Dineutron-dineutron correlation in ⁸He

Y. Yamaguchi ^(b), ¹ W. Horiuchi ^(b), ^{1,2,3,4,*} T. Ichikawa ^(b), ^{5,6} and N. Itagaki ^(b), ^{2,3,†}

¹Department of Physics, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

²Nambu Yoichiro Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (NITEP), Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

³RIKEN Nishina Center, Wako 351-0198, Japan

⁴Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

⁵SIGMAXYZ Inc., 4-1-28 Toranomon, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0001, Japan

⁶Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Received 24 May 2023; accepted 29 June 2023; published 18 July 2023)

Background: The four-neutron correlation has been attracting much attention for decades. In addition to the study on the tetraneutron system, it is worthwhile to investigate the correlation in bound systems.

Purpose: The ⁸He nucleus is a system where four neutrons are weakly bound around the ⁴He core. The dineutron (2n) correlation has been long discussed in various weakly bound neutron-rich nuclei such as ⁶He and ¹¹Li, whereas the ⁸He nucleus gives us an opportunity to investigate the 2n-2n type four-neutron correlation.

Methods: We introduce a microscopic ${}^{4}\text{He} + 4n$ model and describe the ground-state structure of ${}^{8}\text{He}$. The mixing of the two-2*n* component in the ground state is examined. The ground-state wave function is verified by investigating various observables including high-energy scattering cross sections.

Results: Our model reasonably reproduces the available experimental data, the binding energy, charge radius, total reaction cross section, and proton-nucleus elastic scattering cross section data. We find that the significant mixing of the two-2n cluster configurations around ⁴He in the ground state of ⁸He: The ground state has a squared overlap of about 45% with a 2n-⁴He -2n configuration with the ⁴He -2n distance of 3 fm and opening angle of 80°.

Conclusions: The ground state of ⁸He contains a certain amount of the two-2n cluster component, indicating the strong nuclear deformation, which was experimentally observed recently.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.108.L011304

The existence of proton-deficient nuclear systems has been a subject of debate in nuclear physics. In this context, the tetraneutron system, comprising four neutrons, has garnered significant interest for decades [1–6]. A crucial characteristic of the tetraneutron system is that in the lowest-energy configuration, one of the two-neutron pairs, known as dineutron (2n), occupies the s state, while the other pair must occupy the p state due to the Pauli exclusion principle. Consequently, the four-neutron correlation may be weakened in a vacuum as the two-2n systems should occupy distinctly different orbits with each other.

The two-2*n* correlations may be enhanced when a core nucleus, such as a ⁴He nucleus, is introduced into the fourneutron system. This is because, in the ⁸He nucleus, four neutrons are bound around the ⁴He core nucleus, and all four can occupy the *p* state as their lowest-energy configuration. As a result, the four-neutron correlation could emerge under a democratic situation, in contrast to the tetraneutron system in a vacuum. It is important to note that in the ⁷H (³H+4*n*) system, the four neutrons are no longer bound, although numerous experimental and theoretical investigations have focused on exploring the resonance states of 7 H [7–14].

The 2n correlations in the ⁸He nucleus have been theoretically discussed in Refs. [15-17], even though the neutron number of six in 7H and 8He corresponds to the subclosure of the $p_{3/2}$ orbits in the nuclear shell model, where the spin-orbit interaction acts attractively. The spin-orbit interaction serves as a driving force for promoting the independent particle motion of each nucleon with good total angular momentum [18] and leads to the breaking of the spin-singlet 2n clusters. However, if the four valence neutrons in the p shell have extended spatial distributions outside the interaction region of the spin-orbit force, it would be possible to form the 2n clusters there. Recent experimental results support such spatially extended four-neutron wave functions: The four neutrons in the ⁸He nucleus are bound only by 3.11 MeV from the ⁴He +4*n* threshold energy [19], and the matter radius is evaluated as 2.53(2) fm with a neutron skin thickness of 0.82(2) fm, implying an extended four-neutron distribution [20].

We note that the 2n-2n correlation has not been investigated sufficiently, although the 2n correlations are extremely important in weakly bound systems and have been widely discussed in neutron-rich nuclei [21–23]. In Refs. [15,17], the squared overlap between the ground-state wave function

^{*}whoriuchi@omu.ac.jp

[†]itagaki@omu.ac.jp

of ⁸He and two-2*n* cluster configurations was examined. In those studies, two-2*n* clusters were isotopically distributed around ⁸He by means of the Tohsaki-Horiuchi-Schuck-Röpke (THSR) wave function [24]. A similar approach was adopted in Ref. [25]. Several *ab initio* calculations were performed for the ⁸He nucleus. Many physical properties can be interpreted in connection with the realistic interactions but unfortunately the 2*n*-2*n* correlation was not discussed there [26–29]. Reference [16] conducted the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov calculations with the Wood-Saxon potential, where the four-body density of the valence neutrons and the 2*n*-2*n* correlation were discussed but that obtained nuclear radius is unrealistically larger than the experimental value. An investigation using a realistic wave function is needed to extract an amount of the 2*n*-2*n* correlation quantitatively.

The recent experiment posited that the ground state of ⁸He is strongly deformed [30]. This suggests that the ⁸He configuration is not a simple subclosure configuration of $p_{3/2}$. Instead, it may exhibit enhanced four-neutron correlations. Moreover, the possibility of the so-called soft dipole mode in ⁸He was recently pointed out in Ref. [31], implying the formation of a four-neutron cluster around the ⁴He core.

The purpose of this Letter is to investigate the 2n-2n correlation in the ⁸He nucleus. We perform microscopic cluster model calculations that explicitly include various geometric configurations of two-2n clusters. We remark that a strong dineutron correlation was implied within a ⁴He core plus 4n picture [32]. We take special care to describe the valence four-neutron wave functions around the ⁴He core by superposing numerous configurations. To verify our wave function, we calculate the proton-⁸He elastic scattering and total reaction cross sections and compare them with available experimental data. Finally, we discuss whether the four neutrons occupying the *p* states can favorably form two-2n clusters under a democratic condition and the relationship between the two-2n correlations and nuclear deformation.

Here, we introduce a microscopic ⁴He +4*n* model, wherein the wave function is fully antisymmetrized. We construct basis states with different neutron configurations and superpose them based on the generator coordinate method (GCM) after the angular momentum projection [33]. The coefficients of each basis state are determined by diagonalizing the norm and Hamiltonian matrices. Using the obtained ground-state wave function of ⁸He, we calculate the one-body density distributions for the cross-section calculations with the Glauber model [34].

The Hamiltonian (*H*) consists of kinetic energy (t_i) and potential energy (v_{ij}) terms as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{8} t_i - T_{\text{c.m.}} + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij}, \qquad (1)$$

where the center-of-mass kinetic energy $T_{c.m.}$ is subtracted to guarantee the translation invariance of the wave functions. For the potential part, the interaction consists of the central, spin-orbit, and Coulomb terms. We employ the Volkov No. 2 [35] effective nucleon-nucleon interaction with the Majorana exchange parameter of M = 0.6, which is known to reproduce the low-energy scattering phase shift of ⁴He + ⁴He [36]. The original Volkov interaction does not have the Heisenberg and Bartlett exchange terms, but we introduce B = H = 0.07 just as in Ref. [13] to remove the spurious bound state of the two-neutron system. For the spin-orbit part, we use the spin-orbit term of the G3RS interaction [37], which is a realistic interaction originally developed to reproduce the nucleon-nucleon scattering phase shifts. The strength of the spin-orbit interaction is set to 2000 MeV, reproducing the low-energy scattering phase shift of $p + {}^{4}$ He [37].

The wave function of ⁸He is described by a superposition of fully antisymmetrized (A) ⁴He (α) + 4*n* wave function as

$$\Phi = \mathcal{A}\{\Phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha})\Phi_{4n}(\boldsymbol{R}_{1},\boldsymbol{R}_{2},\boldsymbol{R}_{3},\boldsymbol{R}_{4})\},$$
(2)

where the α and 4n wave functions, Φ_{α} and Φ_{4n} , are expressed by the product of the single-particle wave function with a Gaussian form as used in many other cluster models, including the Brink model [33]

$$\phi^{\tau,\sigma}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \left(\frac{2\nu}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \exp[-\nu(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{R})^2]\chi^{\tau,\sigma}, \qquad (3)$$

where **R** is a Gaussian center parameter related to the expectation value for the position of the nucleon, and $\chi^{\tau,\sigma}$ are the spin and isospin parts of the wave function. For the size parameter ν , we here use $\nu = 0.255 \text{ fm}^{-2}$, slightly smaller than the value for the free ⁴He nucleus, which is reasonable, as the ⁴He core swells due to the interaction and Pauli principle from the valence neutrons [38,39]. The α cluster as the core nucleus can be expressed by four nucleons with the spin and isospin saturated configuration sharing the same R_{α} value. Similarly, the 2*n* cluster can also be expressed by two neutrons with spin up and spin down with $D_1 \equiv R_1 = R_2$ and $D_2 \equiv R_3 = R_4$.

Each Slater determinant is projected to the eigenstates of parity and angular momentum by using the projection operator

$$P_{J^{\pi}M}^{K} = P^{\pi} \frac{2J+1}{8\pi^{2}} \int d\Omega \left\{ D_{MK}^{J} \right\}^{*} R(\Omega).$$
(4)

Here D_{MK}^{J} is the Wigner *D* function and $R(\Omega)$ is the rotation operator acting on the spatial and spin parts of the wave function. This integration over the Euler angle Ω is numerically performed. The operator P^{π} is for the parity projection. Here we take $P^{+} = (1 + P^{r})/\sqrt{2}$ for the positive-parity states, where P^{r} is the parity-inversion operator.

The generated many different Slater determinants $(\{P_{J^{\pi}M}^{K}|\Phi_{i}\rangle\})$ are superposed based on GCM [33]. After normalizing each basis state, the total wave function $\Psi_{J^{\pi}M}$ is written as

$$\Psi_{J^{\pi}M} = \sum_{i} c_{i} P_{J^{\pi}M}^{K} |\Phi_{i}\rangle.$$
(5)

The coefficients $\{c_i\}$ for a linear combination of the Slater determinants are obtained together with the energy eigenvalue *E* when we diagonalize the norm and Hamiltonian matrices, namely by solving the Hill-Wheeler equation [40]

$$\sum_{j} \left[\langle \Phi_{i} | \left(P_{J\pi_{M}}^{K} \right)^{\dagger} H P_{J\pi_{M}}^{K} | \Phi_{j} \rangle - E \langle \Phi_{i} | \left(P_{J\pi_{M}}^{K} \right)^{\dagger} P_{J\pi_{M}}^{K} | \Phi_{j} \rangle \right] c_{j} = 0.$$
(6)



FIG. 1. Potential energy surface of $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ for the ⁴He +2*n* + 2*n* configuration as a function of the distance *D* and the opening angle Θ between two-2*n* clusters. Contours are drawn by 2 MeV intervals.

In this study, we prepare ${}^{4}\text{He} + 2n + 2n$ wave functions with various isosceles triangle configurations and randomly generated ⁴He +4*n* (the α core + four free neutrons) wave functions. The geometric configurations of the ${}^{4}\text{He} + 2n + 2n$ wave functions are the isosceles triangles where the two sides of the ⁴He -2*n* distance $D \equiv |D_1 - R_\alpha| = |D_2 - R_\alpha|$ are taken as D = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 fm, and its opening angle Θ is taken as $\Theta = 30^{\circ}$, 60° , 90° , 120° , and 150° with the spin saturated configuration. Figure 1 shows the calculated potential energy surface of $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ for the ⁴He + 2n + 2n configuration as a function of D and Θ . We can see a local energy minimum of E = -17.97 MeV at around D = 3.0 fm and $\Theta = 80^{\circ}$. Note that the rms distance of the two neutrons in the 2n cluster is 2.43 fm, which implies developed 2n clusters in the surface region in the ground state of ⁸He. Large positive values of the potential energy surface come from the Pauli principle.

For the 4*n* configurations, we randomly generate the Gaussian center parameters R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , and R_4 of the four neutrons, where two of them are spin up and the remaining two are spin down. To accelerate the energy convergence, these random numbers are generated by following an exponential distribution having a width of 1.4 fm for the distance between neutrons with the up and down spins and the distance between their center position and the α core. The center of mass of each Slater determinant is shifted to the origin before the superposition.

Before discussing the ground state of ⁸He, we calculate ⁶He by randomly generated two-neutron configurations around an α cluster to verify our choice of the model Hamiltonian. The binding energy is found to be -1.33 MeV from the $\alpha + n + n$ threshold with 200 basis states. The value is slightly overbinding compared with the experimental data of -0.975 MeV [41] but is within the acceptable range because the calculated total reaction cross section on a carbon target is 719 mb at 800 MeV/nucleon, which is in good agreement with the experimental interaction cross section at 790 MeV/nucleon, 722 ± 6 mb [42]. The details of the crosssection calculation will be described for a ⁸He case later. The root-mean-square (rms) matter radius is calculated as 2.44 fm,



FIG. 2. Energy convergence of the lowest $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ state of ⁸He as a function of the number of basis states superposed. The horizontal thin dotted line indicates the theoretical ⁴He +4*n* threshold energy, -27.92 MeV.

which is slightly smaller than the empirical value 2.48 ± 0.03 fm [43].

Figure 2 draws the energy convergence of the 0^+ state of ⁸He as a function of the number of basis states superposed. The first 30 basis states correspond to the ⁴He +2*n* + 2*n* basis states, and the subsequent 1100 basis states represent the ⁴He +4*n* basis states. To achieve convergence, more than 1000 basis states are required.

The calculated energy of the ⁸He nucleus is found to be -3.14 MeV from the threshold, which is in reasonable agreement with experimental data, -3.10 MeV [41]. This result demonstrates that the wave function used in this study provides a good description of the four-valence neutrons of the ⁸He nucleus.

Figure 3 displays the point-matter, proton, and neutron density distribution of ⁸He. We see more extended neutron density distribution than proton one, indicating a thick neutron skin. The rms point-proton and matter radii of these density distributions are calculated as 1.82 fm and 2.50 fm, respectively. The calculated point-proton radius is in good agreement with the experimental ones deduced from the charge radius, 1.80(3) fm [44] and 1.81(3) fm [45].



FIG. 3. Point-matter, proton, and neutron one-body density distributions of 8 He.



FIG. 4. Proton-nucleus differential elastic scattering cross sections of ⁸He at the incident energy of 680 MeV as a function of the four-momentum transfer squared plotted in (a) logarithmic and (b) linear scales. The experimental data are taken from Refs. [61,62].

To further verify our wave function, we calculate the total interaction cross sections on a carbon target as well as proton-nucleus elastic scattering cross sections. These calculations are performed based on the Glauber model [34] with the optical limit approximation [34,46]. The nucleon-target formalism [47] is employed for the total reaction crosssection calculation on a carbon target. The inputs to the theory are the one-body density distributions and the profile function, which describes the nucleon-nucleon scattering properties. The profile function [48] has been well tested as shown in many examples of proton-nucleus [49-51] and nucleus-nucleus reactions [49,52–57]. For more details, see, for example, Refs. [58,59] and references therein, showing the most recent application of this model. The essential input for the cross-section calculations, the one-body density distribution of the ground state of ⁸He, is obtained after solving the Hill-Wheeler equation [Eq. (6)]. The density distribution is calculated in the angular momentum projected space, which is free from the center-of-mass motion, and the detail is described in Ref. [60].

Using these density distributions, the total reaction cross section on a carbon target at an incident energy of 800 MeV/nucleon is calculated as 798 mb. This value is slightly smaller than the experimental cross section of 817(6) mb at 790 MeV/nucleon [42] but still within the acceptable range considering the uncertainties of previous observations [45].

Figure 4 compares the calculated differential cross section for proton-⁸He scattering at an incident proton energy of



FIG. 5. Squared overlap between the ground state of ⁸He and ⁴He +2n + 2n cluster configuration with isosceles triangular configurations. as a function of the ⁴He -2n distance D and the opening angle Θ between two-2n clusters of the cluster configuration. Contours are drawn by 0.05 intervals.

680 MeV with available experimental data at low [61] and high [62] four-momentum transfer regions. Although there are some deviations at intermediate momentum transfer regions, the overall agreement between theory and experiment is achieved. To see it more quantitatively at low four-momentum transfer regions, in Fig. 4(b), we also plot the cross sections in a linear scale. This good agreement confirms the validity of the wave function used in this study.

Using the realistic wave function obtained in this way, the two-2*n* correlation in ⁸He is investigated by analyzing the wave function components. The squared overlap between the ground state of ⁸He and the ⁴He +2*n* + 2*n* cluster configuration with isosceles triangular configurations is evaluated. Figure 5 displays the squared overlap results, showing that a ⁴He -2*n* distance of \approx 3 fm gives the largest squared overlap and a peak structure is found around the 2*n*-⁴He -2*n* opening angle of $\Theta = 80^{\circ}$. This large overlap area corresponds to a deep pocket in the potential energy surface coming from the triangular cluster configuration (Fig. 1).

The value of squared overlap at the peak position is about 0.45, indicating that ⁸He contains a significant amount of the two-2*n* component with a large 4 He -2*n* distance. Despite the neutron number six corresponding to the closure of $p_{3/2}$ in the *jj*-coupling shell model, the spin-orbit interaction does not completely break the 2n clusters due to the weakly bound nature of the system; neutrons also stay beyond the interaction range of the spin-orbit interaction, ≈ 2.5 fm [37]. The rapid drop of the squared overlap beyond $\Theta = 90^{\circ}$ indicates that obtuse triangular shapes are not favored, which also suggests the correlation between the two 2n clusters. We also compute the squared overlap between the $0\hbar\omega$ harmonic-oscillator configuration $[(0s_{1/2})^4(0p_{3/2})^4]$ and the ground-state wave function. This squared overlap value is 0.40, which is comparable to the two-2n component. We remark that the coupling with the continuum states significantly enhances the component of the continuum $p_{3/2}$ orbits [63].

To relate the two-2n component with the nuclear shape, it is instructive to quantify the degree of deformation for each



FIG. 6. Quadrupole deformation parameter β of the ⁴He +2*n* + 2*n* cluster configuration with isosceles triangular configurations as a function of the opening angle Θ of 2*n*-⁴He -2*n*.

basis. The β parameters is given by [64]

$$\beta = \sqrt{a_0^2 + 2a_2^2},\tag{7}$$

where the dimensionless deformation parameter is defined by

$$a_m = \frac{4\pi}{5} \frac{Q_{2m}}{AR^2} \tag{8}$$

with $R = 1.2A^{1/3}$ fm and the quadrupole deformation parameters

$$Q_{20} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} (2\langle z^2 \rangle - \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle y^2 \rangle), \tag{9}$$

$$Q_{22} = \sqrt{\frac{15}{32\pi}} (\langle y^2 \rangle - \langle x^2 \rangle). \tag{10}$$

Figure 6 displays the calculated β of the ⁴He +2*n* + 2*n* configuration, showing that the value rapidly increases with

increasing ⁴He -2*n* distance. The mixing of such components could explain the large deformation of ⁸He discussed in the literature ($\beta = 0.4$ [30]). The large experimental β value is consistent with the large distance between ⁴He and 2*n* clusters; however, it is not necessarily direct evidence of 2*n*-2*n* correlation with smaller opening angles. As shown in Fig. 6, large opening angles can also give large β values.

To conclude, the four-neutron correlation has been a disputable topic in nuclear physics for decades. This study has investigated for the first time dineutron-dineutron (2n-2n)correlations in ⁸He using a reliable wave function obtained from a microscopic ${}^{4}\text{He} + 4n$ cluster model. To construct a ⁸He wave function, explicit two-2n configurations were superposed along with many 4n configurations. The agreement between the theory and experiment is satisfactory. Overall, this study provides insights into the two-2n correlation in ⁸He and its effects on the nuclear structure. The results show that a significant amount of the two-2n components in the ground state of ⁸He could explain the strong nuclear deformation observed in recent studies. However, it should be noted that the strong deformation is not necessarily a direct evidence of 2n-2n correlations with small opening angles, as it can also be interpreted as configurations locating 2n clusters at large distances.

Further experimental studies are needed to clarify the existence of the two-2n correlations. Exploring the possibility of such 2n-2n correlations in other neutron-rich nuclei near the neutron dripline is also an interesting topic for the universal understanding of the emergence of the 2n-2n correlation.

This work was in part supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. 18K03635, No. 22H01214, and No. 22K03618. The numerical calculations were performed using the computer facility of Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University (Yukawa-21).

Resonant Tetraneutron State Populated by the ⁴He(⁸He, ⁸Be) Reaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 052501 (2016).

- [3] M. Duer, T. Aumann, and R. Gernhäuser *et al.*, Observation of a correlated free four-neutron system, Nature (London) **606**, 678 (2022).
- [4] E. Hiyama, R. Lazauskas, J. Carbonell, and M. Kamimura, Possibility of generating a 4-neutron resonance with a T = 3/2isospin 3-neutron force, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 044004 (2016).
- [5] A. M. Shirokov, G. Papadimitriou, A. I. Mazur, I. A. Mazur, R. Roth, and J. P. Vary, Prediction for a Four-Neutron Resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 182502 (2016).
- [6] A. Deltuva, Tetraneutron: Rigorous continuum calculation, Phys. Lett. B 782, 238 (2018).
- [7] A. A. Korsheninnikov, E. Y. Nikolskii, E. A. Kuzmin, A. Ozawa, K. Morimoto, F. Tokanai, R. Kanungo, I. Tanihata, N. K. Timofeyuk, M. S. Golovkov, A. S. Fomichev, A. M. Rodin, M. L. Chelnokov, G. M. Ter-Akopian, W. Mittig, P. Roussel-Chomaz, H. Savajols, E. Pollacco, A. A. Ogloblin, and M. V. Zhukov, Experimental Evidence for the Existence of ⁷H and for a Specific Structure of ⁸He, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 082501 (2003).
- F. M. Marqués, M. Labiche, N. A. Orr, J. C. Angélique, L. Axelsson, B. Benoit, U. C. Bergmann, M. J. G. Borge, W. N. Catford, S. P. G. Chappell, N. M. Clarke, G. Costa, N. Curtis, A. D'Arrigo, E. de Góes Brennand, F. de Oliveira Santos, O. Dorvaux, G. Fazio, M. Freer, B. R. Fulton, G. Giardina, S. Grévy, D. Guillemaud-Mueller, F. Hanappe, B. Heusch, B. Jonson, C. Le Brun, S. Leenhardt, M. Lewitowicz, M. J. López, K. Markenroth, A. C. Mueller, T. Nilsson, A. Ninane, G. Nyman, I. Piqueras, K. Riisager, M. G. Saint Laurent, F. Sarazin, S. M. Singer, O. Sorlin, and L. Stuttgé, Detection of neutron clusters, Phys. Rev. C 65, 044006 (2002).
- [2] K. Kisamori, S. Shimoura, H. Miya, S. Michimasa, S. Ota, M. Assie, H. Baba, T. Baba, D. Beaumel, M. Dozono, T. Fujii, N. Fukuda, S. Go, F. Hammache, E. Ideguchi, N. Inabe, M. Itoh, D. Kameda, S. Kawase, T. Kawabata, M. Kobayashi, Y. Kondo, T. Kubo, Y. Kubota, M. Kurata-Nishimura, C. S. Lee, Y. Maeda, H. Matsubara, K. Miki, T. Nishi, S. Noji, S. Sakaguchi, H. Sakai, Y. Sasamoto, M. Sasano, H. Sato, Y. Shimizu, A. Stolz, H. Suzuki, M. Takaki, H. Takeda, S. Takeuchi, A. Tamii, L. Tang, H. Tokieda, M. Tsumura, T. Uesaka, K. Yako, Y. Yanagisawa, R. Yokoyama, and K. Yoshida, Candidate

- [8] M. Caamaño, D. Cortina-Gil, W. Mittig, H. Savajols, M. Chartier, C. E. Demonchy, B. Fernández, M. B. Gómez-Hornillos, A. Gillibert, B. Jurado, O. Kiselev, R. Lemmon, A. Obertelli, F. Rejmund, M. Rejmund, P. Roussel-Chomaz, and R. Wolski, Resonance State in ⁷H, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 062502 (2007).
- [9] I. A. Muzalevskii, A. A. Bezbakh, E. Y. Nikolskii, V. Chudoba, S. A. Krupko, S. G. Belogurov, D. Biare, A. S. Fomichev, E. M. Gazeeva, A. V. Gorshkov, L. V. Grigorenko, G. Kaminski, O. Kiselev, D. A. Kostyleva, M. Y. Kozlov, B. Mauyey, I. Mukha, Y. L. Parfenova, W. Piatek, A. M. Quynh, V. N. Schetinin, A. Serikov, S. I. Sidorchuk, P. G. Sharov, N. B. Shulgina, R. S. Slepnev, S. V. Stepantsov, A. Swiercz, P. Szymkiewicz, G. M. Ter-Akopian, R. Wolski, B. Zalewski, and M. V. Zhukov, Resonant states in ⁷H: Experimental studies of the ²H(⁸He,³He) reaction, Phys. Rev. C 103, 044313 (2021).
- [10] M. Caamaño, T. Roger, A. Moro, G. Grinyer, J. Pancin, S. Bagchi, S. Sambi, J. Gibelin, B. Fernández–Domínguez, N. Itagaki, J. Benlliure, D. Cortina–Gil, F. Farget, B. Jacquot, D. Pérez–Loureiro, B. Pietras, R. Raabe, D. Ramos, C. Rodríguez Tajes, H. Savajols, and M. Vandebrouck, Experimental investigation of ground-state properties of ⁷H with transfer reactions, Phys. Lett. B **829**, 137067 (2022).
- [11] M. Golovkov, L. Grigorenko, A. Fomichev, Y. Oganessian, Y. Orlov, A. Rodin, S. Sidorchuk, R. Slepnev, S. Stepantsov, G. Ter-Akopian, and R. Wolski, Estimates of the ⁷H width and lower decay energy limit, Phys. Lett. B **588**, 163 (2004).
- [12] N. K. Timofeyuk, Hyperspherical harmonics with orthogonal symmetry in the shell model approach and its application to light nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 69, 034336 (2004).
- [13] S. Aoyama and N. Itagaki, Di-neutron correlations in ⁷H, Phys. Rev. C 80, 021304(R) (2009).
- [14] E. Hiyama, R. Lazauskas, and J. Carbonell, 7 H ground state as a 3 H +4n resonance, Phys. Lett. B **833**, 137367 (2022).
- [15] Y. Kanada-En'yo, Dineutron structure in ⁸He, Phys. Rev. C 76, 044323 (2007).
- [16] K. Hagino, N. Takahashi, and H. Sagawa, Strong dineutron correlation in ⁸He and ¹⁸C, Phys. Rev. C 77, 054317 (2008).
- [17] N. Itagaki, M. Ito, K. Arai, S. Aoyama, and T. Kokalova, Mixing of di-neutron components in ⁸He, Phys. Rev. C 78, 017306 (2008).
- [18] N. Itagaki, J. Cseh, and M. Płoszajczak, Simplified modeling of cluster-shell competition in ²⁰Ne and ²⁴Mg, Phys. Rev. C 83, 014302 (2011).
- [19] D. Tilley, J. Kelley, J. Godwin, D. Millener, J. Purcell, C. Sheu, and H. Weller, Energy levels of light nuclei A = 8, 9, 10, Nucl. Phys. A 745, 155 (2004).
- [20] T. Wakasa, M. Takechi, S. Tagami, and M. Yahiro, Matter radii and skins of ^{6,8}He from reaction cross section of proton+^{6,8}He scattering based on the Love-Franey t-matrix model, Res. Phys. 35, 105329 (2022).
- [21] G. Bertsch and H. Esbensen, Pair correlations near the neutron drip line, Ann. Phys. (NY) 209, 327 (1991).
- [22] M. Matsuo, K. Mizuyama, and Y. Serizawa, Di-neutron correlation and soft dipole excitation in medium mass neutron-rich nuclei near drip line, Phys. Rev. C 71, 064326 (2005).
- [23] K. J. Cook, T. Nakamura, Y. Kondo, K. Hagino, K. Ogata, A. T. Saito, N. L. Achouri, T. Aumann, H. Baba, F. Delaunay, Q. Deshayes, P. Doornenbal, N. Fukuda, J. Gibelin, J. W. Hwang, N. Inabe, T. Isobe, D. Kameda, D. Kanno, S. Kim, N. Kobayashi, T. Kobayashi, T. Kubo, S. Leblond, J. Lee, F. M.

Marqués, R. Minakata, T. Motobayashi, K. Muto, T. Murakami, D. Murai, T. Nakashima, N. Nakatsuka, A. Navin, S. Nishi, S. Ogoshi, N. A. Orr, H. Otsu, H. Sato, Y. Satou, Y. Shimizu, H. Suzuki, K. Takahashi, H. Takeda, S. Takeuchi, R. Tanaka, Y. Togano, J. Tsubota, A. G. Tuff, M. Vandebrouck, and K. Yoneda, Halo Structure of the Neutron-Dripline Nucleus ¹⁹B, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 212503 (2020).

- [24] A. Tohsaki, H. Horiuchi, P. Schuck, and G. Röpke, Alpha Cluster Condensation in ¹²C and ¹⁶O, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 192501 (2001).
- [25] F. Kobayashi and Y. Kanada-En'yo, Dineutron formation and breaking in ⁸He, Phys. Rev. C 88, 034321 (2013).
- [26] S. C. Pieper, V. R. Pandharipande, R. B. Wiringa, and J. Carlson, Realistic models of pion-exchange three-nucleon interactions, Phys. Rev. C 64, 014001 (2001).
- [27] E. Caurier and P. Navrátil, Proton radii of ^{4,6,8}He isotopes from high-precision nucleon-nucleon interactions, Phys. Rev. C 73, 021302(R) (2006).
- [28] P. Maris, J. P. Vary, and A. M. Shirokov, Ab initio no-core full configuration calculations of light nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 79, 014308 (2009).
- [29] F. Bonaiti, S. Bacca, and G. Hagen, Ab initio coupled-cluster calculations of ground and dipole excited states in ⁸He, Phys. Rev. C 105, 034313 (2022).
- [30] M. Holl, R. Kanungo, Z. Sun, G. Hagen, J. Lay, A. Moro, P. Navratil, T. Papenbrock, M. Alcorta, D. Connolly, B. Davids, A. Diaz Varela, M. Gennari, G. Hackman, J. Henderson, S. Ishimoto, A. Kilic, R. Krucken, A. Lennarz, J. Liang, J. Measures, W. Mittig, O. Paetkau, A. Psaltis, S. Quaglioni, J. Randhawa, J. Smallcombe, I. Thompson, M. Vorabbi, and M. Williams, Proton inelastic scattering reveals deformation in ⁸He, Phys. Lett. B **822**, 136710 (2021).
- [31] T. Myo, M. Odsuren, and K. Kiyoshi, Soft dipole resonance in neutron-rich ⁸He, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 103D01 (2022).
- [32] K. Fossez, J. Rotureau, and W. Nazarewicz, Energy spectrum of neutron-rich helium isotopes: Complex made simple, Phys. Rev. C 98, 061302(R) (2018).
- [33] D. M. Brink, Many-body description of nuclear structure and reactions, in *Proceedings of the International School of Physics* "Enrico Fermi", Course XXXVI, edited by L. Bloch (Academic Press, New York, 1966), p. 247.
- [34] R. J. Glauber, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics*, edited by W.
 E. Brittin and L. G. Dunham (Interscience, New York, 1959), Vol. 1, p. 315.
- [35] A. Volkov, Equilibrium deformation calculations of the ground state energies of 1p shell nuclei, Nucl. Phys. 74, 33 (1965).
- [36] S. Okabe and Y. Abe, The structure of ⁹Be by a molecular model. II, Prog. Theor. Phys. 61, 1049 (1979).
- [37] R. Tamagaki, Potential models of nuclear forces at small distances, Prog. Theor. Phys. 39, 91 (1968).
- [38] K. Arai, Y. Suzuki, and R. G. Lovas, Structure of ⁶He with an extended three-cluster model, Phys. Rev. C 59, 1432 (1999).
- [39] D. Mikami, W. Horiuchi, and Y. Suzuki, Electric dipole response of ⁶He: Halo-neutron and core excitations, Phys. Rev. C 89, 064303 (2014).
- [40] D. L. Hill and J. A. Wheeler, Nuclear constitution and the interpretation of fission phenomena, Phys. Rev. 89, 1102 (1953).
- [41] M. Wang, W. Huang, F. Kondev, G. Audi, and S. Naimi, The ame 2020 atomic mass evaluation (II). tables, graphs and references*, Chin. Phys. C 45, 030003 (2021).

- [42] I. Tanihata, H. Hamagaki, O. Hashimoto, S. Nagamiya, Y. Shida, N. Yoshikawa, O. Yamakawa, K. Sugimoto, T. Kobayashi, D. Greiner, N. Takahashi, and Y. Nojiri, Measurements of interaction cross sections and radii of he isotopes, Phys. Lett. B 160, 380 (1985).
- [43] I. Tanihata, T. Kobayashi, O. Yamakawa, S. Shimoura, K. Ekuni, K. Sugimoto, N. Takahashi, T. Shimoda, and H. Sato, Measurement of interaction cross sections using isotope beams of Be and B and isospin dependence of the nuclear radii, Phys. Lett. B 206, 592 (1988).
- [44] I. Angeli and K. Marinova, Table of experimental nuclear ground state charge radii: An update, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 99, 69 (2013).
- [45] P. Mueller, I. A. Sulai, A. C. C. Villari, J. A. Alcántara-Núñez, R. Alves-Condé, K. Bailey, G. W. F. Drake, M. Dubois, C. Eléon, G. Gaubert, R. J. Holt, R. V. F. Janssens, N. Lecesne, Z.-T. Lu, T. P. O'Connor, M.-G. Saint-Laurent, J.-C. Thomas, and L.-B. Wang, Nuclear Charge Radius of ⁸He, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 252501 (2007).
- [46] Y. Suzuki, R. G. Lovas, K. Yabana, and K. Varga, *Structure and Reactions of Light Exotic Nuclei* (Taylor & Francis, London, 2003).
- [47] B. Abu-Ibrahim and Y. Suzuki, Utility of nucleon-target profile function in cross section calculations, Phys. Rev. C 61, 051601(R) (2000).
- [48] B. Abu-Ibrahim, W. Horiuchi, A. Kohama, and Y. Suzuki, Reaction cross sections of carbon isotopes incident on a proton, Phys. Rev. C 77, 034607 (2008).
- [49] B. Abu-Ibrahim, S. Iwasaki, W. Horiuchi, A. Kohama, and Y. Suzuki, Elastic and total reaction cross sections of oxygen isotopes in glauber theory, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 044201 (2009).
- [50] W. Horiuchi, S. Hatakeyama, S. Ebata, and Y. Suzuki, Extracting nuclear sizes of medium to heavy nuclei from total reaction cross sections, Phys. Rev. C 93, 044611 (2016).
- [51] S. Hatakeyama and W. Horiuchi, Complete glauber calculations for proton-nucleus inelastic cross sections, Nucl. Phys. A 985, 20 (2019).
- [52] W. Horiuchi and Y. Suzuki, ²²C: An s-wave two-neutron halo nucleus, Phys. Rev. C 74, 034311 (2006).
- [53] W. Horiuchi, Y. Suzuki, B. Abu-Ibrahim, and A. Kohama, Systematic analysis of reaction cross sections of carbon isotopes, Phys. Rev. C 75, 044607 (2007).
- [54] W. Horiuchi, Y. Suzuki, P. Capel, and D. Baye, Probing the weakly-bound neutron orbit of ³¹Ne with total reaction and

one-neutron removal cross sections, Phys. Rev. C 81, 024606 (2010).

- [55] W. Horiuchi, T. Inakura, T. Nakatsukasa, and Y. Suzuki, Glauber-model analysis of total reaction cross sections for Ne, Mg, Si, and S isotopes with Skyrme-Hartree-Fock densities, Phys. Rev. C 86, 024614 (2012).
- [56] W. Horiuchi, T. Inakura, T. Nakatsukasa, and Y. Suzuki, Systematic analysis of total reaction cross sections of unstable nuclei with glauber theory, JPS Conf. Proc. 6, 030079 (2015), Proceedings of the Conference on Advances in Radioactive Isotope Science (ARIS2014).
- [57] T. Nagahisa and W. Horiuchi, Examination of the ²²C radius determination with interaction cross sections, Phys. Rev. C 97, 054614 (2018).
- [58] W. Horiuchi and N. Itagaki, Density profiles near the nuclear surface of 44,52 Ti: An indication of α clustering, Phys. Rev. C **106**, 044330 (2022).
- [59] W. Horiuchi and N. Itagaki, Imprints of α clustering in the density profiles of ¹²C and ¹⁶O, Phys. Rev. C **107**, L021304 (2023).
- [60] D. Baye, P. Descouvemont, and N. Timofeyuk, Matter densities of ⁸B and ⁸Li in a microscopic cluster model and the protonhalo problem of ⁸B, Nucl. Phys. A 577, 624 (1994).
- [61] S. Neumaier, G. Alkhazov, M. Andronenko, A. Dobrovolsky, P. Egelhof, G. Gavrilov, H. Geissel, H. Irnich, A. Khanzadeev, G. Korolev, A. Lobodenko, G. Munzenberg, M. Mutterer, W. Schwab, D. Seliverstov, T. Suzuki, N. Timofeev, A. Vorobyov, and V. Yatsoura, Small-angle proton elastic scattering from the neutron-rich isotopes ⁶He and ⁸He, and from ⁴He, at 0.7 gev in inverse kinematics, Nucl. Phys. A **712**, 247 (2002).
- [62] O. Kiselev, F. Aksouh, A. Bleile, O. Bochkarev, L. Chulkov, D. Cortina-Gil, A. Dobrovolsky, P. Egelhof, H. Geissel, M. Hellstrm, N. Isaev, B. Komkov, M. Mato, F. Moroz, G. Mnzenberg, M. Mutterer, V. Mylnikov, S. Neumaier, V. Pribora, D. Seliverstov, L. Sergueev, A. Shrivastava, K. Smmerer, H. Weick, M. Winkler, and V. Yatsoura, A new experimental approach to investigate intermediate-energy proton elastic scattering and breakup reactions on exotic nuclei in inverse kinematics, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 641, 72 (2011).
- [63] T. Myo, Y. Kikuchi, and K. Katō, Five-body resonances of ⁸C using the complex scaling method, Phys. Rev. C 85, 034338 (2012).
- [64] A. Bohr and B. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure, Vol. 2, Nuclear Deformations*, edited by W. A. Benjamin (Advanced Book Program, 1975)