Comments

The Comments section is for short papers which comment on papers previously published in The Physical Review. Manuscripts intended for this section must be accompanied by a brief abstract for information retrieval purposes and a keyword abstract.

Comment on "New methods for solving the Bethe-Goldstone equation"*

S. F. Tsai

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

r. T. S. K.uo

Department of Physics, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York 11790 (Received 29 March 1976)

With an $(\omega$ -QTQ) type energy denominator in the propagator of the Bethe-Goldstone equation, Znojil's recent exact solution for the defect wave function is shown to follow from Tsai and Kuo's earlier exact solution for the G matrix.

NUCLEAR STRUCTURE Accurate methods for calculating nuclear reaction matrix.

An exact method has been proposed recently by Znojil' to solve the Bethe-Goldstone equation with an (ω -QTQ) type energy denominator in the propagator. Despite Znojil's claim for its being new, it must be noted, however, that the same solution had essentially been given before by Tsai and Kuo.² In this note, we show that one can obtain Znojil's solution by renaming parts of Tsai and Kuo's explicit solution and casting the result into a set of coupled equations.

To see this, we follow the notation of Ref. 1 and begin by giving the Tsai-Kuo solution for the defect wave function x

$$
\chi = \frac{1}{\omega - T - V} V \phi - \frac{1}{\omega - T - V}
$$

$$
\times P \frac{1}{P[1/(\omega - T - V)]} P \frac{1}{\omega - T - V} V \phi,
$$
 (1)

which is obtained from Eq. (14) of Ref. 2 and the following equation relating the G matrix and the defect wave function

$$
V\chi = V(\psi - \phi) = (G - V)\phi.
$$
 (2)

For ease of comparison, we will also give the equation number in Ref. 1 whenever applicable. Znojil's solution for the defect wave function can then be seen to follow from Eq. (1) by making the following identifications:

$$
\chi = \kappa + \rho \tag{3}
$$

[Eq. (33) of Ref. 1], in which we have

$$
\kappa = \frac{1}{\omega - T - V} V \phi \tag{4}
$$

and

$$
\rho = \frac{-1}{\omega - T - V} P \lambda, \qquad (5)
$$

provided we also define

$$
\lambda = P \frac{1}{P[1/(\omega - T - V)]} P \frac{1}{\omega - T - V} V \phi.
$$
 (6)

In fact, from Eqs. (4) and (6), we have immediately

$$
P \frac{1}{\omega - T - V} P \lambda = P \kappa \tag{7}
$$

which, when written in its vector component form using an orthonormal basis ϕ_i , which spans the model space P , gives the set of equations

$$
\langle \phi_i | \kappa \rangle + \sum_j \langle \phi_i | \rho_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | P \lambda \rangle = 0, \quad (i, j) \in P \qquad (8)
$$

 $Eq. (36)$ of Ref. 1]. Note that in Eq. (8) we have defined

$$
\rho_i = \frac{-1}{\omega - T - V} \phi_i \tag{9}
$$

in agreement with Eq. (35) of Ref. 1,

$$
(\omega - T - V)\rho_i = -\phi_i. \tag{10}
$$

Furthermore, if we rewrite Eqs. (4) and (5) as

$$
(\omega - T - V)\kappa = V\phi \tag{11}
$$

14 2319

[Eq. (34) of Ref. 1] and

$$
(\omega - T - V)\rho = -P\lambda, \qquad (12)
$$

we then obtain Znojil's solution for χ by combining Eqs. (11) and (12) with Eq. (3):

$$
(\omega - T - V)\chi = V\phi - P\lambda \tag{13}
$$

*Research supported in part by USERDA Contracts Nos. $E(11-1)-1764$ and $E(11-1)-3001$.

[Eq. (32) of Ref. 1], which is to be solved in conjunction with Eqs. (8) , (10) , and (11) .

The authors would like to thank Dr. E. M. Krenciglowa and Professor D. W. L. Sprung for pointing out the apparent coincidence of the two solutions presented here.

 ${}^{1}_{1}$ M. Znojil, Phys. Rev. C $\underline{12}$, 2077 (1975).

²S. F. Tsai and T. T. S. Kuo, Phys. Lett. 39B, 427 (1972).

2320