Effect of deuteron breakup on the deuteron-*-* **correlation function**

Kazuyuk[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1513-0468) Ogata $\mathbf{Q},^{1,2,3,*}$ $\mathbf{Q},^{1,2,3,*}$ $\mathbf{Q},^{1,2,3,*}$ Tokuro Fukui, $^{4, \dagger}$ Yuki Kamiya $\mathbf{Q},^{5}$ and Akira Ohnishi \mathbf{Q}^{4}

¹*Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Ibaraki 567-0047, Japan* ²*Department, of Physics, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

³*Nambu Yoichiro Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

⁴*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

⁵*CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

 \bigcirc

(Received 26 February 2021; accepted 14 June 2021; published 28 June 2021)

Background: The hadron-deuteron correlation function has attracted much interest as a potential method to access three-hadron interactions. However, the weakly bound nature of deuterons has not been considered in the preceding studies.

Purpose: The breakup effect of deuterons in the deuteron- Ξ^{-} ($d-\Xi^{-}$) correlation function $C_{d\Xi^{-}}$ is investigated. **Methods:** The d - Ξ^- scattering is described by a nucleon-nucleon- Ξ three-body reaction model. The continuumdiscretized coupled-channels method, which is a fully quantum-mechanical and nonperturbative reaction model, is adopted.

Results: C_{dZ} turns out to be sensitive to the strong interaction and enhanced by the deuteron breakup effect by 6%–8% for a d - Ξ^- relative momentum below about 70 MeV/*c*. Low-lying neutron-neutron continuum states are responsible for this enhancement.

Conclusions: Within the adopted model, the deuteron breakup effect on $C_{d}z$ - is found to be appreciable but not very significant. Except for the enhancement by several percent, studies on C_{dS} - without the deuteron breakup effect can be justified.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.103.065205](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.065205)

I. INTRODUCTION

Hadron-hadron (*hh*) interactions are the basic inputs in describing hadronic many-body systems such as nuclei, hadronic molecules, and nuclear matter. The nucleon-nucleon (*NN*) interaction has been determined by using *NN* scattering data and used in calculating various properties of nuclei and nuclear matter. For other *hh* pairs, by comparison, scattering data are not enough to precisely determine the interactions or not available, so hypernuclear or mesic nuclear data have been invoked to constrain *hh* interactions such as the Ξ hypernucleus $[1]$ for the EN interaction.

In the past 10 years, new techniques have been advanced to elucidate the interactions of various *hh* pairs. *Ab initio* calculations of *hh* interactions based on lattice quantum chromodynamics (LQCD) [\[2–4\]](#page-10-0) and the chiral effective field theory [\[5\]](#page-10-0) have become available and some of the predictions have been examined and found to be reliable. From an observational point of view, femtoscopic studies of *hh* interactions have been developed and advanced recently [\[6](#page-10-0)[–26\]](#page-11-0). The momentum correlation function of a particle pair is defined as the two-particle production probability normalized by the product of the single-particle production probabilities and is given by the convolution of the source function and the squared

relative wave function [\[27\]](#page-11-0). The correlation functions have been used to extract the source size of stars and high-energy nuclear reactions by assuming that the interaction between the particles are weak or well known [\[28\]](#page-11-0). By comparison, when the source size is known, one can use the correlation function to constrain the interaction between the particles [\[6](#page-10-0)[,7\]](#page-11-0). Actually, correlation functions have been measured recently in high-energy nuclear collisions for various *hh* pairs such as *p*Δ [\[8–10\]](#page-11-0), ΛΛ [\[10,11\]](#page-11-0), *p*Ξ[−] [\[12,13\]](#page-11-0), *p*Ω [\[13,14\]](#page-11-0), *pK*[−] [\[15\]](#page-11-0), and $p\Sigma^0$ [\[16\]](#page-11-0), and these data have been used to constrain the *hh* interactions [\[6,](#page-10-0)[7,17–25\]](#page-11-0).

As the next step in the femtoscopic studies of *hh* interactions, the hadron-deuteron (*hd*) correlation functions are promising as discussed in Refs. [\[29–31\]](#page-11-0). The *hd* correlation function has several merits to study. First, it is sensitive to the *hd* scattering length, which can be compared with the precise few-body calculation results. Second, there is a possibility that one can access the hadron-nucleon-nucleon three-body interaction, which would be important for evaluating the dense matter equation of state [\[32\]](#page-11-0) and the three-body bound state if it exists. Third, by using the hadron-nucleus correlation function, different spin-isospin components in the hadron-nucleon interaction may be resolved. In the Λd correlation function, for example, the *s*-wave function contains doublet $(^{2}S_{1/2})$ and quartet $({}^4S_{3/2})$ components. Since the scattering length of the former (doublet channel) is strongly constrained by the binding energy of the hypertriton $({}^{3}_{\Lambda}H)$, the Λd correlation function data will tell us the quartet channel scattering length

^{*}kazuyuki@rcnp.osaka-u.ac.jp

[†]Present address: RIKEN Nishina Center, Wako 351-0198, Japan.

[\[30\]](#page-11-0). The scattering lengths in both channels are helpful to resolve the *N* interactions in the spin-singlet and -triplet channels and to deduce the strength of the *NN* three-body force. In order to extract these interesting ingredients from the *hd* correlation functions, precise theoretical estimates are necessary. One of the important issues in the *hd* correlation function is the large size and the small binding energy of the deuteron. In previous exploratory theoretical studies of the *pd* [\[29\]](#page-11-0) and *hd* correlation functions, *K*[−]*d* [29], *∆d* [\[30\]](#page-11-0), and $\Omega^- d$ [\[31\]](#page-11-0), the *hd* interaction is evaluated using the intrinsic deuteron wave function, but the deuteron breakup effects are ignored; in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-11-0), it has been conjectured that the deuteron breakup effect can be effectively taken into account by increasing the source size of the deuteron source function. Besides, the asymptotic wave function is assumed even in the interaction range by using the analytical Lednicky-Lyuboshitz formula [\[6\]](#page-10-0) in Refs. [\[29\]](#page-11-0) and [\[30\]](#page-11-0). In order to take account of the deuteron compositeness and the wave function inside the interaction range, it is necessary to obtain the three-body wave function with the deuteron breakup effects under the boundary condition of *h* and *d* in the asymptotic region.

The purpose of this study is to predict the d - Ξ^- correlation function with an $N + N + \Xi$ three-body model including the effects of the breakup states of deuteron. To achieve this, we adopt the continuum-discretized coupled-channels (CDCC) method [\[33–35\]](#page-11-0). The CDCC method is one of the most accurate and flexible reaction models for describing processes in which a weakly bound particle is involved. The theoretical foundation of the CDCC method is given in Refs. [\[36\]](#page-11-0) and [\[37\]](#page-11-0) in connection with the distorted-wave Faddeev theory [\[38\]](#page-11-0). This has been confirmed also numerically in Refs. [\[39–41\]](#page-11-0) on *d*-nucleus reactions. Validation of the CDCC method in a similar manner for *d*-*N* scatterings has not been done, mainly because of the difficulty in treating the antisymmetrization between each nucleon inside *d* and the other nucleon outside *d*. Fortunately, however, such a complicated antisymmetrization of two nucleons is not needed for the d - Ξ^- scattering. One can, therefore, expect the validation of the CDCC method confirmed so far also for the d - $\Xi^$ scattering. Note that the antisymmetrization between two nucleons inside *d* is included as shown in Sec. II. In the CDCC method, the wave function of the reaction system is described in terms of a finite number of channels. The Argonne V4' (AV4') nucleon-nucleon (*NN*) interaction [\[42\]](#page-11-0) and the *N*- Ξ interaction obtained by LQCD [\[4\]](#page-10-0) are employed. Through the spin and isospin dependence of the N - Ξ interaction, the total isospin (*T*) and spin (*S*) of the *NN* system are not conserved. We include both of the *s*-wave channels, the $(T, S) = (0, 1)$ and $(T, S) = (1, 0)$ states, in the present CDCC calculation.

As the first step in the three-body study on the d - Ξ ⁻ correlation function with the CDCC method, we make the following approximations. First, the Coulomb interaction between charges $+e$ and $-e$ is assumed to be present in all channels. Second, the orbital angular momentum between the two nucleons and that between Ξ and the center-of-mass (c.m.) of the *NN* system are both limited to 0. Third, a source function of d - Ξ^- is considered rather than that of the *NN* Ξ . Fourth, we ignore the isospin dependence of the masses of *N* and Ξ baryons. We discuss the properties of the $N + N + \Xi$

three-body system relevant to the d - Ξ^- scattering under these conditions and clarify the *NN* breakup effect on the d - $\Xi^$ correlation function.

The construction of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the formulation of the d - Ξ^- correlation function based on the CDCC method. The numerical inputs are given in Sec. [III A.](#page-3-0) The calculated d - Ξ^- correlation function and its convergence feature regarding the model space of the CDCC method are shown in Sec. [III B.](#page-4-0) The dependence of the correlation function on the source size of the source function is also discussed. In Sec. [III C,](#page-5-0) properties of the *NN* breakup states included in the CDCC calculation are shown, and those of the coupling potentials of the NN - Ξ system are investigated in Sec. [III D.](#page-6-0) The resulting *NN*-E scattering wave functions are discussed in Sec. [III E.](#page-8-0) Finally, a summary is given in Sec. [IV.](#page-9-0)

II. FORMALISM

The discretized continuum states of the *NN* system in the CDCC method are given by

$$
\varphi_{iTS}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{iTS}}} \int_{k_{iTS}}^{k_{iTS} + \Delta_{iTS}} \varphi_{TS}(k, r) dk, \tag{1}
$$

where *i*, *T* , and *S* are the energy index, the total isospin, and the total spin of the *NN* system, respectively. *r* is the distance between the two nucleons, and *k* is their relative wave number. The *NN* orbital angular momentum is restricted to 0 in this study; because of the antisymmetrization condition of the *NN* system, we only include states with $S + T = 1$. φ_{TS} is the *NN* scattering wave function satisfying

$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_r}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V_{TS}^{(NN)}(r)\right]\varphi_{TS}(k,r) = \varepsilon\varphi_{TS}(k,r),\tag{2}
$$

where μ_r is the *NN* reduced mass, $V_{TS}^{(NN)}$ is the *NN* interaction of the central type, and $\varepsilon = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2\mu_r)$. φ_{TS} is solved under the boundary condition

$$
\varphi_{TS}(k,r) \to \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin \left[kr + \delta_{TS}^{(NN)}(k) \right] \quad (r \to \infty), \tag{3}
$$

with $\delta_{TS}^{(NN)}$ being the *NN* scattering phase shift in the *s* wave. As shown in Eq. (1), φ_{TS} is averaged over *k* within the bin of *k* characterized by the lower limit k_{iTS} and the width Δ_{iTS} , which is called a "momentum bin" or "bin state" by convention. The eigenenergy ε_{iTS} of φ_{iTS} is defined by

$$
\varepsilon_{iTS} = \frac{1}{\Delta_{iTS}} \int_{k_{iTS}}^{k_{iTS} + \Delta_{iTS}} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\mu_r} dk
$$

=
$$
\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_r} \left(k_{iTS}^2 + k_{iTS} \Delta_{iTS} + \frac{\Delta_{iTS}^2}{3} \right).
$$
 (4)

In what follows, for the simple notation, we use the channel index *c*, which represents (i, T, S) altogether; $c = 0$ corresponds to the deuteron ground state. The discretized continuum states φ_c are orthonormal,

$$
\int \varphi_{c'}^*(r)\varphi_c(r)dr = \delta_{c'c},\tag{5}
$$

and satisfy

$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_r}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V_{TS}^{(NN)}(r)\right]\varphi_c(r) = \varepsilon_c \varphi_c(r). \tag{6}
$$

The *s*-wave component of the total (*NN*-) wave function that satisfies the outgoing boundary condition is given by

$$
\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(+)}(r,R) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\sigma m_{\sigma}} \left(1M_0 \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 \Big| \sigma m_{\sigma} \right) e^{i\sigma_0}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{c'} \frac{\varphi_{c'}(r)}{r} \frac{\chi_{c'}^{(\sigma)}(K_{c'},R)}{K_0R} \frac{1}{4\pi}
$$

$$
\times \Upsilon_{S'}^{(\sigma m_{\sigma})} \Theta_{T'}^{\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \tag{7}
$$

where R is the distance between Ξ and the c.m. of the NN system, $(abcd|ef)$ is the Clebsh-Gordan coefficient, and σ_0 is the *s*-wave Coulomb phase shift. M_0 and μ_0 represent the third component of the spin of *d* and that of Ξ^- , respectively, in the incident channel. σ is the channel spin and m_{σ} is its third component. Note that the channel isospin and its third component are fixed at $1/2$ and $-1/2$, respectively, in the d - E^- scattering; isospin 3/2 channels do not couple with the d - E^- channel. The channel-spin wave function is defined by

$$
\Upsilon_S^{(\sigma m_\sigma)} = \left[\eta_S^{(NN)} \otimes \eta_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(\Xi)}\right]_{\sigma m_\sigma},\tag{8}
$$

with $\eta_S^{(NN)}$ ($\eta_{1/2}^{(\text{S})}$) being the spin wave function of the *NN* system (E) . Similarly, the channel-isospin wave function is given by

$$
\Theta_T^{\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \left[\zeta_T^{(NN)} \otimes \zeta_{1/2}^{(\Xi)}\right]_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}},\tag{9}
$$

where $\zeta_T^{(NN)}$ and $\zeta_{1/2}^{(\Xi)}$ are the isospin wave functions of the *NN* system and Ξ , respectively.

The wave number of Ξ relative to the c.m. of the NN system in channel c , denoted K_c , is determined by the conservation of the total energy E_{tot} ,

$$
\frac{\hbar^2 K_c^2}{2\mu_R} + \varepsilon_c = E_{\text{tot}},\tag{10}
$$

where μ_R is the reduced mass between Ξ and the *NN* system. $\chi_c^{(\sigma)}$ is the radial part of the *NN*- Ξ scattering wave function in channel c multiplied by K_0R . Its boundary condition outside the strong interaction range is given by

$$
\chi_c^{(\sigma)}(K_c, R) \to \frac{i}{2} \left[\mathcal{U}_{0, \eta_c}^{(-)}(K_c R) \delta_{c0} - \sqrt{\frac{K_0}{K_c}} S_c^{(\sigma)} \mathcal{U}_{0, \eta_c}^{(+)}(K_c R) \right]
$$
(11)

for $K_c^2 > 0$ (open channels) and by

$$
\chi_c^{(\sigma)}(K_c, R) \to -\frac{i}{2} S_c^{(\sigma)} W_{-\eta_c, 1/2}(-2iK_c R) \tag{12}
$$

for $K_c^2 < 0$ (closed channels). Here, $S_c^{(\sigma)}$ is the scattering matrix (*S* matrix), $\mathcal{U}_{0,\eta_c}^{(+)}$ ($\mathcal{U}_{0,\eta_c}^{(-)}$) is the *s*-wave outgoing (incoming) Coulomb wave function, and *W*−η*c*,1/² is the *s*-wave Whittaker function, with η_c being the Sommerfeld parameter

$$
\eta_c = -\frac{\mu_R e^2}{\hbar^2 K_c}.\tag{13}
$$

The *S* matrix has the following unitarity condition:

$$
\sum_{c \in \text{ open channels}} \left| S_c^{(\sigma)} \right|^2 = 1. \tag{14}
$$

 $\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}$ satisfies the Schödinger equation

$$
[H - E_{\text{tot}}] \Psi_{M_0 \mu_0}^{(+)}(r, R) = 0 \tag{15}
$$

with

$$
H \equiv -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_R} \nabla_R^2 + \sum_{i=1,2} V^{(N\Xi)}(R_i) + V^C(R) + h_{NN}, \quad (16)
$$

where $R_1 = |\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}/2|$ and $R_2 = |\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{r}/2|$ are the distances between Ξ and one of the nucleons, V^C is the Coulomb interaction between the charges +*e* and −*e* at a distance of *R*, and h_{NN} is the *NN* internal Hamiltonian defined by

$$
h_{NN} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_r} \nabla_r^2 + \sum_{TS} V_{TS}^{(NN)}(r) \mathcal{P}_{TS}^{(NN)}.
$$
 (17)

Here and in what follows, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha\beta}^{NX}$ represents the projection operator onto the isospin α and spin β state of the *NX* system. The $N\Xi$ interaction is given by

$$
V^{(N\Xi)}(R_i) = \sum_{ts} V_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}(R_i) \mathcal{P}_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}.
$$
 (18)

One obtains the following coupled-channel (CC) equations by inserting Eqs. (7) and (16) into Eq. (15) , multiplying the equation by

$$
\frac{\varphi_c^*(r)}{r} \frac{1}{4\pi} \Upsilon_S^{(\sigma m_\sigma)*} \Theta_T^{\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)*} \tag{19}
$$

from the left, and making integration over coordinates other than R : the NN relative coordinate r , the internal coordinates associated with the spin and isospin, and the solid angle Ω_R of *R*,

$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_R} \frac{d^2}{dR^2} + V^C(R) - E_c \right] \chi_c^{(\sigma)}(K_c, R)
$$

=
$$
- \sum_{c'} U_{cc'}^{(\sigma)}(R) \chi_{c'}^{(\sigma)}(K_{c'}, R)
$$
 (20)

with

$$
E_c = E_{\text{tot}} - \varepsilon_c. \tag{21}
$$

The coupling potentials $U_{cc}^{(\sigma)}$ are given by

$$
U_{cc'}^{(\sigma)}(R) = 2 \sum_{ts} w_{tTT'}^{(1/2)} w_{sSS'}^{(\sigma)} f_{cc'}^{(ts)}(R), \tag{22}
$$

065205-3

where

$$
f_{cc'}^{(ts)}(R) \equiv \int \varphi_c^*(r) V_{ts;0}^{(N\Xi)}(R, r) \varphi_{c'}(r) dr,
$$
 (23)

$$
w_{aBC}^{(\alpha)} \equiv (2a+1)\sqrt{2B+1}\sqrt{2C+1}
$$

$$
\times W(1/2, 1/2, 1/2, \alpha; aB)
$$

 $\times W(1/2, 1/2, 1/2, \alpha; aC)$, (24)

with
$$
W(j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4; j_5 j_6)
$$
 being the Racah coefficient, and the

monopole component of the $N\Xi$ potential is given by \sim 1

$$
V_{ts;0}^{(N\Xi)}(R,r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} V_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}(\sqrt{R^2 + r^2/4 - Rrx}) dx. \tag{25}
$$

By setting explicit values of the Racah coefficient, one finds

$$
U_{i01,i'01}^{(1/2)}(R) = \frac{1}{8} \Big[3 f_{i01,i'01}^{(00)}(R) + 9 f_{i01,i'01}^{(10)}(R) + f_{i01,i'01}^{(01)}(R) + 3 f_{i01,i'01}^{(11)}(R) \Big],
$$
 (26)

$$
U_{i01,i'10}^{(1/2)}(R) = \frac{1}{8} \Big[f_{i01,i'10}^{(00)}(R) - 3 f_{i01,i'10}^{(10)}(R) - 3 f_{i01,i'10}^{(01)}(R) + 3 f_{i01,i'10}^{(11)}(R) \Big]
$$

= $U_{i10,i'01}^{(1/2)}(R)$, (27)

$$
U_{i10,i'10}^{(1/2)}(R) = \frac{1}{8} \Big[3 f_{i10,i'10}^{(00)}(R) + f_{i10,i'10}^{(10)}(R) + 9 f_{i10,i'10}^{(01)}(R) + 3 f_{i10,i'10}^{(11)}(R) \Big], \qquad (28)
$$

$$
U_{i01,i'01}^{(3/2)}(R) = \frac{1}{2} \big[f_{i01,i'01}^{(01)}(R) + 3 f_{i01,i'01}^{(11)}(R) \big],\tag{29}
$$

$$
U_{i01,i'10}^{(3/2)}(R) = U_{i10,i'01}^{(3/2)}(R) = U_{i11,i'11}^{(3/2)}(R) = 0.
$$
 (30)

Under the assumption that only the *s*-wave component is affected by the strong interaction, the total wave function of the reaction system having the incoming boundary condition is expressed by

$$
\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(-)\text{tot}}(r,\mathbf{R}) = \Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(-)}(r,R) + \psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{C(-)}(r,\mathbf{R}).
$$
 (31)

Here, $\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(-)}$ is the time reversal of $\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(+)}$, the explicit form of which is given in Appendix [A,](#page-10-0) and

$$
\psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{C(-)}(r,\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv \frac{\varphi_0(r)}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left[\phi_{\boldsymbol{K}}^{C(-)}(\boldsymbol{R}) - \frac{e^{-i\sigma_0} F_0(K_0 R)}{K_0 R} \right] \times \eta_{1M_0}^{(NN)} \eta_{\frac{1}{2}\mu_0}^{(\Xi)} \zeta_{00}^{(NN)} \zeta_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}^{(\Xi)}, \tag{32}
$$

with $\phi^{C(-)}$ being the Coulomb scattering wave function with the incoming boundary condition and F_0 the *s*-wave Coulomb wave function that is regular at the origin.

We follow Ref. [\[27\]](#page-11-0) for the calculation of the d - Ξ^- correlation function C_{dZ} -. To implement the three-body scattering wave function $\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(-)tot}$ of Eq. (31) into $C_{d\Xi^-}$, we first take its overlap with

$$
\Phi_{cM\mu\nu_T\nu}(r) = \frac{\varphi_c(r)}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \eta_{SM}^{(NN)} \eta_{\frac{1}{2}\mu}^{(3)} \zeta_{T\nu_T}^{(NN)} \zeta_{\frac{1}{2}\nu}^{(3)}.
$$
 (33)

We then take a summation over *c* and obtain

$$
C_{d\Xi^{-}}(K_{0}) = 4\pi \int R^{2} dR \, S(R) \sum_{L=1}^{L}(2L+1) \left[\frac{F_{L}(K_{0}R)}{K_{0}R} \right]^{2} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \int R^{2} dR \, S(R) \sum_{c\sigma} (2\sigma+1) \left| \frac{\chi_{c}^{(\sigma)}(K_{c}, R)}{K_{0}R} \right|^{2}, \tag{34}
$$

where S is the source function of the d - E^- pair. We have assumed that S does not depend on r ; the channel dependence of S is also disregarded for simplicity. F_L is the same as F_0 in Eq. (32) but for an orbital angular momentum *L*.

It should be noted that, because we deal with the threebody wave function having the incoming boundary condition, $c \neq 0$ channels correspond to the processes in which *initially* three particles $(N + N + \Xi)$ exist and through the propagation the transition to the $c = 0$ channel occurs. Then, eventually, the d - Ξ^- two-particle state with the relative momentum $\hbar cK_0$ is observed.

While we consider the d - Ξ^- source function, it is, in principle, possible to start from the *NN*- source function and to evaluate the deuteron formation dynamically by using the *NN* relative wave function $\varphi_c(r)$. This process is discussed in detail in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-11-0). When the three-body source function for the $NNE \rightarrow dE^-$ process is considered and the c.m. and deuteron intrinsic coordinates are integrated out, the source function in the relative coordinate of d - Ξ^- is found to be $D_{3r}(\mathbf{R}) \propto \exp[-R^2/(3R_s^2)]$, with R_s being the single-hadron source size [\[29\]](#page-11-0). By comparing it with the d - Ξ^- source function adopted in the present work, $S(R) \propto \exp[-R^2/(4b^2)]$, it is found that the size parameter needs to be taken as $b \simeq \sqrt{3/4}R_s$. Thus, we need to take care of the difference between *b* and the single-hadron source size *Rs*. The combined treatment of the preformed deuteron source function and the three-body source function is a theoretical challenge, beyond the scope of this paper, and left for a future work. Results with this extension will be reported elsewhere.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Numerical inputs

We adopt the Argonne V4' parameter [\[42\]](#page-11-0) for the *NN* interaction. The triplet-even $^{13}S_1$ and the singlet-even $^{31}S_0$ states are taken into account. The continua of these states are truncated at $k_{\text{max}} = 2.0 \text{ fm}^{-1} (\sim 400 \text{ MeV}/c)$; the size Δ_c of the bin state is set to 0.2 fm^{-1} ($\sim 40 \text{ MeV}/c$) and 0.005 fm⁻¹ (∼1 MeV/*c*) for the ¹³S₁ and ³¹S₀ states, respectively.¹ $r_{\text{max}} = 20$ fm is taken for evaluating the folded potentials.

As for the N - Ξ strong interaction, we employ the parametrization by the LQCD work at $a/t = 11$ [\[4\]](#page-10-0). In the original parametrization, the *N*- Ξ interaction $V_{ts}^{(N\bar{\Xi})}$ for each spin (*s*) and isospin (*t*) channel was expressed by the sum

¹Because the breakup states are characterized by wave numbers, not momenta, in the CDCC code employed, we represent *k*max and Δ_c in units of fm⁻¹.

FIG. 1. The d - Ξ^- correlation function as a function of the relative momentum *q*. The solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines represent the result of the CDCC method, that with the $^{13}S_1$ breakup states only, the result of the single-channel calculation (without breakup states), and the result with the strong interactions switched off, respectively. Inset: Enlarged result for $30 \text{ MeV}/c \leqslant q \leqslant 120 \text{ MeV}/c.$

of one Yukawa function (with a form factor), one squared Yukawa, and three Gaussians. In this study, we expand each of the former two by 30 Gaussians; the range parameters are chosen in a geometric progression and the minimum and maximum ranges are optimized for each *st* channel. It is found that $V_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}$ thus obtained gives an *N*- Ξ phase shift that agrees with the result with the original $V_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}$ for six digits. By expressing all the terms of $V_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}$ by Gaussians, one can use the simple analytic form of Eq. $(B2)$ (Appendix [B\)](#page-10-0) for the monopole component of the N - Ξ interaction.

The CC equations, [\(20\)](#page-2-0), are integrated up to $R = 10$ fm. The Coulomb interaction V^C is taken to be

$$
V^{C}(R) = \begin{cases} \frac{-e^{2}}{2R_{0}}(3 - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}) & (R \le R_{0}), \\ \frac{-e^{2}}{R} & (R > R_{0}), \end{cases}
$$
(35)

with $R_0 = 1.5$ fm. The dependence of the numerical results on R_0 shown below is found to be negligibly small (less than 1%).

The source function S is assumed to have a Gaussian form,

$$
S(R) = \frac{1}{(4\pi b^2)^{3/2}} e^{-R^2/(4b^2)}.
$$
 (36)

The source size *b* of the source function is taken to be 1.2 fm; in Fig. [4,](#page-5-0) results with $b = 1.6$ and 3.0 fm are shown for comparison. In the evaluation of the correlation function, the integration over *R* is carried out up to $R_{\text{max}} = 10$ fm (15 fm) when $b = 1.2$ fm and 1.6 fm (3.0 fm), and the maximum *L* is taken to be a larger of K_0R_{max} and 5.

B. Correlation function

We show in Fig. 1 $C_{d\Xi}$ as a function of $q \equiv \hbar c K_0$. The inset is an enlarged figure in the region of 30 MeV/ $c \leqslant q \leqslant$ 120 MeV/*c*. The solid red line represents the result calculated with the present CDCC framework. The dotted blue line is

FIG. 2. Convergence of the d - Ξ correlation function regarding k_{max} . The horizontal axis is the *d*- Ξ^- relative momentum. The solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond to $k_{\text{max}} = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, \text{ and } 2.0 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, respectively.

the result of the single-channel calculation, that is, only the ground state of the deuteron is considered. If we take only the $^{13}S_1$ channels in *NN* into account, the dashed green line is obtained. The dash-dotted purple line shows the result obtained with all the strong interactions turned off. For simple notation, below we designate the $^{13}S_1$ ($^{31}S_0$) channel the *pn* (*nn*) channel.

The solid red line shows a clear enhancement relative to the dash-dotted purple line for $q \leq 100 \text{ MeV}/c$, which indicates that the correlation due to the strong interaction can be deduced from C_{dE} -. The difference between the solid red line and the dotted blue line represents an increase in C_{dZ} caused by the deuteron breakup effect, which is about 6%–8% for 30 MeV/ $c \le q \le 70$ MeV/ c . At larger q , the enhancement due to deuteron breakup decreases monotonically and becomes less than 1% for $q > 100 \text{ MeV}/c$. We discuss the deuteron breakup effect in more detail in Sec. [III E.](#page-8-0) The small difference between the dashed green and the dotted blue lines indicates that the *nn* breakup states are more significant than the *pn* breakup states. This can be understood by the behavior of the CC potentials as discussed in Sec. [III D.](#page-6-0) With a closer look, a shoulder structure is found in the solid red line at around 60 MeV/*c*. This corresponds to the strong coupling to low-lying *nn* breakup states located just below the scattering threshold; the channel energy E_c is negative and close to 0. We return to this point below and in Sec. [III E.](#page-8-0) Compared with the net effect of the strong interaction (difference between the solid red and the dash-dotted purple lines), the deuteron breakup effect is found not to be very significant. In other words, including only the deuteron ground state in the calculation of C_{dE} - will be useful except that it will miss a further increase in the correlation function by several percent below about 70 MeV/*c*.

Figure 2 displays the convergence of C_{dE} - regarding k_{max} . In all the calculations, we take the size Δ_c of the bin state to be 0.2 fm^{-1} (0.005 fm⁻¹) for the *pn* (*nn*) continuum. The solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond to $k_{\text{max}} = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0,$ and 2.0 fm⁻¹, respectively. The dash-dotted purple line is the same as the solid red line in Fig. 1. The result with $k_{\text{max}} = 2.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ is found to agree with

FIG. 3. Convergence of the d - Ξ correlation function regarding Δ_c for the *nn* continuum; Δ_c for the *pn* continuum is taken to be 0.2 fm⁻¹. The horizontal axis is the d - Ξ^- relative momentum. The solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond to $\Delta_c = 1.0, 0.5, 0.2,$ and 0.005 fm⁻¹, respectively.

the dash-dotted purple line within the width of the line (not shown). It should be noted that almost all of the *NN* states included in the converged CDCC calculation serve as a closed channel. For instance, at $q = 100 \text{ MeV}/c$ ($E_0 \sim 4.22 \text{ MeV}$), *NN* states having $k \kappa 0.32$ fm⁻¹ are all closed, whereas we need the *NN* states up to 2.0 fm⁻¹ ($\varepsilon \sim 166$ MeV) to achieve a convergence of C_{dZ^-} .

The convergence of the CDCC result regarding Δ_c for the *nn* continuum is shown in Fig. 3; $\Delta_c = 0.2$ fm⁻¹ is used for the *pn* continuum and *k*max is set to 2.0 fm−¹ for both *pn* and *nn* continua. The solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond to $\Delta_c = 1.0, 0.5, 0.2,$ and 0.005 fm⁻¹, respectively. The dash-dotted purple line is the same as the solid red line in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0) The dashed green line turns out to have a rather sharp peak around 93 MeV/*c*. This happens when the lowest (pseudo) *nn* state is located just below the threshold energy; note that the eigenenergy of a discretized continuum state is defined by Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0) and depends on Δ_c . When $\Delta_c = 0.2$ fm⁻¹, the eigenenergy of the lowest *nn* state becomes 0.55 MeV and the peak appears at *q* ∼ 65 MeV/*c*. At the same time, another peak is found around 97 MeV/*c*, which corresponds to the second-lowest *nn* state. As Δ_c becomes smaller, a larger number of peaks appear and the characteristics of each peak become less emphasized. It is found that with $\Delta_c = 0.005$ fm⁻¹, a reasonably smooth C_{dE^-} is obtained. The shoulder structure of the dash-dotted purple line around 60 MeV $/c$ is due to many tiny peaks corresponding to low-lying *nn* breakup states. It should be noted that for breakup states that do not strongly couple to the deuteron ground state, the above-mentioned threshold effect is negligibly small. This is why we can use a rather large bin size, $\Delta_c = 0.2$ fm⁻¹, for the *pn* breakup states. The properties of the CC potentials for the *pn* and *nn* breakup states are discussed in Sec. [III D.](#page-6-0)

We show in Fig. 4 the dependence of $C_{d\Xi^-}$ on the source size *b* of the source function; Figs. $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ correspond to $b = 1.6$ and 3.0 fm, respectively. The meaning

FIG. 4. Same as Fig. [1](#page-4-0) but with different values of the source size *b* of the source function. (a) $b = 1.6$ fm and (b) $b = 3.0$ fm.

of each line is the same as in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0) As *b* increases, the correlation due to the strong interaction becomes weak, as well as the deuteron breakup effect. This is simply because the non- s -wave contribution of the d - Ξ scattering wave function is large in the outer region of *R*. Notwithstanding, the effect of the strong interaction on C_{dE} - will remain at a small q.

C. Discretized continuum states of the *NN* **system**

In this subsection, we discuss the properties of the *NN* states included in the current study. For transparent discussion, the results below are evaluated with $\Delta_c =$ ⁰.2 fm−¹ (∼40 MeV/*c*) for both the *pn* and the *nn* channels. As shown in Fig. 3, apart from the threshold effect of the lowlying *nn* breakup states, $C_{d\Xi}$ - calculated with $\Delta_c = 0.2$ fm⁻¹ reproduces well that with $\Delta_c = 0.005$ fm⁻¹ (∼1 MeV/*c*). Therefore, discussion of φ_c generated with $\Delta_c = 0.2$ fm⁻¹ will be meaningful to understand the role of the *NN* continuum in this study.

The *s*-wave phase shift $\delta_{TS}^{(NN)}$ of the *NN* system is shown in Fig. $5(a)$ as a function of the *NN* c.m. energy ε . The solid red and dashed green lines represent $\delta_{01}^{(NN)}$ (*pn* channel) and $\delta_{10}^{(NN)}$ (*nn* channel), respectively. As is well known, $\delta_{10}^{(NN)}$ shows a rapid increase near $\varepsilon = 0$, which is due to the virtual state (pole) of the *nn* system. Although it is different from a resonance, the *nn* wave function near zero energy has a compact form as shown below. In Figs. $5(b)$ and $5(c)$, respectively, we show φ_{i01} and φ_{i10} ; in each panel, the dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond

FIG. 5. (a) *NN s*-wave scattering phase shift as a function of the c.m. scattering energy in the $^{13}S_1$ (solid red line) and $^{31}S_0$ (dashed green line) channels. (b) *NN* discretized continuum states in the $^{13}S_1$ channel as a function of the distance of the two nucleons. The dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond to the first, third, and sixth bin states, respectively, with the bin size of 0.2 fm⁻¹. The solid red line represents the bound-state wave function of the deuteron. (c) Same as (b) but in the ${}^{31}S_0$ channel; there is no bound state in this channel.

to the first bin ($k = 0.0$ –0.2 fm⁻¹, $\varepsilon_c = 0.55$ MeV), the third bin ($k = 0.4-0.6$ fm⁻¹, $\varepsilon_c = 10.5$ MeV), and the sixth bin $(k = 1.0 - 1.2 \text{ fm}^{-1}, \varepsilon_c = 50.3 \text{ MeV})$ states, respectively. For comparison, the deuteron wave function is shown by the solid red line in Fig. 5(b). As mentioned, the first bin state of the *nn* channel behaves like a bound state. On the other hand, for the *pn* channel, the amplitude of the first bin state in the inner region is very small, which makes this state almost decoupled from the deuteron ground state and other *NN* states. As for the third bin state, the *pn* wave function is slightly more shrunk than the *nn* one, reflecting the difference in the phase shift

FIG. 6. (a) N - Ξ interaction as a function of their distance. The solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond to the $^{11}S_0$, $^{31}S_0$, $^{13}S_1$, and $^{33}S_1$ channels, respectively. (b) Same as (a) but folded by the deuteron ground-state density.

shown in Fig. $5(a)$. The dependence of the sixth bin state on the spin-isospin is found to be very small, which is the case also for higher bin states.

D. *NN***-***-* **coupled-channel potentials**

The N - Ξ interactions in individual spin-isospin channels as a function of the N - Ξ distance are shown in Fig. 6(a) and the corresponding folded potentials for the ground-ground channel, $f_{00}^{(ts)}$, are shown in Fig. 6(b) as functions of *R*. In each panel, the potentials for $^{11}S_0$, $^{31}S_0$, $^{13}S_1$, and $^{33}S_1$ are represented by the solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines, respectively. Through the folding procedure, the characteristics of the potential for each channel become very clear. The potential in the ${}^{11}S_0$ channel is attractive, while that in ${}^{31}S_0$ repulsive. The feature of the potential in the ¹³S₁ (³³S₁) channel is similar to that in the ¹¹S₀ (³¹S₀) channel but with the absolute value weakened considerably. Note, however, that the attractive nature of the N - Ξ potential in the $33S_1$ channel is found to remain when folded by the deuteron density; the d - Ξ^- scattering length evaluated by taking only the ${}^{33}S_1$ channel in the single-channel calculation is negative. Here, we use the nuclear physics convention for the scattering length, that is,

$$
\kappa \cot \delta = -\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_s}{2} \kappa^2 + O(\kappa^4),
$$
 (37)

FIG. 7. Coupling potentials $U_{cc'}^{(1/2)}(R)$. (a) Diagonal components for the deuteron ground state (*d*), the third bin state in the $^{13}S_1$ channel (*pn*), the first bin state in the ${}^{31}S_0$ channel (${}^{2}n$), and the third bin state in the ${}^{31}S_0$ channel (*nn*) are represented by the solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines, respectively. (b) Potentials for the d - pn (solid red line), $d^{-2}n$ (dashed green line), and *d*-*nn* couplings.

where κ is the relative wave number of the two particles, δ is the *s*-wave scattering phase shift, *as* is the scattering length, and *rs* is the effective range. The negative scattering length thus means that there is no bound state. The qualitative features of $f_{00}^{(ts)}$ mentioned above are found to remain for other components of the folded potential.

Henceforth, we discuss the properties of the CC potentials $U_{cc}^{(\sigma)}$. For simplicity, we take only four states of the *NN* system, that is, the deuteron ground state (*d*), the third bin state in the *pn* channel (*pn*), the first bin state in the *nn* channel $({}^2n)$, and the third bin state in the *nn* channel (*nn*); we abbreviate these four states as noted in the parentheses. Here, as in Sec. [III C,](#page-5-0) we take $\Delta_c = 0.2$ fm⁻¹ (~40 MeV/*c*) for both channels.

In Fig. $7(a)$, we show the diagonal part of the CC potentials for the four states; the total channel spin σ is taken to be 1/2. The solid red, dashed green, dotted blue, and dash-dotted purple lines correspond to the *d*, pn , $2n$, and nn states, respectively. The former two are repulsive in the interior region $(R\lesssim 1\text{ fm})$ and weakly attractive at larger R , whereas the latter two are attractive in the entire region. This is due to the spinisospin selection given by Eqs. [\(26\)](#page-3-0) and [\(28\)](#page-3-0) combined with the spin-isospin dependence of the folded potential shown in Fig. [6\(b\).](#page-6-0) The result shown in Fig. 7(a) indicates that an *nn*

FIG. 8. The *d*-*d* diagonal, *d*-*pn* coupling, and *pn*-*pn* diagonal potentials with $\sigma = 3/2$ are shown by the solid red, dashed green, and dotted blue lines, respectively.

pair can be closer to the Ξ particle than a *pn* pair including a deuteron. This is one of the main reasons for the significant breakup effect from the *nn* channel.

Figure 7(b) represents the coupling potential between the deuteron channel and each of the other three; the solid red, dashed green, and dotted blue lines correspond to the *d*-*pn*, $d^{-2}n$, and d - nn couplings, respectively. It should be noted that the sign of the nondiagonal coupling potentials has no meaning. As mentioned above, the folded potential $f_{cc}^{(ts)}$ does not strongly depend on the combination of the channels, *c* and *c*- . Consequently, the qualitative feature of the *d*-*pn* coupling potential is similar to that of the *d*-*d* diagonal potential. The behavior of the $d^{-2}n$ and d - nn couplings can be understood through Eq. (27) and Fig. $6(b)$. An important remark is that the magnitude of the $d^{-2}n$ coupling potential is comparable to that of the *d*-*nn* and *d*-*pn* ones because of the compactness of the $2n$ wave function as shown in Fig. $5(c)$ (dashed green line). This feature is also crucial for making the breakup effect of the *nn* channel important. Note that the coupling between the deuteron ground state and a low-lying *pn* state is significantly weaker than the results shown in Fig. 7(b).

To complete the discussion of the breakup effect, we need to consider the scattering threshold effect as well. When the d - Ξ^- c.m. scattering energy E_0 is low, the channel energy E_c for the $2n$ channel becomes negative. In this case, even though the $d^{-2}n$ coupling is strong and the $2n-2n$ diagonal potential is attractive, the scattering wave $\chi_c^{(\sigma)}$ has to be considerably quenched because of the damping boundary condition of Eq. (12) . An exception occurs when E_c is very close to the threshold, that is, $E_c \sim 0$. This is how the shoulder structure of $C_{d\,\Xi^-}$ is developed (see also Sec. [III E\)](#page-8-0).

We show in Fig. 8 the coupling potentials with $\sigma = 3/2$, for which *nn* states are not allowed. The solid red, dashed green, and dotted blue lines show the *d*-*d* diagonal, *d*-*pn* coupling, and *pn*-*pn* diagonal potentials, respectively. The features of the results can be understood through Eq. [\(29\)](#page-3-0) and Fig. [6\(b\).](#page-6-0) It is found that the absence of the *nn* channel makes the breakup effect negligibly small when $\sigma = 3/2$, as shown in Sec. [III E.](#page-8-0)

Figure [9](#page-8-0) displays the nuclear scattering phase shift $\delta_{\tau\sigma}^{(NN-\Xi)}$ of the NN - Ξ system as a function of the c.m. scattering

FIG. 9. The *s*-wave phase shift of the *NN*-E scattering as a function of the c.m. scattering energy. The solid red and dashed green lines correspond to the d - Ξ^- scattering in the ²²S_{1/2} and ²⁴S_{3/2} channels, respectively. The nn - Ξ scattering phase shift in the ²²*S*_{1/2} $(^{22}S_{1/2})$ channel is shown by the dotted blue (dash-dotted purple) line; the discretized *nn* continuum state corresponding to the wave number between 0.0 and 0.2 fm−¹ is adopted as an internal *nn* wave function. All results are obtained by the single-channel calculation.

energy. The solid red and dashed green lines show $\delta_{1/2,1/2}^{(NN-\Xi)}$ and $\delta_{1/2,3/2}^{(NN-\Xi)}$, respectively. In the calculation, a single-channel scattering problem with $U_{00}^{(\sigma)}(R)$ is solved for $\sigma = 1/2$ and 3/2. As shown in Fig. 9, the net effect of $U_{00}^{(\sigma)}(R)$ is found to be attractive, and the attraction of $U_{00}^{(3/2)}(R)$ is stronger than that of $U_{00}^{(1/2)}(R)$. In Table I, we list the *s*-wave scattering length a_s and the effective range r_s for the NN - Ξ scattering.

In Fig. 9, we also show the results of the phase shift by the ²*n*-²*n*</sup> diagonal potential for $(\tau, \sigma) = (1/2, 1/2)$ and $(3/2, 1/2)$ by the dotted blue and dash-dotted purple lines, respectively. The behavior of the dotted blue line is similar to that of the dashed green line, indicating a rather strong attraction of the ²*n*-²*n* potential for the $(\tau, \sigma) = (1/2, 1/2)$ channel as $U_{00}^{(3/2)}(R)$. In the $(\tau,\sigma) = (3/2, 1/2)$ channel, which is irrelevant to the d - Ξ^- scattering, the attraction of the ²*n*-²*n*</sub> diagonal potential is found to be weak. The values of a_s and r_s by the $2n-2n$ potential are also listed in Table I. One should be careful to note, however, that the results shown in Fig 9 and Table I regarding the $2n-2n$ diagonal potential depend on the definition of the $2n$ state. In the current discussion, we regard the discretized continuum state corresponding to $k = 0.0 - 0.2$ fm^{-1} as the ²*n* state. Because we here adopt a single-channel calculation, these results will easily change if we adopt a different bin size for the ²*n* state. Investigation of the ²*n*- Ξ ⁰

TABLE I. The *s*-wave scattering lengths *as* and effective ranges r_s for *NN*- Ξ scattering obtained with the single-channel calculation.

				T S ε_c (MeV) k (fm ⁻¹) τ σ a_s (fm) r_s (fm)	
	$0 \t 1 \t -2.25$	Contract Contract		$1/2$ $1/2$ -0.7164 14.4	
	$0 \t 1 \t -2.25$	$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$		$1/2$ $3/2$ -1.1073	9.21
	$1 \quad 0 \quad 0.553$	$0.0 - 0.2$		$1/2$ $1/2$ -2.8629	4.02
	$1 \quad 0 \quad 0.553$	$0.0 - 0.2$		$3/2$ $1/2$ -0.57851 16.1	

FIG. 10. Absolute square of the NN - Ξ scattering wave function for $\sigma = 1/2$ at $q = 30$ MeV/*c*. The solid red line represents the elastic channel component, whereas the dotted blue line shows the sum of the components for the *nn* closed channel; both are obtained by the CDCC method. The dash-dot-dotted brown line shows the total contributions of the channels included. The dash-dotted purple line represents the result of the single-channel calculation.

scattering within the framework of the CDCC method will be an interesting subject, but it is beyond the scope of this study. Notwithstanding, the results obtained by the $2n-2n$ diagonal potential in the current definition will be helpful to understand the qualitative features of the breakup effects on $C_{d\Xi^-}$ through *nn* low-lying continuum states.

E. *NN***-***-* **scattering wave functions**

In this subsection, we see the NN - Ξ scattering wave functions $\chi_c^{(\sigma)}$ as a result of the CC effect discussed so far. We adopt the numerical setting in Sec. [III A](#page-3-0) with which a converged result of C_{dZ} - is obtained. The source size of the source function is taken to be 1.2 fm. We choose three values of $q: q = 30, 60,$ and 100 MeV/*c*. These values are selected regarding the $nn - \mathbb{E}^0$ threshold momentum of about 60 MeV $/c$ in this study. However, this is due to the neglect of the isospin dependence of the particle masses. In reality, the $nn - \Xi^0$ threshold lies 3 MeV below the $d\Xi^-$ threshold and the $nn - \Sigma^0$ channel is open for all values of *q* discussed so far. Notwithstanding, we discuss the behavior of $\chi_c^{(\sigma)}$ below, near, and above the $nn - \Sigma^0$ threshold energy corresponding to the model adopted in this study. In all the figures below, contributions from the *pn* continuum states are not shown because they are negligibly small. We also omit discussion of the $\sigma = 3/2$ channel because of the negligibly small CC effect.

We show in Fig. 10 the result with $\sigma = 1/2$ at $q =$ 30 MeV/*c*, in which all the breakup states are closed. The solid red line shows the contribution of the d - Ξ^- elasticchannel component, that is, $|\chi_0^{(\sigma)}|^2$, whereas the dotted blue line shows the sum of $|\chi_c^{(\sigma)}|^2$ of the *nn* states in the closed channels. The dash-dot-dotted brown line representsthe sum of the contributions from all the channels. For comparison, we show by the dash-dotted purple line $|\chi_0^{(\sigma)}|^2$ obtained with the single-channel calculation; it is denoted $|\chi_0^{(\sigma)}|^2$ below. One can see that the contribution of the *nn* breakup states is very small, whereas $|\chi_0^{(\sigma)}|^2$ is somewhat larger than

FIG. 11. Same as Fig. [10](#page-8-0) but at $q = 60$ MeV/*c*. The dashed green line shows the sum of the contributions from the *nn* open breakup channels.

 $|\chi_0^{(\sigma)}|^{\text{1ch}}|^2$. This is the source of the enhancement of *C*_{d Ξ}- due to the deuteron breakup. It indicates that the coupling through the breakup states acts as an additional attractive potential for the d - Ξ^- elastic channel. It is found that the *pn* breakup states are also responsible for this back-coupling to the elastic channel, though their importance is considerably less than that of the *nn* states, as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0)

The results at $q = 60$ MeV/*c* are shown in Fig. 11. The meaning of the lines is the same as in Fig. [10](#page-8-0) but the dashed green line shows the contribution of the *nn* channels for which $E_c > 0$ (open channels). One sees the back-coupling effect on $|\chi_0^{(1/2)}|^2$ as at 30 MeV/*c*. On top of that, the contribution of the closed *nn* channel is appreciable (dotted blue line). As a result, the difference between $|\chi_0^{(\sigma)}|^{2}$ and the sum of $|\chi_c^{(1/2)}|^2$ is more developed than at 30 MeV/*c*. The reason for this enhancement is that the channel energies of the closed *nn* channels are close to zero. One sees that the dotted blue line in Fig. 11 decreases very slowly at large *R*.

At $q = 100 \text{ MeV}/c$, a lot of channels become open. As shown by the dashed green line in Fig. 12, the contribution of the open *nn* breakup channels becomes important. However, the magnitude of the sum of all the channels (dash-dot-dotted brown line) is very similar to that of $|\chi_0^{(\sigma)}|^{\text{1ch}}|^2$ (dash-dotted purple line). This is because of the unitarity of the scattering matrix, that is, the conservation of the flux. This feature makes the net breakup effect on C_{dE} - very small, though a slight

FIG. 12. Same as Fig. 11 but at $q = 100 \text{ MeV}/c$.

enhancement at a small *R* remains. It is worth pointing out that the three-body wave functions for the *nn* open breakup channels may contribute to $C_{d\Xi^-}$ in a different manner if we use a more sophisticated source function. This will be another important subject in future.

IV. SUMMARY

We have evaluated for the first time the d - Ξ^- correlation function $C_{d\Xi^-}$ with a three-body reaction model including the *s*-wave breakup states of the deuteron (both *pn* and *nn* continua). The continuum-discretized coupled-channels method is adopted to describe the $N + N + \Xi$ three-body wave function for the d - Ξ^- scattering. The Argonne V4' NN force and a parametrization of the N - Ξ interaction by the lattice quantum chromodynamics method are employed in the three-body model calculation. We have assumed that only the *s*-wave scattering wave between the c.m. of the *NN* system and Ξ is affected by the strong interaction and the Coulomb interaction between d and Ξ^- is approximated to be present in all the isospin channels. A simplified source function independent of the channels and *NN* relative coordinate is employed, and the isospin dependence of the masses of *N* and Ξ are disregarded. A clear enhancement of $C_{d\Xi^-}$ due to the strong interaction is confirmed as in preceding studies.

We have found that C_{dE} - increases due to the deuteron breakup effect by $6\% - 8\%$ at the $d - \Xi^-$ relative momentum q below 70 MeV/*c*. This is mainly due to the back-coupling to the elastic channel through the low-lying *nn* continuum, the tail of the *nn* virtual state. The key mechanism of this enhancement is that the low-lying *nn* continuum wave function is spatially compact and the spin-isospin selection makes the $nn - E⁰$ potential attractive in the entire region. Besides, when the c.m. scattering energy is close to the $nn - \mathbb{E}^0$ threshold, the $nn - E^0$ channel component in the total three-body wave function itself becomes important. Consequently, a shoulder structure of $C_{d\Xi^-}$ is developed around $q = 60 \text{ MeV}/c$, though in reality, the $nn - \Xi^0$ threshold is located below $q = 0$. At larger *q*, although the deuteron breakup probability becomes larger, the unitarity condition on the scattering matrix makes the net breakup effect on $C_{d\Xi^-}$ very limited.

Because the deuteron breakup effect on $C_{d\Xi^-}$ is not very significant, the finding of this study may justify the studies on C_{dE} - by including only the deuteron ground state, except for the additional enhancement of $C_{d\,\Xi^-}$ by several percent. It will be important, however, to investigate the deuteron breakup effect with a more realistic three-body source function. There will be a possibility to access the $n + n + \Xi^0$ state in the relativistic heavy-ion collision through $C_{d\Xi^-}$. Direct detection of multineutrons as done in low-energy nuclear physics will be even more interesting. On the theory side, modification of the treatment of the Coulomb interaction in isospin-dependent three-body scattering will be necessary. At the same time, the mass difference between Ξ^- and Ξ^0 amounts to around 7 MeV and needs to be taken care of. Together with the mass difference between *p* and *n* and the deuteron binding energy, the $nn - \Sigma^0$ threshold lies 3 MeV below the $d - \Sigma^-$ threshold and the effects of the dineutron state $2n$ may be more important.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the JSPS (Nos. JP19H01898, JP19H05151, and JP21H00125), by the Yukawa International Program for Quark-Hadron Sciences (YIPQS), by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants No. 11835015 and No. 12047503, by the NSFC and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; German Research Foundation) through funds provided to Sino-German Collaborative Research Center TRR110 "Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD" (NSFC Grant No. 12070131001, DFG Project-ID 196253076), and by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) under Grants No.

XDB34030000 and No. QYZDB-SSW-SYS013, the CAS President's International Fellowship Initiative (PIFI) under Grant No. 2020PM0020, and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant No. 2020M680687.

APPENDIX A: THREE-BODY WAVE FUNCTION WITH INCOMING BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In the evaluation of the correlation function, we need a scattering wave function corresponding to the incoming boundary condition, that is, the time-reversed solution $\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(-)}$. To obtain it, we first rewrite Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-0) as

$$
\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(+)}(r,R) = \sum_{T'S'M'\mu'} \Theta_{T'}^{\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \eta_{S'M'}^{(NN)} \eta_{\frac{1}{2}\mu'}^{(\Xi)} \Psi_{S'M'\mu';1M_0\mu_0}^{(+)}(r,R),\tag{A1}
$$

with

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{S'M'\mu';1M_0\mu_0}^{(+)}(r,R) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\sigma m_{\sigma}} \left(1M_0 \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 \bigg| \sigma m_{\sigma} \right) e^{i\sigma_0} \sum_{i'} \frac{\varphi_{c'}(r)}{r} \frac{\chi_{c'}^{(\sigma)}(K_{c'},R)}{K_0R} \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(S'M' \frac{1}{2}\mu' \bigg| \sigma m_{\sigma} \right). \tag{A2}
$$

Then $\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(-)}$ is given by

$$
\Psi_{M_0\mu_0}^{(-)}(r,R) = \sum_{T'S'M'\mu'} \Theta_{T'}^{\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \eta_{S'M'}^{(NN)} \eta_{\frac{1}{2}\mu'}^{(\Xi)} \Psi_{S'M'\mu';1M_0\mu_0}^{(-)}(r,R),\tag{A3}
$$

with

$$
\begin{split} \bar{\Psi}_{S'M'\mu';1M_0\mu_0}^{(-)}(r,R) &= (-)^{1+M_0+\mu_0-S'-M'-\mu'} \bar{\Psi}_{S',-M',-\mu';1,-M_0,-\mu_0}^{(+)*}(r,R) \\ &= (-)^{M_0+\mu_0-M'-\mu'} \bar{\Psi}_{S'M'\mu';1M_0\mu_0}^{(+)*}(r,R). \end{split} \tag{A4}
$$

APPENDIX B: MONOPOLE COMPONENT OF THE GAUSSIAN

When $V_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}$ has a Gaussian form,

$$
V_{ts}^{(N\Xi)}(R_i) = \sum_j \bar{V}_{ts,j}^{(N\Xi)} e^{-\alpha_{ts,j}R_i^2},
$$
\n(B1)

its monopole component is given by

$$
V_{ts;0}^{(N\Xi)}(R,r) = \bar{V}_{ts,j}^{(N\Xi)} \frac{e^{-\alpha_{ts,j}(R-r/2)^2} - e^{-\alpha_{ts,j}(R+r/2)^2}}{2\alpha_{ts,j}Rr}
$$
(B2)

for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

- [1] K. Nakazawa *et al.*, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptv008) **2015**, 33D02 (2015).
- [2] M. Luscher, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90366-6) **354**, 531 (1991); [Commun. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01211097) Phys. **105**, 153 (1986).
- [3] N. Ishii, S. Aoki, and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 022001 [\(2007\); S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda, and N. Ishii,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.022001) Prog. Theor. Phys. **123**[, 89 \(2010\); N. Ishii, S. Aoki, T. Doi, T. Hatsuda, Y. Ikeda,](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.123.89) T. Inoue, K. Murano, H. Nemura, and K. Sasaki (HAL QCD Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.04.076) **712**, 437 (2012); F. Etminan *et al.* (HAL QCD Collaboration), [Nucl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2014.05.014) **928**, 89 (2014); S. Gongyo *et al.* (HAL QCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett.

120, 212001 (2018); T. Iritani *et al.* (HAL QCD Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.03.050) **792**, 284 (2019).

- [4] K. Sasaki *et al.* [\(HAL QCD Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2020.121737) Nucl. Phys. A **998**, 121737 (2020).
- [5] S. Weinberg, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)90938-3) **251**, 288 (1990); Nucl. Phys. B **363**[, 3 \(1991\); D. R. Entem and R. Machleidt,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90231-L) Phys. Rev. C **68**[, 041001\(R\) \(2003\); E. Epelbaum, H.-W. Hammer, and U.-G.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.041001) Meißner, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.1773) **81**, 1773 (2009).
- [\[6\] R. Lednicky and V.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.212001) L. Lyuboshits, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **35**, 770 (1982) [Yad. Fiz. **35**[, 1316 \(1981\)\]; R. Lednicky,](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063779609030034) Phys. Part. Nucl. **40**, 307 (2009).
- [7] [D. Anchishkin, U. W. Heinz, and P. Renk,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.57.1428) Phys. Rev. C **57**, 1428 (1998).
- [8] M. Röder *et al.* (COSY-TOF), [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13157-9) **49**, 157 (2013); [J. Adamczewski-Musch](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.94.025201) *et al.* (HADES), Phys. Rev. C **94**, 025201 (2016).
- [9] J. Adams *et al.* [\(STAR Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.064906) Phys. Rev. C **74**, 064906 (2006).
- [10] S. Acharya *et al.* [\(ALICE Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.024001) Phys. Rev. C **99**, 024001 (2019).
- [11] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [\(STAR Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.022301) Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 022301 (2015).
- [12] S. Acharya *et al.* [\(ALICE Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.112002) Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 112002 (2019).
- [13] S. Acharya *et al.* [\(ALICE Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3001-6) Nature (London) **588**, 232 (2020).
- [14] J. Adam *et al.* [\(STAR Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.01.055) Phys. Lett. B **790**, 490 (2019).
- [15] S. Acharya *et al.* [\(ALICE Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.092301) Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 092301 (2020).
- [16] S. Acharya *et al.* [\(ALICE Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135419) Phys. Lett. B **805**, 135419 (2020).
- [17] S. Cho *et al.* [\(ExHIC Collaboration\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2017.02.002) Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **95**, 279 (2017).
- [18] [K. Morita, T. Furumoto, and A. Ohnishi,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.024916) Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024916 (2015).
- [19] [A. Ohnishi, K. Morita, K. Miyahara, and T. Hyodo,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2016.05.010) Nucl. Phys. A **954**, 294 (2016).
- [20] J. Haidenbauer, [Nucl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2018.10.090) **981**, 1 (2019).
- [21] [K. Morita, A. Ohnishi, F. Etminan, and T. Hatsuda,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.94.031901) Phys. Rev. C **94**, 031901(R) (2016).
- [22] [T. Hatsuda, K. Morita, A. Ohnishi, and K. Sasaki,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2017.04.041) Nucl. Phys. A **967**, 856 (2017).
- [23] K. Morita, S. Gongyo, T. Hatsuda, T. Hyodo, Y. Kamiya, and A. Ohnishi, Phys. Rev. C **101**[, 015201 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.015201)
- [24] Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, K. Morita, A. Ohnishi, and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**[, 132501 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.132501)
- [25] D. L. Mihaylov, V. Mantovani Sarti, O. W. Arnold, L. Fabbietti, B. Hohlweger, and A. M. Mathis, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5859-0) **78**, 394 (2018).
- [26] Y. Kamiya, K. Sasaki, T. Fukui T. Hatsuda, T. Hyodo, K. Morita, K. Ogata, and A. Ohnishi (unpublished).
- [27] S. E. Koonin, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(77)90340-9) **70**[, 43 \(1977\); S. Pratt,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.33.1314) Phys. Rev. D **33**, 1314 (1986).
- [28] [R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss,](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786440708520475) Philos. Mag. Ser. 7 **45**, 663 (1954); [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/1781046a0) **178**, 1046 (1956); G. Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber, W. Y. Lee, and A. Pais, Phys. Rev. **120**[, 300 \(1960\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.120.300) [M. A. Lisa, S. Pratt, R. Soltz, and U. Wiedemann,](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.55.090704.151533) Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **55**, 357 (2005).
- [29] S. Mrówczyński and P. Słoń, [Acta Phys. Pol. B](https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolB.51.1739) 51, 1739 (2020).
- [30] J. Haidenbauer, Phys. Rev. C **102**[, 034001 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.102.034001)
- [31] F. Etminan and M. M. Firoozabadi, [arXiv:1908.11484.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1908.11484)
- [32] M. Baldo, G. F. Burgio, and H. J. Schulze, Phys. Rev. C **61**, [055801 \(2000\); S. Nishizaki, T. Takatsuka, and Y. Yamamoto,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.61.055801) [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.108.703) **108**, 703 (2002); D. Lonardoni, A. Lovato, S. Gandolfi, and F. Pederiva, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**[, 092301 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.092301)
- [33] M. Kamimura, M. Yahiro, Y. Iseri, Y. Sakuragi, H. Kameyama, and M. Kawai, [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.89.1) **89**, 1 (1986).
- [34] N. Austern, Y. Iseri, M. Kamimura, M. Kawai, G. Rawitscher, and M. Yahiro, Phys. Rep. **154**[, 125 \(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(87)90094-9)
- [35] M. Yahiro, K. Ogata, T. Matsumoto, and K. Minomo, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/pts008) **2012**, 1A206 (2012).
- [36] [N. Austern, M. Yahiro, and M. Kawai,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.63.2649) Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2649 (1989).
- [37] [N. Austern, M. Kawai, and M. Yahiro,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.53.314) Phys. Rev. C **53**, 314 (1996).
- [38] M. C. Birse and E. F. Redish, [Nucl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(83)90289-0) **406**, 149 (1982).
- [39] A. Deltuva, A. M. Moro, E. Cravo, F. M. Nunes, and A. C. Fonseca, Phys. Rev. C **76**[, 064602 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.76.064602)
- [40] [N. J. Upadhyay, A. Deltuva, and F. M. Nunes,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.054621) Phys. Rev. C **85**, 054621 (2012).
- [41] K. Ogata and K. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. C **94**[, 051603\(R\) \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.94.051603)
- [42] [R. B. Wiringa and S. C. Pieper,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.182501) Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 182501 (2002).