Communications

The Computincations section is for brief reports on completed research. A Communication may be no longer than the equivalent of 2800 webs, i.e., the length to which a Physical Review Letter is limited. (See the editorial in the 21 December 1970 issue of Physical Review Letters.) Manuscripts intended for this section must be accompanied by a brief abstract for information retrieval purposes and a keyword abstract.

K-capture probability (P_K) in the decay of ¹⁵²Eu (12.4 yr) and ¹⁹²Ir (74 day)

B. K. Dasmahapatra

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Calcutta - 9, India (Received 18 December 1974)

From the analysis of the K x-ray- γ -ray sum peaks observed with a Ge(Li) detector the K-capture probability (P_K) for the 1529.8 keV and 1233.8 keV states of ¹⁵²Sm in the decay of ¹⁵²Eu (12.4 yr) and 690.5 keV state of ¹⁹²Os in the decay of ¹⁹²Ir (74 day) have been determined. The observed values are: $P_K^{1529.8} = 0.76 \pm 0.09$, $P_K^{1233.8} = 0.88 \pm 0.10$ and $P_K^{690.5} = 0.70 \pm 0.06$.

[RADIOACTIVITY ¹⁵²Eu, ¹⁹²Ir; measured sum-peak areas, I_{γ} , I_{Kx} ; deduced P_{K} .]

In a recent article¹ the successful application of the sum-technique using a Ge(Li) detector for the measurement of P_K , the K-capture probability has been made for the two states of ¹³³Cs in the decay of ¹³³Ba (10.4 yr). The relative ease with which the P_K were determined has stimulated us to apply this technique to a few more isotopes especially where the data appear to be lacking. So far, to our knowledge, there have been no measurements done in the decay of ¹⁹²Ir (74 day). In the case of ¹⁵²Eu (12.4 yr) decay there has been only one measurement done for the 1529.8 keV state of ¹⁵²Sm.²

As a primary condition for the measurement of P_K by the sum technique, the decay schemes of the two isotopes are well known.^{3,4} Figure 1 shows a part of the γ -ray spectrum of ¹⁵²Eu obtained with a 32.2 cm³ Ge(Li) detector in the close geometry setup. Figure 2 shows the same in the decay of ¹⁹²Ir. Using the decay schemes of ¹⁵²Eu ³ and ¹⁹²Ir⁴ the following equations for the sum peaks (1408.0 + $K\alpha$) keV and (1112.1 + $K\alpha$) keV in the decay of ¹⁵²Eu (Fig. 1) and (484.7 + $K\alpha$) keV in the decay of ¹⁹²Ir (Fig. 2) can be written to a good approximation as

$$N_{1408\bullet0*K\alpha}^{\text{sum}} = f \left(P_K^{1529\bullet8} + \frac{\alpha_K^{121\bullet8}}{1 + \alpha_T^{121\bullet8}} \right) N_{1408\bullet0} , \qquad (1)$$

$$N_{1112\bullet,1+K\alpha}^{\text{sum}} = f\left(P_K^{1233\bullet8} + \frac{\alpha_K^{121\bullet8}}{1+\alpha_T^{121\bullet8}}\right) N_{1112\bullet1} , \qquad (2)$$

$$N_{484\bullet,7*K\alpha}^{\text{sum}} = f \left(P_K^{690\bullet5} + \frac{\alpha_K^{205\bullet8}}{1 + \alpha_T^{205\bullet8}} \right) N_{484\bullet7} , \qquad (3)$$

where N are the peak areas, $f = \omega_K f_{K\alpha} \epsilon_{K\alpha}; \omega_K$ is the K-fluorescence yield in the daughter nucleus, $f_{K\alpha} = I_{K\alpha}/I_{K\alpha} + I_{K\beta}$ and $\epsilon_{K\alpha}$ is the absolute efficiency for the $K\alpha$ x rays.

In the present work the values of ω_{K} and $f_{K\alpha}$ are taken from Bambynek *et al.*⁵ and Hansen, Freund, and Fink,⁶ respectively. The conversion coefficients of the γ rays entering into the above equations are taken from Hager and Seltzer.⁷ For the determination of $\epsilon_{K\alpha}$ we use ϵ_{γ} measured from the analysis of the γ - γ sum peaks⁸ of the particular isotope and the ratio $\epsilon_{K\alpha}/\epsilon_{\gamma}$ from the relative efficiency curve in the close geometry setup. For example $\epsilon_{K\alpha}$ (Sm) is determined from $\epsilon_{121.8}$ determined from the sum peak (1112.1+121.8) keV and the ratio $\epsilon_{K\alpha}/\epsilon_{121.8}$ from the relative efficiency curve.⁴

The data of the present work yield $P_K^{1529.8} = 0.76 \pm 0.09$, $P_K^{1233.8} = 0.88 \pm 0.10$ and $P_K^{690.5} = 0.70 \pm 0.06$. The result for the 1529.8 keV state is in good agreement with the value 0.79 ± 0.02 of Lu and Schupp measured from the coincidence experiment.²

It is interesting to see that the electron capture feeding in the ¹⁹²Ir decay is mostly through the 690.5 keV state (79%) of ¹⁹²Os (Ref. 4). Thus a direct measurement of total P_K from the K x-ray intensities should be comparable with $P_K^{690.5}$ determined from the analysis of the sum peak. Figure 3 shows the K x-ray part of the spectrum obtained with a high resolution (500 eV at 121.8 keV) Ge(Li)

702

12



FIG. 1. A part of the γ ray spectrum of ¹⁵²Eu with the source on the top of the 32.2 cm³ Ge(Li) detector. The high background in the region after 1408.0 keV is due to the summing of 1408.0 keV photopeak with the Compton distribution of the 121.8 keV γ ray.



FIG. 2. A part of the γ ray spectrum of ¹⁹²Ir with the source on the top of the 32.2 cm³ Ge(Li) detector.



FIG. 3. A part of the low energy γ ray spectrum of ¹⁹²Ir obtained with the Ge(Li) x-ray detector showing the Os and Pt K x rays.

x-ray detector. Table I shows the results of the K x-ray intensity measurement in the ¹⁹²Ir decay which so far, to our knowledge, have not been reported. The $I_{K\beta}/I_{K\alpha}$ ratios for both the nuclei (¹⁹²Os and ¹⁹²Pt) agree fairly well with those mea-

TABLE I. Results of the measurement of $K \ge 10^{-102}$ Ir.

Energy ^a (keV)		Relative intensity	
61.49	$(OsK\alpha_2)$	1.37 ± 0.07	
63.00	$(OsK\alpha_1)$	2.39 ± 0.10	
65.12	$(\operatorname{Pt} K\alpha_2)$	3.00 ± 0.11	
66.83	$(\operatorname{Pt} K\alpha_1)$	5.13 ± 0.15	
71.3	$(OsK\beta_1)$	0.81 ± 0.04	
73.4	$(OsK\beta_2)$	0.21 ± 0.02	
75.7	$(\operatorname{Pt} K\beta_1)$	1.72 ± 0.06	
77.8	$(\operatorname{Pt} K\beta_2)$	0.48 ± 0.02	
316.5	_	100	

^a The energies of the K x rays are taken from Lederer, Hollander, and Perlman (Ref. 11).

sured by Hansen, Freund, and Fink.⁶ With the relevant equations given in our earlier report¹ we obtain for ¹⁹²Os, $P_K(\text{total}) = 0.70 \pm 0.04$ which is observed to be in good agreement with $P_K^{690.5}$ measured from the analysis of the sum peak.

Because of the very poor statistics of the sum peak $(374.5 + K\alpha)$ keV and the fact that the photopeak 374.5 keV contains appreciable contribution of the sum peak $(308 + K\alpha)$ keV, the measurement of $P_{K}^{580.3}$ will be subjected to large uncertainty. Similarly in the ¹⁵²Eu decay, the $P_{K}^{1085.8}$ is difficult to

TABLE II. Results of the measurement of P_K in the decay of 152 Eu and 192 Ir.

Parent nucleus	Daughter nucleus	Level	P Expt.	^K Theo. (see text)
¹⁵² Eu (3 ⁻) ¹⁹² Ir (4 ⁻)	¹⁵² Sm ¹⁹² Os	1529.8 (2 ⁻) 1233.8 (3 ⁺) 690.5 (3 ⁺)	0.76 ± 0.09 0.88 ± 0.10 0.70 ± 0.06	0.82 0.84 0.77

determine since in this case the two sum peaks $(1085.8 + K\alpha)$ keV and (778.8 + 344.3) keV almost merge with each other within the detector resolution (Fig. 1).

Out of the three $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ measured in the present work $P_{K}^{1529.8}$ corresponds to an allowed transition and the other two $(P_K^{1233,8} \text{ and } P_K^{690,5})$ correspond to nonunique first-forbidden transitions. The comparison with the theoretical estimate is difficult for the latter type since the calculation of P_{κ} for the nonunique transitions involve nuclear matrix elements which are usually not known. Nevertheless, assuming that the degree of forbiddenness is not very much sensitive to P_{K} ,⁹ we have compared the experimental results with the theoretical estimate¹⁰ for the allowed decay (Table II). In view of the relatively large error associated with the measurement of P_{κ} , a precise comparison with theory is difficult. It may be noted that the main source of error in the present work results from the measurement of efficiency of the detector for the $K \ge K$

- ¹B. K. Dasmahapatra and P. Mukherjee, J. Phys. A <u>7</u>, 388 (1974).
- ²D. C. Lu and G. Schupp, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. <u>7</u>, 353 (1962).
- ³L. L. Riedinger, N. R. Johnson, and J. H. Hamilton, Phys. Rev. C 2, 2358 (1970).
- ⁴P. Mukherjee and B. K. Dasmahapatra, J. Phys. A <u>7</u>, 2008 (1974).
- ⁵W. Bambynek et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, 716 (1972).
- ⁶J. S. Hansen, H. U. Freund, and R. W. Fink, Nucl. Phys. A142, 604 (1970).
- ⁷R. S. Hager and E. C. Seltzer, Nucl. Data A4 (No. 1-2)

rays which is difficult to minimize. However, with the uncertainty the present work shows that though the two P_K measured in the ¹⁵²Eu decay show good agreement with the theory, the P_K for the 690.5 keV state of ¹⁹²Os in the ¹⁹²Ir decay appears to be somewhat less which is further supported by the additional measurement of total P_K . It is interesting to see that if we assume the theoretical value (0.77) for the 690.5 keV state then in order to be consistent with the total P_K , the P_K for the 580.3 keV state is found to be 0.48 ± 0.07 (neglecting the contribution of the small feeding to the 909.7 keV level⁴) which appears to be significantly less compared to the theoretical value (0.79).

The author would like to thank Professor P. Mukherjee for his interest and suggestions throughout the work. He also thanks Professor A. P. Patro and Professor S. K. Mukherjee for providing the necessary experimental facilities.

(1968).

- ⁸B. K. Dasmahapatra and P. Mukherjee, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 107, 611 (1973).
- ⁹L. N. Zyryanova, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Electron Capture and Higher-Order Processes in Nuclear Decays, Debrecen, Hungary, 1968* (Eötvos Lóránd Physical Society, Budapest, Hungary, 1968), p. 5.
- ¹⁰M. J. Martin and P. H. Blichert-Toft, Nucl. Data A8 (No. 1-2) (1970).
- ¹¹C. M. Lederer, J. M. Hollander, and I. Perlman, *Table of Isotopes* (Wiley, New York, 1967), 6th ed.

705