Skyrme, Peierls-Yoccoz, and statistical approximations for the inertia parameter

Nazakat Ullah

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India (Received 27 June 1977)

Angular momentum operator identities are used to show that Skyrme's expression can be written using the y component of angular momentum only. It is shown that the Gaussian approximation for the matrix element of J_y ⁴ leads to the Peierls-Yoccoz expression. A new approximation based on the theory of probability is introduced. The numerical calculations for the 2s-1d shell nuclei show that the inverse of the inertia parameter using the statistical approximation is much closer to Skyrme's value than the one given by the Peierls-Yoccoz approximation.

[NUCLEAR STRUCTURE Approximations for nuclear inertia parameter.]

It is well known that the low-lying spectra of many even-even nuclei belonging to 2s-1d shell and the rare-earth region exhibit rotational features.¹ In the theoretical study of such nuclei one constructs a deformed intrinsic wave function using one of the many variational techniques like Hartree-Fock, constrained Hartree-Fock, or energy minimization using Nilsson's deformed single particle wave functions. Once an intrinsic rotational wave function $|\Phi\rangle$ has been generated then it is used to extract the rotational parameters like the inertia parameter g and the bandhead E_0 . A scheme which is used quite often for this purpose is the one given by Skyrme.² We recall that the energy E_J of a level having a good angular momentum J, using Skyrme's procedure is given by the following expression^{2,3}

$$E_{J} = E_{0} + AJ(J+1), \qquad (1)$$

where E_0 and the inverse inertia parameter A are given by

$$A = \frac{\langle HJ^2 \rangle - \langle H \rangle \langle J^2 \rangle}{\langle J^4 \rangle - \langle J^2 \rangle^2}, \qquad (2a)$$

$$E_0 = \langle H \rangle - A \langle J^2 \rangle . \tag{2b}$$

In the above the bracket sign, $\langle \Omega \rangle$ denotes the matrix element of the operator Ω with respect to the intrinsic wave function $|\Phi\rangle$. We see from expression (2a) that Skyrme's approximation involves the matrix elements of the square of total angular momentum operator. As shown by Peierls and Yoc-coz⁴ one can also derive an expression for the parameter A, using Hill-Wheeler integral,⁵ which involves only the y component of angular momentum.

The purpose of the present work is to show that angular momentum operator identities can be used to express Skyrme's expression in terms of y component of angular momentum only. Further, if one uses the Gaussian approximation¹ for the matrix element of J_y^4 , then Skyrme's expression becomes the same as that given by Peierls and Yoccoz. We also suggest a statistical approximation to calculate the matrix of J_y^4 . As will be shown later, it provides a much better approximation than the Peierls-Yoccoz approximation. Let us consider the ground state band in even-even nuclei for which the projection quantum number K = 0 and $J = 0, 2, 4, \ldots$ Expression (2a) can then be written as

$$A = \frac{\langle (H - \langle H \rangle) J_y^2 \rangle}{\langle J_y^4 \rangle + \langle J_x^2 J_y^2 \rangle - 2 \langle J_y^2 \rangle^2} \,. \tag{3}$$

Using the following operator identity,⁶

$$\exp(-i\,\mu J_x)\exp(-i\,\nu J_y)$$

$$= \exp(-i\alpha J_z) \exp(-i\beta J_y) \exp(-i\gamma J_z), \qquad (4a)$$

where

 $\exp[i($

$$\cos^{2}\frac{1}{2}\beta = \cos^{2}\frac{1}{2}\mu\cos^{2}\frac{1}{2}\nu + \sin^{2}\frac{1}{2}\mu\sin^{2}\frac{1}{2}\nu, \qquad (4b)$$

$$(\alpha + \gamma) = (\cos \frac{1}{2}\mu \cos \frac{1}{2}\nu + i \sin \frac{1}{2}\mu \sin \frac{1}{2}\nu)$$

$$\times (\cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu\cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\nu - i\sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu\sin^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1},$$

(4c)

$$\exp\left[-i(\alpha-\gamma)\right] = \left(\cos\frac{1}{2}\mu\sin\frac{1}{2}\nu + i\sin\frac{1}{2}\mu\cos\frac{1}{2}\nu\right)$$

× $(\cos\frac{1}{2}\mu\sin\frac{1}{2}\nu - i\sin\frac{1}{2}\mu\cos\frac{1}{2}\nu)^{-1}$, (4d)

it can be easily shown that for the intrinsic wave function $|\Phi\rangle$ which has K=0, the following relation holds

$$\langle J_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} J_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \langle J_{\mathbf{y}}^{4} \rangle + \frac{2}{3} \langle J_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} \rangle . \tag{5}$$

Thus Skyrme's expression for A can be written only in terms of y component of angular momentum as

$$A = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\langle (H - \langle H \rangle) J_y^2 \rangle}{2 \langle J_y^4 \rangle + \langle J_y^2 \rangle - 3 \langle J_y^2 \rangle^2}.$$
 (6)

17

827

If we now put the Gaussian approximation¹

$$\langle J_{y}^{4} \rangle = 3 \langle J_{y}^{2} \rangle^{2} , \qquad (7)$$

in expression (6) we get

$$A_{G} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\langle (H - \langle H \rangle) J_{y}^{2} \rangle}{3 \langle J_{y}^{2} \rangle^{2} + \langle J_{y}^{2} \rangle}.$$
 (8)

Since for the validity of Gaussian approximation $\langle J_y^2 \rangle$ must be large, expression (8) reduces to the Peierls-Yoccoz expression,

$$A_{p-y} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle (H - \langle H \rangle) J_y^2 \rangle}{\langle J_y^2 \rangle^2}.$$
 (9)

Comparing expressions (2) and (9) we find that in Peierls-Yoccoz (P-Y) approximation one has to calculate the matrix of J_y^2 rather than J_y^4 . The operator J_y^4 is more involved than J_y^2 since it needs the evaluation of three and four body operators. However, it turns out that the accuracy of P-Y expression is not very high and it is because of this reason that one either uses^{1,3} Skyrme's expression² or an alternative expression given by Inglis⁷ and also by Thouless and Valatin,⁸ which is called the cranking model expression. As is well known, the cranking expression needs the complete knowledge of the unoccupied single-particle orbits also and thus is not very convenient to use where only $|\Phi\rangle$ is given.

The question then arises whether we can derive an expression which involves only J_y^2 but has better accuracy than P-Y expression.

Perhaps one could first try to construct a pseudo Hamiltonian of the form $a + bJ_y^2$ and determine a, bby minimizing $\langle \Phi | (H - a - bJ_y^2)^2 | \Phi \rangle$ as is done in obtaining Skyrme's expression.² If we carry out this minimization and then use the Gaussian approximation¹ for J_y^4 , then we find that even though we have obtained an expression for A which involves only J_y^2 , the value of this A turns out to be exactly half of that given by P-Y expression and is thus much poorer rather than better compared with A_{P-Y} .

We now give a different approach based on statistical theory to achieve our goal. It can easily be shown that $\langle J_y^4 \rangle$ can be exactly written as

$$\langle J_{\mathbf{y}}^{4} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle J_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} \rangle + \frac{3}{2} \alpha \langle J_{\mathbf{y}}^{2} \rangle^{2} , \qquad (10)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\langle J^4 \rangle}{\langle J^2 \rangle^2} \,. \tag{11}$$

Explicitly α can be written as

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum a_J^2 [J(J+1)]^2}{\left[\sum a_J^2 J(J+1)\right]^2},$$
(12)

where a_{J} are the expansion coefficients

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum a_{J}\psi_{J}. \tag{13}$$

Writing $a_J^2 = \overline{a^2} + \delta a_J^2$, where $\overline{a^2}$ is the average value of a_J^2 and δa_J^2 is the fluctuation, the normalization condition $\sum a_J^2 = 1$, gives $\overline{a^2} = N^{-1}$ where N is the number of J states. Ignoring the fluctuation and keeping the leading term in expression (12) gives $\overline{\alpha} = \frac{9}{5}$. Using expression (6) and (10) we get the following expression for A using statistical approximation

$$A_{st} = \frac{5}{8} \frac{\langle (H - \langle H \rangle) J_y^2 \rangle}{\langle J_y^2 \rangle^2}.$$
 (14)

We would now like to see how the expressions A_{P-Y} and A_{st} compare with the expression given by Skyrme. For this purpose we use the deformed Hartree-Fock wave functions for the nuclei ¹²C, ²⁰Ne, ²⁸Si, and ³⁶Ar which are tabulated by Ripka.¹ The values of the various parameters A for these nuclei are shown in Table I. In Table I we have also shown the values of the parameter A which are obtained using the first two values of E_J which are obtained by exact projection.¹ We find from Table I that the values of the parameter A using statistical approximation are better than the ones obtained using P-Y approximation. To check the validity of statistical approximation further we have also calculated the values of A not shown in

TABLE I. Values of the inverse inertia parameter given by exact projection of the first two levels, Skyrme, Peierls-Yoccoz, and statistical approximations for 2s-1d shell nuclei.

Nucleus	Inverse of the inertia parameter A			
	First two levels	Skyrme	Peierls-Yoccoz	Statistical
¹² C	0.54	0.55	0.46	0.58
20 Ne	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.18
^{28}Si	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.10
^{36}Ar	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.19

Table I using the Hartree-Fock wave functions of Stamp and Spencer.⁹ These calculations are carried out using a different two body interaction and the projected spectra is obtained for the two nuclei ²⁰Ne and ²⁸Si. We find that again the values of A calculated using statistical approximation are much closer to Skyrme's values than the ones given by P-Y approximation.

¹G. Ripka, in *Advances in Nuclear Physics*, edited by M. Baranger and E. Vogt (Plenum, New York, 1968), Vol. 1, Chap. 3.

- ²T. H. R. Skyrme, Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. <u>A70</u>, 433 (1957).
- ³S. K. M. Wong, M. I. Tienhaara, and L. E. H. Trainor, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>21</u>, 1819 (1968).
- ⁴R. E. Peierls and J. Yoccoz, Proc. Phys. Soc. <u>A70</u>, 381 (1957); J. Yoccoz, *ibid*. <u>A70</u>, 388 (1957).

⁵D. L. Hill and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. <u>89</u>, 1102 (1953).

⁶Nazakat Ullah, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>27</u>, 439 (1971); J. Phys. A 9, 679 (1976).

⁷D. R. Inglis, Phys. Rev. <u>96</u>, 1059 (1954); <u>97</u>, 701 (1955). ⁸D. J. Thouless and J. G. Valatin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 5,

⁵09 (1966); D. J. Thouless, Nucl. Phys. <u>21</u>, 225 (1966). ⁹A. P. Stamp and M. B. Spencer, Nucl. Phys. <u>A111</u>, 353

(1968).