Single-nucleon knockout cross sections for reactions producing resonance states at or beyond the drip line

R. J. Charity⁽¹⁾, ¹ L. G. Sobotka⁽¹⁾, ^{1,2} and J. A. Tostevin³

¹Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130, USA

²Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130, USA

³Department of Physics, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

(Received 16 July 2020; accepted 29 September 2020; published 20 October 2020)

Single-nucleon knockout cross sections from fast secondary beams of the proton-drip-line nuclei 9C, 13O, and ¹⁷Ne on a ⁹Be target have been studied with emphasis on the production of resonance states. These states were identified by their invariant mass, and resonances with two-, three-, and five-body exit channels were examined. The measured cross sections for these states were compared with eikonal-model predictions using shell-model or variational Monte Carlo spectroscopic factors. The experimental yields were found to be suppressed relative to the model predictions, especially when a well-bound neutron or proton is removed. This suppression exceeds that found systematically in measured inclusive cross sections to particle-bound final states. In neutron knockout from ⁹C and ¹³O projectiles, this suppression of the unbound ground-state residuals yield is a factor of two to three times larger than that found in the bound final-state studies. Modifications to the structure of these systems due to coupling of the shell-model configurations to the continuum is expected to contribute to this extra suppression, especially when the final state is a near-threshold resonance. However, other considerations including the role of nuclear dynamics may be required to explain all the observed trends.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.102.044614

I. INTRODUCTION

Nucleon knockout reactions from fast secondary beams on light target nuclei such as ⁹Be and ¹²C have proven an effective way of making some of the most exotic isotopes far removed from the line of β stability. A much-used theoretical model of such single-nucleon knockout reactions makes use of the sudden and eikonal approximations [1]. The theoretical cross section to a given shell-model final state, which combines structure and dynamical information, is

$$\sigma_{th} = \left(\frac{A}{A-1}\right)^N C^2 S \sigma_{sp}.$$
 (1)

Here, the first term is a center-of-mass correction (for projectile mass A) to the shell-model spectroscopic factor C^2S for the overlap of the initial and final states and N is the number of oscillator quanta of the major shell of the removed particle. The single-particle cross section σ_{sp} , calculated assuming a single-particle overlap normalized to unity, is the sum of contributions from elastic and inelastic knockout processes [1].

A systematic comparison of the inclusive, bound-finalstates cross sections σ_{inc} from this theoretical approach, obtained by summing the individual σ_{th} for all shell-model final states with excitation energies below the first nucleon threshold, to the measured inclusive cross sections to bound residuals, σ_{expt} was performed in Ref. [2] and subsequently updated in Ref. [3]. The observed cross-section ratio $R_s =$ $\sigma_{\text{expt}}/\sigma_{\text{inc}}$ as a function of ΔS , the difference in separation energies of the two nucleon species from the projectile ground state, is reproduced in Fig. 1. Specifically, $\Delta S = S_p - S_n$ for proton-removal reactions and $S_n - S_p$ for neutron-removal reactions, ΔS being large and positive in cases where one removes a strongly bound nucleon. It was found that, within an error band of order 0.1, R_s falls essentially linearly from around unity in reactions with large negative ΔS to values of typically 0.3(1) for the largest measured ΔS of \approx 15–20 MeV. The latter arises when the removal is of a nucleon of the minority species from near its well-bound Fermi surface in a highly (N, Z) asymmetric nucleus. In this work we refer to these trends in the R_s behavior as the bound state, or R_s , systematics. These systematic studies were prompted when such an unexpectedly small $R_s = 0.24(3)$ was found in neutron knockout from the proton-rich ³²Ar projectile, having $\Delta S \approx 20$ MeV [4]. In that case, and with some other systems furthest from stability, the only bound final state was the ground state of the residual.

The origin of the systematic behavior of R_s within the eikonal-model analyses is unresolved at present but may originate from missing physics or from inadequacies in the assumed reaction dynamics (e.g., the sudden approximation) and/or the nuclear-structure model. In particular, the role and treatment of correlations in the many-body wave functions of (i) near-continuum systems, and (ii) np correlations at the energetically well-bound Fermi surface of the minority species, embedded as it is, spatially, within nuclear matter comprised of the majority nucleon species. A recent, completely different model approach [5] applied to inclusive cross sections for fast neutron and proton removal reactions from neutron-rich



FIG. 1. The systematic behavior of the ratio of experimental to theoretical inclusive neutron and proton knockout cross sections to particle-bound residuals, from Tostevin and Gade [3] (circular data points) plotted as a function of ΔS , the difference in the separation energies of the two nucleon species (see text). Also shown are the R_u values, the cross-section ratios determined from exclusive neutron knockout cross sections to particle-unbound states, obtained in this work (red squares). For the latter, the points are labeled by the mass number of the projectile. Also shown are the ratios obtained for (e, e'p) reactions on stable isotopes, taken from Ref. [3].

medium-mass nuclei, i.e., for reactions with larger negative and positive ΔS values, also calculated asymmetric R_s values with calculated yields significantly exceeding measured values for the proton removal cases. There it was argued that short-range correlations are responsible. One possibility is that the physical spectroscopic factors are suppressed compared with the (truncated model space) shell-model predictions for removal of the minority nucleon species. In attempts to probe this, related cross-section ratios, for more limited reaction sets and nuclear species, were also generated by using nucleon removal by transfer [6-8] and quasifree (p, 2p) knockout [9,10] processes. However, in the case of the transfer reactions, the ratios were generally computed from selected exclusive (e.g., ground state) cross sections and not bound-final-state-inclusive yields, while the (p, 2p) analysis was restricted to proton removal from oxygen isotopes. However, more constant ratios as a function of ΔS were obtained in these studies.

The discussion above relates only to bound final states. To date, there is a paucity of cross-section data on single-nucleon removal reactions leading to resonances. Nucleon removal from projectiles located at the drip line is often used as an effective way to create and study the ground and excited states of particle-unstable nuclei beyond the drip line. Most often such studies do not measure absolute cross sections. However, in planning experiments, quantitative cross-section estimates are needed for reliable beam-time requests. Thus, whether the eikonal model and observed bound-state-inclusive yield systematics are applicable also for the exclusive yields of resonances is of interest. Such cross sections for resonances might also help elucidate the physics behind the observed ΔS dependence seen in R_s . However, other factors may be

important for resonances. For example, the applicability of the standard (harmonic-oscillator basis) shell model, which treats the nucleus as a closed system, may have limitations. The study of resonance production cross sections near the drip lines is thus important to help quantify the theoretical models presently used.

In this work we report on neutron and proton knockout cross sections from light projectiles located at the proton drip line. The experimental data, using the invariant-mass technique to study the structure and decay properties of resonances in exotic nuclei, come from previously published works. These experiments were not optimized to determine knockout cross sections, but nevertheless provide useful results. In particular, we will present cross sections for ⁹C, ¹³O, and ¹⁷Ne projectiles. The particle-unstable states produced following one-nucleon removal were observed to sequentially decay into two-, three-, or five-body exit channels. For determining the cross sections, the challenge is to understand the detector response so that the detection efficiencies can be accurately determined. To identify a particular residual level in the invariant-mass method, all of its decay products must be detected, which can lead to small efficiencies for the exit channels with larger multiplicities. Statistical uncertainties are minimal in most, but not all, of the deduced cross sections, and the uncertainties presented are systematic unless otherwise specified.

II. HIGH-RESOLUTION ARRAY DATA AT $E/A \approx 60$ MeV

With one exception, the data presented in this study where obtained by using fast secondary beams produced at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory at Michigan State University. In all cases, the secondary beams were incident on the same 1-mm-thick ⁹Be target and the decay products were detected in the High-Resolution Array (HiRA) [11] with nearly identical configurations covering the same angular regions of the decay products ($\approx 2^{\circ}-12^{\circ}$). In these experiments, HiRA consisted of 14 Si-CsI(Tl) E- ΔE telescopes. Each telescope contained a double-side Si strip detector which provided position (scattering angle) information, and behind this was placed a 2×2 array of CsI(Tl) crystals. This arrangement allowed for multihits in the same telescope to be identified and thus increase the detection efficiency. The particle-unstable residuals from the knockout reaction were isolated from the invariant mass of their decay products.

The multiparticle detection efficiencies were calculated by using Monte Carlo simulations [12] where most of the detection loss is due to the geometric acceptance of the decay products, which must be detected in coincidence. To determine the geometric contribution accurately, the relative positions and orientations of each HiRA telescope were measured with an accuracy of 28 μ m with a coordinate machine arm. The latter, also called a ROMER arm, is a portable mechanical arm that precisely measures an object in a threedimensional (3D) coordinate system. The next most important ingredient is the angular distributions of the parent residual fragments after the knockout reaction. These must be determined for each residual state and are discussed in detail later. The residual fragments are assumed to decay isotropically. We have checked that reconstructed angular distributions of the decay fragments in the parent frame are consistent between the experiment and the "detected" simulated events, indicating that any spin alignment of the higher spin states is small in the cases studied.

The three-body exit channels observed in this work correspond to prompt 2p decay and correlations between the momenta of the decay fragments must be included in the simulations. These were initially taken from the experimentally measured correlations (Jacobi plots). Some examples for states considered in this work can be found in Refs. [13–15]). The Monte Carlo simulations show that the effect of the experimental resolution is small and a simple iterative technique was used to correct the experimental distributions for this resolution. For some states, theoretical correlations that are consistent with these corrected distributions are also available [14,15]. The most uncertain case studied is the five-body decay of ${}^{8}C$, which consists of two sequential steps of 2pdecay [16]. The second step involves the decay of ⁶Be^{g.s.} for which the correlations have been measured accurately [17]. However, the correlations for the first step are more uncertain. Some information on the first-step correlations was obtained in Ref. [16], but to estimate the uncertainty we also tried two other variants for the correlations: randomly sampling the three-body phase space and assuming more diproton-like correlations.

Some loss of events also occurs if a particle enters the region where two CsI(Tl) crystals from the same telescope abut [note, there are four CsI(Tl) crystals in each telescope]. Here the particle can enter one CsI(Tl) crystal, pass through the separating material, and even stop in an adjacent crystal so it will not fall within its $E \cdot \Delta E$ gate and be identified. To determine these losses, we have scanned the yield for each detected particle type as a function of Si strip position, on both sides of the Si detector, and observed dips in the yield where the crystals adjoin. The magnitude of these dips is then used in the Monte Carlo simulations to incorporate this effect. For example, with three decay products, this gave a total reduction of $\approx 25\%$ in the efficiency.

A second correction is made for fragments which pass into a single CsI(Tl) crystal but still do not lie in their $E \cdot \Delta E$ gate due to processes including nuclear reactions in the CsI(Tl) material [18,19], incomplete charge collection in the Si detectors due to crystal defects, and channeling in the Si crystals. This correction is determined from the calibration beams used to obtain the particle-dependent energy calibration for the CsI(Tl) detectors. These beams we also determined the fraction of the yield in the $E \cdot \Delta E$ plots that lies outside of the employed particle-identification gates. For three decay products, we lose $\approx 18\%$ of the events from these processes.

A. Knockout from ⁹C

Neutron and proton knockout from ${}^{9}C$ projectiles is studied by using data from two HiRA experiments at $E/A \approx 66.8$ MeV. In addition to knockout to resonant states, we also look at proton knockout to the ground state of ${}^{8}B$, which is particle stable. As ${}^{8}B$ has no particle-stable excited states to feed the ground state by γ decay, this measured cross section is also exclusive. In the first experiment [16,20], the gains for the Si amplifiers were set up for p and α detection, appropriate to detect the $4p + \alpha$ exit channel of ⁸C^{g.s.} produced following neutron knockout from the projectile. The proton-knockout channels were not identified as the heavier decay products saturated the Si amplifiers. However, in a second experiment [21], with almost exactly the same arrangement of the HiRA detectors, lower-gain amplifiers were used, allowing the detection of the $p + {}^{7}\text{Be}$ and $2p + {}^{6}\text{Li}$ decay channels from excited ⁸B states. In addition, the gains for the two innermost detectors were appropriate to determine the inclusive ⁸B ground-state yield over a more limited range of angles. In this second experiment, a few of the CsI(Tl) detectors did not function, which significantly reduced the ${}^{8}C \rightarrow 4p + \alpha$ detection efficiency. We therefore use the first experiment for the neutron knockout channel and the second for the proton knockout states. The accumulative number of ⁹C projectiles in each experiment was determined to be within 5% of each other from the elastic-scattering angular distributions measured with the CsI(Tl) crystals.

In addition to ${}^{9}C$, the secondary beams in both experiments also contained an $\approx 40\%$ contribution from ${}^{6}Li$ nuclei and $\lesssim 1\%$ contributions from ${}^{8}B$ and ${}^{7}Li$ contaminants.

1. Invariant-mass spectra

The ⁸B excitation-energy spectra, determined from the detected $p + {}^{7}\text{Be}$, $2p + {}^{6}\text{Li}$ channels with the invariant-mass technique, are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. The ordinate of these plots, $E_{n\gamma}^{*}$, is the excitation energy calculated by assuming the ⁷Be and ⁶Li fragments were produced in their ground states. However, in both these nuclei, there is one excited state that decays predominately by γ -ray emission. If single- or two-proton decays of ⁸B lead to the production of one these particle-bound excited states, then the actual excitation of the ⁸B states is greater than $E_{n\gamma}^{*}$ by the energy of this γ ray.

The $p + {}^{7}\text{Be}$ excitation-energy spectrum in Fig. 2(a) displays two strong peaks associated with the first $(J^{\pi}; T = 1^+; 1)$ and second (2⁺; 1) excited states of ${}^{8}\text{B}$ at 0.770 and 2.320 MeV, respectively [22]. The level scheme of ${}^{8}\text{B}$ and the decay paths of the excited states of interest in this work are presented in Fig. 3. Both of these peaks correspond to proton decays to the ground state of ${}^{7}\text{Be}$. The 1⁺; 1 state also has a small proton decay branch ($\approx 1\%$) to the first-excited state of ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ [23]. As the γ -ray energy is not included in the invariant-mass measurement, this branch is visible as a very small peak just lower in energy than the main 1⁺; 1 peak.

The $2p + {}^{6}\text{Li}$ excitation spectrum in Fig. 2(b) displays a peak associated with the 0⁺;2 isobaric-analog state (IAS) in ${}^{8}\text{B}$ at $E^{*} = 10.619$ MeV [21]. It has been shown that this state decays by prompt two-proton emission to the T = 1 isobaric-analog state in ${}^{6}\text{Li}$, which subsequently decays by the emission of a 3.562 MeV γ ray. Thus this peak is located at $E_{n\gamma}^{*} = 10.619 - 3.562 = 7.057$ MeV.

The decay-energy spectrum for the $4p + \alpha$ channel in Fig. 2(c) shows a clear peak associated with the 0⁺;2 ground state of ⁸C. It has been shown that, from correlations between the momentum of the decay products determined in this data



FIG. 2. Distribution of the excitation energy $E_{n\gamma}^*$ and the total decay kinetic energy E_T obtained with the invariant-mass method for the indicated exit channels with the $E/A = 66.8 \text{ MeV} {}^9\text{C}$ beam. The experimental distribution is shown by the data points, while the red curves give the fitted distribution, which comprises contributions from the individual levels (green dotted curves) and a background (blue dashed curves). Energies of known states are indicated by the arrows.

set, this state undergoes a prompt two-proton decay to ${}^{6}\text{Be}^{\text{g.s.}}$, which subsequently two-proton decays to $\alpha + p + p$ [16,20].



FIG. 3. Level scheme of ${}^{8}B$ showing the states of interest in this work, their proton decay pathways, and the observed sequential proton feeding from the ${}^{8}B$ levels.



FIG. 4. Target-nucleus excitation-energy distribution obtained from detected ⁹C projectile events that decay to the $p + {}^{8}B$ exit channel. The excitation energy was deduced by assuming two-body kinematics. The gate used to select $E_{\text{Target}}^{*} = 0$ events is shown.

Nucleon knockout to the ⁸C 0⁺;2 state and the ⁸B 0⁺;2 and 3⁺;1 states involves the removal of a $p_{3/2}$ nucleon. For the creation of the ⁸B 2⁺;1 ground state and the 1⁺;1 first-excited state, it is also possible that there are contributions from $p_{1/2}$ proton removal, but spectroscopic factors calculated with the shell model and the variational Monte Carlo model (Sec. IV) suggest the $p_{1/2}$ component is quite small. Thus, the expectation is that all these channels are predominately associated with $p_{3/2}$ nucleon removal.

2. Feeding from proton decays

The ⁸B yields can include, in addition to the main knockout contribution, feeding from inelastically excited ⁹C projectiles which subsequently decay by proton emission. This pathway can also be studied with the invariant-mass technique, and the ⁹C excited states which decay to, or through, ⁸B states were identified in Ref. [23] from this data set. The level diagram of Fig. 3 summarizes these observed decay paths. The ground state of ⁸B is fed by the first $(1/2^{-};3/2)$ and second $(5/2^-;3/2)$ excited states of ⁹C at $E^* = 2.218$ and 3.549 MeV, respectively, which were observed in the $p + {}^{8}B$ invariant-mass spectra. In addition, the 4.40 and 5.75 MeV states in ${}^{9}C$, which decay by sequential 2p emission, feed the 1⁺;1 and 3⁺;1 states of ⁸B, respectively. The contribution of this feeding to the observed yields is determined by simulating the production and decay of these ⁹C states and adjusting their yields and angular distributions to fit the experimental data.

The simulated quantities depend on the fitted inelasticscattering angular distribution for each ${}^{9}C$ state. It was found that these depend on whether the target nucleus is excited. The target excitation energy E_{Target}^{*} was determined by assuming that the inelastic scattering follows two-body kinematics. Figure 4 shows the deduced distribution of E_{Target}^{*} determined for all $p + {}^{8}B$ events. It is similar in shape to that obtained for the $2p + {}^{7}Be$ events with a strong peak for $E_{\text{Target}}^{*} = 0$ and a high-energy tail associated with higher target excitation energies or from events which do not follow two-body



FIG. 5. Distributions of the excitation energy $E_{n\gamma}^*$ obtained with the invariant-mass method for proton decay of inelastically excited ⁹C projectiles to the indicated states in ⁸B, for $E_{\text{Target}}^* = 0$. The solid red curves are fits to the data with contributions from individual levels (green dotted curves) and from backgrounds (blue-dashed curves).

kinematics. The widths of the observed E^*_{Target} peaks are consistent with the simulated resolution for this quantity. Similar-shaped E^*_{Target} distributions were observed for inelastic scattering of ⁷Be (E/A = 65.5 MeV) and ⁶Li (E/A = 36.6MeV) projectiles on ⁹Be targets [24] and for ⁷Li projectiles on ⁹Be, ¹²C, and ²⁷Al targets at E/A = 24 MeV [25,26]. The $E^*_{\text{Target}} = 0$ component has a more forward-focused angular distribution than that of the tail component.

The ⁹C excitation-energy spectra for the $E_{\text{Target}}^* = 0$ component (see gate in Fig. 4) are shown in Fig. 5. Figures 5(b) and 5(c) are from the $2p + {}^7\text{Be}$ events which have been gated on the ${}^8\text{B}_{1+;1}$ and ${}^8\text{B}_{3+;1}$ intermediate states, as in Ref. [23]. The solid red lines show fits to the these spectra used to determine the yield of each ${}^9\text{C}$ state. Apart from the contribution of ${}^9\text{C}$ peaks (dotted green curves), the fits also include a smooth background (dashed blue curves). This background can contain contributions from nonresonant breakup, which includes proton knockout events where both the knocked-out proton and the residual decay fragment are detected. For instance, in knockout of a proton to the ground state of ${}^8\text{B}$ at the somewhat-higher beam energy of E/A = 97.9 MeV, Bazin *et al.* extracted a proton angular distribution for the

elastic knockout process and extrapolated this to small angles using continuum-discretized coupled-channels calculations [27]. With this distribution, roughly 30% of these protons would lie within the maximum angle of 12° subtended by HiRA and thus can contribute to this background if the core is also detected. In addition, the background can include contributions from unresolved, wide resonances. The latter should be included in the sequential-feeding component, but we cannot differentiate these from the nonresonant component. The excitation spectra from the $E_{\text{Target}}^* > 0$ events are very similar in shape, but the extracted yields of the ⁹C states are smaller.

No evidence of any sequential feeding is found for the ${}^{8}B_{0^+;2}$ and ${}^{8}C_{0^+;2}$ states. In principle, it is possible for feeding following neutron decay of appropriate states for which these experiment are insensitive. However, for such proton-rich systems we expect the contribution from neutron feeding to be minimal.

3. Momentum and angular distributions

The longitudinal-momentum distributions determined from the ⁸B and ⁸C states are shown in Fig. 6. For the particle-unstable states, gates at the half-maximum points in the invariant-mass spectrum were used to reduce the relative contribution from background under the observed peaks. This is most important for the wide ${}^{8}B_{3+:1}$ state in Fig. 2(a). All P_{\parallel} distributions display a broad peak of similar width centered around the value corresponding to the beam velocity (vertical dotted lines). For the ⁸B ground state, in Fig. 6(a), a second narrow peak is also quite prominent at lower momentum. This peak is associated with elastic scattering of the small $(\leq 1\%)$ ⁸B beam impurity. In the subsequent analysis of these events, we have excluded the events in this peak and those of lower momentum. The final ⁸B_{2+:1} yield is then increased by $27 \pm 5\%$ to account for the missing tail events below our imposed lower cutoff. This correction is obtained from the relative fraction in the spectra for the other ⁸B states which are all similar in magnitude to within the quoted uncertainty. In principle, inelastic scattering of the ⁸B beam impurity could also contribute to the tails of the excited ⁸B states; however, this would produce peaks at the location indicated by the dashed vertical lines. As no such peaks are discerned, this contribution must be minimal.

The contributions to these P_{\parallel} distributions from sequential feeding as determined in the simulations of ⁹C decay are shown by the solid green curves in Figs. 6(a) to 6(c). These distributions each have peak and tail components, reflecting the corresponding components in the E_{Target}^* distributions (e.g., Fig. 4). The ⁸B_{3+;1} and ⁸B_{2+;1} states each have a 16% contribution from sequential feeding from proton decay. The contribution to the 1⁺;1 state is smaller, at 9%. The feeding for the ⁸B_{3+;1} state was amplified as two protons from the decay of the 5.75 MeV state in ⁹C are emitted with similar kinetic energies. If either one of these protons is not detected, then the invariant mass of the other proton and the ⁷Be residual contributes to the ⁸B_{3+;1} peak in Fig. 2(a).

Examples of the efficiency-corrected angular distributions $dN/d\theta$ of the knock-out products are shown in Fig. 7, as circular data points. The efficiency is obtained from the Monte



FIG. 6. Histograms showing the longitudinal-momentum distributions measured for the indicated residuals obtained with the $E/A = 66.8 \text{ MeV} {}^{9}\text{C}$ beam. Predictions from the eikonal calculations, normalized to the same peak height as the data, are shown by the red-dashed curves. The solid-green curves show the contributions from sequential proton feeding of inelastically excited ${}^{9}\text{C}$ projectiles. The vertical dotted lines show the momentum associated with the beam velocity at the center of the target, while the vertical dashed lines show the expected location of events from elastic and inelastically excited ${}^{8}\text{B}$ projectiles (beam contaminant) for $E_{\text{Target}}^{*} = 0$.

Carlo simulations assuming isotropic angular distributions as input.

The ${}^{8}B_{2+;1}$ ground-state distribution, in Fig. 7(a), was measured over a limited angular range as only the two inner-most telescopes had Si amplifier gains suitable to identify ${}^{8}B$ fragments. The ${}^{8}B_{3+;1}$ result, in Fig. 7(c), covers a much larger angular range extending down to 1° where the large kinetic-energy release in the proton decay can allow both decay products to recoil to larger angles and be detected.

The curves in Fig. 7 show the identified feeding contributions from proton decay of ${}^{9}C$ states. In Fig. 7(a), the contributions from the $1/2^{-}$ and $5/2^{-}$ states are indicated by the solid green and dashed blues curves. They are both similar in magnitude and angular dependence. In Figs. 7(b) and 7(c), the feeding contribution is shown for $E_{\text{Target}}^{*} = 0$ (solid red) and $E_{\text{Target}}^{*} > 0$ (dotted red). The $E_{\text{Target}}^{*} = 0$ component is more intense and more forward-focused in both cases. In



FIG. 7. Efficiency-corrected angular distributions in the laboratory frame obtained for the indicated residual states, and the E/A =66.8 MeV ⁹C beam, are shown as the black circular data points. Sequential proton feeding (SPF) contributions are indicated by the curves. In panel (a), these are subdivided into their contributions from the first (1/2⁻) and second (5/2⁻) excited states in ⁹C for all E_{Target}^* . In panels (b) and (c) they are subdivided into their contributions from $E_{\text{Target}}^* = 0$ and $E_{\text{Target}}^* > 0$. The blue square data points show the distributions after the subtraction of the SPF contributions.

all three panels of Fig. 7, the blue square data points show residual yields after these proton-feeding contributions are subtracted.

These angular distributions are an important input to the Monte Carlo simulations used to calculate the detection efficiencies for each of the observed channels. Alternatively, the total yield of these channels is the integral of the angular distributions corrected for the fraction of the yield outside the gate on the invariant-mass peaks. Figure 8 shows fits to all of the extracted efficiency-corrected, feeding-subtracted angular distributions. The largest uncertainty in the simulated efficiencies and in the final yields is due to the extrapolation of these distributions to angular regions with no experimental data, so some model is needed to make these extrapolations. We start by comparing the data and the simulated results (dotted green curves) using the transverse-momentum distributions predicted with our eikonal-model calculations (Sec. IV). These distributions include the effects of the angular resolution from the beam-spot size on the target and beam divergence, but



FIG. 8. Data points show the angular distributions of the indicated residuals in the laboratory frame with the sequential proton feeding subtracted. The solid red curves show fits to the data with a contribution that follows the angular dependence predicted by the eikonal model (green dotted curves) and a "tail" component (bluedashed curves).

this makes only a minor difference. For the cases where the experimental angular distributions are extracted down to small angles, such as the $J = 3^+$;1 state of ⁸B and the ground state of ⁸C, in Figs. 8(c) and 8(e), respectively, the eikonal model predicts the location and width of the peaks in the experimental distributions quite well. However, these calculations do not predict enough relative yield in the larger-angle tails. To compensate for this, we have added a second component into the simulations assuming transverse-momentum distributions of the form

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dP_{\perp}} \propto P_{\perp}^n \exp(-P_{\perp}^2/T), \qquad (2)$$

with fit parameters n and T plus the integrated yield of this component. The n and T parameters were constrained by fitting the 3^+ ; 1^8 B state, in Fig. 8(c), which has the most complete angular coverage with the best statistics. These parameters were kept fixed for the other states where only the relative contributions of the "tail" and the eikonal-model predictions were varied to best reproduce the data. The fits are

TABLE I. Results for the residual produced in single-nucleon knockout reactions from the E/A = 66.8 MeV 9 C beam. These include the spin-isospin J^{π} ; T, excitation energy E^{*} and particle decay width Γ of the residual, the separation energy E_{sep} of the removed nucleon, the efficiency ϵ for detection of the decay products, the sequential proton feeding fraction SPF, the experimental knockout cross section σ_{expt} with the feeding removed, the single-particle cross section $\sigma_{s.p.}$ from the eikonal calculation [using the Hartree-Fock (HF) rms radius to constrain the overlap] and from the overlap function predicted with the VMC model, the spectroscopic factors C^2S obtained with the Cohen-Kurath (CK) interactions and their equivalent in the VMC model, the final theoretical cross sections $\sigma_{CK,VMC}$ from both models, and the ratios of experimental to theoretical cross sections $\sigma_{expt}/\sigma_{CK,VMC}$.

Nucleon	р	р	р	р	n	
Residual	⁸ B	⁸ B	⁸ B	⁸ B	⁸ C	
Decay channel	⁸ B	$p + {}^7\text{Be}$	$p + {}^7\text{Be}$	$2p + {}^{6}\text{Li} + \gamma$	$4p + \alpha$	
$J^{\pi};T$	$2^+;1$	$1^+;1$	3+;1	$0^+;2$	$0^+;2$	
E^* (MeV)	0	0.770	2.320	10.619	0	
Γ (keV)	0	35.6(6)	350(3)	<60	130(10)	
$E_{\rm sep}$ (MeV)	1.296	2.066	3.616	11.915	14.225	
ϵ (%)	10.7(12)	8.5(7)	8.9(5)	3.2(4)	0.61(11)	
SPF (%)	16.6(8)	8.8(4)	16.4(8)			
$\sigma_{\rm expt}$ (mb)	48.6(73)	12.1(11)	37.7(24)	1.60(22)	3.93(88)	
$C^2 S_{CK}$	0.909	0.363	1.48	0.243	0.974	
$\sigma_{s,p}^{H,F}$ (mb)	63.7	55.9	46.3	28.8	32.1	
σ_{CK} (mb)	58.0	20.3	68.4	7.01	31.3	
$\sigma_{\rm expt}/\sigma_{CK}$	0.84(13)	0.60(5)	0.55(3)	0.23(3)	0.12(3)	
$C^2 S_{VMC}$	0.995	0.469	1.266	0.16	0.632	
$\sigma_{s,p}^{VMC}$ (mb)	57.0	52.2	49.4	38.0	34.9	
σ_{VMC}	56.7	24.4	62.5	6.08	22.06	
$\sigma_{\rm expt}/\sigma_{VMC}$	0.86(13)	0.49(4)	0.60(4)	0.26(4)	0.18(4)	

consistent to the extent that the "tail" components account for 4%-13% of the yield in all of the fits.

The final detection efficiencies ϵ are listed in Table I. No absolute measurement of the number of projectiles incident on the target was made in these experiments. To normalize the cross sections, we note that the $^8B^{\rm g.s.}_{2^+;1}$ knockout yield from ⁹C with a ⁹Be target was measured at a somewhat higher beam energy of E/A = 97.9 MeV [27]. As the knockout cross section has a modest energy dependence [28-30], we have used this value to fix the total cross section from both the true knockout reactions and the sequential feeding for the ${}^{8}B_{2^{+}:1}^{g.s.}$ state. The experimental cross section of 56(3) mb at $E/\tilde{A} = 97.9$ MeV was increased to 58 mb to account for the relative increase in the calculated cross section predicted by the eikonal model at the lower beam energy. To highlight the relative differences between the channels, for the normalization of the cross sections we have not propagated our 15% uncertainty in the determination of the ⁸B^{g.s.} yield or the 6% uncertainty in the ⁸B^{g.s.} cross section measured by Bazin *et al.* to the other channels. To obtain absolute uncertainties, an extra 21% systematic uncertainty must be added to the listed uncertainties for these channels. The knockout cross sections



FIG. 9. Level diagram showing states produced via one nucleon knockout from the ¹³O beam. The arrows show their one- and two-proton decay paths observed in the invariant-mass spectra. Inelastically excited states in ¹³O which feed the low-energy states in ¹²N by proton decay are also included.

 σ_{expt} for all channels with the sequential feeding subtracted are also listed in Table I.

B. Knockout from ¹³O

The HiRA setup, with an identical configuration, was also used in an experiment with a ¹³O secondary beam of energy of E/A = 65.4 MeV incident on the same 1-mm-thick ⁹Be target. Details of the experiment can be found in Ref. [13]. New dual-gain amplifiers for the silicon detectors were used to allow all particle-types of interest to be identified in all telescopes. The beam purity was 95%. In this study, in addition to particle-unstable states, we also include the proton knockout to the particle-stable ¹²N ground state for which, once again, we expect no sequential γ -decay feeding.

1. Invariant-mass spectra

The states produced in ¹²N and ¹²O by single nucleon knockout are shown in the level diagram of Fig. 9 along with their decay pathways. Single-proton knockout reactions producing the ground state (1⁺;1) and the first excited state (2⁺;1, $E^* = 0.961$ MeV) of ¹²N are expected to be dominated by the knockout of a $p_{1/2}$ proton, based on shell-model predictions. The 2⁺;1 first-excited state decays by single-proton emission. The excitation-energy spectrum for $p + {}^{11}$ C events, shown in Fig. 10(a), displays a strong peak associated with this excited state. A small high-energy shoulder, associated with the second-excited state (2⁻;1) is also present. The solid curve shows the fit used to determine the number of detected events from the 2⁺;1 state. We have not attempted to extract a cross section for the 2⁻;1 state because it has a small



FIG. 10. Distributions of the excitation energy E_{ny}^* and total decay kinetic energy E_T for residuals produced following singlenucleon knockout reactions from the E/A = 65.4 MeV ¹³O beam. The curves are as described in the caption of Fig. 2.

peak-to-background ratio making extraction of its angular distributions uncertain.

The neutron knockout reaction also populated the ¹²O^{g.s.} channel, the isobaric analog of which lies at 12.242 MeV in ¹²B and is the second known 0⁺ state in ¹²N. Production of both of these states involves the removal of a $p_{3/2}$ nucleon from ¹³O and both states decay by two-proton emission [13]. They are observed with minimal background in the invariant-mass spectra in Figs. 10(b) and 10(c), where fits used to extract the detected yields are also shown. The IAS in 12 N 2p decays to the IAS in 10 B, which subsequently emits a 1.740-MeV γ ray [13,31]. Thus, it is associated with the peak at $E_{ny}^* = 12.242 \cdot 1.740 = 10.502$ MeV in Fig. 10(b). Also present in these invariant-mass spectra are the peaks associated with $J^{\pi} = 2^+$, T = 2 analogs in ¹²O and ¹²N. There are two such states in each spectrum which are labeled by 2_1^+ and 2_2^+ . The subscripts here refer to the first and second $J^{\pi} = 2^{\overline{+}}; T = 2$ state observed in each isotope. We note that there are significant backgrounds under all of the 2^+ ; 2 state peaks so this adds some uncertainty in determining their angular distributions. To reduce this uncertainty, tighter gates ($\approx \pm 300$ keV) were placed around each peak maximum when extracting the angular distributions. We note, however, that gates placed on the tails of the peaks produced similar-shaped angular distributions so the error



FIG. 11. Excitation-energy distribution showing fitted states in ¹³O that proton decay to the ground state of ¹²N. The curves are as described in the caption of Fig. 2.

associated with background within these gates is expected to be small.

2. Feeding from proton decay

Sequential proton feeding to the ground state of ¹²N was also observed from inelastically excited states of ¹³O. The excitation-energy spectrum obtained from $p + {}^{12}N$ events with $E^*_{\text{Target}} = 0$ is shown in Fig. 11 where it is fit with five peaks plus a smooth background. The most prominent peak, at $E^* = 2.956(10)$ MeV, has been observed in a previous invariant-mass study [32] and the lowest-energy peak is the $1/2^+$ first-excited state observed in $p + {}^{12}N$ scattering [33]. For some of these states, the angular distributions of the decay fragments in the parent's reference frame for the $E_{\text{Target}}^* = 0$ component were found to be strongly anisotropic. This is indicative of significant ¹³O* spin alignment similar to that found in Refs. [24-26,34]. More details will be given in a future paper on the structure of ¹³O. Monte Carlo simulations were fit to these data and then used to determine the sequential feeding as in the analysis of ⁹C inelastic scattering in Sec. II A 2. This feeding was found to contribute 21(1)% of the detected ¹²N^{g.s.}_{1+:1} fragments. The ¹²N_{2+:1} state yield was found to have an $\approx 1\%$ feeding from the $E^* = 3.025$ MeV level observed in the $2p + {}^{11}C$ invariant-mass spectrum [32] which sequentially 2p-decays through this state. No feeding was identified for the other ¹²N and ¹²O states.

3. Momentum and angular distributions

The longitudinal-momentum distributions determined for six of the considered states are shown in Fig. 12. A lowmomentum threshold has been applied to the ¹²N^{g.s.}_{1+;1} spectrum because the ¹²N Z line is crossed by an artifact in the $E-\Delta E$ plot—associated with elastic scattering in the inner detectors. A correction of 9%, based on an extrapolation using the ¹²N_{2+;1} spectral shape [Fig. 12(b)], was applied to account for the missing tail. The dotted magenta curve shows this extrapolation in Fig. 12(a).





FIG. 12. Longitudinal-momentum distributions, as in Fig. 6, but for reactions of the E/A = 65.4 MeV ¹³O beam. The magenta dotted curve in panel (a) shows the extrapolation used to account for the missing yield below the low-momentum threshold for the identification of ¹²N fragments.

The efficiency-corrected $dN/d\theta$ distributions with sequential proton feeding subtracted are displayed in Fig. 13. We have again made the same model-dependent extrapolations to zero angle as in Sec. II A 3 to account for the missing acceptance of the detector. The yield at smaller angle is assumed to be described by the eikonal model prediction with a "tail" component added to reproduce the data. We investigated different values of the parameter *n* in Eq. (2) in the fits and this range of values is captured by the quoted errors on the efficiencies.

The secondary beam in this experiment was further purified by using the radio frequency fragment separator [35] where a scintillation foil was used to detect separate beam particles. Corrections due to losses of beam particles in transport to the target were determined from periodically placing a CsI(Tl) scintillation counter in the target position during the experiments. Corrections were also made for the acquisition dead time by using a random pulse generator. The final number of beam particles is determined to 10% and the target thickness is known to 2%. With these values,



FIG. 13. Efficiency-corrected angular distributions in the laboratory frame with the sequential proton feeding removed, as in Fig. 8, but for the E/A = 65.4 MeV ¹³O beam.

the exclusive cross sections of the knockout products are given in Table II.



FIG. 14. Distribution of the decay energy E_T for the $2p + {}^{14}\text{O}$ exit channel populated from the $E/A = 58.2 \text{ MeV} {}^{17}\text{Ne}$ beam.

C. Knockout from ¹⁷Ne

Data obtained from earlier invariant-mass studies with an $E/A = 58.2 \text{ MeV}^{17} \text{Ne} \text{ beam} [12,14,34] \text{ were also employed.}$ Only results for neutron knockout to ¹⁶Ne will be presented because the proton knockout channels are difficult to separate. The decay-energy spectrum from $2p + {}^{14}O$ events, in Fig. 14, shows the presence of the 0^+ ;2 ground state of ¹⁶Ne and its 2^+ ;2 first-excited state. The efficiency-corrected ground-state angular distribution and longitudinal-momentum distribution are shown in Figs. 15(a) and 15(b), respectively. They are qualitatively similar to the other neutron knockout distributions. With the larger mass of the projectile, the angular distribution does not extend out as far in angle as found for the lighter projectiles. The solid red curve in Fig. 15(a) shows the fit to this distribution with the two components. The relative weights are roughly similar to that found for the ¹³O knockout channels.

TABLE II. Results for single-nucleon knockout reactions from the $E/A = 65.4 \text{ MeV}^{13}\text{O}$ and the $E/A = 58.2 \text{ MeV}^{17}\text{Ne}$ beams. Quantities are the same as were detailed in Table I.

Beam	¹³ O	¹³ O	¹³ O	¹³ O	¹³ O	¹³ O	¹³ O	¹³ O	¹⁷ Ne	¹⁷ Ne
Nucleon	р	р	р	р	р	п	п	п	п	п
Residual	¹² N	^{12}N	12 N	12 N	12 N	¹² O	¹² O	¹² O	¹⁶ Ne	¹⁶ Ne
Decay channel	¹² N	$p + {}^{11}C$	$2p + {}^{10}B + \gamma$	$2p + {}^{10}\mathbf{B} + \gamma$	$2p + {}^{10}B + \gamma$	$2p + {}^{10}C$	$2p + {}^{10}C$	$2p + {}^{10}C$	$2p + {}^{14}O$	$2p + {}^{14}O$
J^{π} ;T	$1^+;1$	$2^+;1$	$0^+;2$	$2_1^+;2$	$2^+_2;2$	$0^+;2$	$2^+_1;2$	$2^+_2;2$	$0^+; 2$	2+;2
E^* (MeV)	0	0.961	12.242	14.314	16.992	0	2.099(18)	4.775(17)	0	1.69(2)
Γ (keV)	0	<20	<100	193(26)	420(120)	51(19)	155(15)	754(25)	<80	150(50)
$E_{\rm sep}$ (MeV)	1.512	2.473	13.754	15.826	18.504	16.87	18.97	21.65	15.558	17.25
ε (%) SPF (%)	21.5(3.2) 21(1)	6.8(11) 1.2(5)	3.26(19)	4.38(36)	3.62(30)	3.98(64)	4.41(36)	3.25(27)	2.29(18)	2.67(21)
$\sigma_{\rm expt}$ (mb)	34.(12)	30.2(89)	0.138(29)	0.93(22)	1.36(29)	1.35(44)	2.25(66)	4.26(90)	3.96(44)	1.7(4)
C^2S	0.666	1.04	0.139	0.465	0.163	0.556	1.86	0.654	0.875	.0792
$\sigma_{s.p.}$ (mb)	50.5	43.6	22.4	20.2	18.8	20.5	19.3	18.1	18.1	17.0
σ_{th} (mb)	33.6	45.3	3.12	9.40	3.07	11.4	35.9	11.8	15.8	1.35
$\sigma_{\mathrm{expt}}/\sigma_{th}$	1.0(4)	0.67(2)	0.044(9)	0.099(22)	0.44(9)	0.12(4)	0.063(11)	0.36(3)	0.25(6)	1.28(14)





FIG. 15. (a) Efficiency-corrected angular distribution as in Fig. 8 and (b) longitudinal-momentum distribution as in Fig. 6 but for ground-state ¹⁶Ne residuals produced in the neutron knockout reaction from the E/A = 58.2 MeV ¹⁷Ne beam.

The cross sections were normalized by using the number of beam particles detected with a thin scintillation foil at the focal point of the A1900 spectrometer. Again, corrections for the data-acquisition dead time, the beam purity, and its transport efficiency to the target position were made. The latter were enabled by temporarily placing a detector in the target position. The simulated detector efficiency and deduced cross section are also listed in Table II.

III. 28 MeV/A DATA

Knockout cross sections are not expected to have a strong bombarding energy dependence [28–30]. We can check this for the particle-unstable residuals by using data for the ¹³O \rightarrow ¹²O reaction which was also measured at E/A = 28.2 MeV, at the Texas A&M University Cyclotron Facility [31]. This beam energy is too low to apply the eikonal-model and the sudden approximation, but a significantly larger cross section from these data would cast doubt upon the value obtained at the higher beam energy.

The 28.2 MeV/A ¹³O secondary beam (2000–4000 s⁻¹) impinged on a 45.6 mg/cm⁻² ⁹Be target and the ¹²O decay products and the unreacted ¹³O beam particles were detected in a single large-area Si-CsI(Tl) E- ΔE telescope centered on

the beam axis. See Ref. [31] for more details. The *E* detector consisted of 32 close-packed CsI(Tl) crystals to allow for multihit capability. This telescope covered most of the angular spread of the decay fragments and so there is less sensitivity to the transverse-momentum distribution assumed in the simulations and no extrapolation to small angles is needed. Thus, the detection efficiency was significant higher; 36(5)%compared with 3.98(64)% for the same case with the HiRA detector. Similar corrections were made for particles that did not fall in their $E - \Delta E$ gate. The number of beam particles was counted directly in the same detector so acquisition-dead-time corrections were not needed. Contributions to the systematic error were from the target thickness (2%), efficiency (11%), and the number of projectiles (5%). In addition, because only 75 ground-state events were detected, there is also an 8% statistical error. The extracted cross section of 1.5(5)(3) mb [(systematic) (statistical)] for the ${}^{12}O_{0^+;2}^{g.s.}$ state is consistent with the value of 1.35(44) mb obtained at the higher energy (E/A = 65.5 MeV). Therefore, the cross section for the higher bombarding energy obtained with the HiRA is not unusual and confirms that there is only modest dependence of the knockout cross sections on beam energy.

IV. THEORETICAL CROSS SECTIONS

To allow comparison with the bound-final-state systematics, the σ_{sp} were calculated with the same procedures as used in that work. Details can be found in Ref. [2]. Except for the ⁹C beam cases, the residual-target optical potentials, for determination of their elastic-scattering *S* matrices, were constrained by using the residues' neutron and proton densities obtained from Hartree-Fock calculations. For the A = 8residues, a Gaussian density of rms radius 2.38 fm was used, a value consistent with high-energy reaction cross section data [36]. The overlap functions—specifically, the geometry of the Woods-Saxon binding potentials used to calculate the radial form factors of the knocked-out nucleon,—were also constrained by the rms radii of the different single-particle orbitals as obtained in the corresponding Hartree-Fock calculations for the projectile, see Ref. [2].

The shapes of the longitudinal and transverse momentum distributions are calculated for the dominant inelastic (stripping) mechanism following the formalism of Ref. [37]. In the case of the longitudinal distributions, such calculations have been compared with those from both continuum-discretized coupled-channels calculations [38] and from eikonal calculations of the elastic (diffractive) component and found to be very similar. Predicted longitudinal-momentum distributions (red dashed curves) are compared with their experimental counterparts in Figs. 6, 12, and 15(b). These predictions have the experimental resolution incorporated via the Monte Carlo simulations and have been normalized to the same peak height as their experimental counterparts. Generally, the theoretical predictions explain the high-momentum edge of the observed distributions which are wider and have more significant lowermomentum tails. P_{\parallel} distributions for a number of these states were also calculated in the transfer-to-the-continuum (TC) model, by Bonaccorso [39], for which momentum and energy sharing between the removed nucleon, residual, and target (in

its ground state) is treated. In that model, the calculated highand low-momentum tails are no longer symmetric about the center value as is inherent in the eikonal-model predictions. However, the TC distributions do not explain the extent of the low-momentum tails observed in the data as the experimental distributions are also wider than these predictions. Such low-momentum tails are commonly observed in knockout reactions to particle-bound final states [38,40–43]. Theoretically, they have been attributed to either the dynamics of the removed nucleon inside the potential of the residual nucleus [42,44] or caused by (a) the dissipative nature of the dominant inelastic removal (stripping) knockout mechanism, and (b) the energy and momentum transfer to the target in the kinematics of the elastic breakup mechanism [38]. Possibly, the lowmomentum tails in the P_{\parallel} distributions are linked to the "tail" components in the fitted angular distributions in Figs. 8, 13, and 15(a). It is also possible that these experimental distributions are biased, due to the angular acceptance of the detector, which has a greater efficiency for the "tail" component in the angular distribution.

Shell-model spectroscopic factors C^2S for the required overlaps were calculated with the OXBASH code [45] and are listed in Tables I and II where the center-of-mass correction from Eq. (1) has been included. For the ⁹C projectile, the Cohen-Kurath effective interaction (POT) in the p shell [46] was used, while for the ¹³ O and ¹⁷Ne projectiles the PSDWBT effective interactions in the psd space [47] was used to be more consistent with the calculations used in the bound-states systematics studies. For the two heavier projectiles, we also considered the PSDMWK effective interaction [48] for comparison. Generally, the predicted spectroscopic factors are quite similar for the two psd interactions (within 20%), except for the 2^+_2 ; 2 states in ${}^{12}N$ and ${}^{12}O$ and the 2^+_2 ; 2 state in ${}^{16}Ne$ where the spectroscopic factors differ by 200% to 1000%. Possibly the corresponding 2^+ levels have a different energy ordering with the two effective interactions. We note that Fortune argued, based on his spectroscopic factors, that the fourth 2^+ state should be strongly populated in a neutron knockout reaction from ¹³O and the second and third 2⁺ states should be populated very weakly [49]. In view of these difficulties in assigning the appropriate 2^+ state, we ignore these three states in the subsequent discussions.

For the ⁹C projectile, we also considered spectroscopic factors from the ab initio variational Monte Carlo (VMC) model [50,51], listed in Table I. The VMC calculates the particle-unstable states in a bound-state approximation and thus the overlap functions fall exponentially at large separations between the core and the removed nucleon. However, the VMC overlap functions do incorporate effects beyond the shell model. For instance, the VMC wave functions spread out with increasing excitation energy, for example, the ${}^{8}B$ proton (neutron) rms radius increases from 2.45 fm (2.14 fm) for ${}^{8}B_{2+}^{g.s.}$ to 2.77 fm (2.41 fm) for the 0⁺;2 isobaric-analog state. In addition, removing a neutron from ⁹C^{g.s.} to form ⁸C^{g.s.} increases the proton rms radius from 2.47 to 2.88 fm. With the VMC spectroscopic factors, the single-particle cross sections were recomputed by using Woods-Saxon bound-state best fits to the VMC radial overlap functions, in the manner of Ref. [52].



FIG. 16. Ratios of the experimental to theoretical cross sections for single-nucleon knockout channels as a function of the separation energy of the removed nucleon. Panel (a) shows the results for the $E/A = 66.8 \text{ MeV} {}^{9}\text{C}$ beam with theoretical cross sections calculated with spectroscopic factors obtained with the Cohen-Kurath (CK) effective interaction in the shell model and with the variational Monte Carlo (VMC) model. (b) Results for the $E/A = 65.4 \text{ MeV} {}^{13}\text{O}$ beam. To concentrate on the relative difference between the channels, systematic uncertainties that are common to all channels are excluded from the error bars in both panels. Proton knockout channels are indicated with the circular data points, while neutron channels are indicated with the square data points.

V. DISCUSSION

The ratios $\sigma_{\text{expt}}/\sigma_{th}$ obtained for the exclusive, unbound final-state cross sections will be designated R_u to distinguish these from the inclusive bound-state ratio. These are plotted against the separation energy E_{sep} of the removed nucleon in Fig. 16(a) for the ⁹C-projectile data. The error bars here only include systematic uncertainties which are not common to all channels so as to concentrate on their relative differences. We observe a rapid drop in the ratio with increasing E_{sep} . For neutron knockout to the unbound ground state of ⁸C (square points), the results lie on the systematic trend observed for the proton knockout results (circular points). This behavior is observed with both the shell-model (filled symbols) and VMC (open symbols) overlap functions. Indeed, the results obtained with the two structure models are quite similar; the lower spectroscopic factors predicted by the VMC model are compensated by higher values predicted for σ_{sp} , a result of the VMC overlaps being more spatially extended.

The ${}^{8}B_{2^{+}}^{g.s.}$ yield has the largest uncertainty due to the extrapolation of the experimental angular distributions. Due to the normalization of the σ_{expt} based on a previous measurement for this state, a decrease in the measured number of ${}^{8}B_{2^{+}}^{g.s.}$ fragments produced in the experiment would increase the deduced cross sections for the other states. A model-independent lower limit to this number is obtained by taking an extrapolation from the minimum-angle data point that goes linearly to zero at 0° in Fig. 8(a). This decreases the yield by 23% and thus would increase the deduced cross sections for the other channels by the same amount. The general trend with E_{sep} is similar; however, now there is a unrealistic discontinuity in the slope of the ground-state angular distribution.

The ratios obtained for the ¹³O projectile are displayed in Fig. 16(b) where again the systematic uncertainties which are common to all channels are excluded from the error bars. For the $^{12}\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{g.s.}}_{1^+;1}$ residuals which corresponds to $\Delta S = -15.36$ MeV, the inclusive bound-state systematics would predict a value of $\sigma_{\text{expt}}/\sigma_{th}$ of 0.8(1). Our extracted value of 1.0(4) is consistent with this. There is, again, a rapid drop in the ratio R_u for the states with increasing E_{sep} with similar values for states formed via neutron (circular points) and proton (square points) knockout reactions. The lowest ratio of $R_u = 0.044(9)$ was obtained for the production of the IAS in ${}^{12}N$ (0⁺;2); however, it was suggested in Ref. [13] that this state may have a significant γ -decay branch in addition to the observed 2p decay channel. If this is the case, the cross section and ratio should be larger than reported here. However, apart from this uncertainty, the ratios obtained with both the ⁹C and ¹³O projectiles show very similar dependencies on E_{sep} .

For the ¹³O beam, proton knockout to the ground state of ¹²N corresponds to $\Delta S = -15.36$ MeV while neutron knockout to the ground state of ¹²O is 15.36 MeV. Thus, based on the bound states R_s systematics (Fig. 1), we would expect a reduction of the ratio for ${}^{12}O_{0^+;2}^{g.s.}$ compared with ${}^{12}N_{1^+;1}^{g.s.}$, as observed. One can make a similar argument for a reduction of the ⁸C^{g.s.} ratio compared with the ⁸B^{g.s.} ratio. However, the reduction of the ratio for these neutron knockout channels is larger than expected. This is demonstrated in Fig. 1, which compares these exclusive R_u ratios for ${}^{8}C_{0+:2}^{g.s.}$ and ${}^{12}O_{0+:2}^{g.s.}$ residuals with the R_s systematics. Here we have included the full uncertainties associated with obtaining the experimental cross sections and, to be consistent, we use the ratio obtained with the shell-model inputs for the ⁸C^{g.s.} point. The ratios for ¹²O^{g.s.} and ⁸C^{g.s.} are around a factor of two to three smaller than the R_s systematics for the same values of ΔS . This large difference cannot be explained by uncertainties in normalization and the angular and momentum extrapolations employed. These two cases of knockout to (exclusive) resonance ground states thus show a further reduction in cross section than would be predicted from the inclusive, boundstates systematics. The result for neutron knockout from ¹⁷Ne to the ground state of ¹⁶Ne is also shown. It lies between the earlier systematics and our results for ⁸C^{g.s.} and ¹²O^{g.s.}, possibly indicating a mass dependence.

The neutron knockout cross section from ¹⁰C to the ⁹C^{g.s.} was measured by Grinyer *et al.* [52], giving a value of $R_s = 0.48(2)$ with the theoretical cross section calculated with the Cohen-Kurath shell-model spectroscopic factor in the same manner as this work. Given that ⁹C has no particlebound excited states, the measured yield in this case is exclusive. We observe that, with a ⁹C projectile containing one less neutron, the ratio for knockout to the unbound ⁸C ground state has dropped to 0.12(6). Note that we are seeing a reduction in the ratio even though ΔS decreases by ≈ 4 MeV between neutron knockout from ¹⁰C and ⁹C.

We find a similar drop in the ratio for oxygen isotopes. Flavigny *et al.* measured the single-neutron knockout cross section from ¹⁴O to ¹³O^{g.s.} and obtained $\sigma_{expt}/\sigma_{th} = 0.26(2)$ [42]. Again, the ¹³O residual has no particle-bound excited states, so this ratio is for the exclusive cross section. For ¹³O, with one less neutron, the ratio for neutron knockout to ¹²O^{g.s.} falls to 0.12(4). Thus, for both the carbon and oxygen isotopes, the ratio falls as the knockout reaction moves the residue across the proton drip line. This may portend a more general reduction in cross sections for knockout across drip lines, but it may also be peculiar to the three cases shown in Fig. 16.

If this drop in the ratio as one crosses the drip line is due to nuclear structure effects, it suggests that the structure of oxygen and carbon isotopes change dramatically as one crosses the proton drip line. The shell-model effective interactions used were constrained from nuclei closer to β stability, so using them at and beyond the drip lines may be problematic. However, we see that the ratio obtained with the VMC model for ${}^{9}C \rightarrow {}^{8}C$ was quite similar. For both ${}^{13}O$ and ${}^{12}O$, the ground-state configurations are predominately p shell in the shell-model calculations. Close to the drip line we expect a quenching of the Z = 8 shell gap due to the intruder $s_{1/2}$ orbital based on results obtained for the mirror nuclei ¹³B and ¹²Be. There is evidence that both nuclei have sizable sd-shell strength [53-55]. However, Fortune estimates the spectroscopic factor for proton removal from ¹³B is reduced only by 14% compared with the value calculated with just p-shell configurations [54]. Such a reduction is too small to account for the large fall in $\sigma_{\text{expt}}/\sigma_{th}$ seen for $^{13}\text{O} \rightarrow ^{12}\text{O}$.

In the ${}^{13}B \rightarrow {}^{12}Be$ mirror proton knockout reaction, the inclusive bound-states yield was measured to be 8.9(3) mb with an $E/A \approx 400$ MeV beam and a carbon target [56]. The inclusive yield can have contributions from the 0_1^+ ground state and the 0^+_2 , 2^+_1 , and 1^-_1 excited states, all located below the neutron separation energy. However, based on the shellmodel spectroscopic factors, or those from Fortune [54], the 0_1^+ and 2_1^+ contributions are expected to be the most substantial. We calculate $R_s = 0.35(1)$ from this inclusive yield which is consistent with the R_s systematics for the relevant $\Delta S = 12.15$ MeV. For the ¹³O \rightarrow ¹²O case, the sum of the 0⁺₁ and 2_1^+ contributions is 3.6(10) mb. If the 0_2^+ and 1_1^- states are present, they will contribute to the shoulder on the low-energy side of the observed 2^+_1 peak in the invariant-mass spectrum of Fig. 10(c), for which a separate peak was introduced in the fit to the data [13]. But this shoulder could also be associated with the 2^+_2 state if it has a 2*p*-decay branch to the

first-excited state in ¹⁰C [13] (dashed arrow in Fig. 9). Based on this, we include the cross section for the fitted shoulder peak in Fig. 10(c) with those from the 0_1^+ and 2_1^+ peaks to give a maximum cross section for the four states of 4.0(10)mb. This is still a factor of 0.44(12) less than the inclusive vield for the corresponding states in the mirror reaction. Of course the target and beam energy were different in these two mirror reactions, but the difference in cross section between a C and a Be target is quite minor. Reference [52] finds an $\approx 15\%$ increase in the cross section for a C target. On the other hand, the higher beam energy should slightly reduce the cross section, compensating for the increase due to the C target. In the eikonal model, the corresponding $\sigma_{s.p.}$ values differ by only 2%–3% in these mirror reactions. Thus, these differences in cross sections indicate an observed breaking of mirror symmetry and further emphasizes the low values of the neutron knockout cross sections measured in this work.

As the drip-line is approached and crossed, the coupling of the shell-model configurations to the continuum should be considered. Neither the shell model nor the VMC model includes this effect. The effect of continuum coupling on spectroscopic factors has been considered for particle-bound systems. These studies predicted that spectroscopic factors are reduced by 15% or less [57]. The continuum is of course expected to break mirror symmetry between the particleunstable ¹²O and its particle-stable mirror ¹²Be. Grigorenko et al. predicted a tens of percent increase in occupancy of the $v(s_{1/2})^2$ configuration in ¹²O compared with the corresponding $\pi (s_{1/2})^2$ configuration in ¹²B due to the continuum, which they ascribed to a three-body Thomas-Ehrman effect [58]. Although this would modify the ${}^{13}O \rightarrow {}^{12}O$ spectroscopic factor, the effect does not appear sufficient to produce the large changes in $\sigma_{expt}/\sigma_{th}$ observed for the oxygen isotopes and the mirror reaction.

Significant changes in nuclear structure are expected for near-threshold [59–61] states and those close to exceptional points [62]. Near-threshold states are located close in energy to a threshold for a particular exit channel and the coupling to this continuum aligns the wave function to this exit-channel configuration. The ¹⁶Ne ground state, one of the residuals considered in this work, has been discussed as such a near-threshold state [59] which, based on the most recent measurement of its energy [14], is located 196(20) keV above the $p + {}^{15}F^{g.s.}_{1/2^+}$ threshold. Due to Coulomb effects, the alignment of the wave function is strongest if the state is located above the proton threshold with the optimum value at 0.5 MeV above the threshold for ¹⁶Ne [59]. Based on the most recent measurements [13], the ¹²O^{g.s.} is located 0.660 MeV above the $p + {}^{11} N_{1/2^+}^{\text{g.s.}}$ threshold, so it might also have a near-threshold configuration. Indeed, a preliminary calculation with the shell model embedded in the continuum [63] suggests that the inclusion of continuum coupling for the ${}^{13}O \rightarrow {}^{12}O^{g.s.}$ case does lead to a significant suppression of its spectroscopic factor [64]. In addition, preliminary calculations within a different theoretical framework, the Gamow shell model [65,66], suggest a similar suppression of the ${}^{9}C \rightarrow {}^{8}C^{g.s.}$ spectroscopic factor [67].

Clearly, further theoretical work is needed to extend such studies to other unbound resonances. However, not all of the resonance states studied in this work can be considered near-threshold states or close to exceptional points and it is not at all clear why a systematic trend with E_{sep} would be produced by the continuum coupling effect. One should also note that, for knockout to a particle-unstable state, there is not a unique spectroscopic factor but a distribution of strength. Indeed, the reaction and structure should be considered in a consistent and time-dependent model that accounts for the possible interference between the resonant and nonresonant continuum [68,69].

We are aware of one other study where a cross section for knockout to a resonance state was measured. Peters *et al.* looked at single-neutron knockout from ¹²Be projectiles and observed the $E^* = 3.949$ MeV, $J^{\pi} = 3/2_2^+$ state in the $n + 1^{10}$ Be invariant-mass spectrum [70]. The production of this state corresponds to the removal of a neutron from ¹²Be with a separation energy of $E_{sep} = 7.1$ MeV. For the relevant value of ΔS for ¹²Be, the R_s systematics suggest that $\sigma_{expt}/\sigma_{th} \approx 1$. Indeed, the measured cross section was consistent with the shell model plus eikonal dynamics calculations, so this indicates that not all cases of knockout to individual resonances give rise to $\sigma_{expt}/\sigma_{th}$ values much smaller than the bound-state systematics.

Perhaps the role of the continuum coupling on the structure of the residuals is not the only physics driver here. The projectiles considered in this work are all fragile with small proton separation energies and low Coulomb barriers. For the residuals with the small R_u values in this work, one is attempting to remove a well-bound nucleon. The eikonal model assumes that one does so with a minimal disruption of the remaining nucleons, since the residue target interaction is computed from the HF ground-state density of the residue for all final states. In addition, the measured longitudinal-momentum distributions show substantial low-momentum tails, as is common in other knockout studies, suggesting the importance of dissipative processes that may suggest that missing dynamical effects may play a role in explaining the observed trends.

VI. CONCLUSION

Cross sections for single-nucleon knockout from the light proton-drip-line projectiles ⁹C, ¹³O, and ¹⁷Ne have been extracted from previously published data sets. Most of the residuals produced in the knockout reactions are resonances and were detected from the invariant mass of their decay products. Corresponding theoretical cross sections were calculated by using the eikonal model and spectroscopic factors from the shell model. All other inputs were consistent with those used in the systematic studies of inclusive yields for particle-bound residuals [2,3]. For the ⁹C residuals, spectroscopic factors and overlap functions from the variational Monte Carlo model were also considered and found to give similar calculated cross sections.

The ratio of the experimental to theoretical cross section for these exclusive, unbound states R_u was found to drop rapidly as more deeply bound nucleons were removed. Proton and neutron knockout channels followed the same trend within the experimental uncertainties. R_u for neutron knockout to the ground-state residuals was found to be significantly smaller than the R_s interpolated from the bound-state systematics at the same value of separation-energy asymmetry ΔS . The physical origin of these observations is not clear. As the residuals associated with knockout of deeply bound nucleons are resonances, modifications to their nuclear structure due to coupling of the shell-model-like configurations to the continuum will play a role, especially for knockout to near-threshold states, but we do not know whether this mechanism can explain all of the observed trends.

Clearly, more theoretical and experimental studies are needed to understand these observations. Our experimental studies are confined to light proton-rich projectiles with the same N - Z values. It would interesting to see if similar trends would be observed for heavier projectiles and also

- P. G. Hansen and J. A. Tostevin, Direct reactions with exotic nuclei, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 53, 219 (2003).
- [2] A. Gade, P. Adrich, D. Bazin, M. D. Bowen, B. A. Brown, C. M. Campbell, J. M. Cook, T. Glasmacher, P. G. Hansen, K. Hosier, S. McDaniel, D. McGlinchery, A. Obertelli, K. Siwek, L. A. Riley, J. A. Tostevin, and D. Weisshaar, Reduction of spectroscopic strength: Weakly-bound and strongly-bound single-particle states studied using one-nucleon knockout reactions, Phys. Rev. C 77, 044306 (2008).
- [3] J. A. Tostevin and A. Gade, Systematics of intermediate-energy single-nucleon removal cross sections, Phys. Rev. C 90, 057602 (2014).
- [4] A. Gade, D. Bazin, B. A. Brown, C. M. Campbell, J. A. Church, D. C. Dinca, J. Enders, T. Glasmacher, P. G. Hansen, Z. Hu, K. W. Kemper, W. F. Mueller, H. Olliver, B. C. Perry, L. A. Riley, B. T. Roeder, B. M. Sherrill, J. R. Terry, J. A. Tostevin, and K. L. Yurkewicz, Reduced Occupancy of the Deeply Bound 0d_{5/2} Neutron state in ³²Ar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 042501 (2004).
- [5] J. Díaz-Cortés, J. Benlliure, J. L. Rodríguez-Sánchez, H. Álvarez Pol, T. Aumann, C. A. Bertulani, B. Blank, E. Casarejos, D. Cortina-Gil, D. Dragosavac, V. Föhr, A. Gargano, M. Gascón, W. Gawlikowicz, A. Heinz, K. Helariutta, A. Kelic-Heil, S. Lukic, F. Montes, D. Pérez-Loureiro, L. Pienkowski, K-H. Schmidt, M. Staniou, K. Subotic, K. Sümmerer, J. Taieb, and A. Trzcinska, Systematic reduction of the protonremoval cross section in neutron-rich medium-mass nuclei, arXiv:2006.05774.
- [6] Jenny Lee, M. B. Tsang, D. Bazin, D. Coupland, V. Henzl, D. Henzlova, M. Kilburn, W. G. Lynch, A. M. Rogers, A. Sanetullaev, Z. Y. Sun, M. Youngs, R. J. Charity, L. G. Sobotka, M. Famiano, S. Hudan, D. Shapira, P. O'Malley, W. A. Peters, K. Y. Chae, and K. Schmitt, Neutron spectroscopic factors of ³⁴Ar and ⁴⁶Ar from (*p*, *d*) transfer reactions, Phys. Rev. C 83, 014606 (2011).
- [7] F. Flavigny, A. Gillibert, L. Nalpas, A. Obertelli, N. Keeley, C. Barbieri, D. Beaumel, S. Boissinot, G. Burgunder, A. Cipollone, A. Corsi, J. Gibelin, S. Giron, J. Guillot, F. Hammache, V. Lapoux, A. Matta, E. C. Pollacco, R. Raabe, M. Rejmund, N. de Séreville, A. Shrivastava, A. Signoracci, and Y. Utsuno, Limited Asymmetry Dependence of Correlations From Single Nucleon Transfer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 122503 (2013).

for projectiles at the neutron-drip line. Finally, if one wants to use knockout reactions to cross one of the drip lines to create such resonances, then one should use caution in making cross-section estimates based on the R_s systematics observed for inclusive cross sections to bound residuals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Marek Płoszajzcak and Robert Wiringa for helpful discussions. This material is based upon work supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Award No. DE-FG02-87ER-40316. J.A.T. acknowledges support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (UK) Grant No. ST/L005743/1.

- [8] B. P. Kay, J. P. Schiffer, and S. J. Freeman, Quenching of Cross Sections in Nucleon Transfer Reactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 042502 (2013).
- [9] L. Atar, S. Paschalis, C. Barbieri, C. A. Bertulani, P. Díaz Fernández, M. Holl, M. A. Najafi, V. Panin, H. Alvarez-Pol *et al.*, Quasifree (*p*, 2*p*) Reactions on Oxygen Isotopes: Observation of Isospin Independence of the Reduced Single-Particle Strength, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 052501 (2018).
- [10] S. Kawase, T. Uesaka, T. L. Tang, D. Beaumel, M. Dozono, T. Fukunaga, T. Fujii, N. Fukuda, A. Galindo-Uribarri, S. Hwang, N. Inabe, T. Kawabata, T. Kawahara, W. Kim, K. Kisamori, M. Kobayashi, T. Kubo, Y. Kubota, K. Kusaka, C. Lee *et al.*, Exclusive quasi-free proton knockout from oxygen isotopes at intermediate energies, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2018**, 021D01 (2018).
- [11] M. S. Wallace, M. A. Famiano, M.-J. van Goethem, A. M. Rogers, W. G. Lynch, J. Clifford, F. Delaunay, J. Lee, S. Labostov, M. Mocko, L. Morris, A. Moroni, B. E. Nett, D. J. Oostdyk, R. Krishnasamy, M. B. Tsang, R. T. de Souza, S. Hudan, L. G. Sobotka, R. J. Charity, J. Elson, and G. L. Engel, The high resolution array (HiRA) for rare isotope beam experiments, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 583, 302 (2007).
- [12] R. J. Charity, K. W. Brown, J. Elson, W. Reviol, L. G. Sobotka, W. W. Buhro, Z. Chajecki, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, R. H. Showalter, M. B. Tsang, D. Weisshaar, J. Winkelbauer, S. Bedoor, D. G. McNeel, and A. H. Wuosmaa, Invariant-mass spectroscopy of ¹⁸Ne, ¹⁶O, and ¹⁰C excited states formed in neutron-transfer reactions, Phys. Rev. C **99**, 044304 (2019).
- [13] T. B. Webb, R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, D. E. M. Hoff, C. D. Pruitt, L. G. Sobotka, K. W. Brown, J. Barney, G. Cerizza, J. Estee, G. Jhang, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, P. Morfouace, C. Santamaria, S. Sweany, M. B. Tsang, T. Tsang, S. M. Wang, Y. Zhang, K. Zhu, S. A. Kuvin, D. McNeel, J. Smith, A. H. Wuosmaa, and Z. Chajecki, Particle decays of levels in ^{11,12}N and ¹²O investigated with the invariant-mass method, Phys. Rev. C 100, 024306 (2019).
- [14] K. W. Brown, R. J. Charity, L. G. Sobotka, Z. Chajecki, L. V. Grigorenko, I. A. Egorova, Yu. L. Parfenova, M. V. Zhukov, S. Bedoor, W. W. Buhro, J. M. Elson, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi,

D. G. McNeel, W. Reviol, R. Shane, R. H. Showalter, M. B. Tsang, J. R. Winkelbauer, and A. H. Wuosmaa, Observation of Long-Range Three-Body Coulomb Effects in the Decay of ¹⁶Ne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 232501 (2014).

- [15] K. W. Brown, R. J. Charity, L. G. Sobotka, L. V. Grigorenko, T. A. Golubkova, S. Bedoor, W. W. Buhro, Z. Chajecki, J. M. Elson, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, D. G. McNeel, W. Reviol, R. Shane, R. H. Showalter, M. B. Tsang, J. R. Winkelbauer, and A. H. Wuosmaa, Interplay between sequential and prompt two-proton decay from the first excited state of ¹⁶Ne, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 034329 (2015).
- [16] R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, L. G. Sobotka, B. A. Brown, Z. Chajecki, D. Coupland, H. Iwasaki, M. Kilburn, Jenny Lee, W. G. Lynch, A. Sanetullaev, M. B. Tsang, J. Winkelbauer, M. Youngs, S. T. Marley, D. V. Shetty, A. H. Wuosmaa, T. K. Ghosh, and M. E. Howard, Investigations of three-, four-, and five-particle decay channels of levels in light nuclei created using a ⁹C beam, Phys. Rev. C 84, 014320 (2011).
- [17] I. A. Egorova, R. J. Charity, L. V. Grigorenko, Z. Chajecki, D. Coupland, J. M. Elson, T. K. Ghosh, M. E. Howard, H. Iwasaki, M. Kilburn, Jenny Lee, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, S. T. Marley, A. Sanetullaev, R. Shane, D. V. Shetty, L. G. Sobotka, M. B. Tsang, J. Winkelbauer, A. H. Wuosmaa, M. Youngs, and M. V. Zhukov, Democratic Decay of ⁶Be Exposed by Correlations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 202502 (2012).
- [18] R. J. Charity, L. G. Sobotka, N. J. Robertson, D. G. Sarantites, J. Dinius, C. K. Gelbke, T. Glasmacher, D. O. Handzy, W. C. Hsi, M. J. Huang, W. G. Lynch, C. P. Montoya, G. F. Peaslee, C. Schwarz, and M. B. Tsang, Prompt and sequential decay processes in the fragmentation of 40 MeV/nucleon ²⁰Ne projectiles, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 3126 (1995).
- [19] P. Morfouace, W. G. Lynch, and M. B. Tsang, Charged-particle detection efficiencies of close-packed CsI arrays, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 848, 45 (2017).
- [20] R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, L. G. Sobotka, Z. Chajecki, D. Coupland, H. Iwasaki, M. Kilburn, Jenny Lee, W. G. Lynch, A. Sanetullaev, M. B. Tsang, J. Winkelbauer, M. Youngs, S. T. Marley, D. V. Shetty, A. H. Wuosmaa, T. K. Ghosh, and M. E. Howard, 2*p*-2*p* decay of ⁸C and isospinallowed 2*p* decay of the isobaric-analog state in ⁸B, Phys. Rev. C 82, 041304(R) (2010).
- [21] K. W. Brown, W. W. Buhro, R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, W. Reviol, L. G. Sobotka, Z. Chajecki, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, R. H. Showalter, M. B. Tsang, D. Weisshaar, J. R. Winkelbauer, S. Bedoor, and A. H. Wuosmaa, Two-proton decay from the isobaric analog state in ⁸B, Phys. Rev. C 90, 027304 (2014).
- [22] Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF), http://www. nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/.
- [23] K. W. Brown, R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, W. Reviol, L. G. Sobotka, W. W. Buhro, Z. Chajecki, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, R. H. Showalter, M. B. Tsang, D. Weisshaar, J. R. Winkelbauer, S. Bedoor, and A. H. Wuosmaa, Proton-decaying states in light nuclei and the first observation of ¹⁷Na, Phys. Rev. C **95**, 044326 (2017).
- [24] R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, L. G. Sobotka, Z. Chajecki, D. Coupland, H. Iwasaki, M. Kilburn, Jenny Lee, W. G. Lynch, A. Sanetullaev, M. B. Tsang, J. Winkelbauer, M. Youngs, S. T. Marley, D. V. Shetty, and A. H. Wuosmaa, Spin alignment of excited projectiles due

to target spin-flip interactions, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024610 (2015).

- [25] D. E. M. Hoff, R. J. Charity, K. W. Brown, C. D. Pruitt, L. G. Sobotka, T. B. Webb, G. Potel, B. Roeder, and A. Saastamoinen, Large Longitudinal Spin Alignment of Excited Projectiles in Intermediate Energy Inelastic Scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 232501 (2017).
- [26] D. E. M. Hoff, G. Potel, K. W. Brown, R. J. Charity, C. D. Pruitt, L. G. Sobotka, T. B. Webb, B. Roeder, and A. Saastamoinen, Large longitudinal spin alignment generated in inelastic nuclear reactions, Phys. Rev. C 97, 054605 (2018).
- [27] D. Bazin, R. J. Charity, R. T. de Souza, M. A. Famiano, A. Gade, V. Henzl, D. Henzlova, S. Hudan, J. Lee, S. Lukyanov, W. G. Lynch, S. McDaniel, M. Mocko, A. Obertelli, A. M. Rogers, L. G. Sobotka, J. R. Terry, J. A. Tostevin, M. B. Tsang, and M. S. Wallace, Mechanisms in Knockout Reactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 232501 (2009).
- [28] B. A. Brown, P. G. Hansen, B. M. Sherrill, and J. A. Tostevin, Absolute spectroscopic factors from nuclear knockout reactions, Phys. Rev. C 65, 061601(R) (2002).
- [29] J. Enders, T. Baumann, B. A. Brown, N. H. Frank, P. G. Hansen, P. R. Heckman, B. M. Sherrill, A. Stolz, M. Thoennessen, J. A. Tostevin, E. J. Tryggestad, S. Typel, and M. S. Wallace, Spectroscopic factors measured in inclusive proton-knockout reactions on ⁸B and ⁹C at intermediate energies, Phys. Rev. C 67, 064301 (2003).
- [30] S. T. Wang, Y. P. Xu, and D. Y. Pang, Energy dependence of the reduced single-particle strength for strongly-bound proton removal on ¹⁶C, Phys. Scr. 94, 015302 (2018).
- [31] M. F. Jager, R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, J. Manfredi, M. H. Mahzoon, L. G. Sobotka, M. McCleskey, R. G. Pizzone, B. T. Roeder, A. Spiridon, E. Simmons, L. Trache, and M. Kurokawa, Two-proton decay of ¹²O and its isobaric analog state in ¹²N, Phys. Rev. C 86, 011304(R) (2012).
- [32] L. G. Sobotka, W. W. Buhro, R. J. Charity, J. M. Elson, M. F. Jager, J. Manfredi, M. H. Mahzoon, A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, V. Eremenko, M. McCleskey, R. G. Pizzone, B. T. Roeder, A. Spiridon, E. Simmons, L. Trache, M. Kurokawa, and P. Navrátil, Proton decay of excited states in ¹²N and ¹³O and the astrophysical ¹¹C(*p*, *γ*)¹²N reaction rate, Phys. Rev. C 87, 054329 (2013).
- [33] B. B. Skorodumov, G. V. Rogachev, P. Boutachkov, A. Aprahamian, V. Z. Goldberg, A. Mukhamedzhanov, S. Almaraz, H. Amro, F. D. Becchetti, S. Brown, Y. Chen, H. Jiang, J. J. Kolata, L. O. Lamm, M. Quinn, and A. Woehr, Lowest excited states of ¹³O, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 024607 (2007).
- [34] R. J. Charity, K. W. Brown, J. Okołowicz, M. Płoszajczak, J. M. Elson, W. Reviol, L. G. Sobotka, W. W. Buhro, Z. Chajecki, W. G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, R. H. Showalter, M. B. Tsang, D. Weisshaar, J. R. Winkelbauer, S. Bedoor, and A. H. Wuosmaa, Spin alignment following inelastic scattering of ¹⁷Ne, lifetime of ¹⁶F, and its constraint on the continuum coupling strength, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 054318 (2018).
- [35] D. Bazin, V. Andreev, A. Becerril, M. Doléans, P. F. Mantica, J. Ottarson, H. Schatz, J. B. Stoker, and J. Vincent, Radio frequency fragment separator at NSCL, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 606, 314 (2009).
- [36] A. Ozawa, T. Suzuki, and I. Tanihata, Nuclear size and related topics, Nucl. Phys. A 693, 32 (2001).
- [37] C. A. Bertulani and P. G. Hansen, Momentum distributions in stripping reactions of radioactive projectiles

at intermediate energies, Phys. Rev. C 70, 034609 (2004).

- [38] J. A. Tostevin, D. Bazin, B. A. Brown, T. Glasmacher, P. G. Hansen, V. Maddalena, A. Navin, and B. M. Sherrill, Single-neutron removal reactions from ¹⁵C and ¹¹Be: Deviations from the eikonal approximation, Phys. Rev. C 66, 024607 (2002).
- [39] A. Bonaccorso, Direct reaction theories for exotic nuclei: An introduction via semi-classical methods, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 101, 1 (2018).
- [40] A. Gade, D. Bazin, C. A. Bertulani, B. A. Brown, C. M. Campbell, J. A. Church, D. C. Dinca, J. Enders, T. Glasmacher, P. G. Hansen, Z. Hu, K. W. Kemper, W. F. Mueller, H. Olliver, B. C. Perry, L. A. Riley, B. T. Roeder, B. M. Sherrill, J. R. Terry, J. A. Tostevin, and K. L. Yurkewicz, Knockout from ⁴⁶Ar : ℓ = 3 neutron removal and deviations from eikonal theory, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 051301(R) (2005).
- [41] G. F. Grinyer, D. Bazin, A. Gade, J. A. Tostevin, P. Adrich, M. D. Bowen, B. A. Brown, C. M. Campbell, J. M. Cook, T. Glasmacher, S. McDaniel, P. Navrátil, A. Obertelli, S. Quaglioni, K. Siwek, J. R. Terry, D. Weisshaar, and R. B. Wiringa, Knockout Reactions From *p*-Shell Nuclei: Tests of *Ab Initio* Structure Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 162502 (2011).
- [42] F. Flavigny, A. Obertelli, A. Bonaccorso, G. F. Grinyer, C. Louchart, L. Nalpas, and A. Signoracci, Nonsudden Limits of Heavy-Ion Induced Knockout Reactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 252501 (2012).
- [43] R. Shane, R. J. Charity, L. G. Sobotka, D. Bazin, B. A. Brown, A. Gade, G. F. Grinyer, S. McDaniel, A. Ratkiewicz, D. Weisshaar, A. Bonaccorso, and J. A. Tostevin, Proton and neutron knockout from ³⁶Ca, Phys. Rev. C 85, 064612 (2012).
- [44] K. Ogata, K. Yoshida, and K. Minomo, Asymmetry of the parallel momentum distribution of (*p*, *pn*) reaction residues, Phys. Rev. C 92, 034616 (2015).
- [45] B. A. Brown, A. Etchegoyen, N. S. Godwin, W. D. M. rae, W. A. Richter, W. E. Ormand, E. K. Warburton, J. S. Winfield, L. Zhao, and C. H. Zimmerman, Tech. Rep. MSU-NSCL-1289, National SuperConducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing Michigan, 2004.
- [46] S. Cohen and D. Kurath, Effective interactions for the 1*p* shell, Nucl. Phys. **73**, 1 (1965).
- [47] E. K. Warburton and B. A. Brown, Effective interactions for the 0p1s0d nuclear shell-model space, Phys. Rev. C 46, 923 (1992).
- [48] D. J. Millener and D. Kurath, The particle-hole interaction and the beta decay of ¹⁴B, Nucl. Phys. A 255, 315 (1975).
- [49] H. T. Fortune, Splitting of strengths in neutron removal from ¹³O, J. Phys. G 43, 115102 (2016).
- [50] I. Brida, Steven C. Pieper, and R. B. Wiringa, Quantum Monte Carlo calculations of spectroscopic overlaps in $A \leq 7$ nuclei, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 024319 (2011).
- [51] R. Wirenga, VMC spectroscopic overlaps, https://www.phy.anl. gov/theory/research/overlaps/.
- [52] G. F. Grinyer, D. Bazin, A. Gade, J. A. Tostevin, P. Adrich, M. D. Bowen, B. A. Brown, C. M. Campbell, J. M. Cook, T. Glasmacher, S. McDaniel, A. Obertelli, K. Siwek, J. R. Terry, D. Weisshaar, and R. B. Wiringa, Systematic study of *p*-shell nuclei via single-nucleon knockout reactions, Phys. Rev. C 86, 024315 (2012).
- [53] N. Aoi, K. Yoneda, E. Ideguchi, T. Kishida, T. Nakamura, M. Notani, H. Sakurai, T. Teranishi, Y. Watanabe, H. Wu,

A. Yoshida, H. Miyatake, Y. Yamamoto, H. Ogawa, S. S. Yamamoto, and M. Ishihara, Anomaly of N = 8 shell closure in neutron-rich be and B isotopes studied via delayed neutron emitting ¹⁴Be β decay, Phys. Rev. C **66**, 014301 (2002).

- [54] H. T. Fortune, Population of bound and unbound states of ¹²Be in proton removal from ¹³B, Phys. Lett. B 755, 351 (2016).
- [55] A. Krieger, K. Blaum, M. L. Bissell, N. Frömmgen, Ch. Geppert, M. Hammen, K. Kreim, M. Kowalska, J. Krämer, T. Neff, R. Neugart, G. Neyens, W. Nörtershäuser, Ch. Novotny, R. Sánchez, and D. T. Yordanov, Nuclear Charge Radius of ¹²Be, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 142501 (2012).
- [56] R. Thies, A. Heinz, T. Adachi, Y. Aksyutina, J. Alcantara-Núñes, S. Altstadt, H. Alvarez-Pol, N. Ashwood, T. Aumann, V. Avdeichikov, M. Barr, S. Beceiro-Novo, D. Bemmerer, J. Benlliure, C. A. Bertulani, K. Boretzky, M. J. G. Borge, G. Burgunder, M. Camaño, C. Caesar *et al.* (R3B Collaboration), Systematic investigation of projectile fragmentation using beams of unstable B and C isotopes, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 054601 (2016).
- [57] J. Okolowicz, Y. H. Lam, M. Ploszajczak, A. O. Macchiavelli, and N. A. Smirnova, Consistent analysis of one-nucleon spectroscopic factors involving weakly- and strongly-bound nucleons, Phys. Lett. B 757, 303 (2016).
- [58] L. V. Grigorenko, I. G. Mukha, I. J. Thompson, and M. V. Zhukov, Two-Proton Widths of ¹²O, ¹⁶Ne, and Three-Body Mechanism of Thomas-Ehrman Shift, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 042502 (2002).
- [59] J. Okołowicz, M. Płoszajczak, and W. Nazarewicz, On the origin of nuclear clustering, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 196, 230 (2012).
- [60] J. Okołowicz, W. Nazarewicz, and M. Płoszajczak, Towards understanding the microscopic origin of nuclear clustering, Fortschr. Phys. 61, 66 (2013).
- [61] J. Okołowicz, N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, and M. Płoszajczak, Asymptotic normalization coefficients and continuum coupling in mirror nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 85, 064320 (2012).
- [62] J. Okołowicz and M. Płoszajczak, Exceptional points in the scattering continuum, Phys. Rev. C 80, 034619 (2009).
- [63] J. Okołowicz, M. Płoszajczak, and I. Rotter, Dynamics of quantum systems embedded in a continuum, Phys. Rep. 374, 271 (2003).
- [64] M. Płoszajczak and J. Okołowicz (private communication).
- [65] N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, and M. Płoszajczak, Threshold effects in multichannel coupling and spectroscopic factors in exotic nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 75, 031301(R) (2007).
- [66] X. Mao, J. Rotureau, W. Nazarewicz, N. Michel, R. M. Id Betan, and Y. Jaganathen, Gamow Shell Model description of Li isotopes and their mirror partners, Phys. Rev. C 102, 024309 (2020).
- [67] S. Wang and J. Wylie (personal communication).
- [68] Tore Berggren, Expectation value of an operator in a resonant state, Phys. Lett. B **373**, 1 (1996).
- [69] N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, and M. Płoszajczak, Isospin mixing and the continuum coupling in weakly bound nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 82, 044315 (2010).
- [70] W. A. Peters, T. Baumann, B. A. Brown, J. Brown, P. A. DeYoung, J. E. Finck, N. Frank, K. L. Jones, J.-L. Lecouey, B. Luther, G. F. Peaslee, W. F. Rogers, A. Schiller, M. Thoennessen, J. A. Tostevin, and K. Yoneda, Neutron knockout of ¹²Be populating neutron-unbound states in ¹¹Be, Phys. Rev. C 83, 057304 (2011).