in the closed-end detector; for the higher-energy gates, where the lower-energy region of the coincidence spectrum is primarily of interest, the roles of the detectors were interchanged. In several cases, spectra coincident with regions above a peak were also measured in order to ascertain whether certain observed lines were coincident with the gating peak itself or with the background underlying it.

In the coincidence spectra, a number of weak transitions were observed which were not seen directly in the γ -ray singles spectrum. The energy and intensity values for these, listed in Table I, were based solely on analysis of these coincidence spectra.

Examples of the γ - γ coincidence spectra, those coincident with the 828-keV γ ray and with the Bk+Cf L x rays, are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. The observed coincidence relationships are summarized in Table III.

III. DECAY SCHEME

A. General considerations

The proposed decay scheme of ²⁵⁰Bk, based on the results of the present study, is shown in Fig. 5. The 2⁺ and 4⁺ members of the ground-state band and the 2⁺ and 3⁺ members of the γ -vibrational band (at 1031 and 1071 keV, respectively) are well established from previous studies¹ and are typical features of the level scheme of a strongly deformed, doubly even nucleus. These have formed a framework within which the γ - γ coincidence data and γ -singles data have been interpreted. As noted in Table III, the placement of all the stronger transitions is verified by the coincidence results.

Because of the large L-shell conversion coefficients of the 42- and 99-keV transitions (and the absence of K-shell conversion) and their overall strength, the γ -ray spectrum gated by the L x-rays effectively represents that in coincidence with either the 42-keV γ ray or both the 42- and



FIG. 3. γ -ray spectrum coincident with the 828-keV transition measured using a 55-cm³ true-coaxial Ge(Li) detector. Contributions to this spectrum from random coincidences and from coincidences with the Compton distribution contained within the gating channel have not been removed.

19



FIG. 4. Portion of the γ -ray spectrum in coincidence with the L x-ray region. The spectrum was measured on a 50-cm³ closed-end Ge(Li) detector with a backscatter shield (0.9-cm Pb+0.10cm Cd) placed between source and detector. Contributions to the spectrum from random coincidences and from coincidences with the Compton distribution underlying the peaks in the gating channel have not been removed. As discussed in the text, the presence of the 1596-keV peak is currently unexplained.

99-keV γ rays. Thus, a selective enhancement (of roughly a factor of 2) in the *L* x-ray coincidence spectrum is expected for γ rays that feed the 141-keV rather than the 42-keV level.

In the spectrum coincident with the L x rays (see Fig. 4), peaks can be located corresponding to the 1103- and 1111-keV γ rays. Although the statistical quality of the data in this region of the coincidence spectrum is rather poor, the intensities of these "peaks", relative to those of near-lying γ rays known to feed the 42-keV level, appear to be larger (by a factor of roughly 2) than what is observed in the singles spectrum. We have thus tentatively placed these γ rays as transitions feeding the 141-keV level. This placement of the 1111-keV γ ray suggests the existence of a level at 1253.4 keV. Since this is the only evidence we have for the existence of this level, we have chosen not to show it in Fig. 5.

Evidence for the presence of at least two γ rays (in addition to the 1173-keV γ ray from the ⁶⁰Co background) in the 1175-keV peak is provided by the spectrum in coincidence with the *L* x rays (see Fig. 4). An 1175-keV γ ray is present in this spectrum, although its intensity, relative to those of the near-lying γ rays, is much smaller than in the singles spectrum (Fig. 2). This suggests that there is a transition feeding either the 42- or the 141-keV level in this peak, in addition to a ground-state transition. The absence of an 1175-keV transition in the spectrum coincident with the 99-keV γ indicates that this γ ray feeds the 42-keV level. The existence of a state at ~1218 keV is thus suggested. We have indicated on the level scheme that this state is only tentatively established, since we cannot exclude the possibility that this coincidence results from feeding of the 1175-keV level by a highly *L*-converted transition unobserved in this study and since no other γ rays are observed to feed or to depopulate this state. No appreciable displacement of the 1175-keV peak with respect to those of the near-lying γ rays is observed in the singles and coincidence spectra. Consequently, the "two" transitions are assumed here to have the same

TABLE III. Summary of $\gamma\text{-}\gamma$ coincidence relationships. Parentheses indicate a tentative coincidence.

Gate energy (keV)	Coincident γ-ray energies (keV)		
$L \ge rays^{a}$	626,828,889,929,989,1028,1047,		
·	(1068), 1103, 1111, 1132, 1146, 1154,		
	1167, 1175, ^b 1201, 1342, 1368, 1596, ^c		
	1615		
99 ^a	889,929,1047,1154		
828	42, 119, 126, 160, 165, 199, 303, 555, 786		
929	42,99,586		
989	42,626		

^aBecause of the presence of a thick Pb backscatter shield between the source and the detector used to record the coincidence spectrum, information about the low-energy portion of the coincidence spectrum was not obtained.

^bOnly a portion of this peak is present in the coincidence spectrum.

^cThis γ ray is not included in Table I or the decay scheme; see text for comments.

194



FIG. 5. Decay scheme proposed for ²⁵⁰Bk from the results of this investigation. The log ft values of the β^- transitions and more precise values of the level energies are given in Table IV. Circles at the heads of arrows representing the γ -ray transitions indicate that the placement is based on coincidence information; filled circles indicate definite assignments and open circles indicate a tentative placement. The stronger transitions, indicated by the broader arrows, are all placed in accordance with the coincidence data; and no circles are shown for them. Tentatively placed γ rays and levels are shown as dashed lines.

energy, and the energy value given in Table I is that determined from analysis of the singles spec-trum.

The 1596-keV peak observed in the singles spectrum results, at least in part, from the decay of ¹⁴⁰La, a product of the spontaneous fission of ²⁵⁰Cf. The presence of a 1596-keV peak in the L x-ray coincidence spectrum (Fig. 4) may indicate that there is also such a transition in the ²⁵⁰Bk decay (implying a possible level at 1638 keV). However, we have not included this γ ray in Table I or in the level scheme.

The 555-keV γ ray is in coincidence with the 828-keV γ (see Fig. 3). It can feed the 871-keV level either directly or through the 905- or the 952-keV levels (since the decay of these latter states proceeds primarily to the 871-keV level). Lacking other information we are unable to propose a unique placement for this γ ray.

A set of "best" level-energy values for the ²⁵⁰Cf states was obtained from a least-squares fit of the γ -ray energies. In this fitting process, the γ -ray energies listed in Table I were used as input and those transitions were excluded whose placement in the level scheme was regarded as uncertain. The effect of nuclear recoil on the γ -ray energies was taken into account. The adopted final-state energy values and their estimated uncertainties are given in Table IV. The reduced

 χ^2 value of the least-squares fit was ~1.3, indicating a fairly consistent set of input energy values.

The difference between the energy of 1103-keV transition and the corresponding level-energy difference is ~6 times the quoted uncertainties. The origin of this discrepancy is not clear; it may reflect a nonstatistical error in the energy value itself (possibly indicating the presence of additional components in the peak corresponding to this γ ray) or it may indicate an incorrect placement. In the following, however, we assume that our proposed placement is correct. This placement is of some interest in that it permits us to make a unique I^{π} assignment, namely 2^+ , to the 1244-keV level.

The intensities of the β^- transitions were deduced by requiring at each level a balance between the intensities (γ -ray + conversion-electron) of the feeding and the de-exciting radiations. These data are summarized in Table IV and shown in Fig. 5. This procedure gave well-defined values in all cases except for the division of the β^- intensity between the 871- and 905-keV levels and between the ground and first excited states. The problem with these former two states arises primarily from the fact that the intensity of the 34.3keV transition connecting them is not known. However, the total β feeding of both levels is about

TABLE IV. Summary of energy and intensity data on the β transitions from the decay of ²⁵⁰Bk. The I_{β} values were obtained from γ -ray + conversion-electron intensity-balance considerations, assuming the validity of the decay scheme shown in Fig. 5. Approximately 0.015% of the γ -ray intensity is not placed in this scheme, and this may significantly affect the quoted intensities and their uncertainties for some of the weaker branches. Quantities in parentheses represent uncertainties in the least significant figure (or figures) of the associated value. Square brackets around a level energy indicate a tentative assignment.

Final-state energy (keV)	E_{β} - ^a (keV)	I _{β-} (% per decay)	$\log f_0 t$	$\log f_{1}t$	
 0	1775	4.8 ^b	•••	9.7	
42.730(13)	1732	4.8 ^b	8.7	9.6	
141.894(15)	1633	0.33(19)		$10.7^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$ c	
871.562(27)	903	0.04 ^d	9.8		
905.848(37)	869	0.02 ^d	10.0	• • •	
1031.854(16)	743	83.4(14)	6.2	•••	
1071.382(23)	704	6.21(17)	7.2		
1175.52(3)	600	0.0610(26)	9.0	•••	
1189.407(32)	586	0.0149(7)	9.6	•••	
1209.986(37)	565	0.0282(14)	9.3	9.3	
[1218.2]	557	0.0068(14)	9.9	9.9	
1244.505(35)	530	0.0069(3)	9.8	9.8	
[1253.4(1)]	522	0.0011(1)	10.6	10.6	
1296.639(33)	478	0.0095(4)	9.5	9.5	
1385.49(5)	390	0.0039(2)	9.6	•••	
1411.35(6)	364	0.0037(3)	9.5	•••	
1657.991(25)	117	0.116(4)	6.5(1) ^e	•••	
1695.17(10)	80	0.0015(2)	7.9(1) ^e	•••	

 ${}^{a}E_{\beta}$ values calculated assuming a value of 1775 ± 8 keV for the β -decay energy of 250 Bk. (See Ref. 1).

^bIntensity of this β branch was not determined in the present work. The listed value was obtained from our measured value of $(9.7 \pm 1.4)\%$ for the sum of the intensities of the β transitions to the ground and first excited states, assuming that the two branches have equal intensity (see Ref. 2).

^c Uncertainty derived from the listed uncertainty in the intensity of the β branch to this state. ^d See the text for a discussion of the basis for the choice of this value.

^eThe listed uncertainty is that resulting from the uncertainty in Q_{β} .

0.06%, and this value is relatively insensitive to any placement of the unplaced 555-keV γ ray. The split of this β intensity, given in Table IV, was made arbitrarily and merely reflects the predictions of the Alaga rules.¹⁷ The problem regarding the β^- intensity to the ground and firstexcited states involves the intensity of the highly converted 42.7-keV transition. The total intensity of this transition, calculated using the γ intensity given in Table I and either the measured⁷ (1100) \pm 140) or the theoretical¹⁸ (1300) value for the total internal-conversion coefficient, is not large enough to yield a realistic (i.e., positive) value for the β^- intensity to the 42-keV level. To obtain the quoted values of the β^- intensities feeding the ground and first-excited states, we subtracted the total β intensity feeding the higher excited states from 100%. The difference, $9.7 \pm 1.4\%$, was split equally between these two states, as suggested by the measurements of Vandenbosch

$et \ al.^2$

The $\log f_0 t$ values given in Table IV for the β transitions were calculated from the data in that table, using the computer program described in Ref. 19. A Q_β value of 1775 ± 8 keV (Ref. 1) was used. Since the *I*, K^{π} values for the ²⁵⁰Bk ground state are 2, 2⁻ (see Ref. 1), β transitions to $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ states in ²⁵⁰Cf are expected to have first-forbidden unique character. For those cases, $\log f_1 t$ values were also computed.

B. Spin and parity assignments

The I^{π} assignments shown in Fig. 5 for the states at 1031 and 1071 keV, as well as those for the members of the ground-state rotational band, appear well established from the available data, as summarized in Ref. 1. The 2⁻, 3⁻, and 4⁻ assignments for the 871-, 905-, and 952-keV states, respectively, are based on the results of

single-nucleon transfer-reaction studies⁶ and decay-scheme studies⁷ of 8.6-h 250 Es and appear to be similarly well established.

From its observed decay modes, the 1175-keV level can have $I^{\pi} = 1^{-}$, 1^{+} , or 2^{+} . We prefer the 1⁻ assignment since we believe that the 303-keV transition from this state to the 2^- state at 871 keV is M1. Evidence for this multipolarity is derived from the photon spectrum in coincidence with the 828-keV γ ray (see Fig. 3). The contributions to the $K \ge rays$ in this spectrum come from K conversion of the 160-, 303-, 555-, and 199-keV γ rays. From its placement in the level scheme, the 199-keV transition should be *E*1; the multipolarities of the 303- and 555-keV γ 's, however, are not known. The smallest inferred value of $\alpha_{\kappa}(160)$ is 0.17 ± 0.02 and is obtained if both the 555- and 303-keV transitions are assumed to be M1 (the allowed choices being E1, M1, or E2). This value is in reasonable agreement with the theoretical¹⁸ one of 0.14 for an E1 multipolarity. Any other assumptions concerning the multipolarities of the 303- and 555-keV transitions lead to unreasonably large $\alpha_{\kappa}(160)$ values. [For example, if the 555- and 303-keV transitions are assumed to be M1 and E1, respectively, an $\alpha_{\kappa}(160)$ value of 0.29 ± 0.02 is deduced. This is much larger than the theoretical values¹⁸ of 0.14, 0.16, and 0.17, respectively, for E1, E2, and E3 multipolarities, and implies an M2 admixture of ~0.5%. We believe that such an admixture would be unreasonably large since, for reasonable estimates²⁰ of the lifetime of the 1031-keV (γ -vibrational) state, the transition probability of this M2 component would be ~6 times the single-particle estimate as given by Moszkowski.²³ Not only is this extremely large in itself but also examination of the expected²⁴ two-quasiparticle makeup of the initial and final states reveals that none of the larger components can give rise to M2 radiation at all.] Consequently, we believe that both the 555- and 303-keV transitions are, at least largely, M1. The I^{π} assignment of the 1175-keV level is thus 1⁻. This assignment has appeared elsewhere in the literature (see, e.g., Refs. 24 and 25), but the experimental basis for it has not yet been reported.

Since de-exciting γ rays to the 0^+ , 2^+ , and 4^+ members of the ground-state band are observed from the states at 1244, 1296, and 1657 keV, I^{π} assignments of 2^+ can be made to each of them.

The decay of the 1189-keV state suggests $I^{\pi} = 3^{\pm}$, 4^{+} , or possibly 2^{+} . It is tempting to interpret this state as the 3^{-} member of the $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ octupole band, whose band head is the 1175-keV state. However, such an identification presents difficulties in quantitatively describing the γ -ray

branching of these two states, as discussed below.

From the present data, definite I^{π} assignments cannot be made to the remaining states. The observed γ -decay modes, however, permit some restrictions to be placed on them. The state at 1218 keV appears to decay only to the 2^+ member of the ground-state band. Although several I^{π} assignments are possible, this apparent decay pattern is what would be observed from states with $I^{\pi} = 0^+$ or 2⁻, and we have indicated only these two possibilities in Fig. 5 for the 1218-keV state. Very weak evidence (see Sec. IIIA) exists for a state at 1253 keV, decaying to the 4^+ member of the ground-state band. If this level exists, its apparent decay mode is consistent with an $I^{\pi} = 4^{-1}$ assignment (although, of course, other possibilities are not excluded). The tentative 3^+ assignment to the 1695-keV level, consistent with the observed de-exciting γ rays, is based largely on our assumption that this level is a rotational state whose band head is the 2^+ state at 1657 keV. The 3^{\pm} assignment for the 1209-keV state is consistent with the proposed γ branching. However, the elimination of 0^+ and 2^- as possible I^{π} values for this state is dependent entirely on the placement of the 1068-keV transition from this state to the 4⁺ member of the ground-state band. This placement is based only on an energy combination and is tentative. The small intensity of this γ ray relative to that of the $1\dot{1}67$ -keV γ ray (a factor of roughly 50) raises questions about this placement. At present, these two assignments $(0^+ \text{ and } 2^-)$ cannot be regarded as being definitely eliminated; in view of this former possibility we have included in Table IV the calculated $\log f_1 t$ value of the β transition to this state.

IV. DISCUSSION

Although many features of the ²⁵⁰Bk decay scheme require additional information for their clarification, a number of conclusions can be drawn from the data obtained from this study.

A. $I^{\pi} = 2^+$ and 3^+ states

Table V presents a summary of the relative B(E2) values for those γ rays which depopulate excited states whose I^{π} values are believed to be 2^+ and 3^+ to the ground-state band. (All the transitions listed are assumed to be pure E2). We have carried out a simple one-parameter two-band mixing analysis (see, e.g., Refs. 26 and 27) of these B(E2) ratios to extract values for the band-mixing parameter z_K for assumed initial-state K values of 0 and 2. The z_K values obtained from this analysis are also summarized in Table V.

TABLE V. Summary of band-mixing parameters z_K deduced from the measured relative γ -ray intensities. Where more than one initial-state K value is believed possible, z_K values for both possibilities (K = 0 and 2) are given. γ -ray transitions for which $\Delta K = \pm 1$ are assumed to be E2. Quantities in parentheses represent uncertainties in the least significant digit (or digits) of the associated value.

Initial state	te Transition $B(E2)$ Deduced z v		z value	
I"; E (keV)	ratio	ratio	z ₂	z ₀
2 ⁺ ₇ ;1031	$\frac{2\gamma \to 0_g^*}{2\gamma \to 2_g^*}$	0.64(1)	+0.015(3)	•••
	$\frac{2\gamma \to 2g}{2\gamma \to 4g}$	17.3(3)	+0.011(2)	•••
	$\frac{2\gamma \to 0_g^*}{2\gamma \to 4_g^*}$	11.1(2)	+0.012(1)	
$3^{+}_{\gamma}; 1071$	$\frac{3^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 2^+_{g}}{3^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 4^+_{g}}$	2.40(8)	+0.003(3)	
2*; 1244	$\frac{2^* \rightarrow 0_g^*}{2^* \rightarrow 2_g^*}$	0.23(2)	+0.20(2)	+ 0.071(4) ^a +0.262(4)
	$\frac{2^* \rightarrow 2^*_g}{2^* \rightarrow 4^*_g}$	3.4(6)	+0.34(8)	$-0.043(7)^{a}$ -0.10(1)
	$\frac{2^* \rightarrow 0_g^*}{2^* \rightarrow 4_g^*}$	0.79(13)	+0.24(2)	$-0.016(4)^{a}$ -0.17(2)
2*; 1296	$\frac{2^* \to 0_g^*}{2^* \to 2_g^*}$	0.336(48)	$+0.13(2)^{a}$ -4.4(12)	+0.051(8)
	$\frac{2^* \to 2^*_g}{2^* \to 4^*_g}$	0.153(14)	$-0.75(2)^{a}$ -0.39(1)	+0.065(6)
	$\frac{2^* \rightarrow 0_g^*}{2^* \rightarrow 4_g^*}$	0.052(7)	+0.61(3) ^a +2.3(2)	+0.058(4)
2*;1658	$\frac{2^* \rightarrow 0_g^*}{2^* \rightarrow 2_g^*}$	0.521(37)	+0.051(12)	+0.023(5) ^a +0.311(5)
	$\frac{2^* \to 2^*_g}{2^* \to 4^*_g}$	27.5(25)	-0.020(5)	$-0.061(3)^{a}$ -0.082(3)
	$\frac{2^* \rightarrow 0_g^*}{2^* \rightarrow 4_g^*}$	14.4(13)	-0.0014(44)	$-0.056(1)^{a}$ -0.090(1)
3*; 1695	$\frac{3^* \rightarrow 2^*_g}{3^* \rightarrow 4^*_g}$	1.32(36)	+0.051(24)	

^aFor these cases, no basis exists for rejecting one of the two possible z values obtained from analysis of the B(E2) ratios. Consequently, both are shown here.

Inspection of Table V reveals that the three transitions from the 2^+ member of the γ -vibrational band can be quite well described by a bandmixing parameter, z_2 , whose value is + 0.013. For the transitions from the 3^+ state, however, a much smaller z_2 value is required. The reason for this apparent discrepancy is not known at the present time. The assumption that the transitions from the 3^+ state have a significant *M*1 admixture is not entirely satisfying, since this would imply the existence of an *M*1 component in the $2^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 2_g$ transition as well. In the conventional two-band mixing analysis summarized in Table V, it is implicitly assumed that the intraband *E*2 matrix elements of the two bands are equal. If these two quantities are significantly different, the form of the expressions for the band-mixed *E*2 transition probabilities is modified. The expressions for the B(E2) values in this case have been presented elsewhere²⁸ in the context of an analysis of the *E*2transition data involving the well-studied γ -vibrational band in ¹⁶⁶Er. In the spirit of the analysis presented in Ref. 28, we have investigated the extent to which the different deduced z_2 values for the 2⁺ and the 3⁺ states could be accounted for in terms of different *E*2 matrix elements within the two bands. The results of this analysis, however, do not provide definite information either for or against this assumption.

If the 1296-keV state is assumed to have K=0, its B(E2)-ratio data can be well described by a single value of the band-mixing parameter, namely $z_0 \sim 0.058$, whereas, if it is assumed to have K=2, no single z value can be found to describe these data (see Table V). Consequently, the 1296-keV state is most likely the 2⁺ member of a K=0 band. This finding implies the existence of a 0⁺ band head approximately 30-40 keV below this 2⁺ state. A 1223.9-keV γ ray, currently unplaced in the level scheme, is observed in the γ -ray spectrum; this might represent the transition from this 0⁺ state to the 2⁺ member of the ground-state band. However, in the absence of additional information, this placement is only a conjecture.

Similarly, the 1244-keV state is most likely the band head of a $K^{\pi} = 2^+$ band; the B(E2) data can be rather well described with $z_2 \sim 0.24$. Although not excessive, the spread in the values of this parameter deduced from the various B(E2) ratios can be explained if it is recognized that, relative to those of the $2^+ - 0^+_{\!g}$ and $2^+ - 2^+_{\!g}$ transitions, the strength of the $2^+ - 4_g^+$ transition is somewhat large. Since the 2⁺ states at 1244 and 1296 keV are most likely mixed to some extent (which mixing is not included in the simple analysis summarized in Table V) and this mixing will have a greater influence on the $2^+ \rightarrow 4_g^+$ transition than on the other two, this possibility of a somewhat enhanced strength for the $2^+ - 4_g^+$ transition is not unreasonable. [Note, however, the discussion in Sec. IIIA above regarding the placement of this 1103-keV transition, upon which this analysis is partially based.] Our deduced z_2 value for these transitions is considerably larger than those commonly observed for collective transitions between γ -vibrational and ground-state bands and may simply indicate a relatively small intrinsic E2 matrix element between the 1244-keV band and the ground-state band in ²⁵⁰Cf.

The apparent lack of a consistent z_2 value for the transitions from the 1657-keV, 2^+ state to the ground-state band can be traced to excessive strength in the $2^+ \rightarrow 2_g^+$ transition. From the B(E2)ratio involving the $2^+ \rightarrow 0_g^+$ and $2^+ \rightarrow 4_g^+$ transitions (which must be pure E2), a z_2 value that is essentially zero is deduced. This suggests to us that the assumption of pure E2 multipolarity for the $\Delta I = \pm 1$ transitions from the members of this band to the ground-state band is not valid and casts doubt on the B(E2) data listed in Table V for the 3^+ , 1695-keV state. However, at present it is not known whether these $\Delta I = \pm 1$ transitions do in fact have significant M1 components and that the E2 components can be adequately described by a z_2 value that is essentially zero.

B. Octupole-vibrational bands

From the present study an interesting, although as yet only tentative, picture of the octupole-band structure in ²⁵⁰Cf can be inferred. The assignment of the states at 871, 905, and 952 keV as the 2⁻, 3⁻, and 4⁻ members, respectively, of the $K^{\pi} = 2^{-}$ octupole band presents no problems and has been proposed from other studies.^{6,7} The 1175-keV level is most plausibly assigned as the band head of the $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ octupole-vibrational band. The other members of this band cannot be definitely assigned from the present data. The 1189-keV state, if its I^{π} value is in fact 3⁻, is a candidate for the 3⁻ member. The relatively small spacing (~14 keV) between the 1^- and 3^- band members implied by this assignment can be accounted for fairly easily in terms of the expected strong Coriolis coupling between the $K^{\pi} = 0^{-}$ and 1⁻ octupole bands if, as seems reasonable, the 0⁻ band lies above the 1⁻ band.²⁹ The $I^{\pi} = 2^{-}$ band member, unaffected by such a coupling, will lie higher in the spectrum. Possible candidates for this state are the levels at 1209 keV (if the weak, tentatively placed 1068-keV transition in fact occurs elsewhere in the level scheme) and 1218 keV. One of the potential problems raised by the above interpretation of the 1189-keV states lies in quantitatively describing the γ branching of the 1175- and 1189-keV states to the ground-state band. The E1 branching of the odd-spin members of $K^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ octupole bands is expected, and generally found, 30 to be strongly influenced by Coriolis mixing with 0⁻ octupole bands because the intrinsic E1 matrix element with $\Delta K = 0$, introduced by the mixing, is much larger than that with $|\Delta K| = 1$. While it is not difficult to describe the relative B(E1) values from either of these states separately, we have not been able to reproduce both ratios with the same set of assumed intrinsic E1 matrix elements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The able assistance of J. D. Baker in carrying out the chemical separations is gratefully acknowledged. Comments from R. C. Greenwood during the preparation of this manuscript were most helpful and are also gratefully acknowledged. This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy.

- ¹M. R. Schmorak, Nucl. Data Sheets 17, 436 (1976).
- ²S. E. Vandenbosch, H. Diamond, R. K. Sjoblom, and P. R. Fields, Phys. Rev. <u>115</u>, 115 (1959).
- ³P. H. Stelson, R. W. Lide, and C. R. Bingham, Nucl. Phys. <u>A144</u>, 254 (1970).
- ⁴I. Ahmad, R. K. Sjoblom, R. F. Barnes, E. P. Horwitz, and P. R. Fields, Nucl. Phys. A140, 141 (1970).
- ⁵C. M. Lederer, thesis, Report No. UCRL-11028, 1963 (unpublished).
- ⁶S. W. Yates, I. Ahmad, A. M. Friedman, K. Katori, C. Castaneda, and T. E. Ward, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>36</u>, 1125 (1976).
- ⁷M. S. Freedman, I. Ahmad, F. T. Porter, R. K. Sjoblom, R. F. Barnes, J. Lerner, and P. R. Fields, Phys. Rev. C 15, 760 (1977).
- ⁸R. A. Meyer, R. W. Lougheed, J. E. Evans, and R. W. Hoff, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. Series II, 17, 464 (1972).
- ⁹J. W. Uecke, thesis, Report No. ORO-3346-167, 1975 (unpublished).
- ¹⁰R. C. Greenwood, R. G. Helmer, and R. J. Gehrke, Nucl. Instrum. Methods <u>77</u>, 141 (1970).
- ¹¹R. G. Helmer, R. C. Greenwood, and R. J. Gehrke, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 96, 173 (1971).
- ¹²R. C. Greenwood (private communication).
- ¹³R. J. Gehrke and R. A. Lokken, Nucl. Instrum. Methods <u>97</u>, 219 (1971).
- ¹⁴R. G. Helmer and M. H. Putnam, U. S. AEC Report No. ANCR-1043, 1972 (unpublished); R. G. Helmer, R. L. Heath, M. H. Putnam, and D. H. Gipson, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 57, 46 (1967).
- ¹⁵P. J. Campion, Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. <u>4</u>, 232 (1959).
 ¹⁶A. Spernol, E. DeRoost, and D. Lerch, EURATOM
- Report No. EUR 477.e, 1964 (unpublished). ¹⁷G. Alaga, K. Alder, A. Bohr, and B. R. Mottelson,
- Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. <u>29</u>, No. 9 (1955).
- ¹⁸R. S. Hager and E. C. Seltzer, Nucl. Data <u>A4</u>, 1

(1968).

- ¹⁹N. B. Gove and M. J. Martin, Nucl. Data <u>A10</u>, 206 (1971).
- ²⁰Based on an assumed value of 4. $B(E2)_{s,p_o}$ for $B(E2)^{\dagger}$, which, although currently unmeasured, is a reasonable estimate in light of measured (see Ref. 21) $B(E2)^{\dagger}$ values for γ -vibrational states in near-lying nuclei. We use here $B(E2)_{s,p_o} = 3 \times 10^{-5} A^{4/3} e^2 b^2$ (see, e.g., Ref. 22), which is 5 of the single-particle units as defined by Moszkowski (Ref. 23).
- ²¹F. K. McGowan, C. E. Bemis, Jr., W. T. Milner, J. L. C. Ford, Jr., R. L. Robinson, and P. H. Stelson, Phys. Rev. C 10, 1146 (1974).
- ²²K. Alder, A. Bohr, T. Huus, B. Mottelson, and A. Winther, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>28</u>, 432 (1956).
- ²³S. A. Moszkowski, in Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy, edited by K. Siegbahn (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965), Vol. 2, Chap. XV, p. 863.
- ²⁴S. P. Ivanova, A. L. Komov, L. A. Malov, and V. G. Soloviev, Fiz. Elem. Chastits At. Yadra <u>7</u>, 450 (1976), [Sov. J. Part. Nucl. <u>7</u>, 175 (1976)].
- ²⁵S. P. Ivanova, A. L. Komov, L. A. Malov, and V. G. Soloviev, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. <u>37</u>, 911 (1973) [Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Ser. <u>37</u>, No. 5, 5 (1973)].
- ²⁶O. Nathan and S. G. Nilsson, in Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy, edited by K. Siegbahn (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965), Vol. I, Chap. X.
- ²⁷B. R. Mottelson, J. Phys. Soc. Japan Suppl. <u>24</u>, 87 (1968).
- ²⁸C. W. Reich and J. E. Cline, Nucl. Phys. <u>A159</u>, 181 (1970).
- ²⁹K. Neergård and P. Vogel, Nucl. Phys. <u>A149</u>, 217 (1970).
- ³⁰L. Kocbach and P. Vogel, Phys. Lett. <u>32B</u>, 434 (1970).