Errata

## Erratum: Reid soft-core potential and parity nonconserving effects in thermal-neutron capture by protons [Phys. Rev. C 11, 349 (1975)]

Keith R. Lassey and Bruce H. J. McKellar

A sign error in our work has come to light. The consequences are that R and W of Eq. (5c) should be redefined with opposite sign as

$$R = -(D_0F_0 + 2D_1F_1), \quad W = -D_0F_1 + D_1(F_1 - F_1).$$
(5c)

As a result, all reported numerical values of  $P_{\gamma}$ and  $\alpha$  (and also of the Danilov parameters  $\lambda_s$ ,  $\lambda_t$ , C) should have their signs reversed. With these corrections, our value of  $P_{\gamma}$  agrees favorably with those of Rustgi and Pirner,<sup>16</sup> Desplanques,<sup>17</sup> and Danilov,<sup>18</sup> and with our earlier work<sup>19</sup> which incorporated the Danilov approximation.

It is useful to point out that our calculations are performed in the center-of-mass reference frame with relative coordinate  $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_p - \vec{r}_n$ , or, equivalently, with relative momentum  $\vec{k} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{k}_p - \vec{k}_n)$ .

We also wish to point out a typographical error

in the expression for  $F_1$ , which should be defined

$$F_1 = 6^{-1/2} \left( M_{111, 01}^{\epsilon} - M_{011, 11}^{\epsilon} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \left( M_{211, 11}^{\epsilon} - M_{111, 21}^{\epsilon} \right).$$
(5e)

Furthermore the entries in Table II under RSC(2, D), corresponding to  $M_{011, 11}^{\epsilon}$  and  $\alpha \times 10^{9}$  should read 0.359 and 3.19 instead of 0.205 and 3.64, respectively. Finally, the last sentence of the fourth to last paragraph, should point out that our predicted deuteron *P*-state probabilities are "a factor of 10 smaller than the *P*-state probabilities obtained by Hadjimichael and Fischbach,<sup>8</sup>" instead of being "in good agreement" with them.

We are grateful to Dr. Desplanques and Dr. Pirner for discussions which exposed our sign error, and to Dr. Desplanques for providing us with details from his correspondence with Dr. Danilov.

<sup>18</sup>The values of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and *C* quoted by Danilov (Ref. 7) are of the wrong sign. This was pointed out by Dr. Danilov in private communication to Dr. Desplanques. <sup>19</sup>The sign error reported begin is also present in our

## Erratum: Covariant pion-nucleus optical potential [Phys. Rev. C 11, 1593 (1975)]

L. Celenza, L. C. Liu, and C. M. Shakin

In Eqs. (3.14), (3.18), (3.19), (3.20), (3.22), (6.8), and (6.9) replace  $M_L$ ,  $M'_L$ , and  $M''_L$  by  $-M_L$ ,  $-M'_L$ , and  $-M''_L$  when these quantities appear in a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. In Eq. (3.14) replace

$$\left(\frac{2I+1}{2L+1}\right)$$
 by  $\left(\frac{2I+1}{2L+1}\right)^{1/2}$ .

In Eq. (3.17) replace subscript M by  $M_L$ . In Eq. (6.8) replace  $\tilde{\rho}_{s''s'}$  by  $\tilde{\rho}_{s's''}$ . The second line of Eq. (5.2) should read

$$\times \{ (p_N^0 + M_N^*) [ (p_N'^0 + M_N'^*) A + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{k}'^2 - \bar{k}^2) B + \frac{1}{2} (p_N'^0 + M_N'^*) (p^0 + p'^0) B ]_{fi} .$$

. . .

12 721

 $<sup>^{16}\</sup>text{M.}$  L. Rustgi and H. J. Pirner, Phys. Rev. C <u>10</u>, 2099 (1974); Dr. Pirner has kindly pointed out to us that their definition of  $P_{\gamma}$  bears the opposite sign to our definition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>B. Desplanques, Orsay Report No. IPNO/TH 74-38 (unpublished).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>The sign error reported herein is also present in our earlier work (Ref. 9).

The second and third lines of Eq. (5.9) should read

$$= -\left\{ \sqrt{s} / (2\pi^2 [M_N^* M_N'^* | \vec{k}_c | \vec{k}_c' | ]^{1/2}) \right\}$$

$$\times \{f_0 - (k'_c \cdot k_c)f_1 + (1 \cdot \tau)_{fi} | f_2 - (k'_c \cdot k_c)f_3] \}.$$

The second line of Eq. (5.10) should read

 $= - \left\{ \sqrt{s} / (2\pi^2 [M_N^* M_N'^* |\vec{\mathbf{k}}_c| \vec{\mathbf{k}}_c'|]^{1/2}) \right\} \left[ f_1 + (\vec{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \vec{\tau})_{fi} f_3 \right].$ 

The second term in the first line of Eq. (5.18) should read

+ [ $(M_N^* + M_N'^* + p^0 + p'^0)(p_N'^0 + M_N'^*) + \vec{k}'^2 - \vec{k}^2$ ][ $e_1^+ a_{fi}^* + e_1^- b_{fi}^*$ ]}.

The factor of 2 in front of  $e_5^+$  and  $e_5^-$  in the second line of Eq. (5.18) should be deleted. Equation (5.19) should read

 $b_{fi} = e_0 [(e_2^+ + e_3^+ - e_5^+)a_{fi}^* + (e_2^- + e_3^- + e_5^-)b_{fi}^*]/N.$ 

A factor of 2 should be inserted in front of the right hand side of Eq. (5.20).