Structure of ⁶²Zn and ⁶⁴Zn through (p,t) reactions at 35 MeV[†]

R.A. Hinrichs

Department of Physics, State University of New York, College at Oswego, Oswego, New York 13126 and Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48823

D. M. Patterson

Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48823 (Received 1 July 1974)

Energy levels in ⁸²Zn and ⁶⁴Zn have been investigated via the (p, t) reaction at 35 MeV. Many new levels are reported up to an excitation energy of 5.3 MeV, with spin and parity assignments determined for most levels, including the 0⁺ member of the two phonon triplet in ⁶²Zn at 2.33 MeV. A comparison between the states populated in these two reactions is made. Standard distorted-wave calculations give reasonable agreement with the data. However, 3⁻ and 4⁺ states cannot be unambiguously distinguished.

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{NUCLEAR REACTIONS} & {}^{64}\text{Zn}(p,t) & {}^{62}\text{Zn}, & E = 35 \text{ MeV}, & {}^{66}\text{Zn}(p,t) & {}^{64}\text{Zn}, & E = 35 \text{ MeV}; \\ \text{measured } \sigma(E_t, \theta); & {}^{62}\text{Zn}, & {}^{64}\text{Zn}, \text{ deduced levels}, & J, & \pi. \end{bmatrix}$

I. INTRODUCTION

The nucleus ⁶²Zn has been studied in a rather detailed way only recently, primarily by the (p, t)reaction at 27.5¹ and 51.9² MeV. Less complete studies of the $({}^{3}\text{He}, n)^{3}$ and $({}^{6}\text{Li}, d)^{4}$ reactions to ⁶²Zn, as well as $(p, 2n\gamma)$,² have yielded additional information about the low-lying states of this neutron-deficient nucleus. The purpose of this study was to determine with good resolution the excitation energies, spins, and parities of levels in ⁶²Zn as seen by the ⁶⁴Zn(p, t) reaction. As much of the use of the (p, t) reaction as a spectroscopic tool rests upon the extraction of spins and parities by a comparison of the shapes of the angular distributions with those from states of known J^{π} , the reaction ${}^{66}Zn(p, t){}^{64}Zn$ was also studied at the same bombarding energy of 35 MeV. More information is available on ⁶⁴Zn as it is amenable to inelastic scattering studies. However, little information has been gathered on ⁶⁴Zn via the (p, t) reaction, since earlier studies⁵ were done with poor resolution. Therefore, new information regarding the energy levels in ⁶⁴Zn could also be obtained in this experiment.

II. EXPERIMENT

The experiment used a beam of 35 MeV protons from the Michigan State University sector-focused cyclotron. The outgoing tritons were bent by an Enge split-pole spectrograph and, when using a thick (1.0 mg/cm^2) self-supporting target, were incident upon a single-wire proportional counter in the spectrometer focal plane. The wire counter was mounted in front of a plastic scintillator which allowed gating of the tritons. A resolution of 35 to 40 keV was obtained by this method. Angular distributions were taken for laboratory angles between 3 and 90° .

A higher resolution study at several angles for both nuclei was also carried out by using a thin $(200 \ \mu g/cm^2)$ carbon-backed target and Kodak 25 μ m NTB photographic emulsions. The exposed plates were hand-scanned and 20 keV resolution obtained. Typical triton spectra from these thintarget runs are shown in Fig. 1 for the reaction ⁶⁴Zn $(p, t)^{62}$ Zn and in Fig. 2 for ⁶⁶Zn $(p, t)^{64}$ Zn.



FIG. 1. Spectrum of tritons obtained with a photographic emulsion plate for the reaction ${}^{64}\text{Zn}(p,t){}^{62}\text{Zn}$ at a laboratory angle of 12° for higher excitation energies. The peak numbers correspond to those listed in Table I.

10

1381



FIG. 2. Spectrum of tritons obtained with a photographic emulsion plate for the reaction ${}^{66}Zn(p,t){}^{64}Zn$ at a laboratory angle of 15° for higher excitation energies. The peak numbers correspond to those listed in Table II.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A list of the excitation energies determined for ⁶²Zn is given in Table I and for ⁶⁴Zn is given in Table II. The excitation energies were calibrated at several angles for each nucleus using as reference peaks the 0.0, 0.957, 1.801, and 3.216 MeV levels in ⁶²Zn and the 0.0, 0.992, 1.800, and 2.609 MeV levels in ⁶⁴Zn. The energies listed are generally given to ± 10 keV conservatively, and ± 20 or ± 30 keV at higher excitation energies to allow for uncertainty in extrapolation, as well as for peaks of weaker intensity. The tables also list the spin and parity assignments of this work as well as energy, spin, and parity assignments of other recent work.

A. Distorted-wave calculations

Distorted-wave Born approximation calculations (DWBA) were carried out using the code DWUCK72.⁶

	Present work				(<i>p</i> , <i>t</i>) (Re	ef. 1)	(p,t)	(Ref. 2)	(⁶ Li,d) (Ref. 4
Peak	E		$d\sigma_{\rm max}/d\Omega$	2	E		E		E	
No.	(MeV)	J *	(µb/sr)	N	(MeV)	Jπ	(MeV)	J [¶]	(MeV)	J ^π
1	0.0	0+	911	490	0.0	0+	0.0	0+	0.0	0+
2	0.957	2*	150	197	0.957	2+	0.95	2+	0.96	2^+
3	1,801	2+	19	23	1.801	2*	1.80	2^+		
4	2.18 ± 0.01	4*	2.6	15	2.17 ± 0.01	4+	2.19	4+	2.17	4+
5	2.33 ± 0.01	0+	1.5	0.7	2.34 ± 0.02		2.38	0+		
6	2.75 ± 0.01	4+	23	130	2.74 ± 0.02	3-	2.75	(3-,4+)		
7	2.81 ± 0.01	2+	43	49			2.80	(2+)		
8	2.89 ± 0.01	2+	16	18	2.88 ± 0.02		2.87			
9	3.06 ± 0.01	2+	4.3	4.9						
10	3.16 ± 0.01	2+	11	13			3.22	3-	3.18	3-
11	3.216	3-	39		3.216	4*				
12	3.35 ± 0.02	(1-)	2.0				3.44	2+		
13	3.47 ± 0.01	2+	19	21	3.44 ± 0.01	2+				
14	3.59 ± 0.01	2+	5.6	6.1						
15	3.64 ± 0.01	2+	4.4	4.8	3.69 ± 0.02	2+				
16	3.73 ± 0.01	$(4^+, 3^-)$	1.9				3.78	$(1^{-}, 2^{+})$		
17	3.83 ± 0.01	2+	13	14					3.87)	
18	3.92 ± 0.01	$(4^+, 3^-)$					3.93	0+	4.04	- 4+
19	4.00 ± 0.01	0+	6.1	1.5	3.98 ± 0.02	0+	4.00	(0+)	4.53	24
20	4.09 ± 0.01	(4+)	3.8				4.16	$(0^+, 4^+)$	4.95)	
21	4.22 ± 0.01	(3-)	11		4.17 ± 0.01	4+				
22	4.33 ± 0.02	2+	4.3		4.32 ± 0.02		4.32	3-		
23	4.38 ± 0.02	(4+)	3.3							
24	4.44 ± 0.02	,								
25	4.50 ± 0.02						4.60	$(0^+, 4^+)$		
26	4.62 ± 0.02	(0+)	10		4.57 ± 0.01	0+				
27	4.68 ± 0.01	4+	4.3				4.75			
28	4.81 ± 0.03				4.76 ± 0.02	2+	4.86			
29	4.86 ± 0.03	(4+.3)	3,9							
30	4.91 ± 0.03	(2+)	5.6		4.86 ± 0.02	2+	5.02	4+		
31	5.05 ± 0.03	(2+)	-		5.05 ± 0.02	2^+	5.09			
32	5.19 ± 0.03	/								
33	5.24 ± 0.02	(0+)			5.37 ± 0.02	4+				

TABLE I. Levels in ⁶²Zn.

Deel	E	Present	work	(p,p') (Ref. 11)			(α, α') (Ref. 12)		
No.	(MeV)	J "	$(\mu b/sr)$	Ν	(MeV)	J "	(MeV)	J "	
1	0.0	0+	835	453	0.0	0+	0.0	0+	
2	0.992	2*	210	240	0.992	2*	0.99	2^{+}	
3	1.800	2^+	20	21	1.800	2*	1.81	2^{+}	
4	$\textbf{1.94} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	0+	5.0	1.6	1.911	0+			
5	2.31 ± 0.01	4+	3.0	11	2.31	4*	2.32	4+	
6	2.609	0+	16	4.7	2,609	0+			
7	2.75 ± 0.01	4+	63	210	2.74		2.78	4+	
8	2.81 ± 0.01	2^+	120	110	2.79				
9	3.02 ± 0.01	3-	39	230	2,98	3-	3.02	3-	
10	$\textbf{3.11} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	4*	44	150	3.00		3.08	4+	
11	3.24 ± 0.02	0+	11	3.7	3.07				
12	3.30 ± 0.02	-			etc.				
13	3.34 ± 0.01	2+	37	30					
14	3.41 ± 0.01	_							
15	3.50 ± 0.01	2^{+}	3.4	2.7					
16	3.60 ± 0.01	2+	73	57					
17	3.78 ± 0.01	2+	34	26			3 79	2-	
18	3.92 ± 0.01	(2^+)	36	20			5.14	5	
19	3.96 ± 0.01	(2)	00				3 95		
20	4.01 ± 0.01	(0^{+})	5.5				0.00		
 01	4 19 1 0 01	a+	00	16					
21	4.12 ± 0.01	2 4+	44 0 1	10			4 10	5 -	
22	4.23 ± 0.02	0,4 2-4+	9.1				4.19	5	
23	4.29 ± 0.02	3,4							
24	4.34 ± 0.02								
20	4.36 ± 0.02								
26	4.41 ± 0.02	3	6.3	41			4.37	3-	
27	4.48 ± 0.02	(01)	7.4						
28	4.58 ± 0.02								
29	4.66 ± 0.03						4.64		
30	4.70 ± 0.03								
31	4.78 ± 0.03						4.76		
32	4.81 ± 0.03								
33	4.84 ± 0.03								
34	4.93 ± 0.03	a t							
35	4.98 ± 0.03	2^{+}							
36	$\textbf{5.07} \pm \textbf{0.03}$						5.04		
37	5.16 ± 0.03								
38	5.22 ± 0.03	2^{+}							

TABLE II. Levels in ⁶⁴Zn.

TABLE III. Optical model parameters for Zn(p,t).

			-							
		V (MeV)	<i>r</i> 0 (fm)	<i>a</i> (fm)	W ^{vol} (MeV)	W ^{surf} (MeV)	<i>γ_I</i> (fm)	<i>a_I</i> (fm)	Ref.	
Proton parameter	Proton parameters:		1.17	0.75	5	3.8	1,32	0.554	7	
Triton parameter										
set No.	1	160.7	1.20	0.72	31.5		1.40	0.84	14	
	2	164.7	1.16	0.752	21.7		1.498	0.817	15	
	3	175.8	1.14	0.737	18.3	1.5	1,553	0.780	16	
	4	114.0	1.14	0.842	18.4		1,619	0.793	17	
	5	120.0	1.14	0.702		18.6	1.278	0.805	18	

The Becchetti-Greenlees⁷ proton optical-model parameters were used, and several sets of triton optical-model parameters were tried. These are listed in Table III. It was found that triton sets 1, 2, or 3 all yield angular distributions that are very similar in shape for each angular momenta transfer L considered. Triton sets 4 and 5, which are characterized by a smaller real well depth and



FIG. 3. Angular distributions for 0^+ states populated in the reaction ${}^{64}\text{Zn}(p,t){}^{62}\text{Zn}$ at 35 MeV. The lines are DWBA calculations normalized to the data, for L=0transfers.

which were obtained by extrapolating from ³He elastic scattering results at 70 MeV, gave poor fits for the L=0 and L=2 angular momenta transfers. Consequently triton set 1, the generalized optical potential for mass 3 projectiles of Becchetti and Greenlees, was used for all DWBA calculations shown.

The form factor for L=0, 2, and 4 transfers was calculated using simple neutron single particle wave functions of configurations $(f\frac{5}{2})^2$, $(p\frac{3}{2})^2$, or a combination of these. The angular-distribution shapes are quite insensitive to the wave functions used, but the magnitudes are not. The wave functions were calculated for a Woods-Saxon potential with $r_0=1.25$ fm, a=0.65 fm and a binding energy taken to be one-half the sum of the two-neutron separation energy and the excitation energy. A finite-range parameter of 0.69 was used (although no noticeable difference in either magnitude or shape of the angular distributions could be seen when using a value of 0.0) and a range of $\beta=1.6$ fm³ was employed.⁸

B. ${}^{64}Zn(p,t){}^{62}Zn$

The spins and parities of the states listed in Tables I and II were determined by a comparison with angular distributions of known J^{π} and with DWBA predictions for a particular L transfer. As seen in some of the following examples, however, the certainty behind some assignments is diminished due to difficulty in distinguishing between L=3 and L=4 transfers and by the marked difference between angular distributions for several known 2⁺ states.

Figure 3 shows angular distributions for a number of states in 62 Zn thought to be 0⁺. The ground state angular distribution displays characteristic diffraction-like structure and is fitted quite well by the DWBA calculation. The state at 2.33 MeV is peaked at forward angles and shows the same structure as the ground state, so it is most likely the 0⁺ member of the two phonon triplet. The integrated cross section for this 0⁺ state is comparable with the 4^+ member of this triplet (2.18 MeV), but is 8 times smaller than the 2^+ member (1.801 MeV). However, for ⁶⁴Zn, where the state at 1.94 MeV is the 0^+ member of the two phonon triplet, the same trend in cross-section ratios is found. The centroid of this triplet in ⁶²Zn is at 2.10 MeV, while it lies at 2.01 and 2.23 in ⁶⁴Zn and ⁶⁶Zn, respectively; all three nuclei have one phonon 2⁺ states at approximately 1 MeV. The 0⁺ state at 4.00 MeV in ⁶²Zn is strongly structured and is fitted quite well by a L=0 DWBA calculation. The states at 4.62 and 5.24 MeV are probably 0⁺ due to their peaking at forward angles.

The 2^+ states are characterized by a secondary maximum at 35° and a forward peaked differential cross section. Many states fall into this category and are fitted fairly well by L=2 DWBA calculations, except that the data are less structured than the theory. Figures 4 and 5 show angular distributions for several of these states; note the dissimilarity in shapes for the 2^+ states at 0.957 and 1.801 MeV. The state at 3.35 MeV (Fig. 5) is tentatively assigned 1^- due to its observed decreasing cross section at forward angles.

Angular distributions for 3^- and 4^+ states are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. It is difficult to distinguish between 3^- and 4^+ states in 62 Zn in this analysis, which is one of the reasons for studying the 66 Zn- $(p, t)^{64}$ Zn reactions, as known 3^- states are populated. The octupole state in 62 Zn at 2.74 MeV of Ref. 1 is seen with better resolution to be a doublet

of 2.75 (4⁺) and 2.81 MeV (2⁺). Kusakari et al.² assign a possible 3⁻ to the 2.75 MeV state, yet the decreasing cross section at forward angles and the similarity to the known 4^+ state at 2.18 MeV make the 4^+ assignment the most probable (see Fig. 6). The strongly populated states at 3.22 and 4.22 MeV are characterized by rather flat angular distributions out to 35°. They are not fitted well by either an L=3 or L=4 angular momenta transfer. Comparison with the 3⁻ state in ⁶⁴Zn at 3.02 MeV (see Fig. 10) seems to confirm the 3⁻ assignment given here for these two states, although the shape differences seen between the two 4⁺ states in ⁶⁴Zn at 2.31 and 2.75 MeV tend to make this assignment uncertain. Kusakari et al.² also conclude that the 3.22 MeV state is 3⁻. Recent ⁵⁸Ni(⁶Li, d)⁶²Zn studies⁴ suggest a 3⁻ state at 3.18 ± 0.02 MeV, although quartet model calculations⁹ predict a 4⁺ state at



FIG. 4. Angular distributions for 2^+ states populated in the reaction ${}^{64}Zn(p,t){}^{62}Zn$. The DWBA calculations shown are for L=2 transfers, except where noted. Energies from 0.96 to 3.16 MeV.

this energy. The 3⁻ collective states in the other zinc isotopes are located at 2.99 MeV (64), 2.79 MeV (66), 2.76 MeV (68), and 2.85 MeV (70), so 3⁻ state at 3.22 MeV in ⁶²Zn is not unlikely. The DWBA calculation for L=3 shown for this state in Fig. 6 used the $(g\frac{9}{2}, f\frac{5}{2})$ configuration, although the shape remains the same for a $(g\frac{9}{2}, p\frac{3}{2})$ wave function. The spins and parities given in Tables I and II in parenthesis indicate not enough information is available to be certain of the assignment.



FIG. 5. Angular distributions for 2^+ states populated in the reaction ${}^{64}\text{Zn}(p,t){}^{62}\text{Zn}$. The DWBA calculations shown are for L=2 transfers, except where noted. Energies from 3.35 to 3.83 MeV.

The possible 4^+ states at 3.92 and 4.09 might be the 3.87 and 4.04 MeV states seen in $({}^{6}\text{Li}, d)^{4}$ and assigned $J^{\pi} \ge 4^+$.

Transition strengths N for many transitions are listed in Table I. The cross section for the (p, t) reaction is given by¹⁰

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{9.72N}{(2J+1)} \sigma_{\rm DW}$$

where $\sigma_{\rm DW}$ is the cross section calculated by the code DWUCK72, J is the spin of the final state, and the constant 9.72 arises from our choice of 1.6 fm³ for the range parameter. N is obtained by comparing the data with $\sigma_{\rm DW}$ at the first maximum. These values are listed in Table I. The transferred neutron configuration is assumed to be $(1f\frac{5}{2})^2$ for all L=0, 2, and 4 transfers, and



FIG. 6. Angular distributions for 3^- or 4^+ states populated in the reaction ${}^{64}Zn(p,t){}^{62}Zn$. The DWBA calculations shown are for L=4 transfers, except where noted as being for L=3. Energies from 2.18 to 3.22 MeV.



FIG. 7. Angular distributions for 3^- or 4^+ states populated in the reaction $^{64}Zn(p,t)^{62}Zn$. The DWBA calculations shown are for L=4 transfers, except where noted as being for L=3. Energies from 3.73 to 4.86 MeV.

 $(g\frac{9}{2}, f\frac{5}{2})$ for L=3. Complete wave functions for both the initial and final states are not available, so N is only an arbitrary normalization constant. The use of a $(2p\frac{3}{2})^2$ configuration leads to a factor of 6 reduction in the transition strength for the L=0 and 2 transfers, and a $(1g\frac{9}{2}, 2p\frac{3}{2})$ configuration for the 3⁻ leads to a factor of 25 increase in the transition strength.

C. ${}^{66}Zn(p,t){}^{64}Zn$

Angular distributions for the states observed in ⁶⁴Zn are shown in Figs. 8–10. Again spin and parity assignments were made by comparison to angular distributions of states of known J^{π} and to DWBA calculations for a particular L transfer at the appropriate excitation energy. 0⁺ states are observed at 0.0, 1.94, 2.61, and 3.24 MeV and are characterized by their sharp structure and forward-peaked angular distributions. Data at θ_{lab} = 3° is quite helpful here, as seen in Fig. 8. DWBA calculations fit this data quite well, except for a 2° shift relative to the data for the first two 0⁺ states.



FIG. 8. Angular distributions for 0^+ states populated in the reaction ${}^{66}Zn(p,t){}^{64}Zn$. DWBA calculations, normalized to the data, are shown for L = 0 transfers.

This shift is produced by all of the triton parameter sets listed in Table III. In spite of less complete data, the weakly excited states at 4.01 and 4.48 MeV were also assigned 0^+ since they are forward peaked. The population of all 0^+ states observed in ^{62,64}Zn have integrated cross sections 1-2% of that of the ground state.

Many states were identified as 2^+ because of the observed maximum at 35° and level or slightly

peaked cross sections at the more forward angles. Most of these 2^+ assignments could also be made on the basis of good L=2 DWBA fits, as seen in Fig. 9. The 2^+ states at 0.99 and 1.80 MeV have some dissimilar features, such as more structure in the second 2^+ angular distribution; this feature is similar to that observed in ${}^{64}\text{Zn}(p, t){}^{62}\text{Zn}$ and may be associated with the two phonon character of the 1.80 MeV state.²



FIG. 9. Angular distributions for 2⁺ states populated in the reaction ${}^{66}Zn(p,t){}^{64}Zn$. The DWBA calculations shown are for L=2 transfers.



FIG. 10. Angular distributions for 3⁻ or 4⁺ states populated in the reaction ${}^{66}\text{Zn}(p,t){}^{64}\text{Zn}$. The DWBA calculations shown are for L=4 transfers, except where noted as being for L=3.

The state at 3.02 MeV is known from (p, p'),¹¹ (⁶Li, d),⁴ and $(\alpha, \alpha')^{12}$ work to be 3⁻. Its angular distribution is characterized by a broad maximum out to about 40°, as seen in Fig. 10, and it is not fitted by DWBA calculations very well. These features are unlike the L=3 pattern seen in Ti(p, t)reactions at 27 MeV.¹⁰ Using the 3.02 MeV state as a sample for a 3⁻ state, the assignment of 3⁻ was made for the level at 4.41 MeV. The 4.41 MeV state is assigned 3⁻ in (α, α') work¹² and is fitted well here by an L=3 curve. The 4.23 MeV state could be the 4.14 MeV state seen in (p, p') studies at 26 MeV,¹³ where a L=3 transfer was assigned. The 4⁺ state at 2.31 MeV has a shape characterized by a broad first maximum out to only 25°. The state at 2.75 MeV has a minimum at 0°, and is typical of higher excited 4⁺ states.

Transition strengths N for the states in 64 Zn are given in Table II and were extracted in the same way as for 62 Zn. The strengths are comparable for similar states in the two nuclei. Again, too large a cross section is predicted for the 0⁺ excited states in both nuclei.

IV. CONCLUSION

The results of this study have been to establish, in more detail than previous work, level schemes for the nuclei ⁶²Zn and ⁶⁴Zn as seen via the (p, t)reaction at 35 MeV. Using the argument that the shape of the angular distributions is determined by the angular momentum transfer, spin and parity assignments were made for most states up to an excitation energy of 5.3 MeV by comparing to angular distributions for known states in ⁶²Zn and ⁶⁴Zn. Several new 0⁺ levels were determined, including the 0^+ member of the two phonon triplet in ⁶²Zn at 2.33 MeV. DWBA calculations were performed that fit the data satisfactorily, except in the cases of L=3 and L=4 angular momentum transfer. The slight differences in shapes between L=3 and L=4 transfers, as well as differences in shapes among transitions to 4⁺ states themselves, make assignments of J^{π} difficult. However, several new 3⁻ assignments for states in ⁶²Zn and ⁶⁴Zn were made, including the state at 3.22 MeV in ⁶²Zn, in agreement with recent heavy ion work.

- [†]Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation.
- ¹L. C. Farwell, J. J. Kraushaar, and H. W. Baer, Nucl. Phys. <u>A186</u>, 545 (1972).
- ²H. Kusakari, T. Suehiro, M. Ishihara, H. Kawakami, N. Yoshikawa, and M. Sakai, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. <u>34</u>, 865 (1973).
- ³M. B. Greenfield, C. R. Bingham, E. Newman, and
- M. J. Saltmarsh, Phys. Rev. C 6, 1756 (1972).
- ⁴H. H. Gutbrod and R. G. Markham, Phys. Rev. Lett.
- $\underline{29},\ 808$ (1972); R. Markham, private communication. $^5G.$ Bassani, N. M. Hintz, and C. P. Kavaloski, Phys. Rev. $\underline{136},\ B1006$ (1964).
- ⁶P. D. Kunz, University of Colorado (unpublished).
- ⁷F. D. Becchetti and G. W. Greenlees, Phys. Rev. <u>182</u>,
- 1190 (1969). ⁸E. Rost and P. D. Kunz, Nucl. Phys. <u>A162</u>, 376 (1971).
- ⁹H. Faraggi, A. Jaffrin, M. C. Mermaz, J. C. Faivre,
- J. Gastebois, B. G. Harvey, J. M. Loiseaux, and
- A. Papineau, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 66, 905 (1971).

- ¹⁰H. W. Baer, J. J. Kraushaar, C. E. Moss, N. S. P.
- King, R. E. L. Green, P. D. Kunz, and E. Rost, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) <u>76</u>, 437 (1973).
- ¹¹Nucl. Data <u>B2</u>, No. 3 (1967).
- ¹²N. Alpert, J. Alster, and E. J. Martens, Phys. Rev. C <u>2</u>, 974 (1970).
- ¹³R. R. Johnson and G. D. Jones, Nucl. Phys. <u>A122</u>, 657 (1968).
- ¹⁴F. D. Becchetti and G. W. Greenlees, in *Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Polarization Phenomena in Nuclear Reactions, Madison, 1970, edited by H. H. Barschall and W. Haeberli (Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1971), p. 682.*
- ¹⁵E. R. Flynn, D. D. Armstrong, J. G. Berry, and A. G. Blair, Phys. Rev. <u>182</u>, 1113 (1969).
- ¹⁶P. P. Urone, L. W. Put, H. H. Chang, and B. W. Ridley, Nucl. Phys. <u>A163</u>, 225 (1971).
- ¹⁷R. A. Hinrichs and D. L. Show, Phys. Rev. C <u>6</u>, 1257 (1972).
- ¹⁸C. B. Fulmer, private communication.

1390