States of ³⁴P[†]

F. Ajzenberg-Selove*

Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19174

E. R. Flynn, S. Orbesen, and J. W. Sunier

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 (Received 23 September 1976)

The ³⁴S(t, ³He)³⁴P reaction has been used to study the mass and low-lying excited states of ³⁴P, using 23 MeV tritons and a quadrupole-dipole-dipole spectrometer. The mass excess of ³⁴P is -24545 (20) keV. Excited states have been observed at $E_x = 423$, 1605, 2225, 2309, and (3345) keV (±10 keV). Spectra of the ²⁴Mg(t, ³He)²⁴Na reaction show no evidence for a previously reported state at $E_x = 2.46$ MeV in ²⁴Na.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS ³⁴S(t, ³He), ²⁴Mg(t, ³He), E = 23.0 MeV; measured $\sigma(\theta)$. ³⁴P deduced levels. New mass of ³⁴P. No evidence for previously reported state in ²⁴Na.

I. INTRODUCTION

The ³⁴S(t, ³He)³⁴P reaction provides a means of accurately measuring the mass and observing the low lying excited states of ³⁴P. The β^- decay of ³⁴P to several of the states of ³⁴S has been studied by Goosman, Davids, and Alburger.¹ They determined the half-life of ³⁴P to be 12.45±0.10 sec and its mass excess to be -24546 ± 45 keV. The character of the decay to known states of ³⁴S determines $J^{\pi} = 1^+$ for the ground state of ³⁴P. No excited states of ³⁴P have been reported.²

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

The ${}^{34}S(t, {}^{3}He){}^{34}P$ reaction was studied using a 23 MeV triton beam from the LASL three-stage Van de Graaff facility and a magnetic spectrometer of the Q3D type which uses a focal plane detector system involving a 1 m long helix detector with 0.8 mm spatial resolution.³

The Sb₂S₃ target, enriched⁴ to 85.6% ³⁴S, was 140 μ g/cm² thick and was deposited on a 30 μ g/cm² carbon foil. At each angle at which the ³He ions were detected, runs with an isotopic S target and an enriched ²⁴Mg target were also made. All targets were oriented at 30° to the incident triton beam.

The calibration of channel number versus the energy of the outgoing ³He ions was made by studying the known groups from the $(t, {}^{3}\text{He})$ reaction on ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$: The excitation energies of states of ${}^{24}\text{Na}$ in the relevant excitation region are known^{5,6} to ± 0.05 to ± 0.3 keV; the ground state Q value is⁷ $- 5496.0 \pm 1.5$ keV. The measurement of the ${}^{34}\text{S}(t, {}^{3}\text{He}){}^{34}\text{P}$ reaction was made at $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 20^{\circ} \rightarrow 35^{\circ}$ in 5° steps. Angles smaller than 20° were impossible because of the large cross section of the ${}^{1}\text{H}(t, {}^{3}\text{He})n$ reaction which appears as a very broad peak.

Figure 1 shows typical spectra taken at $\theta_{lab} = 30^{\circ}$ and 25°, at two values of the magnetic field. The groups labeled 0-5 correspond to the ground state and to excited states of ³⁴S: see Table I. The other peaks are due to excited states in ³²P, as



FIG. 1. Spectra of the ³He ions from the ³⁴S(t, ³He)³⁴P reaction at $E_t = 23.0$ MeV and at $\theta = 30^{\circ}$ (B = 5.555 kG) and 25° (B = 5.275 kG). The ordinate shows the average number of counts recorded in a five-channel bin, the abscissas show the channel number. The numbered groups are due to states in ³⁴P: See Table I. Unnumbered groups are due to the ³²S(t, ³He)³²P reaction.

<u>15</u>

1

Group No.ª	E_x in ³⁴ P (keV)	$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{b}$ (µb/sr)	
0	0 °	4.2	
1	423 ± 10	15	
2	1605 ± 10	4.8	
3	2225 ± 10	22	
4	2309 ± 10	94	
5	(3345) ^d	7	

TABLE I. States of ${}^{34}P$ from ${}^{34}S(t, {}^{3}He){}^{34}P$.

^aSee Fig. 1.

 ${}^{b}E_{t} = 23$ MeV, $\theta_{1ab} = 25^{\circ}$; values are $\pm 30\%$.

 $^{c}Q_{0} = -5.365 \pm 0.020$ MeV.

 $^{\rm d} \rm Observed$ at only one angle because of experimental problems.

determined from the background runs made with the isotopic target. We determine the mass excess of ³⁴P to be -24545 ± 20 keV (using the masses for ³⁴S, *t*, and ³He suggested by Wapstra and Gove⁸) from our measurement for $Q_0 = -5365\pm20$ keV. This value is in excellent agreement with the value for Q_0 determined from the mass excess of Goosman, Davids, and Alburger, ¹ - 5365 ± 45 keV, and in very poor agreement with the earlier reports discussed in Ref. 2 of -5030 ± 140 keV.

Figure 2 shows one of the calibration spectra of the ${}^{24}Mg(t, {}^{3}He){}^{24}Na$ reaction: We show it to demonstrate that the state at 2464 ± 8 keV, reported in Ref. 2, is not observed. It has not been observed either in the recent^{5,6} ${}^{23}Na(d, p){}^{24}Na$ work. The spectrum also serves to illustrate the resolution achieved in this work.

III. DISCUSSION

Since the ground state of ³⁴P has $J^{\pi} = 1^+$ it is likely that the 423 keV state is the other member of the $(2s_{1/2}^{-1}, 1d_{3/2}^{-1})$ configuration, i.e., the 2⁺ state. The large energy gap to the next state of ³⁴P at 1605 keV reflects the large gap in single particle orbitals which is known² to occur for both neutron and proton holes as evidenced by ³⁵S and ³³P, respectively. States at $E_x = 1.57$ and 1.99 MeV (involving *l* transfers of 0 and 3) have been observed in the ³⁴S(*d*, *p*)³⁵S reaction by Kolalis *et al.*⁹:



FIG. 2. Spectrum of the ³He ions from the ²⁴Mg(t, ³He)-²⁴Na reaction at $\theta = 25^{\circ}$, $E_t = 23.0$ MeV. The numbers which label each of the groups correspond to the excitation energies of known (Refs. 5 and 6) states of ²⁴Na, in MeV. The group labeled 1.34 comprises an unresolved group of three states. A state previously reported at $E_x = 2.464 \pm 0.008$ MeV (see Ref. 2) is not observed. See also the caption of Fig. 1.

the spectroscopic strengths, $(2J+1) S_n$ are 0.5 and 3.0, respectively. A proton-hole state at $E_x = 1.85$ MeV in ³³P has been studied by Bearse, Youngblood, and Yntema¹⁰ using the ${}^{34}S(d, {}^{3}He){}^{33}P$ reaction: $l=2, S_p=6.7$. One might then expect configurations in the region of $E_x \sim 1.5$ MeV of the type $(\nu s_{1/2} \times \pi s_{1/2})_{0^+, 1^+} (\nu f_{7/2} \times \pi s_{1/2})_{3^-, 4^-}$ and $(\nu d_{3/2} \times \pi d_{5/2})_{1^+ \to 4^+}$. Only three levels are seen in this region in ³⁴P but it is interesting to speculate on their origin. The levels at $E_x = 2.23$ and 2.31 MeV are quite strong relative to the low lying states. This situation is reminiscent of the situation in the 64 Zn $(t, {}^{3}$ He $){}^{64}$ Cu reaction¹¹ where large cross sections are seen to excited states containing neutron strength from an orbital of high degeneracy (the $g_{g/2}$ orbital) and having a parity opposite to that of the low lying states. In the present system the $(\nu f_{7/2} \times \pi s_{1/2})_{3^-,4^-}$ would satisfy such conditions: The 3^- state is the strongest group seen in the 64 Zn $(t, {}^{3}$ He $){}^{64}$ Cu reaction.

We are deeply indebted to Dr. David Alburger for lending us the enriched ³⁴S sample and for his interest in this experiment. We acknowledge also with many thanks the help of Ms. Judy Gursky and Laszlo Csihas who prepared the targets and of R. Poore who assisted us in the analysis of the results.

1 (1973).

^{*}Visiting Staff Member, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.

Work supported by the Energy Research and Development Administration.

¹D. R. Goosman, C. N. Davids, and D. E. Alburger, Phys. Rev. C <u>8</u>, 1324 (1973).

²P. M. Endt and C. Van der Leun, Nucl. Phys. <u>A214</u>,

 ³E. R. Flynn, S. Orbesen, J. D. Sherman, J. W. Sunier, and R. Woods, Nucl. Instrum. Methods <u>128</u>, 35 (1975).
 ⁴The isotope sample was prepared by the Stable Isotopes

Division of ORNL. ⁵P. Ekström, J. Tillman, and L. Carlén, Nucl. Phys.

P. Exstrom, J. Tillman, and L. Carlen, Nucl. Phys. <u>A210</u>, 458 (1973).

- ⁶A. S. Keverling Buisman and P. J. M. Smulders, Nucl. Phys. A228, 205 (1974).
- ⁷N. B. Gove and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Data <u>A11</u>, 127 (1972).
- ⁸A. H. Wapstra and N. B. Gove, Nucl. Data <u>A9</u>, 265 (1971).
- ⁹R. P. Kolalis, Yu. A. Nemilov, V. S. Románov, V. S.
- Sadkovskii, E. D. Teterin, K. A. Gridnev, and A. E. Denisov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 35, 1684 (1971) [Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Ser. 35, 1533 (1971)].
- ¹⁰R. C. Bearse, D. H. Youngblood, and J. L. Yntema, Phys. Rev. <u>167</u>, 1043 (1968). ¹¹J. D. Sherman, E. R. Flynn, O. Hansen, N. Stein, and
- J. W. Sunier (unpublished).