Alternating-parity bands of ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu in a particle-number-conserving method based on the cranked shell model

Xiao-Tao He^{1,*} and Yu-Chun Li²

¹Department of Nuclear Science and Technology, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China ²Strategic Technology Equipment Development Center, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Mianyang 621900, China

(Received 16 September 2020; accepted 7 December 2020; published 30 December 2020)

The particle-number-conserving (PNC) method in the framework of cranked shell model (CSM) is developed to deal with the reflection-asymmetric nuclear system by applying the S_x symmetry. Based on an octupole-deformed Nilsson potential, the alternating-parity bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu are investigated. The experimental kinematic moments of inertia (MoI) and the angular momentum alignments of all studied bands are reproduced well in the PNC-CSM calculations. The striking difference of rotational behaviors between U and Pu isotopes can be linked to the strength of octupole correlations. The upbendings of the alternating-parity bands in ^{236,238}U are due to the alignments of pairs of nucleons occupying $vg_{9/2}$, $\pi f_{7/2}$ orbitals and $v_{j_{15/2}}$, $\pi i_{13/2}$ high-*j* intruder orbitals. In particular, the interference terms of nucleon occupying the octupole-correlation pairs of $v^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ and of $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ give a very important contribution to the suddenly gained alignments.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.102.064328

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the first observation of low-lying negative-parity states by the Berkeley group in the 1950s [1,2], octupole correlations have long been an attractive topic in nuclear structure physics [3–6]. In the microscopic picture, these result from the long-range octupole interaction between normal orbital with quantum numbers (l - 3, j - 3) and intruder orbital of opposite parity with quantum numbers (l, j). When these pairs of nucleons occupy the single-particle levels near the Fermi surface, strong octupole correlations can lead to reflection asymmetric shapes. Nuclei with $Z \approx 34, 56, 88$ and $N \approx$ 34, 56, 88, 134 are expected to meet the condition [5]. Many experimental phenomena provided indications for reflection asymmetric deformation, such as alternating-parity bands in even-even nuclei [7,8], parity doublets in odd-A nuclei [9], and connected enhanced electric dipole transitions inter these bands.

Several theoretical approaches have been developed to study the properties of reflection asymmetric shape and rotational bands (see Ref. [5] and reference therein). These include the macroscopic-microscopic models [10,11], selfconsistent mean field models [12–16], cranking model [17], interacting boson models [18–21], cluster models [22–26], phenomenological collective models [27–31], and reflection asymmetric shell model [32,33]. Cranked shell model is one of the most useful microscopic models to investigate the nuclear rotational collectivity. Specifically, because the simplex operator commutes with cranking Hamiltonian, it is very convenient to study the properties of rotational bands with octupole correlations. Pairing correlations are essential to describe not only the nuclear ground-state properties but also the excited state properties [34,35]. In the framework of cranked shell model, a particle-number-conserving (PNC-CSM) method is used to treat the pairing correlations [36–40]. In the PNC-CSM method, the cranked shell model Hamiltonian is diagonalized directly in a truncated Fock space and a pair-broken excited configuration is defined by blocking the real particles in the single-particle orbitals [37]. The particle number is conserved and the Pauli blocking effect is treated spontaneously. The PNC-CSM method has previously been applied successfully to describe the intrinsic reflection-symmetric system from the light nuclear mass region around A = 40 [41] to the very heavy region around A = 250 [42–45].

The previous PNC-CSM method can not be applied to study the reflection-asymmetric nuclear system. In the present work, the PNC-CSM method is developed to include octupole deformation and then used to investigate the alternating-parity rotational bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu. The actinide region is one of the typical nuclear mass region where signatures of octupole correlations have been identified in the experiment [4,5]. It is found experimentally that the rotational behaviors between ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu are dramatically different and this issue needs further theoretical investigations [46–50]. In the present work, the striking difference of the rotational properties between ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu is explained.

The PNC-CSM formalism for reflection-asymmetric shapes by applying the S_x symmetry are presented in Sec. II. In Sec. III, arguments based on experimental alternating-parity bands are presented for the stable octupole deformation at high spins in U and Pu isotopes. The results of the PNC-CSM calculations based on an octupole-deformed Nilsson potential and the discussions of the microscopic mechanism

^{*}hext@nuaa.edu.cn

which leads to the different rotational behaviors between U and Pu isotopes are presented in Sec. IV. Finally, a brief summary is given in Sec. V.

II. PNC-CSM FORMALISM IN THE PRESENCE OF OCTUPOLE DEFORMATION

A. Cranked single-particle levels

The CSM Hamiltonian of an axially deformed nucleus in the rotating frame is

$$H_{\rm CSM} = H_0 + H_{\rm P} = H_{\rm Nil} - \omega J_x + H_{\rm P} , \qquad (1)$$

where $-\omega J_x = -\omega \sum j_x$ is the Coriolis interaction with the cranking frequency ω about the *x* axis. Note that rotations about different axes (*x* or *y* axes) perpendicular to the symmetry axis (*z* axis here) are equivalent. For definiteness, we choose rotation about the *x* axis to discuss. $H_{\text{Nil}} = \sum h_{\text{Nil}}$ is the Nilsson Hamiltonian [51–53],

$$h_{\text{NiI}} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_{0}(\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{4}) \bigg[-\nabla_{\rho}^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{2} \bigg(2\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\zeta^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\xi^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\eta^{2}} \bigg) + \rho^{2} - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_{2}\rho^{2}P_{2}(\cos\theta_{t}) + 2\varepsilon_{3}\rho^{2}P_{3}(\cos\theta_{t}) + 2\varepsilon_{4}\rho^{2}P_{4}(\cos\theta_{t}) \bigg] - 2\kappa\hbar\omega_{0} \big[\vec{l}_{t}\cdot\vec{s} - \mu\big(\vec{l}_{t}^{2} - \langle\vec{l}_{t}^{2}\rangle_{N}\big)\big],$$

$$(2)$$

where ε_2 , ε_3 , ε_4 are the quadrupole, octupole, and hexadecapole deformation parameters, respectively, and the subscript *t* means that the single-particle Hamiltonian h_{Nil} is written in the stretched coordinates (ξ , η , ζ).

In the spherical harmonic oscillation basis $|Nl\Lambda\Sigma\rangle$, which are the simultaneous eigenstates of h, l^2 , l_z , and s_z , the selection rules of the matrix elements of $\rho^2 P_3(\cos\theta_t)$ are

$$N' = N \pm 1, \quad l' = l \pm 3, \quad \Lambda' = \Lambda, \quad \Sigma' = \Sigma.$$
 (3)

Since parity $p = (-1)^N$, both symmetries of space inversion P and rotation $R_x(\pi) = e^{-i\pi j_x}$ are broken in an intrinsic reflection asymmetric system ($\varepsilon_3 \neq 0$). However, in this case, the reflection through plane *yoz*, S_x invariant holds [34]. According to the definition of Goodman, $S_x = PR_x^{-1}(\pi)$ [54].

Due to the Coriolis interaction $-\omega j_x$, $\Omega = \Lambda + \Sigma$ (singleparticle angular momentum projection on the symmetry axis z) is no longer a good quantum number. However, $[j_x, j_z^2] =$ 0 and $[S_x, j_z^2] = 0$ hold still. The eigenstates of $h(\omega) =$ $h_{\text{Nil}} - \omega j_x$ can be characterized by the simplex *s* (the eigenvalue of S_x). A good simplex basis can be constructed in a reflection asymmetric system. Let $|\xi\rangle$ denote the spherical single-particle basis $|N_{\xi}l_{\xi}\Lambda_{\xi}\Sigma_{\xi}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\xi}\rangle = T|\xi\rangle$ be its time-reversal state. A new single-particle basis is obtained by transforming the time-reversal basis $|\xi\rangle (|\bar{\xi}\rangle)$ to simplex basis $|\xi s\rangle$,

$$\begin{split} \xi, s &= \pm i \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\xi\rangle \mp i S_x |\xi\rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\xi\rangle \pm (-1)^{\Omega_{\xi} - 1/2} T |\xi\rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [a_{\xi}^{\dagger} \pm (-1)^{\Omega_{\xi} - 1/2} a_{\xi}^{\dagger}] |0\rangle, \end{split}$$
(4)

where $|\xi\rangle = a_{\xi}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$, $|\bar{\xi}\rangle = a_{\bar{\xi}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$. The creation operator for a nucleon on state $|\xi s\rangle$ is $\beta_{\xi s}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [a_{\xi}^{\dagger} \pm (-1)^{\Omega_{\xi} - 1/2} a_{\bar{\xi}}^{\dagger}]$. $|\xi s\rangle$ is the simultaneous eigenstate of S_x and j_z^2 ,

$$S_x|\xi s\rangle = s|\xi s\rangle, \quad s = \pm i,$$
 (5)

$$j_z^2 |\xi s\rangle = \Omega_\xi^2 |\xi s\rangle. \tag{6}$$

The nonzero matrix elements of $h(\omega) = h_{\text{Nil}} - \omega j_x$ are

$$\langle \xi s | h_{\text{Nil}} | \xi' s' \rangle = \langle \xi | h_{\text{Nil}} | \xi' \rangle \delta_{ss'} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\langle \xi s | j_x | \xi' s' \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle \xi | j_x | \xi' \rangle \delta_{ss'}, & \Omega_{\xi} \neq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \Omega_{\xi'} \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \pm \langle \xi | j_x | - \xi' \rangle \delta_{ss'}, & \Omega_{\xi} = \Omega_{\xi'} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

$$(8)$$

By diagonalizing $h(\omega)$ in the $|\xi s\rangle$ basis, the eigenstate $|\mu s\rangle$ of the cranked single-particle Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$|\mu s\rangle = \sum_{\xi} C_{\mu\xi}(s)|\xi s\rangle, \quad C_{\mu\xi}(s) \text{ is real.}$$
(9)

Hereafter, $|\mu s\rangle$ is sometimes denoted simply by $|\mu\rangle$. $b_{\mu s}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\xi} C_{\mu\xi}(s)\beta_{\xi s}^{\dagger}$ is the *real* particle operator of the cranked single-particle state $|\mu s\rangle$.

B. Cranked many-particle configuration

For an *n*-particle system, the cranked many-particle configuration (CMPC) is

$$|i\rangle = |\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n\rangle = b^{\dagger}_{\mu_1} b^{\dagger}_{\mu_2} \dots b^{\dagger}_{\mu_n} |0\rangle,$$
 (10)

where $\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_n$ are the occupied cranked Nilsson orbitals. Each configuration is characterized by the simplex *s*,

$$s_i = \prod_{\mu_i(\text{occ.})} s_{\mu_i},\tag{11}$$

and the energy of each configuration is

$$E_i = \sum_{\mu_i(\text{occ.})} \epsilon_{\mu_i},\tag{12}$$

where $\mu_i(\text{occ.})$ denotes the occupied cranked Nilsson orbitals.

C. Pairing correlations

The pairing includes the monopole- and quadrupolepairing correlations $H_P(0)$ and $H_P(2)$,

$$H_{\rm P}(0) = -G_0 \sum_{\xi\eta} a_{\xi}^{\dagger} a_{\bar{\xi}}^{\dagger} a_{\bar{\eta}} a_{\eta} , \qquad (13)$$

$$H_{\rm P}(2) = -G_2 \sum_{\xi\eta} q_2(\xi) q_2(\eta) a_{\xi}^{\dagger} a_{\bar{\xi}}^{\dagger} a_{\bar{\eta}} a_{\eta} , \qquad (14)$$

where $q_2(\xi) = \sqrt{16\pi/5} \langle \xi | r^2 Y_{20} | \xi \rangle$ is the diagonal element of the stretched quadrupole operator, and G_0 and G_2 are the effective strengths of monopole- and quadrupole-pairing interactions, respectively. In the cranked simplex representation,

$$H_{P}(0) = -G_{0} \sum_{\mu\mu'\nu\nu'} f_{\mu\mu'}^{*} f_{\nu'\nu} b_{\mu+}^{\dagger} b_{\mu'-}^{\dagger} b_{\nu-} b_{\nu'+},$$

$$f_{\mu\mu'}^{*} = \sum_{\xi>0} (-)^{\Omega_{\xi}} C_{\mu\xi}(+) C_{\mu'\xi}(-),$$

$$f_{\nu'\nu} = \sum_{\eta>0} (-)^{\Omega_{\eta}} C_{\nu'\eta}(+) C_{\nu\eta}(-),$$
(15)

and

$$H_{P}(2) = -G_{2} \sum_{\mu\mu'\nu\nu'} g_{\mu\mu'}^{*} g_{\nu'\nu} b_{\mu+}^{\dagger} b_{\mu'-}^{\dagger} b_{\nu-} b_{\nu'+},$$

$$g_{\mu\mu'}^{*} = \sum_{\xi>0} (-)^{\Omega_{\xi}} C_{\mu\xi}(+) C_{\mu'\xi}(-) q_{2}(\xi),$$

$$g_{\nu'\nu} = \sum_{\eta>0} (-)^{\Omega_{\eta}} C_{\nu'\eta}(+) C_{\nu\eta}(-) q_{2}(\eta),$$
(16)

where $C_{\mu\xi}(+)$ $(b^{\dagger}_{\mu+})$ and $C_{\mu\xi}(-)$ $(b^{\dagger}_{\mu-})$ stand for the case of s = +i and s = -i, respectively.

D. Particle-number-conserving method

The cranked shell model Hamiltonian H_{CSM} is diagonalized in a sufficiently large cranked many-particle configuration space and then sufficiently accurate low-lying excited eigenstates are obtained as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i} C_{i} |i\rangle, \tag{17}$$

where $|i\rangle$ is a cranked many-particle configuration of the *n*-body system and C_i is the corresponding amplitude. Note that $|\psi\rangle$ is parity independent but with certain simplex.

The occupation probability n_{μ} of each cranked Nilsson orbital μ can be calculated as

$$n_{\mu} = \sum_{i} |C_{i}|^{2} P_{i\mu},$$
 (18)

where $P_{i\mu} = 1$ if $|\mu\rangle$ is occupied and $P_{i\mu} = 0$ otherwise. The total particle number $N = \sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}$. The configuration of a rotational band, including sidebands built on pair-broken excited intrinsic configurations, can be determined by the rotational frequency ω dependence of occupation probabilities n_{μ} .

E. Moment of inertia

The angular momentum alignment includes the diagonal and off-diagonal parts,

$$\langle \psi | J_x | \psi \rangle = \sum_i |C_i|^2 \langle i | J_x | i \rangle + 2 \sum_{i < j} C_i^* C_j \langle i | J_x | j \rangle .$$
(19)

 $\langle \psi | J_x | \psi \rangle$ is simplified as $\langle J_x \rangle$ hereafter sometimes. J_x is an one-body operator; the off-diagonal parts $\langle i | J_x | j \rangle$ $(i \neq j)$ do not vanish only when $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ differ by one particle occupation. After a certain permutation of creation operators, $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ are reconstructed into

$$|i\rangle = (-1)^{M_{i\mu}} |\mu \dots \rangle , \quad |j\rangle = (-1)^{M_{j\nu}} |\nu \dots \rangle , \qquad (20)$$

where the ellipses stand for the same particle occupation and $(-1)^{M_{i\mu}} = \pm 1, (-1)^{M_{j\nu}} = \pm 1$ depend on whether the permutation is even or odd. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_x \rangle &= \sum_{\mu} j_x(\mu) + \sum_{\mu < \nu} j_x(\mu\nu), \\ j_x(\mu) &= n_\mu \langle \mu | j_x | \mu \rangle, \\ j_x(\mu\nu) &= 2 \langle \mu | j_x | \nu \rangle \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{M_{i\mu} + M_{j\nu}} C_i^* C_j, \, (\mu \neq \nu), \end{aligned}$$
(21)

where $j_x(\mu)$ is the diagonal contribution and $j_x(\mu\nu)$ is the offdiagonal contribution.

The kinematic moment of inertia for the state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by

$$J^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\omega} \langle \psi | J_x | \psi \rangle.$$
 (22)

F. Description for the octupole-deformed bands

The square of the simplex operator S_x is related to the total number of the nucleons,

$$S_x^2 = (-1)^A. (23)$$

The rotational band with simplex s is characterized by spin states I of alternation parity [34],

$$p = se^{-i\pi I}.$$
 (24)

For reflection-asymmetric systems with even number of nucleons,

$$s = +1, I^p = 0^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-, \dots,$$
 (25)

$$s = -1, I^p = 0^-, 1^+, 2^-, 3^+, \dots,$$
 (26)

and for systems with odd number of nucleons,

$$s = +i, I^p = 1/2^+, 3/2^-, 5/2^+, 7/2^-, \dots,$$
 (27)

$$s = -i, I^p = 1/2^-, 3/2^+, 5/2^-, 7/2^+, \dots$$
 (28)

In the limit of static octupole deformation, the properties of both rotational bands can have a unified description. The energies of the experimental alternating-parity bands in eveneven nuclei and the parity doublet bands in odd-A or odd-odd nuclei can be described as [55]

$$E(I) = E_{\rm av}(I) - \frac{1}{2}p\Delta E(I), \qquad (29)$$

 $E_{\rm av}(I)$ is parity-independent energy of state I in an intrinsic band,

$$E_{\rm av}(I) = \frac{1}{2} [E_{\rm inter}(I) + E_{\rm exp}(I)],$$
 (30)

where $E_{inter}(I)$ is a smooth interpolation between the energies of states in the positive-parity band at the odd value of I [56], $E_{exp}(I)$ is the energy of state I in negative parity, and $\Delta E(I)$ is the parity splitting [57,58],

$$\Delta E(I) = E_{\exp}(I) - E_{inter}(I). \tag{31}$$

 $E(\omega) = \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle$, energy of state $| \psi \rangle$ in the PNC-CSM, is the parity-independent function of the rotational frequency



FIG. 1. Ratio of rotational frequencies of the positive- and negative-parity bands $R = \omega(-)/\omega(+)$ as a function of angular momentum *I* for even-even nuclei ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu (left) and odd-*A* nuclei ²³⁷U and ²³⁹Pu (right). The experimental data are taken from Refs. [46,49,59–61]. The solid and dashed lines show the static octupole deformation limit and octupole vibration limit, respectively.

 ω . Similar to Eq. (29), the positive- and negative-parity bands can be expressed as

$$E_p(\omega) = E(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}p\Delta E(\omega), \qquad (32)$$

where $\Delta E(\omega)$ is the parity splitting.

The angular momentum alignment and moment of inertia for positive- and negative-parity bands have similar expression,

$$\langle J_x \rangle_p = \langle \psi | J_x | \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} p \Delta I_x(\omega),$$
 (33)

$$J_p^{(1)} = \frac{\langle \psi | J_x | \psi \rangle}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} p \Delta J^{(1)}(\omega).$$
(34)

 $\Delta I_x(\omega)$ and $\Delta J^{(1)}(\omega)$ are parity splitting of the alignment and MoI, respectively, which can be obtained from the experimental data as

$$\Delta I_x(\omega) = I_{x-}(\omega) - I_{x+}(\omega), \qquad (35)$$

$$\Delta J^{(1)}(\omega) = J^{(1)}_{-}(\omega) - J^{(1)}_{+}(\omega), \qquad (36)$$

with +(-) denoting the corresponding value of positive-(negative-) parity bands.

III. EXPERIMENTAL INFORMATION OF THE YRAST BANDS IN U AND Pu ISOTOPES

Empirically, it shows that rotation can stabilize ocutpole deformation, namely that octupole shapes are more stable at high spin than at low spin [4,5]. In a rotational band with the same simplex, the ratio of rotational frequency of the negative parity band and the positive parity band is defined as [4]

$$R = \omega(-)/\omega(+). \tag{37}$$

In the limit of static octupole deformation, parity splitting should be vanished and at the meantime the ratio between the rotational frequency of the positive- and negative-parity bands should be close to one, i.e., $R \rightarrow R_{rigid} = 1$. Another limit is the limit of aligned octupole phonon, which is $R \rightarrow$ [4(I-3)-2]/(4I-2). Figure 1 plots the ratio *R* versus *I* for

TABLE I. Deformation parameters ε_2 , ε_3 , and ε_4 used in the present PNC-CSM calculations for 236,238 U and 238,240 Pu.

| | ²³⁶ U | ²³⁸ U | ²³⁸ Pu | ²⁴⁰ Pu |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| ε_2 | 0.200 | 0.220 | 0.228 | 0.230 |
| ε_3 | 0.110 | 0.130 | 0.025 | 0.010 |
| ε_4 | -0.055 | -0.040 | -0.065 | -0.045 |

nuclei 236,237,238 U and 238,239,240 Pu. It shows that these nuclei have fine deformation stability and the octupole shapes are stabilized by rotation. *R* approaches to one at high spin for both of even-even nuclei 236,238 U, 238,240 Pu and odd-*A* nuclei 237 U, 239 Pu.

More detailed, value of *R* is bigger (closer to one) for U isotopes than that for Pu isotopes at the high-spin region. This implies that there might be octupole vibration mixed for the bands in Pu isotopes even at the high-spin region. When compared values of *R* at the low spin region, it is found that $R_{odd-A} > R_{even-even}$. This means octupole deformation becoming more stable in odd-mass nuclei due to the existence of the unpaired nucleon [62]. This issue needs further investigation.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Parameters

The set of Nilsson parameters (κ, μ) is taken from Ref. [42]. The deformations ε_2 , ε_3 , and ε_4 are input parameters in the PNC-CSM method, and values used in the present calculations are listed in Table I. The values of ε_2 and ε_4 are chosen to be close to the calculated ground-state deformations in the actinide region [51], where ε_2 are little larger than those predicted in the macroscopic-microscopic models [63] and the finite-range droplet model [64]. While the octupole deformation mode was demonstrated in the experiments for U and Pu isotopes, the values of octupole deformation parameter can hardly be obtained in the literature. It was found that the rotational behaviors of the yrast (and negative-parity) bands in ^{238–240}Pu and those in ^{236–238}U and ^{241–244}Pu are striking different, which can be linked to variations with mass of the strength of octupole correlations [46-49]. In the present calculations, the values of ε_3 are used to fit the moments of inertia of the experimental yrast bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu. It turns out the different behaviors of the yrast bands in ^{238,240}Pu and those in ^{236,238}U can be explained under different octupole deformation.

The effective pairing strengths G_0 and G_2 can be determined in principle by the odd-even differences in nuclear binding energies B [65],

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2}[B(Z, N) + B(Z, N+2)] - B(Z, N+1)$$

= $E_g(Z, N+1) - \frac{1}{2}[E_g(Z, N) + E_g(Z, N+2)],$ (38)
 $P_p = \frac{1}{2}[B(Z, N) + B(Z+2, N)] - B(Z+1, N)$

$$= E_g(Z+1,N) - \frac{1}{2}[E_g(Z,N) + E_g(Z+2,N)].$$
(39)

where Z = 92 and N = 144. E_g is the theoretical groundstate energy at frequency $\hbar \omega = 0$ MeV. The effective pairing



FIG. 2. Cranked Nilsson levels near the Fermi surface of ²³⁸U for proton (top) and neutron (bottom). For reflection symmetric system ($\varepsilon_3 = 0$), the positive- (negative-) parity levels are denoted by blue (red) lines with quantum numbers $[Nn_z\Lambda]\Omega$ and $\alpha = +1/2$ ($\alpha = -1/2$) signatures levels are denoted by solid (dashed) lines. For the reflection asymmetric system ($\varepsilon_3 \neq 0$), the simplex s = +i (s = -i) levels are denoted by black solid (dashed) lines with quantum numbers $l_i\Omega$.

strengths depend also on the dimensions of the truncated CMPC space. In the present calculation, the CMPC space is constructed in the proton N = 5, 6 and neutron N = 6, 7 major shells, and the dimensions are about 1000 for both protons and neutrons. Then their values are determined as $G_{0p} = 0.25$ MeV, $G_{2p} = 0.03$ MeV and $G_{0n} = 0.25$ MeV, $G_{2n} = 0.015$ MeV for protons and neutrons, respectively. The same values of the effective pairing strengths are used for all the nuclei studied in this work. For the yrast and low-lying excited states, the number of important CMPC (weight >10⁻²) is very limited (<20), and almost all of CMPC with weight >10⁻³

are taken into account. The PNC-CSM calculations are stable against the change of the dimension of the CMPC space, and calculations in a larger CMPC space with decreased effective pairing strengths give the result nearly unchanged [66].

B. Cranked Nilsson levels

Figures 2 and 3 show the calculated cranked Nilsson levels near the Fermi surface of ²³⁸U and ²⁴⁰Pu, respectively. When $\varepsilon_3 = 0$, the positive- (negative-) parity levels are denoted by blue (red) lines, and the signature $\alpha = +1/2$ (-1/2) levels



FIG. 3. The same as Fig. 2, but for cranked Nilsson levels near the Fermi surface of ²⁴⁰Pu.

are denoted by solid (dotted) lines with quantum numbers $[Nn_z\Lambda]\Omega$ at the band head ($\omega = 0$). When $\varepsilon_3 \neq 0$, the levels are denoted by black lines, and the simplex s = +i (-i) levels are denoted by solid (dotted) lines with quantum number Ω at the band head ($\omega = 0$). The cranked Nilsson levels near the Fermi surface of 236 U and 238 Pu are quite similar to that of 238 U and 240 Pu, respectively, and will not be displayed here.

Based on such a sequence of single-particle levels, the experimental ground state and low-lying excited states in their neighbor odd-A nuclei can be reproduced well, such as the proton exited states in ²³⁷ Np and ²⁴¹Am [67] and neutron exited states in ²³⁷U and ²³⁹Pu except for the first exited state with configuration of ν [622]5/2⁺. The disagreement of

the position of $\nu [622]5/2^+$ in the theoretical prediction and experimental data were discussed in Refs. [42,68]. The Z =92, 96 gaps for protons and the N = 142, 146 gaps for neutrons in the reflection-symmetric deformed field is consistent with the calculation by using a Woods-Saxon potential [69]. The Z = 88, 94 gaps for protons and N = 138, 142 gaps for neutrons in the octupole deformed field is consistent with results of a Woods-Saxon potential [70] and a folded Yukawa potential [71].

Comparing Fig. 2 with Fig. 3, it is seen that the cranked Nilsson levels in a stronger octupole deformed field of ²³⁸U are quite different from that of ²⁴⁰Pu. For ²⁴⁰Pu, the cranked single-particle levels in reflection-asymmetric deformed field



FIG. 4. The kinematic moments of inertia $J^{(1)}$ (top row) and alignments J_x (bottom row) of the alternating-parity rotational bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu. The experimental data are denoted by solid and open circles for the positive- and negative-parity bands, respectively, which are taken from Refs. [46,49,59–61]. The alignment i_x are obtained by subtracting a common reference $\omega J_0 + \omega^3 J_1$ where Harris parameters $J_0 = 65\hbar^2 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ and $J_1 = 369\hbar^4 \text{ MeV}^{-3}$ are taken from Ref. [72]. The PNC-CSM calculations of the yrast parity-independent bands are denoted by dash-dotted lines. After considering the parity splitting of Eqs. (35) and (36), the positive- and negative-parity bands are denoted by solid and dotted lines, respectively.

are similar to that in reflection-symmetric deformed field. As for ²³⁸U, the proton $\pi 1/2$ level stemming from $f_{7/2}$ orbital and the neutron $\nu 5/2$ level from $g_{9/2}$ orbital rise quickly to the Fermi surface as octupole deformation increases. As we know, the octupole correlation in this mass region is mainly concerning about nucleon occupying the octupole-correlation pairs of neutron $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ and of proton $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$. Therefore, the properties of the rotational bands are influenced intensively by the octupole correlations in ^{236,238}U.

C. Alternating-parity bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu

The kinematic moments of inertia and alignments of the ground-state bands of ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu are shown in Fig. 4, which show an alternating-parity structure. The PNC-CSM calculations of J_x [Eq. (19)] and $J^{(1)}$ [Eq. (22)] are presented by dash-dotted lines. Considering the parity splitting [Eqs. (35) and (36)], the alternating-parity bands are shown as the solid and dotted lines for positive- and negativeparity bands, respectively. Experimental data are denoted by solid and open circles for positive- and negative-parity bands, respectively, which are taken from Refs. [49,59-61]. The experimental MoIs and alignments are reproduced very well by the PNC-CSM calculation. It is seen that the rotational behaviors of U isotopes and Pu isotopes are quite different. There are distinct upbendings for both alternating-parity bands in ^{236,238}U while behaviors are much plainer for bands in ^{238,240}Pu. It is known that the backbending is caused by crossing of the ground-state band with a pair-broken band based on the high-j intruder orbitals. In this region, the high-jintruder orbitals near the Fermi surface are the proton $\pi i_{13/2}$ and neutron $\nu j_{15/2}$ orbitals.

Figure 5 shows the occupation probability n_{μ} of each orbital μ (including both $s = \pm i$) near the Fermi surface for the alternating-parity bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu. For U isotopes, due to the effect of octupole correlation, proton $\pi 1/2$ and neutron $\nu 5/2$ orbitals rise to the Fermi surface rapidly

(see Fig. 2). As shown in Fig. 5, both $\pi 1/2$ and $\nu 5/2$ are partially occupied. The octupole correlation between pairs of nucleons occupying $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ and of $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ orbitals will affect strongly the rotational properties of the alternating-parity bands in U isotopes.

Upbendings of the alternating-parity bands occur at frequency $\hbar\omega \approx 0.20$ MeV in ²³⁶U while that are delayed to $\hbar\omega \approx 0.25$ MeV in ²³⁸U. From Figs. 5(e) and 5(f), we can see that the proton occupation probability for ²³⁶U and ²³⁸U are very similar. Occupation probabilities of orbitals $\pi 5/2$, $\pi 1/2$, and $\pi 3/2$ are almost constant at $\hbar \omega < 0.25$ and changed rapidly at $\hbar \omega > 0.25$. This leads to upbendings of proton alignment at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.25$ [see Figs. 6(e) and 6(f)]. Occupation probabilities of neutrons for ²³⁶U and ²³⁸U are quite different. As shown in Fig. 5(a), both of v1/2 and v5/2 are half occupied $(n_{\mu} \approx 1)$ at $\hbar \omega < 0.20$ MeV and $\nu 1/2$ gets nearly fully occupied $(n_{\mu} \approx 2)$ while $\nu 5/2$ becomes almost empty $(n_{\mu} \approx 0)$ at $\hbar \omega > 0.20$ MeV for ²³⁶U. Meanwhile, both of v1/2 and v5/2 are almost fully occupied and keep nearly constant with $n_{\mu} = 1.5-2.0$ at the whole frequency region for ²³⁸U [see Fig. 5(b)]. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 6 that upbendings of alternating-parity bands in ²³⁶U are mainly due to the sudden increased neutron alignment at $\hbar\omega \approx 0.20$ MeV while ones in ²³⁸U are mostly from the rapidly gained proton alignment at $\hbar\omega \approx 0.25$ MeV. This difference is easy to understand since the neutron Fermi surface of ²³⁸U locates just above the N = 146 deformed shell.

For both of ^{238,240}Pu, the moments of inertia $J^{(1)}$ keep nearly constant at frequency $\hbar \omega < 0.2$ MeV and increased slightly at $\hbar \omega > 0.2$ MeV. Since neutron $\nu 5/2$ ($g_{9/2}$) and proton $\pi 1/2$ ($f_{7/2}$) levels locate well below the Fermi surface, both neutron $\nu 5/2$ and proton $\pi 1/2$ orbitals are nearly fully occupied with $n_{\mu} \approx 2$, while the high-*j* orbitals $\nu 7/2$ ($j_{15/2}$) and $\pi 5/2$ ($i_{13/2}$) are partially occupied. Thus, unlike ^{236,238}U, in which upbendings of $J^{(1)}$ are effected strongly by the octupole correlation between $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ pairs and proton $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ pairs, in ^{238,240}Pu, it is the high-*j* intruder orbitals



FIG. 5. The occupation probability n_{μ} of each neutron (top row) and proton (bottom row) orbitals μ (including both $s = \pm i$) near the Fermi surface for the alternating-parity bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu. The Nilsson levels far above ($n_{\mu} \approx 0$) and far below ($n_{\mu} \approx 2$) the Fermi surface are not shown.

 $\nu j_{15/2}$ and $\pi i_{13/2}$ that influence the variation of $J^{(1)}$ versus frequency.

The contributions of proton (bottom row) and neutron (top row) to the angular momentum alignment $\langle J_x \rangle$ for the alternating bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu are shown in Fig. 6. The diagonal $\sum_{\mu} j_x(\mu)$ and off-diagonal $\sum_{\mu < \nu} j_x(\mu\nu)$ parts are denoted by blue dashed and red dotted lines, respectively. In general, the gradual rise of $J^{(1)}$ for all the studied bands are attributed to diagonal parts of both neutron and proton alignment. The upbending of $J^{(1)}$ at $\hbar\omega \approx 0.20$ MeV in ²³⁶U is mainly due to the off-diagonal contribution from neutrons while one at $\hbar\omega \approx 0.25$ MeV in ²³⁸U is from the off-diagonal part of proton alignment. As for ^{238,240}Pu, only very subtle increases happen for off-diagonal parts of both neutrons and protons alignments, which result in the slight increases of $J^{(1)}$ at $\hbar \omega > 0.20$ MeV.

The contributions to the alignment from each singleparticle levels are shown in Fig. 7. According to Eq. (21), it includes the direct term $j_x(\mu)$ and the interference term $j_x(\mu\nu)$. As discussed above, only the off-diagonal parts contribute to upbendings of moment of inertia versus frequency. Therefore, only the interference terms are displayed.

In Fig. 7, the interference term $j_x(\mu\nu)$ between orbitals from neutron $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ pairs and proton $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ pairs are denoted by black solid lines. Other interference terms, which have importance effects on the alignment, are denoted by black dotted lines. The orbitals that have little contributions are not shown. To investigate the impact of the octupole



FIG. 6. Contributions of neutron (top row) and proton (bottom row) to the angular momentum alignment $\langle J_x \rangle$ for the alternating-parity rotational bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu. The diagonal $\sum_{\mu} j_x(\mu)$ and off-diagonal parts $\sum_{\mu < \nu} j_x(\mu\nu)$ are denoted by blue dashed and red dotted lines, respectively.



FIG. 7. The off-diagonal parts $j_x(\mu\nu)$ of contribution from each neutron (top row) and proton (bottom row) orbitals to the angular momentum alignments $\langle J_x \rangle$ for the alternating-parity rotational bands in ^{236,238}U and ^{238,240}Pu. The interference term $j_x(\mu\nu)$ between orbitals from neutron $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ pairs and proton $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ pairs are denoted by black solid lines, sum of which are denoted by olive dashed lines. Other interference terms are denoted by black dotted lines. The orbitals that have little contributions are not shown. Orbitals from $\nu g_{9/2}$ ($\pi f_{7/2}$) and $\nu j_{15/2}$ ($\pi i_{13/2}$) are denoted by red and blue quantum numbers Ω , respectively.

correlation on the rotational properties, all terms of $j_x(\mu\nu)$ (black solid lines) belonging to the neutron $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ pairs and proton $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ pairs are added, the sums of which are denoted by olive dashed lines.

It can be seen clearly that the upbending of the alternatingparity bands at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.20$ MeV in ²³⁶U mostly attribute to the alignments of neutrons occupying orbital $\nu 5/2$ ($g_{9/2}$) and high-*j* intruder orbital $\nu 7/2$ ($j_{15/2}$). Particularly, the interference terms between neutron $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ pairs give a considerable contribution to the suddenly increased alignment at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.20$ MeV.

For ²³⁸U, the upbendings of $J^{(1)}$ are mainly due to the suddenly gained alignment of protons occupying orbital $\pi 1/2$ ($f_{7/2}$) and high-*j* intruder orbitals $\pi 3/2$ ($i_{13/2}$) and $\pi 5/2$ ($i_{13/2}$). As shown in Fig. 7(f), the interference terms (olive dashed line) between $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ pairs play a very important role in the sharp increased alignment. For neutrons, although the alignment of $v^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ rises suddenly at $\hbar \omega \approx 0.15$ MeV, the effect is canceled out by contributions from other orbitals.

For ^{238,240}Pu, only the interference terms $j_x(\mu\nu)$ concerning the high-*j* orbitals $\nu7/2$ ($j_{15/2}$) and $\pi5/2$ ($i_{13/2}$) increase a little at the high-frequency region, and ones from neutron $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ pairs and $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ pairs give little contributions. Therefore, the moments of inertia of the alternating-parity bands in ^{238,240}Pu are nearly constant at frequency $\hbar\omega < 0.2$ MeV and increase slightly at $\hbar\omega > 0.2$ MeV.

V. SUMMARY

The particle-number-conserving pairing method in the framework of the cranked shell model is developed to treat the reflection-asymmetric nuclear system by including octupole deformation. Based on an octupole-deformed Nilsson potential, the alternating-parity bands in even-even nuclei ^{236,238}U

and ^{238,240}Pu have been studied. The observed ω variations of moment of inertia $J^{(1)}$ and the angular momentum alignments of all studied bands are reproduced very well by the PNC-CSM calculations. The significant difference of rotational properties between U and Pu isotopes are explained.

For all the studied bands in the present work, it is the offdiagonal part of the alignment that effects mostly the variation of the moment of inertia $J^{(1)}$ versus frequency. The diagonal parts of alignment contribute mainly to the gradual rise of the moment of inertia $J^{(1)}$.

The octupole correlation for U and Pu isotopes in this region is mainly concerned with nucleons occupying pairs of neutron $v^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ and proton $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ orbitals. The upbending of the alternating-parity bands in ²³⁶U is mainly due to the sudden alignment of nucleons occupying the neutron v5/2 ($g_{9/2}$) and v7/2 ($j_{15/2}$) orbitals while the one in ²³⁸U is attributed to the sharply increased alignment of nucleons occupying the proton $\pi 1/2$ ($f_{7/2}$) and $\pi 3/2$ ($i_{13/2}$) orbitals. Particularly, the interference terms of the alignment for nucleons occupying the octupole-deformed pairs of neutron $v^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ and of proton $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ orbitals give a very important contribution to the upbendings.

Compared to the case of ²³⁶U, the upbending frequency of $J^{(1)}$ is delayed to the higher frequency region in ²³⁸U. This is because the neutron Fermi surface of ²³⁸U locates just above the deformed subshell at N = 146. Then the upbendings of $J^{(1)}$ is mostly from the contribution of proton alignments which happen at higher frequency.

Variation of $J^{(1)}$ versus frequency is much plain for the alternating-parity bands in ^{238,240}Pu, which can be reproduced and explained based on a Nilsson potential with comparatively weaker octupole correlations. Under a weaker octupole-deformed field, $\nu 5/2$ ($g_{9/2}$) and $\pi 1/2$ ($f_{7/2}$) orbitals are well below the Fermi surface. Alignments from nucleons occupying the octupole correlation pairs of $\nu^2 j_{15/2} g_{9/2}$ and of $\pi^2 i_{13/2} f_{7/2}$ are very trivial. Then the alignments are mainly gained from nucleons occupying the high-*j* intruder orbitals $\nu^{7/2}$ ($j_{15/2}$), $\pi^{3/2}$ ($i_{13/2}$), and $\pi^{5/2}$ ($i_{13/2}$) at the high-spin region, and its changes are quite gentle.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11775112 and No. U2032138.

- F. Asaro, F. Stephens, and I. Perlman, Phys. Rev. 92, 1495 (1953).
- [2] F. Stephens, F. Asaro, and I. Perlman, Phys. Rev. 96, 1568 (1954).
- [3] R. Chasman, Phys. Lett. B 96, 7 (1980).
- [4] I. Ahmad and P. A. Butler, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 43, 71 (1993).
- [5] P. A. Butler and W. Nazarewicz, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 349 (1996).
- [6] L. P. Gaffney, P. A. Butler, M. Scheck, A. B. Hayes, F. Wenander, M. Albers, B. Bastin, C. Bauer, A. Blazhev, S. Bönig, N. Bree, J. Cederkäll, T. Chupp, D. Cline, T. E. Cocolios, T. Davinson, H. D. Witte, J. Diriken, T. Grahn, A. Herzan, M. Huyse, D. G. Jenkins, D. T. Joss, N. Kesteloot, J. Konki, M. Kowalczyk, T. Kröll, E. Kwan, R. Lutter, K. Moschner, P. Napiorkowski, J. Pakarinen, M. Pfeiffer, D. Radeck, P. Reiter, K. Reynders, S. V. Rigby, L. M. Robledo, M. Rudigier, S. Sambi, M. Seidlitz, B. Siebeck, T. Stora, P. Thoele, P. V. Duppen, M. J. Vermeulen, M. von Schmid, D. Voulot, N. Warr, K. Wimmer, K. Wrzosek-Lipska, C. Y. Wu, and M. Zielinska, Nature (London) **497**, 199 (2013).
- [7] J. F. Smith, J. F. C. Cocks, N. Schulz, M. Aïche, M. Bentaleb, P. A. Butler, F. Hannachi, G. D. Jones, P. M. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, R. Kulessa, E. Lubkiewicz, A. Płochocki, F. Riess, E. Ruchowska, A. Savelius, J. C. Sens, J. Simpson, and E. Wolf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1050 (1995).
- [8] J. F. C. Cocks, P. A. Butler, K. J. Cann, P. T. Greenlees, G. D. Jones, S. Asztalos, P. Bhattacharyya, R. Broda, R. M. Clark, M. A. Deleplanque, R. M. Diamond, P. Fallon, B. Fornal, P. M. Jones, R. Julin, T. Lauritsen, I. Y. Lee, A. O. Macchiavelli, R. W. MacLeod, J. F. Smith, F. S. Stephens, and C. T. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2920 (1997).
- [9] M. Dahlinger, E. Kankeleit, D. Habs, D. Schwalm, B. Schwartz, R. Simon, J. Burrows, and P. Butler, Nucl. Phys. A 484, 337 (1988).
- [10] W. Nazarewicz, P. Olanders, I. Ragnarsson, J. Dudek, G. Leander, P. Möller, and E. Ruchowsa, Nucl. Phys. A 429, 269 (1984).
- [11] P. Möller, R. Bengtsson, B. Carlsson, P. Olivius, T. Ichikawa, H. Sagawa, and A. Iwamoto, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 94, 758 (2008).
- [12] P. Bonche, P. Heenen, H. Flocard, and D. Vautherin, Phys. Lett. B 175, 387 (1986).
- [13] J. Egido and L. Robledo, Nucl. Phys. A 524, 65 (1991).
- [14] M. Bender, P.-H. Heenen, and P. Bonche, Phys. Rev. C 70, 054304 (2004).
- [15] L. M. Robledo and G. F. Bertsch, Phys. Rev. C 84, 054302 (2011).
- [16] L. M. Robledo and P. A. Butler, Phys. Rev. C 88, 051302(R) (2013).
- [17] W. Nazarewicz and P. Olanders, Nucl. Phys. A 441, 420 (1985).
- [18] J. Engel and F. Iachello, Nucl. Phys. A 472, 61 (1987).
- [19] D. Kusnezov and F. Iachello, Phys. Lett. B 209, 420 (1988).

- [20] P. D. Cottle and N. V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. C 58, 1500 (1998).
- [21] N. V. Zamfir and D. Kusnezov, Phys. Rev. C 67, 014305 (2003).
- [22] B. Buck, A. C. Merchant, and S. M. Perez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 380 (1996).
- [23] T. Shneidman, G. Adamian, N. Antonenko, R. Jolos, and W. Scheid, Phys. Lett. B 526, 322 (2002).
- [24] T. M. Shneidman, G. G. Adamian, N. V. Antonenko, R. V. Jolos, and W. Scheid, Phys. Rev. C 67, 014313 (2003).
- [25] T. M. Shneidman, G. G. Adamian, N. V. Antonenko, and R. V. Jolos, Phys. Rev. C 74, 034316 (2006).
- [26] T. M. Shneidman, G. G. Adamian, N. V. Antonenko, R. V. Jolos, and S.-G. Zhou, Phys. Rev. C 92, 034302 (2015).
- [27] P. G. Bizzeti and A. M. Bizzeti-Sona, Phys. Rev. C 70, 064319 (2004).
- [28] D. Lenis and D. Bonatsos, Phys. Lett. B 633, 474 (2006).
- [29] P. G. Bizzeti and A. M. Bizzeti-Sona, Phys. Rev. C 81, 034320 (2010).
- [30] N. Minkov, S. Drenska, M. Strecker, W. Scheid, and H. Lenske, Phys. Rev. C 85, 034306 (2012).
- [31] D. Bonatsos, A. Martinou, N. Minkov, S. Karampagia, and D. Petrellis, Phys. Rev. C 91, 054315 (2015).
- [32] Y. S. Chen and Z. C. Gao, Phys. Rev. C 63, 014314 (2000).
- [33] Y.-S. Chen, Y. Sun, and Z.-C. Gao, Phys. Rev. C 77, 061305(R) (2008).
- [34] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure* (Benjamin, New York, 1975), Vol. 2.
- [35] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
- [36] J. Zeng and T. Cheng, Nucl. Phys. A 405, 1 (1983).
- [37] C. S. Wu and J. Y. Zeng, Phys. Rev. C 39, 666 (1989).
- [38] J. Y. Zeng, T. H. Jin, and Z. J. Zhao, Phys. Rev. C 50, 1388 (1994).
- [39] X. B. Xin, S. X. Liu, Y. A. Lei, and J. Y. Zeng, Phys. Rev. C 62, 067303 (2000).
- [40] X.-T. He and Y.-C. Li, Phys. Rev. C 98, 064314 (2018).
- [41] X.-H. Xiang and X.-T. He, Chin. Phys. C 42, 54105 (2018).
- [42] Z.-H. Zhang, X.-T. He, J.-Y. Zeng, E.-G. Zhao, and S.-G. Zhou, Phys. Rev. C 85, 014324 (2012).
- [43] Y.-C. Li and X.-T. He, Sci. China: Phys., Mech. Astron. 59, 672011 (2016).
- [44] X.-T. He, S.-Y. Zhao, Z.-H. Zhang, and Z.-Z. Ren, Chin. Phys. C 44, 034106 (2020).
- [45] X. T. He, S. Y. Yu, J. Y. Zeng, and E. G. Zhao, Nucl. Phys. A 760, 263 (2005).
- [46] D. Ward, H. Andrews, G. Ball, A. Galindo-Uribarri, V. Janzen, T. Nakatsukasa, D. Radford, T. Drake, J. DeGraaf, S. Pilotte, and Y. Shimizu, Nucl. Phys. A 600, 88 (1996).
- [47] I. Wiedenhöver, R. V. F. Janssens, G. Hackman, I. Ahmad, J. P. Greene, H. Amro, P. K. Bhattacharyya, M. P. Carpenter, P. Chowdhury, J. Cizewski, D. Cline, T. L. Khoo, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, A. O. Macchiavelli, D. T. Nisius, P. Reiter, E. H. Seabury, D. Seweryniak, S. Siem, A. Sonzogni, J. Uusitalo, and C. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2143 (1999).

- [48] X. Wang, R. V. F. Janssens, M. P. Carpenter, S. Zhu, I. Wiedenhöver, U. Garg, S. Frauendorf, T. Nakatsukasa, I. Ahmad, A. Bernstein, E. Diffenderfer, S. J. Freeman, J. P. Greene, T. L. Khoo, F. G. Kondev, A. Larabee, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, B. Meredith, D. Seweryniak, C. Teal, and P. Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 122501 (2009).
- [49] S. Zhu, M. P. Carpenter, R. V. F. Janssens, S. Frauendorf, I. Ahmad, T. L. Khoo, F. G. Kondev, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, and D. Seweryniak, Phys. Rev. C 81, 041306(R) (2010).
- [50] M. Spieker, D. Bucurescu, J. Endres, T. Faestermann, R. Hertenberger, S. Pascu, S. Skalacki, S. Weber, H.-F. Wirth, N.-V. Zamfir, and A. Zilges, Phys. Rev. C 88, 041303(R) (2013).
- [51] S. G. Nilsson, C. F. Tsang, A. Sobiczewski, Z. Szymański, S. Wycech, C. Gustafson, I.-L. Lamm, P. Möller, and B. Nilsson, Nucl. Phys. A 131, 1 (1969).
- [52] S. A. Johansson, Nucl. Phys. 22, 529 (1961).
- [53] P. Vogel, Phys. Lett. B 25, 65 (1967).
- [54] A. Goodman, Nucl. Phys. A 230, 466 (1974).
- [55] R. Jolos and P. von Brentano, Nucl. Phys. A 587, 377 (1995).
- [56] R. V. Jolos and P. von Brentano, Phys. Rev. C 92, 044318 (2015).
- [57] R. V. Jolos and P. von Brentano, Phys. Rev. C 84, 024312 (2011).
- [58] R. V. Jolos, P. von Brentano, and J. Jolie, Phys. Rev. C 86, 024319 (2012).
- [59] E. Browne and J. Tuli, Nucl. Data Sheets 107, 2649 (2006).
- [60] E. Browne and J. Tuli, Nucl. Data Sheets 127, 191 (2015).

- [61] B. Singh and E. Browne, Nucl. Data Sheets 109, 2439 (2008).
- [62] X. T. He, E. G. Zhao, and W. Scheid, Int. J. Mod. Phy. E 15, 1823 (2006).
- [63] A. Sobiczewski, I. Muntian, and Z. Patyk, Phys. Rev. C 63, 034306 (2001).
- [64] P. Möller, J. Nix, W. Myers, and W. Swiatecki, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 59, 185 (1995).
- [65] M. Wang, G. Audi, F. G. Kondev, W. Huang, S. Naimi, and X. Xu, Chin. Phys. C 41, 030003 (2017).
- [66] S. X. Liu, J. Y. Zeng, and E. G. Zhao, Phys. Rev. C 66, 024320 (2002).
- [67] K. Abu Saleem, R. V. F. Janssens, M. P. Carpenter, F. G. Kondev, I. Wiedenhöver, I. Ahmad, J. Caggiano, P. Chowdhury, J. A. Cizewski, D. Cline, M. Devlin, N. Fotiades, J. P. Greene, G. Hackman, A. Heinz, T. L. Khoo, T. Lauritsen, C. J. Lister, A. O. Macchiavelli, E. H. Seabury, D. Seweryniak, A. Sonzogni, and C. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. C 70, 024310 (2004).
- [68] Z.-H. Zhang, J.-Y. Zeng, E.-G. Zhao, and S.-G. Zhou, Phys. Rev. C 83, 011304(R) (2011).
- [69] R. R. Chasman, I. Ahmad, A. M. Friedman, and J. R. Erskine, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 833 (1977).
- [70] G. A. Leander and Y. S. Chen, Phys. Rev. C 37, 2744 (1988).
- [71] A. K. Jain, R. K. Sheline, P. C. Sood, and K. Jain, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 393 (1990).
- [72] S. Zhu, R. Janssens, G. Lane, I. Wiedenhöver, M. Carpenter, I. Ahmad, A. Byrne, P. Chowdhury, D. Cline, A. Deacon, G. Dracoulis, S. Freeman, N. Hammond, G. Jones, T. Khoo, F. Kondev, T. Lauritsen, C. Lister, A. Macchiavelli, E. Moore, D. Seweryniak, J. Smith, and C. Wu, Phys. Lett. B 618, 51 (2005).