Nuclear effects on the ρ meson produced in the inclusive photonuclear reaction

Swapan Das[®]

Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai 400085, India and Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India

Received 14 September 2020; revised 19 January 2021; accepted 18 February 2021; published 23 March 2021)

The hadronic properties of the ρ meson produced in the inclusive photonuclear reaction have been investigated. The elementary reaction occurring in the nucleus is assumed as $\gamma N \rightarrow \rho^0 N$. The ρ meson, while propagating through the nucleus, interacts with the nuclear particles, and therefore the properties of the ρ meson can be modified because of this interaction. Being a short-lived particle, the ρ meson decays to various elementary particles, such as $e^+e^-, \pi^+\pi^-, \ldots$, etc. The e^+e^- invariant mass, i.e., the ρ -meson mass, distribution spectra have been calculated to extract the information about the parameters, viz., mass and width, of the ρ meson in the nucleus. The calculated results have been compared with the data reported from Jefferson Laboratory.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.103.035205

I. INTRODUCTION

The modification of the hadronic properties, i.e., mass and width, of vector mesons (e.g., ρ , ω , and ϕ mesons) in a nucleus is an important aspect in the nuclear physics, particularly in context to restore the chiral symmetry of those mesons [1]. The calculated results show the modification of the vector meson in a normal nucleus is significant. Large nuclear effect on the ρ -meson spectral function at low momenta was predicted in the coupled-channel analysis calculation [2]. The shape of the ρ -meson mass distribution spectrum in the pion nucleus reaction is deferred from that in the free space [3,4]. The modification of the hadronic parameters is shown to occur for the ρ and ω mesons produced in the photonuclear reaction [5–7]. The in-medium properties of the ϕ meson produced in the nuclear reactions are discussed in Refs. [8,9].

The medium modification of the vector mesons has been explored by measuring the invariant mass distribution spectrum of their decay products. In the heavy-ion collision experiments, the broadening of the ρ -meson width in the dielectron and dimuon invariant mass distribution spectra are reported by the CERES [10] and NA60 [11] Collaborations, respectively. The modification of the ρ , ω , and ϕ mesons in the proton-nucleus collision has been investigated by the KEK-PS [12] and ANKE Collaborations [13]. The previous collaboration has demonstrated the modification in the spectral shape of these mesons due to excess mass on the lower side of the ω and ϕ mesons' peak in the dilepton e^+e^- invariant mass distribution spectra, whereas the latter collaboration has revealed large spreading in the width of the ϕ meson in the dikaon K^+K^- invariant mass distribution spectrum. The CB-ELSA Collaboration [14,15] could not find the mass shift of the ω meson, produced in the photonuclear reaction, in the measured $\pi^0 \gamma$ invariant mass distribution spectrum. This observation is supported by the calculated results [16]. However,

the above collaboration has reported the enhancement in the width of the ω meson [15,17]. It should be mentioned that the KEK data (expressing the vector-meson mass modification) suffer from the background subtraction, which leads to erroneous conclusions, as pointed out by the CLAS Collaboration [18,19]. This collaboration carried out the systematic investigation of the in-medium properties of the vector mesons (viz., ρ , ω , and ϕ mesons) produced in the inclusive photonuclear reaction at Jefferson Laboratory (JLAB). The quoted mesons were detected by their dilepton, i.e., e^+e^- , decay product. The electromagnetic probes, used by the CLAS Collaboration, provide the undistorted information about the properties of the vector meson in the nucleus.

The CLAS Collaboration has analyzed the absorption of the ω and ϕ mesons in the nucleus (to search the medium effect on these mesons) by measuring the nuclear transparency ratios versus A (mass number of the nucleus) of the quoted mesons [19]. It should be mentioned that the transparency ratio depends on the elementary vector-meson nucleon total scattering cross section σ_t^{*VN} (i.e., $\sigma_t^{*\omega N}$ and $\sigma_t^{*\phi N}$ for the considered mesons) in the nucleus. The notation V has been used to express either ω or ϕ . The data of the transparency ratio T_A/T_C (i.e., normalized to ¹²C) for the ω meson could not be reproduced by drastically increasing the free space ω -meson nucleon scattering cross section $\sigma_t^{\omega N}$, i.e., $\sigma_t^{*\omega N} \ge$ $10\sigma_t^{\omega N}$ [19,20]. On the other hand, T_A/T_C data for the ϕ meson reveal the drastic enhancement of the ϕ -meson nucleon scattering cross section in the nucleus: $\sigma_t^{*\phi N} = (1.5-15)\sigma_t^{\phi N}$ [19,20]. However, the measured transparency ratios T_A/T_d (i.e., normalized to deuteron) for both ω and ϕ mesons can be explained by $\sigma_t^{*VN} \sim 2\sigma_t^{VN}$ [20]. It should be mentioned that the elementary vector-meson nucleon cross section in the nucleus is related to the collision broadening of the vector meson, i.e., $\Gamma_V^c \propto \sigma_t^{*VM}$.

The enhanced width (not mass shift) of the ρ meson was observed by the CLAS Collaboration at JLAB [18] in the measured e^+e^- (arising from the ρ -meson decay) invariant mass distribution spectra in the photonuclear reaction. Being

^{*}swapand@barc.gov.in

a broad resonance, the ρ meson dominantly decays inside the nucleus [21]. Therefore, the invariant mass distribution spectrum of its decay products (e.g., $\rho \rightarrow e^+e^-$) can elucidate the medium modification of the ρ meson. In contrast, the invariant mass distribution spectrum of the decay products of the narrow resonances (e.g., ω and ϕ mesons) cannot illustrate the in-medium properties for them, since these resonances dominantly decay outside the nucleus [16]. The conditions of the CLAS measurements, as mentioned in Ref. [18], are (i) the final state of the nucleus was not measured, (ii) the tagged photon beam of energy range 0.61–3.82 GeV was used, and (iii) the ρ -meson momentum ranging from 0.8 to 3.0 GeV/*c* was measured.

The calculated results for the e^+e^- emission from the decay of the coherent ρ meson in the γA reaction show the broadening of the ρ meson [21], but the CLAS Collaboration did not measured the coherent $\rho(\rightarrow e^+e^-)$ -meson mass distribution spectra in the photonuclear reaction. Considering the dielectron as probe, the transport model based calculated results on the medium modification of the ρ meson in the photonuclear reaction are presented in Ref. [5]. This calculation does not include the constraints (i.e., tagged photon beam and high ρ -meson momentum) imposed by the CLAS Collaboration in the measurement done at JLAB [18]. It should be pointed out that the solid curves along with the data shown by the CLAS Collaboration [18], as mentioned by Wood et al. [18], are the results of the transport model calculations which contain all essential physics. That model has been used to evaluate the cross sections of various reactions [4-6,8]. Riek et al. [22] have studied the in-medium ρ -meson modification focusing on the experimental spectra measured by the CLAS Collaboration. They have used the calculated results for both the $\gamma p \rightarrow p e^+ e^-$ amplitude and the in-medium ρ -meson propagator. The latter describes the medium effect on this meson. The calculation presented in this paper is also aimed to analyze the data reported by the CLAS Collaboration. The ρ -meson production is described by the experimentally determined amplitude of the elementary $\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N$ reaction. The ρ -meson nucleus potential (which modifies the ρ meson in the nucleus) appearing in the ρ -meson propagator is generated by folding the ρ -meson nucleon scattering amplitude with the density distribution of the nucleus. The measured values of those quantities are used to estimate the quoted potential.

II. FORMALISM

The dilepton e^+e^- arises due to the decay of the ρ meson produced in the inclusive photonuclear reaction, i.e., $\gamma A \rightarrow \rho^0 X$; $\rho^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. The symbol *A* represents the nucleus in the initial state, and *X* is not specified in the final state. The ρ meson (an unstable particle; $\tau_{\rho} \sim 10^{-23}$ s) propagates certain distance before it decays into dilepton: $\rho \rightarrow e^+e^-$. The matrix element \mathcal{M}_{fi} for the above reaction can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{fi} = \frac{e\gamma_{\gamma\rho}}{m^2} \left| \phi_{e^-}(\mathbf{r}')\phi_{e^+}(\mathbf{r}')l_{\mu}G^{\mu\nu}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}) \right| R_{X0}(\mathbf{r}) |\epsilon_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}_{\gamma},\lambda_{\gamma}),\phi_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r})\rangle,$$
(1)

where $G^{\mu\nu}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}) = (-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\mu}_{\rho}k^{\nu}_{\rho}}{m^2})G_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r})$ is the ρ -meson propagator. The second part of it does not contribute to the cross section of the reaction. The scalar part, i.e., $G_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}')$ **r**), describes the ρ meson propagation from **r** to **r**' in the space. The vector-meson dominance (VMD) model [23,24] has been used to explain the dielectron decay of the ρ meson. $\gamma_{\gamma\rho}$ denotes the ρ meson to photon (virtual) conversion factor, as illustrated by VMD model. In fact, it is connected to the ρ meson hadron coupling constant f_{ρ} as $\gamma_{\gamma\rho} = \frac{em_{\rho}^2}{f_{\rho}}$ [23,24]. The factor $1/m^2$ is the propagator of the virtual photon which coupled to the leptonic current $l_{\mu} = \bar{u}_{e^-} \gamma_{\mu} v_{e^+}$ with the coupling constant *e* (electronic charge). $\epsilon_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}_{\nu}, \lambda_{\nu})$ is the polarization four-vector of the photon, and ϕ s are the wave functions for the continuum particles. R_{X0} stands for the nuclear transition matrix element: $R_{X0}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j} \langle X | \bar{f}_{\gamma N \to \rho N}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{j}) | A \rangle$, where A is the initial state (i.e., ground state) of the nucleus, and X represents the unspecified final state. $\bar{f}_{\gamma N \to \rho N}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j)$ describes the production amplitude of the ρ meson in the elementary $\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N$ reaction occurring on the *j*th nucleon in the nucleus.

The matrix element \mathcal{M}_{fi} can be decoupled as $\mathcal{M}_{fi} = \Gamma(m_{s^-}, m_{s^+}, \lambda_{\gamma})F_{\rho}(X)$, where the previous factor involves the internal coordinates of the continuum particles, i.e.,

$$\Gamma(m_{s^-}, m_{s^+}, \lambda_{\gamma}) = -\frac{e\gamma_{\gamma\rho}}{m^2}\bar{u}(k_{e^-}, m_{s^-}) \times \gamma_{\mu}v(k_{e^+}, m_{s^+})\epsilon^{\mu}(k_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}), \qquad (2)$$

and $F_{\rho}(X)$ illustrates the production, propagation, and decay of the ρ meson in the space:

$$F_{\rho}(X) = \iint d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{\rho}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} G_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}) e^{i\mathbf{k}_{\gamma}\cdot\mathbf{r}} R_{X0}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (3)$$

with $\mathbf{k}_{\rho} (= \mathbf{k}_{e^+} + \mathbf{k}_{e^-})$ expressing the momentum of the ρ meson.

The form for $G_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r})$ [3,21] is given by

$$G_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}) = \delta(\mathbf{b}'-\mathbf{b})\theta(z'-z)e^{i\mathbf{k}_{\rho}\cdot(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r})}D_{\mathbf{k}_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b},z',z), \quad (4)$$

where $D_{\mathbf{k}_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b}, z', z)$ comprises the interaction of the ρ meson with the nucleus:

$$D_{\mathbf{k}_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b}, z', z) = -\frac{i}{2k_{\rho\parallel}} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2k_{\rho\parallel}} \int_{z}^{z'} dz'' \{\tilde{G}_{0\rho}^{-1}(m) - 2E_{\rho}V_{O\rho}(\mathbf{b}, z'')\}\right].$$
(5)

In this equation, $V_{O\rho}$ symbolizes the ρ -meson optical potential which modifies the parameters of this meson in the nucleus. E_{ρ} is the energy of the quoted meson. $\tilde{G}_{0\rho}^{-1}[=m^2 - m_{\rho}^2 + im_{\rho}\Gamma_{\rho}(m)]$ represents the inverse of the ρ -meson propagator of mass *m* and total decay width $\Gamma_{\rho}(m)$ in the free space; m_{ρ} (=775.26 MeV) is the pole mass of this meson [25].

Using $G_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r})$ given in Eq. (4), the expression of $F_{\rho}^{(X)}$ in Eq. (3) can be simplified to

$$F_{\rho}(X) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} D_{k_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b}, z) R_{X0}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (6)$$

with $\mathbf{q}(=\mathbf{k}_{\gamma} - \mathbf{k}_{\rho})$ being the momentum transfer to the nucleus. $D_{k_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b}, z)$ is given by $D_{k_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b}, z) = \int_{z}^{\infty} dz' D_{\mathbf{k}_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b}, z', z)$.

The differential cross section for the dilepton emission in the inclusive photonuclear reaction can be written as

$$d\sigma = \frac{\pi^3}{(2\pi)^8} \frac{1}{E_{\gamma} E_{e^-} E_{e^+}} \delta^4(k_i - k_f) \langle |\mathcal{M}_{fi}|^2 \rangle d\mathbf{k}_{e^-} d\mathbf{k}_{e^+} d\mathbf{k}_X,$$
(7)

where $k_i(k_f)$ is the four-momentum in the initial (final) state of the reaction. $\langle |\mathcal{M}_{fi}|^2 \rangle$ refers to

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}_{fi}|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma}} \sum_{m_{s^-}, m_{s^+}} \sum_{X} |\mathcal{M}_{fi}|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma}} \sum_{m_{s^-}, m_{s^+}} |\Gamma(m_{s^-}, m_{s^+}, \lambda_{\gamma})|^2 \sum_{X} |F_{\rho}(X)|^2,$$
(8)

where \sum_X represents the summation over all nuclear final states. λ_{γ} is the polarization vector of the incoming photon. m_{s^-} and m_{s^+} are the spin projections of the outgoing electron and positron, respectively.

Using Eq. (8), the differential cross section for the dilepton e^+e^- invariant mass (i.e., ρ -meson mass) distribution in the reaction quoted in Eq. (7) can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\sigma(E_{\gamma})}{dmd\Omega_{\rho}} = K_F m^2 \Gamma(m)_{\rho^0 \to e^+ e^-} |\bar{f}(0)_{\gamma N \to \rho N}|^2 \\ \times \int d\mathbf{r} |D_{\mathbf{k}_{\rho}}(\mathbf{b}, z)|^2 \varrho(\mathbf{r}), \tag{9}$$

with $K_F = \frac{3\pi}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{k_{\rho}^2 (E_i - E_{\rho})}{E_{\gamma} |k_{\rho} E_i - \mathbf{k}_{\gamma} \cdot \hat{k}_{\rho} E_{\rho}|}$. $\Gamma(m)_{\rho^0 \to e^+ e^-}$ is the width of the ρ meson of mass *m* decaying at rest into e^+e^- .

The differential cross section stated in Eq. (9) is based on the fixed scatterer or frozen nucleon (in the nucleus) approximation. The Fermi motion of the nucleon in the nucleus can be incorporated replacing $\bar{f}_{\gamma N \to \rho N}$ by $\langle \bar{f}_{\gamma N \to \rho N} \rangle_A$, i.e.,

$$\langle \bar{f}(0)_{\gamma N \to \rho N} \rangle_A = \iint d\mathbf{k}_N d\epsilon_N S_A(\mathbf{k}_N, \epsilon_N) \bar{f}(0, s)_{\gamma N \to \rho N},$$
(10)

with

$$s = (E_{\gamma} + E_N)^2 - (\mathbf{k}_{\gamma} + \mathbf{k}_N)^2,$$

$$E_N = m_A - \sqrt{k_N^2 + (m_A - m_N + \epsilon_N)^2},$$

where $S_A(\mathbf{k}_N, \epsilon_N)$ represents the spectral function of the nucleus, normalized to unity. It illustrates the probability of a nucleon with momentum \mathbf{k}_N and binding energy ϵ_N in the nucleus [26]. $S_A(\mathbf{k}_N, \epsilon_N)$ for various nuclei are discussed elaborately in Ref. [27]. Therefore, those have not been presented explicitly.

It should be mentioned that the tagged photon beam was used for the experiment done by the CLAS Collaboration at JLAB [18]. The quoted beam possesses certain energy range which can be weighted in six bins to simulate the beam profile [22] (also see the references there in). Therefore, the cross section of the considered reaction due to tagged γ beam can



FIG. 1. The differential cross sections $\frac{d\sigma}{dm}$ calculated for the ρ meson mass distribution in the inclusive photoinduced reactions on 12 C and 56 Fe are compared with data taken for C and Fe-Ti nuclei [18], respectively. The calculated results are normalized to the experimental counts.

m (GeV)

0.7

0.6

be written [21] as

0.5

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dm} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} W(E_{\gamma,i}) \frac{d\sigma(E_{\gamma,i})}{dm},$$
(11)

0.8

0.9

1.0

where $W(E_{\gamma,i})$ is the relative weights of 13.7%, 23.5%, 19.3%, 20.1%, 12.6%, and 10.9% for $E_{\gamma,i}$ (GeV) equal to 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, and 3.5, respectively [22].

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

The total decay width $\Gamma_{\rho}(m)$ of the ρ meson in the free space is composed of the partial widths of the ρ meson decaying into various channels, i.e., $\Gamma_{\rho}(m) \approx 99.94 \times$

TABLE I. The calculated width of the ρ -meson mass distribution spectrum Γ_{ρ}^{*} and the enhancement in the width $\Delta\Gamma_{\rho}$ due to the ρ -meson nucleus interaction $V_{O\rho}$.

Nucleus	$\Gamma^*_{ ho}$ (MeV)	$\Delta\Gamma_{ ho}$ (MeV)
¹² C	157.0	17.2
⁵⁶ Fe	165.0	26.5
²⁰⁸ Pb	189.4	50.8

 $10^{-2}\Gamma(m)_{\rho\to\pi^+\pi^-} + 6 \times 10^{-4}\Gamma(m)_{\rho\to\pi^0\gamma}$ [25]. The two-body decay width of the ρ meson $\Gamma(m)_{\rho\to d_1d_2}$ [28] is given by

$$\Gamma(m)_{\rho \to d_1 d_2} = \Gamma(m_\rho)_{\rho \to d_1 d_2} \left[\frac{\Phi_l(m)}{\Phi_l(m_\rho)} \right].$$
(12)

The values of $\Gamma(m_{\rho})_{\rho \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}}$ and $\Gamma(m_{\rho})_{\rho \to \pi^{0}\gamma}$ are tabulated in Ref. [25]. Φ_{l} represents the phase space factor of the twobody decay: $\Phi_{l}(m) = \frac{\tilde{k}}{m}B_{l}^{2}(\tilde{k}R)$, with the interaction radius R = 1 fm [5]. \tilde{k} is the momentum in the cm system of the decay products of the ρ meson. The angular momentum lassociated with the considered ρ -meson decay channels is equal to unity. $B_{l}^{2}(\tilde{k}R)$ represents the Blatt-Weisskopf barrier penetration factor: $B_{1}^{2}(x) = \frac{x^{2}}{1+x^{2}}$ [28]. The dielectron decay width of the ρ meson, negligibly small compared to $\Gamma_{\rho}(m)$, used in Eq. (9) is given by $\Gamma(m)_{\rho^{0} \to e^{+}e^{-}} = \frac{\pi}{3}(\frac{\alpha_{em}}{\gamma_{\rho}})^{2}\frac{m_{\rho}^{4}}{m^{3}}$ [24]. α_{em} (= 1/137.04) denotes the fine structure constant, and γ_{ρ} is equal to half of the ρ -meson hadron coupling constant $f_{\rho}(=2\gamma_{\rho})$, as mentioned earlier. The value of γ_{ρ} (= 2.48 [29]) is directly determined from the measured $\Gamma(m_{\rho})_{\rho \to e^{+}e^{-}}$ [25].

The ρ -meson production amplitude for the elementary $\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N$ reaction can be expressed as $\bar{f}_{\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N} = -4\pi E_{\rho} [\frac{1}{\bar{E}_{\rho}} + \frac{1}{\bar{E}_{N}}] \tilde{f}_{\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N}$ [30]. The symbol "~" on the quantities represents those assessed at γN cm energy. $f_{\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N}$ denotes the reaction amplitude of the quoted elementary reaction. The vector-meson dominance model connects $f_{\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N}$ to the $\rho N \rightarrow \rho N$ scattering amplitude $f_{\rho N \rightarrow \rho N}$ as $f_{\gamma N \rightarrow \rho N}$ $= \frac{\sqrt{\pi \alpha_{em}}}{\gamma_{\rho}} f_{\rho N \rightarrow \rho N}$ [29,31]. The energy-dependent experimentally determined values of the forward $f_{\rho N \rightarrow \rho N}$ are given in Ref. [32].

The optical potential of the ρ meson $V_{O\rho}$, which describes the ρ -meson nucleus interaction, can be expressed [33] as

$$V_{O\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{v_{\rho}}{2}(\alpha_{\rho N} + i)\sigma_t^{\rho N}\varrho(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (13)$$

where v_{ρ} is the velocity of the ρ meson. $\alpha_{\rho N}$ represents the ratio of the real to imaginary part of $f_{\rho N \to \rho N}(0)$ in the free space. $\sigma_t^{\rho N}$ denotes the total ρ -meson nucleon-scattering cross section: $\sigma_t^{\rho N} = \frac{4\pi}{k_{\rho}} \text{Im}\{f_{\rho N \to \rho N}(0)\}$. $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ symbolizes the density distribution of the nucleus, normalized to the mass number of the nucleus. The form for it, as extracted from the electron scattering data [34], is used to evaluate $V_{O\rho}(\mathbf{r})$.

The differential cross sections $\frac{d\sigma}{dm}$ of the ρ -meson mass m (i.e., e^+e^- invariant mass) distribution in the inclusive photonuclear reaction, i.e., $A(\gamma, \rho \rightarrow e^+e^-)X$, have been calculated for the ρ -meson momentum $k_{\rho} = 0.8-3.0 \text{ GeV}/c$, as that was the restriction on k_{ρ} imposed in the CLAS mea-



FIG. 2. The ρ -meson mass distribution spectra calculated with and without the ρ -meson optical potential $V_{O\rho}$ are presented for nuclei. The broadening in the width (not peak shift) of the spectrum due to $V_{O\rho}$ is distinctly visible in the figure.

surements [18]. The calculated $\frac{d\sigma}{dm}$ for ¹²C and ⁵⁶Fe nuclei (normalized to the experimental counts for C and Fe-Ti nuclei [18], respectively) have been presented in Fig. 1 for the ρ -meson pole mass m_{ρ} taken equal to 750.26 MeV (solid curve) and 775.26 MeV (dot-dashed curve). As visible in this figure, the reduction of m_{ρ} from 775.26 to 750.26 MeV (~3%) shows good agreement of the calculated results with



FIG. 3. The ρ -meson mass distribution spectra for ¹²C, ⁵⁶Fe, and ²⁰⁸Pb nuclei are compared. The width of the spectrum increases with the size of the nucleus. The dotted line reveals the $\rho(\rightarrow e^+e^-)$ -meson spectral function S_F (see text).

the measured spectra for all nuclei. It is remarkable that the quoted reduction of m_{ρ} is consistence with the mass-shift parameter ($\alpha_{\rho} = 0.02 \pm 0.02$) reported by the CLAS Collaboration [18]. Therefore, m_{ρ} is taken equal to 750.26 MeV to investigate the modification of the ρ -meson parameters in the nucleus.

The calculated results revealing the significant mass shift of the ρ meson [1] is in contrast to that observed by the NA Collaboration in the ultra-relativistic In-In collision [11]. The negligibly small (e.g., 3%) mass shift of the ρ meson (if considered in the data analysis) could be insensitive to the drastically enhanced width (~350–400 MeV [35]) of the ρ meson, as reported by this collaboration. The theoretical predictions by the Giessen group [36] and Valencia group [37], as mentioned by Wood *et al.* [18], are consistent with the JLAB results [18] of a small to no mass shift of the in-medium ρ meson. The calculated results for the insignificant (i.e., ~3%) mass shift of the ρ meson in a nucleus, as shown by the solid curve in Fig. 1, corroborate the above mentioned theoretical predictions.

The cross sections $\frac{d\sigma}{dm}$ with and without incorporating the ρ -meson optical potential $V_{O\rho}$ are calculated to disentangle

the nuclear effects on the ρ meson. The calculated results for ¹²C, ⁵⁶Fe, and ²⁰⁸Pb nuclei are depicted in Fig. 2. The dot-dot-dashed curve illustrates $\frac{d\sigma}{dm}$ assessed without $V_{O\rho}$ and the solid curve represents that evaluated including $V_{O\rho}$ in the calculation. This figure distinctly elucidates the broadening in the width of the ρ -meson mass distribution spectra due to $V_{O\rho}$ for all nuclei without the shift in the peak position, i.e., mass shift. The quantitative values of the widths of the ρ -meson mass distribution spectra Γ_{ρ}^{*} , and the enhancement in the widths $\Delta \Gamma_{\rho}$ due to $V_{O\rho}$ for the nuclei are listed in Table I.

The calculated $\frac{d\sigma}{dm}$ are described in Fig. 3 for ¹²C (dotdot-dashed curve), ⁵⁶Fe (dashed curve), and ²⁰⁸Pb (dot-dashed curve) nuclei to visualize the relative broadening in the $\rho(\rightarrow e^+e^-)$ -meson mass distribution spectra. The spectral function of the $\rho(\rightarrow e^+e^-)$ meson, i.e., $S_F = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{m_\rho \Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow e^+e^-}(m)}{(m^2 - m_\rho^2)^2 + m_\rho^2 \Gamma_{\rho}^2(m)}$, is also presented (dotted curve) for comparison. It is noticeable in the figure that the spreading of the spectrum increases with the size of the nucleus. Therefore, the medium modification of the ρ -meson properties can be demonstrated better in the heavy nucleus.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The differential cross section for the ρ -meson mass distribution in the inclusive photonuclear reaction has been calculated to investigate the modification of the hadronic parameters (i.e., mass and width) of the ρ meson in the nucleus. The quoted modification arises due to the interaction of the ρ meson with the nucleus, which is described by the ρ -meson optical potential. It is evaluated by folding the ρ -meson nucleon scattering amplitude with the density distribution of the nucleus. The calculated result does not show the mass modification in the ρ -meson mass distribution spectrum, but that illustrates the increase in the width of the quoted spectrum. The enhancement in the width increases with the mass of the nucleus. The calculated ρ -meson mass distribution spectra for nuclei reproduce well the data reported from the Jefferson Laboratory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author appreciates the anonymous referee for the precious comments and suggestions which improve the quality of the paper. Dr. S. M. Yusuf is gratefully acknowledged for his support and encouragement to work on theoretical nuclear physics.

- G. E. Brown and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2720(R) (1991);
 T. Hatsuda and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. C 46, R34 (1992).
- [2] M. Post, S. Leupold, and U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 741, 81 (2004).
- [3] Y. S. Golubeva, L. A. Kondratyuk, and W. Cassing, Nucl. Phys. A 625, 832 (1997).
- [4] T. Weidmann, E. L. Bratkovskaya, W. Cassing, and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C 59, 919 (1999); M. Effenberger, E. L.

Bratkovskaya, W. Cassing, and U. Mosel, *ibid.* **60**, 027601 (1999).

- [5] M. Effenberger, E. L. Bratkovskaya, and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C 60, 044614 (1999).
- [6] M. Effenberger and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C 62, 014605 (2000).
- [7] P. Mühlich and U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A **773**, 156 (2006); P. Mühlich, V. Shklyar, S. Leupold, U. Mosel, and M. Post, *ibid.* **780**, 187 (2006).

- [8] P. Mühlich, T. Falter, C. Greiner, J. Lehr, M. Post, and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C 67, 024605 (2003).
- [9] D. Cabrera, L. Roca, E. Oset, H. Toki, and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A **733**, 130 (2004); V. K. Magas, L. Roca, and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 065202 (2005).
- [10] D. Adamová *et al.* (CERES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 666, 425 (2008).
- [11] R. Arnaldi *et al.* (NA60 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 162302 (2006); Eur. Phys. J. C 49, 235 (2007); S. Damjanovic *et al.* (NA60 Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 783, 327c (2007).
- [12] M. Naruki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 092301 (2006); R. Muto *et al.* (KEK-PS E325 Collaboration), *ibid.* **98**, 042501 (2007).
- [13] A. Polyanskiy *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **695**, 74 (2011); M. Hartmann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 035206 (2012).
- [14] M. Nanova *et al.* (CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 82, 035209 (2010); Eur. Phys. J. A 47, 16 (2011).
- [15] M. Thiel *et al.* (CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. A 49, 132 (2013).
- [16] S. Das, Phys. Rev. C 83, 064608 (2011).
- [17] M. Kotulla *et al.* (CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 192302 (2008); **114**, 199903 (2015); S. Friedrich *et al.* (CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 297 (2016).
- [18] R. Nasseripour *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett.
 99, 262302 (2007); M. H. Wood *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 78, 015201 (2008).
- [19] M. H. Wood *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 112301 (2010).
- [20] S. Das, Eur. Phys. J. A 55, 186 (2019).
- [21] S. Das, Eur. Phys. J. A 49, 123 (2013).
- [22] F. Riek, R. Rapp, T.-S. H. Lee, and Y. Oh, Phys. Lett. B 677, 116 (2009); Phys. Rev. C 82, 015202 (2010).
- [23] J. J. Sakurai, Currents and Mesons (The University of Chicago

Press, Chicago, 1969), p. 48; R. K. Bhaduri, *Models of the Nucleon* (Addison-Wesley, San Francisco, CA, 1988), p. 261.

- [24] G. Q. Li, C. M. Ko, G. E. Brown, and H. Sorge, Nucl. Phys. A 611, 539 (1996).
- [25] M. Tanabashi, *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 98, 030001 (2018).
- [26] E. Ya. Paryev, J. Phys. G 40, 025201 (2013).
- [27] E. Ya. Paryev, Eur. Phys. J. A 7, 127 (2000).
- [28] D. M. Manley and E. M. Saleski, Phys. Rev. D 45, 4002 (1992);
 D. M. Manley, R. A. Arndt, Y. Goradia, and V. L. Teplitz, *ibid.* 30, 904 (1984);
 D. M. Manley, *ibid.* 51, 4837 (1995);
 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 18, 441 (2003).
- [29] S. Das, Phys. Rev. C 96, 034616 (2017); A. Sibirtsev, H.-W. Hammer, U.-G. Meißner, and A. W. Thomas, Eur. Phys. J. A 29, 209 (2006).
- [30] S. Das, Phys. Rev. C 94, 025204 (2016); A. Pautz and G. Shaw, *ibid.* 57, 2648 (1998); L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, and M. Zhalov, *ibid.* 67, 034901 (2003).
- [31] T. H. Bauer, R. D. Spital, D. R. Yennie, and F. M. Pipkin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 50, 261 (1978); 51, 407 (1979).
- [32] L. A. Kondratyuk, A. Sibirtsev, W. Cassing, Y. S. Golubeva, and M. Effenberger, Phys. Rev. C 58, 1078 (1998).
- [33] R. J. Glauber, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 1, edited by W. E. Brittin *et al.* (Interscience, New York, 1959), p. 315;
 J. M. Eisenberg and D. S. Kolton, *Theory of Meson Interaction with Nuclei* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1980), p. 158.
- [34] C. W. De Jager, H. De Vries, and C. De Vries, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 14, 479 (1974).
- [35] H. van Hees and R. Raff, Nucl. Phys. A 806, 339 (2008).
- [36] M. Post and U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A 699, 169c (2002).
- [37] D. Cabrera, E. Oset, and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A 705, 90 (2002).