Relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov model for axially deformed nuclei

Jing Geng (耿晶)¹ and Wen Hui Long (龙文辉)^{1,2,3,*}

¹School of Nuclear Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
²Joint Department for Nuclear Physics, Lanzhou University and Institute of Modern Physics, CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China
³Frontier Science Center for Rare isotope, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

(Received 27 January 2022; accepted 9 March 2022; published 25 March 2022)

Background: At the forefront of nuclear science, unstable nuclei are of special significance, in which the deformation and pairing correlations play an essential role in determining the nuclear structure. Under the mean field approach, the pairing and deformation effects have been uniformly considered within the deformed non-relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov model and the deformed relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov (D-RHB) model. However, due to the limitation of the Hartree approach, an important ingredient of the nuclear force—the tensor force that contributes via the Fock diagram—is missing in the D-RHB model. Recently, the axially deformed relativistic Hartree-Fock (D-RHF) model was established for deformed nuclei, in which the π -pseudovector (π -PV) coupling can take into account the tensor force effects naturally. While limited by the BCS pairing, it cannot be safely applied to describe unstable nuclei.

Purpose: The aim of this work is to develop the axially deformed relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (D-RHFB) model for the reliable description of a wide range of unstable nuclei, by utilizing the spherical Dirac Woods-Saxon (DWS) base.

Method: Staring from the Lagrangian density that is based on the meson-propagated picture of nuclear force, the full Hamiltonian, that contains both mean field and pairing contributions, is derived by quantizing the Dirac spinor field in the Bogoliubov quasiparticle space, and the expectation with respect to the Bogoliubov ground state gives the full energy functional. As an extension of the D-RHF model, the degree of freedom associated with the ρ -tensor (ρ -T) coupling is implemented, and incorporating with the Bogoliubov scheme the finite-range Gogny force D1S is utilized as the pairing force. Moreover, qualitative analyses on the nature of the π -PV and ρ -T couplings are presented to better understand their enhancements on the deformation effects.

Results: Space convergence related to the spherical DWS base is confirmed for the D-RHFB model by taking light nucleus ²⁴Mg and mid-heavy one ¹⁵⁶Sm as candidates. Compared to light nuclei, extraordinarily more negative energy states are necessitated to keep the expansion completeness on the spherical DWS base for mid-heavy and heavy nuclei, due to the enhanced correlations between the expansion components with large κ quantity, as indicated by the nature of the π -PV and ρ -T couplings. Furthermore, because of the enhanced deformation effects by the π -PV and ρ -T couplings, the RHF Lagrangian PKA1 presents a deeper bound ground state for ²⁴Mg than the other selected Lagrangians, in addition to predicting a fairly deep bound local minimum with large oblate deformation.

Conclusions: The axially deformed relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov model has been established with confirmed convergence checks. The effects of the π -PV and ρ -T couplings, coupled with nuclear deformation, are analyzed in both light and mid-heavy nuclear systems, and are expected to be manifested in a wide range of unstable nuclei.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.105.034329

I. INTRODUCTION

The worldwide developments of the new generation radioactive-ion-beam (RIB) facilities, including the Cooler Storage Ring (CSR) at the Heavy Ion Research Facility in Lanzhou (HIRFL) in China [1], the RIKEN Radioactive Ion Beam Factory (RIBF) in Japan [2], the Rare Isotope Science Project (RISP) in Korea [3], the Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) in Germany [4], the Second Generation

Exploring from the stable to unstable regions of the nuclear chart, plenty of novel phenomena have been discovered in unstable nuclei, for instance, the quenching of traditional magic shells and the emergence of new ones [12–21], dilute matter distributions—halo structures [22–26], etc. Accompanying

System On-Line Production of Radioactive Ions (SPIRAL2) at GANIL in France [5], the Facility for Rare Isotope Beams (FRIB) in the USA [6], etc., have greatly enriched nuclear science, and the boundary of the nuclear chart is being extended continuously. One of the common targets of these new generation RIBs is to explore the unstable nuclei (also referred to as exotic nuclei) far away from the β -stability line [7–11].

^{*}longwh@lzu.edu.cn

the novel phenomena, the weak binding mechanism, that accounts for the stabilization of unstable nuclei, challenges our understanding of nuclear physics from both experimental and theoretical aspects. On the other hand, the rapid neutroncaption process (*r* process), that accounts for the origin of heavy elements in the universe [27–29], involves a large amount of unstable nuclei, which calls for precise understanding of the structures, decays, and reactions of those nuclei. In addition to nuclear physics, unstable nuclei are also of special significance in relevant fields such as astrophysics, which calls for continuous devotion of effort. Despite rapid development of modern nuclear facilities and advanced nuclear detectors, many of the unstable nuclei are not synthesized experimentally, and pioneering theoretical exploration can be rather helpful.

In contrast to stable ones, the one- and/or two-nucleon separation energies of unstable nuclei can be as small as 1-2 MeV or even less, due to the extremely imbalanced neutron-proton ratio. For such weakly bound nuclear systems, nucleons can be gradually scattered into the continuum by, for example, pairing correlations, and the deduced continuum effects should be treated carefully. In fact, as one of the crucial weak binding mechanisms, pairing correlations play an important role not only in stabilizing unstable nuclei but also in developing novel nuclear phenomena such as halo structures [25,26,30-34]. Compared to the BCS method [35], which is widely used to describe the pairing correlations in stable nuclei, the Bogoliubov transformation [36-38] has the advantage of providing unified treatments of both pairing and continuum effects [32-34], which is essential for the reliable description of unstable nuclei.

On the other hand, as revealed by many experimental and theoretical studies, most of nuclei in nuclear chart, except for a few elements near magic numbers, have deformed shapes deviating from spherical symmetry for both ground and exited states. As we learn from the literature, nuclear structures can be changed systematically with the deformation. Benefiting from the notable progress achieved in laser spectroscopy at RIB facilities, more and more novel nuclear phenomena which couple with the deformation effects have been discovered, such as the island of inversion [39–42], shape coexistence [43–45], superdeformed and hyperdeformed configurations [46,47], etc. Not only stable nuclei, but many unstable nuclei can also be deformed. As indicated in Ref. [48], the deformation affects can be significant in deciding the boundary of the nuclear chart, which the new generation RIB facilities focus on. For the reliable description of unstable nuclei, it becomes necessary to incorporate the deformation effects, in addition to the careful treatment of the weak binding mechanisms, such as the pairing correlations.

Under the mean field approach, the nonrelativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) theory (see the review [49] and references therein), including the Skyrme [50–54] and Gogny [55,56] interactions, has long been developed and applied in studying aspects of unstable nuclei, such as novelty[57–60], pairing correlations [61–64], and tensor force effects [65–68]. Compared to the nonrelativistic ones, the relativistic models have the advantage of natural consideration of strong spin-orbit couplings, which is meaningful for the reliabil-

ity of an extensive study of unstable nuclei. In this work, we restrict ourselves within the relativistic scheme. As one of the typical relativistic models, the relativistic mean field (RMF) theory [69,70] (also referred as covariant density functional theory), which contains only the Hartree diagram of the meson-propagated nuclear force, has achieved many successes in describing various nuclear phenomena [32-34,49,71-74]. Aiming at the reliable description of unstable nuclei, continuous efforts have been devoted to the extension of the RMF model resulting in the axially deformed relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov model [75-80], referred as the D-RHB model here, by incorporating the effects of the deformation and pairing correlations which are treated within the Bogoliubov scheme. Recall that it is not an easy task to solve the derived RHB equation directly in coordinate space; it is a partial differential equation, and can be even an integral one if utilizing the finite range pairing force.

At the early stage, the axially deformed harmonic oscillator (HO) base was introduced to expand the Bogoliubov spinors and the local mean fields [75,81-84]. It was further extended to describe triaxially deformed nuclei by performing the expansions over the three-dimensional Cartesian HO base [85]. However, due to the nature of the HO potential, the D-RHB model with the HO base met with difficulty in providing appropriate asymptotic behaviors of the wave functions, particularly for the unstable nuclei of dilute matter distributions. Such difficulty might be overcome by considering a fairly large amount of oscillator shells, while leading to huge numerical cost. Another alterative recipe is to perform the expansions over the transformed HO base that can modify the unphysical asymptotic properties [76,77]. Although the HO base has the advantage of simplicity, lots of efforts were devoted to developing the D-RHB model by expanding the Bogoliubov spinors on the complete set made of the solutions of the Dirac equation with a spherical Dirac Woods-Saxon (DWS) potential, which is referred as the DWS base [79,80,86]. In contrast to the HO base, the DWS base has the advantage in providing appropriate asymptotic behaviors of the wave functions because of the nature of the Woods-Saxon type potential [87], and such advantage is essential for the reliable description of unstable nuclei. The D-RHB model with the spherical DWS base has achieved great successes in describing axially deformed nuclei [78-80,88-92], as well as in providing a global nuclear mass table [93,94].

Despite the successes achieved by the RMF models, one may notice that only the Hartree terms of the mesonpropagated nuclear force are considered, and the Fock diagrams are excluded just for simplicity. In addition to providing a complete picture of the meson-propagated nuclear force, the presence of the Fock terms can also take the important ingredient of nuclear force into account, that is, the tensor force component carried by the π - and ρ -tensor couplings [95–99], which contribute mainly via the Fock diagram. Based on the density-dependent relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF) theory [100,101] and its extension—the relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (RHFB) theory [102]—significant improvements due to the Fock terms have been achieved for the self-consistent description of nuclear shell evolutions [95–97,103], the spin and isospin excitations [104–108], symmetry energy [109–111], new magicity [21,97,112,113], pseudospin symmetry [26,114–116], novel nuclear phenomena [21,26,117], etc. In addition to the π - and ρ -tensor couplings, it was illustrated that the tensor force components can be naturally manifested in the Fock terms of other meson-exchange channels [98,99,118]. This is quite meaningful for the reliable description of unstable nuclei, in which the tensor force can play a significant role not only in determining the shell evolution but also in describing the excitation modes [66,67,119].

In contrast to the simple Hartree terms, the Fock terms contribute complicated nonlocal mean fields, which makes the RHF calculations quite time consuming and limits its extensive applications in deformed nuclei [120]. Recently, by expanding the Dirac spinor on the spherical DWS base, the axially deformed relativistic Hartree-Fock model [121] was established, here referred as the D-RHF model, in which the pairing correlations are treated by using the BCS method. Taking the deformed ²⁰Ne as an example, it is found that the π -pseudovector coupling, coupled with the deformation effects, presents notable improvement in reproducing the binding energy of ²⁰Ne, and the carried tensor force components essentially change the evolution behavior of single-particle (s.p.) spectra with respect to the deformation [121]. This encourages us much to further improve the description of the pairing effects by incorporating with the Bogoliubov transformation.

Aiming at reliable description of wide-range unstable nuclei, the axially deformed relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov model, here referred as the D-RHFB model, is developed in this work. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the complete theoretical framework is briefly introduced, and the D-RHFB frame is established by quantizing the Dirac spinor field in the Bogoliubov quasiparticle space, in which the Bogobliubov quasiparticle spinors are expanded on the spherical DWS base, like we did in developing the D-RHF model. Afterwards, by taking the deformed light nucleus ²⁴Mg and mid-heavy one ¹⁵⁶Sm as candidates, the space truncations related with the spherical DWS base are discussed in Sec. III, and in particular we focus on the role of the ρ -tensor couplings in describing the structure properties of the selected nuclei. Finally, we give a summary in Sec. IV. In addition to the Appendix in Ref. [121], the detailed formulas of the ρ -tensor and ρ -vector-tensor couplings are list in Appendix A, and Appendices **B** and **C** show the details of pairing potentials with Gogny-type pairing force and microscopic center-ofmass corrections, respectively.

II. GENERAL FORMALISM

To provide a complete understanding on the theoretical framework, first we briefly recall the general formalism of the relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF) theory. In addition to the D-RHF model in Ref. [121], the degrees of freedom associated with the ρ -tensor (ρ -T) coupling is implemented in this work. Afterwards, the relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov model for axially deformed nuclei, referred as the D-RHFB model, will be introduced in detail in which the Bogoliubov

quasiparticle wave functions are expanded on the spherical Dirac Woods-Saxon (DWS) base [86].

A. RHF Lagrangian and Hamiltonian

Under the meson-exchange diagram of nuclear force, the Lagrangian density for the nuclear systems, the starting point of the theory, can be constructed by considering the degrees of freedom associated with the nucleon field (ψ) and meson and photon (A^{μ}) fields. For the meson fields, which propagate the nucleon-nucleon interaction, two isoscalar mesons σ and ω^{μ} and two isovectors ones $\vec{\rho}^{\mu}$ and $\vec{\pi}$ of the following quantum numbers (I^{π}, τ) are considered:

$$\sigma(0^+, 0), \quad \omega^{\mu}(1^-, 0), \quad \vec{\rho}^{\mu}(1^-, 1), \quad \vec{\pi}(0^-, 1), \quad (1)$$

where I, π , and τ are the spin, parity, and isospin of the selected mesons, respectively. Here and in the following, arrows are used to denote the isovectors and the boldface to denote the space vectors. Thus, the Lagrangian density of nuclear system can be expressed as

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_N + \mathscr{L}_\sigma + \mathscr{L}_\omega + \mathscr{L}_\rho + \mathscr{L}_\pi + \mathscr{L}_A + \mathscr{L}_I, \quad (2)$$

in which the Lagrangians \mathscr{L}_{ϕ} ($\phi = N, \sigma, \omega, \rho, \pi$ and *A*) of the nucleon, meson, and photon fields read as

$$\mathscr{L}_N = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - M)\psi, \qquad (3a)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\sigma} = +\frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\mu} \sigma - \frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^2 \sigma^2, \qquad (3b)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\omega} = -\frac{1}{4}\Omega^{\mu\nu}\Omega_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu}, \qquad (3c)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rho} = -\frac{1}{4}\vec{R}_{\mu\nu}\cdot\vec{R}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\vec{\rho}^{\mu}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{\mu}, \qquad (3d)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\pi} = +\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\pi}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\vec{\pi} - \frac{1}{2}m_{\pi}^{2}\vec{\pi}\cdot\vec{\pi}, \qquad (3e)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (3f)$$

with the field tensors $\Omega^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu}\omega^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}\omega^{\mu}$, $\vec{R}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu}\vec{\rho}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}\vec{\rho}^{\mu}$, and $F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}$. Considering the Lorentz scalar (σ -S), vector (ω -V, ρ -V, and A-V), tensor (ρ -T), and pseudovector (π -PV) couplings, the Lagrangian density \mathscr{L}_{I} , that describes the interactions between nucleon and meson/photon fields, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{I} &= -g_{\sigma}(\bar{\psi}\psi)\sigma - g_{\omega}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)\omega_{\mu} \\ &- g_{\rho}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\vec{\tau}\psi)\cdot\vec{\rho}_{\mu} + \frac{f_{\rho}}{2M}(\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\vec{\tau}\psi)\cdot\partial_{\nu}\vec{\rho}_{\mu} \\ &- \frac{f_{\pi}}{m_{\pi}}(\bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\gamma^{\mu}\vec{\tau}\psi)\cdot\partial_{\mu}\vec{\pi} - e\bigg(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\frac{1-\tau}{2}\psi\bigg)A_{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$
(4)

In the above expressions, M and m_{ϕ} are the masses of nucleon and mesons, and g_{ϕ} ($\phi = \sigma$, ω , and ρ) and $f_{\phi'}$ ($\phi' = \rho$ and π) represent various meson-nucleon coupling strengths. For the isospin projection τ , the convention that $\tau |n\rangle = |n\rangle$ and $\tau |p\rangle = -|p\rangle$ is used in this work.

From the Lagrangian density (2), one can obtain the Hamiltonian via the Legendre transformation. After neglecting the retardation effects, namely ignoring the time component of the four-momentum carried by the mesons and photon, and substituting the form of solution of the meson and photon field equations, the Hamiltonian can be derived as [122]

$$H = T + \sum_{\phi} V_{\phi},\tag{5}$$

where the kinetic energy (T) and potential energy (V_{ϕ}) parts read as

$$T = \int d\boldsymbol{r} \,\bar{\psi}(\boldsymbol{r})(-i\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}+\boldsymbol{M})\psi(\boldsymbol{r}),\tag{6}$$

$$V_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}' \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{r}') \Gamma_{\phi} D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}). \tag{7}$$

In the above expressions, ϕ represents various two-body interaction channels, namely the σ -S, ω -V, ρ -V, ρ -T, ρ -vector-tensor (ρ -VT), π -PV, and A-V couplings, and the relevant vertex $\Gamma_{\phi}(x, x')$ read as

$$\Gamma_{\sigma-S} \equiv -g_{\sigma}(x)g_{\sigma}(x'), \qquad (8a)$$

$$\Gamma_{\omega-\mathrm{V}} \equiv (g_{\omega}\gamma_{\mu})_{x}(g_{\omega}\gamma^{\mu})_{x'}, \tag{8b}$$

$$\Gamma_{\rho\text{-}V} \equiv \left(g_{\rho}\gamma_{\mu}\vec{\tau}\right)_{x} \cdot \left(g_{\rho}\gamma^{\mu}\vec{\tau}\right)_{x'},\tag{8c}$$

$$\Gamma_{\rho\text{-}\mathrm{T}} \equiv \frac{1}{4M^2} (f_\rho \sigma_{\nu k} \vec{\tau} \,\partial^k)_x \cdot (f_\rho \sigma^{\nu l} \vec{\tau} \,\partial_l)_{x'}, \tag{8d}$$

$$\Gamma_{\rho\text{-VT}} \equiv \frac{1}{2M} \left(f_{\rho} \sigma^{k\nu} \vec{\tau} \,\partial_k \right)_x \cdot (g_{\rho} \gamma_{\nu} \vec{\tau})_{x'} + \frac{1}{2M} (g_{\rho} \gamma_{\nu} \vec{\tau})_x \cdot (f_{\rho} \sigma^{k\nu} \vec{\tau} \,\partial_k)_{x'}, \tag{8e}$$

$$\Gamma_{\pi\text{-PV}} \equiv \frac{-1}{m_{\pi}^2} (f_{\pi} \vec{\tau} \gamma_5 \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu})_x \cdot (f_{\pi} \vec{\tau} \gamma_5 \gamma_{\nu} \partial^{\nu})_{x'}, \qquad (8f)$$

$$\Gamma_{A-V} \equiv \frac{e^2}{4} [\gamma_{\mu} (1-\tau)]_x [\gamma^{\mu} (1-\tau)]_{x'}.$$
 (8g)

After neglecting the retardation effects, the propagators $D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ of the meson and photon field in V_{ϕ} are of the Yukawa form,

$$D_{\phi} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_{\phi}|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|}}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|}, \qquad D_{A} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|}.$$
(9)

B. Quantization of the Hamiltonian

In this work, we intend to derive the full energy functional from the Hamiltonian (5) by considering its expectation with respect to the Bogoliubov ground state, which may be used to deduce contributions from both the mean field and pairing correlations. However, it is not so straightforward to quantize the Dirac spinor field ψ and further the Hamiltonian (5) in the Bogoliubov quasiparticle space, as was done in the particle space to derive the RHF energy functional [121,122].

Under the RHF approach, the Dirac spinor field ψ can be quantized as

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{l} \psi_l(x)c_l, \quad \bar{\psi}(x) = \sum_{l} \bar{\psi}_l(x)c_l^{\dagger}, \quad (10a)$$

where $x = (t, \mathbf{r})$, the creation and annihilation operators c_l^{\dagger} and c_l in the Hartree-Fock (HF) space are defined by the positive energy solutions of the Dirac equation, and $\psi_l(x)$ is the s.p. wave function. In quantizing the Dirac spinor ψ , i.e., Eq. (10), the contributions from the negative energy solutions of the Dirac equation are ignored to keep consistency with the mean field approach, namely the no-sea approximation. Thus, the HF ground state $|HF\rangle$ can be defined as

$$|\text{HF}\rangle \equiv \prod_{l=1}^{A} c_{l}^{\dagger} |-\rangle, \qquad (11)$$

where $|-\rangle$ is the vacuum state. The expectation of the Hamiltonian (5) with respect to $|\text{HF}\rangle$ leads to the RHF energy functional, whereas the pairing effects in open-shell nuclei are considered for instance by the BCS method [121].

The Bogoliubov scheme is another efficient way to describe the pairing correlations. From the Bogoliubov transformation, that defines the relation between the HF particle and Bogoliubov quasiparticle spaces as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_k \\ \beta_k^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_l \begin{pmatrix} U_{lk}^* & V_{lk}^* \\ V_{lk} & U_{lk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_l \\ c_l^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix},$$
(12)

where β_k and β_k^{\dagger} are the annihilation and creation operators of the Bogoliubov quasiparticle, respectively, one may establish the following specific relationship between the HF and HFB wave functions:

$$\psi_k^V(x) = \sum_l V_{lk} \psi_l(x), \quad \bar{\psi}_k^V(x) = \sum_l V_{lk}^* \bar{\psi}_l(x), \quad (13)$$

$$\psi_{\bar{k}}^{U}(x) = \sum_{l} U_{lk} \psi_{\bar{l}}(x), \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{k}}^{U}(x) = \sum_{l} U_{lk}^{*} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{l}}(x), \quad (14)$$

where ψ^V and ψ^U denote the *V* and *U* components of the Bogoliubov quasiparticle spinor, respectively. In the above expressions, the indices *l* and *k* denote the HF s.p. states and the Bogoliubov quasiparticle states, respectively, and \bar{l} and \bar{k} hold for the relevant time-reversal partners. Thus, in terms of β_k and β_k^{\dagger} , the Dirac spinor field ψ can be expanded as

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k} \left(\psi_{\bar{k}}^{U}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\varepsilon_{k}t} \beta_{k} + \psi_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}) e^{+i\varepsilon_{k}t} \beta_{k}^{\dagger} \right), \quad (15a)$$
$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \sum_{k} \left(\bar{\psi}_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\varepsilon_{k}t} \beta_{k} + \bar{\psi}_{\bar{k}}^{U}(\mathbf{r}) e^{+i\varepsilon_{k}t} \beta_{k}^{\dagger} \right), \quad (15b)$$

in which ε_k is the quasiparticle energy, and the explicitly introduced time-reversal partners are necessary to form the Cooper pairs. One may notice that it is not trivial to go from Eq. (10) to the above expansions. This is due to the fact that the relation between the HF ground state (11) and HFB one is not as straightforward as one expects. The HFB ground state [HFB) must fulfill the condition [123]

$$\beta_k |\text{HFB}\rangle = 0. \tag{16}$$

With this condition, it is hard to have a unique form similar to the HF one $|HF\rangle$.

Since the expansion (15) is deduced from the quantization (10) and the Bogoliubov transformation (12), the quasiparticle operators β_k and β_k^{\dagger} also obey the anticommutation relation of fermions. With the quantization form (15) for the Dirac spinor field ψ , the kinetic energy (6) and potential energy (7) terms

in the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$T = \sum_{kk'} \int dr \bar{\psi}_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r})(-i\mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla + M)\psi_{k'}^{V}(\mathbf{r})\beta_{k}\beta_{k'}^{\dagger},$$
(17)
$$V_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_{1}k_{2}k'_{2}k'_{1}} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \Big[\bar{\psi}_{k_{1}}^{V}(\mathbf{r})\bar{\psi}_{k_{2}}^{V}(\mathbf{r}')\Gamma_{\phi}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\psi_{k'_{2}}^{V}(\mathbf{r}')\psi_{k'_{1}}^{V}(\mathbf{r})\beta_{k_{1}}\beta_{k_{2}}\beta_{k'_{2}}^{\dagger}\beta_{k'_{1}}^{\dagger}$$
$$+ \bar{\psi}_{k_{1}}^{V}(\mathbf{r})\bar{\psi}_{k_{2}}^{U}(\mathbf{r}')\Gamma_{\phi}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\psi_{k'_{2}}^{U}(\mathbf{r}')\beta_{k_{1}}\beta_{k_{2}}^{\dagger}\beta_{k'_{2}}^{\dagger} \Big],$$
(18)

in which the terms with zero expectation referring to $|\text{HFB}\rangle$ are omitted. Obviously, the first term in V_{ϕ} corresponds to the contribution of the mean field, and the second one accounts for the pairing correlations.

Thus, instead of deriving an explicit form of $|\text{HFB}\rangle$ beforehand, one can obtain the full energy functional directly from the expectation of the Hamiltonian (5) with respect to $|\text{HFB}\rangle$ as

$$E = \langle \text{HFB} | H | \text{HFB} \rangle$$

= $E^{\text{kin.}} + \sum_{\phi} \left(E_{\phi}^{D} + E_{\phi}^{E} + E_{\phi}^{pp} \right),$ (19)

where the kinetic energy $E^{\text{kin.}}$, the Hartree potential energy E_{ϕ}^{D} and Fock one E_{ϕ}^{E} , and the pairing energy E_{ϕ}^{pp} read as

$$E^{\text{kin.}} = \sum_{k} \int d\mathbf{r} \bar{\psi}_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}) (-i\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} + M) \psi_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (20a)$$
$$E^{\text{D}} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \bar{v}_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}) v_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}')$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\phi}^{\Gamma} = +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{kk'} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r} \, \psi_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{k'}^{V}(\mathbf{r}') \\ \times \Gamma_{\phi} D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi_{k'}^{V}(\mathbf{r}') \psi_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (20b)$$

$$E_{\phi}^{\mathrm{E}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{kk'} \int d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}' \bar{\psi}_{k}^{V}(\mathbf{r}) \bar{\psi}_{k'}^{V}(\mathbf{r}')$$

$$\times \Gamma_{\phi} D_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}') \psi_{k}^{V}(\boldsymbol{r}') \psi_{k'}^{V}(\boldsymbol{r}), \qquad (20c)$$

$$E^{pp}_{\phi} = +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{kk'} \int d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}' \bar{\psi}^{V}_{k}(\mathbf{r}) \bar{\psi}^{U}_{\bar{k}}(\mathbf{r}')$$
$$\times \Gamma_{\phi} D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi^{U}_{\bar{k}'}(\mathbf{r}') \psi^{V}_{k'}(\mathbf{r}). \tag{20d}$$

Notice that the expectation of the quantized two-body interaction V_{ϕ} (18) does give the Hartree and Fock potential energies, namely Eqs. (20b) and (20c), while the pairing energy (20d) does not contain commutative antisymmetric contributions.

Although there exist some attempts at the relativistic treatments of the pairing correlations [124–126], it still fails to provide reasonable results with a uniform effective interaction for both mean field and pairing potential. In order to get reasonable description of the pairing effects, researchers often utilize the phenomenological pairing force, such as the zerorange delta force [127], finite-range Gogny force [102,127], separable pairing force [128], etc. In this work, we choose the finite-range Gogny force D1S [129] as the pairing force. Specifically, the term $\Gamma_{\phi} D_{\phi} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ in E_{ϕ}^{pp} is replaced by $(\gamma_0)_x (\gamma_0)_{x'} V_{Gogny}^{pp} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$; see the following for details. With the obtained energy functional (19), one can derive the RHFB equation for the Bogoliubov quasiparticles following the variational principle. One may also notice that only the V components of the quasiparticle spinors remain in the kinetic and potential energies; see Eqs. (20a)–(20c). If one is performing the variation with respect to the V component, only part of the RHFB equation can be deduced. In fact, the U and V components must fulfill certain conditions due to the unitarity of the Bogoliubov transformation [123]. Thus, obtaining the full RHFB equation requires one to perform the variation of the energy functional with respect to the generalized density matrix \Re as

$$\mathscr{R} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \kappa \\ -\kappa^* & 1 - \rho^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

where ρ is the density matrix and κ is the pairing tensor [123]. Following the procedure detailed in Ref. [130], one may obtain the quasiparticle Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} as

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathscr{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} h & \Delta \\ -\Delta^* & -h^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

where $h = \partial E / \partial \rho$ and $\Delta = \partial E / \partial \kappa$ are respectively the s.p. Hamiltonian and pairing potential, which will be described in the following.

For spherical nuclei, the deduced RHFB equation is an integrodifferential equation because of the nonlocal Fock terms and pairing potentials [102]. This equation is hard to solve in coordinate space. For axially deformed nuclei, the RHFB equation becomes an integral partial-differential equation, leading to an even more complicated numerical problem. In this work, we will expand the U and V components of quasiparticle wave functions on the spherical Dirac Woods-Saxon (DWS) base [86], like we did in Refs. [102,121].

In addition to the convenience of solving the RHFB equation, it is shown in Ref. [121] that one can avoid the singularity at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}'$ in the propagators (9) by introducing the expansion on the spherical DWS base, since only finite terms among the propagator decompositions can contribute with a given space truncation; see Eqs. (31) and (32) in Ref. [121]. This is one of the reason why we do not utilize the axially deformed harmonic oscillator base to expanded the quasiparticle wave functions, although it has a simple analytic form. In addition, the spherical DWS base can provide appropriate asymptotical behaviors of the wave functions. It is not so significant for the stable nuclei, but can be essential when extending from the stable to unstable region of the nuclear chart. For weakly bound unstable nuclei, the continuum effects can be involved and thus the appropriate asymptotical behaviors of the wave functions are significant for a reliable description [25,30,32,127].

C. RHFB energy functional and eigenvalue equations with spherical DWS base

From spherical to axial symmetry, the s.p./quasiparticle angular momentum *j* is no longer a conserved physical quantity, and its projection *m* remains a good quantum number. In addition to the axial symmetry, another restriction, the reflection symmetry with respect to the z = 0 plane, is also introduced for the axially deformed nuclei in this work, which indicates conserved parity π for s.p./quasiparticle states. In the following, we utilize the index $i = (v\pi m)$ to denote the quasiparticle orbits of axially deformed nuclei, v for the index of the orbits in the (πm) block.

Using the spherical DWS base, the U and V components of the quasiparticle wave functions ψ^U and ψ^V can be expanded as

$$\psi^{U}_{\nu\pi m} = \sum_{a} C^{U}_{a,i} \psi_{am}, \quad \psi^{V}_{\nu\pi m} = \sum_{a} C^{V}_{a,i} \psi_{am}, \quad (23)$$

where the coefficients $C_{a,i}^U$ and $C_{a,i}^V$ are restricted to real numbers, and the index $a = (n\kappa)$ together with the angular momentum projection *m* define the states in the spherical DWS base, with $\kappa = \pm (j + 1/2)$ and $j = l \mp 1/2$, *l* for orbital angular momentum, and *n* for the principle number. For the spherical DWS base, the wave function $\psi_{n\kappa m}$ can be explicitly expressed as

$$\psi_{n\kappa m} = \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} G_{n\kappa}(r)\Omega_{\kappa m}(\vartheta,\varphi)\\ iF_{n\kappa}(r)\Omega_{-\kappa m}(\vartheta,\varphi) \end{pmatrix},$$
(24)

where $\Omega_{\kappa m}$ is the spinor spherical harmonics [131].

Like we did in Ref. [121], the expansions of $\psi_{\nu\pi m}^U$ and $\psi_{\nu\pi m}^V$ are further abbreviated as

$$\psi_{\nu\pi m}^{V} = \sum_{\kappa} \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{V} \Omega_{\kappa m} \\ i \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^{V} \Omega_{-\kappa m} \end{pmatrix},$$
(25a)

$$\psi_{\nu\pi m}^{U} = \sum_{\kappa} \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{U} \Omega_{\kappa m} \\ i \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^{U} \Omega_{-\kappa m} \end{pmatrix},$$
(25b)

where the quantities G and F contain the superposition of radial wave functions G and F as

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{V} = \sum_{n} C_{n\kappa,i}^{V} G_{n\kappa}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^{V} = \sum_{n} C_{n\kappa,i}^{V} F_{n\kappa}, \quad (26a)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{U} = \sum_{n} C_{n\kappa,i}^{U} G_{n\kappa}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^{U} = \sum_{n} C_{n\kappa,i}^{U} F_{n\kappa}. \quad (26b)$$

Employing the expansions (25), the kinetic energy functional (20a) can be explicitly expressed as

$$E^{\text{kin.}} = \sum_{i\kappa} \int dr \left\{ \mathcal{F}^{V}_{i\kappa} \left[\frac{d\mathcal{G}^{V}_{i\kappa}}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} \mathcal{G}^{V}_{i\kappa} - M \mathcal{F}^{V}_{i\kappa} \right] - \mathcal{G}^{V}_{i\kappa} \left[\frac{d\mathcal{F}^{V}_{i\kappa}}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} \mathcal{F}^{V}_{i\kappa} - M \mathcal{G}^{V}_{i\kappa} \right] \right\}.$$
(27)

For the potential energies, there exist the Hartree and Fock terms. For the Hartree terms (20b), the contributions from various meson-nucelon coupling channels can be derived as

$$E_{\sigma-\mathrm{S}}^{D} = \frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^2 dr \sum_{\lambda_d} \Sigma_{S,\sigma-\mathrm{S}}^{\lambda_d}(r) \rho_s^{\lambda_d}(r), \qquad (28a)$$

$$E_{\omega\text{-V}}^{D} = \frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^2 dr \sum_{\lambda_d} \Sigma_{0,\omega\text{-V}}^{\lambda_d}(r) \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r), \qquad (28b)$$

$$E^{D}_{\rho-\rm V} = \frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^2 dr \sum_{\lambda_d} \Sigma^{\lambda_d}_{0,\rho-\rm V}(r) \rho^{\lambda_d}_{b,3}(r), \qquad (28c)$$

$$E^{D}_{\rho-\mathrm{T}} = \frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^2 dr \sum_{\lambda_{d}\mu} \Sigma^{\lambda_{d}\mu}_{T,\rho-\mathrm{T}}(r) \rho^{\lambda_{d}\mu}_{T,3}(r), \qquad (28\mathrm{d})$$

$$E^{D}_{\rho\text{-VT}} = \frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^2 dr \Biggl[\sum_{\lambda_d \mu} \Sigma^{\lambda_d \mu}_{T,\rho\text{-TV}}(r) \rho^{\lambda_d \mu}_{T,3}(r) + \sum_{\lambda_d} \Sigma^{\lambda_d}_{0,\rho\text{-VT}}(r) \rho^{\lambda_d}_{b,3}(r) \Biggr].$$
(28e)

In the above expressions, $\Sigma_{S,\phi}$, $\Sigma_{0,\phi}$, and $\Sigma_{T,\phi}$ represent respectively the local scalar, vector, and tensor self-energies from various channels ϕ , including the contributions of the Hartree terms and rearrangement term due to the density dependence of the meson-nucleon coupling strengths [100,101]. For the *A*-V couplings, the expressions can be obtained similarly to the ω -V and ρ -V ones. The details can be found in Appendix A 2 of Ref. [121]. In Appendix A 2, the details of the ρ -T and ρ -VT couplings are implemented, namely $\Sigma_{T,\rho-TV}^{\lambda_d\mu}$, $\Sigma_{T,\rho-TV}^{\lambda_d\mu}$, $\Sigma_{0,\rho-VT}^{\lambda_d\mu}$, as well as the tensor density $\rho_{T,3}^{\lambda_d\mu}$. The Fock terms of the two-body interactions present rather

The Fock terms of the two-body interactions present rather complicated contributions to the energy functional. Even so, the Fock energy functional E_{ϕ}^{E} , i.e., Eq. (20c), can be uniformly described as

$$E_{\phi}^{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr dr' \sum_{i\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}} (\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V} \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V})_{r} \times \begin{pmatrix} Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1},\kappa_{2};\phi} & Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1},\kappa_{2};\phi} \\ X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1},\kappa_{2};\phi} & X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1},\kappa_{2};\phi} \end{pmatrix}_{r,r'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \\ \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \end{pmatrix}_{r'}, \quad (29)$$

where ϕ represents the coupling channels σ -S, ω -V, ρ -V, ρ -T, ρ -VT, π -PV, and A-V. The details of the ρ -T and ρ -VT couplings are given in Appendices A 3 and A 4, and the others can be found in Appendix A 3 of Ref. [121]. For the nuclei with odd neutron and/or proton numbers, the blocking effects must be considered, and one needs to replace the V components by the U components for the blocked orbits in dealing with the kinetic energy and potential energy terms.

For the contributions from the pairing correlations, i.e., Eq. (20d), we adopt the Gogny force D1S as the pairing force, due to the advantage mentioned in Ref. [121] that the finite-range nature of Gogny force can lead to a natural convergence with the configuration space in evaluating the pairing effects.

The Gogny-type pairing force reads as

$$V_{\text{Gogny}}^{pp}(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}') = \sum_{i=1,2} \exp\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}')^2}{\mu_i^2}\right) \times (W_i + B_i P^{\sigma} - H_i P^{\tau} - M_i P^{\sigma} P^{\tau}), \quad (30)$$

with the parameters μ_i , W_i , B_i , H_i , M_i (i = 1, 2) as the finite range part of the Gogny force, and P^{σ} and P^{τ} are the spin and isospin exchange operators, respectively. Employing the expansions (23), the pairing energy can be derived as

$$E^{pp} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2} \int dr \, dr' \sum_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\pm} K^{\sigma\sigma'}_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2}(r,r') \Delta^{\sigma\sigma'}_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2}(r,r'), \quad (31)$$

where the pairing potential $\Delta^{\sigma\sigma'}$ is given by the variation with respect to the pairing tensor components $K^{\sigma\sigma'}$ [130],

$$\Delta_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2}^{\sigma\sigma'} = \sum_{m'\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \Pi_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2,m'\kappa_1'\kappa_2'}^{\sigma\sigma'} K_{m'\kappa_1'\kappa_2'}^{\sigma\sigma'}.$$
 (32)

In the above expressions, $\Pi^{\sigma\sigma'}$ is the combination of the Clebsch-Gordan (C-G) coefficients and the radial part of the Gogny force, and σ , $\sigma' = \pm$ correspond to the upper (+) and lower (–) components of $\psi_{\nu\pi m}^{U,V}$ in Eqs. (25). In deriving pairing energy, the L-S coupling scheme is used [127]. In order to fully evaluate the pairing effects, the contributions of all J (J = L + S) components are considered in this work, rather than only J = 0 component as in Ref. [121]. The details are given in Appendix B.

Since the quasiparticle spinors ψ^U and ψ^V are expanded on the spherical DWS base, the RHFB equation for the Bogoliubov quasiparticles turns out to be an eigenvalue equation,

$$\sum_{a'} \begin{pmatrix} -h_{aa'}^i + \lambda & \Delta_{aa'}^i \\ \Delta_{aa'}^i & h_{aa'}^i - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{a',i}^U \\ C_{a',i}^V \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon_i \begin{pmatrix} C_{a,i}^U \\ C_{a,i}^V \end{pmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

where the chemical potential λ is introduced to conserve the particle number on average. In the above equation, the s.p. Hamiltonian $h_{aa'}^i$ contains three parts,

$$h_{aa'}^{i} = h_{aa'}^{m,\text{kin.}} + h_{aa'}^{m,\text{D}} + h_{aa'}^{m,\text{E}}, \qquad (34)$$

which correspond to the kinetic, local mean field and nonlocal mean field terms, respectively. The kinetic energy term $h_{aa'}^{m,kin}$ reads as

$$h_{aa'}^{m,\text{kin.}} = \int dr \left\{ -G_a \left[\frac{dF_{a'}}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} F_{a'} - MG_{a'} \right] + F_a \left[\frac{dG_{a'}}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} G_{a'} - MF_{a'} \right] \right\},$$
(35)

in which $\kappa = \kappa'$. The local mean field term $h_{aa'}^{m,D}$, that contains the Hartree mean field and rearrangement term, can be expressed as

$$h_{aa'}^{m,D} = \int dr \sum_{\lambda_d} (-1)^{m+\frac{1}{2}} (G_a - F_a)_r \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_+^{\lambda_d} & \Pi_+^{\lambda_d} \\ \Pi_-^{\lambda_d} & \Sigma_-^{\lambda_d} \end{pmatrix}_r \begin{pmatrix} G_{a'} \\ F_{a'} \end{pmatrix}_r,$$
(36)

where the local self-energy terms Σ_\pm and Π_\pm read as

$$\Sigma_{\pm}^{\lambda_d} \left(\sum_{\phi'} \Sigma_{0,\phi'}^{\lambda_d} \pm \Sigma_{S,\sigma-\mathbf{S}}^{\lambda_d} \right) \mathscr{D}_{\kappa m,\kappa' m}^{\lambda_d 0}, \tag{37}$$

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{\lambda_d} = \sum_{\mu} \left(\Sigma_{T,\rho\text{-}\mathrm{T}}^{\lambda_d \mu} + \Sigma_{T,\rho\text{-}\mathrm{VT}}^{\lambda_d \mu} \right) \mathcal{Q}_{\pm\kappa m, \mp\kappa' m}^{\lambda_d \mu \sigma}.$$
 (38)

In the above expressions, ϕ' corresponds to the vector (ω -V, ρ -V, and A-V) and ρ -VT coupling channels, and the rearrangement terms Σ_R due to the density dependencies of the coupling strengths. The details of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{Q} symbols are given in Appendix A 1.

The nonlocal term $h_{aa'}^{m,E}$, that contains the contributions of the Fock terms, can be written in a compact form as

$$h_{aa'}^{m,E} = \sum_{\phi} \int dr \, dr' (G_a \quad F_a)_r \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa\kappa',\phi} & Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa\kappa',\phi} \\ X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa\kappa',\phi} & X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa\kappa',\phi} \end{pmatrix}_{r,r'} \begin{pmatrix} G_{a'} \\ F_{a'} \end{pmatrix}_{r'},$$
(39)

in which the details of the nonlocal mean fields X_G , X_F , Y_G , and Y_F can be found in Appendices A 3 and A 4, and Appendix A 2 of Ref. [121]. Similarly to the nonlocal Fock term $h_{aa'}^{m,E}$, using the derived pairing potential (32), the pairing term $\Delta_{aa'}^m$ can be written as

$$\Delta^{m}_{aa'} = \int dr \, dr' (G_a \quad F_a)_r \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{++}_{m\kappa\kappa'} & \Delta^{+-}_{m\kappa\kappa'} \\ \Delta^{-+}_{m\kappa\kappa'} & \Delta^{--}_{m\kappa\kappa'} \end{pmatrix}_{r,r'} \begin{pmatrix} G_{a'} \\ F_{a'} \end{pmatrix}_{r'}, \tag{40}$$

where the terms $\Delta_{m\kappa\kappa'}^{\pm\pm}$ are given in Eq. (32). In addition to the energy functional (19), the corrections on the center-of-mass (c.m.) motion is introduced as usual. The c.m. corrections are evaluated in a microscopic way [132,133] as

$$E_{\rm c.m.} = -\frac{1}{2AM} \langle \rm HFB | \hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\rm c.m.}^2 | \rm HFB \rangle, \qquad (41)$$

where A is the nuclear mass number and $P_{c.m.} = \sum_{i} p_{i}$ is the c.m. momentum. Note that the c.m. corrections are not involved in the variation of the energy functional. For completeness, we also give the details of the c.m. corrections in Appendix C.

D. Analysis of the coupling channels

In order to have a better understanding of the deformation effects, particularly the evolution of the shell structure with respect to the deformation, it is worthwhile to perform a qualitative analysis on the nature of the meson-nucleon coupling channels. In the following, we focus on the π -PV and ρ -T coupling channels, and the analysis is carried out using the momentum representation for convenience.

In the momentum space, the energy functional contributed by the Fock diagrams of the π -PV and ρ -T couplings can be expressed as

$$E_{\pi-\mathrm{PV}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{m_{\pi}^2} \int d\boldsymbol{p} \, d\boldsymbol{p}' \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \bar{u}(\boldsymbol{p}) \bar{u}(\boldsymbol{p}')$$
$$\times (\gamma_5 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}) (\gamma_5 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{q})' \frac{1}{m_{\pi}^2 + \boldsymbol{q}^2} u(\boldsymbol{p}) u(\boldsymbol{p}'), \quad (42)$$

$$E_{\rho\text{-}\mathrm{T}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{f_{\rho}^2}{(2M)^2} \int d\mathbf{p} \, d\mathbf{p}' \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}') \\ \times (\sigma_{i\nu} q^i) (\sigma^{k\nu} q_k)' \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^2 + q^2} u(\mathbf{p}) u(\mathbf{p}'), \tag{43}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} = 2 - \delta_{\tau\tau'}$, and the momentum transfer q = p - p'. In the above contributions, the zero-range parts are canceled by adding the following contact terms:

$$E_{\pi-\mathrm{PV}}^{\delta} = +\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{m_{\pi}^2} \int d\boldsymbol{p} \, d\boldsymbol{p}' \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \bar{u}(\boldsymbol{p}) \bar{u}(\boldsymbol{p}') \\ \times \left(\gamma_5 \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right) \cdot \left(\gamma_5 \boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)' u(\boldsymbol{p}) u(\boldsymbol{p}'), \tag{44}$$

$$E^{\delta}_{\rho\text{-}\mathrm{T}} = +\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{6}} \frac{f^{2}_{\rho}}{(2M)^{2}} \int d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{p}' \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}') \times (\sigma_{\mu i}) (\sigma^{\mu i})' u(\mathbf{p}) u(\mathbf{p}').$$
(45)

For the π -PV coupling, the nonrelativistic reduction leads to the vertex of the tensor force component as

$$V_T^{\pi} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{q})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot \boldsymbol{q}) - \frac{1}{3}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}')\boldsymbol{q}^2$$
$$= \frac{4}{3}S^2 q^2 \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}Y_{20}(\vartheta, \varphi), \qquad (46)$$

where the total spin S = s + s', and ϑ denotes the angle between *S* and transferring momentum *q*. Note that, in the above expressions, the term $(\sigma \cdot q)(\sigma' \cdot q)$ originates from the π -PV coupling (43), whereas the term $(\sigma \cdot \sigma')q^2$ does not simply correspond to the contact term (44) because

$$\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}')\boldsymbol{q}^2}{m_{\pi}^2+\boldsymbol{q}^2} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}') - (\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}')\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{m_{\pi}^2+\boldsymbol{q}^2}, \qquad (47)$$

in which the first term in the right-hand side corresponds to the contact term (44), and the second term is introduced to have the tensor-type vertex (46).

For the time component ($\mu = 0$) of the ρ -T coupling (43), one can obtain an expression similar to Eq. (46) but with opposite sign, following the procedure of deriving V_T^{π} of the π -PV coupling. We stress that the derived expression corresponds to the coupling between the upper and lower components of the Dirac spinor, in contrast to the π -PV coupling.

For the space components of the ρ -T coupling, the situation is more complicated, and the vertex $(\sigma_{jl}q^l)(\sigma'^{jk}q_k)$ can be expressed as

$$-\begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}' \times \boldsymbol{q}) & 0\\ 0 & (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}' \times \boldsymbol{q}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(48)

Similarly to the derivation Eq. (46), one can deduce the following results for the space component of the ρ -T

coupling as

$$-\left[(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}' \times \boldsymbol{q}) - \frac{2}{3}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}')\boldsymbol{q}^{2}\right]$$
$$= +\frac{4}{3}S^{2}q^{2}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}Y_{20}(\vartheta, \varphi). \tag{49}$$

Notice that for the term $(2/3)(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}')\boldsymbol{q}^2$, the factor 2 originates from the contact term (45).

It can be seen that the π -PV and the space component of the ρ -T couplings lead to similar results; see Eqs. (46) and (49). However, they do not correspond to the similar tensor effects, because ϑ and φ represent the angles between the vectors S and q. Qualitatively, considering $s = \frac{1}{2}\sigma$ and $s' = \frac{1}{2}\sigma'$, both Eqs. (46) and (49) lead to opposite contributions for the cases of s = s' and s = -s'. In addition, the ones of the π -PV coupling [Eq. (46)] are opposite to those of the ρ -T space components [Eq. (49)], being consistent with the opposite tensor effects. We stress that such analysis is rather rough, and the situation in realistic nuclei can be much more complicated.

Despite the tensor effects, the expressions (46) and (49) can still help us to understand the effects of the π -PV and ρ -T couplings in finite nuclei, at least qualitatively. For the convenience of discussion, we show the two-body interaction using the *L*-*S* coupling scheme as

$$\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\right)S, (l_1l_2)L; JM \middle| V_{\phi} \middle| \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\right)S', (l_1'l_2')L'; J'M' \right),$$
 (50)

in which V_{ϕ} represents the coupling channels, and $\frac{1}{2}$ and l are respectively the spin and orbital angular momentum of the expansion terms of the wave functions $\psi_{\nu\pi m}^{V}$ and $\psi_{\nu\pi m}^{U}$; see Eq. (25). Because of the spherical harmonics function Y_{20} in Eqs. (46) and (49), it can be deduced that $L = |L' - 2|, \ldots, L' + 2$. Qualitatively speaking, compared with the scalar (σ -S) and vector (ω -V, ρ -V, and A-V) couplings, more expansion terms can be involved, which may lead to tight correlations between the π -PV/ ρ -T couplings and the deformation effects. In fact, notable enhancement with the respect to the deformation from the π -PV coupling has been manifested in ²⁰Ne [121].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

For the newly developed D-RHFB model in this work, we implement the ρ -T and ρ -VT couplings, in addition to using the Bogoliubov scheme to deal with the pairing correlations. For the first attempts, we select the light nucleus ²⁴Mg and the mid-heavy one ¹⁵⁶Sm as candidates, both of which are potentially deformed. The D-RHFB calculations are performed by utilizing the RHF Lagrangians PKA1 [101], PKO1 [100], and PKO2 [95], as compared to the newly proposed RMF one DD-LZ1 [134]. It is worthwhile to mention that in addition to the ones considered in PKO2 and DD-LZ1, PKA1 contains the degrees of freedom associated with the π -PV and ρ -T couplings, and the π -PV coupling is included in PKO1.

In determining the spherical DWS base, the spherical Dirac equations are solved by setting the spherical box size as 20 fm with radial mesh step 0.1 fm. Compared to the D-RHF model with BCS pairing [121], the implemented ρ -T and ρ -VT

couplings notably increase the numerical complexity, and the calculations become even more time consuming. Thus, in dealing with the complicated Fock terms, especially the ρ -T coupling, the parallel speedup technology has been considered in developing the D-RHFB code, by using a high performance GPU for the calculations of the nonlocal Fock mean fields and pairing potentials. As an example, for a single calculation of the mid-heavy nuclide ¹⁵⁶Sm, it takes about 3.5 and 9.8 hours respectively for PKO1 and PKA1, by fully using eight GPU units (Tesla A100-40G) and eighty CPU cores. Comparing PKO1 and PKA1, it is obvious that the ρ -T coupling remarkably increases the computing time. Thankfully the GPU parallel speedup technology notably decreases the computing time and make the extension to the superheavy region realisitic. As a rough estimation for superheavy nuclei, it may take about one day for a single calculation with the RHF Lagrangian PKA1, and the memory size will be enlarged at times due to more extensive configuration space as discussed in the following.

A. Space truncations and convergence check

In order to provide a reliable description of nuclei, particularly for weakly bound unstable nuclei, it is necessary to perform a convergence check with respect to the space truncations for the newly developed D-RHFB model. Similarly to the D-RHF model, there exist several space truncations, namely the maximum value of the angular momentum projection *m*, the expansion terms (denoted by λ_p) of the density-dependent coupling strengths, and the configuration space of the spherical DWS base, namely the *n* and κ quantities in Eqs. (23) and (25). Fortunately, one does not need to care much about the pairing window because of the aforementioned advantage of the finite-range Gogny pairing force.

The maximum value of *m*, referred as m_{max} , depends on the specific nucleus, which can be determined by referring to the fundamental s.p. level scheme given by the traditional shell model. Here the m_{max} value is selected as 11/2 for ²⁴Mg and 17/2 for ¹⁵⁶Sm, both of which are large enough for the selected nuclei. For the expansion terms of the density dependent coupling strengths, see Eq. (46) in Ref. [121], we consider five terms $\lambda_p = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8$ for both ²⁴Mg and ¹⁵⁶Sm, which is accurate enough. Combined with the following discussions, it can be deduced that the numerical cost will be doubly enlarged with larger m_{max} and/or more λ_p terms.

The space truncation of the spherical DWS base, i.e., the cutoffs on the principle number *n* and the κ quantity, depends on the specific *m* value, as seen from the expansions (23) or (25). The detailed cutoff on the κ quantity has been described in Ref. [121], here recalled as follows for completeness. For the orbits with the maximum *m* value m_{max} , the number of κ blocks in the expansion (25) is set as $K_{m_{\text{max}}}$, giving the maximum absolute κ value as $k_{\text{max}} = m_{\text{max}} + K_{m_{\text{max}}} - 1/2$. Then, for the orbits with arbitrary *m*, the κ blocks involved in Eq. (25) read as $|\kappa| = m + 1/2, m + 3/2, \ldots, k_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{sgn}(\kappa) = \pi \times (-1)^{|\kappa|}, \ \pi = \pm$ for positive/negative parity. Here we selected $K_{m_{\text{max}}} = 4$ with $m_{\text{max}} = 11/2$ for ²⁴Mg and



FIG. 1. Binding energy E_B (MeV) [plots (a) and (b)] and quadruple deformation β [plots (c) and (d)] for ²⁴Mg with respect to the positive (+) and negative (-) energy cutoffs E_{\pm}^C (MeV) in expanding the spinors ψ^U and ψ^V . The results are calculated by PKA1, PKO1, PKO, and DD-LZ1 with the initial deformation $\beta_0 = 0.4$. The marked experimental E_B -value reads as -198.26 MeV [135].

 $K_{m_{\text{max}}} = 3$ with $m_{\text{max}} = 17/2$ for ¹⁵⁶Sm, and such selections are tested to be accurate enough.

For the cutoff over the principle number *n* in the expansion (23), the maximum n values for each κ block are determined by the given energy cutoff. In expanding the spinors ψ^U and ψ^V , both positive and negative energy solutions of the spherical Dirac equation shall be considered to keep the completeness of the expansion. One should not mix this with the no-sea approximation adopted in the mean field approach, which corresponds to neglecting the Dirac sea in calculating the densities/currents. The energy cutoffs read as E_{+}^{C} , corresponding the positive (+) and negative (-) energy cutoffs in the spherical DWS base. Namely, the states with positive (negative) energies E, that is $E - M < E_{\perp}^{C}$ $(E + M > E_{\perp}^{C})$, are considered in the expansion (23). One may notice that it is different from Ref. [121], in which the maximum *n* values, reading as N_D and N_F therein, are simply fixed as the same large enough values for all κ blocks. In general, preserving similar numerical accuracy, the energy cutoff utilized in this work leads to smaller configuration space for the spherical DWS base, and the numerical cost can be also reduced by some amount.

Figures 1 and 2 show the convergence tests respectively for ²⁴Mg and ¹⁵⁶Sm, and the calculations are performed with the initial deformation $\beta_0 = 0.4$, using the RHF Lagrangians PKA1, PKO1, and PKO2, and the RMF one DD-LZ1. In both figures, plots (a) and (c) present the convergence with respect to E_+^C respectively for the binding energy E_B (MeV) and quadruple deformation β , in which E_-^C is fixed as 0 MeV, and



FIG. 2. Same as Fig. 1, but for ¹⁵⁶Sm. The marked experimental E_B value reads as -1279.98 MeV [135].

plots (b) and (d) show the results with respect to E_{-}^{C} , in which E_{+}^{C} is fixed as 400 MeV. As seen from Figs. 1 and 2, both binding energy E_{B} [plots (a)] and deformation β [plots (c)] tend to converge, when $E_{+}^{C} > 250$ MeV for the light ²⁴Mg and $E_{+}^{C} > 200$ MeV for the mid-heavy ¹⁵⁶Sm. With more negative energy states involved in the expansion (23), the results for ²⁴Mg remain almost unchanged for all the selected effective Lagrangians; see plots (b) and (d) in Fig. 1.

However, the situation changes for the mid-heavy ¹⁵⁶Sm, particularly for the RHF Lagrangian PKA1. From the left panels to right panels of Fig. 2, one can see slight but visible changes in both binding energy E_B and quadruple deformation β given by PKO1, PKO2, and DD-LZ1. In contrast, notable changes are surprisingly found in the values of E_B and β given by PKA1. It is also interesting to see that the results quickly converge with slightly more negative E_{-}^{C} values.

Combined with Figs. 1 and 2, one may conclude that the convergence test is no doubt necessary for the positive energy cutoff E_{+}^{C} for both light and heavy nuclei, and $E_{+}^{C} \ge 350 \text{ MeV}$ can be accurate enough. Concerning the negative energy cutoff, negative E_{-}^{C} value means unbound negative energy states of the spherical DWS base getting involved in expanding the ψ^V and ψ^U . For the light nuclei, here ²⁴Mg, the results remain almost unchanged with more negative E_{-}^{C} value, as shown in Fig. 1. For mid-heavy and heavy nuclei, it becomes necessary to have more negative energy states in the expansion (23), particularly for the RHF Lagrangian PKA1, and $E^C \leq -100$ MeV can be accurate enough for selected ¹⁵⁶Sm. For heavier nuclei, such as the superheavy ones, a careful convergence test with respect to $E_{-}^{\bar{C}}$ is deserved for reliable calculations. In fact, similar tests under the RMF scheme were discussed in Ref. [86], and similar trends with respect to E_{-}^{C} were found, despite being less notable than those with PKA1.

B. Significance of the negative energy states of the DWS base for mid-heavy nucleus ¹⁵⁶Sm

In order to understand the notable changes from zero E_{-}^{C} value to negative ones in Fig. 2 in the quadruple deformation β and binding energy E_{B} , we show the neutron and proton canonical single-particle spectra of ¹⁵⁶Sm in Fig. 3, and the results are calculated by PKA1 (left plots) and PKO1 (right plots) with $E_{-}^{C} = 0$ and -200 MeV. In Fig. 3, $E_{\rm F}$ is used to denote the Fermi levels and m_{ν}^{π} for the canonical single-particle orbits.

We first focus on the results given by PKA1, namely the left two plots of Fig. 3. For the results with $E_{-}^{C} = 0$ MeV, which indicate that only the bound negative energy states of the spherical DWS base are involved in expanding ψ^V and ψ^U [Eq. (23)], it can be seen that the neutron orbit $1/2^+_{11}$ and the proton one $1/2_8^-$ are almost fully occupied. In contrast, the neutron orbit $11/2_1^-$ with rather large *m* value is only partly occupied, and the proton one $5/2_3^-$ is almost empty. With more negative energy states of the spherical DWS base involved in the expansion (23), i.e., the results with $E_{-}^{C} = -200 \text{ MeV}$ in Fig. 3, the neutron $11/2_1^-$ and proton $5/2_3^-$ orbits become more deeply bound and fully occupied, whereas few particles populate the much less bound neutron $1/2^+_{11}$ and proton $1/2^-_8$ orbits. Similarly to $11/2_1^-$, the neutron orbit $9/2_1^-$ also becomes more deeply bound and fully occupied from zero E_{-}^{C} value to -200 MeV.

Compared to the notable changes given by PKA1 from zero E_{-}^{C} value to -200 MeV, the results given by PKO1 are not significantly changed; see the right two plots in Fig. 3. Even so, with $E_{-}^{C} = -200$ MeV, both PKA1 and PKO1 give similarly populated neutron/proton orbits around the Fermi levels, but with different ordering. In order to better understand the systematics, Table I shows the quadruple momenta Q_2 (fm⁻²) of the critical neutron (upper panel) and proton (lower panel) orbits, whose occupations given by PKA1 are remarkably changed from zero E_{-}^{C} value to -200 MeV. As seen from Table I, the neutron orbits $9/2_1^-$ and $11/2_1^-$ carry evident oblate nature with rather negative Q_2 values, and the others are of prolate distributions. Combined with the results in the left two plots of Fig. 3 and Table I, it is obvious that the empty prolate neutron orbit $1/2^+_{11}$ and proton one $1/2^-_8$, as well as the fully occupied oblate neutron orbits $9/2_1^-$ and $11/2_1^-$ and proton one $5/2_3^-$, account for reduced quadruple deformation β of ¹⁵⁶Sm in the PKA1 results; see Fig. 2. It is also worthwhile to mention that the Q_2 values given by PKA1 for the occupied prolate neutron orbit $5/2^+_5$ and proton one $5/2_3^-$ are substantially reduced as well, consistent with the deformation reduction from zero E_{-}^{C} value to -200 MeV. In contrast to that, the results given by PKO1 remain nearly unchanged, similarly to the systematics shown in Fig. 2.

As we mentioned before, the negative energy states of the spherical DWS base must be considered to keep the expansion completeness of the wave functions ψ^U and ψ^V , although the relevant expansion coefficients are rather small. As seen from Fig. 2, it can be concluded that the results given by PKA1 seem more sensitive to the negative energy cutoff E_{-}^C , as compared to the other selected effective Lagrangians. In



FIG. 3. Neutron and proton spectra of ¹⁵⁶Sm given by PKA1 (left plots) and PKO1 (right plots) with negative energy cutofsf $E_{-}^{C} = 0$ MeV and -200 MeV, and the positive one $E_{+}^{C} = 400$ MeV. The ultrathick bars represent the occupation probabilities of the orbits m_{ν}^{π} and E_{F} denotes the Fermi levels.

fact, such a notable model difference can be understood qualitatively from the role of the ρ -T coupling. According to the analysis in Sec. II D, the ρ -T coupling, as well as the π -PV coupling, can get more expansion terms of the wave functions to contribute in the two-body interactions, particularly for the ones with large $|\kappa|$ values whose couplings lead to large L/L'values. For the mentioned neutron orbits $9/2_1^-$ and $11/2_1^-$, which are dominated by the large $|\kappa|$ -value blocks, it is not enough to keep the completeness with $E_{-}^{C} = 0$ MeV, because only several bound negative energy states of the DWS base can contribute to the expansion (23) for the relevant κ blocks. Compared to the RMF Lagrangians, as well as the RHF ones PKO*i* (i = 1, 2, 3), the strong ρ -T coupling carried by PKA1 enhances the correlations between the terms with large $|\kappa|$ values, and thus the completeness of the relevant κ -blocks is essential for a reliable description with PKA1. It is also worthwhile to recall that the π -PV couplings in PKO1 and PKO3 [95] are much weaker than the ρ -T coupling in PKA1, which may explain why PKO1 gives systematics, with respect to E_{-}^{C} value, similar to PKO2 and DD-LZ1.

C. Description of light nucleus ²⁴Mg

After the convergence check, we performed the D-RHFB calculations for the light nucleus ²⁴Mg, using the RHF Lagrangians PKA1, PKO1, and PKO2, and the RMF one DD-LZ1. Table II shows the binding energy E_B (MeV), quadruple deformation β , and charge radius r_c (fm) for ²⁴Mg. The local minima are obtained by the self-consistent D-RHFB calculations with different initial deformation, in which the energy cutoffs of the spherical DWS base are selected as $E_+^C = 350$ MeV and $E_-^C = -100$ MeV with $m_{\text{max}} = 11/2$ and $K_{m_{\text{max}}} = 4$.

From Table II, it is found that all the selected effective Lagrangians present rather large prolate deformation ($\beta \approx 0.5$) for the ground state of ²⁴Mg. The binding energy E_B of the ground state is well reproduced by the selected effective Lagrangians, among which PKA1 shows the best agreement with the data [135], and less good agreement is obtained by the newly developed RMF Lagrangian DD-LZ1. Besides, one can also find some systematics that may be related to the

TABLE I. Quadruple momentum Q_2 (fm⁻²) of neutron (N) orbits $9/2_1^-$, $11/2_1^-$, $1/2_{11}^+$, and $5/2_5^+$, and proton (P) ones $5/2_3^-$ and $1/2_8^-$. These results are calculated with PKA1 and PKO1 by selecting the negative energy cutoff E_-^C respectively as 0 MeV and -200 MeV and $E_+^C = 400$ MeV.

TABLE II. Binding energy E_B (MeV), quadruple deformation including the total (β), neutron (β_n) and proton (β_p) ones, and charge radius r_c (fm) at various local minima of ²⁴Mg, calculated by PKA1, PKO1, PKO2, and DD-LZ1. The experimental E_B value reads as -198.26 MeV [135], and the experimental value of r_c reads as 3.057 fm [136].

		PK	.01	PKA1		
	E_{-}^{C}	0	-200	0	-200	
N	$9/2_1^-$	-6.184	-6.155	-5.405	-5.980	
	$11/2_{1}^{-}$	-22.940	-22.913	-24.216	-24.107	
	$1/2^+_{11}$	57.140	56.775	53.972	64.870	
	$5/2_{5}^{+}$	32.564	32.230	41.796	34.599	
Р	$5/2_{3}^{-}$	23.941	23.715	35.173	27.843	
	$1/2_{8}^{-}$	41.475	40.874	54.807	48.624	

	E_B	β	β_n	eta_p	r_c
PKA1	-197.656	0.527	0.522	0.532	2.990
	-193.580	-0.766	-0.758	-0.774	3.188
	-190.569	-0.306	-0.302	-0.311	3.004
PKO1	-195.857	0.515	0.509	0.520	2.972
	-190.364	-0.267	-0.263	-0.271	2.935
PKO2	-194.544	0.488	0.483	0.494	2.959
	-190.089	-0.185	-0.183	-0.188	2.902
DD-LZ1	-196.214	0.489	0.484	0.495	2.961
	-189.847	-0.248	-0.245	-0.252	2.948



FIG. 4. Neutron (left panel) and proton (right panel) spectra of the local minimum (denoted by β) of ²⁴Mg, calculated by PKA1 with $E_{+}^{C} = 350$ MeV and $E_{-}^{C} = -100$ MeV. The ultrathick bars represent the occupation probabilities of the orbits m_{ν}^{π} and E_{F} denotes the Fermi levels.

role played by the π -PV and ρ -T couplings. Specifically, from PKO2 to PKO1 that contains the π -PV coupling, both binding energy E_B and deformation β increase greatly; and continuing to PKA1 that takes both π -PV and ρ -T couplings into account, the values of E_B and β are further enlarged. Compared to the RHF Lagrangians, DD-LZ1 gives deformation similar to PKO2, but with larger E_B value than PKO1 and PKO2, which might be due to improved in-medium balance between the nuclear attractions and repulsions as revealed in Refs. [116,134]. For the charge radius r_c , all the selected effective Lagrangians reproduce well the experimental data [136], and from DD-LZ1 to PKA1 one can also find some systematic improvement with the implementation of the Fock terms.

Moreover, all the selected Lagrangians give the local minimum at $\beta \in (-0.18, -0.31)$ with similar binding energies for ²⁴Mg. Different from the others, PKA1 provides an additional minimum with rather large oblate deformation $\beta = -0.766$, which corresponds to the second minimum in the PKA1 results. In fact, not shown in Table II, the shape constrained calculations with PKO1 also present a weak local minimum at similar oblate deformation as PKA1, but with rather highlying binding energy. A similar minimum has been also found in the calculations of ²⁰Ne with PKO1 and PKO3 [121]. Combined with the systematics from PKO2 to PKO1 and further to PKA1 in describing the ground state of ²⁴Mg, the second minimum with large oblate deformation given by PKA1 can be taken as more evidence that the ρ -T coupling, as well as the π -PV coupling [121], enhances the deformation effects.

As an implemented illustration, Fig. 4 shows the canonical neutron (left panel) and proton (right panel) spectra given by PKA1 for ²⁴Mg at the local minima $\beta = -0.766$, -0.306 and 0.527, in which the ultrathick bars denote the occupation probabilities and E_F for the Fermi levels. Before detailed discussions, it is worth mentioning the general deformation behaviors in nuclear structure. Specifically, with branching from the spherical *j* orbit, here denoted by the dominant expansion terms of the spherical DWS base, the orbits with small *m* values become more deeply bound and those with large *m*

TABLE III. Proportions (in percentage) of the main expansion components of neutron orbits $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$ at the local minima (denoted by β) of ²⁴Mg, as well as the quadruple momentum Q_2 (fm⁻²). The results are calculated by PKA1 with $E_+^C = 350$ MeV and $E_-^C = -100$ MeV.

	$1/2_2^+$			3/21+		
β	$2s_{1/2}$	$1d_{5/2}$	Q_2	$1d_{3/2}$	$1d_{5/2}$	Q_2
0.527	17.8%	75.0%	12.15	9.9%	86.8%	6.12
-0.306	34.2%	63.6%	-5.33	19.8%	79.2%	-4.40
-0.766	63.2%	27.3%	-9.23	52.7%	43.0%	-8.62

values tend to be raised with enhanced prolate deformation, and vice versa for enhanced oblate deformation.

As shown in Fig. 4, both neutron and proton orbits $5/2_1^+$, which are not occupied for the ground state of ²⁴Mg, become deeply bound at the oblate local minima. This can be partly treated as the natural results of the oblate deformation. On the other hand, such effects can be enhanced by the π -PV and ρ -T couplings, particularly the latter. According to the expansion (23), one can easily deduce that the orbits $5/2^+_1$ are dominated by the $d_{5/2}$ components. Following the analysis in Sec. II D, the couplings between the $d_{5/2}$ components and the others (mainly the $s_{1/2}$, $d_{3/2}$, $p_{1/2}$, and $p_{3/2}$ ones for ²⁴Mg), leading to more L terms, referred to the L-S scheme (50), can be enhanced by the ρ -T coupling, as well as by the π -PV one. Thus, it is not hard to understand that PKA1 gives a more deeply bound second minimum with larger oblate deformation than the other selected models, due to the enhanced correlations between the intruded $5/2^+_1$ orbits and the others.

As shown in Fig. 4, one may also notice that both neutron and proton orbits $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$ are nearly degenerate at the oblate minima. For a qualitative understanding, Table III gives the proportions of the dominant components given by PKA1 in expanding the neutron orbits $1/2^+_2$ and $3/2^+_1$, as well as the Q_2 values (fm⁻²). It can be seen that for the ground state $(\beta = 0.527)$ the $1d_{5/2}$ components play the dominant role for both neutron orbits $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$, consistent with the large prolate deformation. This may also partly explain why PKA1 presents a more deeply bound ground state for ²⁴Mg than the others, as indicated by the nature of the π -PV and ρ -T couplings. From the prolate to oblate minima, the proportions of the $1d_{5/2}$ components tend to be gradually reduced, particular from $\beta = -0.306$ to $\beta = -0.776$. In contrast, the $2s_{1/2}$ proportion for the orbit $1/2^+_2$ and the $1d_{3/2}$ one for the orbit $3/2_1^+$ increase greatly with the enhanced oblate deformation.

For the ground state of ²⁴Mg, as shown in Fig. 4, there exist fairly large gaps between the neutron/proton orbits $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$, which is consistent with the deformation effects since both orbits are dominated by the $1d_{5/2}$ components, namely branchings from the spherical $1d_{5/2}$ orbit. When nucleus becomes oblately deformed, it is expected that the ordering of the orbits $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$ inverts and the distance between them enlarges with enhanced oblate deformation, if the orbits are still dominated by the $1d_{5/2}$ components. However, as shown in Fig. 4 and Table III, the orbits $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$ given by PKA1 are nearly degenerate at the oblate minima, and, consistently with the $2s_{1/2}$ and $1d_{3/2}$ proportions respectively in the orbits $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$, become the dominant ones. As is well known, the spherical $2s_{1/2}$ and $1d_{3/2}$ orbits form pseudospin partners, which are expected to be degenerate around the Fermi levels. Thus, the degenerate $1/2_2^+$ and $3/2_1^+$ orbits at the oblate minima of ²⁴Mg might be taken as the qualitative manifestation of the pseudospin symmetry in deformed nuclei, which seems to compete with the deformation effects.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, the axially deformed relativistic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (D-RHFB) model is established, and the spherical Dirac Woods-Saxon (DWS) base is utilized in expanding the Bogoliubov quasiparticle spinors and solving the RHFB equations. It is shown that, starting from the Lagrangian density, the expectation of the derived Hamiltonian with respect to the Bogoliubov ground state can give the full energy functional, that contains contributions from both the Hartree-Fock mean fields and pairing correlations. Following this procedure, there do not exist antisymmetric terms in the pairing energy. In addition, the degree of freedom associated with the ρ -T coupling, automatically the ρ -VT one, is implemented, and analyses of the nature of the π -PV and ρ -T couplings are presented to provide a qualitative understanding of their enhancement of the deformation effects. For practical applications, the finite-range Gogny-type force is utilized as the pairing force.

For a reliable description, the space truncations related to the spherical DWS base are carefully verified by taking the light nucleus ²⁴Mg and the mid-heavy one ¹⁵⁶Sm as candi-

dates. It is found that for light nuclei the completeness of the expansion on the spherical DWS base can be achieved by considering less negative energy states in the base than for the mid-heavy and heavy ones, in which the correlations between the expansion components of the DWS base with large κ quantity are enhanced due to the strong ρ -T couplings carried by the RHF Lagrangian PKA1. This indicates the necessity of a careful convergence test regarding the completeness of the expansion in future applications of the D-RHFB model in heavy and superheavy nuclei. Taking deformed ²⁴Mg as an example, the roles played by

Taking deformed ²⁴Mg as an example, the roles played by the ρ -T and π -PV couplings, which can couple with deformation effects tightly, are discussed qualitatively, as is the pseudospin symmetry. Besides a more deeply bound ground state than those given by the other effective Lagrangians, PKA1, that contains the ρ -T coupling, predicts a fairly deep bound local minimum with large oblate deformation for ²⁴Mg. As indicated from the nature of the ρ -T and π -PV couplings, this may result from the enhanced correlations between the orbits $5/2_1^+$ and the others. For perspective, the effects of π -PV and ρ -T couplings, coupled with nuclear deformation, deserve to be studied systematically in future applications of the D-RHFB model for a wide range of unstable nuclei.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB34000000) and by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (lzujbky-2021–sp41 and lzujbky-2021–sp36). The authors also want to thank the computation resources provided by the Supercomputing Center of Lanzhou University and the Southern Nuclear Science Computing Center.

APPENDIX A: DETAILED FORMULAS FOR THE ρ -T AND ρ -VT COUPLINGS

1. Compounded symbols

In this work, the density dependences of the meson-nucleon coupling strengths are introduced to evaluate the nuclear inmedium effects [100,101,116]. Under axial symmetry, together with the reflection symmetry with respect to the z = 0 plane, the density-dependent coupling strengths can be decomposed as

$$g_{\phi}(\rho_b) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{\lambda_p} g_{\phi}^{\lambda_p}(r) Y_{\lambda_p 0}(\vartheta, \varphi), \tag{A1}$$

in which λ_p shall be even, and g_{ϕ} here represents the coupling strengths g_{ρ} and f_{ρ} . Similarly to the π -PV coupling, there exist gradients over the propagator in the ρ -T and ρ -VT coupling channels,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{r}} D_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}') = -m_{\rho} \sum_{\lambda_{y} \mu_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y} \pm 1} (-1)^{\mu_{y}} C_{\lambda_{y} 010}^{\lambda_{1} 0} S_{\lambda_{y} \lambda_{1}}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}') \boldsymbol{Y}_{\lambda_{y} \mu_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \boldsymbol{Y}_{\lambda_{y} - \mu_{y}}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}'), \tag{A2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{r}}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{r}'}\boldsymbol{D}_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}') = +m_{\rho}^{2}\sum_{\lambda_{y}\mu_{y}}\sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1}(-1)^{\mu_{y}}C_{\lambda_{y}010}^{\lambda_{1}0}C_{\lambda_{y}010}^{\lambda_{2}0}\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}')\boldsymbol{Y}_{\lambda_{y}\mu_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})\boldsymbol{Y}_{\lambda_{y}-\mu_{y}}^{\lambda_{2}}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}'),\tag{A3}$$

where $Y_{\lambda\mu}^{\lambda'}$ represents the vector spherical harmonics [131], and the radial parts read as

$$\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') = -R_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') + \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^{2}r^{2}}\delta(r-r'),\tag{A4}$$

$$R_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') = \sqrt{\frac{1}{rr'}} \Big[I_{\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2}}(m_\rho r) K_{\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2}}(m_\rho r') \theta(r' - r) + K_{\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2}}(m_\rho r) I_{\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2}}(m_\rho r') \theta(r - r') \Big], \tag{A5}$$

$$S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') = \sqrt{\frac{1}{rr'}} \Big[I_{\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}}(m_{\rho}r) K_{\lambda_{y}+\frac{1}{2}}(m_{\rho}r') \theta(r'-r) - K_{\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}}(m_{\rho}r) I_{\lambda_{y}+\frac{1}{2}}(m_{\rho}r') \theta(r-r') \Big], \tag{A6}$$

where I and K are the modified Bessel functions.

In practice, we performed the integrations with respect to the angle variables $\Omega = (\vartheta, \varphi)$, since the expansion of the wave functions $\psi_{\nu\pi m}$ is carried on the spherical Dirac Woods-Saxon (DWS) base. Such integration contains the Harmonic functions deduced from the decompositions of the propagators and the coupling strengths, and the couplings between the spherical Dirac spinors,

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{\Omega} Y_{\lambda_d \mu_d}(\mathbf{\Omega}) Y_{\lambda_\mu - \mu_y}(\mathbf{\Omega}) Y_{\lambda_p 0}(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\lambda}_d \hat{\lambda}_y \hat{\lambda}_p^{-1} C^{\lambda_p 0}_{\lambda_d 0 \lambda_y 0} C^{\lambda_p 0}_{\lambda_d \mu \lambda_y - \mu} \equiv (-1)^{\mu} \Theta^{\lambda_y \mu}_{\lambda_d \lambda_p}, \tag{A7}$$

where $\mu = \mu_d = \mu_y$, and $(\lambda_d \mu_d)$, $(\lambda_y \mu_y)$, and λ_p denote the terms originating from the couplings between the Dirac spinors, the decompositions of the propagators, and the coupling strengths, respectively.

During the derivations of the ρ -T and ρ -VT couplings using the spherical DWS base, it is convenient to introduce the following symbols, namely $\mathcal{D}, \overline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{Q}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$, to abbreviate the complicated expressions

$$\mathscr{D}^{\lambda\mu}_{\kappa_1 m_1;\kappa_2 m_2} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_2 \hat{\lambda}^{-1} C^{L0}_{j_1 \frac{1}{2} j_2 - \frac{1}{2}} C^{\lambda\mu}_{j_1 - m_1 j_2 m_2},\tag{A8}$$

$$\bar{\mathscr{D}}_{\kappa_{1}m_{1};\kappa_{2}m_{2}}^{\lambda\bar{\mu}} \equiv (-1)^{\kappa_{1}} \mathscr{D}_{\kappa_{1}-m_{1};\kappa_{2}m_{2}}^{\lambda\bar{\mu}},\tag{A9}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\kappa_1 m_1; \kappa_2 m_2}^{\lambda\mu\sigma} \equiv (-1)^{j_1+l_1-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{3} \hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_2 \hat{l}_1 \hat{l}_2 \sum_J C_{l_1 0 l_2 0}^{\lambda0} C_{\lambda\mu 1\sigma}^{JM} \begin{cases} j_1 & j_2 & J\\ l_1 & l_2 & \lambda\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{cases} C_{j_1-m_1 j_2 m_2}^{JM}, \tag{A10}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\kappa_1 m_1; \kappa_2 m_2}^{\lambda \bar{\mu} \sigma} \equiv (-1)^{\kappa_1} \mathcal{Q}_{\kappa_1 - m_1; \kappa_2 m_2}^{\lambda \bar{\mu} \sigma}.$$
(A11)

In the symbols \mathscr{D} and $\overline{\mathscr{D}}$, $\mu = m_2 - m_1$ and $\overline{\mu} = m_2 + m_1$, whereas, for the symbols \mathscr{Q} and $\overline{\mathscr{Q}}$, one can find $\mu + \sigma = m_2 - m_1$ and $\overline{\mu} + \sigma = m_2 + m_1$.

2. Energy functionals and self-energies of the Hartree terms

In the Hartree diagrams of the ρ -T and ρ -VT couplings, the expansion terms of the local densities, including the baryonic $\rho_h^{\lambda_d}$ and tensor $\rho_L^{\lambda_d\mu}$, can be expressed as

$$\rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r) = \sum_{i} v_{i}^{2} \sum_{\kappa\kappa'} (-1)^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \mathscr{D}_{\kappa m,\kappa' m}^{\lambda_{d} 0} \left[\frac{\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{V}(r)\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa'}^{V}(r)}{2\pi r^{2}} + \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^{V}(r)\mathcal{F}_{i\kappa'}^{V}(r)}{2\pi r^{2}} \right],$$
(A12)

$$\rho_T^{\lambda_d \mu}(r) = \sum_i v_i^2 \sum_{\kappa \kappa'} (-1)^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \left[\mathcal{Q}_{\kappa m, -\kappa' m}^{\lambda_d \mu \sigma} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa'}^V(r)}{2\pi r^2} + \mathcal{Q}_{-\kappa m, \kappa' m}^{\lambda_d \mu \sigma} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa'}^V(r)}{2\pi r^2} \right], \tag{A13}$$

in which v_i^2 represent the degeneracy of the orbit *i*, in general equal to 2 for axially deformed nuclei, and $\mu + \sigma = 0$.

Notice that the ρ -VT coupling in fact contains the ρ -vector-tensor and ρ -tensor-vector parts, which are specified as ρ -VT and ρ -TV respectively, in the following. The self-energies contributed by the Hartree terms of the ρ -T, ρ -VT, and ρ -TV couplings can be derived as

$$\Sigma_{T,\rho-\mathrm{T}}^{\lambda_d\mu}(r) = \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{4M^2} \sum_{\lambda_p} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r) \int r'^2 dr' \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} C_{\lambda_y010}^{\lambda_10} C_{\lambda_1\mu_1\sigma}^{\lambda_y0} \Theta_{\lambda_d\lambda_p}^{\lambda_1\mu} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') S_{\mathrm{T}}^{\lambda_y\lambda_2}(r'), \tag{A14}$$

$$\Sigma_{T,\rho\text{-}\mathrm{TV}}^{\lambda_d\mu}(r) = \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\lambda_p} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r) \int r'^2 dr' \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y \pm 1} C_{\lambda_y 010}^{\lambda_1 0} C_{\lambda_1 \mu 1 \sigma}^{\lambda_y 0} \Theta_{\lambda_d \lambda_p}^{\lambda_1 \mu} S_{\lambda_y \lambda_1}(r,r') S_{\mathrm{V}}^{\lambda_y}(r'), \tag{A15}$$

$$\Sigma_{0,\rho\text{-VT}}^{\lambda_d}(r) = \frac{m_\rho}{2M} \sum_{\lambda_p} g_\rho^{\lambda_p}(r) \int r'^2 dr' \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y \pm 1} \Theta_{\lambda_d \lambda_p}^{\lambda_y 0} S_{\lambda_y \lambda_1}(r',r) S_{\mathrm{T}}^{\lambda_y \lambda_1}(r'), \tag{A16}$$

where the source terms $S_{\rm T}^{\lambda_y\lambda_1}$ and $S_{\rm V}^{\lambda_y}$ read as

$$S_{\rm T}^{\lambda_{\rm y}\lambda_{\rm 1}}(r') = \sum_{\lambda'_{\rm p}\lambda'_{\rm d}\mu'} C_{\lambda_{\rm y}010}^{\lambda_{\rm 1}0} C_{\lambda_{\rm 1}\mu'1\sigma}^{\lambda_{\rm y}0} \Theta_{\lambda'_{\rm d}\lambda'_{\rm p}}^{\lambda_{\rm 1}\mu'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_{\rm p}}(r') \rho_{T,3}^{\lambda'_{\rm d}\mu'}(r'), \quad S_{\rm V}^{\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda'_{\rm p}\lambda'_{\rm d}} \Theta_{\lambda'_{\rm d}\lambda'_{\rm p}}^{\lambda_{\rm y}0} g_{\rho}^{\lambda'_{\rm p}}(r') \rho_{b,3}^{\lambda'_{\rm d}}(r')$$
(A17)

with $\rho_{b,3}^{\lambda'_d} = \rho_{b,n}^{\lambda'_d} - \rho_{b,p}^{\lambda'_d}$ and $\rho_{T,3}^{\lambda'_d\mu} = \rho_{T,n}^{\lambda'_d\mu} - \rho_{T,p}^{\lambda'_d\mu}$. In terms of the self-energies, the energy functionals contributed by the Hartree terms can be written as

$$E^{D}_{\rho-\mathrm{T}} = +\frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^{2} dr \sum_{\lambda_{d}\mu} \Sigma^{\lambda_{d}\mu}_{T,\rho-\mathrm{T}}(r) \rho^{\lambda_{d}\mu}_{T,3}(r), \qquad (A18)$$

$$E^{D}_{\rho\text{-VT}} = +\frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^2 dr \Biggl[\sum_{\lambda_d \mu} \Sigma^{\lambda_d \mu}_{T,\rho\text{-TV}}(r) \rho^{\lambda_d \mu}_{T,3}(r) + \sum_{\lambda_d} \Sigma^{\lambda_d}_{0,\rho\text{-VT}}(r) \rho^{\lambda_d}_{b,3}(r) \Biggr].$$
(A19)

Due to the density dependencies carried by the coupling strengths g_{ρ} and f_{ρ} , the deduced rearrangement terms from the variation of the energy functional read as

$$\Sigma_{R,\rho-\mathrm{T}}^{D,\lambda}(r) = \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{4M^2r^2} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_d\mu} \left[\frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda}} \rho_{T,3}^{\lambda_d\mu} \right]_r \int r'^2 dr' \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} C_{\lambda_y010}^{\lambda_10} C_{\lambda_1\mu_1\sigma}^{\lambda_y0} \Theta_{\lambda_d\lambda_p}^{\lambda_1\mu} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') S_{\mathrm{T}}^{\lambda_y\lambda_2}(r'), \tag{A20}$$

$$\Sigma_{R,\rho\text{-VT}}^{D,\lambda}(r) = \frac{m_{\rho}}{2Mr^2} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{d}\mu} \left[\frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda}} \rho_{T,3}^{\lambda_{d}\mu} \right]_{r} \int r'^2 dr' \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} C_{\lambda_{y}010}^{\lambda_{1}0} C_{\lambda_{1}\mu_{1}\sigma}^{\lambda_{y}0} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{\rho}}^{\lambda_{1}\mu} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') S_{V}^{\lambda_{y}}(r') + \frac{m_{\rho}}{2Mr^2} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{d}} \left[\frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda}} \rho_{b,3}^{\lambda_{d}} \right] \int r'^2 dr' \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{\rho}}^{\lambda_{y}0} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) S_{T}^{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r').$$
(A21)

3. Energy functionals and self-energies from the Fock terms

In the Fock diagrams of the ρ -T and ρ -VT couplings, the expressions are rather complicated. To abbreviate the expressions, we introduce the symbols $\mathcal{R}^{\sigma\sigma'}$ with σ , $\sigma' = \pm$ to denote the nonlocal density terms, which appear in the nonlocal self-energy terms Y_G , Y_F , X_G , and X_F as

$$\mathcal{R}^{++}_{\kappa\kappa',\pi m}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{G}^V_{n\kappa m,\kappa}(r) \mathcal{G}^V_{\nu\pi m,\kappa'}(r'), \quad \mathcal{R}^{+-}_{\kappa\kappa',\pi m}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{G}^V_{n\kappa m,\kappa}(r) \mathcal{F}^V_{\nu\pi m,\kappa'}(r'), \quad (A22)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\kappa\kappa',\pi m}^{-+}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{F}_{n\kappa m,\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{G}_{\nu\pi m,\kappa'}^V(r'), \quad \mathcal{R}_{\kappa\kappa',\pi m}^{--}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{F}_{n\kappa m,\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{F}_{\nu\pi m,\kappa'}^V(r').$$
(A23)

In the above expressions, v_i^2 represents the degeneracy of the orbit $i = (\nu \pi m)$, similarly to the local density expressions.

a. Nonlocal mean fields contributed by the ρ -T coupling

The ρ -T coupling contains the time and space components, which are referred as T-*t* and T-*s* respectively, in the following. Using the self-energies Y_G , Y_F , X_G , and X_F , the energy functional can be written as,

$$E_{\rho-\mathrm{T}}^{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr \, dr' \sum_{i} v_{i}^{2} \sum_{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}} \left(\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V} \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V} \right)_{r} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\rho-\mathrm{T}} & Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\rho-\mathrm{T}} \\ X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\rho-\mathrm{T}} & X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\rho-\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix}_{r,r'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \\ \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \end{pmatrix}_{r'},$$
(A24)

in which the terms Y_G , Y_F , X_G , and X_F contain the time- and space-component contributions, e.g.,

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\rho-\mathrm{T}} = Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}-t} + Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}-s}.$$
(A25)

For the time (T-t) component, the nonlocal self-energies Y_G, Y_F, X_G, X_F are derived as

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}\cdot t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{-\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m';+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}},$$
(A26)

$$Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}\cdot t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{-\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m';+\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}},$$
(A27)

Recall that in the symbols
$$\mathcal{N}$$
, $\mu + \sigma = m - m'$, and for the symbols $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ one may have $\overline{\mu} + \sigma = m + m$

Similarly to the energy functional, the rearrangement terms $\Sigma_{R,\rho,T}^{E,\lambda_d}$ contributed by the Fock terms of the ρ -T coupling can be expressed formally as

 $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p},\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1};\mu\sigma,\delta} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_{J}} C_{\lambda_{y}010}^{\lambda_{1}0} C_{\lambda_{1}\mu_{1}\nu}^{\lambda_{y}\mu_{y}} C_{1\delta_{1}\nu}^{1\sigma} \mathcal{Q}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{d}\mu\sigma} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}}^{\lambda_{1}\mu},$

 $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p},\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1};\bar{\mu}\sigma,\delta} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_{J}} C_{\lambda_{y}010}^{\lambda_{1}0} C_{\lambda_{1}\bar{\mu}1\nu}^{\lambda_{y}\mu_{y}} C_{1\delta_{1}\nu}^{1\sigma} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{d}\bar{\mu}\sigma} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}}^{\lambda_{1}\bar{\mu}}.$

$$\Sigma_{R,\rho-\mathrm{T}}^{E,\lambda_d}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r^2} \int dr' \sum_{i} v_i^2 \sum_{\kappa_1\kappa_2} \left(\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_1}^V \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_1}^V \right)_r \begin{pmatrix} P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\rho-\mathrm{T}} & P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\rho-\mathrm{T}} \\ Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\rho-\mathrm{T}} & Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\rho-\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix}_{r,r'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_2}^V \\ \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_2}^V \end{pmatrix}_{r'}, \tag{A38}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'m',\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\delta} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p},\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1};\mu\sigma,\delta} \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{2}'m',\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}',\lambda_{y}\lambda_{2};\mu'\sigma',\delta} + \sum_{\delta} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p},\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1};\bar{\mu}\sigma,\delta} \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{\kappa_{2}'m',\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}',\lambda_{y}\lambda_{2};\bar{\mu}'\sigma',\delta} \right\},$$
(A36)

where the symbols \mathcal{N} and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ are of the following forms:

$$X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{T-s}} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{2M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa'_1\kappa'_2} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa'_1\kappa'_2,\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda'_p} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p'}}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\kappa'_1-\kappa'_2m',-\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{--}.$$
(A35)
For abbreviations, the introduced symbols $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ read as

 $Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{T}\cdot s} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{2M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa'\kappa'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa'_1\kappa'_2,\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_-\lambda'} f_\rho^{\lambda_p}(r) f_\rho^{\lambda_{p'}}(r') \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{+\kappa'_1-\kappa'_2m',+\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda'_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2},$ $X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{T}\cdot s} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{2M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa'\kappa'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa'_2,\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} f_\rho^{\lambda_p}(r) f_\rho^{\lambda_{p'}}(r') \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\lambda+\lambda}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\kappa_1'+\kappa'_2m',-\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_y\lambda_1\lambda_2},$

ad on the propagators contribute to the energy functional, which avoids the singularity at r = r' in the propagators For the space

$$Y_{\alpha}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{T-s}} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \sum \mathcal{T}_{TT} \sum \mathcal{R}_{++}^{++} \sum f_{\alpha}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\alpha}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum \sum_{\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}';\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}';\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}$$
(A32)

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}\cdot s} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{2M^{2}} \sum_{\pi' m'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi' m'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho'}}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}},$$
(A32)

Recall that in the symbol
$$\mathcal{P}$$
, $\mu + \sigma = m - m' = \mu_y$, and, for the symbol $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$, one has $\overline{\mu} + \sigma = m + m' = \mu_y$. Following these relations, it can be deduced that finite expansion terms related to μ_y for the propagators are selected by given *m* and *m'*. In addition, finite $(\lambda_y \lambda_1)$ terms are selected by the symbol Θ and C-G coefficient $C_{\lambda_1 \mu l \sigma}^{\lambda_y \mu_y}$, as well. Thus, with given space truncations on the spherical DWS base and expanding the coupling strengths, which give finite λ_d and λ_p values, finite expansion terms of

$$\lambda_d$$

Il that in the symbol \mathcal{P} , $\mu + \sigma = m - m' = \mu_v$, and, for the symbol $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, one has $\bar{\mu} + \sigma = m + m' = \mu_v$. For

in which the symbols
$$\mathcal{P}$$
 and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ read as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa_1'm',\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_p;\lambda_y\lambda_1;\mu\sigma} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_y010} C_{\lambda_y010}^{\lambda_y\mu_y} \mathcal{Q}_{\kappa_1'm',\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_d\mu\sigma} \Theta_{\lambda_d\lambda_p}^{\lambda_1\mu}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{\kappa_1'm',\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_p,\lambda_y\lambda_1;\bar{\mu}\sigma} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_y010} C_{\lambda_y010}^{\lambda_y\mu_y} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\kappa_1'm',\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_d\bar{\mu}\sigma} \Theta_{\lambda_d\lambda_p}^{\lambda_1\bar{\mu}}.$$

In the above expressions, $\mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} = 2 - \delta_{\tau\tau'}$ is the isospin factor, τ for (πm) and τ' for $(\pi' m')$. For abbreviation, we introduce the

 $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'m',\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \mathcal{P}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p},\lambda_{j}\lambda_{1};\mu\sigma} \mathcal{P}_{\kappa_{2}'m',\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}';\lambda_{j}\lambda_{2};\mu'\sigma'} + \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p},\lambda_{j}\lambda_{1};\bar{\mu}\sigma} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{\kappa_{2}'m',\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}',\lambda_{j}\lambda_{1};\bar{\mu}\sigma} \right\},$

$$\begin{split} X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}\cdot t} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{+\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m';-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}, \\ X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}\cdot t} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{1}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{p}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m';-\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}. \end{split}$$

symbols $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ to denote the combinations of the C-G coefficients given by various couplings,

(A28)

(A29)

(A30)

(A31)

(A33)

(A34)

(A37)

in which the P and Q terms contain the time- and space-component contributions, e.g.,

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\rho\text{-T}} = P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{T-}t} + P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{T-}s}.$$
(A39)

Concerning the time-component contributions, the terms P and Q read as

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{T},t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_\rho^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_\rho^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{-\kappa_1'-\kappa_2'm';+\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p,\lambda_y\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \tag{A40}$$

$$P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{T},t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_\rho^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_\rho^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{-\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm';+\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p',\lambda_y\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \tag{A41}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{T}-t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_\rho^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_\rho^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{+\kappa_1'-\kappa_2'm';-\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \tag{A42}$$

$$Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{T-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_\rho^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_\rho^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{+\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm';-\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \tag{A43}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} = 2 - \delta_{\tau\tau'}$. For the space components, the *P* and *Q* terms read as

$$P_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{T-s}} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{2M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho'}}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}}, \tag{A44}$$

$$P_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}s} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{2M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho'}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{+\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}, \tag{A45}$$

$$Q_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{T},\mathbf{s}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{2M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p'}}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_y}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm',-\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p';\lambda_y\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \tag{A46}$$

$$Q_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{T}\cdot s} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{2M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p'}}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_{y}}^{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m',-\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}}.$$
(A47)

b. Nonlocal mean fields contributed by the p-VT coupling

To start, recall that the ρ -VT coupling consists of two types of contributions, namely the ρ -VT and ρ -TV parts as mentioned in expressing the Hartree terms. Similarly to the ρ -T coupling, the ρ -VT coupling contains the time and space components as well. Combining the contributions from the ρ -VT and ρ -TV terms, the whole contributions of the ρ -VT channel can be formally expressed as

$$E_{\rho\text{-VT}}^{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr dr' \sum_{i} v_{i}^{2} \sum_{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}} \left(\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V} - \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V} \right)_{r} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}} + Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}} & Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}} + Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}} \\ X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}} + X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}} & X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}} + X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}} \end{pmatrix}_{r,r'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \\ \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \end{pmatrix}_{r'}, \tag{A48}$$

in which v_i^2 denotes the degeneracy of orbit *i*, and TV and VT are used to mark the tensor-vector (TV) and vector-tensor (VT) parts, respectively. In the above expression, the Y_G , Y_F , X_G , and X_F terms contain the contributions from both time (*t*) and space (*s*) components, e.g.,

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}} = Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}\cdot t} + Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}\cdot s}, \quad Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}} = Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}\cdot t} + Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}\cdot s}.$$
(A49)

For the time components of the nonlocal self-energies Y_G , Y_F , X_G , and X_F , one can express the TV parts as

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r) g_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm',+\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p',\lambda_y\lambda_1},$$
(A50)

$$Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}},$$
(A51)

$$X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}_{-t}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m',-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\gamma}\lambda_{1}},$$
(A52)

$$X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho}{2M} \sum_{\pi' m'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi' m'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_\rho\lambda_\rho'} f_\rho^{\lambda_\rho}(r) g_\rho^{\lambda_\rho'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y \pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_1'-\kappa_2' m',-\kappa_1-\kappa_2 m}^{\lambda_\rho\lambda_\rho,\lambda_y\lambda_1}$$
(A53)

and the VT terms as

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_2'+\kappa_1'm',+\kappa_2+\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p',\lambda_y\lambda_1},$$
(A54)

$$Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}\cdot t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{p}'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_{2}'+\kappa_{1}'m',-\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A55}$$

$$X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{VT}_{f}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_{2}'-\kappa_{1}'m',+\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}},$$
(A56)

$$X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_{2}'-\kappa_{1}'m',-\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{j}}.$$
(A57)

In the above expressions, the symbols $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ are introduced to denote the combinations of the following symbols:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}\kappa_{2}^{\prime},\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1},m^{\prime}m} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma} \mathcal{P}_{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1},\mu\sigma} \mathcal{S}_{\kappa_{2}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}^{\prime}\lambda_{y}} + \sum_{\sigma} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1},\bar{\mu}\sigma} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\kappa_{2}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}^{\prime}\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1},\mu\sigma} \right\},$$
(A58)

.

in which the symbols \mathcal{P} and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ have been defined as Eq. (A31), and the symbols \mathcal{S} and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ correspond to the combination of the ones $\mathscr{D}(\bar{\mathscr{D}})$ and Θ as defined before,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y}} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_{d}} \mathscr{D}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{d}\mu} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}}^{\lambda_{y}\mu}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y}} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_{d}} \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{d}\bar{\mu}} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}}^{\lambda_{y}\bar{\mu}}.$$
(A59)

Recall that in the symbol \mathcal{D} , $\mu = m - m'$, and for the symbol $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ one has $\overline{\mu} = m + m'$.

For the space component, the TV and VT parts of the self-energies Y_G , Y_F , X_G , and X_F can be written as

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}s} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A60}$$

$$Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{TV-s}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A61}$$

$$X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{TV-s}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{\rho}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\kappa_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m',-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A62}$$

$$X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}\cdot s} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m',-\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A63}$$

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-}s} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_{\rho}}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{2}'-\kappa_{1}'m',+\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A64}$$

$$Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-s}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{2}'-\kappa_{1}'m',-\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'}, \tag{A65}$$

$$X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-s}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{2}'+\kappa_{1}'m',+\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}}, \tag{A66}$$

$$X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-s}} = +\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{2}'+\kappa_{1}'m',-\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}.$$
 (A67)

In the above space-component contributions, the symbols \widehat{Q} are of the following form:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}\kappa_{2}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma\delta} \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p},\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1};\mu\sigma,\delta} \mathcal{M}_{\kappa_{2}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}^{\prime}\lambda_{y};\mu^{\prime}\delta} + \sum_{\sigma\delta} \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}^{\prime},\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1};\bar{\mu}\sigma,\delta} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\kappa_{2}^{\prime}m^{\prime},\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}^{\prime}\lambda_{y};\bar{\mu}^{\prime}\delta} \right\},$$
(A68)

where the symbols \mathcal{N} and $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ are defined as Eq. (A37), and the symbols \mathcal{M} and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ read as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y};\mu\delta} = \sum_{\lambda_{d}} \mathcal{Q}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{d}\mu\delta} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}}^{\lambda_{y}\mu}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{y};\bar{\mu}\delta} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_{d}} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\kappa_{1}'m',\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{d}\bar{\mu}\delta} \Theta_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}}^{\lambda_{y}\bar{\mu}}.$$
(A69)

Recall that $\mu + \delta = m - m'$ in the symbol Q and $\bar{\mu} + \delta = m + m'$ in the symbol \bar{Q} . Similarly to the energy functional, the rearrangement term $\Sigma_{R,VT}^{E,\lambda_d}$ contributed by the ρ -VT coupling can be formally expressed as

$$\Sigma_{R,\text{VT}}^{E,\lambda_d}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r^2} \int dr' \sum_{i} v_i^2 \sum_{\kappa_1\kappa_2} \left(\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_1}^V - \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_1}^V \right)_r \begin{pmatrix} P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{TV}} + P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT}} & P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{TV}} + P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT}} \\ Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{TV}} + Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT}} & Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{TV}} + Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT}} \end{pmatrix}_{r,r'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_2}^V \\ \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_2}^V \end{pmatrix}_{r'}, \tag{A70}$$

where the P and Q terms contain the contributions from both time (t) and space (s) components, e.g.,

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV}} = P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV}\cdot t} + P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV}\cdot s}, \qquad P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{VT}} = P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{VT}\cdot t} + P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{VT}\cdot s}.$$
(A71)

The details expressions of the *P* and *Q* terms for the time component read as follows:

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV},t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi' m'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi' m'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm',+\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p,\lambda_1},$$
(A72)

$$P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y \pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_1'-\kappa_2'm',+\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_{\rho},\lambda_y\lambda_1},$$
(A73)

$$Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm',-\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{+,\lambda_y\lambda_1},$$
(A74)

$$Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{TV},r} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_1'-\kappa_2'm',-\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p',\lambda_y\lambda_1},$$
(A75)

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y \pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_2'+\kappa_1'm',+\kappa_2+\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p',\lambda_y\lambda_1},$$
(A76)

$$P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mathrm{VT},t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y \pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_2'+\kappa_1'm',-\kappa_2+\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}},$$
(A77)

$$Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_\rho}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{-\kappa_2'-\kappa_1'm',+\kappa_2-\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p',\lambda_y\lambda_1},$$
(A78)

$$Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\text{VT-}t} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r') \sum_{\lambda_y} \sum_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_y\pm 1} S_{\lambda_y\lambda_1}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{+\kappa_2'-\kappa_1'm',-\kappa_2-\kappa_1m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p,\lambda_y\lambda_1}.$$
(A79)

For the P and Q terms contributed by the space component, one may obtain the TV and VT parts as

$$P_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}\text{-}s} = +\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A80}$$

$$P_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}\cdot\boldsymbol{s}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m',+\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}}, \tag{A81}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}\cdot s} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m',-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}}, \tag{A82}$$

$$Q_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\mathrm{TV}\cdot\boldsymbol{s}} = +\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m',-\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A83}$$

$$P_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-s}} = +\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{2}'-\kappa_{1}'m',+\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}}, \tag{A84}$$

$$P_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-s}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{2}'-\kappa_{1}'m',-\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}, \tag{A85}$$

$$Q_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-s}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+\kappa_{2}'+\kappa_{1}'m',+\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}m}^{+}, \tag{A86}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\text{VT-s}} = +\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{m_{\rho}}{2M} \sum_{\pi' m'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi' m'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}'} \frac{\partial g_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{\rho}'}(r') \sum_{\lambda_{y}} \sum_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{y}\pm 1} S_{\lambda_{y}\lambda_{1}}(r',r) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{-\kappa_{2}'+\kappa_{1}'m',-\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}}.$$
(A87)

4. Contact term related to the ρ -T coupling

For the contributions from the ρ -T coupling, there exist zero-range terms originating from the gradients of the propagators. Such zero-range contributions are partly removed by adding the so-called contact term as

$$E^{D}_{\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta} = +\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{ii'} \tau \tau' \int d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}' \Big[f_{\rho} \bar{\psi}^{V}_{i} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi^{V}_{i} \Big]_{\mathbf{r}} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \Big[f_{\rho} \bar{\psi}^{V}_{i'} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi^{V}_{i'} \Big]_{\mathbf{r}'}, \tag{A88}$$

$$E^{E}_{\rho\text{-T},\delta} = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{ii'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \int d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}' \Big[f_{\rho} \bar{\psi}^{V}_{i} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi^{V}_{i'} \Big]_{\mathbf{r}} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \Big[f_{\rho} \bar{\psi}^{V}_{i'} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi^{V}_{i} \Big]_{\mathbf{r}'}. \tag{A89}$$

In the following, the detailed expressions of the contact term for the axially deformed nuclei will be listed.

For the Hartree term $E^{D}_{\rho-T,\delta}$, only the time component of the contact term makes contributions. The local self-energy term can be obtained as

$$\Sigma_{\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta}^{\lambda_d\mu}(r) = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\lambda_p} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r) \sum_{\lambda_y} \Theta_{\lambda_d\lambda_p}^{\lambda_y\mu} \sum_{\lambda'_p\lambda'_d} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r) \Theta_{\lambda'_d\lambda'_p}^{\lambda_y\mu} \rho_{T,3}^{\lambda'_d\mu}(r).$$
(A90)

Thus, the Hartree energy functional of the contact term $E^{D}_{\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta}$ can be expressed as

$$E^{D}_{\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta} = +\frac{2\pi}{2} \int r^2 dr \sum_{\lambda_d \mu} \rho^{\lambda_d \mu}_{T,3}(r) \Sigma^{\lambda_d \mu}_{\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta}(r).$$
(A91)

Moreover, the contribution to the rearrangement term from the Hartree term of the contact term reads as

$$\Sigma_{R,\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta}^{D,\lambda}(r) = -\frac{2\pi}{12M^2} \sum_{\lambda_p \lambda_d \mu} \left[\frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda}(r)} \rho_{\mathrm{T},3}^{\lambda_d \mu}(r) \right] \sum_{\lambda_y} \Theta_{\lambda_d \lambda_p}^{\lambda_y \mu} \sum_{\lambda'_p \lambda'_d} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r) \Theta_{\lambda'_d \lambda'_p}^{\lambda_y \mu} \rho_{\mathrm{T},3}^{\lambda'_d}(r).$$
(A92)

For the Fock contributions of the contact term, the expressions are much more complicated, and contain the time and space components. The nonlocal energy functional from the time/space components of the contact term can be expressed as

$$E_{\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta}^{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr \sum_{i} v_{i}^{2} \sum_{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}} \left(\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V} \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{1}}^{V} \right)_{r} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta} & Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta} \\ X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta} & X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta} \end{pmatrix}_{r} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \\ \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_{2}}^{V} \end{pmatrix}_{r},$$
(A93)

in which v_i^2 denotes the degeneracy of orbit *i*, and the self-energies Y_G , Y_F , X_G , and X_F contain the contributions from the time $(\delta - t)$ and space $(\delta - s)$ components, e.g.,

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta} = Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-t} + Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-s}.$$
(A94)

For the time component, the self-energies read as

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{-\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m';+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'},$$
(A95)

$$Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{-\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}m';+\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'},$$
(A96)

$$X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{+\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m';-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'}, \tag{A97}$$

$$X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m';-\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}}.$$
(A98)

In the above expressions, the symbols $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ have the following form:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda'_{p}}_{\kappa'_{1}\kappa'_{2}m';\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}m} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{d}\lambda'_{d}\lambda_{y}\sigma} \left[\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda_{d}\mu\sigma}_{\kappa'_{1}m',\kappa_{1}m} \mathcal{Q}^{\lambda'_{d}\mu\sigma}_{\kappa'_{2}m',\kappa_{2}m} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\mu}_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\mu}_{\lambda'_{d}\lambda'_{p}} + \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\lambda_{d}\bar{\mu}\sigma}_{\kappa'_{1}m',\kappa_{1}m} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\lambda'_{d}\bar{\mu}\sigma}_{\kappa'_{2}m',\kappa_{2}m} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\bar{\mu}}_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\bar{\mu}}_{\lambda'_{d}\lambda'_{p}} \right].$$
(A99)

For the space components, the self-energies can be obtained as

$$Y_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-s} \equiv -\frac{1}{3\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{+\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}m';+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'}, \tag{A100}$$

$$Y_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta\text{-}s} \equiv +\frac{1}{3\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{+\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m';+\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'}, \tag{A101}$$

$$X_{G,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-s} \equiv +\frac{1}{3\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{-\kappa_{1}'+\kappa_{2}'m';-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'}, \tag{A102}$$

$$X_{F,\pi m}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-s} \equiv -\frac{1}{3\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r) f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{-\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m';-\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}m}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'}, \tag{A103}$$

where the symbols $\widehat{\mathscr{B}}$ read as

$$\widehat{\mathscr{B}}^{\lambda_{p}\lambda'_{p}}_{\kappa'_{1}\kappa'_{2}m';\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}m} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{d}\lambda'_{d}\lambda_{y}\sigma} \left[\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda_{d}\mu\sigma}_{\kappa'_{1}m',\kappa_{1}m} \mathcal{Q}^{\lambda'_{d}\mu\sigma}_{\kappa'_{2}m',\kappa_{2}m} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\mu}_{\lambda_{d}\lambda_{p}} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\mu}_{\lambda'_{d}\lambda'_{p}} + \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\lambda_{d}\bar{\mu}\sigma}_{\kappa'_{1}m',\kappa_{1}m} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\lambda'_{d}\bar{\mu}\sigma}_{\kappa'_{2}m',\kappa_{2}m} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\bar{\mu}}_{\lambda_{d}\lambda'_{p}} \Theta^{\lambda_{y}\bar{\mu}}_{\lambda'_{d}\lambda'_{p}} \right].$$
(A104)

Concerning the Fock contribution to the rearrangement term, one can also formally express it as

$$\Sigma_{R,\rho-\mathrm{T},\delta}^{E,\lambda_d} = \sum_{i} v_i^2 \sum_{\kappa_1\kappa_2} \left(\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_1}^V \quad \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_1}^V \right)_r \begin{pmatrix} P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta} & P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta} \\ Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta} & Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta} \end{pmatrix}_r \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa_2}^V \\ \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa_2}^V \end{pmatrix}_r,$$
(A105)

in which the P and Q terms contain the contributions of the time $(\delta - t)$ and space $(\delta - s)$ components, e.g.,

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta} = P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta-t} + P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta-s}.$$
(A106)

For the time and space components, the P and Q terms read as

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^2} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{-\kappa_1'-\kappa_2'm';+\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p'}, \tag{A107}$$

$$P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^2} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{-\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm';+\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p'}, \tag{A108}$$

$$Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_{d}}^{\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{4M^{2}} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_{p}\lambda_{p}'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}}(r)}{\partial \rho_{b}^{\lambda_{d}}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_{p}'}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{+\kappa_{1}'-\kappa_{2}'m';-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m}^{+,\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}m},$$
(A109)

$$Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta-t} \equiv +\frac{1}{6\pi r^2} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r) \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{+\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm';-\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p'}, \tag{A110}$$

$$P_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta\cdot s} \equiv -\frac{1}{3\pi r^2} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi' m'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1' \kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1' \kappa_2',\pi' m'}^{++} \sum_{\lambda_p \lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{+\kappa_1' + \kappa_2' m';+\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 m}^{\lambda_p \lambda_p'}, \tag{A111}$$

$$P_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta\text{-}s} \equiv +\frac{1}{3\pi r^2} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{+-} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{+\kappa_1'-\kappa_2'm';+\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p'}, \tag{A112}$$

$$Q_{G,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta\cdot s} = +\frac{1}{3\pi r^2} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{-+} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p'}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{-\kappa_1'+\kappa_2'm';-\kappa_1+\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda_p'}, \tag{A113}$$

$$Q_{F,\pi m,\lambda_d}^{\kappa_1\kappa_2,\delta-s} \equiv -\frac{1}{3\pi r^2} \frac{1}{4M^2} \sum_{\pi'm'} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau'} \sum_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2'} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_1'\kappa_2',\pi'm'}^{--} \sum_{\lambda_p\lambda_p'} \frac{\partial f_{\rho}^{\lambda_p}(r)}{\partial \rho_b^{\lambda_d}(r)} f_{\rho}^{\lambda'_p}(r) \widehat{\mathscr{B}}_{-\kappa_1'-\kappa_2'm';-\kappa_1-\kappa_2m}^{\lambda_p\lambda'_p}.$$
(A114)

Notice that, for the results of contact terms, the nonlocal density \mathcal{R} corresponds to $\mathcal{R}(r, r)$ because of the zero range.

APPENDIX B: PAIRING POTENTIAL WITH GOGNY FORCE

In this work, the finite-range Gogny force D1S is adopted as the pairing force, and the full contributions from all the J components are considered instead of only J = 0 contributions adopted in Ref. [121]. Using the spherical DWS base, the pairing energy for axially deformed nuclei can be expressed in the following form:

$$E_p = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2} \int dr \, dr' \sum_{\sigma\sigma'=\pm} K^{\sigma\sigma'}_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2}(r,r') \Delta^{\sigma\sigma'}_{m\kappa_1\kappa_2}(r,r'), \tag{B1}$$

in which σ , $\sigma' = \pm$ (+ and – correspond to the *G* and *F* components respectively), and $K^{\sigma\sigma'}$ represent the components of the pairing tensor as

$$K_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa}}^{++}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{G}_{i\tilde{\kappa}}^U(r'), \quad K_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa}}^{+-}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{F}_{i\tilde{\kappa}}^U(r'), \tag{B2}$$

$$K_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa}}^{-+}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{G}_{i\tilde{\kappa}}^U(r'), \quad K_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa}}^{--}(r,r') = \sum_{\nu} v_i^2 \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^V(r) \mathcal{F}_{i\tilde{\kappa}}^U(r').$$
(B3)

Here v_i^2 denotes the degeneracy of the orbit $i = (\nu \pi m)$. Using the pairing tensor components $K^{\sigma\sigma'}$, the pairing potential terms $\Delta^{\sigma\sigma'}$ can be expressed uniformly as

$$\Delta_{m\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}}^{\sigma\sigma'}(r,r') \equiv \sum_{m'\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'} \Pi_{m\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2},m'\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'}^{\sigma\sigma'}(r,r') K_{m'\kappa_{1}'\kappa_{2}'}^{\sigma\sigma'}(r,r'), \tag{B4}$$

in which the symbols II correspond to the combination of the C-G coefficients and the radial part of the Gogny force,

$$\Pi_{m\kappa\bar{\kappa};m'\kappa'\bar{\kappa}'}^{++}(r,r') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \left[A_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{m\kappa\bar{\kappa};m'\kappa'\bar{\kappa}'}^{\lambda} - D_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{m\kappa\bar{\kappa};m'\kappa'\bar{\kappa}'}^{\lambda} \right], \tag{B5}$$

$$\Pi_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa};m'\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'}^{+-}(r,r') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \left[A_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{m\kappa-\tilde{\kappa};m'\kappa'-\tilde{\kappa}'}^{\lambda} - D_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{m\kappa-\tilde{\kappa};m'\kappa'-\tilde{\kappa}'}^{\lambda} \right], \tag{B6}$$

$$\Pi_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa};m'\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'}^{-+}(r,r') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \left[A_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{m-\kappa\tilde{\kappa};m'-\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'}^{\lambda} - D_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{m-\kappa\tilde{\kappa};m'-\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'}^{\lambda} \right], \tag{B7}$$

$$\Pi^{--}_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa};m'\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'}(r,r') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \left[A_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\lambda}_{m-\kappa-\tilde{\kappa};m'-\kappa'-\tilde{\kappa}'} - D_{\lambda}(r,r') \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\lambda}_{m-\kappa-\tilde{\kappa};m'-\kappa'-\tilde{\kappa}'} \right].$$
(B8)

In the above expressions, the symbols $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ read as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\lambda}_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa};m'\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'} \equiv \sum_{JLS} \mathcal{S}^{JLS}_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa}} \mathcal{X}^{L,\lambda}_{\kappa\tilde{\kappa};\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'} \mathcal{S}^{JLS}_{m'\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\lambda}_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa};m'\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'} \equiv \sum_{JLS} (-1)^{S} \mathcal{S}^{JLS}_{m\kappa\tilde{\kappa}} \mathcal{X}^{L,\lambda}_{\kappa\tilde{\kappa};\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'} \mathcal{S}^{JLS}_{m'\kappa'\tilde{\kappa}'}, \tag{B9}$$

with the symbols \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{S} as

$$\mathcal{X}_{\kappa\bar{\kappa},\kappa'\bar{\kappa}'}^{L,\lambda} = (-1)^{L} C_{\tilde{l}_{u}'0\tilde{l}_{u}0}^{\lambda0} C_{l_{u}'0l_{u}0}^{\lambda0} \begin{cases} l_{u}' & l_{u} & \lambda \\ \tilde{l}_{u} & \tilde{l}_{u}' & L \end{cases}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{m\kappa\bar{\kappa}}^{JLS} = (-1)^{\tilde{j}-m} \hat{j} \hat{j} \hat{l}_{u} \hat{l}_{u} \hat{L} \hat{S} \begin{cases} j & j & J \\ l_{u} & \tilde{l}_{u} & L \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & S \end{cases} C_{\tilde{j}-mjm}^{J0}. \tag{B10}$$

In the 6*j* and 9*j* symbols, l_u corresponds to the orbital angular momentum of upper component of the spherical Dirac spinor (24), and the one of the lower component reads as l_d . That is to say, for the terms with $-\kappa$, l_u shall be replaced by l_d . In the symbols Π , the terms $A_\lambda(r, r')$ and $D_\lambda(r, r')$ are defined as

$$A_{\lambda}(r,r') \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2} V_{\lambda}^{i}(r,r')A_{i}, \quad D_{\lambda}(r,r') \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2} V_{\lambda}^{i}(r,r')D_{i},$$
(B11)

where $A_i = W_i - H_i P^{\tau}$, $D_i = B_i - M_i P^{\tau}$, and the radial expansion terms of the Gogny force V_{λ}^i read as

$$V_{\lambda}^{i}(r,r') = e^{-(r^{2}+r'^{2})/\mu_{i}^{2}} \sqrt{2\pi \frac{\mu_{i}^{2}}{2rr'}} I_{\lambda+1/2} \left(\frac{2rr'}{\mu_{i}^{2}}\right),$$
(B12)

with the parameters of Gogny force W_i , H_i , B_i , M_i , and μ_i ; see Eq. (30).

APPENDIX C: CENTER-OF-MASS CORRECTION UNDER THE BOGOLIUBOV SCHEME

As we did before, the center-of-mass (c.m.) correction on the binding energy of nuclei are evaluated in a microscopic way by considering the expectation with respect to the Bogoliubov ground state |HFB⟩. Applying the quantization of the Dirac spinor field (15), the expectation of $P_{c.m.}^2$ can be derived as

$$\langle \mathrm{HFB} | \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{c.m.}}^{2} | \mathrm{HFB} \rangle = \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{p}_{ii}^{2} - \sum_{ii'} \boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{i'i}^{VV} + \sum_{ii'} \boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{UU}.$$
(C1)

The second derivative term p_{ii}^2 can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{ii}^{2} = -\sum_{\kappa} v_{i}^{2} \int dr \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{V} \left[\frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} - \frac{l_{u}(l_{u}+1)}{r^{2}} \right] \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{V} + \mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^{V} \left[\frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} - \frac{l_{d}(l_{d}+1)}{r^{2}} \right] \mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{V} \right\},$$
(C2)

where v_i^2 denotes the degeneracy of the orbit *i*. For the first derivative term $\mathbf{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{i'i}^{VV}$, one should be careful in dealing with the couplings between the orbits $i = (\nu \pi m)$ and $i' = (\nu' \pi' m')$, which can have opposite sign on the *m* values. Here we use $\overline{i}/\overline{i'}$ to denote the orbits with negative *m* values, namely the time-reversal partners. Thus, the term $\mathbf{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{i'i}^{VV}$ can be derived as,

$$\frac{v_i^2 v_{i'}^2}{2} \left[\boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{i'i}^{VV} + \boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{i}i'}^{VV} \right], \tag{C3}$$

where the detailed expressions of both terms read as

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{i'i}^{VV} = -\sum_{\kappa_1 \kappa_1'} \frac{\hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_1'}{3} C_{j_1 \frac{1}{2} j_1' - \frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_1 \frac{1}{2} j_1' - \frac{1}{2}}^{1\mu} C_{j_1' - m' j_1 m}^{1\mu} A_{i\kappa_1;i'\kappa_1'}^V \times \sum_{\kappa_2 \kappa_2'} \frac{\hat{j}_2 \hat{j}_2'}{3} C_{j_2 \frac{1}{2} j_2' - \frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_2' - m' j_2 m}^{1\mu} A_{i'\kappa_2';i\kappa_2}^V, \tag{C4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i\bar{i}'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{i}'i}^{VV} = -\sum_{\kappa_1\kappa_1'} \frac{\hat{j}_1\hat{j}_1'}{3} C_{j_1\frac{1}{2}j_1'-\frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_1m'j_1m}^{1\mu} A_{i\kappa_1;i'\kappa_1'}^V \times \sum_{\kappa_2\kappa_2'} \frac{\hat{j}_2\hat{j}_2'}{3} C_{j_2\frac{1}{2}j_2'-\frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_2m'j_2m}^{1\mu} A_{i'\kappa_2';i\kappa_2}^V(-1)^{j_1'+j_2'+1}, \tag{C5}$$

with

$$A_{i\kappa;i'\kappa'}^{V} = \int r^2 dr \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{G}_{i\kappa}^{V}}{r} \left[\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_{l'_{u}l_{u}}}{r} \right] \frac{\mathcal{G}_{i'\kappa'}^{V}}{r} + \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i\kappa}^{V}}{r} \left[\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_{l'_{d}l_{d}}}{r} \right] \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i'\kappa'}^{V}}{r} \right\}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{l'l} = \begin{cases} -l', & l = l'+1, \\ l'+1, & l = l'-1. \end{cases}$$
(C6)

Similarly to the term $p_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot p_{i'i}^{VV}$, the contribution of the term $p_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot p_{ii'}^{UU}$ also contains two parts,

$$\frac{v_i^2 v_{i'}^2}{2} \left[\boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{i}i'}^{UU} + \boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{i}i'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{i}i'}^{UU} \right], \tag{C7}$$

where the detailed expressions of both terms read as

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{ii'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{i}i'}^{UU} = -\sum_{\kappa_1 \kappa_1'} \frac{\hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_1'}{3} C_{j_1 \frac{1}{2} j_1' - \frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_1 - m' j_1 m}^{1\mu} A_{i\kappa_1; i'\kappa_1'}^V \times \sum_{\kappa_2 \kappa_2'} \frac{\hat{j}_2 \hat{j}_2'}{3} C_{j_2 \frac{1}{2} j_2' - \frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_2' - m' j_2 m}^{1\mu} A_{i\kappa_2; i'\kappa_2'}^U, \tag{C8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i\bar{i}'}^{VV} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{i}i'}^{UU} = -\sum_{\kappa_1\kappa_1'} \frac{\hat{j}_1\hat{j}_1'}{3} C_{j_1\frac{1}{2}j_1'-\frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_1'm'j_1m}^{1\mu} A_{i\kappa_1;i'\kappa_1'}^V \times \sum_{\kappa_2\kappa_2'} \frac{\hat{j}_2\hat{j}_2'}{3} C_{j_2\frac{1}{2}j_2'-\frac{1}{2}}^{10} C_{j_2'm'j_2m}^{1\mu} A_{i\kappa_2;i'\kappa_2'}^U (-1)^{j_1'+j_2'+1}.$$
(C9)

with

$$A^{U}_{i\kappa;i'\kappa'} = \int r^2 dr \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{G}^{U}_{i\kappa}}{r} \left[\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_{l'_u l_u}}{r} \right] \frac{\mathcal{G}^{U}_{i'\kappa'}}{r} + \frac{\mathcal{F}^{U}_{i\kappa}}{r} \left[\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_{l'_d l_d}}{r} \right] \frac{\mathcal{F}^{U}_{i'\kappa'}}{r} \right\}.$$
(C10)

In all above expressions, l_u and l_d correspond to the orbital angular momenta of the upper and lower components of the spherical Dirac spinor (24), respectively.

- W. Zhan, H. Xu, G. Xiao, J. Xia, H. Zhao, and Y. Yuan, Nucl. Phys. A 834, 694c (2010).
- [2] T. Motobayashi, Nucl. Phys. A 834, 707c (2010).
- [3] K. Tshoo, Y. Kim, Y. Kwon, H. Woo, G. Kim, Y. Kim, B. Kang, S. Park, Y.-H. Park, J. Yoon *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B **317**, 242 (2013).
- [4] C. Sturm, B. Sharkov, and H. Stöcker, Nucl. Phys. A 834, 682c (2010).
- [5] S. Gales, Nucl. Phys. A 834, 717c (2010).
- [6] M. Thoennessen, Nucl. Phys. A 834, 688c (2010).
- [7] B. Jonson, Phys. Rep. **389**, 1 (2004).
- [8] I. Tanihata, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 35, 505 (1995).
- [9] A. S. Jensen, K. Riisager, D. V. Fedorov, and E. Garrido, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 215 (2004).
- [10] R. F. Casten and B. M. Sherrill, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 45, S171 (2000).
- [11] S. N. Ershov, L. V. Grigorenko, J. S. Vaagen, and M. V. Zhukov, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 37, 064026 (2010).
- [12] C. R. Hoffman, T. Baumann, D. Bazin, J. Brown, G. Christian, P. A. DeYoung, J. E. Finck, N. Frank, J. Hinnefeld, R. Howes, P. Mears, E. Mosby, S. Mosby, J. Reith, B. Rizzo, W. F. Rogers, G. Peaslee, W. A. Peters, A. Schiller, M. J. Scott, S. L. Tabor, M. Thoennessen, P. J. Voss, and T. Williams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 152502 (2008).
- [13] H. Simon, D. Aleksandrov, T. Aumann, L. Axelsson, T. Baumann, M. J. G. Borge, L. V. Chulkov, R. Collatz, J. Cub, W. Dostal, B. Eberlein, T. W. Elze, H. Emling, H. Geissel, A. Grunschloss, M. Hellstrom, J. Holeczek, R. Holzmann, B. Jonson, J. V. Kratz, G. Kraus, R. Kulessa, Y. Leifels, A. Leistenschneider, T. Leth, I. Mukha, G. Munzenberg, F. Nickel, T. Nilsson, G. Nyman, B. Petersen, M. Pfutzner, A. Richter, K. Riisager, C. Scheidenberger, G. Schrieder, W. Schwab, M. H. Smedberg, J. Stroth, A. Surowiec, O. Tengblad, and M. V. Zhukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 496 (1999).
- [14] T. Motobayashi, Y. Ikeda, Y. Ando, K. Ieki, M. Inoue, N. Iwasa, T. Kikuchi, M. Kurokawa, S. Moriya, S. Ogawa *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **346**, 9 (1995).
- [15] K. Tshoo, Y. Satou, H. Bhang, S. Choi, T. Nakamura, Y. Kondo, S. Deguchi, Y. Kawada, N. Kobayashi, Y. Nakayama,

K. N. Tanaka, N. Tanaka, N. Aoi, M. Ishihara, T. Motobayashi, H. Otsu, H. Sakurai, S. Takeuchi, Y. Togano, K. Yoneda, Z. H. Li, F. Delaunay, J. Gibelin, F. M. Marques, N. A. Orr, T. Honda, M. Matsushita, T. Kobayashi, Y. Miyashita, T. Sumikama, K. Yoshinaga, S. Shimoura, D. Sohler, T. Zheng, and Z. X. Cao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 022501 (2012).

- [16] A. Ozawa, T. Kobayashi, T. Suzuki, K. Yoshida, and I. Tanihata, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5493 (2000).
- [17] R. Kanungo, C. Nociforo, A. Prochazka, T. Aumann, D. Boutin, D. Cortina-Gil, B. Davids, M. Diakaki, F. Farinon, H. Geissel, R. Gernhauser, J. Gerl, R. Janik, B. Jonson, B. Kindler, R. Knobel, R. Krucken, M. Lantz, H. Lenske, Y. Litvinov, B. Lommel, K. Mahata, P. Maierbeck, A. Musumarra, T. Nilsson, T. Otsuka, C. Perro, C. Scheidenberger, B. Sitar, P. Strmen, B. Sun, I. Szarka, I. Tanihata, Y. Utsuno, H. Weick, and M. Winkler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 152501 (2009).
- [18] A. Gade, R. V.F. Janssens, D. Bazin, R. Broda, B. A. Brown, C. M. Campbell, M. P. Carpenter, J. M. Cook, A. N. Deacon, D. C. Dinca, B. Fornal, S. J. Freeman, T. Glasmacher, P. G. Hansen, B. P. Kay, P. F. Mantica, W. F. Mueller, J. R. Terry, J. A. Tostevin, and S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. C 74, 021302 (2006).
- [19] D. Steppenbeck, S. Takeuchi, N. Aoi, P. Doornenbal, M. Matsushita, H. Wang, Y. Utsuno, H. Baba, S. Go, J. Lee, K. Matsui, S. Michimasa, T. Motobayashi, D. Nishimura, T. Otsuka, H. Sakurai, Y. Shiga, N. Shimizu, P. A. Soderstrom, T. Sumikama, R. Taniuchi, J. J. Valiente-Dobon, and K. Yoneda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 252501 (2015).
- [20] D. Steppenbeck, S. Takeuchi, N. Aoi, P. Doornenbal, M. Matsushita, H. Wang, H. Baba, N. Fukuda, S. Go, M. Honma *et al.*, Nature (London) **502**, 207 (2013).
- [21] J. J. Li, W. H. Long, J. Margueron, and N. V. Giai, Phys. Lett. B 788, 192 (2019).
- [22] T. Minamisono, T. Ohtsubo, I. Minami, S. Fukuda, A. Kitagawa, M. Fukuda, K. Matsuta, Y. Nojiri, S. Takeda, H. Sagawa, and H. Kitagawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2058 (1992).
- [23] I. Tanihata, H. Hamagaki, O. Hashimoto, Y. Shida, N. Yoshikawa, K. Sugimoto, O. Yamakawa, T. Kobayashi, and N. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2676 (1985).

- [24] W. Schwab, H. Geissel, H. Lenske, K.-H. Behr, A. Brönle, K. Burkard, H. Irnich, T. Kobayashi, G. Kraus, A. Magel *et al.*, Z. Phys. A **350**, 283 (1995).
- [25] J. Meng and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 460 (1998).
- [26] W. H. Long, P. Ring, J. Meng, N. Van Giai, and C. A. Bertulani, Phys. Rev. C 81, 031302(R) (2010).
- [27] E. M. Burbidge, G. R. Burbidge, W. A. Fowler, and F. Hoyle, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 547 (1957).
- [28] Y.-Z. Qian and G. Wasserburg, Phys. Rep. 442, 237 (2007).
- [29] K. Langanke and G. Martínez-Pinedo, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 819 (2003).
- [30] J. Meng and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3963 (1996).
- [31] J. Meng, H. Toki, J. Y. Zeng, S. Q. Zhang, and S.-G. Zhou, Phys. Rev. C 65, 041302(R) (2002).
- [32] J. Meng, H. Toki, S. G. Zhou, S. Q. Zhang, W. H. Long, and L. S. Geng, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 57, 470 (2006).
- [33] T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, and P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66, 519 (2011).
- [34] D. Vretenar, A. V. Afanasjev, G. A. Lalazissis, and P. Ring, Phys. Rep. 409, 101 (2005).
- [35] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- [36] N. N. Bogolyubov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 119, 244 (1958).
- [37] N. N. Bogolyubov and B. G. Solov'ev, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 124, 1011 (1959) [Soviet Phys.-Doklady 4, 143 (1959)].
- [38] J. G. Valatin, Phys. Rev. 122, 1012 (1961).
- [39] D. E. Alburger, C. Chasman, K. W. Jones, J. W. Olness, and R. A. Ristinen, Phys. Rev. 136, B916 (1964).
- [40] H. L. Crawford, P. Fallon, A. O. Macchiavelli, P. Doornenbal, N. Aoi, F. Browne, C. M. Campbell, S. Chen, R. M. Clark, M. L. Cortes, M. Cromaz, E. Ideguchi, M. D. Jones, R. Kanungo, M. MacCormick, S. Momiyama, I. Murray, M. Niikura, S. Paschalis, M. Petri, H. Sakurai, M. Salathe, P. Schrock, D. Steppenbeck, S. Takeuchi, Y. K. Tanaka, R. Taniuchi, H. Wang, and K. Wimmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 052501 (2019).
- [41] D. S. Ahn, N. Fukuda, H. Geissel, N. Inabe, N. Iwasa, T. Kubo, K. Kusaka, D. J. Morrissey, D. Murai, T. Nakamura, M. Ohtake, H. Otsu, H. Sato, B. M. Sherrill, Y. Shimizu, H. Suzuki, H. Takeda, O. B. Tarasov, H. Ueno, Y. Yanagisawa, and K. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 212501 (2019).
- [42] S. M. Lenzi, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, and K. Sieja, Phys. Rev. C 82, 054301 (2010).
- [43] P. E. Garrett, T. R. Rodriguez, A. D. Varela, K. L. Green, J. Bangay, A. Finlay, R. A.E. Austin, G. C. Ball, D. S. Bandyopadhyay, V. Bildstein, S. Colosimo, D. S. Cross, G. A. Demand, P. Finlay, A. B. Garnsworthy, G. F. Grinyer, G. Hackman, B. Jigmeddorj, J. Jolie, W. D. Kulp, K. G. Leach, A. C. Morton, J. N. Orce, C. J. Pearson, A. A. Phillips, A. J. Radich, E. T. Rand, M. A. Schumaker, C. E. Svensson, C. Sumithrarachchi, S. Triambak, N. Warr, J. Wong, J. L. Wood, and S. W. Yates, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 142502 (2019).
- [44] L. Gaudefroy, J. M. Daugas, M. Hass, S. Grevy, C. Stodel, J. C. Thomas, L. Perrot, M. Girod, B. Rosse, J. C. Angelique, D. L. Balabanski, E. Fiori, C. Force, G. Georgiev, D. Kameda, V. Kumar, R. L. Lozeva, I. Matea, V. Meot, P. Morel, B. S. NaraSingh, F. Nowacki, and G. Simpson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 092501 (2009).
- [45] T. Togashi, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, and N. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 172502 (2016).

- [46] A. Oberstedt, S. Oberstedt, M. Gawrys, and N. Kornilov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 042502 (2007).
- [47] T. Ichikawa, J. A. Maruhn, N. Itagaki, and S. Ohkubo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 112501 (2011).
- [48] X. Xia, Y. Lim, P. Zhao, H. Liang, X. Qu, Y. Chen, H. Liu, L. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Kim *et al.*, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 121-122, 1 (2018).
- [49] M. Bender, P. H. Heenen, and P. G. Reinhard, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 121 (2003).
- [50] D. Vautherin and D. M. Brink, Phys. Rev. C 5, 626 (1972).
- [51] D. Vautherin, Phys. Rev. C 7, 296 (1973).
- [52] J. Dobaczewski, H. Flocard, and J. Treiner, Nucl. Phys. A 422, 103 (1984).
- [53] J. Terasaki, P.-H. Heenen, H. Flocard, and P. Bonche, Nucl. Phys. A 600, 371 (1996).
- [54] J. Terasaki, H. Flocard, P.-H. Heenen, and P. Bonche, Nucl. Phys. A 621, 706 (1997).
- [55] J. Dechargé and D. Gogny, Phys. Rev. C 21, 1568 (1980).
- [56] M. Girod and B. Grammaticos, Phys. Rev. C 27, 2317 (1983).
- [57] M. Grasso, L. Gaudefroy, E. Khan, T. Nikšić, J. Piekarewicz, O. Sorlin, N. Van Giai, and D. Vretenar, Phys. Rev. C 79, 034318 (2009).
- [58] G. Co', V. De Donno, P. Finelli, M. Grasso, M. Anguiano, A. M. Lallena, C. Giusti, A. Meucci, and F. D. Pacati, Phys. Rev. C 85, 024322 (2012).
- [59] M. Grasso, Z. Y. Ma, E. Khan, J. Margueron, and N. Van Giai, Phys. Rev. C 76, 044319 (2007).
- [60] M. Grasso, Phys. Rev. C 89, 034316 (2014).
- [61] J. Dobaczewski, W. Nazarewicz, T. R. Werner, J. F. Berger, C. R. Chinn, and J. Dechargé, Phys. Rev. C 53, 2809 (1996).
- [62] S. Mizutori, J. Dobaczewski, G. A. Lalazissis, W. Nazarewicz, and P.-G. Reinhard, Phys. Rev. C 61, 044326 (2000).
- [63] R. Smolańczuk and J. Dobaczewski, Phys. Rev. C 48, R2166 (1993).
- [64] M. Grasso, N. Sandulescu, N. Van Giai, and R. J. Liotta, Phys. Rev. C 64, 064321 (2001).
- [65] M. Moreno-Torres, M. Grasso, H. Liang, V. De Donno, M. Anguiano, and N. Van Giai, Phys. Rev. C 81, 064327 (2010).
- [66] C. L. Bai, H. Q. Zhang, H. Sagawa, X. Z. Zhang, G. Colò, and F. R. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 072501 (2010).
- [67] F. Minato and C. L. Bai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 122501 (2013).
- [68] H. Sagawa and G. Colò, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 76, 76 (2014).
- [69] J. D. Walecka, Ann. Phys. (NY) 83, 491 (1974).
- [70] B. D. Serot and J. D. Walecka, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 16, 1 (1986).
- [71] P.-G. Reinhard, Rep. Prog. Phys. 52, 439 (1989).
- [72] P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 37, 193 (1996).
- [73] H. Z. Liang, J. Meng, and S.-G. Zhou, Phys. Rep. 570, 1 (2015).
- [74] S. Shen, H. Liang, W. H. Long, J. Meng, and P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 109, 103713 (2019).
- [75] G. A. Lalazissis, D. Vretenar, P. Ring, M. Stoitsov, and L. M. Robledo, Phys. Rev. C 60, 014310 (1999).
- [76] M. Stoitsov, P. Ring, D. Vretenar, and G. A. Lalazissis, Phys. Rev. C 58, 2086 (1998).
- [77] M. V. Stoitsov, J. Dobaczewski, P. Ring, and S. Pittel, Phys. Rev. C 61, 034311 (2000).
- [78] S.-G. Zhou, J. Meng, P. Ring, and E.-G. Zhao, Phys. Rev. C 82, 011301(R) (2010).
- [79] L. L. Li, J. Meng, P. Ring, E.-G. Zhao, and S.-G. Zhou, Phys. Rev. C 85, 024312 (2012).

- [80] Y. Chen, L. L. Li, H. Z. Liang, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 85, 067301 (2012).
- [81] G. Lalazissis, D. Vretenar, and P. Ring, Nucl. Phys. A 650, 133 (1999).
- [82] G. A. Lalazissis, D. Vretenar, and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 60, 051302(R) (1999).
- [83] A. V. Afanasjev, J. König, and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 60, 051303(R) (1999).
- [84] Y. Tian, Z.-y. Ma, and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 80, 024313 (2009).
- [85] T. Nikšić, P. Ring, D. Vretenar, Y. Tian, and Z.-Y. Ma, Phys. Rev. C 81, 054318 (2010).
- [86] S.-G. Zhou, J. Meng, and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 68, 034323 (2003).
- [87] R. D. Woods and D. S. Saxon, Phys. Rev. **95**, 577 (1954).
- [88] K. Y. Zhang, D. Y. Wang, and S. Q. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C 100, 034312 (2019).
- [89] K. Zhang, X. He, J. Meng, C. Pan, C. Shen, C. Wang, and S. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C 104, L021301 (2021).
- [90] X.-X. Sun, J. Zhao, and S.-G. Zhou, Nucl. Phys. A 1003, 122011 (2020).
- [91] X.-X. Sun, J. Zhao, and S.-G. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B 785, 530 (2018).
- [92] X.-X. Sun, Phys. Rev. C 103, 054315 (2021).
- [93] K. Zhang, M. K. Cheoun, Y. B. Choi, P. S. Chong, J. Dong, L. Geng, E. Ha, X. He, C. Heo, M. C. Ho, E. J. In, S. Kim, Y. Kim, C. H. Lee, J. Lee, Z. Li, T. Luo, J. Meng, M. H. Mun, Z. Niu, C. Pan, P. Papakonstantinou, X. Shang, C. Shen, G. Shen, W. Sun, X. X. Sun, C. K. Tam, Thaivayongnou, C. Wang, S. H. Wong, X. Xia, Y. Yan, R. W.-Y. Yeung, T. C. Yiu, S. Zhang, W. Zhang, and S. G. Zhou, (DRHBc Mass Table Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 102, 024314 (2020).
- [94] K. Zhang, M.-K. Cheoun, Y.-B. Choi, P. S. Chong, J. Dong, Z. Dong, X. Du, L. Geng, E. Ha, X.-T. He *et al.*, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 144, 101488 (2022).
- [95] W. H. Long, H. Sagawa, J. Meng, and N. V. Giai, Europhys. Lett. 82, 12001 (2008).
- [96] L. J. Wang, J. M. Dong, and W. H. Long, Phys. Rev. C 87, 047301 (2013).
- [97] J. J. Li, J. Margueron, W. H. Long, and N. V. Giai, Phys. Lett. B 753, 97 (2016).
- [98] L. J. Jiang, S. Yang, B. Y. Sun, W. H. Long, and H. Q. Gu, Phys. Rev. C 91, 034326 (2015).
- [99] Y.-Y. Zong and B.-Y. Sun, Chin. Phys. C **42**, 024101 (2018).
- [100] W. H. Long, N. V. Giai, and J. Meng, Phys. Lett. B 640, 150 (2006).
- [101] W. H. Long, H. Sagawa, N. V. Giai, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 76, 034314 (2007).
- [102] W. H. Long, P. Ring, N. Van Giai, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 81, 024308 (2010).
- [103] W. H. Long, T. Nakatsukasa, H. Sagawa, J. Meng, H. Nakada, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 680, 428 (2009).
- [104] H. Z. Liang, N. Van Giai, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 122502 (2008).
- [105] H. Z. Liang, N. V. Giai, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 79, 064316 (2009).
- [106] H. Z. Liang, P. W. Zhao, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 85, 064302 (2012).

- [107] Z. M. Niu, Y. F. Niu, H. Z. Liang, W. H. Long, T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, and J. Meng, Phys. Lett. B 723, 172 (2013).
- [108] Z. M. Niu, Y. F. Niu, H. Z. Liang, W. H. Long, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 95, 044301 (2017).
- [109] B. Y. Sun, W. H. Long, J. Meng, and U. Lombardo, Phys. Rev. C 78, 065805 (2008).
- [110] W. H. Long, B. Y. Sun, K. Hagino, and H. Sagawa, Phys. Rev. C 85, 025806 (2012).
- [111] Q. Zhao, B. Y. sun, and W. H. Long, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 42, 095101 (2015).
- [112] J. J. Li, W. H. Long, J. Margueron, and N. V. Giai, Phys. Lett. B 732, 169 (2014).
- [113] J. Liu, Y. F. Niu, and W. H. Long, Phys. Lett. B 806, 135524 (2020).
- [114] W. H. Long, H. Sagawa, J. Meng, and N. V. Giai, Phys. Lett. B 639, 242 (2006).
- [115] H. Liang, W. H. Long, J. Meng, and N. V. Giai, Eur. Phys. J. A 44, 119 (2010).
- [116] J. Geng, J. J. Li, W. H. Long, Y. F. Niu, and S. Y. Chang, Phys. Rev. C 100, 051301(R) (2019).
- [117] X. L. Lu, B. Y. Sun, and W. H. Long, Phys. Rev. C 87, 034311 (2013).
- [118] L. J. Jiang, S. Yang, J. M. Dong, and W. H. Long, Phys. Rev. C 91, 025802 (2015).
- [119] Z. Wang, T. Naito, H. Liang, and W. H. Long, Phys. Rev. C 101, 064306 (2020).
- [120] J. P. Ebran, E. Khan, D. Pena Arteaga, and D. Vretenar, Phys. Rev. C 83, 064323 (2011).
- [121] J. Geng, J. Xiang, B. Y. Sun, and W. H. Long, Phys. Rev. C 101, 064302 (2020).
- [122] A. Bouyssy, J.-F. Mathiot, N. Van Giai, and S. Marcos, Phys. Rev. C 36, 380 (1987).
- [123] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980).
- [124] H. Kucharek and P. Ring, Z. Phys. A 339, 23 (1991).
- [125] M. Serra and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 65, 064324 (2002).
- [126] M. Serra, A. Rummel, and P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 46, 175 (2001).
- [127] J. Meng, Nucl. Phys. A 635, 3 (1998).
- [128] Y. Tian, Z. Ma, and P. Ring, Phys. Lett. B 676, 44 (2009).
- [129] J. Berger, M. Girod, and D. Gogny, Nucl. Phys. A 428, 23 (1984).
- [130] B. Jean-Paul, *Quantum Theory of Finite Systems* (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1986).
- [131] A. N. M. D. A. Varshalovich and V. K. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [132] M. Bender, K. Rutz, P. G. Reinhard, and J. A. Maruhn, Eur. Phys. J. A 7, 467 (2000).
- [133] W. Long, J. Meng, N. Van Giai, and S. G. Zhou, Phys. Rev. C 69, 034319 (2004).
- [134] B. Wei, Q. Zhao, Z.-H. Wang, J. Geng, B.-Y. Sun, Y.-F. Niu, and W.-H. Long, Chin. Phys. C 44, 074107 (2020).
- [135] M. Wang, G. Audi, F. G. Kondev, W. J. Huang, S. Naimi, and X. Xu, Chin. Phys. C 41, 030003 (2017).
- [136] D. T. Yordanov, M. L. Bissell, K. Blaum, M. DeRydt, C. Geppert, M. Kowalska, J. Kramer, K. Kreim, A. Krieger, P. Lievens, T. Neff, R. Neugart, G. Neyens, W. Nortershauser, R. Sanchez, and P. Vingerhoets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 042504 (2012).