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#### Abstract

An $s p$-mixing model, which describes a compound nuclear reaction by mixing partial waves, predicts a correlation term in this reaction. A detailed study of the $s p$-mixing model is required to study the feasibility of a new type of time reversal symmetry violation search experiment. The correlation term $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{n} \times \boldsymbol{k}_{\gamma}\right)$ in the ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}(\vec{n}, \gamma)$ reaction has been studied by measuring $\gamma$-ray and neutron energies utilizing epithermal polarized neutrons and germanium detectors. The transverse asymmetry for single $\gamma$-ray transition was measured to be $0.60 \pm 0.19$ in the $p$-wave resonance.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of large parity violation ( P violation) in the neutron absorption reaction of ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}$ in the $0.74 \mathrm{eV} p$-wave resonance by Dubna's group [1], many systematic studies have been performed on P violation in compound nuclear reactions [2]. These phenomena have been interpreted as the result of the interference between partial waves with opposite parities (sp-mixing model) [3]. In addition, it is suggested that this enhancement is applicable not only to P violation but also to time reversal symmetry violation ( T violation) [4]. Detailed studies of the enhancement mechanism on the basis of the sp-mixing model are necessary to evaluate the feasibility of highly sensitive T violation search experiments [5]. The $s p$-mixing model predicts the correlation for the ( $n, \gamma$ ) reaction. The correlation term leads to a mixing angle $\phi$ which represents the degree of mixing of partial waves with opposite parities. The mixing angle $\phi$ gives a parameter $\kappa(J)$ proportional to the magnitude of the enhancement of the T violation in the compound nuclear reaction. Therefore, the value of $\kappa(J)$ can be evaluated by measuring the correlation term in the $(n, \gamma)$ reaction via $\phi$.

As a first step, Okudaira et al. measured the energydependent angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays consistently with the $s p$-mixing model in the vicinity of the $0.74 \mathrm{eV} p$-wave resonance in ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}(n, \gamma){ }^{140} \mathrm{La}$ reaction [6]. Further studies are necessary to uniquely determine the contributions of individual partial amplitudes in the entrance channel. In this paper, we report the first result of the measurement of the energy dependence of the $\gamma$-ray asymmetry with respect to the transverse polarization of incident neutrons.

## II. EXPERIMENT

## A. Experimental setup

## 1. BL04 ANNRI

This measurement was performed at the Accurate NeutronNucleus Reaction Measurement Instrument (ANNRI) installed at beam line 04 (BL04) of the Material and Life Science Experiment Facility (MLF) of the Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex (J-PARC). At the MLF, pulsed neutrons are generated by a spallation reaction induced by 3 GeV proton beam supplied by the Rapid-Cycling Synchrotron. The repetition rate was 25 Hz and an average beam power was 500 kW during the measurement. Neutrons produced were then moderated by a liquid hydrogen moderator and supplied to the beam line. As shown in Fig. 1, the neutron beam was collimated to a $15-\mathrm{mm}$-diameter spot at the target position, placed 21.5 m from the moderator surface [7]. The lead filter ( 37.5 mm thick in total) was installed to suppress the background caused by fast neutrons and $\gamma$ flashes. The disk choppers operated in synchronization with the timing of the proton beam incidence to avoid frame overlap due to low-energy neutrons. $\mathrm{A}^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter as a neutron polarizer was installed 1.5 m upstream from the target. The germanium detector assembly was arranged surrounding the target to detect the $\gamma$ rays from the $(n, \gamma)$ reaction. Neutrons passing through the target were detected by a neutron detector located 27.9 m from the moderator through a downstream collimator. To avoid saturation of the neutron detector, a $10-\mu \mathrm{m}$-thick gadolinium foil was placed 3 m upstream from the neutron detector.


FIG. 1. Top view of BL04 ANNRI.

## 2. $\gamma$-ray detectors

The germanium detector assembly shown in Fig. 2 was used to detect the $\gamma$ rays emitted from the target [8]. A right-handed system is used in this paper, as shown in Fig. 3, and the neutron beam direction is defined as the $z$ axis.

The germanium detector assembly consists of two kinds of detectors. One is called an "A-type detector," which are located above and below the target, respectively. Each A-type detector has seven separated germanium crystal region. These crystals are placed at $\theta=71^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$, and $109^{\circ}$. The other is called a "B-type detector," which consists of eight germanium crystals surrounding the target. These crystals are located at $\theta=36^{\circ}, 72^{\circ}, 108^{\circ}$, and $144^{\circ}$ [9]. During the experiment,


FIG. 2. Germanium detector assembly. The left figure is a sectional view and the right figure is a top view.
germanium crystals were cooled to 77 K by liquid nitrogen or a mechanical refrigerator. The energy threshold level for $\gamma$-ray detection was set at approximately 100 keV in order to avoid electronic noise. In this analysis, we used only the lower A-type detectors because the upper A-type detectors' condition was unstable.

## 3. Neutron detectors

Two types of lithium glass scintillation detectors were used to detect neutrons transmitted through the target [10]. One was a ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li} 90 \%$ enriched type (GS20) and the other was a ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li} 99.99 \%$ enriched type (GS30). The dimensions of both scintillators are $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. The ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ isotope has a neutron absorption cross section of 940 barn for 25 meV neutrons. On the other hand, ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}$ has a very low sensitivity ( 0.045 barn for 25 meV neutron) for neutron detection. Since GS20 and GS30 have similar sensitivities for $\gamma$ rays, the $\gamma$-ray background of GS20 was evaluated by GS30 by installing two detectors in close proximity.

## 4. Neutron polarizer

$\mathrm{A}^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter was used as the neutron polarizer. The ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter is a glass cell of 50 mm diameter and 77 mm length


FIG. 3. Definition of coordinate systems and vector elements. The $z$ axis is defined in the beam direction, the $y$ axis is the vertical upward axis, the $x$ axis is perpendicular to them. The polar angle in the spherical coordinate is denoted $\theta$ and the azimuthal angle is $\varphi$.


FIG. 4. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter and a guide magnet for neutron spin transport. The left figure is a solid view of the system. Unpolarized neutrons were injected from side of the solenoid coil, polarized by passing through the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter installed in the solenoid coil, and irradiated to the downstream nuclear target. The solenoid coil is covered with a permalloy film to prevent the influence of the external magnetic field. The right figure is a top view of the system. Solid arrows indicate the direction of the magnetic field and a dashed arrow indicates the direction of the neutron beam. The magnetic field antiparallel to the $x$ axis outside the solenoid coil was canceled by the guide magnet composed of permanent magnets and, in addition, the magnetic field was applied in the $x$-axis parallel direction. Therefore, polarized neutrons always maintained polarization due to adiabatic transport in the guiding magnetic fields.
filled with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ gas to about 3 atm . Neutrons were polarized by passing through the polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ which has a large spindependent neutron cross section: the absorption cross sections for 25 meV neutrons with spins parallel and antiparallel to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ are approximately 0 and 10666 barn, respectively. The ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ was polarized by the spin-exchange optical pumping (SEOP) method [11]. A solenoid coil was used to define the quantization axis and to suppress the relaxation of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ polarization due to the nonuniformity of the external magnetic field. In this experiment, up- and down-polarized neutrons are defined as parallel and antiparallel to the $x$ axis, respectively. A magnetic field of approximately 15 G was applied by the solenoid coil at the cell installation position. To polarize neutrons along the $x$ axis, after ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ was polarized by SEOP, the glass cell was rotated adiabatically 90 degrees in the solenoid coil. Since the spin of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ follows the magnetic field of the solenoid coil, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ is polarized in the $x$-axis direction. The spin filter was installed into the beam line after the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ was polarized in the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ polarization station in MLF outside the beam line [12]. As shown in Fig. 4, unpolarized neutrons were injected from the side of the solenoid coil. After passing through the spin filter, a guide magnetic field was applied from the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter to the target by using a permanent magnet to keep the polarization of neutrons.

The neutron transmittance of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter $T_{n}$ depends on the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ polarization ratio $P_{\mathrm{He}}$ and is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=T_{0} \cosh \left(P_{\mathrm{He}} n_{\mathrm{He}} t \Delta \sigma\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0}$ is the neutron transmittance for unpolarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, n_{\mathrm{He}}$ is the atomic number density of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, t$ is the cell length, $\Delta \sigma$ is the neutron absorption cross section of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. The value of $\Delta \sigma$
was extrapolated from the cross sections at 25 meV , assuming the energy dependence of the $1 / v$ law. The value of $n_{\mathrm{He}} t$ was evaluated as $n_{\mathrm{He}} t=19.3 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{~cm}$ from the ratio of transmittance of the vacuum glass cell and the unpolarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. As shown in Fig. 5, the polarization ratio of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ was evaluated by fitting using Eq. (1).

Figure 6 shows the time dependence of the polarization ratio of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ from the installation of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter onto the beamline to the end of the measurement. The polarization


FIG. 5. Ratio of neutron transmission through polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ to that through unpolarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. The solid line is the result of fitting by $T_{n} / T_{0}=\cosh \left(P_{\mathrm{He}} n_{\mathrm{He}} t \Delta \sigma\right)$. From this result, the neutron polarization can be determined.


FIG. 6. Time dependence of the polarization ratio of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. The polarization of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ relaxed with time. The solid line is the fitting result of the relaxation time constant using the exponential decay function.
ratio of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ at the start of the measurement was estimated to be approximately $60 \%$, and the relaxation time of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ was estimated to be $127.0 \pm 0.1$ hours. The neutron polarization ratio $P_{n}$ and the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ polarization ratio $P_{\mathrm{He}}$ are related through

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=\tanh \left(P_{\mathrm{He}} n_{\mathrm{He}} t \Delta \sigma\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The neutron polarization ratio was derived by using ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ polarization. This value was used to correct the asymmetry analysis in the following section.

## 5. Data-acquisition system

Since germanium detectors have a high energy resolution, they also require a high analog-to-digital converter (ADC) resolution. A V1724, 8 channel, 14 bit, $100 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$, peak hold digitizer manufactured by CAEN was used as the ADC for the germanium detectors [13]. The neutron detector require a fast response to the ADC because a high count rate was assumed for high-flux neutron beam. A V1720, 8 channel, $12 \mathrm{bit}, 250 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$, charge-sensitive digitizer was used as the ADC for neutron detectors. Both ADCs distribute the input signal to the pulse-height processing system and the timing processing system. In the timing processing system, the difference between proton incident timing and the detection time is recorded as time information $t^{m}$. In this measurement, we can calculate the neutron energy $E_{n}$ applying the time-of-flight (TOF) method and the pulse-height information obtained in V1724 correspond to $\gamma$-ray energy $E_{\gamma}$ after energy calibration.

## B. Measurement

The target was a metal lanthanum plate of $40 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $40 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ with a purity of $99.9 \%$. Measurements were performed in March and May of 2019. The total measurement time was 77.7 and 76.5 hours in the two opposite spin states. The spin of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ was flipped approximately every four hours by using the adiabatic fast-passage (AFP) NMR method. After


FIG. 7. Neutron TOF spectrum of $\gamma$ rays from the $(n, \gamma)$ reaction with the lanthanum target. The resonance at around $t^{m} \approx 1800 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and $200 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ are the $p$-wave resonance of ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}$ and the $s$-wave resonance of ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}$, respectively.
the polarization measurement, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ was depolarized and the neutron transmittance was measured to evaluate the polarization ratio of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. Neutron transmittance of the evacuated glass cell was also measured to isolate the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ gas contribution during ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter usage. A melamine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6}\right)$ target was used to correct the $\gamma$-ray detection efficiency of each germanium detector using $\gamma$ rays emitted from the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}(n, \gamma)$ reaction. The full-absorption peak efficiency of the germanium detectors was normalized by using full-absorption peak counts at $E_{\gamma}=5269 \mathrm{keV}$ in the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}(n, \gamma)$ reaction with a melamine target. The reason for using $\gamma$ rays from the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}(n, \gamma)$ reaction is that $\gamma$ rays emitted from this reaction do not have angular dependence.

## III. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

## Transverse asymmetry

The transverse asymmetry using inclusive $\gamma$-ray transitions and single $\gamma$-ray transitions were analyzed. The neutron TOF spectrum and $\gamma$-ray spectrum are shown in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. The total number of $\gamma$-ray events is denoted $I_{\gamma}$.

The number of protons injected into the spallation source was used to normalize the number of incident neutrons for each measurement.

The neutron transmittance of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ spin filter has time dependence due to the relaxation of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ polarization. Therefore, the neutron transmittance obtained from Eq. (1) was used to correct these effects. Since the neutron polarization also changed for the same reason, the correction was made by using the neutron polarization determined by Eq. (2).

The V1724 digitizer used in the data-acquisition system for germanium detectors records only the time information of the input signal and loses the pulse-height information when the interval between two signals becomes within $3.2 \mu$ s. It was confirmed that approximately $4 \%$ of the pulse-height information was lost in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance.


FIG. 8. Pulse-height spectrum of $\gamma$ rays from the $(n, \gamma)$ reaction with a lanthanum target.

Since these events did not lose time information, they can be used in the analysis as a correction. When the interval between the two signals becomes within $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, signals are processed as one signal. These events were considered to be approximately $0.4 \%$. The uncertainties due to these phenomena were negligible because they were sufficiently smaller than the statistical uncertainty.

The $\gamma$-ray yield for the $i$ th detector in the $p$-wave resonance region for incident up- and down-spin neutrons can be written as, considering the small cross section in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{n \gamma}^{ \pm}\left(\theta_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right) \propto \int_{E_{\gamma}} d E_{\gamma} \int_{t^{m}} d t^{m} \int_{\Omega_{i}} d \Omega \sigma_{n \gamma}^{ \pm}(\theta, \varphi) \varepsilon_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}$ are the $i$ th detector's mounting angle, $\Omega_{i}$ is the $i$ th detector's solid angle, $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the $i$ th detector's $\gamma$-ray detection efficiency evaluated from the $\gamma$-ray yield of the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}(n, \gamma)$ reaction. In this analysis, the integral region in the $p$-wave is defined as $E_{p}-2 \Gamma_{p} \leqslant E_{n} \leqslant E_{p}+2 \Gamma_{p}$ (see Table I for each definition and value).

The $\gamma$-ray yield in each detector was weighted by using the detector position, and A-type detectors were added to give the

TABLE I. Resonance parameters of ${ }^{139}$ La used in the analysis. $r$ is the type of partial wave, $E_{r}$ is the resonance energy, $J_{r}$ is the spin of the compound state, $l_{r}$ is orbital angular momentum of the incident neutron, $\Gamma_{r}^{\gamma}$ is the partial $\gamma$ width, $g_{r}=\left(2 J_{r}+1\right) /[2(2 I+1)]$ is the statistical factor, and $\Gamma_{r}^{n}$ is the neutron width.

| $r$ | $E_{r}[\mathrm{eV}]$ | $J_{r}$ | $l_{r}$ | $\Gamma_{r}^{\gamma}[\mathrm{meV}]$ | $g_{r} \Gamma_{r}^{n}[\mathrm{meV}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | $-48.63^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0 | $62.2^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(571.8)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $p$ | $0.740 \pm 0.002^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 4 | 1 | $40.41 \pm 0.76^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $(5.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5 \mathrm{c}}$ |
| $s_{2}$ | $72.30 \pm 0.05^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 3 | 0 | $75.64 \pm 2.21^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $11.76 \pm 0.53^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

[^0]following expressions:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{ \pm}=\sum_{i} \frac{n_{n \gamma}^{ \pm}\left(\theta_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)}{\varepsilon_{i} \lambda_{i}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the $i$ th detector's angular weight factor $\lambda_{i}$ is defined as $-\sin \theta_{i} \sin \varphi_{i}$ and $\sum_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ represents the summation of lower A-type detectors.

The asymmetry $\epsilon_{\gamma}$ between $N^{+}$and $N^{-}$is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\gamma}=\frac{N^{+}-N^{-}}{N^{+}+N^{-}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the transverse asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\prime}$ is expressed as follows using $\epsilon_{\gamma}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \epsilon_{\gamma}}{\left(P_{n}^{+}+P_{n}^{-}\right)-\epsilon_{\gamma}\left(P_{n}^{+}-P_{n}^{-}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}^{ \pm}$is the neutron polarization ratio in each neutron polarization direction.

Here, when only the $p$-wave resonance region was focused, the background component in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance, derived from the $s$-wave resonance and resonances of other nuclei were subtracted from Eq. (4). The transverse asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\prime}$ was then defined as in Eq. (6).

Neutron scattering in the target prior to absorption in the $p$-wave resonance alters the neutron momentum vector and hence impacts the asymmetry. Taking such scattering events into account, $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(N_{s=0}^{+}+N_{s \geqslant 1}\right)-\left(N_{s=0}^{-}+N_{s \geqslant 1}\right)}{\left(N_{s=0}^{+}+N_{s \geqslant 1}\right)+\left(N_{s=0}^{-}+N_{s \geqslant 1}\right)}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{s=0}^{ \pm}$and $N_{s \geqslant 1}$ represent the number of events that emit $\gamma$ rays without scattering in the target and the number of events that emit $\gamma$ rays after scattering in the target, respectively. Therefore, $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\prime}$ and the true asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{LR}}$ can be related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{LR}}=A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{N_{s \geqslant 1}}{N_{r}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{r}$ is defined as $\frac{N_{s=0}^{+}+N_{s=0}^{-}}{2}$, which is equal to the component of the $p$-wave resonance. The ratio was estimated by using a Monte Carlo simulation and estimated to be $N_{s \geqslant 1} / N_{r}=$ $0.072 \pm 0.002$ in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance. In the simulation, the polarization direction of incident neutrons is assumed to be transverse to the beam axis and to be spatially isotropically scattered when potential scattering occurs in the sample.

## 1. Inclusive $\gamma$-ray transition

The asymmetry in the resonance region and continuous regions was evaluated by setting the threshold for $E_{\gamma}$ to $2000-15000 \mathrm{keV}$. Since most of the energy of delayed $\gamma$ rays generated in this measurement is less than 2000 keV , the effect of delayed $\gamma$ rays with time-dependent yield can be avoided by setting the threshold value to 2000 keV .


FIG. 9. White points show the asymmetry for the all region when the threshold of the $\gamma$ ray was set to $2000-15000 \mathrm{keV}$.

As shown in Fig. 9, it was confirmed that the value of the transverse asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\text {all,inc }}$ for inclusive $\gamma$-ray transitions before the background subtraction described later was zero in the entire regions.

Figure 10 shows the $\gamma$-ray yield in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance. The background was subtracted by fitting using linear functions with $1400 \mu \mathrm{~s} \leqslant t^{m} \leqslant 1600 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, $1900 \mu \mathrm{~s} \leqslant t^{m} \leqslant 2200 \mu \mathrm{~s}$.

Figure 11 shows the $\gamma$-ray yield in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance according to the spin state of incident neutrons after background subtraction. The value of the transverse asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\mathrm{inc}}$ for inclusive $\gamma$-ray transitions was found to be $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\mathrm{inc}}=0.0045 \pm 0.0080$.


FIG. 10. White points show the $\gamma$-ray yield in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance for up-polarized neutrons. Solid line shows fitting results to background. Black points indicate $p$-wave components after subtraction of background. The small bump around $t^{m} \sim 1700 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ derives from the resonance of ${ }^{149} \mathrm{Sm}$.


FIG. 11. $\gamma$-ray yield in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance for each polarization direction of incident neutrons. White and black points indicate the up- and down-polarization, respectively.

## 2. Single- $\gamma$-ray transition

The asymmetry for a single $\gamma$-ray transition was evaluated by applying the same procedure.

In Fig. 12, the peak at $E_{\gamma}=5161 \mathrm{keV}$ is the transition from the compound state of ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}+n$ to the ground state of ${ }^{140} \mathrm{La}$ (spin of the final state is $F=3$ ). This 5161 keV transition is the highest-energy transition from the $p$-wave resonance in the ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}(n, \gamma)$ reaction and free from the background induced from the same reactions [6]. The gate range was full width at quarter maximum (FWQM) of the full-absorption peak of the 5161 keV .

The neutron energy dependence of the asymmetry for the 5161 keV single- $\gamma$-ray transition was evaluated. As shown in Fig. 13, it was confirmed that the value of the transverse asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\text {all,gnd }}$ for the 5161 keV single- $\gamma$-ray transition


FIG. 12. Pulse-height spectrum of $\gamma$ ray from ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}(n, \gamma)$ reaction, in particular, a detailed drawing around 5161 keV . Only the 5161 keV peak was used in this analysis.


FIG. 13. White points show the asymmetry for the entire region for the 5161 keV single- $\gamma$-ray transition.
before the background subtraction was zero in the region outside of the $p$-wave resonance.

The asymmetry was evaluated by focusing on the $p$ wave region. The $s$-wave component was evaluated by fitting linear functions with $1100 \mu \mathrm{~s} \leqslant t^{m} \leqslant 1600 \mu \mathrm{~s}, 1900 \mu \mathrm{~s} \leqslant$ $t^{m} \leqslant 3300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and subtracted as shown in Fig. 14. Figure 15 shows the $\gamma$-ray yield in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance according to the spin state of incident neutrons after background subtraction and the transverse asymmetry TOF spectrum. The value of the transverse asymmetry for 5161 keV single- $\gamma$-ray transition was found to be $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\mathrm{gnd}}=0.60 \pm$ 0.19 .


FIG. 14. White points show the $\gamma$-ray yield in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance for up-polarized neutrons. Solid line shows fitting results to background. Black points indicate $p$-wave components after subtraction of background.


FIG. 15. $\gamma$-ray yield in the vicinity of the $p$-wave resonance for each polarization direction of incident neutrons and the transverse asymmetry TOF spectrum. In the upper side figure, white and black points indicate the up- and down-polarization, respectively.

## IV. CONCLUSION

This paper reported the first results of the transverse asymmetry of $\gamma$ rays in the ${ }^{139} \mathrm{La}(\vec{n}, \gamma)$ reaction using epithermal polarized neutrons. At J-PARC MLF BL04 ANNRI, it was demonstrated that the angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays in the ( $\vec{n}, \gamma$ ) reaction using polarized neutrons could be measured. The asymmetry of $\gamma$ rays was analyzed under two conditions. The value of the asymmetry for inclusive $\gamma$ rays was consistent with zero, while there was the asymmetry only at the $p$-wave resonance for a single $\gamma$-ray transition and its value is $A_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\mathrm{gnd}}=0.60 \pm 0.19$.

In the near future, we will comprehensively analyze the asymmetry and the results of previous studies using the framework of the sp-mixing model.
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