Suitability of the lowest 1^- , T = 1 and 1^+ , T = 1 states in ²⁰Ne for parity nonconservation experiments

J. M. Davidson and M. M. Lowry

W. K. Kellogg Radiation Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

(Received 12 June 1978)

The properties of the 1⁻, T = 1 state of ²⁰Ne at 11278 ± 4 keV excitation were studied by means of the ¹⁶O(α, γ)²⁰Ne reaction. The level parameters determined for this state are not in complete agreement with those reported in a recent study. The implication of this discrepancy for the feasibility of a measurement of parity nonconservation in ²⁰Ne is discussed.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS ¹⁶O(α, γ)²⁰Ne, E = 8.18 MeV; measured $E_{\gamma}, I(\Theta_{\gamma})$; ²⁰Ne resonance deduced excitation energy, $J, \pi, \omega\gamma_0, T$. Natural targets. Ge(Li) and NaI(Tl) detectors.

The recent report¹ of a 1⁻ state of probable T = 1character at $E_{x} = 11259 \pm 8$ -keV excitation in ²⁰Ne raises the possibility that an exceptionally favorable system for studying the parity nonconserving (PNC) nucleon-nucleon force might be provided by this state and the 1⁺, T = 1 state reported² at $E_r =$ 11261 ± 5 keV. The small separation in energy of these two states would lead to a considerable enhancement of their parity mixing and might enable the system to be treated as a case of simple twolevel mixing. Moreover, the α width of the 1⁺ state would provide a direct measurement of the $\Delta T = 1$ PNC matrix element between the 1⁺ state and the T = 0 isospin impurity^{1,3} in the 1⁻ state, and could thus provide information on the character of neutral weak currents⁴ and, in particular, whether they violate parity conservation in an isoscalar-isovector mixture as in the Weinberg-Salam theory.⁵ A pseudoscalar quantity associated with the γ -ray decay of these states (e.g., the γ ray circular polarization) contains both neutral and charged weak current contributions; however, it has the attractive features of providing a direct measure of the $\Delta T = 0, 2$ PNC matrix elements between these states,⁶ and of involving only the analog states, states of relatively simple structure, in the theoretical estimate of the size of the observable effects.

In this communication, we report a measurement of the properties of the 1^{-20} Ne state, in which we find $E_x = 11278 \pm 4$ keV, differing by over two standard deviations from the value given in Ref. 1. Using the value of E_x from the present work, the expected PNC effects are substantially reduced from those predicted using the earlier value.¹ In the case of the PNC α width of the 1⁺, T = 1 state, it is shown to arise predominantly from its mixing with a third ²⁰Ne state, the broad ($\Gamma = 175$ -keV) 1⁻, T = 0 state at $E_x = 11.23$ MeV (Ref. 7).

The 11.28-MeV ²⁰Ne state was populated via the 8.18-MeV resonance in the ¹⁶O(α,γ) ²⁰Ne reaction. The ONR-CIT tandem Van de Graaff accelerator provided a beam of ⁴He⁺⁺ nuclei. The targets were anodized layers of Ta₂O₅, ranging in thickness from 50 µg/cm² to 150 µg/cm², on thick tantalum backings. Gamma rays were detected with a Ge (Li) detector of 100-cm³ volume and a 10.2-cm × 12.7-cm diameter cylindrical NaI(TI) detector.

Measurements of the angular distribution and thick-target yield of γ rays from the $11.28 \rightarrow 0.0$ -



FIG. 1. Angular distribution of the γ rays from the $11.28 \rightarrow 0.0$ MeV ²⁰Ne transition. Theoretical angular distribution profiles (Ref. 8) for initial state spins of 1 and 2 are shown. The state is known to have natural parity from its α -decay width.

18

2776

© 1978 The American Physical Society

	•	
Reference	$\omega \gamma_0^{c.m.}$ (eV)	E _x (keV)
Ref. 3	0.7 ± 0.3^{a}	11270 ± 30
Ref. 1	0.58 ± 0.05	11259 ± 8
Ref. 12	1.2 ± 0.3	
Present work	1.0 ± 0.3	11278 ± 4

TABLE I. Properties of the lowest 1⁻, T=1 state of ²⁰Ne.

^a The value quoted in Ref. 3 has been multiplied by $\frac{16}{20}$ to express it in the center-of-mass system.

MeV ²⁰Ne transition determined the spin and absolute strength of the resonance. The observed angular distribution leads to an unambiguous assignment of $J^{*} = 1^{-}$ for the initial state (see Fig. 1) in agreement with the assignment of Steck.¹ The thick-target yields were observed at $\theta_{\gamma} = 55^{\circ}$ using the NaI(T1) detector. The absolute efficiency of this detector was determined from standard tables.⁹ The absolute strength $\omega\gamma_{0}$ of the 8.18-MeV resonance in the ¹⁶O(α, γ)²⁰Ne reaction is found to be $\omega\gamma_{0}^{\circ.me} = (2J + 1)\Gamma_{\alpha}\Gamma_{\gamma_{0}}/\Gamma = 1.0 \pm 0.3$ eV. This value is compared with previous reports in Table I.

An assignment of T = 1 for the 1⁻ 11.28-MeV ²⁰Ne state is consistent with the experimental value of $\omega \gamma_{o}$ determined in this work. From this resonance strength, it follows that the ground-state radiative width is $\Gamma_{r_0} \ge 0.3 \pm 0.1$ eV. The corresponding lower limit for B(E1) is 4.4×10^{-4} Weisskopf units (W.u.) which is typical of isospin-allowed transitions,¹⁰ although T = 0 for the 11.28-MeV state cannot be rigorously ruled out based on this evidence alone. The upper limit on the total width, $\Gamma \leq 0.3$ keV, reported by Steck¹ and the existence of a 1 state at $E_x = 0.984$ MeV in ²⁰F (Ref. 11) also give strong circumstantial evidence that the 11.28-MeV ²⁰Ne state is T = 1. Conclusive evidence, however, for a T = 1 assignment is provided by Fifield,¹² who observed the γ ray from the decay of the 11.28-MeV ²⁰Ne state to the 8.85-MeV 1, T = 0state to have a B(M1) of ≥ 0.66 W.u., which strictly rules out T = 0 for the initial state.¹⁰ In the present work, this γ ray was obscured by low-energy background from contaminant reactions.

The excitation energy of the 1⁻, T = 1²⁰Ne state was determined by making a precise measurement of the energy of the γ ray from the $E_x(1^-, T = 1)$ $\rightarrow 0.0$ -keV transition. The Ge(Li) detector was placed at 90° with respect to the beam direction and at a distance of approximately 11 cm from the reaction site. The energy scale of the γ -ray spectrum was calibrated with the γ rays from the 2046.2±0.2-keV resonance in the ²⁷Al(p, γ)²⁶Si reaction.¹³ In this calibration procedure, care was taken not to excite the nearby weak resonance at

2049.4 keV. The fortuitous near coincidence in energy between the γ -ray single-escape peak from the transition leading from the ²⁸Si resonant capture state to the 1778.88 ± 0.09 -keV state, and the γ -ray full-energy peak from the $E_x(1, T=1) \rightarrow 0.0$ keV ²⁰Ne transition, provided a very accurate calibration in the vicinity of the ²⁰Ne γ ray (see Fig. 2). The combined results of several data collection runs determined the excitation energy of the 1, T = 1 state to be $E_r = 11278 \pm 4$ keV, based on a Q value of 11585.3 ± 0.6 keV for the ${}^{27}\text{Al}(p,\gamma){}^{28}\text{Si}$ reaction¹⁴ and on the aforementioned resonance energy¹³ in that reaction. Appropriate corrections were made for the recoils of the γ -ray emitting nuclei and for Doppler shifts resulting from a slight displacement (discovered after much of the data was collected) of the Ge(Li) crystal from the geometric center of its aluminum vacuum covering and thus from 90°. A correction accounting for the transverse Doppler shift was made in the energy of the ²⁰Ne γ ray. The quoted uncertainty includes an estimated error of $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ in the placement of the Ge(Li) detector. The present value for the excitation energy is compared with values from previous measurements in Table I.

We now consider the question of a PNC α width for the 11.26-MeV 1^{*}, T = 1 ²⁰Ne state. In the simple two-level approximation, this width is given



FIG. 2. Portions of the γ -ray spectra from the present work for $\Theta_{\gamma} = 90^{\circ}$. The broadening of the peaks results from the large center-of-mass motion [especially in (b)] and the nonzero angle subtended by the Ge(Li) detector. The solid lines are Gaussian profiles which have been fitted to the data by a least-squares procedure. The arrows in (b) denote the location of and uncertainty in the peak centroid for the data displayed in (b), for all data taken in this work, and for the initial-state excitation energy quoted in Ref. 1.

by

2778

$$\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{PNC}} = |A|^2 \Gamma_{\alpha}(1), \qquad (1)$$

where the amplitude A is given by¹⁵

$$A = \frac{\langle 1^+ | V_{\text{PNC}}^{\Delta T=1} | 1^- \rangle}{(E_- - E_+) - (i/2)(\Gamma_- + \Gamma_+)}.$$
 (2)

In Eq. (1), $\Gamma_{\alpha}(1)$ is the parity-allowed α width of the admixed 1 state. In Eq. (2), $V_{PNC}^{\Delta T=1}$ is the isovector part of the parity nonconserving nucleonnucleon potential, $E_{+(-)}$ and $\Gamma_{+(-)}$ denote the excitation energy and total width of the 1^+ (1⁻) state, respectively. Using the value from the present work for the excitation energy of the $1^{-}, T=1$ state and the value of Ingalls² for the excitation energy of the 1⁺, T = 1 state (see Fig. 3), we find $E_{-} - E_{+}$ = 17 keV, leading to a value for Γ_{α}^{PNC} which is a factor of approximately 50 smaller than that obtained using the earlier value¹ for $E_x(1^-, T=1)$ for which $E_- - E_+ = -2$ keV. In fact, for $\Delta E = 17$ keV the amplitude coupling the $1^+, T = 1$ and the $1^-, T = 1$ states has a considerably smaller modulus than that coupling the $1^+, T = 1$ state to the $1^-, T = 0$ state at 11.23 MeV (for which $\Gamma = 175$ keV), assuming similar values for the PNC matrix element for the two cases. If the further simplifying assumption is made that the T=0 impurity in the 11.28-MeV state arises predominantly from its isospin mixing with the 11.23-MeV 1, T = 0 state, then it may be shown in a three-level treatment that

$$0.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{-1} \leq \frac{\Gamma_{\text{PNC}}^{\text{PNC}}}{\left| \langle 1^{*}, T = 1 | V_{\text{PNC}}^{\Delta T = 1} | 1^{-}, T = 0 \rangle \right|^{2}} \\ \leq 3.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{-1}, \qquad (3)$$

where it has been assumed that $\Gamma_{\alpha}(1^{-}, \Gamma = 1) = 0.3$ keV and $E_{-} - E = 10$ keV, which are the respective upper¹ and lower limits (one standard deviation) for these quantities. The range of values then follows from the fact that the phase of the isospin mixing matrix element connecting the 1⁻, T = 0 and 1⁻, T = 1 states is unknown. For smaller $\Gamma_{\alpha}(1^{-}, T = 1)$ and larger ΔE , this range decreases, converging to 2×10^{-5} eV⁻¹. Thus, the PNC α width of the 1⁺, T = 1 ²⁰Ne state is dependent predominantly on its parity mixing with the 1⁻, T = 0 state, although the effect of the 1⁻, T = 1 state could be significant if its width were as large as 0.3 keV and ΔE were as small as 10 keV.

In the calculation of a PNC pseudoscalar quantity, for example, the circular polarization P_{γ} of the 11.28-MeV γ ray from the 11.28-0.0-MeV ²⁰Ne transition, it may be shown that

$$P_{\gamma} = -2 \frac{||E1||}{||M1||} \frac{\langle 1^{+}, T = 1 | V_{PNC}^{\Delta T=0,2} | 1^{-}, T = 1 \rangle}{\Delta E}, \quad (4)$$

where ||E1|| and ||M1|| denote reduced matrix ele-



FIG. 3. Levels of 20 Ne involved in the discussion of parity mixing contained in the text. The next nearest known 20 Ne level of spin 1 is at 11953-keV excitation (Ref. 7).

ments for the E1 and M1 ground-state transitions deexciting the 11.28- and 11.26-MeV states, respectively. The value from the present work for $E_x(1, T=1)$, inserted in Eq. (4), leads to a value for P_r smaller by almost an order of magnitude than that obtained using the earlier value.¹ Using $\Gamma_{r_0} = 0.3$ eV for the ground-state radiative width of the 11.28-MeV state and the value from Berg¹⁶ of $\Gamma_{r_0} = 11.4$ eV for the ground-state radiative width of the 11.26-MeV state, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{P_{\gamma}}{\langle \mathbf{1}^{+}, T = \mathbf{1} | V_{\text{PNC}}^{\Delta T = 0, 2} | \mathbf{1}^{-}, T = \mathbf{1} \rangle} \right| = 8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^{-1} .$$
 (5)

(The presence of the 1⁻, T = 1 state at 11.23 MeV should not affect P_r or any other electromagnetic quantity, because the amplitude for the isospinforbidden E1 transition to the ²⁰Ne ground state will be very small.)

In summary, we have measured the properties of the lowest-lying 1, $T = 1^{20}$ Ne state and find E_x = 11278 ± 4 keV, differing by over two standard deviations from a recently published value.¹ Using the value from the present work, we find that the parity mixing of the 1, T = 1 state and the 1, T = 1state at $E_r = 11261 \pm 5$ keV (Ref. 2) is substantially reduced over that predicted using the earlier value,¹ although the observable effects could still be relatively large, depending on the values of the PNC matrix elements.¹⁷ As a case for studying the PNC nucleon-nucleon force, the system consisting of the three levels shown in Fig. 3 has the additional attractive feature of separately manifesting the effects of the isovector and isoscalarisotensor parts of the weak Hamiltonian in the PNC α width of the 1⁺, T = 1 state and in the various pseudoscalar quantities associated with the electromagnetic decays of these states, respectively. Further, the PNC α width could provide information relating to the character of neutral weak currents,⁴ although an attempt to measure this width could encounter serious problems with the background from the broad $1^-, T = 0$ state which overlies the entire region shown in Fig. 3. A second drawback to the measurement of Γ_{α}^{PNC} for the 11.26-MeV ²⁰Ne state arises in the interpretation of the result; because of the unknown structure of the 1⁻, $T = 0^{20}$ Ne state, it may be difficult to calculate the PNC matrix element in Eq. (3) with an accuracy sufficient to enable a meaningful test of any theory of weak interactions. On the other hand, a measurement of the circular polarization P₂ of the γ ray from the 11.28 \rightarrow 0 transition (or some other pseudoscalar quantity associated with this transition) is more likely to provide a useful probe of the nucleon-nucleon weak force, because the matrix elements which must be evaluated [in Eq. (4) involve only the analog states, for which the nuclear structure may be comparatively simple. Such a measurement would be very difficult technically, however, owing to the high energy of that γ ray.

Further information which would be useful in es-

- ¹D. J. Steck, Phys. Rev. C 17, 1034 (1978).
- ²P. D. Ingalls, Phys. Rev. C 14, 254 (1976).
- ³J. D. Pearson and R. H. Spear, Nucl. Phys. <u>54</u>, 434 (1964).
- ⁴B. Desplanques and J. Micheli, Phys. Lett. <u>68B</u>, 339 (1977).
- ⁵S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>19</u>, 1264 (1967); A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity (Nobel Symposium No. 8)*, edited by N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1968), p. 367.
- ⁶The matrix element of an isovector operator connecting T=1 states in a self-conjugate nucleus is identically zero, as may be shown from the Wigner-Eckart theorem: $\langle T, Tz \mid V_{PNC}^{\Delta T=1} \mid T', Tz' \rangle = (1010 \mid 10) \mid || V_{PNC}^{\Delta T=1} \mid = 0.$
- ⁷F. Ajzenberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A190, 1 (1972).
- ⁸H. J. Rose and D. M. Brink, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>39</u>, 306 (1967).
- ⁹J. B. Marion and F. C. Young, *Nuclear Reaction Analysis* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968), p. 33.
- ¹⁰P. M. Endt and C. van der Leun, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables <u>13</u>, 67 (1974).
- ¹¹G. F. Millington, J. R. Leslie, W. McLatchie, G. C. Ball, W. G. Davies, and J. S. Forster, Nucl. Phys. <u>A228</u>, 382 (1974); D. S. Longo, J. C. Lawson, L. A. Alexander, B. P. Hichwa, and P. R. Chagnon, Phys.

timating the size of the PNC effects includes (i) an improved measurement of the width of the 11.28-MeV state, which could narrow the range of values permitted in Eq. (3) (ii) an improved measurement of the excitation energy of the 1^+ , T = 1 state, because the uncertainty in that energy is now the dominant uncertainty in ΔE and also because two unpublished values^{16,18} of which we are aware disagree with the published excitation energy of Ingalls²; and (iii) theoretical estimates of the PNC matrix elements in Eqs. (3) and (4). Considering the fundamental importance of experimental evidence bearing on the character of the PNC nucleon-nucleon force and especially on the character of neutral weak currents, efforts in obtaining the above information are warranted.

We thank H. S. Wilson for the loan of a Ta_2O_5 target with which some of the data were taken. We acknowledge enlightening discussions with C. A. Barnes, F. P. Calaprice, C. A. Engelbrecht, S. E. Koonin, R. G. H. Robertson, R. H. Spear, and H. S. Wilson. We are grateful to K. Fifield and U. Berg for sending us the results of their research prior to publication.

Rev. C 8, 1347 (1973).

- ¹²K. Fifield, Nuclear Physics Laboratory, Oxford University, private communication.
- ¹³D. L. Kennedy, J. C. P. Heggie, P. J. Davies, and H. H. Bolotin, Nucl. Instrum. Methods <u>140</u>, 519 (1977).
 ¹⁴P. M. Endt and C. van der Leun, Nucl. Phys. <u>A214</u>, 1
- (1973). ¹⁵This is an extension of first order perturbation theory which takes into account the nonzero width of states with decay lifetimes. A heuristic treatment of the problem is given by A. S. Davydov, in *Quantum Mechanics*, translated and edited by D. ter Haar, (Pergamon, Oxford, 1965), p. 319. See also K. Wildermuth and Y. C. Tang, A Unified Theory of the
- Nucleus (Academic, New York, 1977), p. 186. ¹⁶U. Berg, Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State Uni-
- versity, private communication. Berg reports a preliminary value of $E_x = 11249 \pm 3$ keV for the 1⁺, T = 1²⁰Ne state.
- ¹⁷See, for example, M. Gari, Phys. Rep. <u>6C</u>, 317 (1973). ¹⁸E. Kuhlman *et al.* in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Photonuclear Reactions and Applications*, *Asilomar*, 1973, edited by B. L. Berman (Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, University of California, 1973), Vol. I, p. 697. They report $E_x = 11252 \pm 2$ keV for the 1⁺, T = 1²⁰Ne state.

<u>18</u>